# **BMJ Open** Sport & Exercise Medicine

# How much does it cost to implement a community-based walking football programme for patients with type 2 diabetes?

Ana Barbosa ( ),<sup>1,2,3</sup> João Brito ( ),<sup>3</sup> Pedro Figueiredo ( ),<sup>3,4</sup> André Seabra ( ),<sup>3,5</sup> Ding Ding ( ),<sup>6</sup> Romeu Mendes ( ),<sup>2,3,7</sup>

# ABSTRACT

To cite: Barbosa A, Brito J, Figueiredo P, et al. How much does it cost to implement a community-based walking football programme for patients with type 2 diabetes? BMJ **Open Sport & Exercise Medicine** 2023;9:e001549. doi:10.1136/ bmjsem-2023-001549

 Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjsem-2023-001549).

Accepted 22 May 2023

Objectives The current study analysed the implementation costs of a community-based walking football exercise programme for patients with type 2 diabetes.

Methods The direct costs of a community-based walking football programme for middle-aged and older male patients with type 2 diabetes, designed and tested in Porto (Portugal), were calculated from the payer's perspective. One season of this programme consists of three sessions per week (60 min per session) for nine months (October to June). Cost calculations were based on two groups of 20 patients and included the sports infrastructure and equipment, human resources, pre-exercise clinical evaluation, medical equipment, technical training and other consumable costs. An economic depreciation of 1 year using the linear method for sports and electronic materials was considered. Cost analysis dated December 2021 and is expressed in international dollars (\$).

**Results** This programme was estimated to have a total implementation cost of \$22 923.07: \$2547.01/month: \$573.08/patient: \$106.13/session: \$63.68/patient/month and \$5.31/patient/session.

**Conclusion** A community-based walking football programme for patients with type 2 diabetes is affordable and can be scaled up by local communities to promote physical activity and manage type 2 diabetes with the involvement of multiple stakeholders such as the football clubs, municipalities and primary healthcare units.

#### Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

#### **Correspondence to**

Professor Romeu Mendes; romeuduartemendes@gmail. com

## **INTRODUCTION**

The important role regular physical activity plays in preventing and managing noncommunicable diseases is recognised and emphasised by health authorities around the world.<sup>1-6</sup> In 2016, it was estimated that almost 28% of the adult population worldwide did not meet the recommended 150 weekly minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity.<sup>2</sup>

Globally, physical inactivity was estimated to be responsible for 6% to 10% of the disease burden associated with major non-communicable diseases, including coronary heart disease, breast and colon cancers and type 2 diabetes (T2D).<sup>7</sup> Physical inactivity costed the global healthcare system at least \$53.8 billion in 2013, with most of the costs attributable to T2D (\$37.6 billion).<sup>8</sup> T2D is one of the most prevalent non-communicable diseases globally.<sup>5</sup> In 2021, 537 million and 9.8% of the world's population lived with T2D. Physical activity is a first-line strategy for T2D prevention and management through improved glycaemic control and quality of life,<sup>5</sup> reduced risk of cardiovascular events

## WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

- $\Rightarrow$  Physical activity is a first-line strategy for type 2 diabetes management.
- $\Rightarrow$  Costs of physical inactivity in patients with type 2 diabetes represent an extensive burden to the healthcare system and society.
- $\Rightarrow$  Cost analysis of physical activity interventions is essential for optimising financial resources and supports the elaboration of public policies.

## WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- $\Rightarrow$  Cost analysis is focused on the direct implementation costs of one season from the paver (host institution(s))'s perspective.
- $\Rightarrow$  The cost of a walking football programme for patients with type 2 diabetes is competitive with other physical activity programmes available in the community.
- ⇒ Policymakers may scale up walking football to support type 2 diabetes management.

## HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, **PRACTICE OR POLICY**

- $\Rightarrow$  This study provides a ground for policymakers to decide about the existing physical activity interventions.
- $\Rightarrow$  This study supports the development of public policies that stimulate the elaboration and implementation of physical activity programmes.

and overall mortality.<sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup> Unfortunately,





1

despite the established evidence, most patients with T2D continue to be physically inactive compared with their counterparts without T2D (2291.63 metabolic equivalents (MET) vs 3734.11 MET, p<0.001),<sup>11</sup> which incurs extensive burden on the healthcare system and society.<sup>12</sup>

Economic analyses of physical activity interventions are essential in informing prioritisation and decision-making in the current policy environment with finite resources and competing priorities.<sup>13 14</sup> Economic evaluations of physical activity interventions have yet to be conducted among people living with T2D.<sup>15–24</sup> Of the existing studies, cost analysis is particularly lacking, and such information would be essential for optimising financial resources and supporting the elaboration of public policies.

The current study aimed to analyse the implementation costs of a community-based walking football programme for patients with T2D. We focus on the direct costs from the payer's perspective.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Patient and public involvement

Not involved.

## Study design

Within a clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov, reference number NCT03810846), we calculated the implementation costs of a community-based walking football programme for middle-aged and older male patients with T2D, ran in Porto (Portugal).<sup>25</sup>

In 2021, Portugal had 10 299.423 inhabitants, a human capital index of 0.8 (2020), a Gross Domestic Product (GDP) of \$249.89 billion and a GDP per capita of \$24,262.2.<sup>26</sup>

#### **Exercise programme and procedures**

Walking football was found to have high levels of adherence (median (P25–P75) adherence of 86.1% (77.8–97.2%)) and enjoyment (median (P25–P75) of 5 (4–5))<sup>25</sup> and be safe.<sup>25</sup> Available studies on walking football revealed light-to-vigorous exercise intensity and effectiveness in health outcomes, such as body mass index and blood pressure.<sup>25 27</sup>

Walking football has the following rules: no running with or without the ball; no physical contact, including slide tackles; the ball must always be played below the players' average waist height.<sup>28</sup>

The exercise programme consists of two groups of 20 players, training at different time schedules. Each group participates in 60 min walking football sessions three times per week (Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays) for 9 months (October to June; 216 sessions), implemented in a sports complex with indoor or outdoor football fields.

Sessions are conducted by a UEFA-certified soccer coach and supervised by a nurse and include a warm-up, strength and conditioning exercises, technical skills drills, small-sided and conditioned walking football games, and a cool-down period. In case of symptoms during or after the session, participants are subjected to capillary blood glucose, blood pressure evaluations and foot observation. At the end of the session, participants classify the perceived exercise intensity and the level of enjoyment. A detailed explanation of these procedures is described in a previous publication.<sup>25</sup>

## **Cost analysis**

The cost analysis is dated December 2021 and reflects the direct implementation costs of one season (time horizon: 9 months, from October to June) from the payer's (host institution(s))'s perspective.

The calculation of costs considered the following parameters:

- Sports infrastructure rental: a local sports facility for football practice was estimated to be 216 hours, based on two groups training 60 min per session three times a week.
- ▶ Human resources: two personnel, a football coach and a nurse, were included in the calculation. For each one, we considered a monthly gross salary of \$2127.25 based on the 2021 Portuguese Public Administration Remuneration System (remuneration level 15 of the single remuneration table)<sup>29</sup> for 14 months (vacation and Christmas salaries included), national insurance contributions (*Segurança Social*) paid by the employer (23.75% of the gross salary), the daily meal allowance (\$8.35 per day), an average of 248 working days per year and 7 working hours per day. Then, we calculated the cost for 75 min per session for each professional (60 min of walking football session plus 15 min of logistics) for 216 sessions.
- Sports equipment: 20 football balls, ten cones 30 cm, one kit of 50 markers, one kit of 4 sticks and 10 sports bibs were included in the costs.
- Pre-exercise clinical evaluation: treadmill cardiac stress tests for 40 participants.
- Medical equipment: vital signs monitoring equipment (digital blood pressure and blood glucose monitors); other disposable medical materials (gloves, compresses, hand sanitiser).
- Technical training: a total of 8 hours is needed for the football coach's technical training and the nurse's procedures.
- Sports practice insurance for 40 participants.
- Other consumables: alkaline batteries, paper sheets, and pens.

