



Article

The Role of the *IGF2* Methylation Score in Diagnosing Adrenocortical Tumors with Unclear Malignant Potential— Feasibility of Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue

Rebecca V. Steenaard, Richard A. Feelders, Fadime Dogan, Peter M. van Koetsveld, Sara G. Creemers, Madeleine H. T. Ettaieb, Folkert J. van Kemenade, Harm R. Haak and Leo J. Hofland

Special Issue Proteomics and Metabolomics in the Diagnostics of Adrenal Tumors Edited by Dr. Piotr Glinicki and Dr. Alicja Szatko





https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines11072013





Article The Role of the IGF2 Methylation Score in Diagnosing Adrenocortical Tumors with Unclear Malignant Potential—Feasibility of Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue

Rebecca V. Steenaard ^{1,2,3,*}, Richard A. Feelders ¹, Fadime Dogan ¹, Peter M. van Koetsveld ¹, Sara G. Creemers ¹, Madeleine H. T. Ettaieb ⁴, Folkert J. van Kemenade ⁵, Harm R. Haak ^{2,3,6} and Leo J. Hofland ¹

- ¹ Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Erasmus Medical Center, 3015 CN Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- ² Department of Internal Medicine, Máxima MC, 5504 DB Veldhoven, The Netherlands
- ³ CAPHRI School for Public Health and Primary Care, Ageing and Long-Term Care, Maastricht University, 6229 HX Maastricht, The Netherlands
- ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, Tergooi MC, 1213 XZ Hilversum, The Netherlands
- ⁵ Department of Pathology, Erasmus Medical Center, 3015 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁶ Department of Internal Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine,
- Maastricht University Medical Centre+, 6229 HX Maastricht, The Netherlands
- Correspondence: rebeccca.steenaard@mmc.nl

Abstract: The differentiation between benign and malignant adrenocortical tumors based on pathological assessment can be difficult. We present a series of 17 patients with unclear malignant tumors, of whom six had recurrent or metastatic disease. The assessment of the methylation pattern of insulinlike growth factor 2 (IGF2) regulatory regions in fresh frozen material has shown to be valuable in determining the malignancy of adrenocortical tumors, although this has not been elaborately tested in unclear malignant tumors. Since fresh frozen tissue was only available in six of the patients, we determined the feasibility of using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue for this method. We isolated DNA from FFPE tissue and matched the fresh frozen tissue of three patients with adrenocortical carcinoma. Methylation patterns of IGF2 regulatory regions were determined by pyrosequencing using different amounts of bisulfite-converted DNA (5 ng, 20 ng, 40 ng). Compared to fresh frozen tissue, FFPE tissue had a higher failure rate (fresh frozen 0%; FFPE 18.5%) and poor-to-moderate replicability (fresh frozen rho = 0.89-0.99, median variation 1.6%; FFPE rho = -0.09-0.85, median variation 7.7%). There was only a poor-to-moderate correlation between results from fresh frozen and FFPE tissue (rho = -0.28-0.70, median variation 13.2%). In conclusion, FFPE tissue is not suitable for determining the IGF2 methylation score in patients with an unclear malignant adrenocortical tumor using the currently used method. We, therefore, recommend fresh frozen storage of resection material for diagnostic and biobank purposes.

Keywords: adrenocortical carcinoma; adrenocortical adenoma; *IGF2*; methylation; formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue

1. Introduction

Adrenocortical tumors (ACT) can have various presentations, from small adrenocortical adenomas (ACA) needing no intervention to large adrenocortical carcinomas (ACC) with a high risk of recurrence or metastasis [1–3]. The determination of malignancy in adrenocortical tumors based on imaging and pathology is not always clear. An unenhanced CT scan of a homogenous tumor with <10 Hounsfield Units rules out ACC, while a large, inhomogeneous tumor with Hounsfield Units > 21 suggests ACC [4–6]. This leaves a group with an unclear diagnosis. The same problem occurs with pathological determination of tumor grade by means of the Weiss score. In patients with a Weiss score of 2–3 or with divergent characteristics, the diagnosis often remains uncertain. The Weiss score has the



Citation: Steenaard, R.V.; Feelders, R.A.; Dogan, F.; van Koetsveld, P.M.; Creemers, S.G.; Ettaieb, M.H.T.; van Kemenade, F.J.; Haak, H.R.; Hofland, L.J. The Role of the *IGF2* Methylation Score in Diagnosing Adrenocortical Tumors with Unclear Malignant Potential—Feasibility of Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue. *Biomedicines* **2023**, *11*, 2013. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biomedicines11072013

Academic Editors: Piotr Glinicki and Alicja Szatko

Received: 8 June 2023 Revised: 10 July 2023 Accepted: 14 July 2023 Published: 17 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). additional disadvantage of an imperfect inter-observer reliability [7]. Proper diagnosis of ACT is important for selecting the best treatment and duration of follow-up for the patient. For example, patients with a high risk of recurrence can benefit from adjuvant mitotane therapy, while for other patients, watchful waiting with CT imaging might be sufficient [1,2]. There is an unmet need for a more objective biomarker to determine malignant potential in ACT, especially for patients with unclear malignancy based on imaging and pathology alone [8].

