

## The impact of chronotype on VO<sub>2</sub>max in university students at two different times of the day

Moazzam Hussain Khan<sup>1ABE</sup>, Saurabh Sharma<sup>1ABD</sup>, Mohammed Essa Alsubaiei<sup>2C</sup>, Ahmad Sahely<sup>3,4CD</sup>, Shibili Nuhmani<sup>2CD</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup>School of Sports, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Applied Medical Science, Physiotherapy Department, Jazan University, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Authors' Contribution: A – Study design; B – Data collection; C – Statistical analysis; D – Manuscript Preparation; E – Funds Collection

### Abstract

**Background and Study Aim** To choose the ideal training or performance times, it is crucial to assess VO<sub>2</sub>max performance across different time-of-day particular chronotypes. This study aimed to investigate the differences in VO<sub>2</sub>max between the chronotype of university students during the morning and evening time of the day.

**Material and Methods** Fifty-two university students (26 morning type and 26 evening type) with mean age 23.05± 2.07 years, weight 66.71±4.31Kg and height of 170.90±5.18 cm and BMI of 22.75±1.91kg/m<sup>2</sup> were recruited based on the results of the Horne-Ostberg Morningness- Eveningness Questionnaire (MEQs) participated in this Cross-over study. VO<sub>2</sub>max was measured directly by gas collected using mouth tube breath to breath through the use of the gas analyzer the AD instrument (model-ML206) with an incremental graded maximal exercise test done on the treadmill.

**Results** The morning type group's VO<sub>2</sub>max was significantly better in the morning hour than it was in the evening (p=.013), and when the VO<sub>2</sub>max of the evening type group was measured in the morning and evening hours and compared, there was a statistically significant difference in favour of the evening hours (p=.004). Additionally, there is no discernible difference between the VO<sub>2</sub>max of the morning type and the evening type group either in the morning hours or the evening hours, but trends indicate that the morning type group has a higher VO<sub>2</sub>max in the morning hour and the same is true for the evening type group in the evening hour.

**Conclusions** The findings of this study showed an interaction between chronotype and time of the day in VO<sub>2</sub>max. For endurance training, trainers should consider the individual's chronotype and time of the day for exercise prescription.

**Keywords:** chronotype, VO<sub>2</sub>max, morning type, evening type, morningness-eveningness questionnaire

### Introduction

Chronotype refers to an individual's preferred timing of sleep and wakefulness, which can be categorized as morning types, evening types, or intermediate types [1]. The highest amount of oxygen a person can take during maximum exhaustive exercise is called maximal oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>max), and it stays constant over time despite increasing exercise intensity [2, 3]. Maximal oxygen consumption measures how much oxygen is used by active muscles [4]. It is expressed in absolute units of L/min or relative units of mL/kg/min VO<sub>2</sub>max [5]. One of the most often used metrics in exercise physiology is maximal oxygen uptake (VO<sub>2</sub>max). VO<sub>2</sub>max is the most accurate indicator of young people's aerobic fitness [6] in many sports

and is a prerequisite for peak performance [7]. Clinical research has employed VO<sub>2</sub>max, considered a measure of endurance in various ways [8]. It is a population-wide indicator of cardiovascular disease risk and physical fitness [9].

Due to various bodily situations throughout the day, such as arousal, hunger, jet lag, etc., body responses can sometimes vary. The circadian rhythm is connected to these reactions (i.e. body-clock) [10, 11]. At the same time, the body clock is thought to impact how well people function physically. The results of Chtourou et al. [12] about the impact of the circadian cycle on aerobic activity and maximal oxygen absorption (VO<sub>2</sub>max) were conflicting. Since the 1980s, many studies have studied the impact of the day on endurance exercises. While some studies have indicated that VO<sub>2</sub>max is significantly higher in the morning [13] [14], others have reported that VO<sub>2</sub>max performance

is higher in the afternoon [15, 16]. Morning participants did not raise their  $\text{VO}_2$  max, however evening participants did. Morning participants had a lesser increase in  $\text{VO}_2$  max compared to evening participants [17]. Most studies, including those by [16, 18, 19], revealed that the  $\text{VO}_2$  max is a stable function unaffected by diurnal fluctuations. Hence, it is yet unknown how cardiovascular endurance performance varies during the day with respect to chronotype of individuals.

To our knowledge, none of the previous studies discussed how chronotype affects  $\text{VO}_2$  max in university students at diurnal variation, despite previous studies examining the diurnal influence on collegiate students and adult athletes. In order to choose the ideal training or performance times, it is crucial to assess  $\text{VO}_2$  max performance for university students across different time-of-day particular chronotypes. Therefore this study aimed to examine the relationship between different chronotypes and  $\text{VO}_2$  max in university students during the morning and evening.

