



2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

Review

MESENCHYMAL STEM CELLS IN THE PATHOGENESIS AND THERAPY OF AUTOIMMUNE AND AUTOIN-FLAMMATORY DISEASES

Lina N. Zaripova^{1,2} 0000-0001-8728-0225, Angela Midgley³, Stephen E. Christmas⁴, Michael W. Beresford^{3,5}, Clare Pain^{3,5}, Eileen M. Baildam⁶, Rachel A. Oldershaw² 0000-0001-8478-599x

- ¹ Institute of Fundamental and Applied Medicine, National Scientific Medical Centre, 42 Abylai Khan Avenue, Astana, 010000, Kazakhstan; zaripova lina@list.ru
- Department of Musculoskeletal and Ageing Science, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, William Henry Duncan Building, 6 West Derby Street, Liverpool, L7 8TX, United Kingdom.; zaripova lina@list.ru, rachel.oldershaw@liverpool.ac.uk
- Department of Women and Children's Health, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, University of Liverpool, Institute in the Park, Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, L14 5AB, United Kingdom; a.midgley1@salford.ac.uk, M.W.Beresford@liverpool.ac.uk, clare.pain@alderhey.nhs.uk
- Department of Clinical Infection, Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Infection and Global Health, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, The Ronald Ross Building, 8 West Derby Street, Liverpool, L69 7BE, United Kingdom.; sechris@liverpool.ac.uk
- Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust, East Prescott Road, Liverpool, L14 5AB, United Kingdom; M.W.Beresford@liverpool.ac.uk clare.pain@alderhey.nhs.uk
- Department of Paediatric Rheumatology, The Alexandra Hospital, Mill Lane, Cheadle, SK82PX United Kingdom; aetab@btinternet.com
- * Correspondence: rachel.oldershaw@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) modulate immune responses and maintain self-tolerance. 25 Their trophic activities and regenerative properties make them potential immunosuppressants for 26 treating autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases. MSCs are drawn to sites of injury and inflam-27 mation, where they can both reduce inflammation and contribute to tissue regeneration. An in-28 creased understanding of the role of MSCs in the development and progression of autoimmune 29 disorders has revealed that MSCs are passive targets in the inflammatory process, becoming im-30 paired by it and exhibiting loss of immunomodulatory activity. MSCs have been considered as po-31 tential novel cell therapies for severe autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases that at present 32 have only disease modifying rather than curative treatment options. MSCs are emerging as potential 33 therapies for severe autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases. Clinical application of MSCs in 34 rare cases of severe disease in which other existing treatment modalities have failed, have demon-35 strated potential use in treating multiple diseases, including rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lupus 36 erythematosus, myocardial infarction, liver cirrhosis, spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, and 37 COVID-19 pneumonia. This review explores the biological mechanisms behind MSCs' role in auto-38 immune diseases. It also covers their immunomodulatory capabilities, potential therapeutic appli-39 cations, and the challenges and risks associated with MSC therapy. 40

Keywords: Mesenchymal stem cells, immunogenicity, immunomodulation, mesenchymal stem cell 41 dysfunction, mesenchymal stem cell transplantation, autoimmune, autoinflammatory, autologous 42 mesenchymal stem cells, allogeneic stem cells 43



Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

Citation: To be added by editorial

Academic Editor: Firstname Last-

staff during production.

name

(cc)

Received: date

Revised: date

Accepted: date

Published: date

 $(\mathbf{\hat{I}})$

1. Introduction

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent progenitor stromal cells that self-46 renew and differentiate toward multiple mesenchymal cell lineages [1]. With the rapid 47 expansion of research into tissue-specific stem/ progenitor populations, in 2006 the Inter-48

17

44

national Society for Cellular Therapy (ISCT) defined the minimal criteria for MSC charac-49 terisation to include: 1) adherence to tissue culture plastic and fibroblastic morphology, 2) 50 positive/ negative expression of panels of surface antigens, 3) multi-lineage differentiation 51 toward chondrogenic, adipogenic and osteogenic cell lineages. The establishment of in-52 ternationally recognised and standardised criteria for determining what is an MSC popu-53 lation has been fundamental to advancing their role in biomedical research. Identification 54 of MSC phenotype markers and characterisation of their multipotency has led to opti-55 mised methods for their isolation and culture from rare populations within tissues. Meas-56 urements of phenotype and function provide biological context of tissue-specific differ-57 ences exhibited between MSC populations and the changes that occur in response to phys-58 iological and pathophysiological stimuli. Standardisation of criteria also facilitates the 59 characterisation of MSCs as they undergo bioprocessing protocols in the manufacture of 60 cell-based therapeutics. 61

MSCs have been successfully isolated from almost all post-natal mesodermal tis-62 sues, including bone marrow (BM), umbilical cord (UC), adipose tissue (AT), amniotic 63 fluid (AF), placenta, dental tissue, synovial membrane and peripheral blood. Tissue-de-64 pendent differences in cell surface antigen expression are indicative of variation in cell 65 migration and cell-homing potential, with reported intra- and inter-tissue functional het-66 erogeneity between MSC clones highlighting the need for further understanding the biol-67 ogy of MSCs and how they can be effectively used in developing cell-based therapeutics 68 [2]. BM is arguably the most researched tissue source as a result of the seminal work of 69 Friedenstein and colleagues, which demonstrated that a subpopulation of BM cells, con-70 stituting 0.001-0.01% of the total cell number within the tissue [3], was able to undergo 71 osteogenic differentiation and form osseous tissue following heterotrophic transplanta-72 tion [4, 5]. Provided with appropriate stimuli, MSCs have potential for differentiation to-73 ward multiple specialised cell lineages of mesenchymal origin, including chondrocytes, 74 osteocytes, tenocytes, ligamentocytes and myocytes [6]. Differentiation to non-mesoder-75 mal cell lineages has been reported with examples of hepatocytes, epithelial cells, alveolar 76 cells, astrocytes, neural precursors and mature neurons, demonstrating the putative plas-77 ticity of MSC in endogenous tissue repair. The intrinsic properties of self-renewal and 78 multipotent differentiation is fundamental to their importance in developing advanced 79 regenerative medicines strategies, where optimised bioprocessing protocols for ex vivo ex-80 pansion in culture prior to directed differentiation to a functional, specialised cell lineage, 81 can be engaged to manufacture autologous and allogeneic products that repair and regen-82 erate tissues that have been damaged by injury or disease (Figure 2) [6]. 83



Figure 2. Summary of the mesenchymal stem cell lineage differentiation. MSCs demonstrate multipotent differentiation to cells of mesodermal origin: osteogenic, adipogenic and chondrogenic pathways; but also evidence of ectodermal germ (neural, epithelial) and endodermal origin such as alveolar cells, gut epithelial cells and hepatocytes. BM, bone marrow. Created with BioRender.com.

2. Migratory Response of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

The migratory response of MSCs is critical to their function, being recruited in from 94 peripheral blood and homing into the site of damaged tissue in response to biochmical 95 cues, where that can moderate inflammatory and immune cell activity and begin to effect 96 repair [7]. MSC migration and homing to sites of tissue injury is regulated by chemokines, 97 cytokines, and growth factors. It is dependent on the expression of homing receptors and 98 activation of integrins that promote adhesion of MSCs to extracellular matrix proteins. 99 MSCs express a wide range of chemokine receptors including CXCR3, CXCR4, and CCR5, 100 which are involved in the recruitment of MSCs from the bone marrow to the peripheral 101 circulation prior to their migration to the site of injury [8]. The chemokine stromal cell-102 derived factor-1 (SDF1, known also as CXCL12) is critical for stem/progenitor and 103 mesenchymal cell chemotaxis and organ-specific homing in injured tissue through 104 interaction with its cognate receptor CXCR4 on the surface of these cells [9]. CXCR4 is 105 highly expressed by freshly isolated BM-MSCs from young adults but becomes reduced 106 with ageing of endogenous tissues and *in vitro* ageing as the cells are repeatedly passaged 107 in culture, therefore limiting their ability to respond to homing signals and hence their 108 regenerative capability [8]. Senescnce of MSCs has significant consequences on the 109 biology of MSCs, including their self-renewal and proliferative capacity, as well as effector 110

85

86

87

88

89

90 91

functions, including immunomodulation, and cell lineage differentiation and 111 specialisation. CXCR4 gene deletion in young-donor MSCs was associated with the 112 increased production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and subsequent DNA damage and 113 replicative senescence, which is characteristic of prematurely-aged phenotype [10]. 114Furthermore, intrinstic reduction of CXCR4 on BM-MSC of aged mice has been shown 115 to be causal in the impaired ability of MSC to support haematopoietic stem cells [10]. 116

In response to injury or ischaemia, homing receptor expression and chemokine production is upregulated to stimulate granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF)-118 mediated activation and mobilisation of MSCs from BM into peripheral blood. 119 Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP1) recruits MSCs during the inflammatory 120 response, in contrast to macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) which reduces 121 MSC migration [11]. 122

Bioactive molecules play an imortant role in immune homeostasis (Table 1). 123 Growth factors, such as basic fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF2), vascular endothelial 124 growth factor (VEGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), insulin-like growth factor-1 125 (IGF1), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and transforming growth factor- β 1 126 (TGF β 1), play a prominent role in regulating MSC migration. FGF2 promotes 127 upregulation of $\alpha V\beta$ 3 integrin and activation of MEK/ERK pathways that stimulate the 128 migration of BM-MSCs and homing to sites of injured tissue [9]. VEGF regulates BM-129 MSC migration and proliferation through platelet-derived growth factor receptors 130 (PDGFRs) and SDF-1 α expression. PDGF has been shown as a prominent factor for BM-131 MSC migration, binding to PDGFR α and PDGFR- β [9]. Production of TGF β 1 is 132 increased at the site of tissue damage where it stimulates expression of CXCR4 on BM-133 MSCs and promotes their migration and the homing to myocardial injury [12]. This is 134 most likely by activation of TGF β type I receptor and downstream noncanonical 135 signalling by Akt, extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2), focal adhesion 136 kinase (FAK), and p38 [13]. 137

Table 1. Bioactive molecules that have a role in immune homeostasis. The table describes key growth factors and cytokines that have a role in the homeostasis of immune cell responses and the pathogenesis of autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders.

Growth Factor	Role in Immune Regulation Inflammation and Disease	Reference
Monocyte	Increased expression by stromal and immune cells trig-	[14-18]
chemotactic	gered via NF-KB-mediated response to pathogen-associated and	
protein-1	molecular-associated molecular patterns released by damaged	
(MCP1)	cells.	
	Promotes upregulation of chemokine receptor expression	
	and infiltration of immune cells to tissues.	
	• Acts a key cytokine in age-related senescence-associated se-	
	cretory phenotype (SASP), and contributes to 'inflammaging' by	
	propagation of pro-senescent signals through the tissue and pro-	
	motion of chronic inflammation associated with chronic disease.	

117

140 141

138

139

Macrophage	• Fundamental to the pro-inflammatory response being released	[19-29]
migration in-	by immune cells in response to pathogen-associated molecular	
hibitory factor	patterns. Propagates inflammatory response by autocrine and	
(MIF)	paracrine stimulation of proinflammatory cytokine release.	
	• Elevated expression in sepsis correlating with cortisol and IL6	
	expression and prognosis of disease progression	
	• Upregulated in acute respiratory distress syndrome where it is	
	directly linked to promotion of the inflammatory response and	
	production of pro-inflammatory cytokines.	
Basic fibroblast	• Regulator of cellular activity during tissue repair and regener-	[30, 31]
growth factor-	ation, including mediation of inflammatory response during	
2 (FGF2)	the acute phase of injury.	
	• Promotes upregulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines in im-	
	mune cells and tissue-resident somatic cells.	
	• Increased expression associated with inflammation results in	
	tissue fibrosis, contributing to inflammaging and impairment	
	of tissue function that manifests and age-related chronic dis-	
	eases and disorders.	
Vascular endo-	Increased expression during inflammatory response to pro-	[32-36]
thelial growth	mote angiogenesis and support the infiltration of immune	
factor (VEGF)	cells.	
	• Contributes to the regulation of adhesion molecule expression	
	to control the infiltration of immune cells across capillaries/	
	• Increased levels work with HIF1a, angiopoietins, $\text{TNF}\alpha$ and	
	IL8 to promote angiogenesis.	
	• Angiogenesis and microvesicle remodelling are a hallmark of	
	inflammatory-associated diseases, including psoriasis, RA, in-	
	flammatory bowel disease, diabetic retinopathy.	
Hepatocyte	• Expressed in immune cell organs, including bone marrow,	[37-46]
growth factor	thymus, tonsils and spleen with a key role in supporting	
(HGF)	haematopoiesis and immune cell development.	
	• Elevated expression during regeneration of tissues in response	
	to pro-inflammatory environment and particularly cytokines	
	IL-1 α , IL-1 β , TNF and interferon (IFN)- γ .	
	• Dysregulation of HGF activity is implicated in inflammatory	
	disorders through overstimulation of T-cells and production	

	of proinflammatory cytokines, maturation of monocytes to	
	macrophages and migration of dendritic cells.	
Insulin-like	• Anti-inflammatory cytokine widely expressed by im-	[47-50]
growth factor-	mune cells	
1 (IGF1)	Regulates macrophage polarisation from pro-inflam-	
	matory M1 phenotype to anti-inflammatory M2 pheno-	
	type.	
	• Shift from M1 to M2 macrophage polarisation is pro-	
	posed as being protective against autoimmune and au-	
	toinflammatory disorders but its overexpression may	
	be explicit in progression of fibrosis.	
Platelet-de-	• Expressed by monocytes and platelets with increased expres-	[51-55]
rived growth	sion in response to injury where it moderates immune cell ac-	
factor (PDGF)	tivity, including inhibiting dendritic cell cytotoxic activity, and	
	modulation of macrophage and lymphocyte activity.	
	• Reduced PDGF levels during the early inflammatory phase of	
	arthrosclerosis result in increased monocyte and pro-inflamma-	
	tory T-cell presence within developing lesions.	
Transforming	• Key growth factor in the maintenance of immune cell homeo-	[56, 57]
growth factor-	stasis.	
β1 (TGFβ1)	• Stimulates pathogenic Th17 cell differentiation in combination	
	with IL6, IL1 and IL23 and is a potent mediator of autoimmune	
	disorders.	
Stromal cell-	Regulates immune cell trafficking with dysfunction causing	[58-66]
derived factor-	pathological recruitment and retention of immune cells to tis-	
1/ C-X-C motif	sues and progression of autoimmune and autoinflammatory	
chemokine-12	disorders/	
(SDF-1α/	• Contributes to the chronic inflammation of inflamed joints in	
CXCL12/)	RA disease by promotion of activated immune cell homing and	
	retention within the joint. Directly promotes joint tissue erosion	
	by promoting migration and maturation of osteoclasts, inducing	
	chondrocyte necrosis and promotion of neovascularisation.	
	• Elevated expression in inflammatory psoriasis with contribution	
	to promotion of angiogenesis in skin lesions	

• Elevated expression in cerebral spinal fluid, astrocytes and mon-	
ocytes/ macrophages of active lesions in patients with multiple	
sclerosis.	

Another molecule responsible for MSCs migration is osteopontin (OPN), which has 144 been reported to be upregulated in response to tissue damage and subsequent 145 inflammation in the heart, bone, kidney and lung [9]. OPN promotes BM-MSC migration 146 through the increased expression of integrin β 1 and lamin A/C expression, leading to 147 decrease of nuclear stiffness via the FAK-ERK1/2 signalling pathway [67]. 148

Migration of MSCs is also stimulated by pro-inflammatory cytokines, including 149 interleukin-1 β (IL1 β), tumour necrosis factor- α (TNF α) and interferon- γ (IFN γ) [68]. 150 TNF α is involved in tumor progression and plays an essential role in epithelial 151 mesenchymal transition [69]. TNF α and IFN γ act in synergy to induce the production of 152 superoxide anions with corresponding up-regulation of inflammatory responses. IL1 β 153 cytokine activates mast cells and induces histamine production, which increases 154 membrane permeability [69]. IL1 β was found to promote the expression of CXCR3 on the 155 surface of MSCs through activation of the p38 MAPK signalling pathway [68]. At the same 156 time, IL1B upregulated CXCL9 (both at the mRNA transcript level and measured ligand 157 secretion) in umbilical vein endothelial cells, and this was concurrent with an increase in 158 chemotaxis and trans-endothelial migration potential of MSCs [68]. However, pro-159 inflammatory cytokines could play a dual role in MSC migration and immunomodulatory 160 function. Low level expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines has been reported to 161 promote MSC immunomodulation of the inflammatory environment, but at higher 162 concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which are present in autoimmune 163 diseases, they have a detrimental impact on MSC biology, leading to impaired function. 164 Detailed research has revealed that pro-inflammatory cytokines, specifically IFN γ and 165 TNF α , synergistically impair proliferation and differentiation of MSCs via nuclear factor 166 κB (NFκB) in an experimental murine model [70]. Based on previous research, the 167 concentration and the time of exposure to these cytokines can influence the biological 168 response of MSCs and therapeutic ability by activating MSCs, or inducing MSC death 169 through apoptosis, necroptosis, or autosis [71]. 170

3. Immunomodulatory properties of MSCs

The immunomodulatory ability of MSCs is of significant interest within the concext 173 of understanding the underpinning scientific mechansims that contribute to the 174 dysregulation of immune homeostasis and the causal relationship to the onset and 175 progression of autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders. Advancing this 176 understanding will have a significant impact on the development and production of 177 therapeutic interventions, MSCs regulate both innate and adaptive immune responses 178 through cell-cell contact and production of paracrine mediators (Table 2). The 179 immunomodulatory mechanisms of MSCs have been studied using in vitro and in vivo 180 experimental animal models of autoimmune disorders [72-74]. 181

182

171 172

Table 2. Immunomodulatory properties of MSCs. The table summarises the diverse183mechanisms by which MSCs perform their immunomodulatory functions via cell-cell184contact or paracrine effects. DCs, dendritic cells; IFN γ , interferon gamma; IDO, in-185doleamine 2,3-dioxygenase; IL, interleukin; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; LIF, leukae-186mia inhibitory factor; NK, natural killer cells; NO, nitric oxide; PGE2, prostaglandin E2;187

sHLA-G, soluble human leukocyte antigen G; TGF β , transforming growth factor beta;188TNF α , tumour necrosis factor alpha; Treg, regulatory T-cells; VEGF, vascular endothelial189growth factor.190