Several molecular markers have been proposed as biomarkers for prognosis, such as steroid profile, differential gene expression, or methylation [9]. Insulin-like growth factor 2 (*IGF2*) is one of the growth factors involved in adrenocortical cell function. In normal cells, the *IGF2* gene is maternally imprinted and paternally expressed. Increased expression of *IGF2* is associated with malignancy, but expression levels are highly variable and, therefore, less suitable for clinical use. *IGF2* expression is regulated by the differential methylation of regulatory regions, including differentially methylated region 2 (*DMR2*), protein binding site *CTCF3* in the imprinting control region, and nearby gene *H19* [10]. This differential methylation has more stable patterns and can be transformed into an *IGF2* methylation score. The *IGF2* methylation score has shown to be valuable in the distinction between ACA and ACC [11,12]. In ACT with unclear malignant potential, the addition of the IGF2 methylation score might, therefore, improve the assessment of the tumor and may be useful for treatment decisions on adjuvant therapy and follow-up duration.

Before the IGF2 methylation score can be used as a diagnostic tool in clinical practice, further validation is needed in patients with ACT with unclear malignant potential. In this study, we will present a series of patients with unclear ACT and aim to explore the potential role of the IGF2 methylation score in their postoperative treatment and follow-up management. Thus far, the *IGF2* methylation score has only been validated in fresh frozen tissue, which is not widely available in clinical setting. We, therefore, aimed to determine whether formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue is suitable to measure the *IGF2* methylation score.

2. Materials and Methods

We searched for patients with an unclear ACT in three different research databases. Inclusion criteria were adult patients with a localized ACT with unclear malignant potential as described in the patient record, diagnosed before 2016 to allow for sufficient follow-up. The unclear malignant potential was defined as a Weiss score of 2–3 or Weiss score of 0–1 with histopathological, biochemical, radiological, or clinical signs of malignancy. We selected six cases from the Dutch Adrenal Network retrospective cohort and 11 cases from two Dutch adrenal biobanks (Table 1) [13]. Electronic patient records were consulted for the pathology report, treatment modalities, and case history up to December 2020. In six of the selected patients, the IGF2 methylation score had previously been determined in stored fresh frozen tissue [11]. The IGF2 methylation score is calculated from the mean, standard deviation score (SDS) from the methylation percentages of CFTC3 CpGs 5-7, DMR2 CpGs 2–4, and H19 CpGs 1–3. A score below 1.28 indicates ACA; a score above 3.18 indicates ACC, and a score between 1.28 and 3.18 indicates an unknown ACT. The other patients had no available fresh frozen tissue. This study was conducted under the guidelines that had been approved by the Medical Ethics Committees of the Erasmus Medical Center and Máxima MC.

Case	Diagnosis Pathologist	Diagnosis IGF2 Methylation Score	Treatment	History
1	Weiss 3, unclear malignancy, 3.8 cm, PET positive	3.51, ACC	Adjuvant mitotane	4 years disease-free
2	Weiss 3, adenoma, 10 cm, PET positive	3.34, ACC	Watchful waiting	4 years disease-free
3	Weiss 3, oncocytairy adenoma, 5.5 cm, PET positive high uptake	3.08, unclear	Watchful waiting	4 years disease-free
4	Weiss 1, 1 minor LWB criteria oncocytairy adenoma, 9.5 cm	4.29, ACC	Watchful waiting	2 years disease-free, discharged from follow-up
5	Weiss 1, partially invasive adenoma, 6 cm	4.20, ACC	Watchful waiting	8 years disease-free
6	Weiss 3	4.68, ACC	Watchful waiting	2-year death from positive lymph nodes and bone metastases
7	Weiss 3	No fresh frozen material	Adjuvant mitotane	1-year abdominal wall/omental metastases (resection); 2-year bone metastasis (RT/resection); 3-year lung metastasis (mitotane); 4-year death from disease
8	Weiss 3	No fresh frozen material	Adjuvant mitotane	10-years death from other cause, no disease recurrence
9	Weiss 3	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	4-year death from peritonitis carcinomatosis
10	Weiss 2, cortisol and androgen production	No fresh frozen material	Adjuvant mitotane	12-years disease-free, discharged from follow-up
11	Weiss 3	No fresh frozen material	Adjuvant mitotane	9 years disease-free
12	Weiss 3	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	3-year liver metastases (RFA/mitotane); 7-year recurrent liver metastases (resection/mitotane); 9 years disease-free
13	Adenoma with necrosis, 10 cm	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	17-year recurrence 30 cm (resection); 20 years disease-free
14	Adenoma (upon revision after recurrence Weiss 4)	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	 7-year lung metastases (mitotane discontinued for liver toxicity, resection, RT); 10-year abdominal metastases (EDP); 12-year death from lung and abdominal disease
15	Weiss 3, 7.8 cm	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	4 years disease-free
16	Weiss 2, 2.9 cm, cortisol production	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	4 years disease-free, discharged from follow-up
17	Weiss 3, cortisol production	No fresh frozen material	Watchful waiting	4 years disease-free

Table 1. Disease history of cases.

ACC: adrenocortical carcinoma. EDP: etoposide, doxorubicin, cisplatin chemotherapy. PET: positron-emission tomography. RFA: radiofrequency ablation. RT: radiotherapy. IGF2 methylation score = mean SDS (*CFTC3*, *DMR2* and *H19*); <1.28 adrenocortical adenoma (ACA); >3.18 adrenocortical carcinoma (ACC); 1.28–3.18 unknown.