## Materials and Methods

### *Participants*

Fifty-two male university students (26-morning type and 26 evening type) with mean age  $23.05 \pm 2.07$  years, weight  $66.71 \pm 4.31$  Kg, height of  $170.90 \pm 5.18$  cm and BMI  $22.75 \pm 1.91$  participated in this cross-sectional study. Subjects who participated in any exercise training during the past three months, suffering from a musculoskeletal injury, have a medical condition that makes exercise dangerous or have a history of or current symptoms of cardiovascular illness (such as angina, shortness of breath, or uncontrolled hypertension) were excluded from study participation. The study was conducted at the Research Lab of Centre for Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, and ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Ethics Committee of Jamia Millia Islamia (approval letter no. 18/3/215JMI/IEC/2019). The study was conducted from January 2022 to March 2023.

### *Research Design*

We used Horne and Ostberg Morningness and Eveningness Questionnaires (MEQs), to identify the different chronotype of the individual and MEQs scores ranging from 16 to 86. Scores ranges 42 to 58 imply "intermediate type," while scores between 41 and below imply "evening type." Scores of 59 and above imply morning type [17, 20].

The relative humidity and lab temperature for the tests on the subjects were the same for all the participants. Additionally, subjects were instructed to abstain from heavy meals, coffee, tea, and physical activity at least two hours before the testing. Before beginning the testing procedure, a thorough

medical evaluation and clinical examination were conducted. According to Lehmler et al. [21], anthropometric measurements of age, height, and weight were made, and the (BMI) ranged from 18.5 to 24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; each subject by dividing their weight in kilograms by their height in square meters, the BMI was computed.  $\text{VO}_2$  max was determined by direct method during incremental graded treadmill test. The gas was collected using mouth tube in open circuit using a gas analyzer the instrument AD (model-ML206)  $\text{VO}_2$  max was determined directly breath by breath. The participants in the study underwent a graded maximum exercise test (Telineyhtyma, Kotka, Finland), with the first stage's speed set at 8 km/h for each stage for one minute till exhaustion [22] a on a treadmill. The  $\text{VO}_2$  max was measured at 9-11 am and 4-6 pm for both the chronotype and 48 hours were the washout period for each participant.

Participants were invited to arrive in the morning or two to three hours after their last meal. Before starting, they were given a brief explanation and demonstration of the categorized exercise test protocol. The participants were required to use a mask attached to a device that calculated the total amount of gas exhaled and breathed throughout the test.

All subjects received a session of familiarization and initial instructions on how to walk on a treadmill. The  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  analysis equipment was calibrated in advance of each test. Every 10 seconds, the heart rate and respiratory gas exchanges were averaged. For the graded test, the  $\text{VO}_2$  max matched the  $\text{VO}_2$  max achieved in two consecutive 10-second periods. Participants were deemed to have reached their  $\text{VO}_2$  max if at least three of the following circumstances applied: (a)  $\text{VO}_2$  plateaus despite a faster running pace; (b) a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) of greater than 1.1 at the end; (c) overt indications of fatigue in the individuals; and (d) a heart rate that was within ten beats per minute of the age-predicted maximal HR [23].

### *Statistical Analysis*

The data were reported as mean  $\pm$  SD. The Shapiro-Wilk test was employed to determine whether the data were normal, and the results showed normal distribution. All variables presented a normal distribution in the test ( $p > .05$ ). Paired sample t-test was used to compare the variable according to the time of the day (morning and evening hours) within the group and the variables with chronotype and the time of day were compared using the independent sample t-test (morning time with Morning type and Evening type) and evening time Evening type and Morning type).  $P < .05$  set for significant value. All the analysis was performed by the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS) software version 21.0

**Result**

Table 1 showed VO<sub>2</sub> max of same chronotype at two different times of the day and table 2. Showed VO<sub>2</sub> max of two different chronotype at same time of the day.

VO<sub>2</sub> max in the morning time compared to the evening time of the morning chronotype group was significantly better (t=2.66, p= .013 < .05) at 95 % of confidence interval difference. The mean ± SD VO<sub>2</sub> max of morning type in the morning was 41.83± 4.58, and in the evening was 40.41 ± 4.73. When the VO<sub>2</sub> max of the evening chronotype group measured in the morning and evening time was compared a statistically significant difference was found in favor of evening hours (t=-3.20, p=.004 < .05) at 95 % of confidence interval difference. The mean ± SD VO<sub>2</sub> max of evening type in the morning was 41.30± 5.27 and in the evening was 42.90 ± 5.35.