#### **Data analysis**

The analysis includes the programme's delivery costs (the sum of all costs with a depreciation of one year using the linear method for the sports materials and electronic equipment (digital sphygmomanometer and blood glucose monitor)); costs per month (implementation costs divided by the nine months); costs per patient (implementation costs divided by 40 participants); costs per session (implementation costs divided by the total of sessions (n=216) for the nine months); costs per patient per month (implementation costs divided by 40 patients and by the nine month); costs per patient per session (costs per patient divided by a total of 108 sessions).

Costs were initially calculated in euros ( $\in$ , Portuguese coin) and then converted to purchasing power parity international dollars (\$) using conversion factors provided by the World Bank in 2021.<sup>30</sup>

## RESULTS

The estimated costs of implementing a communitybased walking football programme for 40 patients with T2D for nine months (n=216 sessions) are represented in table 1.

The programme implementation (with a 1-year economic depreciation) was estimated to be \$22 923.07, which amounts to \$2547.01 per month; \$573.08 per

Table 1         Estimated cost of an implementation programme for nine months (n=40 participants)										
		Cost/unit		Depreciation (1 year)		Cost				
Equipment/ service	Units	Euro (€)	International dollar (\$)	Euro (€)	International dollar (\$)	Euro (€)	International dollar (\$)			
Sports infrastructure										
Sports facility (hours)	216	15.00 €	\$26.24			3240.00 €	\$5668.34			
Human resources										
Football coach (hours)	270	12.82 €	\$22.42			3460.38 €	\$6053.89			
Nurse (hours)	270	12.82 €	\$22.42			3460.38 €	\$6053.89			
Sports equipment										
Balls	20	11.00 €	\$19.24	44.00 €	\$76.98	44.00 €	\$76.98			
Cones 30 cm	10	2.65 €	\$4.64	5.30 €	\$9.27	5.30 €	\$9.27			
Kit 50 markers	1	39.00 €	\$68.23	7.80 €	\$13.65	7.80 €	\$13.65			
Kit 2 vertical sticks	2	26.20 €	\$45.84	10.48 €	\$18.33	10.48 €	\$18.33			
Sport bibs	20	2.50 €	\$4.37	10.00 €	\$17.49	10.00 €	\$17.49			
Pre-exercise clinical evaluation										
Cardiac stress test	40	35.00 €	\$61.23			1400.00 €	\$2449.28			
Medical equipment										
Digital blood pressure monitor	1	40.00 €	\$69.98	8.00€	\$14.00	8.00 €	\$14.00			
Digital blood glucose monitor	1	25.00 €	\$43.74	5.00 €	\$8.75	5.00 €	\$8.75			
Blood glucose test strips	500	0.50 €	\$0.88			250.10 €	\$437.55			
Lancet capillary puncture	500	0.079 €	\$0.14			39.30 €	\$68.75			
Other medical materials	1	50.00 €	\$87.47			50.00 €	87.47			
Technical training										
Staff technical training (hours)	8	40.00 €	\$69.98			320.00 €	\$559.84			
Insurance										
Sports practice insurance	40	19.62 €	\$34.32			784.80 €	\$1373.00			
Other consumables										
Alkaline batteries, paper sheets and pens	12	0.60 €	\$1.05			7.20 €	\$12.60			
Total						13 102.74 €	\$22 923.07			
Total/month						1455.86 €	\$2547.01			
Total/patient						327.57 €	\$573.08			
Total/session						60.66 €	\$106.13			
Total/patient/month						36.40 €	\$63.68			
Total/patient/session						3.03 €	\$5.31			

patient; \$106.13 per session; \$63.68 per patient per month; and \$5.31 per patient per session.