To explore whether FFPE tissue is suitable for determining the *IGF2* methylation score, we selected three patients with ACC. Each patient had stored FFPE tissue and matched fresh frozen tissue. DNA from fresh frozen tissue (1 section of 10 μ m) was isolated using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). DNA from FFPE tissue (1–3 sections of 10 μ m) was isolated using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue procedure (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's protocol. The isolated genomic DNA was sufficient for our downstream applications with a median concentration of 149 ng/ μ L (range 80–418 ng/ μ L) and a median 260/280 nm ratio of 1.84 (range 1.7–1.9). The DNA yield was optimal in our sample when using 2 sections of 10 μ m FFPE tissue.

The isolated genomic DNA (1 μ g) was converted with sodium bisulfite using the EpiTect Plus DNA Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. PCR amplification (FastStart High Fidelity PCR System kit, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and pyrosequencing (PyroGold SQA reagent kit, Qiagen, on the Pyromark Q24 system) of the bisulfite converted DNA were performed as previously described using a predefined pyrosequencing assay of CpGs involved in expression of IGF2 (CTCF3 CpG 5–7, DMR2 CpG 2–4 and H19 CpG 1–3) [11]. This step was repeated six times with three different amounts of bisulfite-converted DNA (5 ng, 20 ng, and 40 ng, measured in two independent assays) to evaluate the failure rate and replicability of the assay and the correlation between FFPE and fresh frozen tissues. Pyrosequencing was considered a failure (not evaluable) when peaks were below or equal to H₂O control. Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS version 26 and GraphPad Prism 8.2.1. Replicability and correlation were assessed using Spearman's correlation coefficient (rho) because of non-parametric data.

3. Results

3.1. Case Series of ACT with Unclear Malignant Potential

We selected 17 patients with an unclear ACT, diagnosed between 2000 and 2016. All patients had localized disease with a Weiss score between 1 and 3 upon initial diagnosis (Table 1). The diagnosis was unclear for varying reasons, such as size, a positive PET-CT scan indicating high metabolic activity, hormone production, another classification system, and minor invasive behavior or necrosis.

During follow-up, six patients (cases 6, 7, 9, 12, 13, 14) developed recurrent disease or metastasis. In four patients, this occurred within 5 years. Two patients (cases 13, 14) had already been discharged from the follow-up and presented 7 and 17 years after diagnosis with physical complaints caused by pulmonary metastasis and local recurrence, respectively. Four patients (cases 6, 7, 9, 14) eventually died with metastases, and one patient (case 8) died from a cause unrelated to ACT. Five patients (cases 1, 7, 8, 10, 11) in our series received adjuvant mitotane therapy. Among these patients, one (case 7) developed metastatic disease within a year. This patient died from metastatic disease 4 years after initial diagnosis. The other four patients remained disease-free, with the follow-up ranging from 4 to 10 years.

We aimed to validate the use of the *IGF2* methylation score in our case series with unclear ACT. In six patients, the *IGF2* methylation score had previously been determined in stored fresh frozen tissue [11,12]. Five patients were classified as ACC and one as unclear according to the *IGF2* methylation score. Based on the score, one patient (case 1) was treated with adjuvant mitotane therapy and has been free of disease for 4 years. One patient (case 6) died with metastases 2 years after the diagnosis without adjuvant mitotane therapy. In this case, the *IGF2* methylation score was only determined after the development of metastasis. In hindsight, the addition of the *IGF2* methylation score at the time of diagnosis might have been beneficial in the decision-making process for this patient. The other four patients are currently free from recurrence or metastasis (range of follow-up: 2–8 years).

Based on these six patients alone, we cannot conclude whether the addition of the *IGF2* methylation score has a valuable role in the diagnosis of unclear ACT. Unfortunately, there was no fresh frozen tissue available from other patients. We, therefore, explored whether FFPE tissue is suitable for determining the IGF2 methylation score in these patients.

3.2. Feasibility of Using FFPE Tissue

We measured the methylation of *IGF2* regulatory regions *CTCF3*, *DMR2*, and *H19* in matched fresh frozen and FFPE tissues of three patients with ACC (Table S1). We discovered that the pyrosequencing failure rate was high in the FFPE tissues (10/54 FFPE assays; 0/54 fresh frozen assays). Nine of these failures occurred when using 5 ng and 20 ng DNA extracted from FFPE tissue, while the 40 ng assays only had one failure. None of the assays using fresh frozen tissue, including 5 ng DNA, showed any problems.

Because of the high failure rate in FFPE-extracted DNA, replication of methylation percentages using the same amount of DNA could not be achieved for most assays. Only the DMR2 assays were completed in FFPE and fresh frozen tissues of all three patients without failures. In these assays, the replication in FFPE was poor-to-moderate (Spearman's rho = -0.09-0.85) with a median variation of 7.7% in both directions (range 1–35%) (Figure 1). In contrast, replication of the methylation percentages from fresh frozen extracted DNA was very good across all assays (Spearman's rho = 0.89-0.99) with low variation (median variation 1.6%, range 0–11%) (Figures 1 and S1).



