Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Developmental Biology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/developmentalbiology

Evolution of the expression and regulation of the nuclear hormone receptor *ERR* gene family in the chordate lineage

Vasileios Papadogiannis^a, Dorit Hockman^{b,c}, Silvia Mercurio^d, Claire Ramsay^a, Mark Hintze^e, Cedric Patthey^f, Andrea Streit^e, Sebastian M. Shimeld^{a,*}

^a Department of Biology, University of Oxford, 11a Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3SZ, UK

^b Division of Cell Biology, Department of Human Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

^c Neuroscience Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

^d Department of Environmental Science and Policy, Università Degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 2, 20133, Milano, Italy

e Centre for Craniofacial & Regenerative Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, Oral and Craniofacial Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

^f Department of Radiosciences, Umeå University, 901 85, Umeå, Sweden

ABSTRACT

The *Estrogen Related Receptor (ERR)* nuclear hormone receptor genes have a wide diversity of roles in vertebrate development. In embryos, ERR genes are expressed in several tissues, including the central and peripheral nervous systems. Here we seek to establish the evolutionary history of chordate *ERR* genes, their expression and their regulation. We examine *ERR* expression in mollusc, amphioxus and sea squirt embryos, finding the single *ERR* orthologue is expressed in the nervous system in all three, with muscle expression also found in the two chordates. We show that most jawed vertebrates and lampreys have four *ERR* paralogues, and that vertebrate *ERR* genes were ancestrally linked to *Estrogen Receptor* genes. One of the lamprey paralogues shares conserved expression domains with jawed vertebrate *ERR* γ in the embryonic vestibuloacoustic ganglion, eye, brain and spinal cord. Hypothesising that conserved expression derives from conserved regulation, we identify a suite of pan-vertebrate conserved non-coding sequences in *ERR* introns. We use transgenesis in lamprey and chicken embryos to show that these sequences are regulatory and drive reporter gene expression in the nervous system. Our data suggest an ancient association between *ERR* and the nervous system, including expression in cells associated with photosensation and mechanosensation. This includes the origin in the vertebrate common ancestor of a suite of regulatory elements in the 3' introns that drove nervous system expression and have been conserved from this point onwards.

1. Introduction

The *Estrogen Related Receptor* genes (*ERR*, also sometimes called *ESRR*) form a small family of transcription factors and encode Nuclear Hormone Receptor (NHR) type DNA binding proteins. There are typically four ERR family paralogues in jawed vertebrates, *ERRa*, *ERR* β , *ERR* γ and *ERR* δ , though there is variation between lineages due to extra duplications and/or gene loss. Based on sequence similarity the ERR family is closely related to the Estrogen Receptor (ER) family (Baker, 2008; Bertrand et al., 2004), but there is an important difference in how they function: ER proteins require ligand-binding to activate transcription of target genes, while ERR is an 'orphan' NHR that does not need a ligand to bind DNA. ERR proteins act by binding to DNA sequences known as Estrogen Related Receptor Elements (ERREs) and by regulating the expression of target genes (Heard et al., 2000; Tremblay and Giguere, 2007).

The jawed vertebrate ERR genes have been quite well studied in several species, with embryonic expression identified in multiple tissues including Central and Peripheral Nervous Systems (CNS and PNS), muscle and kidney, with data from enough species to suggest that some and possibly all of these sites of expression could be ancestral for jawed vertebrates (Bardet et al., 2004; Heard et al., 2000; Susens et al., 2000). Our study was initiated following the identification of one ERR gene, $ERR\gamma$, as a marker for the neurons of the chicken vestibuloacoustic ganglion (VA) (Patthey et al., 2016). ERR γ is also expressed by VA cells in mouse and zebrafish, and the locus is associated with hearing loss in mouse mutants and human genetic conditions (Bardet et al., 2004, 2005; Bertrand et al., 2007; Lorke et al., 2000; Nolan et al., 2013). These data suggest $ERR\gamma$ functions in the specification of VA cells in a way that is conserved across jawed vertebrates. However, ERRy expression has also been detected in some other cranial ganglia, including the trigeminal, and is widely expressed in the CNS as well (Bonnelye et al., 1997), complicating inference of the ancestral expression of $ERR\gamma$ genes. This problem is compounded by limited data from invertebrates, with current studies restricted to the description of expression in the developing Drosophila gut deriving from a large-scale in situ hybridisation screen,

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* sebastian.shimeld@biology.ox.ac.uk (S.M. Shimeld).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2023.09.003

Received 27 April 2023; Received in revised form 1 September 2023; Accepted 9 September 2023 Available online 9 September 2023

0012-1606/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

expression in the larval head of tunicates, and expression in somites, CNS and PNS of amphioxus (Bardet et al., 2005; Gomes et al., 2019b; Park et al., 2009; Thurmond et al., 2019).

