ZooKeys 1183: 121–124 (2023)
DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.1183.107660

Short Communication

Taxonomic clarification and lectotype designation for *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853 (non Browne, 1978, nec Browne, 1981) (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Scolytinae), and notes on pervasive homonymy

Matteo Marchioro[1], Andrew J. Johnson[2], Laura Besana[1], Michail Yu. Mandelshtam[3], Massimo Faccoli[1], Enrico Ruzzier[4]

1 *Department of Agronomy, Food, Natural Resources, Animals and Environment (DAFNAE), Università degli Studi di Padova, Legnaro (PD), 35020, Italy*
2 *School of Forest, Fisheries, and Geomatics Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611 and Florida State Collection of Arthropods, FDACS-DPI, Gainesville, 32611, Florida, USA*
3 *Department of Forest Protection, Wood Science and Game Management, Saint-Petersburg State Forest Technical University named after S.M. Kirov, Institutskii per., 5, 194021 Saint-Petersburg, Russia*
4 *Department of Science, Università Roma Tre, viale G. Marconi 446, 00146 Rome, Italy*
Corresponding author: Enrico Ruzzier (enrico.ruzzier@uniroma3.it)

OPEN ACCESS

Academic editor: M. Alonso-Zarazaga
Received: 7 June 2023
Accepted: 30 September 2023
Published: 2 November 2023

ZooBank: https://zoobank.org/BEC2451C-49AD-46F4-93FF-8253DD931818

Citation: Marchioro M, Johnson AJ, Besana L, Mandelshtam MYu, Faccoli M, Ruzzier E (2023) Taxonomic clarification and lectotype designation for *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853 (non Browne, 1978, nec Browne, 1981) (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Scolytinae), and notes on pervasive homonymy. ZooKeys 1183: 121–124. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1183.107660

The bark beetle *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853 was described on the basis of two specimens from Alaska, one under the bark of unknown tree and one caught in flight (Mannerheim 1853). Schwarz (1895) listed *C. striatulus* as feeding on the spruce tree *Picea englemanni* Engelm. [sic!] (Pinaceae) based on specimens collected while on vacation near Alta, Cottonwood Canyon, Utah, in June 1891. These specimens share the approximate collection data (with year and host omitted) as the specimen which became the type of *Cryphalus ruficollis* Hopkins, 1915. It is unclear why Hopkins treated them as such, but all subsequent publications before 2021 refer to Mannerheim's species as *Procryphalus* Hopkins,1915 then as *Trypophloeus* Fairmaire, 1864. Schwarz also collected specimens of *Trypophloeus* from the same locality, eventually becoming the holotype of *Trypophloeus punctipennis* Hopkins, 1915, now a synonym of *Trypophloeus nitidus* Swaine, 1912.

Later reviews of North American Cryphalini (sensu Wood, 1954) found that there was a proliferation of described names, many of which represent synonymous taxa (Wood 1954). For *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853, the identity was uncertain because the types could not be found in Mannerheim's collection. Despite this, Wood proposed that *Trypophloeus nitidus* Swaine, 1912 was junior synonym of *Cryphalus striatulus*, thus providing the *Trypopholeus striatulus* [sic!] combination (Wood 1969, 1973). This change was probably based on two major features: (1) Mannerheim's statement that the specimen was similar to *Trypophloeus granulatus* (Ratzeburg, 1837) (Mannerheim 1853), and (2) *Trypophloeus nitidus* was the only North American Cryphalini described as being north of British Columbia in literature at that time (Wood 1969, 1973). This taxonomic combination remained unchanged until Kvamme et al. (2021) in which the authors, while looking for *Trypophloeus* types, discovered a single specimen of *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853 in the type material pres-

ent in the Zoological Institute (St. Petersburg, Russia) (Fig. 1A). Kvamme et al. found that the species described by Mannerheim was a species of *Cryphalus* Erichson, 1836 and re-established the original combination (Kvamme et al. 2021), putting *Cryphalus ruficollis* Hopkins, 1915 as a junior synonym.

Mannerheim (1853) explicitly described two 'forms' in the description, with differences that are neither diagnostic of *Cryphalus* nor *Trypophloeus*. However, given the multiple specimens with different morphologies in the original description, there remains an unlikely but possible chance that the source of historical confusion was that two species were present in the type series. To maintain taxonomic stability, we designate the only known co-type as the lectotype of *Cryphalus striatulus*. This specimen bears the labels: (1) golden circle used to mark type specimens; (2) "*Cryphalus striatulus* Mnrhm. Kenai J[unio]. [?] Russam [?Russian America]" (unclear); and (3) "Lectotype

Figure 1. Habitus and original labels of primary types of species sharing the combination "*Cryphalus striatulus*". Specimen photographs are resized to a common scale, black bars represent 1.0 mm **A** *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853; designated lectotype, Zoological Institute (St. Petersburg, Russia) **B** *Cryphalus brownei* (Beaver, 1991) (= *Cryphalomorphus striatulus* Browne, 1978) holotype, NHMUK 010805928 **C** *Cryphalus punctistriatulus* Johnson, 2020 (= *Cryphalus striatulus* Browne, 1981) holotype, NHMUK 010805993.