Property of MSC	Mechanism		
Summarian of T call activity	- Inhibition of anticon anaritic proliferation (both for noise and		
Suppression of 1-cell activity	• Inhibition of antigen-specific proliferation (both for haive and		
	IENby and II 4 production		
	• IFN γ and IL4 production • Arrest of T colls in the C0/C1 coll cycle phase		
Lukikitan of Dealls	Affest of 1-cens in the Go/G1 cen cycle phase		
Inhibition of B cells	Block of activated B cell proliferation		
	Decrease of antibody production		
	• Suppression of B cell chemotaxis by reducing surface expression		
	of the chemokine receptors on B cells		
Activation of regulatory 1-	• Increase production of sHLA-G, inducing the differentiation of		
cells	Treg cells		
	• Induction of Tregs is caused by cell-to-cell contact with MSCs		
	and by the secretion of PGE2 and TGFβ1		
Inhibition of NK cells	 Production of TGFβ, sHLA-G, PGE2 		
	Cell–cell contact inhibits NK cell cytotoxicity		
Induction of macrophages	 PGE2 induction of macrophages to produce IL10 		
with anti-inflammatory im-	Phagocytosis of dead MSCs by macrophages leads to appear-		
munophenotype	ance of alternatively activated macrophages characterised by in-		
	creased production of IL10, TGF β 3 and IL6, and decreased		
	TNF α and IL12 secretion		
	• MSC-educated macrophages have increased expression of alter-		
	natively activated macrophages markers CD206 and CD163 and		
	the inhibitory molecules PD-L1, PD-L2		
Regulating lymphopoiesis	• BM-MSC regulate the development of T- and B-lymphocytes		
	through the action of growth factors, cytokines and adhesion		
	molecules		
Interaction with DC	• MSCs negatively regulate DC differentiation from CD14+ mon-		
	ocytes and CD34+ progenitor cells by altering the expression of		
	the DC surface antigens and IL12 production		
Paracrine effects of MSCs	• Secretion of growth factors, anti-inflammatory cytokines, chem-		
	okines, (IL10, IL6, TGFβ, VEGF, sHLA-G, HGF, IDO, NO and		
	PGE2, LIF)		
	• Suppression of pro-inflammatory cytokine (IFN γ , IL1 β , TNF α)		
	production		
	• Extracellular vesicles contain bioactive molecules, mRNA,		
	miRNA, mitochondria		

-1	n	1
	ч	
_	~	-

3.1 Paracrine activity of MSCs

Paracrine activity of MSCs includes the secretion of growth factors and cytokines that regulate immune cell biology, promote angiogenesis and suppress fibrotic remodelling. Predominant growth factors involved in these processes include VEGF and FGF2, which mediate angiogenesis by inducing neovascularisation following ischemic injury, and have been reported to promote myocardial recovery and improve the cardiac function [75].

Production of insulin growth factor-1 (IGF1) and transforming growth factor- β (TGF β) regulates MSC-mediated suppression of CD8+ T-cells, while hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and FGF2 suppress fibrotic remodelling [75]. Through secretion of these growth factors and cytokines, and the expression of adhesion molecules BM-MSCs contribute to lymphopoiesis and regulate the development of T- and B-lymphocytes. HGF and macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) regulates MSC modulation of dendritic cell (DC) by inducing differentiation of mature DCs into tolerogenic dendritic cells (DCregs) via the AKT signalling pathway [76]. Whereas, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP1) stimulates the activity of regulatory T-cells (Treg), a sub-population of T-cells that regulates immune responses and reduces the onset and progression of autoimmune disease.

MSC-mediated immunosuppression is dependent on IFN γ activation in 211 combination with TNF α or IL1 β [77, 78]. This phenomenon has been coined the term 212 "licensing" and may offer a mechanism for a role of MSC dysfunction in the activity and 213 remission of autoimmune and autoinflammatory disease states [77]. On stimulation with 214 combination of IFN γ with TNF α or IL1 β MSCs produce nitric oxide (NO), a powerful 215 cytotoxic molecule that inhibits T-cell proliferation [79, 80]. Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) 216 programs macrophages to release IL10 and inhibit T-helper cell activity and IL2 217 production. Inhibition of this prostaglandin has been shown to result in a decrease in the 218 anti-proliferative effect exhibited by MSCs on T-cells. Another soluble mediator that 219 contributes to MSC-mediated immunosuppression is indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 220 (IDO), an enzyme that catabolises the essential amino acid tryptophan in the kynurenine 221 pathway [81]. IDO released by MSCs in response to IFNy reduces tryptophan 222 availability and the production of metabolite derivatives in NK-cells and T-cells and 223 therefore inhibits their proliferation [81]. In addition, MSCs secrete immunosuppressive 224 cytokines, including IL7, IL11, IL14 and IL15, and stimulate the increase of anti-225 inflammatory cytokine IL10 production by DCs and monocytes [82]. 226

3.1.1 Extracellular vesicles derived from MSCs

More recent investigation has been directed to the secretion of paracrine 229 immunomodulatory factors, which are packaged into extracellular vesicles (EVs)that go 230 on to form the bioactive fraction of whole MSC secretome [83]. This has elucidated the 231 mechansims by which the secretome of MSCs manifests its effector functions and 232 provided multiple examples of the potential therapeutic properties of the EVs [84]. EVs 233 are heterogeneous structures that can be subtyped to exosomes, microvesicles and 234 apoptotic bodies. Exosomes are created by an endosomal route and typically from 30 to 235 150 nm in diameter. They are derived when MSCs exchange genetic material between 236 cells, particularly microRNA and mRNA. EVs contain bioactive cytoplasmic and 237 membrane proteins including tetraspanins (CD81, CD63, and CD9), heat-shock proteins 238 (HSP60, HSP70 and HSP90), ALIX and tumour susceptibility gene 101 (TSG101), 239 enzymes, and extracellular matrix proteins [85]. MSC-derived exosomes can be 240 transferred between cells with microRNA cargo enabling the regulation of cell cycle and 241

192 193

194

195

196 197 198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

227

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

migration (miR-191, miR-222, miR-21), inflammation (miR-204-5p, miR-181c), and 242 angiogenesis (miR-222 and miR-21) [85]. Examples of the ability of MSC-derived 243 exosomes to induce T-regulatory cells (T-regs) have been demonstrated in vitro, with 244 MSC-derived exosomes showing increased polarisation of naïve T-helpers to 245 CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ T-reg in the presence of allogenic antigen-presenting cells [86]. In 246 vivo, MSC-derived exosomes were investigated in a mouse model of graft-versus-host 247 disease (GVHD). Mice were irradiated with 100 cGy and then treated by delivery of 1-248 2 × 107 human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) injected via tail vein and 249 MSC-derived exosomes injected intraperitoneally [86]. MSC-derived exosomes delivered 250 to a mouse model of GVHD decreased the combined disease activity score including 251 weight loss, activity, posture, skin and hair integrity, and improved the percentage of 252 animals surviving to the study end point on day 34 (p < 0.05) [86]. This research 253 demonstrated the effect of MSC-derived exosomes on the survival of mice in a 254 xenogeneic mouse model of GVHD. This effect has been explained in another study 255 using human MSC-EVs in a xenogeneic mouse model of GVHD, where it was shown 256 that MS-EVs induced Treg-associated effects on anti-CD3/CD28- stimulated PBMCs 257 [87].. All together these studies demonstrated the potential for human application, 258 suggesting that human MSC exosomes could induce both human and mouse Tregs 259 from APC-activated T cells. 260

MVs are membrane vesicles that differ from other EVs by their size, ranging between 100 nm up to 1 µm in diameter, and density of 1.04–1.07 g/mL [88]. Microvesicles (MVs) are formed by outward budding or pinching of the MSC plasma membrane and contain cytosolic and plasma membrane associated proteins, cytoskeletal proteins, heat shock proteins, integrins [89], as well as different types of RNAs, such as mRNA, miRNA, snoRNA and rRNA [90]. Western blotting of MSC-derived MVs showed the presence of CD9, CD63, CD81, TSG101, tryptophanyl-TRNA synthase 1, C1q and calnexin In contrast, MSC-derived exosomes were characterised by positivity to CD63, CD81 and TSG101, and negativity to calnexin [88, 90]. The mechasnism of MV release from MSCs and their and function also differs from other types of extracellular vesicles. MVs play a critical role in regulating paracrine/endocrine factor-mediated signalling between MSCs and differentiated specialised cells [91]. They are derived from cells through outward budding, which is dependent on the activity of multiple enzymes as well as mitochondria-mediated calcium signaling. MVs that are released from damaged cells will deliver specific cargo to instruct naïve MSCs to become immunomodulatory or trigger their differentiation to repair tissues [91]. In response, MSC-derived MVs home to the sites of tissue inflammation to deliver proteins/peptides, mRNA, microRNA, lipids, and/or organelles with reparative and antiinflammatory properties [92].

MVs mediate cell–cell communication by contact with specific ligands on relevant cell types and transfer their cargos (membrane proteins or different types of RNAs) from MSCs to other cells, and therefore may be useful in therapeutic applications [90]. For instance, MVs have been used for the transport of small therapeutic components, such as the delivery of paclitaxel to pancreatic cancer cells to reduce proliferative activity [84].

The anti-inflammatory effect of MSC MVs was tested in a human model of bacterial pneumonia, where E. coli were instilled intrabronchially in human donor lungs not used for transplantation [93]. One hour later 200 microlitres of MVs purified from 20 million MSCs were administered into the perfusate as therapy. At six hours post-administration the MV-treated lung showed increased alveolar fluid clearance by 144% compared with the control lung lobe and significantly reduced lung protein permeability as measured using Evans Blue dye. After treatment with MSC MVs the level of TNF α in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid reduced by 72% and the bacterial count in the injured alveolus decrease (though not statistically significant in the study) [93].

301

302

303

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

The administration of human Wharton-Jelly MSC-derived MVs in a renal294transplantation rat model was shown to improve renal function and survival of the rats295[94]. The administration of MVs after renal transplantation demonstrated a 39.13% lower296von Willebrand Factor, a marker of endothelial injury), 65.7% lower proinflammatory297TNF α and 25.19% increase in anti-inflammatory IL10 levels in serum in comparison with298control group without MSC-derived MVs [94].299

At two weeks post-transplantation co-delivery with MVs was shown to reduce apoptosis of renal cells, as determined by TUNEL assay, to significantly reduce fibrotic lesions identified by Masson's trichrome staining and to decrease CD68+ macrophages infiltration in the kidney [94].

MSC exosomes and MVs have been extensively studied in many studies, however 304 there is limited information on the function of apoptotic bodies (ABs) [95]. ABs are 305 released after apoptosis of cells as the plasma membrane separates from the 306 cytoskeleton. They are the largest type of extracellular vesicles (1-5 mm in diameter) 307 containing intracellular fragments, mitochondria, Golgi apparatus and endoplasmic 308 reticulum [89]. ABs facilitate intercellular communication and are key mediators in 309 processes that include tissue homeostasis, pathogen dissemination and immunity [95]. It 310 has been demonstrated in vivo and in vitro that ABs target macrophages, promoting 311 their polarisation towards the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype with increased secretion 312 of IL10 and TGF β [96]. Transplantation of ABs in murine skin wound healing models 313 demonstrated macrophage polarisation towards an anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype 314 followed by significantly enhanced cutaneous wound healing [96]. ABs derived from 315 MSCs have not demonstrated a direct effect on fibroblasts, however conditioned 316 medium from macrophages treated with ABs enhanced the migration and proliferation 317 of fibroblasts in scratch wound assays and Ki67 immunofluorescence staining [96]. 318

Together EVs derived from MSCs demonstrate immunomodulatory function in vitro [90, 97]. MSC-secreted EVs influence immune cells, including impairment of DC maturation as exhibited by reduced expression of CD83, CD38, and CD80, increased production of TGF β and decreased secretion of IL6 and IL12p70 [97]. MVs derived from MSC treated with IFN γ have been shown to increase CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs populations in the presence of TGF β 1 in vitro, however native-MVs are less effective in inducing Tregs [90].

Immunoregulatory and regenerative properties of MSCs are also mediated by transfer of mitochondria. The mechanisms behind mitochondrial trafficking have been proposed to include tunneling nanotubes, gap junctions, extracellular vesicles, and cell fusion. The mechanism for the transfer of mitochondria within tunneling nanotubes is mediated by motor-adaptor protein complexes related to the mitochondrial Rho GTPase Miro1. Miro1 is important for transferring of mitochondria between cells, and MSCs overexpressing Miro1 have been shown to enhance the rescue of the epithelial cells, reducing airway hyper-responsiveness and production of pro-inflammatory cytokinespro-inflammatory cytokines, and restoring ATP levels [98]. Another mechanism for transport of mitochondria between cells is by gap-junction communication, mediated by transmembrane protein Connexin-43, which can form hemichannels in association with other connexin proteins to allow direct exchange of metabolites and microRNAs [98].

Microtubule and gap junction-mediated transfer of mitochondria from MSCs to339damaged immune cells, cardiomyocytes, neurons, renal tubular cells, alveolar and340bronchial epithelial cells has been widely investigated [99]. Mitochondrial transfer341between MSCs and other somatic cells is initiated in pathophysiological environments342and is predominantly triggered by damaged mitochondria or mitochondrial DNA343

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362 363

364 365

released from ruptured cells and the accompanying elevated production of ROS [100]. Additionally, MSCs transfer mtDNA to other cells by extracellular vesicles (EVs) and cell fusion by rearrangement of the actin cytoskeleton and fusogenic glycoproteins across the membranes [98].

It has been shown that the transfer of mitochondria from MSCs labelled with MTspecific fluorescent probe (MitoTracker Green) to human PBMCs mainly engaged to Thelper CD4+ rather than T-cytotoxic CD8+ lymphocytes with transcriptomic RNA sequencing revealing T-cell activation (IL2RA-CD25) and differentiation to T-regs (CD4+CD25+Foxp3+) with the upregulation of FoxP3, CTLA4, and GITR mRNA levels validated by qPCR [101].

Furthermore, in a murine model of GVHD, the transfer of mitochondria from MSCs to PBMCs resulted in significant decrease of Th1 (CD4+IFN- γ +) and cytotoxic T-cells (CD8+IFN- γ +) infiltration (p<0.003), while T-reg cells were slightly elevated [101]. This led to significant improvement in tissue damage in spleen, small intestine, liver, and lung [101]. Thus, the mice receiving mitocepted PBMCs had a 34.7% reduction in liver pathology scores, 57.04% decrease in lung damage scores, and 25.35% reduction in small intestine score based on crypt regeneration and loss of enterocyte ulceration [101]. Reduction of tissue injury was accompanied by 27% improvement in mouse survival rate compared with controls [101].

3.2 Regulatory effects of MSCs on immune cells

Contact-dependent mechanisms of MSC-mediated immunosuppressive activity 366 inhibit the proliferation and activation of the major immune cell populations, including 367 T-lymphocytes, B-lymphocytes, DCs, pro-inflammatory macrophages and natural killer 368 (NK) cells by arrest in the G0/G1 phase of the cell cycle [102]. Cell-cell interactions 369 between MSCs and immune cells are mediated by adhesion molecules, including P-370 selectin, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM1) and vascular cell-adhesion 371 molecule-1 (VCAM1, CD106). It was found that chemokines and adhesion molecules 372 trigger T-cells rolling, arrest and then transmigration through the endothelium. An 373 inflammatory environment induces MSCs to secrete various chemokines and express 374 highly ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 that attract and engage T-cells to MSCs [79]. The clinical 375 relevance of these interactions is highlighted by showing blockade or deletion of ICAM-376 1 and VCAM-1 could significantly reverse MSC-mediated immunosuppression in vitro 377 and in vivo [103]. Moreover, high expression of ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 is assotiated with 378 the greater immunosuppressive capacity of MSCs [103]. MSCs inhibit the proliferation 379 of T-cells, specifically pro-inflammatory populations of T-helper cells (Th17 and Th1), 380 decrease the ratio of Th1/Th2 T-helper cell populations, and promote an anti-381 inflammatory profile by activation of Treg [77]. These findings could be translated into 382 therapies for autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), which are 383 characterizsd by a predominance of pro-inflammatory CD4+ T cells with the hyper-384 proliferative capacity to differentiate into Th1 and Th17 pathogenic T cells [104]. Th17 385 cells participate in the pathogenesis of different autoimmune diseases, such as systemic 386 lupus erythematosus, type 1 diabetes, multiple sclerosis, bowel disease [105]. Moreover, 387 MSCs have been shown to be highly stimulatory to Treg populations in both in vitro and 388 in vivo studies [106, 107]. In a murine model of autoimmune encephalomyelitis MSCs 389 increased demethylation of Treg-specific demethylated region (TSDR) and upregulated 390 the expression of Runx complex genes of Foxp3 (Runx1, Runx3, and CBFB) in TSDR 391 [107]. The induction of Tregs by MSCs has been considered to be caused by direct cell-392 cell contact as well as the secretion of PGE2, TGF β 1, IL10 and soluble human leukocyte 393 antigen-G (sHLA-G) [106, 107]. The balance between Treg cells and Th17 cells 394

398

399

400

401

determines the efficacy of immune therapy and thus underscores the importance of
MSCs as tools for moderating autoimmune diseases.395396

Another mechanism of MSC modulation of T-cell activity is the impairment of leukocyte migratory potential by inhibition of the adhesion molecules and receptors on the cell surface of T-cells and the endothelial cell membrane [72]. For instance, MSCs reduce the level of ICAM-1, α 4 and β 2 integrins, as well as CXCR3 expression, regulating T-cell trafficking across the endothelial blood–brain barrier [72].