Without attending to the economic depreciation, this programme was estimated to have an initial investment of \$23 556.94; \$2617.44 per month; \$588.92 per patient; \$109.06 per session; \$65.44 per patient per month; and \$5.45 per patient per session.

#### DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that a community-based walking football programme has affordable costs, considering the present socioeconomic context of the country and similar interventions in this area. This might facilitate its implementation in the community setting and be used as a tool for T2D control.

Given their well-known health benefits, interventions to increase physical activity levels across the lifespan are recommended as a public health service. However, the available evidence regarding the cost-effectiveness of physical activity interventions is limited and restricted to a short time frames, which cannot inform about their sustainability.<sup>31</sup>

Although with the current data, we cannot assume the cost-effectiveness of this intervention, the literature has consistently shown the cost-effectiveness of physical activity interventions, especially in the context of primary care and the community. Examples include walking, exercise groups, or brief exercise counselling delivered in person.<sup>32</sup>

A previous systematic review regarding the economic evaluation of physical activity interventions for T2D management found that the evidence is limited and very heterogeneous.<sup>24</sup> However, these interventions report some economic benefits compared with the usual care, that is, are cost saving, cost effective or have cost-utility, which is encouraging for upcoming studies.<sup>15–17 19–24</sup>

In Portugal, a similar 9-month exercise programme for patients with T2D (*Diabetes em Movimento*) was implemented nationally and reported implementation costs in 2016.<sup>21</sup> To understand to which extent the communitybased walking football programme could be compared with *Diabetes em Movimento* in the Portuguese context, we updated the latter's costs using the most recent annual consumer prices inflation indicators from the World Bank<sup>33</sup> (online supplemental appendix A). Costs are similar, although walking football still costs more per patient. The difference in the expenses of walking football is mainly due to the pre-exercise cardiac stress tests. Hence, walking football programme may have the potential to be scaled up and be competitive with the existing community exercise programmes.

In studies from other contexts (Europe, America, Asia and Oceania continents) and perspectives (healthcare, societal, payer)—which presented the cost of exercise programmes for patients with T2D, it was found that an intervention with a lower cost (\$274.21) per participant.<sup>24</sup> From the payer's perspective, the intervention was based on a pedometer walking programme. The intervention group had a statistically significant incremental rate of 919 steps, compared with an increment of 393 in the control group. A decrease in costs in all categories (physician, outpatient and inpatient costs) was observed in the intervention group, compared with the control group, with a difference in total costs between groups of \$82.26 per participant.

Other studies from this systematic review described costs above this programme.<sup>24</sup> The interventions and outcomes varied; some of these studies reported cost-effectiveness.<sup>24</sup>

Physical inactivity was estimated to cost \$53.8 billion globally, and T2D to cost \$37.6 billion to the health-care system in 2013.<sup>8</sup> Physical inactivity contributed to at least \$13.7 billion in productivity losses and 13.4 million disability-adjusted life-years worldwide.<sup>8</sup>

Becoming physically active would be reflected in reducing working-age mortality and morbidity and increasing productivity and life satisfaction, contributing to considerable economic gains.<sup>31</sup> For example, Portugal loses over 7.6 million working days to absenteeism and presenteeism yearly from insufficiently active workers.<sup>34</sup> When looking at healthcare savings and increased productivity, each person who becomes physically active has an annual economic benefit of \$1165.<sup>34</sup> This estimate may be even higher among those with chronic conditions as poorly managed chronic diseases, such as T2D, are costly to the healthcare system and the economy.

A previous scientific study on walking football for patients with  $T2D^{25}$  showed benefits at three levels: (1) at the individual level, the programme improved individuals' health (eg, body fat, acute effects on blood glucose and blood pressure); was perceived as being safe (considering the low rate of injuries that occurred) and fun; moreover, participants developed their skills in walking football, which can, in turn, increase the likelihood of lifelong engagement in physical activity; (2) at the interpersonal level, participants experienced support from the family, the research team and healthcare providers; they also realised that the programme was an opportunity to socialise; (3) at the institutional level, through walking football, we developed partnerships across institutions from multiple sectors, such as health, sports, education and social care, which were fundamental to implementing and scaling up the programme.

