



岐阜大学機関リポジトリ

Gifu University Institutional Repository

Title	The Minimal English Test: Its Correlation with the University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007(本文(Fulltext))
Author(s)	MAKI, Hideki; KASAI, Chise; GOTO, Kenichi; OKADA, Akina; TAKAHASHI, Kazushige; HASEBE, Megumi; IMAMAKI, Hirotaka; ISHIKAWA, Akane; ITO, Takane; OKU, Satoshi; YUMOTO, Yoko; MIYAMOTO, Yoichi; OCHI, Masao; HAMASAKI, Michiyo; UEDA, Yukiko
Citation	[岐阜大学地域科学部研究報告] no.[23] p.[79]-[86]
Issue Date	2008-08
Rights	
Version	岐阜大学地域科学部 (Faculty of Regional Studies, Gifu University) / 岐阜大学教育学部 / 東京大学駒場総合文化研究科 (The University of Tokyo at Komaba) / 北海道大学言語文化部 (Hokkaido University) / 大阪大学言語文化部 (Osaka University) / 愛知教育大学教育学部 (Aichi University of Education) / 秋田大学教育文化学部 (Akita University) / 北九州市立大学基盤教育センター (The University of Kitakyushu) / 鹿児島純心女子高等学校 (Kagoshima Junshin Girls High School)
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12099/23948

この資料の著作権は、各資料の著者・学協会・出版社等に帰属します。

The Minimal English Test: Its Correlation with the University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007*

Hideki MAKI,^{*1} Chise KASAI,^{*1} Kenichi GOTO,^{*1} Akina OKADA,^{*1} Kazushige TAKAHASHI,^{*1} Megumi HASEBE,^{*1} Hirotaka IMAMAKI,^{*1} Akane ISHIKAWA,^{*1} Takane ITO,^{*2} Satoshi OKU,^{*3} Yoko YUMOTO,^{*4} Yoichi MIYAMOTO,^{*4} Masao OCHI,^{*4} Michiyo HAMASAKI,^{*5} Yukiko UEDA,^{*6} Kosuke NAGASUE,^{*7} Hironobu KASAI,^{*7} and Jessica DUNTON^{*8}

^{*1}Gifu University, ^{*2}The University of Tokyo at Komaba, ^{*3}Hokkaido University, ^{*4}Osaka University, ^{*5}Aichi University of Education, ^{*6}Akita University, ^{*7}The University of Kitakyushu, and ^{*8}Kagoshima Junshin Girls High School

(Received May 12, 2008)

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the correlation between the scores on the Minimal English Test (MET) developed by Maki, Wasada, and Hashimoto (2003), and the scores on the Japanese University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007, administered by the University Entrance Examination Center. We call the University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007 the Center Test (CT) 2007 hereafter.

Maki, Wasada, and Hashimoto (2003) showed that there was a statistically significant correlation between the scores on the MET and the scores on the CT 2002 ($r=.68$, $p<.05$, and $n=154$). Maki, Ito, Miyamoto, Oku, Uchibori, and Ueda (2004) also showed that there was a statistically significant correlation between the scores on the MET and the scores on the CT 2003 ($r=.72$, $p<.05$, and $n=629$). Maki, von Fragstein, Morishima, Tsuruta, Ito, Miyamoto, Oku, Uchibori, Date, and Tagawa (2005) showed that there was a statistically significant correlation between the scores on the MET and the scores on the CT 2004 ($r=.72$, $p<.05$, and $n=657$). Maki, Kasai, Goto, Morita, Yumoto, Ochi, Oku, and Date (2006) showed that there was a statistically significant correlation between the scores on the MET and the scores on the CT 2005 ($r=.61$, $p<.05$, and $n=600$).

Furthermore, listening comprehension was added to the CT 2006 for the first time in the history of the CT, and Maki, Kasai, Goto, Ito, Miyamoto, and Oku (2007) showed (1) that the scores on the MET and the total scores on the CT 2006 had a relatively high correlation ($r=.62$, $p<.05$, and $n=610$); (2) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the reading section of the CT 2006 had a moderate correlation ($r=.59$, $p<.05$, and $n=610$); and (3) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the listening section of the CT 2006 had a moderate correlation ($r=.55$, $p<.05$, and $n=610$).

