

Noncontact injury distribution and relationship with preseason training load and non-modifiable risk factors in Rugby Union players across multiple seasons

Evans, Seren; Whittaker, Gareth; Davis, Oran Elphinstone; Jones, Eleri; Hardy, James; Owen, Julian

Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research

DOI: 10.1519/JSC.00000000004402

Published: 01/07/2023

Peer reviewed version

Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA): Evans, S., Whittaker, G., Davis, O. E., Jones, E., Hardy, J., & Owen, J. (2023). Noncontact injury distribution and relationship with preseason training load and non-modifiable risk factors in Rugby Union players across multiple seasons. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 37(7), 1456-1462. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000004402

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

| 1 | Noncontact injury distribution and relationship with preseason training load and non- |
|----------------------|--|
| 2 | modifiable risk factors in Rugby Union players across multiple seasons. |
| 3 | Seren L. Evans ¹ , Gareth Whittaker ² , Oran Elphinstone Davis ² , Eleri S. Jones ³ , James Hardy ³ , |
| 4 | Julian A. Owen ¹ . |
| 5 6 | ¹ Institute for Applied Human Physiology, School of Human and Behavioural Sciences, Bangor |
| 7 | University, UK. |
| 8 | ² Welsh Rugby Union, Colwyn Bay, UK. |
| 9 | ³ Institute for Psychology of Elite Performance, School of Human and Behavioural Sciences, |
| 10 | Bangor University, UK. |
| 11 | |
| 12 13 | Address for Correspondence: |
| 14 | Julian A. Owen, |
| 15 | Institute for Applied Human Physiology |
| 16 | School of Human and Behavioural Sciences, |
| 17 | College of Human Sciences, |
| 18 | Bangor University, Bangor, LL57 2PZ, UK. |
| 19 | Telephone: 00 44 1248 382197 |
| 20 | Email: j.owen@bangor.ac.uk |
| 21 | |
| 22 23 24 25 | Running title: Preseason training load and injury risk Rugby Union. Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. |

Noncontact injury distribution and relationship with preseason training load and nonmodifiable risk factors in Rugby Union players across multiple seasons.

26 ABSTRACT

The study examined the distribution of noncontact injury during phases of the competitive 27 28 season and the association between preseason training load (TL) and non-modifiable risk 29 factors on injury risk during these phases. Injury data was recorded from one senior academy team over 3 seasons (2017-2020) and analysed across early, mid and late-season phases. A 30 31 Generalized Estimating Equation was used to model risk factors with noncontact injury for 32 selected phases. The highest noncontact injury incidence occurred in the late-season phase (22.2 per 1000 hours) compared to early (13.7 per 1000 hours, p < 0.001) and mid-season 33 phases (15.5 per 1000 hours, p = 0.001). Low preseason TL (8949-12589 AU; OR, 95% CI = 34 4.7, 1.0-21.6; p = 0.04) and low preseason TL combined with high early-season TL and injury 35 36 in the early-season phase (OR, 95% CI = 6.5, 1.1-35.5; p = 0.03) were associated with greater 37 mid-season noncontact injury risk. Additionally, low preseason TL combined with previous injury was associated with increased risk of noncontact injury risk in the late season (OR, 95% 38 39 CI = 12.2, 0.9-15.6, p = 0.05). Our results suggest players are at a greater injury risk during the 40 late season phase, with low preseason cumulative loads combined with a history of previous injury associated with increased in-season injury risk. Strength and conditioning coaches 41 42 should therefore monitor cumulative preseason TL alongside screening for previous injury history to identify athletes at greater risk of noncontact injury risk during the competitive 43 44 season.

- 45
- 46
- 47 Key words: training demands, team sports, athlete monitoring, injury history, under-training
 48
- 49
- 50

51 INTRODUCTION

52 Rugby Union is a team sport characterised by frequent collisions and intense running and has a relatively high-risk of injury particularly during match-play (43). Matches generally last ~ 80 53 54 minutes and include activities that call for maximal strength and power interspersed with 55 periods of lower intensity aerobic activity and rest (32). Given the physical demands of the 56 sport, there is a strong training emphasis, particularly during the preseason phase where 57 practitioners have more time and flexibility with respect to training prescription. Preseason 58 training in Rugby Union typically comprises of a 6 to 8-week intensified training period with 59 the primary aim of promoting adaptation to enhance performance in preparation for the 60 competitive season (38). An additional premise of preseason training is that adaptation during 61 this phase has a protective effect against injury during the season. As such a notable body of 62 recent research has examined the link between injury risk and training load, defined as the 63 cumulative stress placed on an individual from a single or multiple training sessions over a period of time. Although the existence of a relationship between training load and injury is 64 65 supported in the literature, the factors that influence the direction of this relationship are not 66 clear (15).

67

Injury risk across the competition spectrum in Rugby Union is considered high in comparison 68 69 with other team sports (17, 33, 41). Mean injury incidence during match-play is reported as 87 70 injuries per 1000 hours equating to approximately one injury per match, with on average 25 days lost per injury (40). Despite contact injuries being the most prevalent in Rugby Union, 71 72 their cause is unpredictable in nature. Therefore, much of the research on the relationship 73 between training and injury has focused on noncontact injuries. These injuries account for 74 approximately 20% of total injuries in Rugby Union and are considered somewhat preventable 75 with the correct management of training load. Recent systematic reviews confirm that an 76 association between training load and injury exists in the literature (12, 15, 27). Nevertheless, 77 this relationship has variously been described as direct, inverse, and U-shaped; seemingly dependent on the timing and metric of training load used (15). Within the body of recent 78 79 research, many authors have examined the effect of shorter periods of cumulative training load on injury in subsequent weeks during the competitive season (6, 23). Surprisingly, little 80 81 research has focused on the influence of cumulative preseason training load on injury risk within the competitive season. The limited findings available suggest that greater preseason 82 83 training frequency is associated with a decreased in-season injury risk in Rugby League players 84 (45) and low preseason cumulative workloads are associated with increased in-season injury 85 risk in Australian Rules Football (10). Although these findings are suggestive of an upper and 86 lower threshold of preseason training, beyond which injury risk may increase, caution must be 87 taken due to differences in training related metrics adopted. Treating training load as an isolated 88 risk factor of injury may also be a limited approach. Recent commentaries have demonstrated the non-linear nature of injuries, and that their complex nature commonly arises from an 89 90 interaction between modifiable (e.g., training load) and non-modifiable risk factors (e.g., age, 91 sport, previous injury history) which when combined have the potential to predispose an athlete 92 to injury (5).