Additional evidence has shown that MSCs can inhibit the differentiation, 402 maturation and activation of DCs [97]. DCs are highly specialised antigen-presenting 403 cells that play an exclusive role in naive T-cell stimulation during the primary immune 404 response. MSCs inhibit the initial differentiation of monocytes to DCs by dampening the 405 expression of CD86, CD1a and HLA-DR, and treatment of DCs with MSC-derived EVs 406 demonstrated a reduced ability to migrate toward the CCR7-ligand CCL21 [97]. MSCs 407 significantly influence DC antigen presentation to CD4(+) T-cells and cross-presentation 408 to CD8(+) T-cells because of the inability of DCs to migrate to the draining lymph 409 nodes [108]. The influence of MSCs on B-cells has been less well studied, though it is 410 known that the interaction between MSCs and B-cells is complexwith interplay of 411 multiple different contributing factors. MSCs can regulate B-cell activation indirectly 412 through T-helper cell activity or directly through the production of soluble factors, 413 including IL1 receptor antagonist. Luk et al (2017) demonstrated that adipose tissue-414 derived MSC treated with 50 ng/ml IFN γ for 96 hours were able to significantly reduce 415 B-cell proliferation and inhibited B-cell IgG production. MSCs are able to reduce 416 plasmablast formation and promote the induction of regulatory B-cells (Bregs) and IL10 417 production [102]. In the presence of T-cells, MSCs also inhibit the proliferation of B-cells, 418 which could be mediated by T-cell-secreted IFN γ , since MSCs pre-treated in vitro with 419 exogenous IFN- γ are able to inhibit B-cell proliferation [102]. Thus, MSCs can negatively 420 influence abnormal proliferation and autoantibody production by B-cells, providing a 421 mechnistic basis that has signiicant implications for the development of autoimmune 422 disease therapies, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and systemic lupus erythematosus 423 (SLE). The proliferation of B-cells results in the release of autoantibodies in the forms of 424 IgM and/or IgG, rheumatoid factors in RA or antinuclear antibodies in SLE. MSCs have 425 been shown to induce regulatory immune cells and suppress T-helper and B-cell 426 responses, reducing both IgM and IgG production in mouse models and patients with 427 lupus nephritis [109]. Cell-mediated interactions between MSCs and NK cells may 428 impact on the immunobiology of both cell types. NK cells can lyse pathogen-infected or 429 transformed target cells without the aid of prior immunisation or can be activated by 430 IL2, IL12, IL15, IL18, IL21, IFN α and IFN β [110]. MSCs are able to suppress the 431 proliferation of NK cells and stimulate their degranulation, but at the same time MSCs 432 promote NK production of IFN γ and TNF α [111]. Conversely, NK cells activated with 433 IL2, IL12 and IL15 have been shown to release IFN γ and TNF α , perforin and granzymes, 434 and mediate MSC lysis [40]. Naive NK activation has also been shown to increase 435 production of ROS leading to decreased BM-MSC viability [111]. The complexity of NK 436 interations with MSCs, their function in maintaining immune cell homeostasis and the 437 pathophysiologic complications on dysregulation that leads to the progression of 438 autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders are more comprehensively discussed in 439 the review by Jewett at al 2012 [112]. 440

4. Immunogenicity of MSCs

MSCs are considered immune-privileged, having low expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I, minimal expression of MHC class II and

442 443

444

445

447

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

deficiency in co-stimulatory molecules required for immune cell activation, including B7-1, B7-2 or CD40 [81].

Contrary evidence suggests that MSCs can also be immunogenic. Animal studies 448 have revealed that despite low level immunogenicity, allogeneic MSCs are immune-449 rejected via MHC-I and MHC-II in mice [113]. Oliveira et al. (2017) suggested that 450rejection of MSCs might be dependent on the context of the inflammatory environment 451 into which the cell population is transplanted. The study showed that prior treatment of 452 MSCs by IFN γ and TNF α could modulate MHC class I and II expression, increasing 453 their immunogenic potential [81]. This immune recognition of MSCs has been proposed 454 as an important mechanism in attaining an immunomodulatory therapeutic effect. Witte 455 et al. (2018) showed that allogeneic Umbilical Cord (UC)-MSCs were recognised by host 456 immune cells and phagocytosed by monocytes post-infusion into mice. The subsequent 457 UC-MSCs-primed monocytes demonstrated an increase in IL10 and TGFβ gene 458 expression and reduced TNF α expression; moreover, monocytes primed by UC-MSCs 459 have been shown to induce Treg cells differentiation in mixed lymphocyte reactions 460 [114]. However, prolonged treatment of MSCs with proinflammatory cytokines IFN γ , 461 TNF α , IL-17, and IL-1 β resulted in not only activation but also increased expression of 462 MHC class I/ II [115]. Considering potential clinical application of MSC delivery into the 463 inflammatory tissue, this may influences the balance between immunosuppressive 464 activity and MHC Class II expression by MSCs [116]. The safety concerns of MSCs 465 transplantation also included the potentility of the risk of tromobosis. Intravascular 466 transplantation of tissue factor (TF)-bearing cells provokes an instant blood-mediated 467 inflammatory reaction (IBMIR) resulting in thrombotic complications and reduced 468 engraftment [117]. Plasma levels of TF/CD142 are correlated with activation of the 469 IBMIR and vary between MSC from different sources [117]. AT- and UC-MSCs 470 demonstrate higher levels of TF, reduced haemocompatibility and increased clot 471 formation dependent on coagulation factor VII [117]. MSCs highly express 472 prothrombotic tissue factor (TF/CD142) and collagen type-1, which activate the 473 coagulation cascade [118]. The tissue factor (TF)-mediated pro-coagulant activity could 474 be reverted by heparin co-administration in MSC transplantation. Additionally, the 475 research of hemocompatibility of AT-, UC- and BM-MSCs revealed that reducing the 476 TF/CD142+ subpopulation significantly improved hemocompatibility of MSCs and 477 consequently decreases the risk of thrombosis [117]. Mitigation of these safety concerns 478 will need to include robust pre-clinical and clinical trial investigation. Bio-prosessing 479 protocols directing the isolation, culture and manufacture of MSC-based thrapies need 480to be tightly regulated with appropriate quality control (QC) assays defined to evaluate 481 the phenotype and biological function of MSCs as they form the final therapeutic 482 product. 483

Long-term ex vivo expansion in the production of MSC therapies has been reported to increase prothrombotic properties. Infusion of large cell doses of higher passage MSCs (passages 5-8) have been shown to elevate the coagulation cascade, activation of complement marker C3a and increase expression of thrombin, FVII, FXIa, and FXIIa clotting factors that may cause thrombosis or embolism [118]. This highlights the need for hemocompatibility assessment of MSC products before intravascular delivery.

Together, these studies have shown that MSCs are not absolutely immuneprivileged. At the same time, it is recognised that local immune suppression, for example with anti-CD45 immunotherapy or cyclosporine A, could mask MSC immunogenicity [81]. Nevertheless, Thompson et al. (2020) reviewing 55 randomised controlled trials of MSC therapy, including 2,696 patients, concluded that MSC transplantation was associated with an increased risk of fever compared to controls while other side effects of treatment such as post-infusion infection, thrombosis or malignancy were not recorded [119].

	499
5. Impairment of MSC biology as a key moment in disease pathogenesis	500
	501
There is now an increased understanding of the role of MSCs in the mechanisms of	502
development and progression of autoinflammatory and autoimmune diseases. MSCs	503
respond to tissue damage by reducing inflammation and repairing injured tissue as a	504
normal physiological response. In pathophysiological autoimmune and	505
autoinflammatory conditions, which are characterised by consistent chronic	506
inflammation, MSCs are passive targets in the inflammatory process. They become	507
impaired and exhibit loss of immune modulatory function. Impairment of MSC biology	508
(SLE), systemic sclorosis (SSc), chronic obstructivo nulmonary disease (COPD)	509
Parkinson disease type 2 diabetes and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) and is	510
manifest by reduction in proliferative capacity and immunoregulatory properties.	512
altered morphology, dysregulated cytokine secretion, altered cell-cycle regulation with	513
enhanced senescence and reduced capability in supporting the hematopoietic system	514
[120-125] (Table 3).	515
	516
Table 3. Morphological and physiological impairment in MSCs in pathogenesis of au-	517
toimmune and autoinflammatory diseases. The table describes autoimmune and autoin-	518
flammatory disease with the methodological summary used to characterise associated	519
MSC dysfunction. ALS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; AS, ankylosing spondylitis; BM,	520
bone marrow; (CCL2, chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2; CFSE, carboxyfluorescein diacetate	521
succinimidyl ester; CFU - F, colony - forming unit-fibroblast; COPD, chronic obstructive	522
pulmonary disease; COX2, cyclooxygenase-2; EL-MSCs, endothelial-like MSC; IDO, in-	523
doleamin-2,3-dioxygenase; IPF, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis; HGF, hepatocyte growth	524
factor; MSC, mesenchymal stem cell; PBMCs, peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PD-L1,	525
programmed death ligand 1; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; TGFβ, transforming growth factor-	526
β ; TSG-6, TNF-stimulated gene 6; SF, synovial fluid; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus;	527
SSc, systemic sclerosis; Th, T-helpers; Treg, T-regulatory cells; VAS, visual analogue score;	528
VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFRs, vascular endothelial growth factor re-	529
centors	530
	000

Disease	Study methodology	MSC characteristics	Refer- ences
Rheumatoid	Synovial inflammation me	eas- • In RA the arthroscopic VAS cor-	[126]
arthritis (sub-	ured using the arthrosco	opic related significantly with syno-	
type was not	visual analogue score (V	YAS) vial macrophage infiltration	
defined de-	and by immunohistocher	mis- • RA activity negatively influence	
spite clinical	try with anti-CD3 and C	D68 on synovial MSC by decreasing	
importance	staining for macrophages	their chondrogenic and clono-	
	• Expression of SOX9, p65,	Ga- genic capability	
	lectin-3 and SUMO measu	ared • CD44 in RA MSCs correlated	
	by qPCR	negatively with inflammation	

and is a limita- tion of the study) Rheumatoid	 Synovial MSCs were analysed by population doublings, clonogenic activity and multipotency ELISA for IL1 β, TNFα, IL6 Co-culture of BM-MSC CD4⁺ 	 and positively with chondrogenesis Cytokine production and Sox9 expression was similar in RA MSCs and OA MSCs RA MSCs showed equivalent [122]
arthritis (sub- type was not defined de- spite clinical importance and is a limita- tion of the study)	 cells or PBMCs labelled with CFSE and measurement of Th cells, Treg and Th17 cells by flow cytometry Proliferation and apoptosis assays Migration assays Human G-Series Cytokine Antibody Array ELISA measured of IL-17A TGFβ1, IDO, PGE2, IL6, CCL2 measured by qPCR 	 immunophenotype, differentia- tion potential, cellular apopto- sis and cytokine profiles com- pared to controls which were OA patients underwent knee arthroplasty BM MSCs from RA patients did not downregulate Th17 cells proliferation RA derived-MSCs showed im- paired proliferative potential and migration capacity
Ankylosing spondylitis	 Multiple differentiation and cell viability assay Immunomodulatory property of MSCs were analysed by two-way mixed PBMCs reactions or after stimulation with phytohemagglutinin CCR4+CCR6+ Th/Treg cells and surface markers of BM-MSCs were analysed using flow cytometry 	 AS MSCs demonstrated normal [123] proliferation, cell viability, sur- face markers and multiple dif- ferentiation characteristics AS BM-MSCs induced imbal- ance in the ratio of CCR4*CCR6* Th/ Treg cells by reducing Treg and increasing CCR4*CCR6* Th cells AS MSCs reduced Foxp3* cells when co-cultured with PBMCs
Systemic lu- pus erythema- tosus	 Immunocytochemistry and flow cytometry with CD34, CD45, CD73, CD90, CD105, CD31, CD19, CD11b, HLA- ABC, CD44, CD29, and HLA- DR surface markers qPCR with IL6, IL8, Gro1, Mcp2, Rantes, GM-CSF Western blotting for FNβ, MAVS, p53, p16, 53BP1, 	 SLE BM-MSCs were character- [127] ised by: reduced proliferation rate increased production of reactive oxygen species increased expression of p53 and p16 altered cytokine production, increased IL6 and IL8; increased IFNβ levels and IFNβ-induced mRNAs

Systemic scle- rosis	 ELISA for IL-6, IL-8 and GM-CSF Comet assay β-galactosidase assay Quantification of CFU-F Osteogenic, adipogenic and 	SSc MSCs demonstrated: • the same phenotype (positive	[124]
	 endothelial cells differentiation Immunophenotyping by flow cytometry Assessment of the endothelial-like MSCs (EL-MSCs) phenotype after culture in endothelial-specific medium - the surface expression of VEG-FRs, CXCR4 with flow cytometric analysis Chemoinvasion assays of MSCs and EL-MSCs Capillary morphogenesis assay Telomerase activity assay 	 for CD29, CD44, CD166, CD90, CD73, HLA–A, B, and C, and CD105; low HLA–DP, DQ, and DR) and clonogenic activity as healthy MSCs a decreased percentage of VEGFR-2+, CXCR4+, VEGFR- 2+/CXCR4+ and early senescence low migration and angiogenic potential decreased capacity to capillary morphogenesis and chemoin- vasion The addition of VEGF and stromal cell-derived factor 1 to cultured SSc 	
		potential less than that in controls	
Parkinson disease	 Confocal images for identification of mitochondrial and lysosomal localization NADH autofluorescence Nuclear DNA sequencing analysis with target genes: <i>SNCA, PARK2, UCHL1, PINK1, DJ1, LRRK2, GBA, VPS35, ATP13A2, EIF4G1, HTRA2, DNAJC13, VPS13C, DNAJC6, FBXO7, PLA2G6, SYNJ1</i> and <i>MAPT</i> Mitochondrial DNA sequencing analysis MSC adipogenic potential 	 Impaired differentiation of BM-MSCs Mitochondrial dysfunction Higher basal rate of mitochondrial degradation and lower levels of biogenesis Reduction in mitochondrial mass Increased level of oxidative stress 	[121]

18	of	46

Idiopathic •	Cell senescence was deter-	BM-MSCs from patients with IPF	[120]
pulmonary fi-	mined by cell proliferation	characterised by:	
brosis • •	and expression of p16INK4A,p21, and β-galactosidase activityMitochondrial function andDNA damage were measuredParacrine induction of senescence and profibrotic responses were analysed in human lung fibroblastsThe reparative capacity ofBM-MSCs was examined invivo using the bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis model	 Mitochondrial dysfunction Accumulation of DNA damage Diminished migration capacity of MSCs Less effectiveness in preventing fibrotic changes in mice after bleomycin-induced injury, in- creasing illness severity and pro-inflammatory responses 	
Chronic ob- • structive pul- monary dis- ease • •	Immunophenotyping of MSCs by flow cytometry using CD73, CDw90, CD105, CD45, CD14 and CD34 Tri-lineage differentiation The expression of migration related chemokine receptors and their ligands in BM-MSCs: qPCR with SDF-1a, CXCR4, CCR7, CCL19, and CCL21 SDF-1a levels in MSC condi- tioned media and sera evalu- ated by ELISA	 COPD BM-MSCs were positive for CD73, CD90, and CD105 and negative for CD45, CD14, and CD34 antigens, and capable of differentiating towards the adipogenic, osteogenic and chondrogenic lineages CXCR4 mRNA expression were decreased in COPD BM-MSCs that provided the evidence that CXCR4/SDF1 is dysregulated in COPD patients COPD affects SDF1a levels in serum and BM-MSCs 	[125]

MSCs are negatively influenced by the high concentrations of pro-inflammatory 533 cytokines that are present within the pathogenic environment of autoimmune and 534 autoinflammatory diseases [54, 55]. Pro-inflammatory cytokines, specifically IFN_Y and 535 TNF α , synergistically impair proliferation and differentiation of MSCs via nuclear factor 536 κB (NFκB) [128]. Moreover, it has been shown in previous research that high levels of 537 IFN- γ and TNF- α for a 21 day resulted in NF κ B–mediated upregulation of the 538 oncogenes c-Fos and c-Myc followed by increased susceptibility to in MSCs 539 tumorigenesis. Medications that reduce the levels of IFN- γ and TNF- α (e.g. aspirin) 540 block malignant transformation of MSCd by inhibition of NF-kB/SMAD7 and NFkB/c-541 FOS and c-MYC pathways in mice [128]. These findings suggest that autoimmune 542 disorders are assotiated with suppressed MSC function and the induction of MSC 543 tumorigenesis by NFkB-mediated oncogene activation [128]. These findings have 544 further implication on the clinical application of MSCs if they are to be delivered into the 545

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

pro-inflammatory environment present within autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases, with robust evaluation of clinical trial evidence required to measure saftey and efficacy of the therapeutic.

Interestingly, BM-MSCs treated with TNF α and TGF β 1 elevate gene expression of pro-inflammatory mediators CCL2, CXCL8 through the NF- κ B/p65 pathway and COX2 through SMAD3 activation [55]. This data highlights the importance of the microenvironment in regulating the pro-inflammatory fate of MSC function.

Moreover, MSCs stimulated by TNF α and IL1 β for up to18 days obtained what was described as a cancer-associated fibroblast (CAFs) morphology, inclusive of increased cell size as detected by calcein and Hoechst staining, accompanied by elevated levels of vimentin and fibroblast activation protein (FAP), and reduced expression of α smooth muscle actin (α SMA). These cells were characterised by release of proinflammatory factors stimulated cancer cell migration by CCR2, CCR5, and CXCR1/2 and Ras-activating receptors and may be considered as procarcinogenic [129].

Another crucial mechanism in the impairment of MSC function is highlighted in RA by the reduced ability to downregulate Th17 cell activity [122]. RA-derived MSCs have lower proliferative potential and migration capacity, which does not correlate with previous treatment with methotrexate or biological agents including TNF α inhibitors and anti-IL1. Additionally, the chondrogenic potential of synovial MSCs was inhibited in direct relation to synovial inflammation measured using the arthroscopic visual analogue score in RA patients [126]. MSCs isolated from patients with active RA have been shown to be defective in their ability to support haematopoiesis. Abnormalities of both BM-derived haemopoietic cells and MSCs, are indicative of impairment in the immunosuppressive and haematopoiesis-supporting functions of MSCs, which could contribute to the initiation and progression of disease [130].