We studied the molecular evolution, expression and regulation of ERR genes in lamprey and in three invertebrates, a limpet and additional amphioxus and tunicate species to the ones already studied. Lampreys are members of the earliest-diverging extant vertebrate group. They lack hinged jaws and paired appendages but share many developmental processes and structures with other vertebrates (Green and Bronner, 2014; Shimeld and Donoghue, 2012). Evidence from broad genome comparison shows two rounds of whole genome duplication (2R) preceded the radiation of living jawed vertebrate lineages, with at least one of these predating separation of the lamprey lineage (Sacerdot et al., 2018; Simakov et al., 2020). Tunicates are the sister lineage to vertebrates and the immediate outgroup for comparison to vertebrates, while amphioxus gives insight into the ancestral chordate condition. Limpets are molluscs with motile planktonic embryos and larvae which may provide a better comparison than Drosophila to the embryos and larvae of tunicates and amphioxus.

We first report the evolutionary history of chordate ERR genes including the identification of four lamprey ERR genes. We establish ERR expression in mollusc, amphioxus, tunicate and lamprey embryos to obtain a broader picture of the evolution of ERR gene expression. One lamprey ERR gene, annotated as ERRa, shows highly similar expression to jawed vertebrate $ERR\gamma$ in the CNS and VA, and we hypothesise this reflects a conserved, ancestral pattern for vertebrates. To examine this further we examine syntenic relationships between ERR genes, including identifying an ancient linkage to ER genes that probably reflects their origin through the tandem duplication of an ERR/ER ancestor gene. Furthermore, we search for conserved non-coding elements (CNEs) around ERR genes, identifying several embedded in the 3' introns of jawed vertebrate $ERR\gamma$ and lamprey ERRa. We test the function of one group of CNEs in transgenic lamprey and chicken, showing they drive expression in the CNS and weakly in the PNS. Our data demonstrate an ancient association between ERR genes and the nervous system, cemented in vertebrates with the origin and subsequent conservation of regulatory elements embedded in 3' introns.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Gene and genome analyses

A reciprocal BLAST (Camacho et al., 2009) approach was used to identify cyclostome sequences. Transcriptomes for the brook lamprey (Lampetra planeri), the arctic lamprey (Lethenteron camtchaticum) and the sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) (Lara-Ramirez et al., 2015; Mehta et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2018) were searched using jawed vertebrate sequences for each gene family. Transcript sequences matching the queries were searched via BLASTX against the NCBI RefSeq database to confirm they matched the expected gene family. Transcripts filtered through this process were subsequently mapped to the genomes of the arctic lamprey and the sea lamprey and then consolidated into individual genetic loci. Sequences for the inshore hagfish (Eptatretus burgeri), common limpet (Patella vulgata) and keelworm (Spirobranchus lamarkii) were identified via the same approach. For P. vulgata and S. lamarckii previously published transcriptome and genome data were used (Kenny et al., 2015; Kenny and Shimeld, 2012; Werner et al., 2013). Other sequences included in this analysis were retrieved from the NCBI database. Sequence alignments were built using MUSCLE and trimmed using TRIMAL with a gap threshold of 0.5. Maximum parsimony (raxml (Stamatakis, 2014)) and Bayesian (Mr Bayes (Altekar et al., 2004; Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003)) analysis were employed (JTT model, 1000 bootstrap) to construct phylogenetic trees. $ERR\gamma$ synteny was investigated in the genomes of different annotated vertebrate species as listed in supplementary data. For jawed vertebrate genomes, the following genome assemblies were searched using the UCSC genome browser: *Homo sapiens* (GRCh38. p12, NCBI ID: 5800238), *Gallus gallus* (GRCg6a, NCBI ID: 1668981), *Xenopus tropicalis* (Xenopus_tropicalis_v9.1, NCBI ID: 768701), *Danio rerio* (Zebrafish Build 11/GRCz11, NCBI ID: 1104621), *Lepisosteus oculatus* (LepOcu1, NCBI ID: 327908). Additionally, the following genomes were used to identify ERR-ER locations in different species shown in Fig. 2: *Oryzias latipes* (UCSC oryLat2), *Anolis carolinensis* (AnoCar2.0, accession #GCA_000090745.1), *Branchiostoma floridae* (JGI v2.0), *Lottia gigantea* (JGI Lotgi1), *Saccoglossus kowalevskii* (Skow_1.1 NCBI ID: 95611). In cases where gene annotation was lacking, gene identity was confirmed via a reciprocal BLAST approach. New sequences for genes cloned as part of this study have been submitted to Genbank, accession numbers MN267867 to MN267870.