93

Considering that one of the primary goals of preseason training is to reduce injury risk, there is currently a paucity of research focusing on the association of cumulative preseason training load on injury risk during the competitive season, particularly when modelled alongside other well established non-modifiable injury risk factors. There is also little research in Rugby Union identifying in which phases of the season injury risk is highest. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to examine the distribution of noncontact injury in Rugby Union across the different phases of the season and to examine the relationship between cumulative preseason trainingload and non-modifiable factors on injury risk within those phases.

102

103 METHODS

104 105

Experimental Approach to the Problem

106 A three-year prospective observational design was utilized to record noncontact injury during 107 training and match-play in senior academy male Rugby Union players across three competitive 108 seasons. Noncontact injury incidence, calculated per 1000 player-hours, for all seasons 109 combined was compared between phases of the season including preseason (July to late 110 August), early season (September to November), mid-season (December to February) and late 111 season (March to May) to determine injury risk in each phase. Non-modifiable risk factors for 112 injury (age, previous injury, including severity and date of injury) were recorded at the start of 113 each preseason phase. Training load was recorded for each training session and cumulative 114 training loads for each week and phase were calculated in arbitrary units (AU). All data were 115 analysed to examine the association of risk factors with noncontact injury for each phase of the 116 competitive season.

117

118 Subjects

Data were collected from a male regional senior academy Rugby Union team (N = 51) across their 2017/18 (n = 29), 2018/19 (n = 29) and 2019/20 (n = 32) seasons. Player characteristics were as follows; mean age (25.7 years \pm 4.5), stature (182.4 cm \pm 6.2), and body mass (101.1 kg \pm 12.2). Only players who completed the full preseason training phase for this team were included in the analyses. Players were competing in the Welsh Premiership tournament a semiprofessional division immediately below the professional tier in Wales. The players were exposed to three full days of training which included skill work and gym sessions lead by a full-time strength and conditioning coach, and two evening rugby-based sessions. League matches were played at the weekends from September until mid-May with full-time physiotherapy provision and match-day doctors. All players provided informed consent prior to participation, and ethical approval was obtained from the Bangor University Ethics Committee.

131

132 **Procedures**

Injury definitions and procedures were compliant with the international consensus statement 133 134 for injury data reporting in Rugby Union (Fuller et al., 2007), carried out by the designated 135 physiotherapist, and collated in an injury coding database (cf, Orchard Sports Injury 136 Classification System; 31). All diagnosed noncontact injuries resulting in time-loss from 137 training and/or matches were recorded for the three seasons and further examined within the phases of the season. Each player was assigned a binary outcome for noncontact injury 138 139 incidence (yes/no) for each phase of the season for analysis. For the season 2019-20, due to 140 the COVID-19 Global Pandemic, there were no data collected for the late season phase (March-141 May 2020). Age (classified using z-scores) and previous injury (if a player sustained an injury 142 in the previous season resulting in moderate to severe time-loss) were recorded at the start of 143 every season.

144

Training load was quantified using Foster's rating of perceived exertion (RPE) to calculate a session RPE (sRPE) (18). This method calculates the product of the RPE for the session (training or match) and session duration to generate a value for internal training load in arbitrary units (RPE X minutes). Session RPE has been reported in the literature as a valid and reliable measure of internal training load against other internal and external measures and is the metric that shows the strongest association with respect to the relationship between training load and 151 injury (8, 15, 25, 39). RPE values were obtained 30 minutes after completing each session on 152 training days, which has been reported as a sufficient amount of time to enable valid recall of post-session training intensity (20, 35). Individual training load data were routinely collected 153 154 for each session across the study duration. During the preseason phase players completed 6 to 8 training sessions per week (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday), which reduced to 4 to 155 156 6 training sessions per week during the competitive season (Monday, Tuesday and Thursday) with matches on Saturday. Training load was recorded for all on-feet (Rugby Conditioning, 157 Rugby, Unit Skills, Speed, Strength and Power) and off-feet (Cross-training e.g., cycle 158 159 ergometry, water-based recovery sessions) training sessions and matches during the 160 competitive season. If a player sustained a noncontact injury during the study period, their 161 training load was excluded over the period of rehabilitation until they returned to full training. 162 Cumulative preseason training load were calculated at the end of each preseason phase. The 163 classification of preseason cumulative loads from very low to very high were created by zscores and were referenced to the mean training load (moderate range). The ranges for 164 165 preseason workload classifications were as follows; very low (\leq -1.00), low (-0.99 to -0.50), 166 moderate (-0.49 to 0.49), high (0.50 to 0.99), and very high (≥ 1.00) (10). In assessing injury risk in the mid-season, the covariate of the athlete's cumulative early season training load was 167 168 included in the model, and similarly for the late season phase the covariate of their cumulative 169 early and mid-season training load was included.

