

SHARING to create knowledge in a crisis

Chloe Orkin , ^{1,2} Sara Paparini , ³ John Patrick Thornhill, ^{2,4} Vanessa Apea, ^{2,3} Chikondi Andrew Mwendera , ⁴ Melanie Smuk, ⁵ Rosalie Hayes, ³ Jane Anderson, ^{2,6} The SHARF Collaborative

¹Queen Mary University of London Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK ²Barts Health NHS Trust. London, UK ³Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK ⁴Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK ⁵Centre for Ğenomics and Child Health, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK ⁶Queen Mary University of

Correspondence to

London, London, UK

Professor Chloe Orkin, Centre for Immunology, Queen Mary University of London Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, E1 2AD, UK; c.m.orkin@gmul.ac.uk

Received 31 March 2023 Accepted 30 May 2023 Published Online First 17 August 2023



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Orkin C, Paparini S, Thornhill JP, *et al. BMJ Leader* 2023;**7**:1–2.

COMMENTARY

May 2022 saw a new health crisis—the most extensive and geographically widespread mpox (formerly monkeypox) outbreak and the first in which sustained human transmission is reported outside western and central Africa. The availability and acceptability of vaccines at the beginning of the outbreak was unclear. The outbreak was declared a Public Health Emergency of International Concern within 3 months. There have been more than 86 000 infections reported to the WHO.

The outbreak impacted health systems that were already overstretched by the COVID-19 pandemic. In the UK, sexual health services were at the forefront of the mpox response alongside the most-affected communities. Clinical leaders raised the alarm about the risks posed to core sexual and reproductive health services by unplanned and unfunded mpox activity. The concerns were significant reductions in service availability for HIV testing and prevention, management of sexually transmitted infections and access to reproductive health interventions, including long-acting reversible contraception. Organisations across the sexual health and HIV sector came together to call for urgent government action.³

Unlike in the pandemics of HIV and COVID-19, mpox is a known pathogen with existing treatments and vaccines.⁴ Why then did it spread so rapidly and what was the effect on sexual health services?

Healthcare workers in newly affected countries had to overcome a vast knowledge gap and implement novel diagnostics and therapeutics at pace. Mpox has been affecting humans since 1970, but, like many other diseases that predominantly affect the global South, scant attention was paid until it arrived in the Global North.⁵ At the same time, it was clear that this outbreak differed significantly from previously described epidemiological and clinical patterns. Similar to the beginning of the HIV pandemic, mpox was transmitted within the sexual networks of men who have sex with men (MSM). It was also clear that people living with HIV were disproportionately affected. This presented the challenge of potentially increasing stigmatisation of this already marginalised group and the opportunity to leverage the well-established collaborations between MSM communities, activists and their clinicians. Case definitions were, however, clearly in need of revision. Adopting a practicebased approach to knowledge production in rapidly evolving situations from within the situation at hand enables workable solutions to emerge in complex and rapidly evolving settings.

This is what we saw at the start of the COVID-19 pandemic where amid the hazmat suits, and funerals of colleagues, family members and patients, doctors at Wuhan hospitals nonetheless wrote up huge case series at heroic speed. Their detailed front-line descriptions of epidemiology, the natural history, and clinical and laboratory findings quickly became the accepted case definitions, forming the basis of international public health policy on shielding and vaccination. This enduring contribution to science is full of lessons for future pandemics.

But is academic heroism a sustainable response? It is challenging for research groups to respond without funding and amid academic pressure to publish competitively, leading to single centres writing up their own, small volume case series under pressure to have the science attributed to their academic institution. This academic pressure lends itself to individualism and/or nationalism rather than intellectual collectivism and constrains the opportunity for meaningful community involvement in research.