MSCs isolated from AS patients showed normal rates of proliferation, cell viability, expression of cell surface CD antigens and potential for multi-lineage differentiation. However, their immunomodulatory properties measured in two-way mixedlymphocyte reaction (MLR) or PBMC proliferation in the presence of phytohemagglutinin were weaker compared to MSCs from healthy volunteers [123]. MSCs obtained from AS patients have decreased phosphorylation of Beclin-1, an important molecule required for the initiation of autophagy, resulting in the deficiency of autophagy and as a consequence MSC dysfunction [131]. Autophagy is a lysosomemediated catabolic process that eliminates molecules and cellular components, including nucleic acids, proteins, lipids [132]. Autophagy participates in many physiological and pathological processes and can be affected by proinflammatory mediators such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Li et al. (2017) demonstrated that the basal level of autophagy was equal in MSCs from healthy donors and AS patients, however LPS-induced autophagy was weaker in AS-MSCs than in healthy MSCs [131]. The level of autophagy reflects the physiological/ pathophysiological status of MSCs and abnormal autophagy is included in the pathogenesis of many autoimmune diseases, including inflammation in AS [131].

BM-MSCs derived from patients with SLE show impaired immunomodulatory 588 properties, reduced proliferation rate, coupled with increased ROS production, DNA 589 damage and repair, expression of senescent p16 and p53, altered cytokine profile with 590 overexpression of pro-inflammatory IL6, IL8 and downregulation of TGF β 1, IDO and 591 LIF [127]. SLE BM-MSCs have been chronically stimulated by pro-inflammatory 592 cytokines within the native tissue environment, exhibit a pathophysiological senescent 593 phenotype with over production of pro-inflammatory mediators that promote 594 inflammation and cellular dysfunction [127]. It was shown that SLE MSCs have a 5- fold 595 increase in IFN β and increased IFN β -induced mRNAs, including mRNA for the 596 intracellular nucleic acid sensing adaptor protein MAVS. Lin et al. (2017) proposed that 597 the IFNβ-MAVS feedback loop may alter the development of immune cells and 598

609 610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

contribute to autoimmune progression in SLE [127]. Alterations in MSC function in SLE 599 may affect the bone marrow stromal microenvironment that regulates hematopoiesis, 600 contributing to alteredimmune responses. In systemic sclerosis (SSc) where the main 601 feature of pathogenesis is vascular damage, there is impaired differentiation of MSCs 602 toward the endothelial cell lineage [124]. Human MSCs and endothelial cells express 603 vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 (VEGFR1), VEGFR2 and vascular cell 604 adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM1). SSc derived-MSCs were characterised by early 605 senescence, reduced migration, and antigenic potential, and have been predicted to 606 affect endothelial repair following chronic ischemia in this disease [124]. 607

5. Pre-clinical studies of mesenchymal stem cells

The combined properties of immunomodulation and differentiation, hematopoietic support and pro-regenerative features accounts for the promising therapeutic potential of MSCsthat includes their potential efficacy in case of severe autoimmune diseases refractory to conventional therapy and fewer side effects when compared to the need for repeated administration of immunosuppressive drugs. Recent pre-clinical studies focused on stem cell therapy demonstrated efficacy and safety of MSC transplantation [133-135].

MSC transplantation was proposed as a promising new direction for chronic lung 618 disease. Pre-clinical investigations revealed efficacy of intratracheally, intranasally or 619 systemically administered MSCs obtained from BM, AT, UC or placenta in lung injury 620 models [136]. MSCs are localised to the lung after systemic administration by their 621 ability to home to the sites of injury through engagment of chemotactic proteins, such as 622 SDF1/ CXCL12 with CXCR4. In injured lung animal models MSCs regenerated lung 623 tissue, reduced inflammation and limited fibrosis by up-regulating anti-inflammatory 624 and downregulating inflammatory cytokine release [136]. MSCs localised to the lung 625 following bleomycin-induced injury in mice, arresting the progression of fibrosis and 626 decreasing inflammation [136]. Studies using MSCs in experimental murine models of 627 asthma identified immunosuppressive effects of MSC by recruitment of CCR2+ 628 monocytes and increased IL10 production [137]. The immune suppressive effects of 629 MSC in the model of asthma included also elevated levels of TGF- β , transfer of 630 mitochondria to airway epithelial cells and increased numbers of Tregs [137]. However, 631 MSCs display a dual role in the progress of fibrosis: despite the immunomodulatory and 632 anti-inflammatory properties of MSCs, TGF β is a primary factor in driving fibrosis via 633 activation of Smad-based and non-Smad-based signalling pathways, resulting in 634 activation of myofibroblasts, enhanced production of extracellular matrix (ECM) and 635 inhibition of its degradation [138]. Further in-depth studies examined the dual role of 636 TGF β as an anti-inflammatory mediator during the during the acute phase of injury but 637 determined that investigation of the long-term effects of pro-fibrotic TGF^β production 638 are needed to explore the safety of MSC therapy, including their optimal dosage and 639 route of administration. The immunomodulatory and regenerative properties of UC-640 MSCs have been demonstrated following intraperitoneal transplantation into a rat 641 model of collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) [73, 139]. The administration of UC-MSCs at a 642 dose of 2 million cells per rat showed significant improvement in reduction of joint 643 inflammation and general well-being with paw swelling reducedby 10.5% and 644 tibiotarsal joint by 19.4% in comparison to untreated CIA rats at the day 32 [73]. Post-645 transplantation arthritic symptoms were improved, including 30% reduced arthritis 646 index with radiological stabilisation revealed by X-ray radiographs based on cartilage 647 and bone destruction, joint narrowing and tissue swelling [73]. The histopathological 648 investigation in 2 or 6 weeks after MSC-transplantation demonstrated unremarkable 649 synovial hyperplasia, reduced infiltration of inflammatory cells, and remarkably better 650 joint condition in comparison to untreated CIA rats where thickening of synovial 651

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

membrane, infiltration of lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear cells and cartilage 652 damage was reported [73]. These results were compatible with other studies [139]. 653 Intravenous transplantation of umbilical cord blood (UCB)-MSCs into a CIA mouse 654 model, significantly reduced IL1 β and IL6 protein expression by 19.4% and 42.4% 655 respectively whilst increasing the expression of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL10 by 5.5-656 fold in paw tissues [139]. Treg populations were also shown to increase in a dose-657 dependent manner in CIA mice treated with UC-MSCs compared with the control group 658 [139]. Transplantation of AT-MSCs into a CIA mouse model also demonstrated the 659 suppression of T-cell autoimmune response, reduction in the clinical symptoms of 660 arthritis and decreased mean arthritic score, including erythema and paw swelling [134]. 661 In this study, microcomputed tomography examined bone mineral density, trabecular 662 bone volume fraction, trabecular number, thickness, separation and connectivity 663 density. Together the data revealed a significant reduction in bone loss and retention of 664 trabecular bone architecture, which was proposed to be mediated by MSC inhibition of 665 receptor activator of NF-kB ligand (RANKL)-induced osteoclastogenesis in a contact-666 dependent manner in the presence of pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF α , IL17, and IL1 β 667 [134]. Slowing down pro-inflammatory disease activity in arthritis models and activation 668 of cartilage repair mechanisms provides evidence that MSCs may be used in cell-based 669 therapies for the treatment of arthritis [73]. 670

The therapeutic efficacy of MSCs has also been investigated in lupus nephritis in experimental mouse models. Meta-analysis of 28 studies evaluating the efficacy of MSCs demonstrated reduced levels of double stranded (ds)-DNA (odds ratios (OR), -29.58, 95% confidential intervals (CI) -29.58, -17.99, p <0.0001), antinuclear antibody (OR, -70.93, 95% CI -104.55, -37.32, p <0.0001), proteinuria (OR, -4.26, 95% CI -5.15, -3.37, p <0.0001) in the MSC treatment group against control group [133]. The levels of IL2, IL12, IL17 were significantly lower in the MSC treatment group compared with the control group (IL2: OR, -50.86, 95% CI -78.76, -22.96, p=0.0004; IL12: OR, -328.24, 95% CI -652.20, -4.29, p = 0.05; IL-17: OR, -36.40, 95% CI -65.88, -6.93, p = 0.02). IFN γ were lower in the MSC group than in the control group (OR, -240.24, 95% CI -364.73, -115.75, p = 0.0002), and a comparable trend was shown with TGF β , MCP1, TNF α , though statistical significance was not achieved [133]. Lower renal sclerosis scores were recorded in MSC treatment groups compared with the control group (OR,-1.92, 95% CI - 2.66, -1.18, p < 0.0001), suggesting that MSCs might be useful in the treatment of lupus nephritis [133].

MSCs have successfully promoted myelin repair in an experimental mouse model 686 of autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE). Transplantation of BM-derived MSCs into 687 myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)35-55-induced EAE demonstrated an 80% 688 reduction in demyelination and decrease in inflammatory cell infiltrates, including T-689 cells (50%), B-cells (51%), macrophages (51%). This was coupled with a decline in disease 690 progression measured by 41% decreased cumulative score and 60% lower maximal 691 clinical score [74]. These results indicate that MSCs may be beneficial for the treatment of 692 multiple sclerosis (MS) at the onset of disease when the immune response against 693 myelin plays a major role in pathogenesis. MSCs derived from embryonic stem cells (ES-694 MSCs) have a greater neuroprotective potential than those derived from amniotic fluid 695 (AF-MSC) and adult tissues and may therefore have a better therapeutic effect for the 696 treatment of neurological diseases [135]. ES-MSCs showed a higher proliferative 697 capacity in comparison to AF-MSCs, and higher anti-inflammatory potential due to 698 increased NF-kB-mediated release of anti-inflammatory cytokines IL13 [135]. Moreover, 699 ES-MSCs impaired the loss of the cortex and pyriform cortex tissues to a higher degree 700 than AF-MSCs injected into the brains of neonatal mice that had undergone hypoxic-701 ischemic insult, significantly reduced microglial activation and prevented the transition 702 of microglia to phagocytic phenotype [135]. However, the risk of terataoma formation 703

7	0	4
7	0	5
7	0	6
7	0	7
, 7	0	, 8
-	ñ	0
/	0	9
7	1	0
7	1	1
7	1	2
7	1	3 1
7 7	1	5
7	1 1	6
, 7	1	7
, 7	1	, 8
-	1	0
7	1 2	9
7	2	1
7 7	2	1 2
7 7	2	∠ 3
, 7	2	4
, 7	2	5
7	2	6
7	2	7
7	2	8
7	2	9
7	3	0
7	3	1
7	3	2
7	3	3
7	3	4
7	3	5
7	3	6
7	3	7
7	3	8
7	3	9
7	4	0
7	4	1
7	4	2
7	4	3
7	4	4
7	4	5
/	4	0 7
7 7	4 /	/ Q
7 7	-± /	9
, 7	±	0
7	5	1

and ethical issues regarding the destruction of human embryos has near prohibited the	2
clinical application of these ES-cell derivatives [140].	2

6. Clinical application of mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases

Following pre-clinical evaluation in experimental animal models the therapeutic application of MSCs in the clinical setting has been considered for autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases that currently have analgesic, i.e.symptom-alleviating, rather than curative treatments. Autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases are mostly treated by immunosuppressants but these are not always successful within a heterogeneous patient population. Continuous administration of medications can amplify side effects and long-term suppression of the immune system increases the risk of infections. Currently effective treatment options are limited and there is a need for new therapeutic approaches [141].

There is an historical context for the use of haematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplantation that precedes MSC application. HSCs have been applied to poor prognosis and refractory treatment of severe autoimmune diseases since 1995. MSCs are considered as an attractive source for co-transplantation with HSCs because of their role in forming the microenvironment niche and their immunosuppressive properties that support allogeneic transplant viability. The first clinical application of BM-MSCs was performed in 1995, where the cells were used in the treatment of hematologic malignancy patients [142]. Since then, allogeneic or autologous MSCs have been used in the treatment of a multitude of severe diseases, including graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) [143]. Despite the extremely high level of mortality of GVHD, researchers recorded improved gut and liver measures including re-normalisation of bilirubin, liver biopsy histology, colonoscopy, suppression of clinical manifestation include diarrhoea and abdominal pain. Objective improvement in clinical measues of GVHD has been demonstrated in 58% of gastrointestinal cases, and 44% of liver cases when measured at day 28 post-MSC administration [143]. In addition, 76% of patients showed improvement in skin disease, with 44% of cases resolving completely [143].

Later, the efficacy of MSC treatment was proven in a phase II experimental trial, where co-delivery of MSCs with transplantation of allogeneic HSCs in the treatment of leukaemia showed the ability to modify innate and adaptive immune responses and provide an immunosuppressive effect that resulted in improved outcome measures for patients with steroid-resistant acute GVHD [144, 145].MSCs have now been used in the treatment of many autoimmune diseases, where standard therapeutic methods have proved ineffective (**Table 4**). BM has been considered to be the preferred tissue source for MSCs in therapeutic approaches, most likely because of the historical developmental pathway where BM-MSCs were first identified and characterised and relative abundance in BM tissue [3]. Experimental evidence suggests however that other tissue sources might be more therapeutically relevant for the treatment of autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders. Thus, UC-MSCs and UCB-MSCs have many advantages compared to BM-MSCs, they are available in large quantities without invasive procedures and have demonstrated good colony forming unit-fibroblast formation efficiency and greater immunomodulatory potential than BM-MSCs [146]. UC-MSCs were reported to have half the cell population doubling time and a higher number of population doublings than BM-MSCs [146]. They are considered to be more immunotolerant with lower expression of HLA class I and an absence of HLA-DR even 752 upon IFN γ stimulation, thus highlighting potential advantages over BM-MSCs [146]. 753 However, there may also be donor-related MSC variability, which have been attricuted 754 to different factors altering the metabolic environment in utero. The most relevant 755

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767 768

limitation is considered to be maternal obesity, which is accompanied by metaflammation. UC-MSCs from high BMI donors demonstrated slower population doubling but stronger immunosuppressive activity than MSCs derived from donors with lower BMI [147].

As well as exhibiting biological variation and heterogeneity of regenerative and immunomodulatory function, the source of tissue from which MSCs are derived is influential in the production of a cell-based therapeutic that can translate effectively to clinical application. For instance, invasive harvesting of tissues, including bone marrow may not always be an appropriate option for patients compromised by inflammatory pain. Furthermore, MSCs derived from tissues affected by the pro-inflammatory environment of autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders may not be of sufficient quality to effect repair [148-150].

Table 4. Clinical experience of MSCs transplantation in autoimmune diseases. A 769 description of clinical studies of MSCs from different sources (including BM, bone 770 marrow; UC, umbilical cord; AT, adipose-tissue) and their application as a treatment of 771 patients with autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders using the following 772 indicators of the efficacy: ACR20, American College of Rheumatology 20% improvement 773 criteria; anti-CCP, anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide; anti-dsDNA, anti-double-stranded 774 DNA; ALP, Alkaline phosphatase; ALSFRS, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis functional 775 rating scale; ALT, Alanine transaminase; BILAG, British Isles Lupus Assessment 776 Group; The DAS24, Derriford appearance scale; DAS28, 28-joint disease activity 777 score; EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; GEL, gadolinium-enhancing lesions; 778 GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HADS, the hospital anxiety and depression scale; 779 HAQ, Health Assessment Questionnaire; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; 780 IL, interleukin; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; MRI, Magnetic resonance 781 imaging; SLEDAI, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index; TNF α , tumor 782 necrosis factor- α ; VAS, visual analogue scales. 783

Disease	Patients	MSC type	Outcomes	Reference
Steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host dis- ease Acute graft-versus-host disease resistant to multiple immunosup- pressive agents in chil- dren	(N) 55 75	Allogeneic BM-MSCs Allogeneic BM-MSCs	 More than half of the patients responded to the treatment measured by improve ment of symptoms of acute GVHD Patients had no side-effects The rate of overall response (complet and partial response) was 66.7% for GVHD grade B, 76.2% for grade C, and 53.3% for grade D Response for individual organs wa 58.5% for the gastrointestinal system 75.6% for skin, and 44.4% for liver Overall response for patients treated for severe refractory GVHD was 61.3%, and this response was correlated with statis 	l [144] - e [143] r l s , , r l -
			tically significant improved survival a day +100 after MSC infusion.	t
Steroid-refractory acute graft-versus-host dis- ease III/IV after hema- topoietic stem cell transplantation	46	Allogeneic BM-MSCs	 Clinical improvement in 50% (23/46) of patients: 3 patients (13%) had complete response, 14 (61%) had partial response and 6 (26%) had transient partial response The estimated probability of survival a 2 year was 17.4% 2 patients (4.3%) presented acute transient side effects (nausea/vomiting and blurred vision) during cell infusion No late or severe side effects 	f [145] e - t
Multiple sclerosis	20	Allogeneic UC-MSC	 Improvement in EDSS scores (p < 0.03), Reduction of in bladder, bowel, and sex ual dysfunction (p < 0.01), in non-dominant hand average scores (p < 0.01), it walk times (p < 0.02) MRI scans of the brain and the cervical spinal cord showed inactive lesions it 83.3% (15/18) patients after 1 year 	[151] - - 1 1
Multiple sclerosis	9 pa- tients re- ceived MSCs	Autologous BM-MSCs	• Patients treated with MSCs had lower mean cumulative number of GEL on MRI than in placebo group after 6	[152]

Secondary progressive multiple sclerosis	(n=5) or placebo (n=4) 10 pa- tients had low- dose (1x10 ⁶ cel ls/kg) and 9 high-	Autologous AT-MSCs	 months and reduced mean GEL after 1 months Non-significant decrease of the frequency of Th1 (CD4⁺ IFNγ⁺) cells in blood of MSCs treated patients No serious adverse events One serious adverse event (1 urinary infection - not related to study treatment) Measures for 12 months of treatment effect based on EDSS score and MRI wernon-significant 	[153] ef- re
	dose			
	(4x10%cel			
	ls/kg)			
Amyotrophic lateral	23	Autologous	Reduction of ALSFRS decline at	3 [154]
sclerosis		BM-MSCs	 months after application, in a few case persisted for 6 months 80% of the patients had stable forced with the tal capacity for a time period of 9 month and 60% of patients at 12 months affection Weakness scales (WSs) remained stable in 75% of the patients at 3 months affection 	ses vi- hs ter ble ter
Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis	20	Autologous BM-MSCs	 Statistically significant improvement ALSFRS score Improvement in forced vital capace but insignificantly 13 patients showed a 25% improvement in the slope of progression of ALSFRS (mean improvement of 47.4%, p<0.003) 3 patients had an improvement of least than 25% 3 patients had a deterioration No serious adverse events 	in [155] ity ent 5-R 38) ess
Rheumatoid arthritis	53	Allogeneic AT-MSCs	• Persistent clinical benefit measured ACR20, ACR50, low disease activity	by [156]