170

171 Statistical Analysis

Injury data was collated using an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Corporation, (2021), Microsoft
Excel Version 16.53) and statistical analysis performed with R Studio software (RStudio
(2020): Integrated Development Environment for R. RStudio, PBC, Boston, MA) utilizing the
package "geepack". Noncontact injuries per 1000 player-hours were calculated by dividing the

176 total noncontact injuries by the time spent in training or match play (exposure, hours) and 177 multiplied by 1000. General Linear Model was used with Poisson regression analysis to explore 178 the outcome effect of each season phase (early, mid and late) on noncontact injury incidence 179 whilst offsetting for exposure hours. Results are presented as Rate Ratio (RR) with 95% confidence intervals. Cumulative training load 95% confidence intervals were calculated via 180 181 the Poisson distribution method. To effectively determine the best set of injury risk factors for any given phase of season, mixed model generalized estimating equations (GEE) were used to 182 investigate risk factors for noncontact injuries for each of the respective time periods. GEE 183 184 modelling accommodates both repeated measures and within subject correlations across the 185 multiple seasons present within the current database and can identify the most relevant 186 combination of fixed and preseason variables for injury risk identification. As injury incidence 187 was a binary response (yes/no), binary logistic regression within the model was used with logit 188 link function. A model output of odds ratios (OR) with OR > 1.0 indicative of greater likelihood, whilst OR < 1.0 signifies a lesser likelihood for injury. Univariate variables with a 189 190 significance of p < 0.2 were included into the multivariate model, and a significance of p < 0.2191 0.05 was accepted.

192

193 **RESULTS**

194 Training Load and Injury Incidence

Mean training load was highest during the preseason phase compared to early, mid and late season phases. However, game load from matches offset the overall figures during each phase of the competitive season (**Table 1**). Late season noncontact injury incidence was higher than early (RR, 95% CI = 2.5, 1.5-3.98, p < 0.001) and mid-season phases (RR, 95% CI = 2.2, 1.4-3.5, p = 0.001) (**Table 2**). During the competitive season, lower limb injuries were the most common accounting for 73% of all noncontact injuries across all phases and were higher in the 201 late season phase compared to early and mid-season phases (RR, 95% CI = 2.0, 1.1-3.6, p = 0.024) (Table 2). Muscle and tendon noncontact injuries were the most frequent noncontact 203 injury type for each phase of the season; late season injury rate showed a trend towards being 204 significantly greater than the early season (RR, 95% CI = 1.9, 1.0-3.7, p = 0.051).

205

206 Cumulative Preseason Training Load and Injury Risk in Phases of the Competitive207 Season

When examining preseason data in relation to phase of the competitive season, low cumulative preseason training load (8949-12589 AU) was associated with a higher risk of noncontact injury in the mid-season phase (OR, 95% CI = 4.7, 1.0-21.6, p = 0.040) when compared to the reference group (13081-10909 AU) (**Table 3**). Low preseason loads also showed a trend to increase the odds of sustaining noncontact injury by more than tenfold in the late-season phase (OR, 95% CI = 10.2, 0.8-20.9, p = 0.06) (**Table 3**).

214

215 Non-modifiable Injury Risk Factors and Injury Risk in Phases of the Competitive Season 216 Players between the ages of 25-26 years were at lower risk of sustaining an early season noncontact injury (OR, 95% CI = 0.110, 0.0-1.0, p = 0.049; Table 4) compared to the reference 217 218 group (22-24 years). Whereas, sustaining a moderate to severe injury in the preceding season 219 increased noncontact injury risk in the mid-season phase (OR, 95% CI = 2.7, 1.0-6.9, p = 0.033) compared to those who did not sustain a previous injury. Injury in the previous season 220 221 combined with sustaining a preseason injury significantly increased the odds of sustaining a noncontact injury in the early season phase (OR, 95% CI = 4.2, 1.3-13.1, p = 0.011) compared 222 223 to those with no previous injury history (Table 4).

224

Multivariate Analysis of Preseason Training Load and Non-modifiable Risk Factors on Injury in the Competitive Season.

Combining non-modifiable risk factors and preseason training load parameters into the multivariate risk regression model revealed that low preseason loads in conjunction with sustaining an injury in the early-season phase and high early-season training load increased mid-season noncontact injury risk (OR, 95% CI = 6.5, 1.1-35.5, p = 0.03) compared to the reference group. Similarly, low preseason loads combined with moderate to severe injury sustained in the previous season as well as injury in the early season phase increased the risk of noncontact injury risk in the late-season phase (OR, 95% CI = 12.2, 0.9-15.6, p = 0.05).

234

235 **DISCUSSION**

236 The aim of this study was to examine the distribution of noncontact injury in Rugby Union for different phases of the season and to examine the relationship between cumulative preseason 237 training load and non-modifiable risk factors on injury within those phases. To our knowledge, 238 this is the first study to investigate the influence of preseason training load alongside non-239 240 modifiable risk factors on injury risk in the competitive season in Rugby Union providing a more holistic and realistic examination of injury risk in this sport. The main findings of the 241 242 study showed that the late-season phase had the highest incidence of noncontact injury compared to both the early- and mid-season phases. In isolation, both a low preseason training 243 244 load and injury in the preceding season were associated with a higher risk of noncontact injury 245 within the competitive season, particularly in the mid-season phase. When these risk factors 246 were examined in combination, late-season noncontact injury risk was greater with a low preseason training load, an injury in the early-season phase combined with a moderate to severe 247 248 injury in the previous season. In the mid-season phase the risk of noncontact injury was greater with a low preseason and high early-season training load, combined with sustaining an injury 249

in the early-season phase. These finding suggest that alongside recording established nonmodifiable risk factors, strength and conditioning practitioners should emphasize the monitoring of players exposed to a low cumulative training load for the preseason period, particularly those that have sustained an injury in the preceding season, as they may be more prone to noncontact injury during the competitive season.