So, with small numbers of cases scattered worldwide, there was a need for collaborative academic leadership to bring clinical teams together. Leadership came from within The Sexual Health and HIV All East Research (SHARE) Collaborative. Based at Queen Mary University of London (https:// shareresearch.org.uk/), SHARE is a multidisciplinary and collaborative research group established in 2021 with funding from Barts Charity. SHARE has an embedded community advisory board and is grounded in social justice principles and aims to deliver culturally competent research on health equity in infections. In response to the mpox outbreak, SHARE expanded to create SHARE-NET—an international collaboration of researchers from 16 countries to develop a large globally relevant case series. The collaborative codesigned an iterative case report form, capturing detailed information on key population characteristics, including sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, and ethnic and racial heritage, and complete clinical details. Within the first 9 months, SHARE-NET members contributed data to create a convenience-sample case series consisting of 528 cases of confirmed human mpox infection from 5 continents, 16 countries and 43 collaborating clinics. The SHARE-NET group went on to characterise the manifestations of mpox among 136 women, both cisgender and transgender, and non-binary individuals from 15 countries and three WHO regions who were at risk of being overlooked in this crisis as it predominantly, but not exclusively,



Leadership in the Mirror

affected MSM.¹⁰ Striking and concerning findings on the clinically very serious intersections between advanced HIV and mpox have come from the third case series on 382 people with mpox and advanced HIV infection in 19 countries. This led the authors to call for a change of international guidelines to include mpox as an opportunistic pathogen and for this severe form of mpox to be considered an AIDS-defining condition.¹¹

What about the population at risk? Community organisations, particularly those working with MSM with significant HIV experience, were central to the UK mpox response. The SHARE team co-produced, with community organisations—the Love Tank¹² and Sophia Forum, ¹³ a locally relevant UK-based community survey answered by 1932 people (1750 men, mainly MSM) to understand their views about the existing media public health and media messaging as well as vaccination. ¹⁴

To understand the potential effects on the international sexual health workforce and the risks to service delivery, the SHARE team joined forces with leaders of the professional bodies for sexual health and HIV internationally to codesign and disseminate a survey instrument. A manuscript is in preparation.

How could this newly established and unfunded global collaboration work so effectively? Collaborative leadership that champions the power of the collective underpinned the coalition. Despite academic and clinical pressures, this evidences willingness to collaborate and share experiences and data for better public health based on larger datasets. Co-creating research for and with those most affected, be it people with mpox, community organisations, or front-line staff, ensures the research questions are pertinent. The outputs of the work can be impactful in creating and changing policy. The key pillars of social justice—access, knowledge, diversity, participation and human rights—are visibly embedded in every piece of work. The knowledge gained applies to the most vulnerable across the world.

Peter Sands, chief executive of the Global Fund for HIV, TB and malaria, reflects that the world has had three pandemic wake-up calls in a row, yet most people are still asleep: 'We must foster an even greater ethos of collaboration. In our increasingly interconnected world (...) meeting these challenges requires working outside of national and global siloes.'

What more will it take? Learning from crises such as mpox is crucial for the next dangerous emerging/re-emerging pathogen. SHARE-NET has created new ways to take us forward.

Twitter Chloe Orkin @profchloeorkin, Sara Paparini @sara_paparini, John Patrick Thornhill @JohnPThornhill, Vanessa Apea @vanessa_Apea, Chikondi Andrew Mwendera @chikondi_M, Melanie Smuk @Melanie_Smuk, Rosalie Hayes @ rahayes92, Jane Anderson @ProfJAnderson and The SHARE Collaborative @ ShareEastLondon

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the Love Tank, Sophia Forum and all the international research collaborators that formed the SHARE-NET group.

Collaborators The SHARE Collaborative group: Orkin, CM; Anderson J; Apea, V; Dhairyawan, R; Griffith G; Hayes, R; Kennedy P; Mbewe, R; Mwendera. C; Paparini, S; Smuk, M; Thornhill, J; Ring, K; Ullah, S.