Rheumatoid arthritis Systemic lupus erythe- matosus with refractory cytopenia	64 35	Allogeneic UC-MSC BM-MSC	•	The level of ESR, CRP, RF of 1 year and 3 years after treatment decreased Anti-CCP of 3 years after treatment de- creased Health index (HAQ) and joint function index (DAS28) were lower 1 year and 3 years after treatment than before treat- ment Liver and kidney function and immuno- globulin examination were normal Significant improvement of leukopenia, anaemia or thrombocytopenia Reduction of proteinuria, antinuclear an-	[157]
			•	tibodies and anti-dsDNA antibodies Decline of disease activity according to SLEDAI score	
			٠	Increase Treg, decrease Th17	
Systemic lupus erythe-	81	Allogeneic	٠	84% survival rate (68/81 patients) after	[159]
matosus (severe and		22 BM-		MSC	
drug-refractory)		MSC,	•	27% of patients (22/81) in complete clini-	
		59 UC-		cal remission	
		MSCs	•	7% (6/81) in partial clinical remission	
			•	5-vear overall rate of relapse of 24%	
				(9/37)	
			•	Serum albumin, peripheral leucocytes	
				and platelet numbers levels improved	
				during 5-year of follow up	
			•	Decline of disease activity according to	
				SLEDAI and remained significantly	
				lower ($p < 0.05$) 5 years after MSC	
			•	Serum levels of complement 3 signifi-	
				cantly increased ($p < 0.05$)	
			٠	24-hr proteinuria significantly decreased	
				at 1-, 2-, 3-, 4-, and 5-year follow-up (all	
				p < 0.05)	
Lupus nephritis	18 pa-	Allogeneic	•	Remission occurred in 75% patients	[160]
	tients re-	UC- MSCs		(9/12) in the UC-MSC group, in compar-	
	ceived			ison to 83% patients (5/6) in the placebo	
	MSCs			group	
	(n=12)		٠	Mean time to remission was 9 weeks for	
				the UC-MSC and 16 weeks placebo groups	
				0r°	

	or pla- cebo (n=6)		 3.2-fold reduction in proteinuria at 6 months in UC-MSC group compared with 1.4-fold reduction in proteinuria in the placebo group Improvement in the SLEDAI and BILAG scores, anti-dsDNA antibody and ANA and serum C3 and C4 concentrations with no difference between groups Serum creatinine remained stable in both groups 	
Systemic sclerosis	14	Allogeneic UC-MSCs	 Reduction of modified Rodnan skin score improvement of lung function and computed tomography after 12 months of combined therapy decrease in the anti-Scl70 autoantibody, TGFβ and vascular endothelial growth factor 	[161]
Systemic sclerosis	62	Autologous AT-MSCs	 Significant 22% improvement in mouth function Improvement in the psychological status: 15% decrease of VAS and 22% decrease of DAS24 scores Decrease of the level of psychological distress related to physical appearance: 27% improvement of HADS-A score that measures levels of anxiety, 24% decrease of HADS-D score that measures levels of depression Reduce of SSc fibroblast viability and proliferation was significantly after 14 days of co-culture with AT-MSCs Decrease of TGFβ1 and connective tissue growth factor in co-culturing SSc fibroblasts with AT-MSCs Decrease of Matrix metalloproteinase-8, Platelet derived growth factor-β and Integrin Subunit Beta 6 in SSc co-culture with AT-MSCs compared to monoculture after 14 days 	[162]

Liver cirrhosis caused by autoimmune dis- eases (mixed connective tissue disease, primary biliary cirrhosis, pri- mary Sjögren's syn- drome, rheumatoid arthritis, systemic lu- pus erythematosus, systemic sclerosis)	26	Allogeneic (23 patients received UC-MSCs, 2 received cord blood MSCs and 1 – BM- MSCs)	•	ALT, ALP, GGT and total bilirubin de- creased Average serum albumin levels improved Improvement of Model for End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) scores	[163]
Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis	8	Allogeneic placenta- derived MSCs	•	Slight improvement of all spirometry tests Fibrosis score were unchanged - no evi- dence of worsening fibrosis	[164]
Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis	9	Allogeneic BM- MSCs	•	No serious adverse events Two nontreatment-related deaths oc- curred because of progression of IPF (disease worsening and/or acute exacer- bation) 3.0% mean decline in % predicted forced vital capacity and 5.4% mean decline in % predicted diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide by 60 weeks after MSC transplantation	[165]
COVID-19	7 (1 crit- ically se- vere type, 4 severe types and 2 common types)	Autologous BM-MSCs	• • • • •	The pulmonary function and symptoms of all patients were significantly improved in 2 days after transplantation Two common and one severe patient were recovered Peripheral lymphocytes level increased CRP decreased Overactivated cytokine-secreting immune cells CXCR3+CD4+ T-cells, CXCR3+CD8+ T-cells, and CXCR3+ NK cells disappeared in 3-6 days CD14+CD11c+CD11b ^{mid} regulatory DC cell population increased The level of TNF- α decreased, IL10 in- creased	[166]

Clinical trials have investigated the safety and efficacy of MSCs in the treatment of inflammatory kidney diseases, including nephritis associated with lupus and diabetes, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease and atherosclerotic renovascular disease 787

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

[159, 160]. Intravenous transplantation of allogeneic BM- and UC-MSC in severe and drug-refractory SLE patients demonstrated statistically significant improvement in proteinuria, serum albumin, complement C3, peripheral leucocytes and platelet numbers at 24-hours post-infusion, and significant decline of disease activity measured against the systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index (SLEDAI) at 5-year of follow up [159]. The 5-year overall survival rate in of patients with severe drug-refractory SLE after MSC transplantation was 84% (68/81 patients), with 27% of patients (22/81) achieving complete clinical remission and 7% (6/81) partial clinical remission [159].

In another study SLE patients with refractory cytopenia demonstrated a significant improvement in blood cell count (leukocytes, erythrocytes, thrombocytes) following BM-MSC transplantation, and this was accompanied by a 43.65% reduction in SLEDAI at 3-months and 72.44% at 24-month follow up [158]. Immune cell populations were also reported to be moderated with 53.7% increase in Treg cells and 54% reduction in Th17 cells at 1-month post-BM-MSCs transplantation [158]. However, the data obtained from another randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of allogeneic UC-MSCs transplantation in lupus nephritis showed no additional therapeutic benefit of MSCs under standard immunosuppression including intravenous methylprednisolone and cyclophosphamide, oral prednisolone and mycophenolate mofetil therapy [160]. Following transplantation of UC-MSC at a dose 2×108 cells, 75% (9/12 patients) achieved remission with reduction of haematuria and proteinuria, in comparison to 83% patients (5/6) in the placebo group). Overall, the study revealed an improvement in the SLEDAI and the British Isles Lupus Assessment Group (BILAG) scores in both groups [160]. Examination of anti-dsDNA antibody, ANA and serum C3 and C4 concentrations did not show any difference between groups [160].

Analysis of 8 pilot trials, in which MSCs were co-delivered with renal transplantation reported prolonged graft survival and reduction in dose of immunosuppressive drugs, including tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil or cyclosporin A, and this was predicted to be a result of the immunosuppressive, anti-oxidative, reparative-regenerative properties of MSCs [167].

The efficacy and safety of MSCs of different cell origins (UC-MSCs, BM-MSCs, 818 stromal vascular fraction-MSCs) in the treatment of SSc have being demonstrated in 9 819 clinical studies, including 133 adult patients [168]. Systematic review and meta-analyses 820 of these research data showed reduction of the modified Rodnan skin score (mean 821 difference (MD) 5.23, 95% confidential intervals (CI) 4.18–6.29, p < 0.00001), significant 822 decrease in the number of digital ulcers after 6 months of treatment with MSCs (odds 823 ratios (OR) 21.10, 95% CI=3.63–122.56, p=0.0007), as well as visual analogue scale of hand 824 pain in SSc patients (MD=7.09, 95% CI 0.53-13.65, p=0.03). However, Raynaud's 825 phenomenon score and Cochin hand function scale score were not changed significantly 826 at 6 months of MSCs therapy (MD = 1.8, 95% CI – 3.38 to 6.99, p=0.50). Zhang et al. (2017) 827 demonstrated that combined therapy, including plasmapheresis, pulse 828 cyclophosphamide and allogeneic UC-MSCT resulted in 31% improvement of Rodnan 829 skin score, improvement of carbon monoxide diffusing capacity and forced vital 830 capacity of SSc patients (p < 0.05) at 12 months of follow-up [161]. Serological changes 831 such as 51.32% reduction in Anti-Scl70 autoantibody and 47.09% decrease in VEGF also 832 were found after 12-month follow up period [161]. 833

Assessment of 62 patients with SSc treated with autologous AT-MSCs revealed a83422% improvement in mouth function measured by the Mouth Handicap Scale and835enhancement in psychological status determined by VAS score [162]. The study also836demonstrated significant reduction in the viability and proliferation of dermal837fibroblasts derived from SSc patients following co-culture with AT-MSCs for 14 days (p838< 0,0001). This effect was associated with decrease of TGF β 1 and connective tissue839growth factor (CTGF) production, and reduced expression of fibrosis-associated genes,840

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

including matrix metalloproteinase-8 (MMMP-8) and integrin Subunit Beta 6 (ITG-β6) [162].

In the area of arthritis, the first studies to investigate MSCs were in patients with RA who had not responded to conventional pharmaceutical therapy. Studies investigating the role of allogeneic BM-MSCs and UC-MSCs by infusion into patients with RA have demonstrated a moderate response according to EULAR criteria [156, 157]. Sixty-four RA patients who underwent UC-MSCs therapy combined with DMARDs demonstrated reduction in HAQ and DAS28 scores, as well as reduction in Creactive protein (CRP), ESR, and anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide (anti-CCP) at 1 year and 3-year follow-up [157]. Clinical efficacy was maintained for 3 years post-MSCs transplantation without any serious side-effects reported during or after UC-MSCs infusion [157].

The treatment of paediatric rheumatic diseases with MSCs have also been investigated in patients who had had no response to all currently available treatment options, including biologics. AT-MSCs transfused into a child with SLE refractory to standard therapy resulted in a decrease in global assessment PGA from 8/10 to 1/10, ANA declined from 1:640 to 1:80, and the patient become clinically stable for 2 years [169]. Allogeneic UC-MSCs transplanted into a patient with juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) had improved PGA from 6/10 to 1/10 and Juvenile Arthritis Disease Activity Scores (JADAS) from 11 to 6 [169]. A single-centre open label intervention study in six patients with JIA resistant to biological therapy reported a 25% decrease in VAS well-being (p =0.043) and 55.1% decline in the JADAS-71 (p = 0.043) 8 weeks after allogeneic BM-MSC transfusion compared to the start of the study [170]. One year after MSC transplantation the patients had significantly lower active joint count, VAS well-being, VAS pain, 864 physician global assessments, cJADAS-10, JADAS-71, Quality of Life (from JAMAR) 865 scores than at the start of the study (p < 0.046) [170]. However, one patient with systemic 866 onset JIA (sJIA) had a relapse of macrophage activation syndrome (MAS) 7 weeks post-867 MSC infusion and 9 weeks after tocilizumab discontinuation [170]. Thus, MSC may be a 868 powerful tool in the therapy of childhood rheumatic disease, they were well tolerated 869 with no serious adverse events such as ectopic growth, emboli, or malignancy in the 870 examined children [169], though ceasing biologic treatment may increase the risk of a 871 MAS flare [170]. This highlighted the need of well monitored controlled clinical trials 872 with MSCs in paediatric rheumatic disease. 873

Intravenous infusions of UC-MSC for the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS) 874 showed a 11.7% reduction in disease activity measured by Kurtzke Expanded Disability 875 Status Scale (EDSS) test, and a 2% decline in Scripps Neurological Rating Scale with 876 significant improvement in bladder, bowel, and sexual function [81]. In addition, an 877 increase in non-dominant hand average scores and in walk times (p < 0.02) were 878 registered after 1 year compared to baseline [151]. MRI scans of the brain and the 879 cervical spinal cord demonstrated no disease progression or no new or active lesions in 880 83.3% patients at 1 year post-treatment [151]. In another study, patients with MS who 881 were unresponsive to conventional therapy demonstrated a four-fold reduction in the 882 mean cumulative number of gadolinium-enhancing lesions (GEL) on MRI scan at 6 883 months post-BM-MSC transplantation, but there was no significant improvement in the 884 EDSS [152]. Clinical measurements were correlated with a modest reduction in Th1 and 885 Th17 lymphocytes and an increase in Breg populations in the peripheral blood of MSC-886 treated patients in comparison to the control group [152]. In contrast, Fernández et al. 887 (2018) reported that intravenous delivery of AT-MSCs showed no statistical 888 improvement in clinical outcome measures, including number of relapses, EDSS score 889 and MRI non-normalised cerebral volume or number of active lesions in Gd-enhanced 890 T1 scans [153]. 891

In a phase II clinical trial for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) repeated dosing of 892 autologous BM-MSCs via intrathecal transplantation showed a statistically significant 893

922 923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

improvement in Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale Revised 894 (ALSFRS-R) score [155]. The treatment protocol of this research was intended to include 895 MSCs injections every 3 months during 2 years, though due to low number of cells or 896 the unwillingness of the patients to undergo repeated lumbar punctures the treatment 897 intervals were extended individually and patients received MSCs between 1 and 4 times. 898 Of the MSC-transplanted ALS patients, the majority (65%) demonstrated a greater than 899 25% slower rate of progression ALSFRS-R after MSC transplantation compared with the 900 pre-treatment period (mean improvement of 47.4%, p< 0.0038) [155]. Another 901 prospective, nonrandomized, open-label clinical trial showed the slow down 902 progression of ALS at 3 months (p < 0.001), as well as at 6, 9, and 12 months (p < 0.01) 903 with reduction in ALSFRS decline after BM-MSCs transplantation via lumbar puncture 904 into the cerebrospinal fluid in 23 patients [154]. Forced vital capacity (FVC) and values 905 of weakness scales remained stable for a period of 9 months [154]. 906

Between 2020-2023 MSCs have been used as a potential therapy for treating 907 patients with severe SARS-CoV-2-associated inflammation [171]. The first report from a 908 pilot trial was obtained from China, where seven patients with COVID-19 pneumonia 909 received MSC transplantation with assessment up to 14 days post-treatment [166]. At 2-4 910 days post-transplantation, clinical symptoms, including high fever, and shortness of 911 breath were reduced and blood oxygen saturation was increased to \geq 95% at rest [166]. 912 Based on satisfactory clinical results the authors concluded that MSCs could improve the 913 outcomes of COVID-19 without any transfusion side effects. Up-to-date meta-analysis of 914 MSCs treatment of COVID-19 revealed that intravenous infusion of UC-MSC 915 significantly decrease the risk of mortality in comparison of the control group (p = 0.03) 916 [171]. No statistical significance was observed on the incidence of adverse events (p =917 0.44). The ability of MSC in reducing inflammatory response was not determined 918 because the levels of CRP or IL-6 changed insignificantly (p = 0.06 and p = 0.09919 respectively) [171]. 920

7. Risks and challenges of stem cells transplantation

MSCs have been widely investigated in treatment of several very severe, refractory inflammatory diseases and has included thousands of participants with GVHD, MS, ALS, RA and SLE. Treatment-related adverse events associated with MSC administration have been evaluated by systematic reviews. One of the biggest metaanalysis to review MSC safety included 62 randomised clinical trials involving 3546 participants highlighted an association with transient fever 48 hours post-MSC administration (odds ratios (OR), 3.65, 95% confidential intervals (CI) 2.05–6.49, p<0.01) and adverse events at the administration site including injection site bleeding, swelling, itchy, pain or local infection (OR, 1.98, 95% CI 1.01–3.87, p=0.05) [172]. Minor adverse events associated with MSCs transplantation were sleeplessness (OR, 5.90, 95% CI 1.04–33.47, p=0.05), fatigue (OR, 2.99, 95% CI 1.06–8.44, p=0.04) and constipation (OR, 2.45, 95% CI 1.01–5.97, p=0.05) [172].