255

The highest incidence of noncontact injury in the current study occurred in the late-season 256 257 phase (22.2 injuries per 100 player hours), with lower incidence of noncontact injury in the 258 early and mid-season phases (13.7 and 15.5 injuries per 100 player hours, respectively). These 259 findings contrast with others that suggest an early-season bias for injuries in Rugby Union, 260 reporting that the total injury incidence is higher earlier in the playing season (1, 29). From 261 these studies the authors postulated that climatic conditions and the resulting harder pitch 262 conditions at the beginning of the season (September-October) accounted for the increased incidence of injury in the early-season phase. However, it must be noted that many of these 263 264 studies examining injury distribution have not distinguished between contact and noncontact 265 injuries and have not expressed injury frequency relative to exposure time, which confound injury risk. A possible explanation for our findings that noncontact injury incidence was 266 267 greater in the late season could be related to an increase in fatigue across the competitive 268 season, which is known to be a risk factor for injury. Injury incidence in Rugby Union is higher 269 towards the latter phases of matches, with increased fatigue as a possible factor for this greater 270 incidence of injury (43). Whether an increase in chronic fatigue was present towards the latter 271 part of the season and contributed to our findings is unclear. Nevertheless, knowledge of the distribution of noncontact injury in different phases of the season is likely useful for 272 273 practitioners in order to adjust training prescription at key periods of the season. Notably, an additional finding in the current study was that muscle and tendon injuries in the lower limb 274

were the most common noncontact injury. This finding is consistent with many findings from injury surveillance studies suggesting that the lower limb is the most frequent location of noncontact injury in Rugby Union (3, 4, 7, 17, 40, 43).

278

The preseason phase is a key period for strength and conditioning practitioners to physically 279 280 prepare Rugby Union players for the demands of the competitive season. The training loads reported in this study highlight the greater cumulative training load from practice in this period 281 (17131 AU) compared to the competition season (mean of 12693 AU). When classifying 282 283 players according to their cumulative training load in the preseason period we found that a low 284 cumulative training load (training load between 8949-12589 AU) was associated with 285 subsequent noncontact injury in the competitive season, particularly during the mid-season 286 phase and a trend for increased injury risk in the late season. This is in agreement with other studies that have shown an association between low cumulative training load and grater injury 287 288 risk in other team sports (10, 11, 24) and Rugby Union specifically (2, 13). Tentatively, it is 289 suggestive that higher preseason training loads may offer a protective effect against injury 290 during the competitive season. However, contrary to our findings, others have found a positive 291 relationship between risk of injury and exposure to higher chronic training load (9, 34). That 292 said, many of these studies examined cumulative training load over 1-3 weeks (9, 6, 22, 34), 293 with others examining the relationship between injury and external training load in the form of 294 high-speed running distances or weekly force load (6, 9). Therefore, comparison between 295 studies is difficult. Although it is possible that our cumulative preseason training load was not 296 enough to enable us to examine the effect of larger training load on injury risk, our range during 297 preseason (12953-21309 AU) was greater than the cumulative preseason training loads 298 previously reported for team sport athletes (22). To clarify the relationship between preseason training and injury risk, further research is required utilizing different training load metrics andinjury within the competitive season.

301

302 A well-established linear relationship exists between noncontact injury and age and previous injury (11, 21, 28, 42). In the current study, we did not find a relationship between age and 303 304 increasing injury risk. However, players between the ages of 25-26 were at a lesser risk of sustaining an injury in the competitive season compared to the younger reference group. This 305 306 may be associated with greater training age, which has been found to moderate injury risk in 307 team sport (14, 30), with players in their debut years having an increased risk of injury when 308 exposed to sudden increases in training load compared to their older, more experienced 309 counterparts (30). Our findings also indicate a previous moderate to severe injury significantly 310 increases injury risk in the subsequent season. Previous injury is a predominant non-modifiable 311 risk factor for injury across teams sports (11, 21, 28), particularly to the lower body (19, 37). 312 Fulton et al. (2014) noted that this may be attributed to post-injury maladaptive changes in 313 strength, proprioception, and kinematics that increase future injury risk (19). Rehabilitation 314 and screening of injury history during preseason and the competitive season alongside recording playing experience is essential in establishing the risk an individual athlete may have 315 316 to injury based on their inherent, predisposing factors, and to implement appropriate prevention 317 strategies.

318

It is widely accepted that the cause of noncontact injuries is multifactorial in nature (5). Therefore, identifying a combination of injury risk factors, through a multivariate analysis, is most relevant and applicable. In the mid-season phase the odds of a noncontact injury was increased from 4.7 to 6.5 with a combination of a low preseason training load, high earlyseason training load combined with sustaining an injury in the early-season phase. In the late324 season the odds of a noncontact injury was 12.2 with a combination of a low preseason training 325 load, an injury in the early-season phase combined with a moderate to severe injury in the previous season. The current findings from our multivariate analysis further reinforces 326 327 theorizing that the nature of injuries in sport are complex and multifactorial (5), and that the extent of injuries are not always associated with a single training load value. The magnitude of 328 329 the effects of cumulative training load is heavily influenced by previous injury history (16), and understanding how these risk factors contribute to injury risk is essential when formulating 330 331 injury prevention programmes. Enhanced understanding of the characteristics that predispose 332 individuals to further injury is crucial to reduce medical costs and athlete time lost due to injury 333 (36).