The present research is then a continuation of the research program started by Maki, Wasada, and Hashimoto (2003). In this study, we collected sets of data from the students at Aichi University of Education, Akita University, Gifu University, Hokkaido University, Osaka University, The University of Kitakyushu, and The University of Tokyo at Komaba. Data were collected from 895 subjects. As a result of a series of correlation analyses, it turned out (1) that the scores on the MET and the total scores on the CT 2007 had a relatively high correlation ($r=.66$, $p<.05$); (2) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the reading section of the CT 2007 had a moderate correlation ($r=.62$, $p<.05$); and (3) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the listening section of the CT 2007 had a moderate correlation ($r=.61$, $p<.05$).

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of the materials (the Minimal English Test (MET) and the University Entrance Examination

(English Part) 2007 (CT 2007)) to be employed in this research. Section 3 analyzes the data, and Section 4 reports the results. Section 5 concludes the paper.

2. The Materials

Section 2.1 gives an overview of the Minimal English Test (MET) developed by Maki, Wasada, and Hashimoto (2003), and Section 2.2 gives an overview of the University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007 (CT 2007).

2.1 The Minimal English Test (MET)

The MET is a simple test which requires the test taker to write a correct English word with 4 letters or fewer into each of the 72 blank spaces of the given sentences, written on one piece A4 paper, while listening to the CD on which the sentences are recorded. The MET is based on Lessons 1 and 2 of the textbook for university 1st year students written by Kawana and Walker (2002) and the CD that accompanies it. The contents of the textbook are essays on the modern society of the United States. The CD lasts about 5 minutes with a speed of 125 words per minute. The MET is shown below.

The Minimal English Test

Name: _____ Date: Month ___ Day ___ Year _____ Score: _____ /72

The Score on the Reading Section of the University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007: _____ /200

The Score on the Listening Section of the University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007: _____ /50

Please fill an English word with 4 letters or fewer into each blank space, while listening to the CD.

1. The majority of people have at least one pet at () time in their ().
2. Sometimes the relationship between a pet () or cat and its owner is () close
3. that () begin to resemble () other in their appearance and behavior.
4. On the other (), owners of unusual pets () as tigers or snakes
5. sometimes () to protect themselves () their own pets.
6. Thirty years () the idea of an inanimate () first arose.
7. This was the pet (), which became a craze () the United States and
8. spread () other countries as ().
9. People () large sums of money for ordinary rocks and assigned () names.
10. They tied a leash around the rock and pulled () down the street just () a dog.
11. The rock owners () talked () their pet rocks.
12. Now () we have entered the computer age, () have virtual pets.
13. The Japanese Tamagotchi—() imaginary chicken ()—
14. () the precursor of () virtual pets.
15. Now there () an ever-increasing number of such virtual ()
16. which mostly young people are adopting () their ().
17. And () your virtual pet (),
18. you () reserve a permanent resting place () the Internet in a virtual pet cemetery.
19. Sports are big business. Whereas Babe Ruth, the () famous athlete of () day,
20. was well-known () earning as () as the President of the United States, the average
21. salary () today's professional baseball players is () times that of the President.
22. () a handful of sports superstars earn 100 times () through their contracts
23. () manufacturers of clothing, (), and sports equipment.
24. But every generation produces () or two legendary athletes () rewrite
25. the record books, and whose ability and achievements () remembered () generations.
26. () the current generation Tiger Woods and Michael Jordan are two () legendary
27. figures, () of whom () achieved almost mythical status.
28. The () that a large number of professional athletes () huge incomes
29. has () to increased competition throughout () sports world.
30. Parents () their children to sports training camps () an early age.
31. Such () typically practice three to () hours a day,
32. () weekend () during their school vacations
33. in order () better their chances of eventually obtaining () well-paid position
34. on a professional () when they grow ().
35. As for the () young aspirants who do () succeed,
36. one wonders if they () regret having () their childhood.

The test taker is verbally given the following 4 instructions in advance.

1. Write the score of the University Entrance Examination (English Part) that you took in 2007.
2. Fill an English word with 4 letters or fewer into each of the blank spaces, while listening to the CD.
3. The CD lasts about 5 minutes.
4. There is about a three-second interval between Line 18 and Line 19.