Figure 1. Replication of methylation percentage in FFPE and fresh frozen tissue. Spearman's correlation (rho) of methylation percentages within formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue replications (upper row) and fresh frozen tissue replications (bottom row). Pyrosequencing was performed using primers for *DMR2* CpG 2–4 in 5 ng, 20 ng, and 40 ng bisulfite-converted DNA, measured in two independent assays with the same concentrations of DNA. (1) first assay; (2) second assay. ns: not significant, *p* > 0.05. Reference line represents perfect replication.

We then compared the methylation percentages between the FFPE-extracted DNA to the matched fresh frozen tissues in the completed *DMR2* assays. We found the DNA methylation percentages from FFPE-extracted DNA to be poorly to moderately correlated to the percentages from fresh frozen tissues (Spearman's rho = -0.28-0.70). The median variation between the methylation percentages found in FFPE and fresh frozen tissues was 13.2% in both directions (range 1–40%) (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Correlation of methylation percentage in FFPE and fresh frozen tissue. Spearman's correlation (rho) of methylation percentages between formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue and fresh frozen tissue. Pyrosequencing was performed using primers for DMR2 CpG 2–4 in 5 ng, 20 ng, and 40 ng bisulfite-converted DNA, measured in two independent with the same concentrations of DNA assays. (1) first assay; (2) second assay. ns: not significant, p > 0.05. Reference line represents perfect replication.

4. Discussion

The determination of malignancy in ACT is not always clear, which can lead to difficult follow-up and treatment decisions. The current guidelines lack recommendations for the follow-up duration of patients with unclear malignant ACT [1,3]. Patients with ACA are discharged from follow-up after surgery, while patients with ACC are followed for at least 5 years, with a median time to recurrence of 11 months [14]. Many patients with unclear ACT will remain disease-free, and a long follow-up might result in unnecessary anxiety and costs [15,16]. However, our case series of 17 patients with unclear malignant ACT included four patients with recurrence within 5 years and two with late recurrence, suggesting that early discharge might result in underdiagnosis. Four patients eventually died from metastasis. In patients with proven ACC, adjuvant mitotane treatment is recommended in patients after radical surgery with a perceived high risk of recurrence (ENSAT stage III, R1 resection or Ki67-index > 10%). Adjuvant mitotane is, however, not recommended for unclear ACT [1]. Our case series included five patients with adjuvant mitotane therapy, of whom only one developed metastasis. Even though the series is small, these cases do suggest that watchful waiting might not always be the best option for patients with an unclear ACT. Some patients might benefit from adjuvant mitotane therapy; however, this decision is solely based on clinical judgment and patient preference. There is an unmet need for a more objective measure of malignancy and prognosis in patients with an unclear ACT to better guide the follow-up and treatment decisions.

The *IGF2* methylation score can help predict malignancy [11,12]. However, this has not yet been confirmed in a larger series of patients with unclear malignant ACT. We aimed to validate the use of the *IGF2* methylation score in our case series. Since only six patients had available fresh frozen tissue, and no conclusion could be drawn from their data alone, we determined the feasibility of using FFPE tissue in the other 11 patients.

Unfortunately, we found a high pyrosequencing failure rate when using FFPE tissue (18.5%) and no failures when using fresh frozen tissue. Replication and correlation could, therefore, not be determined in most assays. Even in the completed *DMR2* assays, replicability in FFPE tissue was poor-to-moderate, while replicability in fresh frozen tissue was very good. These assays only had a poor-to-moderate correlation between *IGF2* methylation patterns in FFPE tissue and fresh frozen tissue of the same patients, and variations between the measurements were high in both directions. FFPE tissue is, therefore, not suitable for the determination of the *IGF2* methylation score in patients with unclear malignant ACT using the currently used laboratory method.

There have been several studies comparing the results of pyrosequencing methylation assays between FFPE and frozen tissue with varying results. Some studies show a promising correlation [17–24]. However, upon closer examination of the results, many studies experience high failure rates when using FFPE tissue [23–27], and both random and non-random deviations from the methylation percentage were found in frozen tissue [19–22,25–29]. Similar articles using other methods for methylation determination, such as Infinium Beadchip, Methylight, or methylation-specific PCR, show the same problems and limitations. Some studies find a good correlation between FFPE and frozen tissues [28–33], while others experience problems with failure rates [27,28,34–36] and poor correlation [28,29,36,37].

A possible explanation for the higher failure rate in FFPE tissue and the lack of replicability and correlation can be found in the degradation of DNA during storage [38]. DNA isolated from FFPE tissue can be of poor quality with high rates of fragmentation related to storage time and fixation protocol [39]. Compared to other methods for DNA isolation from FFPE tissues, the QIAmp method used in our study has a higher DNA yield in terms of quantity, quality, and PCR performance [40–42]. However, DNA in FFPE tissue already shows significant fragmentation before isolation. This fragmentation can lead to less successful PCR amplification and consecutive pyrosequencing failure, which we observed in our analysis. The use of short amplicons of less than 500 base pairs has, therefore, been recommended for analyzing DNA from FFPE tissue. Even though the amplicons in our study were less than 150 base pairs, we still experienced 18.5% pyrosequencing failure in the FFPE samples and no failures in the fresh frozen samples.

A second hypothesis is that the fresh frozen and FFPE tissue came from different parts of the same tumors. ACT have shown heterogeneity within one tumor [43]. We would expect this to impact the correlation between the results from FFPE tissue and fresh frozen tissue. However, we also found poor-to-moderate replicability when retesting the FFPE tissue, while the fresh frozen tissue resulted in near-perfect replication. This suggests another mechanism also causing random error, not only a systematic error due to heterogeneity.