334

335 Rapid growth in training load and injury modelling research has led to multiple attempts to 336 explain injury-risk particularly with respect to under-training and over-reaching. Some have suggested that the equivocal findings in the field are due to inadequate statistical analyses that 337 338 do not account for time-varying variables, recurrent events, or repeated measures (26). In the 339 current study, the use of mixed model generalised estimating equations (GEE) is a strength as it accounts for repeated individual measures across multiple seasons, identifying the optimal 340 341 combination of non-modifiable and modifiable risk factors. GEE has previously been identified as an appropriate way to model repeated measures within injury risk studies (44), a key 342 343 component of monitoring athletes across multiple seasons. A limitation to our study was that 344 due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the final season (2019-20) was terminated early in March, 345 therefore data from the late phase of 2019-20 season was not available for analysis. Future 346 research should aim to include within season data across an extended longitudinal period to 347 strengthen the reproducibility of findings. Also, to further enhance the modelling of injury risk associated with training load, more athlete monitoring variables should be incorporated to 348

349 account fully for the multifactorial nature of sport injuries such as relative strength, subjective 350 data related to fatigue, athlete capacity. Examining the association of these risk factors to 351 specific noncontact injury diagnosis may increase the sensitivity of the model, which will aid 352 in developing specific injury prevention strategies targeting specific locations of injury. 353 Furthermore, future research needs to consider the impact of strength training intensity and 354 volume alongside other factors such as volume of high-speed running and sprinting on injury 355 risk within the competitive season and the impact of the addition or removal of exercises across 356 modalities during the competitive season.

357

358 Conclusion

359 In conclusion, based on non-modifiable risk factors of injury and cumulative preseason training 360 load, we identified that athletes exposed to lower cumulative loads in the preseason were at 361 greater risk of noncontact injury in the later stages of competition, with the odds of sustaining an injury increasing when injury in the previous or current season was accounted for. To reduce 362 363 the risk of noncontact injury, athletes below the moderate range of cumulative training load in the preseason should be closely monitored and prescribed additional training prior to exposing 364 365 them to greater in-competition workloads. A previous injury alongside lower cumulative 366 training loads in the preseason significantly increased the risk of sustaining in-competition 367 noncontact injury, emphasising the importance of preseason screening to formulate tailored injury prevention programs prior to season commencement. 368

369

370 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

371 Strength and conditioning staff and coaches should place emphasis on adequate preparatory 372 loading in the preseason to not only prepare Rugby Union athletes for greater competitive 373 season loads, but also to protect athletes from preventable time-loss injuries during later stages 374 of the season. Identifying players with lower thresholds of preseason loads prior to the 375 commencement of the competitive season can aid practitioners in determining whether an 376 athlete is sufficiently prepared and protected against injury during the competitive season. 377 Preseason screening of previous injury history and possibly training age is an important 378 monitoring component and applying appropriate and specific prehabilitation strategies to 379 reduce the risk of further injury is central to a holistic approach to injury prevention.

380

381 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

382 Thanks are given to the players, coaches, and performance staff from the Regional Rugby

383 Union Team for participating in the study. No source of funding was used in the undertaking

384 of this study or the preparation of this manuscript.

385

386

387 REFERENCES

- 388 1. Alsop, J.C., Chalmers, D.J., Williams, S.M, Quarrie, K.L, Marshall, S.W., Sharples, K.J.
- 389 (2000) Temporal patterns of injury during a rugby season. The Journal of Science and
 390 Medicine in Sport, 3(2), 97-109. doi: 10.1016/s1440-2440(00)80072-9.
- 391 2. Ball S, Halaki M, Sharp T, Orr R. (2018) Injury patterns, physiological profile, and
- 392 performance in university rugby union. International Journal of Sports Physiology and
 393 Performance, 13(1), 1–18.
- 394 3. Bathgate, A. (2002). A prospective study of injuries to elite Australian rugby union players
- 395 * Commentary. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 36(4), 265–269.
- 396 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.36.4.265
- 4. Bird, Y. N., Waller, A. E., Marshall, S. W., Alsop, J. C., Chalmers, D. J., & Gerrard, D. F.
- 398 (1998). The New Zealand Rugby Injury and Performance Project: V. Epidemiology of
 399 a season of rugby injury. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 32(4), 319–325.
- 400 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.32.4.319
- 401 5. Bittencourt, N. F. N., Meeuwisse, W. H., Mendonça, L. D., Nettel-Aguirre, A., Ocarino, J.
- 402 M., & Fonseca, S. T. (2016). Complex systems approach for sports injuries: Moving
- 403 from risk factor identification to injury pattern recognition—narrative review and new
- 404 concept. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 50(21), 1309–1314.
- 405 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095850
- 6. Bowen, L., Gross, A.S., Gimpel, M., Li, F. X., (2017) Accumulated workloads and the
 acute: chronic workload ratio relate to injury risk in elite youth football players.
- 408 British Journal of Sports Medicine, 51(5), 452–9.
- 409 7. Brooks, J. H. M. (2005). Epidemiology of injuries in English professional rugby union:
- 410 Part 1 match injuries. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 39(10), 757–766.
- 411 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2005.018135

412 8. Campos-Vazquez, M.A., Mendez-Villanueva, A., Gonzalez-Jurado, J.A., Leo' n-Prados,