After the above instructions are given, the volume of the CD is checked, and the MET is administered.

2.2 The University Entrance Examination (English Part) 2007 (CT 2007)

The University Entrance Examination Center (2007) provides the summary of the CT 2007 results below.

The Reading Section of the CT 2007

Observations	500,995
Full mark	200
Number of questions	50
Average score	131.09
Standard deviation	40.35
Time limit	80 minutes
Date	January 20th, 2007

The Listening Section of the CT 2007

Observations	493,213
Full mark	50
Number of questions	25
Average score	32.48
Standard deviation	9.14
Time limit	30 minutes
Date	January 20th, 2007

The reading section of the CT 2007, contains questions about pronunciation, grammar, reordering of sentences, and reading comprehension, and the listening section of the CT 2007, contains questions about listening comprehension.

3. Data Analysis

3.1 Data

The MET was administered at the following institutions during the period from April to July of 2007: Aichi University of Education, Akita University, Gifu University, Hokkaido University, Osaka University, The University of Kitakyushu, and The University of Tokyo at Komaba. The total number of the data was 895, as shown below.

Institution	Number of the Data
Aichi University of Education	76
Akita University	75
Gifu University	443
Hokkaido University	32
Osaka University	116
The University of Kitakyushu	88
The University of Tokyo at Komaba	65
Total	895

3.2 Data Analysis

We analyzed the data (the scores on the MET and the scores on the CT 2007) by a simple regression analysis (correlation analysis). The results are shown below.

Correlation Between the Scores on the MET and the Total Scores on the CT 2007

Regression Statistics	
Correlation Coefficient (R)	0.660307
R Square	0.436005
Adjusted R Square	0.435373
Standard Error	21.76388
Observations	895
P-value	3.6E-113

Correlation Between the Scores on the MET and the Scores on the Reading Section of the CT 2007


Regression Statistics	
Correlation Coefficient (R)	0.62223
R Square	0.38717
Adjusted R Square	0.386484
Standard Error	18.79129
Observations	895
P-value	4.77E-97

Correlation Between the Scores on the MET and the Scores on the Listening Section of the CT 2007

Regression Statistics	
Correlation Coefficient (R)	0.608842
R Square	0.370689
Adjusted R Square	0.369984
Standard Error	5.471855
Observations	895
P-value	6.83E-92


The results of these analyses are more clearly represented by the following graphs.

Correlation Between the Scores on the MET and the Total Scores on the CT 2007




The regression line is $y = 1.80x + 133.18$.

Correlation Between the Scores on the MET and the Scores on the Reading Section of the CT 2007



The regression line is $y = 1.41x + 109.21$.

Correlation Between the Scores on the MET and the Scores on the Listening Section of the CT 2007



The regression line is $y = .40x + 23.97$.

4. Results

As the above analyses show, it turned out (1) that the scores on the MET and the total scores on the CT 2007 had a relatively high correlation ($r=.66$, $p<.05$, and $n=895$), (2) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the reading section of the CT 2007 had a moderate correlation ($r=.62$, $p<.05$, and $n=895$), and (3) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the listening section of the CT 2007 had a moderate correlation ($r=.61$, $p<.05$, and $n=895$).

5. Conclusion

To conclude, we have found (1) that the scores on the Minimal English Test (MET), developed by Maki, Wasada, and Hashimoto (2003), and the total scores on the CT 2007 had a relatively high correlation ($r=.66$, $p<.05$, and $n=895$); (2) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the reading section of the CT 2007 had a moderate correlation ($r=.62$, $p<.05$, and $n=895$); and (3) that the scores on the MET and the scores on the listening section of the CT 2007 had a moderate correlation ($r=.61$, $p<.05$, and $n=895$).

The fact that there is little difference between the correlation coefficient between the scores on the MET and the scores on the reading section of the CT 2007 ($r=.62$) and the correlation coefficient between the scores on the MET and the scores on the listening section of the CT 2007 ($r=.61$) indicates that contrary to its appearance, the MET does not simply measure listening comprehension, but it measures a more general English proficiency, including reading comprehension and listening comprehension.