Other side effects have been reported and include the presence of acute transient 936 side effects such as nausea/vomiting and blurred vision during MSC infusion in 2 from 937 46 patients with steroid refractory GVHD (4.3%) [145]. Thromboembolism induced by 938 stem cell transplantation was described in two patients with renal transplantation and 939 chronic kidney disease though the total cohort size was not reported [173]. MSC infusion 940 caused venous obstruction and swollen extremities, but followed urokinase and 941 warfarin thrombolytic therapy performed in these cases successfully treated the 942 thrombosis [173]. 943

The oncological risks of MSC transplantation have been widely discussed because 944 of their high proliferative capability and theoretically potential for malignant 945

transformation. MSCs are attracted to injured tissues and wounds, but also may be 946 recruited to tumours in response to the over production of growth factors (PDGF, HGF), 947 cytokines (IL1 β , IL8, TGF β , TNF α), angiogenic factors (such as VEGF) and some 948 chemokines (CCL5, CCL2, CXCL12 and CCL22) [174]. MSC recruited to the tumour 949 microenvironment in response to hypoxia or pro-inflammatory cytokines, including 950 IL1 β , TNF α and IFN γ form tumour-associated MSCs, which have been shown to further 951 transdifferentiate to cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). CAFs secret proangiogenic 952 and immunosuppressive factors, including PDGF, FGF, VEGF, and IL6 and IL8, that go 953 on to contribute to cancer cell survival, 'stemness', angiogenesis and 954 immunosuppression and the promotion of tumorigenic growth and metastasis [174, 955 175]. CAFs are formed in response to TGF β and FGF production in the tumour 956 microenvironment, acquiring an expression profile that includes α -SMA, fibroblast 957 activation protein, thrombospondin-1, tenascin-C, desmin-1, and VEGF-AA, and as a 958 terminally committed cell type are unable to return to their naïve phenotype or undergo 959 apoptosis. CAFs contribute to the recruitment of monocytes and M2 macrophage 960 polarisation to M2 [174]. However, the majority of studies investigating the conversion 961 of MSCs into CAF subtypes were carried out in vitro and were therefore dependent on 962 different culture conditions, including continuous inflammatory stimulus by TNF α and 963 $IL1\beta$ [176]. Further studies including the identification of potential CAF markers may 964 help in the understanding of the mechanisms underlying the actual risk of MSCs 965 modifiation into CAFs within a native in vivo environment and when delivered as a cell-966 based therapeutic. 967

The key question is whether the generation of tumorigenic cells is a result of ex 968 vivo MSC expansion in culture. Senescent MSCs that have exited cell cycle obtain a 969 senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) characterised by the secretion of a 970 cocktail of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL6, IFN γ , TNF α), chemokines (IL8, MCP1), 971 growth factors (FGFb, HGF, GM-CSF), proteases (MMPs, TIMP-2), soluble adhesion 972 molecules and cell surface receptors (ICAM, VCAM, EGFR), extracellular matrix (ECM) 973 components (fibronectin, laminin), some non-protein small molecules (NO, PGE2), 974 growth-related oncogene (GRO), and macrophage-derived chemokine (MDC). The SASP 975 is also associated with systemic inflammation and is responsible for a paracrine-976 mediated 'bystander effect' in which surrounding cells are induced to senescence, 977 contributing more widely to tissue dysfunction [177]. The composition of SASP that is 978 released by damaged or senescent fibroblasts is known to support tumour growth [178]. 979 Whilst other research demonstrated that SASP may block the proliferation, as well as 980 induce growth arrest and apoptosis of cancer cells [179]. Finally, Alessio et al. (2019) 981 showed that SASP derived from MSCs that had undergone senescnce by treatment with 982 hydrogen proxide or with low X-ray could induce senescence in immortalised prostate 983 cells and therefore may be considered as an effective agent against pre-tumorigenesis 984 [180]. Prolonged in vitro expansion affects the immunomodulatory efficacy of MSCs 985 because of the progression of replicative senescence. MSC senescence is mediated by 986 p53/p21, p16/RB, p38MAP kinase, mitogen activated protein and signal transducer and 987 activator of transcription-3 (STAT3) signalling pathways, leading to a permanent cell 988 cycle arrest, altered autophagy homeostasis and irreversible DNA damage that 989 manifests in dysfunctional immunomodulation of immune cell responses [181]. 990 Phenotypic changes associated with replicative senescnce include morphological 991 alterations (loss of fibroblastic morphology and enlarged cell volume), reduction of 992 proliferation rate, impaired differentiation and homing capacity, mitochondrial 993 dysfunction, as well as changes in the secretory phenotype from anti-inflammatory to a 994 pro-inflammatory secretome [181]. Another mechanism includes in vitro progressive 995 telomere shortening and induction of genomic instability, which occurs during multiple 996 cell culture passages [181]. Epigenetic modifications reduce 'stemness', evidenced by the 997 reduced capacity for self-renewal and differentiation and are concominent with 998 downregulated expression of cell surface markers associated with MSC phenotype, 999

1008

1009 1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

including stromal cell surface marker-1 (STRO1), CD106 and CD146 (MCAM). [181]. As1000well as long-term in vitro culture, the age of the donor may also be causal to genetic1001instability and chromosomal aberrations, elevating the risk of cell transformation and1002tumour formation [182]. To reduce these risks, genetic characterisation of MSC1003populations by conventional karyotyping and molecular array-comparative genomic1004hybridisation has been proposed to identify potential chromosomal abnormalities in1005cultured MSCs prior to clinical application [182].1006

8. Comparison of Allogeneic and Autologous Sources of Mesenchymal Stem Cells

Debate over the benefit of allogeneic or autologous MSC therapy has been widely discussed [115, 183], with proposition that allogeneic MSCs are more advantageous than those harvested from autologous sources [183]. A higher quality of MSCs may be acquired from allogeneic sources because of the ability to control patients' age and health status, cell potency and absence of genetic and epigenetic abnormalities (**table 4**). The disadvantages of allogeneic MSCs have been shown with reports that these cells are not absolutely immune-privileged and despite low expression of MHC class I and II, can still be recognised by immune response and rejected after about 20 days in vivo [81].

The process of cryopreservation has important implications on the efficiency of 1019 clinical translation of MSC-based therapies. Application of allogeneic therapies will 1020 enable the production of 'off-the shelf' products, minimising the number of surgical 1021 interventions undertaken by the patient and maximising the number of therapeutic 1022 products that can be manufactured per tissue donor. For autologous applications, MSCs 1023 can be harvested from healthy tissues and cryopresrved when required at a later date. 1024 To achieve this, more information is required regarding the impact of cryopreservation 1025 on the biological status of the cells, and by extension how both saftey and efficacy is 1026 affected for both allogenic and autologous applications [184]. It has been reported that 1027 cryopreservation of allogeneic MSCs can alter the survival of MSCs when recovered 1028 from cryopreservation in comparison to fresh MSCs in a model of normo-thermic 1029 machine perfusion to support transplant kidneys [185]. In addition, cryopreserved MSC 1030 were characterised by the elevated levels of ROS and reduced mitochondrial activity 1031 [185]. This points to an enhanced level of oxidative stress and indicates impaired 1032 metabolism of MSCs following cryopreservation. Autologous MSC transplantation is 1033 considered to be 'safer' than use of allogeneic cells but harvesting of autologous MSCs 1034 requires time for in vitro cell expansion and, additionally, previous stimulation or 1035 surgery [115]. The quality and quantity of these cells may be lower than those derived 1036 from an allogeneic source, due to the presence of disease or age of patient (table 5). 1037

The age of the donor is also important parameter that restricts the benefit of 1038 autologous MSCs transplantation. MSCs taken from older patients are known to have 1039 higher levels of replicative senescence, evidenced by significantly fewer CFU-Fs formed 1040 on derivation, reduced proliferation rate, reduced immunomodulatory properties and 1041 an increased pro-inflammatory phenotype compared to those derived from younger 1042 donors [186, 187, 188]. These studies have shown that MSCs derived from elderly donors 1043 have lower superoxide dismutase activity and increased production of nitric oxide and 1044ROS, leading to oxidative damage and senescence [188]. Hallmarks of senescnce, 1045 including SA- β -gal expression were higher in AT-MSC obtained from patients in the 1046 elderly donors (>50 years) compared to young donors years; 12.2 ± 1.1% vs. 5.2 ± 1.9% 1047 SA- β -gal positive cells; p < 0.05) [187], and expression of senescence-associated p16 and 1048 p21 genes was also significantly higher in MSCs from elderly donors (>50 years) when 1049 compared to younger donors (<40 years) (p < 0.05) [187]. 1050

Similarly, autologous MSCs derived from from patients with autoimmine or 1051 autoinflammatory diseases may have a compromised genetic background that 1052 predisposes their stem cell compartment to immune disorders. An example of this is 1053 evident in in juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) where both HLA and non-HLA-related 1054 genes are heavily influential in pre-disposing disease susceptibility [189]. For these 1055 conditions the use of allogeneic MSCs has been considered as more preferable option for 1056 safe and effective treatment. 1057 Considering the low expression of MHC class II antigens and lack of the immune 1058 co-stimulatory receptors, allogeneic MSCs do not provoke a strong immune response 1059 and probably can be used for treatment of diseases without complications. Many 1060 systemic intravascular delivery and intra-articular injections of autologous or allogenic 1061 MSCs have been performed over the last decade, without any serious complications, 1062 such as malformation or sepsis [119, 157]. However, it is important to consider that the 1063 immunogenicity of MSCs may change under the influence of pro-inflammatory 1064 environment into which they are delivered, with pro-inflammatory mediators at the in 1065

vivo site of inflammation stimulating the upregulation of immune molecues, including1066MHC Class II [115]. To understand with certainty if MSC transplantation is beneficial to1067treatment of all types of autoimmune and autoinflammatroy diseases, where there are a1068spectra of pro-inflammatory mediator compositions, future research needs to focus on1069long-term clinical trials that investigate changes in MSC phenotype and function1070following transplantation.1071

- 1072
- 1073

Table 5. Advantages and disadvantages of autologous versus allogeneic MSCs. The pros1074and cons of autologous and allogeneic MSCs transplantation were summarised in the pro-1075spect of cell availability, quantity and quality.1076

	Allogeneic	Autologous
Availability	Immediate "off-the-shelf" availability	Need to be taken, isolated and cultured
Quality	Control of donor age (may be selectively derived from young)	No control of donor age
	Cells from healthy donors	Potential disease impairment of MSCs
Cell quality in accordance with good manufacturing practice	Screening for chromosomal ab- errations, viral contamination, sterility, identity, purity and cell potency	Usually, no screening for cell potency due to lack of time and material

Quantity	Standardising the quantity of	Difficulties to grow in culture	1077
	cells	and yield low cell numbers	1078
			1079
			1080
Immune response on MSCs	s Can be recognised by immune	Are not recognised by immu-	1081
transplantation	response and rejected	nocompetent cells because of	1082
		the usage of the own cells with	1083
		the same antigens	1084
		0.0	1085
			1005
			1086
			1087
			1088
			1089
			1090
			1091
			1092
			1093
			1094
			1095
			1096
			1097
			1098
			1099
			1100
			1100
			1101
			1102
			1103
	To summarise the results obtain	ed from the preliminary analysis of studies of MSC	1104
	to hoving proteins (safety of medium)	ectopic tissue formation or malignant	1105
	transformation, infection, aggregation	the cells and embolisation. Nevertheless, in	1100
	clinical trials in adult and paediatric p	opulations, all complications of MSCs therapy,	1107
	except fever and administration site a	dverse events, did not correlate with cell	1109
	transplantation [119]. A major step to	ward adoption of MSC therapies came in 2018 with	1110
	the first allogeneic MSC product appr	oved for use in the European Union [190].	1111
	However, some questions are still ope	n and needed to be addressed. One of them is the	1112
	complex combinations of bioactive fac	tors all of which can have an impact on the	1113
	therapeutic outcome of the MSC prod	uct. The safety and efficacy of MSCs in clinical	1114
	application depends not only on the b	iological properties of the cells but also on the	1116
	microenvironmental factors within the	e site into which the cells are being transplanted,	1117
	for instance the inflammatory status o	f the tissue. There is therefore a need to develop	1118
	strategies beyond standardisation of t	he phenotype and functional properties of MSCs,	1119
	such as optimisation of bioprocessing	and delivery protocols. Further work is required	1120
	transplanted so as to be able to predi-	the functional response of the colls are to be	1121
	are transplanted [115]. Ultimately, this	s work should be progressed to open-label multi-	1122
	1	1 0 1 10	

centre clinical trials thar measure and evaluate the long-term follow-up of MSC transplantation in order to verify their efficacy and safety in the treatment of autoimmune diseases.

9. Conclusions

Taken together, the immunomodulatory and regenerative properties of MSCs, 1128 driven by direct cell contact or production of exosome secretions, places these cells as im-1129 portant candidates for potential clinical application in the treatment of autoimmune and 1130 autoinflammatory diseases. However, contemporary studies have shown that MSCs ob-1131 tained from patients with these pathologies have impaired biology that restricts prolifer-1132 ative, differentiation and immunomodulatory properties. Further research is required to 1133 make a comprehensive understanding of the contribution that MSCs make to the patho-1134 genesis of autoimmune diseases and their application as therapeutics for moderating im-1135 mune responses in clinical cases where standard therapeutic methods have proved inef-1136 fective. 1137

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.N.Z., A.M., S.E.C., E.B., R.A.O.; methodology, L.N.Z., 1141 A.M., S.E.C., E.B., R.A.O.; validation, L.N.Z., A.M., S.E.C., E.B., M.W.B., C.P., R.A.O.; formal analy-1142 sis, L.N.Z., A.M., S.E.C., E.B., R.A.O.; investigation, L.N.Z., A.M., S.E.C., E.B., M.W.B., C.P., R.A.O.; 1143 resources, M.W.B., E.B. R.A.O.; data curation, L.N.Z., R.A.O.; writing-original draft preparation, 1144 L.N.Z., A.M., R.A.O.; writing-review and editing, L.N.Z., A.M., S.E.C., E.B., M.W.B., C.P., R.A.O.; 1145 visualization, L.N.Z., A.M., S.E.C., E.B., M.W.B., C.P., R.A.O; supervision, A.M., S.E.C., E.B., M.W.B., 1146C.P., R.A.O; project administration, R.A.O.; funding acquisition, L.N.Z., R.A.O. All authors have 1147 read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. 1148

Funding: This review article was undertaken as part of research funded by a Bolashak Scholarship 1149 Award, Kazakhstan Government, to Dr Lina Zaripova to undertake a PhD at the University of Liv-1150 erpool, Liverpool, UK, and also by the Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund 1151 awarded to the University of Liverpool (grant number 097826/z/11/z). 1152

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not Applicable. 1153

Informed Consent Statement: Not Applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not Applicable.

Acknowledgments: Work was supported by the NIHR Alder Hey Clinical Research Facility. The 1157 views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the 1158 Department of Health and Social Care 1159

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the 1160 design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manu-1161 script; or in the decision to publish the results. 1162

References

1.	Dominici, M., et al., Minimal criteria for defining multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells. The International Society for	1164
	Cellular Therapy position statement. Cytotherapy, 2006. 8(4): p. 315-7.	1165
2.	Costela-Ruiz, V.J., et al., Different Sources of Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Tissue Regeneration: A Guide to Identifying	1166
	the Most Favorable One in Orthopedics and Dentistry Applications. Int J Mol Sci, 2022. 23(11).	1167
3.	Berebichez-Fridman, R. and P.R. Montero-Olvera, Sources and Clinical Applications of Mesenchymal Stem Cells:	1168
	State-of-the-art review. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J, 2018. 18(3): p. e264-e277.	1169
4.	Friedenstein, A., J. Heersche, and J. Kanis, Bone and mineral research. 1990, Amsterdam: Elsevier.	1170
5.	Bianco, P., P.G. Robey, and P.J. Simmons, Mesenchymal stem cells: revisiting history, concepts, and assays. Cell	1171

Stem Cell, 2008. 2(4): p. 313-9.

36 of 46

1124

1125

1126

1127

1138 1139 1140

1163

1154 1155

1156

6.	Liu, Z.J., Y. Zhuge, and O.C. Velazquez, <i>Trafficking and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells</i> . J Cell Biochem, 2009. 106 (6): p. 984-91.	1173 1174
7.	Ling, L., et al., Important role of the SDF-1/CXCR4 axis in the homing of systemically transplanted human amnion-	1175
	derived mesenchymal stem cells (hAD-MSCs) to ovaries in rats with chemotherapy-induced premature ovarian	1176
	insufficiency (POI). Stem Cell Res Ther, 2022. 13(1): p. 79.	1177
8.	Barhanpurkar-Naik, A., et al., Interleukin-3 enhances the migration of human mesenchymal stem cells by regulating	1178
	expression of CXCR4. Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2017. 8(1): p. 168.	1179
9.	Fu, X., et al., Mesenchymal Stem Cell Migration and Tissue Repair. Cells, 2019. 8(8): p. 784.	1180
10.	Singh, P., et al., Aging-Related Reduced Expression of CXCR4 on Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cells	1181
	Contributes to Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cell Defects. Stem Cell Rev Rep, 2020. 16(4): p. 684-692.	1182
11.	Lin, W., et al., Mesenchymal stem cells homing to improve bone healing. J Orthop Translat, 2017. 9: p. 19-27.	1183
12.	Zhang, S.J., et al., Effect of TGF-β1/SDF-1/CXCR4 signal on BM-MSCs homing in rat heart of ischemia/perfusion	1184
	<i>injury.</i> Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci, 2016. 20 (5): p. 899-905.	1185
13.	Dubon, M.J., et al., Transforming growth factor β induces bone marrow mesenchymal stem cell migration via	1186
	noncanonical signals and N-cadherin. J Cell Physiol, 2018. 233(1): p. 201-213.	1187
14.	Camps, J., et al., On the Role of Paraoxonase-1 and Chemokine Ligand 2 (C-C motif) in Metabolic Alterations Linked to	1188
	Inflammation and Disease. A 2021 Update. Biomolecules, 2021. 11(7): p. 971.	1189
15.	López-Otín, C., et al., The hallmarks of aging. Cell, 2013. 153(6): p. 1194-217.	1190
16.	Fulop, T., et al., The integration of inflammaging in age-related diseases. Semin Immunol, 2018. 40: p. 17-35.	1191
17.	Hotamisligil, G.S., Endoplasmic reticulum stress and the inflammatory basis of metabolic disease. Cell, 2010. 140(6):	1192
	p. 900-17.	1193
18.	Berent-Maoz, B., et al., Fibroblast growth factor-7 partially reverses murine thymocyte progenitor aging by repression	1194
	of Ink4a. Blood, The Journal of the American Society of Hematology, 2012. 119(24): p. 5715-5721.	1195
19.	Calandra, T., et al., The macrophage is an important and previously unrecognized source of macrophage migration	1196
	inhibitory factor. The Journal of experimental medicine, 1994. 179(6): p. 1895-1902.	1197
20.	Calandra, T., et al., MIF as a glucocorticoid-induced modulator of cytokine production. Nature, 1995. 377(6544): p.	1198
	68-71.	1199
21.	Bacher, M., et al., An essential regulatory role for macrophage migration inhibitory factor in T-cell activation.	1200
	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 1996. 93(15): p. 7849-7854.	1201
22.	Donnelly, S.C., et al., Regulatory role for macrophage migration inhibitory factor in acute respiratory distress	1202
	<i>syndrome.</i> Nature medicine, 1997. 3 (3): p. 320-323.	1203
23.	Makita, H., et al., Effect of anti-macrophage migration inhibitory factor antibody on lipopolysaccharide-induced	1204
	pulmonary neutrophil accumulation. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine, 1998. 158(2): p.	1205
	573-579.	1206
24.	Mitchell, R.A., et al., Sustained mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and cytoplasmic phospholipase A2 activation	1207
	by macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF): regulatory role in cell proliferation and glucocorticoid action. Journal	1208
	of Biological Chemistry, 1999. 274(25): p. 18100-18106.	1209
25.	Mitchell, R.A., et al., Macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) sustains macrophage proinflammatory function by	1210
	inhibiting p53: regulatory role in the innate immune response. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,	1211
	2002. 99 (1): p. 345-350.	1212
26.	Lehmann, L., et al., Plasma levels of macrophage migration inhibitory factor are elevated in patients with severe sepsis.	1213
	Intensive care medicine, 2001. 27 : p. 1412-1415.	1214