A possible explanation for this random error is the introduction of DNA modifications during formalin fixation. These formaldehyde modifications can cause random errors during the bisulfite conversion [25]. Firstly, formalin fixation causes interstrand cross-linking resulting in incomplete conversion of unmethylated cytosines [22]. Secondly, mutational artifacts are introduced by hydrolytic deamination of methylated cytosines to thymines and unmethylated cytosines to uracil [44,45]. Methods for the removal of formalin modifications have been proposed over the years and applied in the QIAmp method for DNA isolation [22,45]. However, we still found more variation in the methylation levels measured in the FFPE tissue than in the fresh frozen tissue, suggesting random errors introduced in the analysis. The current method did not include a DNA-restauration step before Pyrosequencing. Further research should determine whether including this step in the process eliminates errors caused by formalin-fixation.

The *IGF2* methylation score is assessed in two independent cohorts to distinct ACA from ACC in fresh frozen tissue [11,12]. This can help to determine the duration of followup and whether or not to start adjuvant mitotane treatment. Ideally, we would like to validate this result for diagnostic use in patients with unclear malignant ACT. However, since stored FFPE tissues are not suitable, a prospective study should be performed with the collection of fresh frozen biobank material. The current guideline recommends operating on patients with suspected ACC in specialized adrenal centers [1]. Besides the higher chance of survival, these centers also have the capacity to handle and store fresh frozen tumor tissue [46]. We, therefore, recommend also operating on patients with unclear malignancy based on imaging in these specialized centers. In case of an unclear pathology result, a section of the fresh frozen tissue can then be sent to a central laboratory to perform the *IGF2* methylation analyses and determine the likelihood of malignancy. As an added advantage, these tissues can be stored in biobanks to facilitate future validation of the *IGF2* methylation score in unclear ACT and other research initiatives.