Additionally, there is no discernible difference in VO<sub>2</sub> max of the morning type and evening type group in the morning hours and also evening hours but trends shows morning type group has better VO<sub>2</sub>max in the morning hour and also same for the evening type group has better VO<sub>2</sub> max in the evening hour.

**Discussion**

This study’s objective was to compare the results of two different chronotypes and the time of the day on VO<sub>2</sub> max in university students, which can be used to assess the endurance capacity of the individual. Our finding showed significant differences in the VO<sub>2</sub> max of two chronotypes at two different times

of the day. The morning type has better VO<sub>2</sub> max in the morning and the evening type has better VO<sub>2</sub> max in the evening. Our study is consistent with Carter et al. [14], which observed that the evening type had higher VO<sub>2</sub> max and produced more torque in the late afternoon or evening than in the morning. A study in competitive athletes indicated that morning-type athletes had earlier time-of-day peaks in VO<sub>2</sub>max determined, whereas the peaks of the evening types were later in the day and displayed greater diurnal fluctuation; the bleep test showed less diurnal variation [15].

In addition, there was no significant difference between the two chronotypes at the same time of the day, either in the morning or in the evening on VO<sub>2</sub> max, but the trend indicates morning chronotype had better VO<sub>2</sub> max in the morning as compared to their mean ± SD of morning and evening chronotype while evening chronotype had better VO<sub>2</sub> max in the evening while comparing mean ± SD morning and evening chronotype which is consistent with one study found that there is no effect of chronotype on diurnal variation [26]. The effect of time of day on VO<sub>2</sub> max has revealed that there are linear mixed effects and no significant changes in VO<sub>2</sub> max between different times of the day, no fixed effects of time, and no pairwise comparison of time points reached. The time of day when peak VO<sub>2</sub> max was achieved was approximately equally distributed between 10:00am, 4:00pm, and 9:00pm [27].

Only a few studies have examined responses to physical activity at various times of the day and discovered that diurnal variations are particular to chronotype. For instance, those who slept late had

**Table 1.** VO<sub>2</sub> max of the same chronotype at two different times of the day

Chronotype	Time of the day	Mean ±SD	N	Paired Differences				t - value	P - value
				Mean	Std. deviation	95% CI			
						Lower	Upper		
Morning Type	Morning VO <sub>2</sub> max	41.83± 4.58	26	1.41	2.70				
	Evening VO <sub>2</sub> max	40.41 ± 4.73	26			.32	2.50	2.66	.013*
Evening Type	Morning VO <sub>2</sub> max	41.30± 5.27	26	-1.59	2.54				
	Evening VO <sub>2</sub> max	42.90 ± 5.35	26			-2.62	-.57	-3.20	.004*

NOTE: \* - p < .05

**Table 2.** VO<sub>2</sub> max of two different chronotypes at the same time of the day.

Time of the day	Chronotype	Mean ±SD	N	Mean Difference	95% CI		t - value	p - value
					Lower	Upper		
Morning VO <sub>2</sub> max	Morning type	41.83± 4.58	26	.53	-2.22	3.28	.38	.70
	Evening type	41.30± 5.27	26	.53	-2.22	3.28		
Evening VO <sub>2</sub> max	Morning type	40.41 ± 4.73	26	-2.48	-5.29	.33	-1.77	.08
	Evening type	42.90 ± 5.35	26	-2.48	-5.29	.33		

higher  $VO_2$  max levels [24], generated more torque and had higher cerebral and spinal excitability levels [28] in the morning compared to the evening, and morning heart rate recoveries were slower than evening ones [29]. However, the morning people in this investigation displayed no diurnal fluctuation in  $VO_2$  max [24, 28]; nonetheless, spinal excitability increased in the evening, whereas cortical excitability increased in the morning [28]. Exercise in the evening may appear more difficult to morning-type people compared to morning [30]. There is minimal indication that participants' habitual training time influenced the time of day at which they reached their peak  $VO_2$  max since no effect was statistically significant [27].

Only two studies, accounting for chronotype, have examined diurnal variation in directly measured performance (such as time trials) [31, 32]. A survey of 16 college rowers found that morning types performed better in the morning than the evening hour on the 2000-meter ergometer time trial, but neither chronotype nor evening chronotypes showed any diurnal fluctuation in performance [31]. Similarly,

the morning chronotype swam faster in the morning 200 m time trials than neither chronotype did in the evening hours trials among 26 skilled adult swimmers [32]. Considering all of the information, it makes sense to hypothesize that evening chronotypes' circadian typology may favour competition in the afternoon, whereas morning chronotypes may be better adapted to events occurring earlier in the day. However, none of these data indicate whether a certain chronotype performs better than another at a particular time of day, which is consistent with our finding. There are few limitations of this study. The sample size was small. Only male participants were recruited for this study. So this study cannot be generalized to female population.