27.	Gando, S., et al., <i>Macrophage migration inhibitory factor is a critical mediator of systemic inflammatory response syndrome</i> . Intensive care medicine, 2001. 27 : p. 1187-1193.	1215 1216
28.	Calandra, T., et al., Protection from septic shock by neutralization of macrophage migration inhibitory factor. Nature	1217
	medicine, 2000. 6 (2): p. 164-170.	1218
29.	Beishuizen, A., et al., Macrophage migration inhibitory factor and hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenal function during	1219
	critical illness. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2001. 86(6): p. 2811-2816.	1220
30.	Farooq, M., et al., The Role of Fibroblast Growth Factor (FGF) Signaling in Tissue Repair and Regeneration. Cells,	1221
	2021. 10 (11): p. 3242.	1222
31.	Stepp, M.A. and A.S. Menko, Immune responses to injury and their links to eye disease. Transl Res, 2021. 236: p. 52-	1223
	71.	1224
32.	Jeong, JH., U. Ojha, and Y.M. Lee, Pathological angiogenesis and inflammation in tissues. Archives of Pharmacal	1225
	Research, 2021. 44(1): p. 1-15.	1226
33.	Elshabrawy, H.A., et al., The pathogenic role of angiogenesis in rheumatoid arthritis. Angiogenesis, 2015. 18(4): p.	1227
	433-48.	1228
34.	Faiotto, V.B., et al., Circulating levels of the angiogenesis mediators endoglin, HB-EGF, BMP-9 and FGF-2 in patients	1229
	with severe sepsis and septic shock. J Crit Care, 2017. 42: p. 162-167.	1230
35.	Heidenreich, R., M. Röcken, and K. Ghoreschi, Angiogenesis drives psoriasis pathogenesis. Int J Exp Pathol, 2009.	1231
	90 (3): p. 232-48.	1232
36.	Zhang, W., et al., Inflammation and diabetic retinal microvascular complications. 2011, Elsevier.	1233
37.	Molnarfi, N., et al., Hepatocyte growth factor: A regulator of inflammation and autoimmunity. Autoimmun Rev,	1234
	2015. 14 (4): p. 293-303.	1235
38.	Kmiecik, T.E., et al., Hepatocyte growth factor is a synergistic factor for the growth of hematopoietic progenitor cells.	1236
	Blood, 1992. 80 (10): p. 2454-7.	1237
39.	Nishino, T., et al., <i>Hepatocyte growth factor as a hematopoietic regulator</i> . Blood, 1995. 85 (11): p. 3093-100.	1238
40.	Yu, C.Z., et al., Stimulatory effects of hepatocyte growth factor on hemopoiesis of SCF/c-kit system-deficient mice. Stem	1239
	Cells, 1998. 16 (1): p. 66-77.	1240
41.	Futamatsu, H., et al., Hepatocyte growth factor ameliorates the progression of experimental autoimmune myocarditis: a	1241
	potential role for induction of T helper 2 cytokines. Circ Res, 2005. 96(8): p. 823-30.	1242
42.	Skibinski, G., A. Skibinska, and K. James, The role of hepatocyte growth factor and its receptor c-met in interactions	1243
	between lymphocytes and stromal cells in secondary human lymphoid organs. Immunology, 2001. 102 (4): p. 506-14.	1244
43.	Takai, K., et al., Hepatocyte growth factor is constitutively produced by human bone marrow stromal cells and	1245
	indirectly promotes hematopoiesis. Blood, 1997. 89(5): p. 1560-5.	1246
44.	Tamura, S., et al., Expression and function of c-Met, a receptor for hepatocyte growth factor, during T-cell development.	1247
	Scand J Immunol, 1998. 47(4): p. 296-301.	1248
45.	van der Voort, R., et al., Paracrine regulation of germinal center B cell adhesion through the c-met-hepatocyte growth	1249
	factor/scatter factor pathway. J Exp Med, 1997. 185 (12): p. 2121-31.	1250
46.	Matsumoto, K., H. Okazaki, and T. Nakamura, Up-regulation of hepatocyte growth factor gene expression by	1251
	interleukin-1 in human skin fibroblasts. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 1992. 188(1): p. 235-43.	1252
47.	Soler Palacios, B., et al., Growth Hormone Reprograms Macrophages toward an Anti-Inflammatory and Reparative	1253
	Profile in an MAFB-Dependent Manner. J Immunol, 2020. 205(3): p. 776-788.	1254
48.	Spadaro, O., et al., IGF1 Shapes Macrophage Activation in Response to Immunometabolic Challenge. Cell Rep, 2017.	1255
	19 (2): p. 225-234.	1256

49.	Thibaut, R., et al., <i>Liver macrophages and inflammation in physiology and physiopathology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.</i> Febs j, 2022. 289 (11): p. 3024-3057.	1257 1258
50.	Dichtel, L.E., I. Cordoba-Chacon, and R.D. Kineman, Growth Hormone and Insulin-Like Growth Factor 1	1259
	Regulation of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2022, 107(7); p. 1812-1824.	1260
51.	Tang, I., et al., The absence of platelet-derived growth factor-B in circulating cells promotes immune and inflammatory	1261
	responses in atherosclerosis-prone ApoE-/- mice. Am J Pathol, 2005. 167 (3): p. 901-12.	1262
52.	Ross, R., et al., Localization of PDGF-B protein in macrophages in all phases of atherogenesis. Science, 1990.	1263
	248 (4958): p. 1009-12.	1264
53.	Raines, E., Platelet-derived growth factor in vivo. Biology of platelet-derived growth factor, 1993. 5: p. 74-114.	1265
54.	Raines, E.W., PDGF and cardiovascular disease. Cytokine & growth factor reviews, 2004. 15 (4): p. 237-254.	1266
55.	Gersuk, G., et al., Inhibition of Human Natural Killer Cell Activity by Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF) III.	1267
	Membrane Binding Studies and Differential Biological Effects of Recombinant PDGF Isoforms. Scandinavian journal	1268
	of immunology, 1991. 33 (5): p. 521-532.	1269
56.	Chung, Y., et al., Critical regulation of early Th17 cell differentiation by interleukin-1 signaling. Immunity, 2009.	1270
	30 (4): p. 576-587.	1271
57.	Kastelein, R.A., C.A. Hunter, and D.J. Cua, Discovery and biology of IL-23 and IL-27: related but functionally	1272
	distinct regulators of inflammation. Annu. Rev. Immunol., 2007. 25: p. 221-242.	1273
58.	García-Cuesta, E.M., et al., The role of the CXCL12/CXCR4/ACKR3 axis in autoimmune diseases. Frontiers in	1274
	endocrinology, 2019. 10 : p. 585.	1275
59.	Zheng, XB., et al., Bone marrow-derived CXCR4-overexpressing MSCs display increased homing to intestine and	1276
	ameliorate colitis-associated tumorigenesis in mice. Gastroenterology report, 2019. 7(2): p. 127-138.	1277
60.	Yu, X., et al., Stromal cell-derived factor-1 (SDF-1) recruits osteoclast precursors by inducing chemotaxis, matrix	1278
	metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) activity, and collagen transmigration. Journal of bone and mineral research, 2003.	1279
	18 (8): p. 1404-1418.	1280
61.	Wright, L.M., et al., Stromal cell-derived factor-1 binding to its chemokine receptor CXCR4 on precursor cells promotes	1281
	the chemotactic recruitment, development and survival of human osteoclasts. Bone, 2005. 36(5): p. 840-853.	1282
62.	Mirshahi, F., et al., SDF-1 activity on microvascular endothelial cells: consequences on angiogenesis in in vitro and in	1283
	<i>vivo models.</i> Thrombosis research, 2000. 99 (6): p. 587-594.	1284
63.	Pablos, J.L., et al., Stromal-cell derived factor is expressed by dendritic cells and endothelium in human skin. The	1285
	American journal of pathology, 1999. 155(5): p. 1577-1586.	1286
64.	Petit, I., D. Jin, and S. Rafii, The SDF-1–CXCR4 signaling pathway: a molecular hub modulating neo-angiogenesis.	1287
	Trends in immunology, 2007. 28 (7): p. 299-307.	1288
65.	Krumbholz, M., et al., Chemokines in multiple sclerosis: CXCL12 and CXCL13 up-regulation is differentially linked to	1289
	CNS immune cell recruitment. Brain, 2006. 129 (1): p. 200-211.	1290
66.	Calderon, T.M., et al., A role for CXCL12 (SDF-1 α) in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis: regulation of CXCL12	1291
	expression in astrocytes by soluble myelin basic protein. Journal of neuroimmunology, 2006. 177(1-2): p. 27-39.	1292
67.	Liu, L., et al., Decreased nuclear stiffness via FAK-ERK1/2 signaling is necessary for osteopontin-promoted migration	1293
	of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Exp Cell Res, 2017. 355(2): p. 172-181.	1294
68.	Guo, Y.C., et al., Interleukin-1 β induces CXCR3-mediated chemotaxis to promote umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell	1295
	transendothelial migration. Stem Cell Res Ther, 2018. 9(1): p. 281.	1296

69.	Wedzinska, A., et al., The Effect of Proinflammatory Cytokines on the Proliferation, Migration and Secretory Activity of Mesenchumal Stem/Stromal Cells (WI-MSCs) under 5% $O(2)$ and 21% $O(2)$ Culture Conditions, I Clin Med. 2021	1297 1298
	10(9)	1299
70	Wang L. et al. IFN-oamma and TNF-alnha supervistically induce mesenchymal stem cell impairment and	1300
70.	tumorioenesis via NFkannaB sionaling. Stem Cells, 2013, 31 (7): p. 1383-95	1301
71.	Weiss, D.L. et al., The Necrobiology of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Affects Therapeutic Efficacy, Front Immunol.	1302
	2019. 10 : p. 1228.	1303
72.	Benvenuto, F., et al., Human mesenchymal stem cells target adhesion molecules and receptors involved in T cell	1304
	extravasation. Stem cell research & therapy, 2015. 6: p. 245-245.	1305
73.	Vohra, M., et al., Human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells induce tissue repair and regeneration in	1306
	collagen-induced arthritis in rats. J Clin Transl Res, 2020. 6(6): p. 203-216.	1307
74.	Gerdoni, E., et al., Mesenchymal stem cells effectively modulate pathogenic immune response in experimental	1308
	<i>autoimmune encephalomyelitis</i> . Ann Neurol, 2007. 61 (3): p. 219-27.	1309
75.	Hofer, H.R. and R.S. Tuan, Secreted trophic factors of mesenchymal stem cells support neurovascular and	1310
	<i>musculoskeletal therapies</i> . Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2016. 7 (1): p. 131.	1311
76.	Lu, Z., et al., Mesenchymal stem cells induce dendritic cell immune tolerance via paracrine hepatocyte growth factor to	1312
	alleviate acute lung injury. Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2019. 10 (1): p. 372.	1313
77.	Li, M., et al., Therapeutic Delivery Specifications Identified Through Compartmental Analysis of a Mesenchymal	1314
	Stromal Cell-Immune Reaction. Sci Rep, 2018. 8(1): p. 6816.	1315
78.	Chen, H., et al., Pre-activation of mesenchymal stem cells with TNF- α , IL-1 β and nitric oxide enhances its paracrine	1316
	effects on radiation-induced intestinal injury. Sci Rep, 2015. 5: p. 8718.	1317
79.	Ren, G., et al., Mesenchymal stem cell-mediated immunosuppression occurs via concerted action of chemokines and	1318
	nitric oxide. Cell Stem Cell, 2008. 2(2): p. 141-50.	1319
80.	de Witte, S.F.H., et al., Cytokine treatment optimises the immunotherapeutic effects of umbilical cord-derived MSC for	1320
	treatment of inflammatory liver disease. Stem Cell Res Ther, 2017. 8(1): p. 140.	1321
81.	Oliveira, R.L., et al., In Vivo Immunogenic Response to Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cells and the Role of	1322
	Preactivated Mesenchymal Stem Cells Cotransplanted with Allogeneic Islets. Stem Cells Int, 2017. 2017: p. 9824698.	1323
82.	Yang, S.H., et al., Soluble mediators from mesenchymal stem cells suppress T cell proliferation by inducing IL-10. Exp	1324
	Mol Med, 2009. 41 (5): p. 315-24.	1325
83.	Mitchell, R., et al., Secretome of adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells promotes skeletal muscle regeneration through	1326
	synergistic action of extracellular vesicle cargo and soluble proteins. Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2019. 10 (1): p.	1327
	116.	1328
84.	Cai, J., et al., Extracellular vesicles derived from different sources of mesenchymal stem cells: therapeutic effects and	1329
	<i>translational potential</i> . Cell Biosci, 2020. 10 : p. 69.	1330
85.	Li, X., et al., Exosome Derived From Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cell Mediates MiR-181c Attenuating	1331
	Burn-induced Excessive Inflammation. EBioMedicine, 2016. 8: p. 72-82.	1332
86.	Zhang, B., et al., Mesenchymal stromal cell exosome–enhanced regulatory T-cell production through an antigen-	1333
	presenting cell-mediated pathway. Cytotherapy, 2018. 20 (5): p. 687-696.	1334
87.	Fujii, S., et al., Graft-Versus-Host Disease Amelioration by Human Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal/Stem Cell-	1335
	Derived Extracellular Vesicles Is Associated with Peripheral Preservation of Naive T Cell Populations. Stem Cells,	1336
	2018. 36 (3): p. 434-445.	1337

88.	Yang, J., et al., Chapter Two - Extracellular vesicles: Potential impact on cardiovascular diseases, in Advances in	1338
	Clinical Chemistry, G.S. Makowski, Editor. 2021, Elsevier. p. 49-100.	1339
89.	Doyle, L.M. and M.Z. Wang, Overview of Extracellular Vesicles, Their Origin, Composition, Purpose, and Methods	1340
	for Exosome Isolation and Analysis. Cells, 2019. 8(7): p. 727.	1341
90.	Mohammadi, M.R., et al., Isolation and characterization of microvesicles from mesenchymal stem cells. Methods (San	1342
	Diego, Calif.), 2020. 177: p. 50-57.	1343
91.	Chen, J., C. Li, and L. Chen, The Role of Microvesicles Derived from Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Lung Diseases.	1344
	BioMed Research International, 2015. 2015: p. 985814.	1345
92.	Ratajczak, M.Z., The emerging role of microvesicles in cellular therapies for organ/tissue regeneration. Nephrol Dial	1346
	Transplant, 2011. 26 (5): p. 1453-6.	1347
93.	Park, J., et al., Therapeutic effects of human mesenchymal stem cell microvesicles in an ex vivo perfused human lung	1348
	injured with severe E. coli pneumonia. Thorax, 2019. 74(1): p. 43-50.	1349
94.	Wu, X., et al., Micro-vesicles derived from human Wharton's Jelly mesenchymal stromal cells mitigate renal ischemia-	1350
	reperfusion injury in rats after cardiac death renal transplantation. J Cell Biochem, 2018. 119(2): p. 1879-1888.	1351
95.	Phan, T.K., D.C. Ozkocak, and I.K.H. Poon, Unleashing the therapeutic potential of apoptotic bodies. Biochemical	1352
	Society Transactions, 2020. 48(5): p. 2079-2088.	1353
96.	Liu, J., et al., Apoptotic bodies derived from mesenchymal stem cells promote cutaneous wound healing via regulating	1354
	the functions of macrophages. Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2020. 11(1): p. 507.	1355
97.	Reis, M., et al., Mesenchymal Stromal Cell-Derived Extracellular Vesicles Attenuate Dendritic Cell Maturation and	1356
	<i>Function</i> . Front Immunol, 2018. 9: p. 2538.	1357
98.	Mohammadalipour, A., S.P. Dumbali, and P.L. Wenzel, Mitochondrial Transfer and Regulators of Mesenchymal	1358
	Stromal Cell Function and Therapeutic Efficacy. Front Cell Dev Biol, 2020. 8: p. 603292.	1359
99.	Mohammadalipour, A., S.P. Dumbali, and P.L. Wenzel, Mitochondrial Transfer and Regulators of Mesenchymal	1360
	Stromal Cell Function and Therapeutic Efficacy. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2020. 8.	1361
100.	Paliwal, S., et al., Regenerative abilities of mesenchymal stem cells through mitochondrial transfer. J Biomed Sci, 2018.	1362
	25 (1): p. 31.	1363
101.	Court, A.C., et al., Mitochondrial transfer from MSCs to T cells induces Treg differentiation and restricts inflammatory	1364
	<i>response.</i> EMBO reports, 2020. 21 (2): p. e48052.	1365
102.	Luk, F., et al., Inflammatory Conditions Dictate the Effect of Mesenchymal Stem or Stromal Cells on B Cell Function.	1366
	Front Immunol, 2017. 8: p. 1042.	1367
103.	Ren, G., et al., Inflammatory cytokine-induced intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule-1	1368
	in mesenchymal stem cells are critical for immunosuppression. J Immunol, 2010. 184 (5): p. 2321-8.	1369
104.	Luque-Campos, N., et al., Mesenchymal Stem Cells Improve Rheumatoid Arthritis Progression by Controlling	1370
	Memory T Cell Response. Front Immunol, 2019. 10: p. 798.	1371
105.	Yasuda, K., Y. Takeuchi, and K. Hirota, The pathogenicity of Th17 cells in autoimmune diseases. Semin	1372
	Immunopathol, 2019. 41 (3): p. 283-297.	1373
106.	English, K., et al., Cell contact, prostaglandin E(2) and transforming growth factor beta 1 play non-redundant roles in	1374
	human mesenchymal stem cell induction of CD4+CD25(High) forkhead box P3+ regulatory T cells. Clin Exp Immunol,	1375
	2009. 156 (1): p. 149-60.	1376
107.	Khosravi, M., et al., Induction of CD4(+)CD25(+)Foxp3(+) regulatory T cells by mesenchymal stem cells is associated	1377
	with RUNX complex factors. Immunol Res, 2018. 66(1): p. 207-218.	1378