5. Conclusions

A subset of patients with unclear malignant ACT develops a recurrent adrenal tumor or metastases during (long-term) follow-up. Currently, there are no guidelines on how to monitor these patients and when to add adjuvant mitotane treatment. The *IGF2* methylation score is a candidate biomarker for prognosis in patients with ACT with unclear malignant potential and might aid follow-up and treatment decisions. Unfortunately, FFPE tissue is not suitable for determining the *IGF2* methylation score using the currently used method. We, therefore, recommend performing surgical resection of unclear ACT in specialized centers with the capacity for fresh frozen storage of pathological material for diagnostic and biobank purposes.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/biomedicines11072013/s1, Figure S1: Replication of methylation percentage in fresh frozen tissue *CTCF3*, *DMR2*, and *H19*; Table S1: Methylation percentage and *IGF2* methylation score of FFPE and fresh frozen tissues.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.V.S., R.A.F. and L.J.H.; methodology, R.V.S., R.A.F., F.D., P.M.v.K., S.G.C. and L.J.H.; formal analysis, R.V.S., F.D., P.M.v.K. and L.J.H.; resources, L.J.H.; data curation, R.V.S., R.A.F., F.D., P.M.v.K., S.G.C., M.H.T.E., F.J.v.K., H.R.H. and L.J.H.; writing—original draft preparation, R.V.S.; writing—review and editing, R.V.S., R.A.F., F.D., P.M.v.K., S.G.C., M.H.T.E., F.J.v.K., H.R.H. and L.J.H.; writing—original draft preparation, R.V.S.; writing—review and editing, R.V.S., R.A.F., F.D., P.M.v.K., S.G.C., M.H.T.E., F.J.v.K., H.R.H. and L.J.H.; visualization, R.V.S.; supervision, R.A.F., H.R.H. and L.J.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: This study was conducted under the guidelines that had been approved by the Medical Ethics Committees of the Erasmus Medical Center and Máxima MC (N11.27).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available in Supplement Table S1.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Fassnacht, M.; Dekkers, O.; Else, T.; Baudin, E.; Berruti, A.; de Krijger, R.R.; Haak, H.R.; Mihai, R.; Assie, G.; Terzolo, M. European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Management of Adrenocortical Carcinoma in Adults, in Collaboration with the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2018, 179, G1–G46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fassnacht, M.; Assie, G.; Baudin, E.; Eisenhofer, G.; de la Fouchardiere, C.; Haak, H.R.; de Krijger, R.; Porpiglia, F.; Terzolo, M.; Berruti, A.; et al. Adrenocortical Carcinomas and Malignant Phaeochromocytomas: ESMO-EURACAN Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment and Follow-Up. Ann. Oncol. 2020, 31, 1476–1490. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Fassnacht, M.; Arlt, W.; Bancos, I.; Dralle, H.; Newell-Price, J.; Sahdev, A.; Tabarin, A.; Terzolo, M.; Tsagarakis, S.; Dekkers, O.M. Management of Adrenal Incidentalomas: European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline in Collaboration with the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* **2016**, 175, G1–G34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dinnes, J.; Bancos, I.; Ferrante di Ruffano, L.; Chortis, V.; Davenport, C.; Bayliss, S.; Sahdev, A.; Guest, P.; Fassnacht, M.; Deeks, J.J.; et al. MANAGEMENT OF ENDOCRINE DISEASE: Imaging for the Diagnosis of Malignancy in Incidentally Discovered Adrenal Masses: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2016, 175, 51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Petersenn, S.; Richter, P.A.; Broemel, T.; Ritter, C.O.; Deutschbein, T.; Beil, F.U.; Allolio, B.; Fassnacht, M.; German ACC Study Group. Computed Tomography Criteria for Discrimination of Adrenal Adenomas and Adrenocortical Carcinomas: Analysis of the German ACC Registry. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2015, 172, 415–422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bancos, I.; Taylor, A.E.; Chortis, V.; Sitch, A.J.; Jenkinson, C.; Davidge-Pitts, C.J.; Lang, K.; Tsagarakis, S.; Macech, M.; Riester, A.; et al. Urine Steroid Metabolomics for the Differential Diagnosis of Adrenal Incidentalomas in the EURINE-ACT Study: A Prospective Test Validation Study. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2020, *8*, 773–781. [CrossRef]
- 7. Tissier, F.; Aubert, S.; Leteurtre, E.; Al Ghuzlan, A.; Patey, M.; Decaussin, M.; Doucet, L.; Gobet, F.; Hoang, C.; Mazerolles, C.; et al. Adrenocortical Tumors: Improving the Practice of the Weiss System through Virtual Microscopy: A National Program of the French Network INCa-COMETE. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 2012, 36, 1194–1201. [CrossRef]
- 8. Jouinot, A.; Bertherat, J. MANAGEMENT OF ENDOCRINE DISEASE: Adrenocortical Carcinoma: Differentiating the Good from the Poor Prognosis Tumors. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2018, *178*, R215–R230. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lippert, J.; Fassnacht, M.; Ronchi, C.L. The Role of Molecular Profiling in Adrenocortical Carcinoma. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 2022, 97, 460–472. [CrossRef]