- 413 J.A., Santalla, A., and Suarez-Arrones, L., (2015) Relationships between rating-of-
- 414 perceived-exertion and heart-rate-derived internal training load in professional soccer
- 415 players: A comparison of on-field integrated training sessions. The International
- 416 Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 10, 587–592.
- 417 9. Colby, M. J., Dawson, B., Heasman, J., Rogalski, B., Gabbett, T. J., (2014) Accelerometer
- 418 and GPS-derived running loads and injury risk in elite Australian footballers. Journal
 419 of Strength and Conditioning Research, 28(8), 2244–52.
- 420 10. Colby, M. J., Dawson, B., Heasman, J., Rogalski, B., Rosenberg, M., Lester, L., &
- 421 Peeling, P. (2017). Preseason Workload Volume and High-Risk Periods for
- 422 Noncontact Injury Across Multiple Australian Football League Seasons. Journal of
- 423 Strength and Conditioning Research, 31(7), 1821–1829.
- 424 https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000001669
- 425 11. Colby, M. J., Dawson, B., Peeling, P., Heasman, J., Rogalski, B., Drew, M. K., Stares, J.,
- 426 Zouhal, H., & Lester, L. (2017). Multivariate modelling of subjective and objective
- 427 monitoring data improve the detection of noncontact injury risk in elite Australian
- 428 footballers. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 20(12), 1068–1074.
- 429 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2017.05.010
- 430 12. Drew, M. K., and Finch, C. F., (2016) The relationship between training load and injury,
 431 illness and soreness: a systematic and literature review. Sports Medicine, 46(6), 861–
 432 83.
- 433 13. Dubois R, Lyons M, Paillard T, Maurelli O, Prioux J. (2020) Influence of Weekly
- 434 Workload on Physical, Biochemical and Psychological Characteristics in Professional
- 435 Rugby Union Players Over a Competitive Season. The Journal of Strength and

- 436 Conditioning Research, 34(2), 527-545. doi: 10.1519/JSC.00000000002741.
- 437 PMID: 30074967.
- 438 14. Duhig, S., Shield, A. J., Opar, D., Gabbett, T. J., Ferguson, C., & Williams, M. (2016).
- 439 Effect of high-speed running on hamstring strain injury risk. British Journal of Sports
- 440 Medicine, 50(24), 1536–1540. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095679
- 441 15. Eckard, T. G., Padua, D. A., Hearn, D. W., Pexa, B. S., & Frank, B. S. (2018). The
- 442 Relationship Between Training Load and Injury in Athletes: A Systematic Review.
- 443 Sports Medicine, 48(8), 1929–1961. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0951-z
- 444 16. Esmaeili, A., Hopkins, W. G., Stewart, A. M., Elias, G. P., Lazarus, B. H., & Aughey, R.
- 445 J. (2018). The Individual and Combined Effects of Multiple Factors on the Risk of
- 446 Soft Tissue Noncontact Injuries in Elite Team Sport Athletes. Frontiers in Physiology,
- 447 9, 1280. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.01280
- 448 17. Evans, S. L., Davis, O. E., Jones, E. S., Hardy, J., & Owen, J. A. (2022). Match and
- training injury risk in semi-professional rugby union: A four-year study. Journal of
 Science and Medicine in Sport, S1440244022000093.
- 451 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2022.01.003
- 452 18. Foster, C. (1998) Monitoring training in athletes with reference to overtraining syndrome.
 453 Medicine and Science in Sport and Exercise, 30, 1164.
- 454 19. Fulton, J., Wright, K., Kelly, M., Zebrosky, B., Zanis, M., Drvol, C., & Butler, R. (2014).
- 455 Injury risk is altered by previous injury: a systematic review of the literature and
- 456 presentation of causative neuromuscular factors. 13.
- 457 20. Haddad, M., Stylianides, G., Djaoui, L., Dellal, A., & Chamari, K. (2017). Session-RPE
- 458 Method for Training Load Monitoring: Validity, Ecological Usefulness, and
- 459 Influencing Factors. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 11, 612.
- 460 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00612

- 461 21. Hagglund, M. (2006). Previous injury as a risk factor for injury in elite football: A
- 462 prospective study over two consecutive seasons. British Journal of Sports Medicine,

463 40(9), 767–772. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2006.026609

- 464 22. Harrison, P. W., & Johnston, R. D. (2017). Relationship Between Training Load, Fitness,
- 465 and Injury Over an Australian Rules Football Preseason. Journal of Strength and
- 466 Conditioning Research, 31(10), 2686–2693.
- 467 https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.00000000001829
- 468 23. Hulin, B. T., Gabbett, T. J., Blanch, P., Chapman, P., Bailey, D., & Orchard, J. W.
- 469 (2014). Spikes in acute workload are associated with increased injury risk in elite
- 470 cricket fast bowlers. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 48(8), 708–712.
- 471 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092524
- 472 24. Hulin, B. T., Gabbett, T. J., Lawson, D. W., Caputi, P., & Sampson, J. A. (2016). The
- 473 acute:chronic workload ratio predicts injury: High chronic workload may decrease
- 474 injury risk in elite rugby league players. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 50(4),

475 231–236. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-094817

- 476 25. Impellizzeri, FM, Rampinini, E, Coutts, AJ, Sassi, A, and Marcora, SM. (2004) Use of
- 477 RPE-based training load in soccer. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 36,
 478 1042.
- 479 26. Impellizzeri, F. M., Tenan, M. S., Kempton, T., Novak, A., & Coutts, A. J. (2020).
- 480 Acute: Chronic Workload Ratio: Conceptual Issues and Fundamental Pitfalls.
- 481 International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 15(6), 907–913.
- 482 https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2019-0864
- 483 27. Jones, C. M., Griffiths, P. C., & Mellalieu, S. D., (2017) Training load and fatigue marker
 484 associations with injury and illness: a systematic review of longitudinal studies.
- 485 Sports Medicine. 47(5):943–74.