The development of environments, policies and interventions that address the determinants of physical activity at various levels is essential to increasing opportunities for physical activity within communities.<sup>35,36</sup>

#### **Strengths and limitations**

Most physical activity interventions do not report the costs of implementation and maintenance. To our knowledge, this is the first study describing the costs of a communitybased walking football programme. We found that the cost of a walking football programme is competitive compared to other available community programmes. The main limitation inherent to this study is that it only calculates the direct costs of the intervention's implementation. In future studies, other indirect costs, such as the participants' transportation and sports equipment costs (eg, football boots), should be considered. Nevertheless, this study already provides a ground for policymakers taking decisions about the existing interventions and build up an economic evidence base around them.

The payer perspective of analysis assists decision-makers in making informed decisions about which interventions to fund and how to allocate resources. This perspective is also useful for assessing the financial impact of an intervention on the payer, helping decision-makers identify interventions that provide good value for money and that can be funded within the available budget, and ensuring that funding decisions are consistent with payer interests and that resources are used most efficiently and effectively possible.

Future studies on physical activity interventions in patients with T2D, such as walking football, can use a framework to prospectively collect implementation costs and improve economic evaluations of public health interventions,<sup>37</sup> therefore supporting the development of public policies that stimulate the elaboration and implementation of physical activity programmes.

## CONCLUSION

From the payer's perspective, the implementation costs of the community-based walking football programme for patients with T2D were \$573.08 per patient. This cost is affordable compared with similar interventions implemented at the community level. This evidence can guide policy decisions to allocate resources and scale-up this intervention, to support T2D management.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>EPIUnit, Universidade do Porto Instituto de Saúde Pública, Porto, Portugal <sup>2</sup>Laboratório para a Investigação Integrativa e Translacional em Saúde Populacional (ITR), Porto, Portugal

<sup>3</sup>Portugal Football School, Portuguese Football Federation, Oeiras, Portugal <sup>4</sup>Physical Education Department, College of Education, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, Abu Dhabi, UAE

<sup>5</sup>Research Centre in Physical Activity, Health and Leisure (CIAFEL), Universidade do Porto Faculdade de Desporto, Porto, Portugal

<sup>6</sup>Prevention Research Collaboration, Sydney School of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia <sup>7</sup>ACES Douro I — Marão e Douro Norte, Administração Regional de Saúde do Norte, Vila Real, Portugal

**Contributors** Conceptualisation: AB, JB, PF, AS, RM; methodology: AB, DD, RM; formal analysis and investigation: AB, DD, RM; writing — original draft preparation: AB; writing — review and editing: all co-authors; supervision: JB, RM; guarantor: RM. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** This work was supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology within the scope of projects UIDB/04750/2020 and LA/P/0064/2020. AB is supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology [grant number SFRH/BD/136702/2018].

#### Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

#### Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplementary information. The authors confirm that all data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information file.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Ana Barbosa http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9623-9002 João Brito http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1301-1078 Pedro Figueiredo http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5515-3694 André Seabra http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6788-4555 Ding Ding http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9850-9224 Romeu Mendes http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3806-438X