108.	Chiesa, S., et al., <i>Mesenchymal stem cells impair in vivo T-cell priming by dendritic cells</i> . Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2011. 108 (42): p. 17384-9.	1379 1380
109.	Li, W., W. Chen, and L. Sun, <i>An Update for Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy in Lupus Nephritis</i> . Kidney Diseases, 2021, 7(2): p. 79-89.	1381 1382
110.	Abel, A.M., et al., <i>Natural Killer Cells: Development, Maturation, and Clinical Utilization</i> . Front Immunol, 2018. 9 : p. 1869.	1383 1384
111.	Najar, M., et al., <i>Mesenchymal stromal cells of the bone marrow and natural killer cells: cell interactions and cross modulation</i> . I Cell Commun Signal, 2018. 12 (4): p. 673-688.	1385 1386
112.	Jewett, A., YG. Man, and HC. Tseng, Dual Functions of Natural Killer Cells in Selection and Differentiation of Stem Cells: Role in Regulation of Inflammation and Regeneration of Tissues, Journal of Cancer, 2013, 4(1): p. 12-24	1387 1388
113.	Berglund, A.K., et al., <i>Immunoprivileged no more: measuring the immunogenicity of allogeneic adult mesenchymal</i> stem cells. Stem Cell Research & Therapy. 2017. 8 (1): p. 288	1389 1390
114.	de Witte, S.F.H., et al., <i>Immunomodulation By Therapeutic Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (MSC) Is Triggered Through</i> <i>Phagocytosis of MSC By Monocytic Cells.</i> Stem Cells, 2018. 36 (4): p. 602-615.	1391 1392
115.	Li, C., et al., <i>Allogeneic vs. autologous mesenchymal stem/stromal cells in their medication practice</i> . Cell Biosci, 2021. 11 (1): p. 187.	1393 1394
116.	Planat-Benard, V., A. Varin, and L. Casteilla, <i>MSCs and Inflammatory Cells Crosstalk in Regenerative Medicine:</i> <i>Concerted Actions for Optimized Resolution Driven by Energy Metabolism.</i> Front Immunol, 2021. 12 : p. 626755.	1395 1396
117.	Oeller, M., et al., Selection of Tissue Factor-Deficient Cell Transplants as a Novel Strategy for Improving Hemocompatibility of Human Bone Marrow Stromal Cells, Therapostics, 2018, 8(5): p. 1421-1434	1397 1398
118.	Moll, G., et al., Intravascular Mesenchymal Stromal/Stem Cell Therapy Product Diversification: Time for New Clinical <i>Cuidelines</i> Trends in Molecular Medicine, 2019, 25 (2): p. 149-163	1399
119.	Thompson, M., et al., <i>Cell therapy with intravascular administration of mesenchymal stromal cells continues to appear</i>	1400
120.	Cárdenes, N., et al., Senescence of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells from patients with idiopathic	1402 1403
121.	Angelova, P.R., et al., <i>Mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinsonian mesenchymal stem cells impairs differentiation</i> .	1404 1405
122.	Sun, Y., et al., <i>Mesenchymal stem cells from patients with rheumatoid arthritis display impaired function in inhibiting</i>	1408 1407
123.	Wu, Y., et al., Reduced immunomodulation potential of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells induced	1408 1409
124.	Cipriani, P., et al., Impairment of endothelial cell differentiation from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells:	1410
125.	Karagiannis, K., et al., Impaired mRNA Expression of the Migration Related Chemokine Receptor CXCR4 in	1412
126.	Jones, E., et al., Mesenchymal stem cells in rheumatoid synovium: enumeration and functional assessment in relation to	1414 1415
127.	Gao, L., et al., Bone Marrow-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells From Patients With Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Have a Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype Mediated by a Mitochondrial Antiviral Signaling Protein-	1416 1417 1418
	Interferon-beta Feedback Loop. Arthritis Rheumatol, 2017.	1419

128.	Wang, L., et al., <i>IFN-γ and TNF-α synergistically induce mesenchymal stem cell impairment and tumorigenesis via NFκB signaling</i> . Stem Cells, 2013. 31 (7): p. 1383-95.	1420 1421
129.	Rubinstein-Achiasaf, L., et al., Persistent Inflammatory Stimulation Drives the Conversion of MSCs to Inflammatory	1422
	CAFs That Promote Pro-Metastatic Characteristics in Breast Cancer Cells. Cancers (Basel), 2021. 13(6).	1423
130.	Jorgensen, C., Mesenchymal stem cells immunosuppressive properties: is it specific to bone marrow-derived cells? Stem	1424
	Cell Res Ther, 2010. 1(2): p. 15.	1425
131.	Li, J., et al., Elevated TRAF4 expression impaired LPS-induced autophagy in mesenchymal stem cells from ankylosing	1426
	spondylitis patients. Exp Mol Med, 2017. 49(6): p. e343.	1427
132.	Aman, Y., et al., Autophagy in healthy aging and disease. Nature Aging, 2021. 1(8): p. 634-650.	1428
133.	Zhou, T., et al., Efficacy of mesenchymal stem cells in animal models of lupus nephritis: a meta-analysis. Stem Cell Res	1429
	Ther, 2020. 11 (1): p. 48.	1430
134.	Garimella, M.G., et al., Adipose-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells Prevent Systemic Bone Loss in Collagen-Induced	1431
	Arthritis. J Immunol, 2015. 195(11): p. 5136-48.	1432
135.	Hawkins, K.E., et al., Embryonic Stem Cell-Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells (MSCs) Have a Superior	1433
	Neuroprotective Capacity Over Fetal MSCs in the Hypoxic-Ischemic Mouse Brain. Stem Cells Transl Med, 2018. 7(5):	1434
	p. 439-449.	1435
136.	Samarelli, A.V., et al., Dissecting the Role of Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis: Cause or	1436
	<i>Solution.</i> Frontiers in pharmacology, 2021. 12 : p. 692551-692551.	1437
137.	Takeda, K., et al., Mesenchymal Stem Cells Recruit CCR2(+) Monocytes To Suppress Allergic Airway Inflammation. J	1438
	Immunol, 2018. 200 (4): p. 1261-1269.	1439
138.	Meng, X.M., D.J. Nikolic-Paterson, and H.Y. Lan, <i>TGF</i> - <i>β</i> : <i>the master regulator of fibrosis</i> . Nat Rev Nephrol, 2016.	1440
	12 (6): p. 325-38.	1441
139.	Yu, Y., et al., Therapeutic effect of long-interval repeated intravenous administration of human umbilical cord blood-	1442
	<i>derived mesenchymal stem cells in DBA/1 mice with collagen-induced arthritis.</i> J Tissue Eng Regen Med, 2019. 13 (7):	1443
	p. 1134-1142.	1444
140.	Volarevic, V., et al., <i>Ethical and Safety Issues of Stem Cell-Based Therapy</i> . Int J Med Sci, 2018. 15 (1): p. 36-45.	1445
141.	Jung, S.M. and W.U. Kim, <i>Targeted Immunotherapy for Autoimmune Disease</i> . Immune Netw, 2022. 22 (1): p. e9.	1446
142.	Lazarus, H.M., et al., <i>Ex vivo expansion and subsequent infusion of human bone marrow-derived stromal progenitor</i>	1447
	<i>cells (mesenchymal progenitor cells): implications for therapeutic use.</i> Bone Marrow Transplant, 1995. 16 (4): p. 557-	1448
	64.	1449
143.	Kurtzberg, J., et al., Allogeneic human mesenchymal stem cell therapy (remestemcel-L, Prochymal) as a rescue agent for	1450
	severe refractory acute graft-versus-host disease in pediatric patients. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant, 2014. 20 (2): p.	1451
1 4 4		1452
144.	Le Blanc, K., et al., Mesenchymal stem cells for treatment of steroid-resistant, severe, acute graft-versus-host disease: a	1453
145	phase II study. Lancet, 2008. 371(9624): p. 1579-86.	1454
145.	Dotoli, G.M., et al., Mesenchymal stromal cell infusion to treat steroid-refractory acute GvHD III/IV after	1455
140	<i>nematopoletic stem cell transplantation.</i> Bone Marrow Transplant, 2017. 52 (6): p. 859-862.	1456
146.	wiedarki, wi., et al., Human umbulical cora-aerivea mesenchymal stem/stromal cells: a promising candidate for the	1457
147	uevelopment of auvancea therapy meatornal products. Stem Cell Research & Therapy, 2021. 12(1): p. 152.	1458
14/.	Dadraid, 11., et al., Effects of maternal obesity on volution's felly mesenchymat stromat cells. Sci Kep, 2017. 7(1): p.	1459
	1/0/0,	1400

148.	Markov, A., et al., Mesenchymal stem/stromal cells as a valuable source for the treatment of immune-mediated	1461
	<i>disorders</i> . Stem Cell Res Ther, 2021. 12 (1): p. 192.	1462
149.	De Bari, C., Are mesenchymal stem cells in rheumatoid arthritis the good or bad guys? Arthritis Res Ther, 2015. 17: p.	1463
	113.	1464
150.	Lerrer, S., et al., Co-Inflammatory Roles of TGF β 1 in the Presence of TNF α Drive a Pro-inflammatory Fate in	1465
	Mesenchymal Stem Cells. Frontiers in immunology, 2017. 8: p. 479-479.	1466
151.	Riordan, N.H., et al., Clinical feasibility of umbilical cord tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of	1467
	<i>multiple sclerosis</i> . Journal of translational medicine, 2018. 16 (1): p. 57-57.	1468
152.	Llufriu, S., et al., Randomized placebo-controlled phase II trial of autologous mesenchymal stem cells in multiple	1469
	<i>sclerosis.</i> PLoS One, 2014. 9 (12): p. e113936.	1470
153.	Fernández, O., et al., Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (AdMSC) for the treatment of secondary-progressive	1471
	multiple sclerosis: A triple blinded, placebo controlled, randomized phase I/II safety and feasibility study. PLoS One,	1472
	2018. 13 (5): p. e0195891.	1473
154.	Syková, E., et al., Transplantation of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells in Patients With Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis:	1474
	Results of Phase I/IIa Clinical Trial. Cell Transplant, 2017. 26(4): p. 647-658.	1475
155.	Petrou, P., et al., A phase II clinical trial with repeated intrathecal injections of autologous mesenchymal stem cells in	1476
	patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed), 2021. 26(10): p. 693-706.	1477
156.	Alvaro-Gracia, J.M., et al., Intravenous administration of expanded allogeneic adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells	1478
	in refractory rheumatoid arthritis (Cx611): results of a multicentre, dose escalation, randomised, single-blind, placebo-	1479
	controlled phase Ib/IIa clinical trial. Ann Rheum Dis, 2017. 76(1): p. 196-202.	1480
157.	Wang, L., et al., Efficacy and Safety of Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cell Therapy for Rheumatoid Arthritis	1481
	Patients: A Prospective Phase I/II Study. Drug Des Devel Ther, 2019. 13: p. 4331-4340.	1482
158.	Li, X., et al., Mesenchymal SCT ameliorates refractory cytopenia in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. Bone	1483
	Marrow Transplant, 2013. 48(4): p. 544-50.	1484
159.	Wang, D., et al., A Long-Term Follow-Up Study of Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem/Stromal Cell Transplantation in	1485
	Patients with Drug-Resistant Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Stem Cell Reports, 2018. 10(3): p. 933-941.	1486
160.	Deng, D., et al., A randomised double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of allogeneic umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal	1487
	stem cell for lupus nephritis. Ann Rheum Dis, 2017. 76(8): p. 1436-1439.	1488
161.	Zhang, H., et al., Sustained benefit from combined plasmapheresis and allogeneic mesenchymal stem cells	1489
	transplantation therapy in systemic sclerosis. Arthritis Res Ther, 2017. 19(1): p. 165.	1490
162.	Almadori, A., et al., Stem cell enriched lipotransfer reverses the effects of fibrosis in systemic sclerosis. PLOS ONE,	1491
	2019. 14 (7): p. e0218068.	1492
163.	Liang, J., et al., Effects of allogeneic mesenchymal stem cell transplantation in the treatment of liver cirrhosis caused by	1493
	autoimmune diseases. Int J Rheum Dis, 2017.	1494
164.	Chambers, D.C., et al., A phase 1b study of placenta-derived mesenchymal stromal cells in patients with idiopathic	1495
	pulmonary fibrosis. Respirology, 2014. 19(7): p. 1013-8.	1496
165.	Glassberg, M.K., et al., Allogeneic Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Patients With Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis	1497
	via Intravenous Delivery (AETHER): A Phase I Safety Clinical Trial. Chest, 2017. 151(5): p. 971-981.	1498
166.	Leng, Z., et al., Transplantation of ACE2(-) Mesenchymal Stem Cells Improves the Outcome of Patients with COVID-	1499
	19 Pneumonia. Aging Dis, 2020. 11(2): p. 216-228.	1500
167.	Perico, N., F. Casiraghi, and G. Remuzzi, Clinical Translation of Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Therapies in	1501
	Nephrology. J Am Soc Nephrol, 2018. 29(2): p. 362-375.	1502

168.	Cui, J., et al., <i>Efficacy and safety of mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of systemic sclerosis: a systematic review</i>	1503
160	Wong S C, ot al. Uncharted sustance measurehumal star call treatment for mediatric refractory rhoumatic diseases: a	1504
109.	single center case series Podiatr Phoumatol Opling L 2021 19(1): p. 87	1505
170	Single center cuse series. Fediati Kneumator Onime J, 2021. 19 (1). p. 67.	1506
170.	swart, J.F., et al., Bone-marrow derived mesenchymai stromat cens injusion in inerapy refractory juvenite tatopathic	1507
1.71	Tradia II. et al. Custometic unice and meta analysis of mean during strengthetic culture alle so strategical means for the	1508
1/1.	Tauriq, H., et al., Systematic review and meta-analysis of mesenchymal stromal/stem cells as strategical means for the	1509
170	treatment of COVID-19. Ther Adv Respir Dis, 2023. 17: p. 17534666231158276.	1510
172.	Wang, Y., H. YI, and Y. Song, The safety of MSC therapy over the past 15 years: a meta-analysis. Stem Cell Research	1511
170	& Therapy, 2021. 12(1): p. 545.	1512
173.	wu, Z., et al., <i>Thromboembolism Induced by Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cell Infusion: A Report of Two Cases</i> and Literature Review. Transplantation Proceedings, 2017. 49 (7): p. 1656-1658.	1513 1514
174.	Hill, B.S., et al., <i>Tumor-educated mesenchymal stem cells promote pro-metastatic phenotype</i> . Oncotarget, 2017. 8(42):	1515
	p. 73296-73311.	1516
175.	Rivera-Cruz, C.M., et al., The Immunomodulatory Effects of Mesenchymal Stem Cell Polarization within the Tumor	1517
	Microenvironment Niche. Stem Cells International, 2017. 2017: p. 4015039.	1518
176.	Rubinstein-Achiasaf, L., et al., Persistent Inflammatory Stimulation Drives the Conversion of MSCs to Inflammatory	1519
	CAFs That Promote Pro-Metastatic Characteristics in Breast Cancer Cells. Cancers, 2021. 13(6): p. 1472.	1520
177.	Mojsilović, S., et al., Tumorigenic Aspects of MSC Senescence-Implication in Cancer Development and Therapy. J Pers	1521
	Med, 2021. 11 (11).	1522
178.	Liu, D. and P.J. Hornsby, Senescent human fibroblasts increase the early growth of xenograft tumors via matrix	1523
	metalloproteinase secretion. Cancer Res, 2007. 67(7): p. 3117-26.	1524
179.	Vjetrovic, J., et al., Senescence-secreted factors activate Myc and sensitize pretransformed cells to TRAIL-induced	1525
	apoptosis. Aging Cell, 2014. 13(3): p. 487-96.	1526
180.	Alessio, N., et al., The senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) from mesenchymal stromal cells impairs	1527
	growth of immortalized prostate cells but has no effect on metastatic prostatic cancer cells. Aging (Albany NY), 2019.	1528
	11 (15): p. 5817-5828.	1529
181.	Neri, S. and R.M. Borzì, Molecular Mechanisms Contributing to Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Aging. Biomolecules,	1530
	2020. 10 (2).	1531
182.	Barkholt, L., et al., Risk of tumorigenicity in mesenchymal stromal cell–based therapies—Bridging scientific	1532
	observations and regulatory viewpoints. Cytotherapy, 2013. 15(7): p. 753-759.	1533
183.	Swart, J.F. and N.M. Wulffraat, Mesenchymal stromal cells for treatment of arthritis. Best Pract Res Clin	1534
	Rheumatol, 2014. 28(4): p. 589-603.	1535
184.	Fu, X., et al., Effects of cryopreservation and long-term culture on biological characteristics and proteomic profiles of	1536
	human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells. Clin Proteomics, 2020. 17: p. 15.	1537
185.	Sierra Parraga, J.M., et al., Effects of Normothermic Machine Perfusion Conditions on Mesenchymal Stromal Cells.	1538
	Front Immunol, 2019. 10 : p. 765.	1539
186.	Zhang, Y., et al., Age-Related Changes in the Inflammatory Status of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Implications	1540
	for Cell Therapy. Stem cell reports, 2021. 16(4): p. 694-707.	1541
187.	Choudhery, M.S., et al., Donor age negatively impacts adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell expansion and	1542
	<i>differentiation</i> . J Transl Med, 2014. 12 : p. 8.	1543

188.	Stolzing, A., et al., Age-related changes in human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells: consequences for cell	1544
	therapies. Mech Ageing Dev, 2008. 129(3): p. 163-73.	1545
189.	Zaripova, L.N., et al., Juvenile idiopathic arthritis: from aetiopathogenesis to therapeutic approaches. Pediatr	1546
	Rheumatol Online J, 2021. 19 (1): p. 135.	1547
190.	Hoogduijn, M.J. and E. Lombardo, Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Anno 2019: Dawn of the Therapeutic Era? Concise	1548
	<i>Review.</i> Stem Cells Transl Med, 2019. 8(11): p. 1126-1134.	1549
		1550
		1551
Discla	Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the	
individ	individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim re-	
sponsi	bility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to	1554
in the o	content.	1555
		1556
		1557