Also, a comparison of the results of the analyses of the scores on the MET and the scores on the CT from 2002 to 2007, reveals that the correlation coefficients between the scores on the MET and the total scores on the CT are more or less consistent (from .61 to .72). See the following chart.

Results of the Analyses of the Scores on the MET and the Scores on the CT from 2002 to 2007

Year	Observations	Correlation Coefficient (R)	Regression Line
2002	154	.68 (Reading)	$y = 1.53x + 82.13$
2003	629	.72 (Reading)	$y = 2.39x + 67.62$
2004	657	.72 (Reading)	$y = 2.18x + 75.95$
2005	600	.61 (Reading)	$y = 2.09x + 66.06$
2006	610	.59 (Reading)	$y = 1.49x + 101.55$
2006	610	.55 (Listening)	$y = .33x + 29.34$
2006	610	.62 (Reading and Listening)	$y = 1.82x + 130.89$
2007	895	.62 (Reading)	$y = 1.41x + 109.21$
2007	895	.61 (Listening)	$y = .40x + 23.97$
2007	895	.66 (Reading and Listening)	$y = 1.80x + 133.18$

We will continue to investigate the comparisons between the correlation coefficient of the scores on the MET and the scores on the reading section of the CT, and the correlation coefficient of the scores on the MET and the scores on the listening section of the CT, in order to uncover the true nature of the MET.

References

- Kawana, Norihito and Stuart Walker (2002) *This is Media.com*. Tokyo: Seibido.
- Maki, Hideki, Takane Ito, Yoichi Miyamoto, Satoshi Oku, Asako Uchibori, and Yukiko Ueda (2004) "The Minimal English Test: Its Correlation with the College Entrance Examination (English Part) 2003." *Bulletin of the Faculty of Regional Studies, Gifu University* 15, 39-46.
- Maki, Hideki, Chise Kasai, Kenichi Goto, Takane Ito, Yoichi Miyamoto, and Satoshi Oku (2007) "The Minimal English Test: Its Correlation with the College Entrance Examination (English Part) 2006." *Bulletin of the Faculty of Regional Studies, Gifu University* 21, 127-134.
- Maki, Hideki, Chise Kasai, Kenichi Goto, Yuka Morita, Yoko Yumoto, Masao Ochi, Satoshi Oku, and Masahiko Date (2006) "The Minimal English Test: Its Correlation with the College Entrance Examination (English Part) 2005." *Bulletin of the Faculty of Regional Studies, Gifu University* 19, 33-37.

Maki, Hideki, Alexandra von Fragstein, Tamami Morishima, Ryoko Tsuruta, Takane Ito, Yoichi Miyamoto, Satoshi Oku, Asako Uchibori, Masahiko Date, and Kenjiro Tagawa (2005) "The Minimal English Test: Its Correlation with the College Entrance Examination (English Part) 2004." *Bulletin of the Faculty of Regional Studies, Gifu University* 17, 53-57.

Maki, Hideki, Hiroaki Wasada, and Ekuko Hashimoto (2003) "Saishoo Eego Tesuto: Shoki Kenkyuu." (The Minimal English Test: A Preliminary Study) *Eego Kyooiku* (The English Teachers' Magazine) 53.10, 47-50.

The University Entrance Examination Center (2007) <http://www.dnc.ac.jp/index.htm/>
 Yanai, Hisae (1998) *4 Steps Ekuseru Toukei (4 Steps Excel Statistics)*. Saitama: OMS.

Note

* We are grateful to Hidetoshi Inoue, Yuko Nagao, Norio Nakamura, John Russell, Yoko Sugiyama, Masaru Uchida, and Ying-Ling Yang for cooperating to help collect data.

1 We follow Yanai (1998) in interpreting values of correlation coefficients. She assumes the following correspondence between correlation coefficients and their characteristics.

Correlation Coefficients	Characteristics
$0.0 \leq r < 0.2 $	almost no correlation
$ 0.2 \leq r < 0.4 $	weak correlation
$ 0.4 \leq r < 0.7 $	moderate correlation
$ 0.7 \leq r < 0.9 $	strong correlation
$ 0.9 \leq r < 1.0 $	extremely strong correlation