## Conclusions

Chronotype of the individual affects the  $VO_2$  max of the individual, but there are no significant effects of chronotype at the time of the day on  $VO_2$  max. It is crucial to comprehend the potential effects of performance at specific times of day with distinct chronotypes.

## References

- Roenneberg T. What is chronotype?: Preface. *Sleep and Biological Rhythms*, 2012;10(2): 75–76. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1479-8425.2012.00541.x>
- Lundby C, Montero D, Joyner M. Biology of  $VO_2$  max: looking under the physiology lamp. *Acta Physiologica*, 2017;220(2): 218–228. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.12827>
- Buttar KK, Saboo N, Kacker S. A review: Maximal oxygen uptake ( $VO_2$  max) and its estimation methods. *IJPESH*, 2019; 6:24–32.
- Poole DC, Jones AM. Oxygen Uptake Kinetics. In: Prakash YS (ed.) *Comprehensive Physiology*, 1st ed. Wiley; 2012. P. 933–996. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c100072>
- Łubkowska W, Troszczyński J. The assessment of aerobic physical capacity in young swimmers. *Central European Journal of Sport Sciences and Medicine*, 2013; 2:21–29.
- Armstrong N. Youth Aerobic Fitness. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 2019;31(2): 137–143. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.2019-0039>
- Mountjoy M, Andersen LB, Armstrong N, Biddle S, Boreham C, Bedenbeck HPB, et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement on the health and fitness of young people through physical activity and sport. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2011;45(11): 839–848. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2011-090228>
- Held S, Behringer M, Donath L. Low intensity rowing with blood flow restriction over 5 weeks increases  $VO_2$  max in elite rowers: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 2020;23(3): 304–308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2019.10.002>
- Laukkanen JA, Kunutsor SK. Fitness and reduced risk of hypertension—approaching causality. *Journal of Human Hypertension*, 2021;35(11): 943–945. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41371-021-00545-0>
- Caldwell JA, Caldwell JL, Thompson LA, Lieberman HR. Fatigue and its management in the workplace. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 2019;96: 272–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.10.024>
- Zordan M, Costa R, Macino G, Fukuhara C, Tosini G. Circadian clocks: what makes them tick? *Chronobiology International*, 2000;17(4): 433–451. <https://doi.org/10.1081/CBI-100101056>
- Chtourou H, Souissi N. The Effect of Training at a Specific Time of Day: A Review. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 2012;26(7): 1984–2005. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e31825770a7>
- Brisswalter J, Bieuzen F, Giacomoni M, Tricot V, Falgairette G. Morning-to-Evening Differences in Oxygen Uptake Kinetics in Short-Duration Cycling Exercise. *Chronobiology International*, 2007;24(3): 495–506. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07420520701420691>
- Carter H, Jones AM, Maxwell NS, Doust JH. The effect of interdiurnal and diurnal variation on oxygen uptake kinetics during treadmill running. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 2002;20(11): 901–909. <https://doi.org/10.1080/026404102320761796>
- Chtourou H, Hammouda O, Souissi H, Chamari K, Chaouachi A, Souissi N. Diurnal Variations in Physical Performances Related to Football in Young Soccer Players. *Asian Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2012;3(3). <https://doi.org/10.5812/asjms.34604>
- Torii J, Shinkai S, Hino S, Kurokawa Y, Tomita N, Hirose M, et al. Effect of time of day on adaptive response to a 4-week aerobic exercise program.