- 486 28. Kucera, K. L. (2005). Injury history as a risk factor for incident injury in youth soccer.
- 487 British Journal of Sports Medicine, 39(7), 462–462.
- 488 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2004.013672
- 489 29. Lee, A. J., & Garraway, M. W. (2000) The influence of environmental factors on rugby
 490 football injuries, Journal of Sports Sciences, 18:2, 91-95, DOI:
- 491 10.1080/026404100365153
- 492 30. Malone, S., Roe, M., Doran, D. A., Gabbett, T. J., & Collins, K. D. (2017). Protection
- 493 Against Spikes in Workload With Aerobic Fitness and Playing Experience: The Role
- 494 of the Acute:Chronic Workload Ratio on Injury Risk in Elite Gaelic Football.
- 495 International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, 12(3), 393–401.
- 496 https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.2016-0090
- 497 31. Rae, K., & Orchard, J. (2007). The Orchard Sports Injury Classification System (OSICS)
 498 Version 10: Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, 17(3), 201–204.
- 499 https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0b013e318059b536
- 500 32. Roberts, S. P., Trewartha, G., Higgitt, R. J., El-Abd, J., & Stokes, K. A. (2008). The
- 501 physical demands of elite English rugby union. Journal of sports sciences, 26(8), 825502 833.
- 503 33. Roberts, S. P., Trewartha, G., England, M., Shaddick, G., & Stokes, K. A. (2013).
- 504 Epidemiology of time-loss injuries in English community-level rugby union. BMJ 505 open, 3(11).
- 34. Rogalski B, Dawson B, Heasman J, Gabbett TJ. (2013) Training and game loads and
 injury risk in elite Australian footballers. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport.
 16(6), 499–503.
- 509 35. Scantlebury, S., Till, K., Sawczuk, T., Phibbs, P., & Jones, B. (2018). Validity of
- 510 Retrospective Session Rating of Perceived Exertion to Quantify Training Load in

| 511 | youth Athletes: Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 32(7), 1975–1980. |
|-----|---|
| 512 | https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.000000000002099 |
| 513 | 36. Teyhen, D. S. (2020). Identification of Risk Factors Prospectively Associated With |
| 514 | Musculoskeletal Injury in a Warrior Athlete Population. SPORTS HEALTH, 9. |
| 515 | 37. Toohey, L. A., Drew, M. K., Cook, J. L., Finch, C. F., & Gaida, J. E. (2017). Is |
| 516 | subsequent lower limb injury associated with previous injury? A systematic review |
| 517 | and meta-analysis. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 51(23), 1670–1678. |
| 518 | https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097500 |
| 519 | 38. Vanrenterghem, J., Nedergaard, N. J., Robinson, M. A., & Drust, B. (2017). Training |
| 520 | Load Monitoring in Team Sports: A Novel Framework Separating Physiological and |
| 521 | Biomechanical Load-Adaptation Pathways. Sports Medicine, 47(11), 2135–2142. |
| 522 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0714-2 |
| 523 | 39. Wallace, K. L., Slattery, M. K., & Coutts, J. A. (2009) The ecological validity and |
| 524 | application of the session-RPE method for quantifying training loads in swimming. |
| 525 | The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 23, 33–38. |
| 526 | 40. West, S. W., Starling, L., Kemp, S., Williams, S., Cross, M., Taylor, A., Brooks, J. H. M., |
| 527 | & Stokes, K. A. (2021). Trends in match injury risk in professional male rugby union: |
| 528 | A 16-season review of 10 851 match injuries in the English Premiership (2002–2019) |
| 529 | the Professional Rugby Injury Surveillance Project. British Journal of Sports |
| 530 | Medicine, 55(12), 676-682. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2020-102529 |
| 531 | 41. West, S. W., Williams, S., Kemp, S. P. T., Cross, M. J., McKay, C., Fuller, C. W., Taylor, |
| 532 | A., Brooks, J. H. M., & Stokes, K. A. (2020). Patterns of training volume and injury |
| 533 | risk in elite rugby union: An analysis of 1.5 million hours of training exposure over |
| 534 | eleven seasons. Journal of Sports Sciences, 38(3), 238-247. |
| 535 | https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1692415 |

- 536 42. Whittaker, J. L., Small, C., Maffey, L., Emery, C. A. (2015) Risk factors for groin injury
- 537 in sport: an updated systematic review. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 249(12),
 538 803-9. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2014-094287.
- 43. Williams, S., Trewartha, G., Kemp, S., & Stokes, K. (2013). A Meta-Analysis of Injuries
- in Senior Men's Professional Rugby Union. Sports Medicine, 43(10), 1043–1055.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0078-1
- 44. Williamson, D. S., Bangdiwala, S. I., Marshall, S. W., & Waller, A. E. (1996). Repeated
 measures analysis of binary outcomes: applications to injury research. Accident
- 544 Analysis & Prevention, 28(5), 571-579.
- 545 45. Windt, J., Gabbett, T. J., Ferris, D., & Khan, K. M. (2017). Training load--injury paradox:
- 546 Is greater preseason participation associated with lower in-season injury risk in elite
- 547 rugby league players? British Journal of Sports Medicine, 51(8), 645–650.
- 548 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-095973
- 549
- 550

| Phase | Training Load (95% CI) | Game Load (95% CI) | Total Load (95% CI) |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Preseason | 17131 (12953-21309) | N/A | 17131 (12953-21309) |
| Early Season | 11172 (9717-12627) | 7877 (4667-11088) | 19049 (13464-24634) |
| Mid-Season | 12097 (10909-13285) | 5896 (4167-7625) | 17993 (13411-22576) |
| Late Season | 14690 (11170-18210) | 4608 (3507-5709) | 19298 (13876-26211) |