- Tabano, S.; Colapietro, P.; Cetin, I.; Grati, F.R.; Zanutto, S.; Mando, C.; Antonazzo, P.; Pileri, P.; Rossella, F.; Larizza, L.; et al. Epigenetic Modulation of the IGF2/H19 Imprinted Domain in Human Embryonic and Extra-Embryonic Compartments and its Possible Role in Fetal Growth Restriction. *Epigenetics* 2010, *5*, 313–324. [CrossRef]
- Creemers, S.G.; van Koetsveld, P.M.; van Kemenade, F.J.; Papathomas, T.G.; Franssen, G.J.; Dogan, F.; Eekhoff, E.M.; van der Valk, P.; de Herder, W.W.; Janssen, J.A.; et al. Methylation of *IGF2* Regulatory Regions to Diagnose Adrenocortical Carcinomas. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2016, 23, 727–737. [CrossRef]
- Creemers, S.G.; Feelders, R.A.; Valdes, N.; Ronchi, C.L.; Volante, M.; van Hemel, B.M.; Luconi, M.; Ettaieb, M.H.T.; Mannelli, M.; Chiara, M.D.; et al. The *IGF2* Methylation Score for Adrenocortical Cancer: An ENSAT Validation Study. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2020, 27, 541–550. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ettaieb, M.H.; Duker, J.C.; Feelders, R.A.; Corssmit, E.P.; Menke-van der Houven van Oordt, C.W.; Timmers, H.J.; Kerstens, M.N.; Wilmink, J.W.; Zelissen, P.M.; Havekes, B.; et al. Synchronous Vs. Metachronous Metastases in Adrenocortical Carcinoma: An Analysis of the Dutch Adrenal Network. *Horm. Cancer* **2016**, *7*, 336–344. [CrossRef]
- 14. Glenn, J.A.; Else, T.; Hughes, D.T.; Cohen, M.S.; Jolly, S.; Giordano, T.J.; Worden, F.P.; Gauger, P.G.; Hammer, G.D.; Miller, B.S. Longitudinal Patterns of Recurrence in Patients with Adrenocortical Carcinoma. *Surgery* **2019**, *165*, 186–195. [CrossRef]
- Gicquel, C.; Bertagna, X.; Gaston, V.; Coste, J.; Louvel, A.; Baudin, E.; Bertherat, J.; Chapuis, Y.; Duclos, J.M.; Schlumberger, M.; et al. Molecular Markers and Long-Term Recurrences in a Large Cohort of Patients with Sporadic Adrenocortical Tumors. *Cancer Res.* 2001, 61, 6762–6767.
- 16. Muth, A.; Taft, C.; Hammarstedt, L.; Bjorneld, L.; Hellstrom, M.; Wangberg, B. Patient-Reported Impacts of a Conservative Management Programme for the Clinically Inapparent Adrenal Mass. *Endocrine* **2013**, *44*, 228–236. [CrossRef]
- 17. Wu, J.; Salva, K.A.; Stutz, N.; Longley, B.J.; Spiegelman, V.S.; Wood, G.S. Quantitative Gene Analysis of Methylation and Expression (Q-GAME) in Fresh Or Fixed Cells and Tissues. *Exp. Dermatol.* **2014**, *23*, 304–309. [CrossRef]
- 18. Leong, K.J.; James, J.; Wen, K.; Taniere, P.; Morton, D.G.; Bach, S.P.; Matthews, G.M. Impact of Tissue Processing, Archiving and Enrichment Techniques on DNA Methylation Yield in Rectal Carcinoma. *Exp. Mol. Pathol.* **2013**, *95*, 343–349. [CrossRef]
- Jeschke, J.; Bizet, M.; Desmedt, C.; Calonne, E.; Dedeurwaerder, S.; Garaud, S.; Koch, A.; Larsimont, D.; Salgado, R.; Van den Eynden, G.; et al. DNA Methylation-Based Immune Response Signature Improves Patient Diagnosis in Multiple Cancers. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2017, 127, 3090–3102. [CrossRef]
- 20. Song, Y.S.; Kim, Y.; Cho, N.Y.; Yang, H.K.; Kim, W.H.; Kang, G.H. Methylation Status of Long Interspersed Element-1 in Advanced Gastric Cancer and its Prognostic Implication. *Gastric Cancer* **2016**, *19*, 98–106. [CrossRef]
- Min, J.; Choi, B.; Han, T.S.; Lee, H.J.; Kong, S.H.; Suh, Y.S.; Kim, T.H.; Choe, H.N.; Kim, W.H.; Hur, K.; et al. Methylation Levels of *LINE-1* as a Useful Marker for Venous Invasion in both FFPE and Frozen Tumor Tissues of Gastric Cancer. *Mol. Cells* 2017, 40, 346–354. [PubMed]
- Wen, X.; Jeong, S.; Kim, Y.; Bae, J.M.; Cho, N.Y.; Kim, J.H.; Kang, G.H. Improved Results of *LINE-1* Methylation Analysis in Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Tissues with the Application of a Heating Step during the DNA Extraction Process. *Clin. Epigenetics* 2017, 9, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Quillien, V.; Lavenu, A.; Ducray, F.; Joly, M.O.; Chinot, O.; Fina, F.; Sanson, M.; Carpentier, C.; Karayan-Tapon, L.; Rivet, P.; et al. Validation of the High-Performance of Pyrosequencing for Clinical *MGMT* Testing on a Cohort of Glioblastoma Patients from a Prospective Dedicated Multicentric Trial. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 61916–61929. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Mikeska, T.; Bock, C.; El-Maarri, O.; Hubner, A.; Ehrentraut, D.; Schramm, J.; Felsberg, J.; Kahl, P.; Buttner, R.; Pietsch, T.; et al. Optimization of Quantitative *MGMT* Promoter Methylation Analysis using Pyrosequencing and Combined Bisulfite Restriction Analysis. *J. Mol. Diagn.* **2007**, *9*, 368–381. [CrossRef]
- 25. Tournier, B.; Chapusot, C.; Courcet, E.; Martin, L.; Lepage, C.; Faivre, J.; Piard, F. Why do Results Conflict regarding the Prognostic Value of the Methylation Status in Colon Cancers? the Role of the Preservation Method. *BMC Cancer* **2012**, *12*, 12. [CrossRef]
- Lattanzio, L.; Borgognone, M.; Mocellini, C.; Giordano, F.; Favata, E.; Fasano, G.; Vivenza, D.; Monteverde, M.; Tonissi, F.; Ghiglia, A.; et al. MGMT Promoter Methylation and Glioblastoma: A Comparison of Analytical Methods and of Tumor Specimens. *Int. J. Biol. Markers* 2015, *30*, 208. [CrossRef]