- The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 1992;32(4): 348–352.
17. Vitale JA, Bjoerkesett E, Campana A, Panizza G, Weydahl A. Chronotype and response to training during the polar night: a pilot study. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, 2017;76(1): 1320919. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22423982.2017.1320919>
  18. Reilly T, Baxter C. Influence of time of day on reactions to cycling at a fixed high intensity. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 1983;17(2): 128–130. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.17.2.128>
  19. Faria BIE, Drummond BJ. Circadian changes in resting heart rate and body temperature, maximal oxygen consumption and perceived exertion. *Ergonomics*, 1982;25(5): 381–386. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140138208925004>
  20. Horne JA, Östberg O. *Morningness-Eveningness Questionnaire*. 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1037/t02254-000>
  21. Lehmler HJ, Gadogbe M, Liu B, Bao W. Environmental tin exposure in a nationally representative sample of U.S. adults and children: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2011–2014. *Environmental Pollution*, 2018;240: 599–606. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.05.019>
  22. Dupont G, Akakpo K, Berthoin S. The Effect of In-Season, High-Intensity Interval Training in Soccer Players: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 2004;18(3): 584–589. <https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-200408000-00034>
  23. Hautala AJ, Mäkikallio TH, Kiviniemi A, Laukkanen RT, Nissilä S, Huikuri HV, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic function correlates with the response to aerobic training in healthy sedentary subjects. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 2003;285(4): H1747–H1752. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00202.2003>
  24. Hill DW, Cureton KJ, Collins MA, Grisham SC. Diurnal variations in responses to exercise of “morning types” and “evening types”. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*, 1988; 28:213–219.
  25. Facer-Childs E, Brandstaetter R. The Impact of Circadian Phenotype and Time since Awakening on Diurnal Performance in Athletes. *Current Biology*, 2015;25(4): 518–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.12.036>
  26. Wung Burgoon P, Holland GJ, Loy SF, Vincent WJ. A Comparison of Morning and Evening “Types” During Maximum Exercise: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 1992;6(2): 115–119. <https://doi.org/10.1519/00124278-199205000-00009>
  27. Knaier R, Infanger D, Niemeyer M, Cajochen C, Schmidt-Trucksäss A. In Athletes, the Diurnal Variations in Maximum Oxygen Uptake Are More Than Twice as Large as the Day-to-Day Variations. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 2019;10: 219. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2019.00219>
  28. Tamm AS, Lagerquist O, Ley AL, Collins DF. Chronotype Influences Diurnal Variations in the Excitability of the Human Motor Cortex and the Ability to Generate Torque during a Maximum Voluntary Contraction. *Journal of Biological Rhythms*, 2009;24(3): 211–224. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0748730409334135>
  29. Sugawara J, Hamada Y, Nishijima T, Matsuda M. Diurnal Variations of Post-exercise Parasympathetic Nervous Reactivation in Different Chronotypes. *Japanese Heart Journal*, 2001;42(2): 163–171. <https://doi.org/10.1536/jhj.42.163>
  30. Kunorozva L, Stephenson KJ, Rae DE, Roden LC. Chronotype and *PERIOD3* Variable Number Tandem Repeat Polymorphism in Individual Sports Athletes. *Chronobiology International*, 2012;29(8): 1004–1010. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2012.719966>
  31. Brown FM, Neft EE, LaJambe CM. Collegiate rowing crew performance varies by morningness-eveningness. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, 2008; 22:1894–1900. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e318187534c>
  32. Rae DE, Stephenson KJ, Roden LC. Factors to consider when assessing diurnal variation in sports performance: the influence of chronotype and habitual training time-of-day. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 2015; 115:1339–1349. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-015-3109-9>

---

### Information about the authors:

**Moazzam Hussain Khan;** (Corresponding Author); Assistant Professor; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6330-2618>; [mkhan47@jmi.ac.in](mailto:mkhan47@jmi.ac.in); Centre for Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia; New Delhi, India.

**Saurabh Sharma;** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9659-360X>; [ssharma@jmi.ac.in](mailto:ssharma@jmi.ac.in); Centre for Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia; New Delhi, India.

**Mohammed Essa Alsubaiei;** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0608-1166>; [msubaiei@iau.edu.sa](mailto:msubaiei@iau.edu.sa); <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University; Dammam, Saudi Arabia.

**Ahmad Sahely;** <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1833-5258>; [sahely327@hotmail.com](mailto:sahely327@hotmail.com); School of Sports, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham (Birmingham, United Kingdom); Faculty of Applied Medical Science, Physiotherapy Department, Jazan University (Jazan, Saudi Arabia).

**Shibili Nuhmani;** Assistant Professor; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7868-5113>; [snuhmani@iau.edu.sa](mailto:snuhmani@iau.edu.sa); Department of Physical Therapy, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Imam Abdulrahman Bin Faisal University; Dammam, Saudi Arabia.

---

Cite this article as:

Khan MH, Sharma S, Alsubaiei ME, Sahely A, Nuhmani S. The impact of chronotype on VO<sub>2</sub>max in university students at two different times of the day. *Pedagogy of Physical Culture and Sports*, 2023;27(5):402–407. <https://doi.org/10.15561/26649837.2023.0507>

---

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en>).

Received: 13.07.2023

Accepted: 08.09.2023; Published: 30.10.2023