## REFERENCES

- 1 World Health Organization. Global action plan on physical activity 2018–2030: more active people for a healthier world 2018. Available: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272722/ 9789241514187-eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y [Accessed 1 Jul 2022].
- 2 World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour: at a glance 2020. Available: https://www.who. int/publications/i/item/9789240014886 [Accessed 1 Jul 2022].
- 3 Colberg SR, Sigal RJ, Yardley JE, *et al.* Physical activity/exercise and diabetes: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39:2065–79.
- 4 World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe. Physical activity fact sheet on sustainable development goals (SDGs): health targets 2022. 2022. Available: www.euro.who.int/en/SDG-health-fact-sheets
- 5 International Diabetes Federation. IDF diabetes atlas 2022. Available: https://diabetesatlas.org/data/en/region/3/eur.html [Accessed 1 Jul 2022].
- 6 Taylor J, Walsh S, Kwok W, et al. A scoping review of physical activity interventions for older adults. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2021;18:82.
- 7 Lee IM, Shiroma EJ, Lobelo F, et al. Effect of physical inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease and life expectancy. *The Lancet* 2012;380:219–29.
- 8 Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, *et al*. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. *Lancet* 2016;388:1311–24.
- 9 Wang Y, Nie J, Ferrari G, et al. Association of physical activity intensity with mortality: a national cohort study of 403 681 US adults. JAMA Intern Med 2021;181:203–11.
- 10 Lear SA, Hu W, Rangarajan S, et al. The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: the PURE study. Lancet 2017;390:2643–54.
- 11 Zhao F, Wu W, Feng X, *et al.* Physical activity levels and diabetes prevalence in US adults: findings from NHANES 2015-2016. *Diabetes Ther* 2020;11:1303–16.
- 12 Ding D, Kolbe-Alexander T, Nguyen B, et al. The economic burden of physical inactivity: a systematic review and critical appraisal. Br J Sports Med 2017;51:1392–409.
- 13 Abu-Omar K, Rütten A, Burlacu I, et al. The cost-effectiveness of physical activity interventions: a systematic review of reviews. Prev Med Rep 2017;8:72–8.
- 14 Pinheiro MB, Howard K, Sherrington C, et al. Economic evaluation of physical activity mass media campaigns across the globe: a systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2022;19:107.

- 15 Brun J-F, Bordenave S, Mercier J, *et al.* Cost-sparing effect of twiceweekly targeted endurance training in type 2 diabetics: A one-year controlled randomized trial. *Diabetes Metab* 2008;34:258–65.
- 16 Coyle D, Coyle K, Kenny GP, et al. Cost-effectiveness of exercise programs in type 2 diabetes. Int J Technol Assess Health Care 2012;28:228–34.
- 17 Di Loreto C, Fanelli C, Lucidi P, et al. Make your diabetic patients walk - long-term impact of different amounts of physical activity on type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:1295–302.
- 18 Johnson ST, Lier DA, Soprovich A, et al. How much will we pay to increase steps per day? Examining the cost-effectiveness of a Pedometer-based lifestyle program in primary care. *Prev Med Rep* 2015;2:645–50.
- 19 Kuo S, Ye W, de Groot M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of communitybased depression interventions for rural and urban adults with type 2 diabetes: projections from program ACTIVE (adults coming together to increase vital exercise) II. Diabetes Care 2021;44:874–82.
- 20 Marios T, A Smart N, Dalton S. The effect of tele-monitoring on exercise training adherence, functional capacity, quality of life and glycemic control in patients with type II diabetes. *J Sports Sci Med* 2012;11:51–6.
- 21 Mendes R, Almeida AS. Costs of a community-based exercise program for patients with type 2 diabetes: case-study of diabetes em Movimento®. *Aten Primaria* 2016;48:25–120.
- 22 Pepin MJ, Valencia WM, Bettger JP, et al. Impact of supervised exercise on one-year medication use in older veterans with multiple morbidities. Gerontol Geriatr Med 2020;6:2333721420956751.
- 23 Faria S, Srilekha S, Soumendra S, et al. Cost-effective exercise programs on health-status of Malaysian diabetic individuals - a socio-psychological analysis. Int J Life Sci & Pharma Res 2018:214–22.
- 24 Barbosa A, Whiting S, Ding D, et al. Economic evaluation of physical activity interventions for type 2 diabetes management: a systematic review. Eur J Public Health 2022;32:i56–66.
- 25 Barbosa A, Brito J, Costa J, et al. Feasibility and safety of a walking football program in middle-aged and older men with type 2 diabetes. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2020;63:786–91.
- 26 The World Bank. Portugal. 2022. Available: https://data.worldbank. org/country/PT [Accessed 10 Jul 2022].