Contributors CO and JA both conceptualised this work and developed the initial draft. SP, VA, CAM, RH, JPT, MS and The SHARE Collaborative provided critical insight, revised and approved the final manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests CO has no COI related to mpox. She is a recipient of honoraria and research grants from GILEAD, ViiV, GSK, MSD, Janssen, AstraZeneca; National roles include BHIVA Chair (2016–2019), MWF President (2021–2023), Co-Chair of HIV Glasgow International AIDS conference (2022-); International role(s) include member of International AIDS Society governing council (2019-). JPT has received funding from Barts Charity on mpox. He has received speaker's fees from Gilead Sciences and conference travel support from Gilead Sciences and ViiV Healthcare. VA has received speaker's fees from ViiV, MSD and GILEAD. JA has held various roles such as: Consultant Physician Barts NHS trust; member of the Gilead Sciences Advisory Board; Chair of the National AIDS Trust, Immediate Past Master and Court Assistant Worshipful Society of Apothecaries.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Chloe Orkin http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6168-6745 Sara Paparini http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1909-2481 Chikondi Andrew Mwendera http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1513-7088

REFERENCES

- Organization WH. WHO director-general declares the ongoing Monkeypox outbreak a public health emergency of international concern: World Health Organization. 2022. Available: https://www.who.int/europe/news/item/23-07-2022-who-director-general-declares-the-ongoing-monkeypox-outbreak-a-public-health-event-of-international-concern [Accessed 30 Mar 2023].
- 2 Organization WH. Mpox (Monkeypox) outbreak: global trends. 2023. Available: https://worldhealthorg.shinyapps.io/mpx_global/ [Accessed 30 Mar 2023].
- 3 Trust NA. Consensus statement on response to the Monkeypox outbreak. 2022. Available: https://www.nat.org.uk/press-release/consensus-statement-response-monkeypox-outbreak [Accessed 30 Mar 2023].
- 4 Lane HC, Fauci AS. Monkeypox—past as Proloque. *N Engl J Med* 2022;387:749–50.
- 5 Bunge EM, Hoet B, Chen L, et al. The changing epidemiology of human Monkeypox—A potential threat? A systematic review. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 2022:16:e0010141.
- 6 Pan D, Sze S, Nazareth J, et al. Monkeypox in the UK: arguments for a broader case definition. *Lancet* 2022;399:2345–6.
- 7 West S, van Kerkhoff L, Wagenaar H. Beyond "linking knowledge and action": towards a practice-based approach to transdisciplinary sustainability interventions. *Policy Studies* 2019;40:534–55.
- 8 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet* 2020;395:497–506.
- 9 Thornhill JP, Barkati S, Walmsley S, et al. Monkeypox virus infection in humans across 16 countries—April–June 2022. N Engl J Med 2022;387:679–91.
- 10 Thornhill JP, Palich R, Ghosn J, et al. Human Monkeypox virus infection in women and non-binary individuals during the 2022 outbreaks: a global case series. Lancet 2022;400:1953–65.
- 11 Mitjà O, Alemany A, Marks M, et al. Mpox in people with advanced HIV infection: a global case series. Lancet 2023;401:939–49.
- 12 Tank TL. The love tank is here: the love tank. 2018. Available: http://thelovetank.info/
- 13 Forum S. Wordpress and Merlin. Available: https://sophiaforum.net/ [Accessed 30 Mar 2023]
- 14 Paparini S, Whitacre R, Smuk M, et al. Public understanding, awareness, and response to Monkeypox virus outbreak: a cross-sectional survey of the most affected communities in the United Kingdom during the 2022 public health emergency. HIV Med 2023;24:544–57.
- 15 Steiner PSA. Monkeypox, Marburg and miserable heat: how the world should respond to intersecting crises. 2022. Available: https://thehill.com/opinion/healthcare/ 3607960-monkeypox-marburg-and-miserable-heat-how-the-world-should-respondto-intersecting-crises/ [Accessed 30 Mar 2023].