551 Table 1 Mean training and game load (95% CI) for each phase of the season.

554

| 557 | Early Season | | | | Mid-Season | Late Season | | | |
|----------------|--------------|------------------|----|----|------------------|-------------|----|-------------------|----|
| Noncontact | | | | | | | | | |
| Injury | Ν | Injury Incidence | % | Ν | Injury Incidence | % | Ν | Injury Incidence | % |
| Total | 25 | 13.7 (12.9-14.5) | | 26 | 15.5 (14.7-16.3) | | 34 | 22.2* (16.3-28.1) | |
| Location | | | | | | | | | |
| Head/Neck | 0 | 0.0 (-) | 0 | 1 | 0.6 (0.0-2.4) | 4 | 1 | 0.7 (0.0-2.6) | 3 |
| Upper Limb | 4 | 2.2 (0.2-3.7) | 16 | 4 | 2.4 (0.3-4.3) | 15 | 5 | 3.3 (0.4-5.5) | 15 |
| Trunk | 4 | 2.2 (0.2-3.7) | 16 | 1 | 0.6 (0.0-2.4) | 4 | 2 | 1.3 (0.1-3.2) | 6 |
| Lower Limb | 17 | 9.3 (5.0-15.7) | 68 | 20 | 11.9 (7.0-19.4) | 77 | 26 | 17.0 (10.8-25.4)* | 76 |
| Туре | | | | | | | | | |
| Bone | 1 | 0.5 (0.0-2.3) | 4 | 1 | 0.6 (0.0-2.4) | 4 | 2 | 1.3 (0.0-3.2) | 6 |
| Joint/Ligament | 9 | 4.9 (1.9-10.5) | 36 | 5 | 3.0 (0.8-7.7) | 19 | 7 | 4.6 (1.8-10.5) | 21 |
| Muscle/Tendon | 15 | 8.2 (3.9-14.4) | 60 | 20 | 11.9 (7.0-19.4) | 77 | 25 | 15.7 (10.1-24.3) | 71 |
| Severity | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimal | 5 | 2.7 (0.8-7.8) | 20 | 5 | 3.0 (0.8-7.8) | 19 | 8 | 5.2 (1.9-10.5) | 24 |
| Mild | 4 | 2.2 (0.2-3.7) | 16 | 8 | 4.8 (1.8-10.4) | 31 | 8 | 5.2 (1.9-10.5) | 24 |
| Moderate | 10 | 5.5 (2.6-11.8) | 40 | 6 | 3.6 (1.3-9.1) | 23 | 8 | 5.2 (1.9-10.5) | 24 |
| Severe | 6 | 3.3 (0.8-7.7) | 24 | 7 | 4.2 (1.4-9.2) | 27 | 10 | 6.5 (3.3-13.1) | 29 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 2 Noncontact injury incidence (95% CI) and proportion by location, type and severity
 during the competitive season.

557

558 *Significantly different from injury incidence during other phases of the season ($p \le 0.05$).

559 Severity is grouped by number of days lost from Minimal (1-3), Mild (4-7), Moderate (8-28),

560 Severe (>28)

561

562

563

564

565

566 **Table 3** Injury risk regression of cumulative preseason load groups (AU) and influence on in-season injury risk.

| Cumulative | n | Preseason TL | % Injured | Early Season | <i>p</i> -value | Mid-Season | <i>p</i> -value | Late-Season | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------|----|--------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Preseason TL | | (AU) | | Injury Risk | | Injury Risk | | Injury Risk | |
| | | | | OR (95%CI) | | OR (95%CI) | | OR (95%CI) | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Very Low | 17 | < 7758 | 64 | 0.8 (0.2-3.8) | 0.813 | 1.3 (0.3-5.0) | 0.712 | 0.8 (0.1-5.3) | 0.873 |
| Low | 14 | 8949-12589 | 98 | 0.4 (0.1-4.1) | 0.509 | 4.7 (1.0-21.6) | 0.040* | 10.2 (0.8-20.9) | 0.061 |
| Moderate | 26 | 13081-20909 | 55 | 1 (-) | - | 1 (-) | - | 1 (-) | - |
| (reference) | | | | | | | | | |
| High | 20 | 21587-25567 | 70 | 2.6 (0.7-9.1) | 0.101 | 2.1 (0.6-7.2) | 0.229 | 1.7 (0.3-9.4) | 0.599 |
| Very High | 14 | 25824 > | 77 | 2.1 (0.5-8.8) | 0.234 | 2.4 (0.6-9.2) | 0.298 | 1.0 (0.2-5.2) | 0.913 |

 $5\overline{67}$ *Significantly greater risk ($p \le 0.05$) of noncontact injury compared to the reference group. Abbreviation, TL, training load 568

| 569 | Table 4 Non-modifiabl | le injury risk facto | r (Age, Previous | s Injury) regressi | on for each phase of |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------|

- 570 571 the season.

| | Early Season Inj | urly Season Injury Risk | | ry Risk | Late Season Injury Risk | | |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------|
| Age (years) | Ν | OR | р | OR | р | OR | р |
| < 20 | 17 | 0.6 (0.1-2.4) | 0.445 | 1.3 (0.4-4.8) | 0.681 | 0.7 (0.1-5.0) | 0.129 |
| 20-21 | 23 | 0.9 (0.3-3.2) | 0.990 | 0.8 (0.2-2.8) | 0.773 | 1.3 (0.3-5.4) | 0.745 |
| 22-24 (reference) | 26 | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | - | 1.0 | - |
| 25-26 | 18 | 0.1 (0.0-1.0) | 0.049* | 1.2 (0.3-4.3) | 0.792 | 0.9 (0.2-5.5) | 0.942 |
| 27 > | 6 | 0.4 (0.0-3.8) | 0.406 | 0.9 (0.1-6.3) | 0.956 | 0.1 (0.0-0.3) | 0.112 |
| Previous Injury | 51 | 2.1 (0.8-5.7) | 0.152 | 2.7 (1.0-6.9) | 0.033* | 1.0 (0.3-3.4) | 0.971 |

572 573 574 *Significantly greater/reduced risk ($p \le 0.05$) of noncontact injury compared to the reference group.