- 27 Corepal R, Zhang JY, Grover S, et al. Walking soccer: a systematic review of a modified sport. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2020;30:2282–90.
- 28 The Football Association. FA revises laws of the game for walking football 2022. Available: http://www.thefa.com/news/2018/oct/08/ walking-football-revised-laws-of-the-game-081018 [Accessed 15 Jan 2022].
- 29 Direção-Geral da Administração e do Emprego Público. Sistema Remuneratório da Administração Pública 2022. Available: https:// www.dgaep.gov.pt/upload/catalogo/SRAP\_2022.pdf [Accessed 10 Jul 2022].
- The World Bank. PPP conversion factor, GDP (LCU per International \$) 2022. Available: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/PA.NUS.PPP [Accessed 10 Jul 2022].
- 31 Papini CB, Campos L de, Nakamura PM, *et al.* Cost-analysis and cost-effectiveness of physical activity interventions in Brazilian primary health care: a randomised feasibility study. *Cien Saude Colet* 2021;26:5711–26.
- 32 Garrett S, Elley CR, Rose SB, *et al.* Are physical activity interventions in primary care and the community cost-effective? A systematic review of the evidence. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61:e125–33.
- 33 The World Bank. Inflation, consumer prices (annual %) 2022. Available: http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/FP.CPI.TOTL.ZG [Accessed 10 Jul 2022].
- 34 Delloite. Economic health & societal well-being: quantifying the impact of the global health & fitness sector – Portugal. 2022. Available: https://docplayer.net/228648958-Economic-healthsocietal-well-being-quantifying-the-impact-of-the-global-healthfitness-sector-portugal-june-2022.html [Accessed 10 Jul 2022].
- 35 Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, *et al.* Correlates of adults' participation in physical activity: review and update. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 2002;34:1996–2001.
- 36 Heath GW, Parra DC, Sarmiento OL, et al. Evidence-based intervention in physical activity: lessons from around the world. Lancet 2012;380:272–81.
- 37 Hoomans T, Severens JL. Economic evaluation of implementation strategies in health care. *Implement Sci* 2014;9:168.

# Supplementary appendix A Comparison between Diabetes em Movimento exercise program and walking football exercise program

	Diabetes em Movimento <sup>1</sup>		Diabetes	s em Movimento	Walking football	
		2016		2021*		<b>2021</b> <sup>†</sup>
Implementation cost	Euro (€)	International dollar $(\$)^{\#}$	Euro (€)	International dollar (\$) <sup>#</sup>	Euro (€)	International dollar $(\$)^{\#}$
Total	9,938.70€	\$17,393.11	12,578.99€	\$22,006.78	13,102.74 €	\$22,923,07
Total/Month	1,104.30€	\$1,932.57	1,397.67€	\$2,445.20	1,455.86€	\$2,547.01
Total/Patient	165.64€	\$289.88	209.64 €	\$366.77	327.57€	\$573.08
Total/Session	46.01 €	\$80.52	58.23 €	\$101.88	60.66 €	\$106.13
Total/Patient/Month	18.40 €	\$32.20	23.29€	\$40.74	36.40 €	\$63.68
Total/Patient/Session	1.53 €⁺	\$2.68	1.94€	\$3.39	3.03 €	\$5.31

Costs represented in euros (€) and international dollars (\$) for a 9-month time horizon

\*Data calculated using the annual consumer prices inflation indicators from the World Bank (<u>http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/FP.CPI.TOTL.ZG</u>) #Data calculated using the PPP conversion factor from the World Bank (<u>https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/PA.NUS.PPP</u>) \*December 2021

<sup>†</sup>Calculated value

## References

 Mendes, R.A., AS. Costs of a community-based exercise program for patients with type 2 diabetes: case-study of Diabetes em Movimento®. *Aten Primaria* 2016, 48 (*Espec Cong 1*), 25-120, Availabe online: <u>https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308761921\_Costs\_of\_a\_community-based exercise program for patients with type 2 diabetes case-study of Diabetes em MovimentoR.</u>