Cryphalus striatulus Mnrhm. Marchioro et al. des. 2023". This designation promotes stability in case the second specimen is found and represents a different species.

Additionally, the taxonomic change following the discovery of the type creates a new homonym. *Cryphalus striatulus* (Browne, 1978) was originally described as *Cryphalomorphus striatulus* Browne, 1978 on the basis of a single specimen from Penang, Malaysia (Fig. 1B), collected in the gut of a swiftlet (Beaver and Browne 1978). Subsequently, the species was moved to *Hypothenemus* Westwood, 1834 and given the replacement name *Hypothenemus brownei* Beaver, 1991 since this taxonomic combination was already pre-occupied by *Hypothenemus striatulus* Schedl, 1942 (Schedl 1942; Beaver 1991). In 2020, Johnson et al. removed the species from *Hypothenemus* and placed it in *Cryphalus*, rendering the replacement name no longer necessary, using *Cryphalus striatulus* (Browne, 1978) as the valid combination (Johnson et al. 2020). Since the combination *Cryphalus striatulus* is now occupied by *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853 (sensu Kvamme et al. 2021), we treat the name *Cryphalus striatulus* (Browne, 1978) as a junior homonym, and the novel combination, *Cryphalus brownei* (Beaver, 1991), as the valid name. This is an unusual nomenclatural situation, for using a replacement name as a valid name when in a different genus.

An additional potential source of confusion is *Cryphalus striatulus* Browne, 1981 (Fig. 1C), which was already replaced with *Cryphalus punctistriatulus* Johnson, 2020 due to homonymy with *Cryphalus striatulus* (Browne, 1978) (Johnson et al. 2020). Furthermore, *Cryphalus brownei* Wood, 1992, already exists as an unnecessary replacement name for *Cryphalus artocarpus* Schedl, 1958, (now a synonym of *Cryphalus artocarpus* (Schedl, 1939)) (Johnson et al. 2020). Given such a high degree of homonymy within *Cryphalus*, we suggest correctly citing the authority with the year to avoid future confusion.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Roger A. Beaver for providing some useful documents for this work and European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for partially funding the project. Andrew Johnson was funded by National Science Foundation (NSF), the US Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Aphis, and Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS)-Division of Plant Industry. Boris A. Anokhin (Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia) is thanked for his help in obtaining photographs of *Cryphalus striatulus* Mannerheim, 1853 type specimen. We thank Maxwell V. L. Barclay for granting access to the *Cryphalus* types preserved at the Natural History Museum, London.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This work was supported by European Food Safety Authority and United States Department of Agriculture, US Forest Service, FDACS-Division of Plant Industry.

Author contributions

All authors have contributed equally.

Author ORCIDs

Matteo Marchioro https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2301-1047
Andrew J. Johnson https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3139-2257
Laura Besana https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4670-5775
Michail Y. Mandelshtam https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7135-3239
Massimo Faccoli https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9355-0516
Enrico Ruzzier https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1020-1247

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text.

References

Beaver RA (1991) Reclassification of some Oriental and Australasian Scolytidae (Coleoptera). Entomologist's Monthly Magazine 127: 53–54.

Beaver RA, Browne FG (1978) The Scolytidae and Platypodidae (Coleoptera) of Penang, Malaysia. Oriental Insects 12(4): 575–624. https://doi.org/10.1080/00305316.1978.10432538

Hopkins AD (1915) Classification of the Cryphalinae with descriptions of new genera and species. United States Department of Agriculture: Report 99. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.65905

Johnson AJ, Hulcr J, Knížek M, Atkinson TH, Mandelshtam MY, Smith SM, Cognato AI, Park S, Li Y, Jordal BH (2020) Revision of the bark beetle genera within the former Cryphalini (Curculionidae: Scolytinae). Insect Systematics and Diversity 4(3): 1. https://doi.org/10.1093/isd/ixaa002

Kvamme T, Mandelshtam M, Salnitska M, Ojeda DI (2021) A new cryptic *Trypophloeus* Fairmaire, 1864 species in Northern Fennoscandia (Coleoptera, Curculionidae) revealed by DNA analyses. Norwegian Journal of Entomology 68: 44–66.

Mannerheim CG (1853) Dritter Nachtrag zur Käfer-Fauna der nord-amerikanischen Länder des Russischen Reiches. Bulletin de la Société Impériale des Naturalistes de Moscou 26(3): 95–273.

Schedl KE (1942) Neue Scolytidae aus Java. 76. Beitrag zur morphologie und systematik der Scolytoidea. Tijdschrift voor Entomologie 85: 1–49.

Schwarz EA (1895) Note on *Hylesinus sericeus*. Insect Life 7: 254–256.

Wood SL (1954) A revision of North American Cryphalini (Scolytidae, Coleoptera). The University of Kansas Science Bulletin 36: 959–1089.

Wood SL (1969) New synonymy and records of Platypodidae and Scolytidae (Coleoptera). The Great Basin Naturalist 29(3): 113–128. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.17054

Wood SL (1973) New synonymy in American bark beetles (Scolytidae: Coleoptera). Part III. The Great Basin Naturalist 33(3): 169–188. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.28154