- Bak, S.T.; Staunstrup, N.H.; Starnawska, A.; Daugaard, T.F.; Nyengaard, J.R.; Nyegaard, M.; Borglum, A.; Mors, O.; Dorph-Petersen, K.A.; Nielsen, A.L. Evaluating the Feasibility of DNA Methylation Analyses using Long-Term Archived Brain Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Samples. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 2018, 55, 668–681. [CrossRef]
- Reuter, C.; Preece, M.; Banwait, R.; Boer, S.; Cuzick, J.; Lorincz, A.; Nedjai, B. Consistency of the S5 DNA Methylation Classifier in Formalin-Fixed Biopsies Versus Corresponding Exfoliated Cells for the Detection of Pre-Cancerous Cervical Lesions. *Cancer Med.* 2021, 10, 2668–2679. [CrossRef]
- Yu, C.; Dugue, P.; Dowty, J.G.; Hammet, F.; Joo, J.E.; Wong, E.M.; Hosseinpour, M.; Giles, G.G.; Hopper, J.L.; Nguyen-Dumont, T.; et al. Repeatability of Methylation Measures using a QIAseq Targeted Methyl Panel and Comparison with the Illumina HumanMethylation450 Assay. *BMC Res. Notes* 2021, 14, 394. [CrossRef]
- 30. de Ruijter, T.C.; de Hoon, J.P.; Slaats, J.; de Vries, B.; Janssen, M.J.; van Wezel, T.; Aarts, M.J.; van Engeland, M.; Tjan-Heijnen, V.C.; Van Neste, L.; et al. Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded (FFPE) Tissue Epigenomics using Infinium HumanMethylation450 BeadChip Assays. *Lab. Investig.* **2015**, *95*, 833–842. [CrossRef]
- Ohara, K.; Arai, E.; Takahashi, Y.; Fukamachi, Y.; Ito, N.; Maeshima, A.M.; Fujimoto, H.; Yoshida, T.; Kanai, Y. Feasibility of Methylome Analysis using Small Amounts of Genomic DNA from Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue. *Pathol. Int.* 2018, 68, 633–635. [CrossRef]
- 32. Kerachian, M.A.; Javadmanesh, A.; Azghandi, M.; Mojtabanezhad Shariatpanahi, A.; Yassi, M.; Shams Davodly, E.; Talebi, A.; Khadangi, F.; Soltani, G.; Hayatbakhsh, A.; et al. Crosstalk between DNA Methylation and Gene Expression in Colorectal Cancer, a Potential Plasma Biomarker for Tracing this Tumor. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 2813. [CrossRef]
- Yokoyama, S.; Iwaya, H.; Akahane, T.; Hamada, T.; Higashi, M.; Hashimoto, S.; Tanoue, S.; Ohtsuka, T.; Ido, A.; Tanimoto, A. Sequential Evaluation of MUC Promoter Methylation using Next-Generation Sequencing-Based Custom-made Panels in Liquid-Based Cytology Specimens of Pancreatic Cancer. *Diagn. Cytopathol.* 2022, *50*, 499–507. [CrossRef]
- Espinal, A.C.; Wang, D.; Yan, L.; Liu, S.; Tang, L.; Hu, Q.; Morrison, C.D.; Ambrosone, C.B.; Higgins, M.J.; Sucheston-Campbell, L.E. A Methodological Study of Genome-Wide DNA Methylation Analyses using Matched Archival Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded and Fresh Frozen Breast Tumors. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 14821–14829. [CrossRef]
- Moran, B.; Das, S.; Smeets, D.; Peutman, G.; Klinger, R.; Fender, B.; Connor, K.; Ebert, M.; Gaiser, T.; Prehn, J.H.; et al. Assessment of Concordance between Fresh-Frozen and Formalin-Fixed Paraffin Embedded Tumor DNA Methylation using a Targeted Sequencing Approach. Oncotarget 2017, 8, 48126–48137. [CrossRef]
- 36. Jasmine, F.; Rahaman, R.; Roy, S.; Raza, M.; Paul, R.; Rakibuz-Zaman, M.; Paul-Brutus, R.; Dodsworth, C.; Kamal, M.; Ahsan, H.; et al. Interpretation of Genome-Wide Infinium Methylation Data from Ligated DNA in Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Paired Tumor and Normal Tissue. BMC Res. Notes 2012, 5, 117. [CrossRef]
- Hamilton, M.G.; Roldan, G.; Magliocco, A.; McIntyre, J.B.; Parney, I.; Easaw, J.C. Determination of the Methylation Status of MGMT in Different Regions within Glioblastoma Multiforme. J. Neurooncol. 2011, 102, 255–260. [CrossRef]
- Garcia-Gimenez, J.L.; Mena-Molla, S.; Beltran-Garcia, J.; Sanchis-Gomar, F. Challenges in the Analysis of Epigenetic Biomarkers in Clinical Samples. *Clin. Chem. Lab. Med.* 2017, 55, 1474–1477. [CrossRef]
- 39. Srinivasan, M.; Sedmak, D.; Jewell, S. Effect of Fixatives and Tissue Processing on the Content and Integrity of Nucleic Acids. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2002**, *161*, 1961–1971. [CrossRef]
- Senguven, B.; Baris, E.; Oygur, T.; Berktas, M. Comparison of Methods for the Extraction of DNA from Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Archival Tissues. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* 2014, 11, 494–499. [CrossRef]
- Potluri, K.; Mahas, A.; Kent, M.N.; Naik, S.; Markey, M. Genomic DNA Extraction Methods using Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded Tissue. *Anal. Biochem.* 2015, 486, 17–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Carlsson, J.; Davidsson, S.; Fridfeldt, J.; Giunchi, F.; Fiano, V.; Grasso, C.; Zelic, R.; Richiardi, L.; Andren, O.; Pettersson, A.; et al. Quantity and Quality of Nucleic Acids Extracted from Archival Formalin Fixed Paraffin Embedded Prostate Biopsies. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* 2018, *18*, 161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Duregon, E.; Volante, M.; Rapa, I.; Vatrano, S.; Papotti, M. Dissecting Morphological and Molecular Heterogeneity in Adrenocortical Carcinoma. *Turk. Patoloji Derg.* 2015, 31 (Suppl. S1), 98–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wong, S.Q.; Li, J.; Tan, A.Y.; Vedururu, R.; Pang, J.M.; Do, H.; Ellul, J.; Doig, K.; Bell, A.; MacArthur, G.A.; et al. Sequence Artefacts in a Prospective Series of Formalin-Fixed Tumours Tested for Mutations in Hotspot Regions by Massively Parallel Sequencing. BMC Med. Genom. 2014, 7, 23. [CrossRef]
- Do, H.; Dobrovic, A. Sequence Artifacts in DNA from Formalin-Fixed Tissues: Causes and Strategies for Minimization. *Clin. Chem.* 2015, 61, 64–71. [CrossRef]
- Kerkhofs, T.M.; Verhoeven, R.H.; Bonjer, H.J.; van Dijkum, E.J.; Vriens, M.R.; De Vries, J.; Van Eijck, C.H.; Bonsing, B.A.; Van de Poll-Franse, L.V.; Haak, H.R.; et al. Surgery for Adrenocortical Carcinoma in the Netherlands: Analysis of the National Cancer Registry Data. *Eur. J. Endocrinol.* 2013, 169, 83–89. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.