2.2. Embryos and in situ hybridisation

Primers (Supplementary Table S2) were designed to amplify fragments 500-1000bp in length, when possible, from embryonic cDNA. *L. planeri* embryos were collected from the New Forest, UK, under licence from Forestry England, and staged as described (Tahara, 1988). Adult *C. intestinalis* adults were collected from Northney marina, Hayling Island, UK, and adult *P. vulgata* from Tinside, Plymouth, UK. Both were maintained in a running seawater aquarium at 12–16 °C. Gametes were liberated by dissection and embryos were cultured at 16–18 °C until they reached the desired stage. *B. lanceolatum* were collected from Banyuls-sur-Mer, France and heat stimulation was used to induce spawning (Fuentes et al., 2004, 2007).

L. planeri, C. intestinalis and B. lanceolatum embryos were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and stored at -20 °C after gradual transfer to Methanol (L. planeri) or Ethanol (invertebrate embryos). Lamprey in situ hybridisation was performed as described (Lara-Ramirez et al., 2015), C. intestinalis and B. lanceolatum in situ hybridisation was performed as described (Boorman and Shimeld, 2002). P. vulgata in situ hybridisation was performed as described (Shimeld et al., 2010). Scanning electron microscopy of P. vulgata embryos was performed as described (Thompson and Shimeld, 2015). Lamprey embryo clearing was either by transferring to 25% then 50% glycerol in PBS, or by dehydrating with 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% ethanol and transferring to benzyl benzoate/benzyl alcohol 2:1 (BABB). Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) microscopy was used to observe and photograph embryos. The Ciona ERR probe was derived from the Ciona release 1 gene collection library, clone coordinate R1CiGC03o05 (gene model KH2012. L8.21).

Adult sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) were supplied by the US Fish and Wildlife Service and Department of the Interior. Embryos obtained by *in vitro* fertilisation were grown to the desired stage in compliance with California Institute of Technology Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol #1436.

2.3. CNE cloning and transgenics

Human and Zebrafish CNE sequences from the Conserved NoncoDing Orthologous Regions (CONDOR (Woolfe et al., 2007):) database were searched against the *Lethenteron camtchaticum* genome using BLAST, providing evidence that shared CNEs were present between the 3' most introns of *ERR* γ and *ERR*a. The last two introns of *L. camtchaticum ERRa* and *ERR* γ from Human, Mouse, Chicken, *Anolis, Xenopus,* Zebrafish and elephant shark were subsequently aligned using BLAST, and VISTA plots were built using mVISTA (Frazer et al., 2004) to reveal conservation peaks. Sequences in each identified peak were extracted for each species and alignments were built using MUSCLE.

CNEs were cloned from the second to last intron of *ERRa* from *L. planeri* genomic DNA. CNE-containing fragments were cloned using the InFusion system (Takara Biosciences) into respective GFP reporter vector for testing in chicken (barcode 1 tagged pTK vector (Chen and Streit, 2015)) and lamprey (Parker et al., 2014). More specifically, for

lamprey transgenics, CNE sequences were cloned into the HLC vector with a zebrafish krt4 minimal promoter (Parker et al., 2014). ERR8t12 was first cloned in the pCRTMII vector and then transferred to the krt4-HLC vector after restriction digest of both with BamHI-XhoI and ligation. For chicken transgenics, ERR8t12 was cloned from pCRTMII to the tag1-pTK vector using restriction-ligation cloning with Spe1-ECORV. For the chicken transgenics, in-ovo electroporations were performed as described (Abello et al., 2007), targeting the otic region at stage HH10 and allowing embryos to grow to HH15-HH17 and HH21. The ERR8t12 element was cloned into a modified form of pTK-EGFP (Chen and Streit, 2015). A control plasmid with a ubiquitous promoter driving DsRed was co-electroporated with the GFP reporter constructs to visualise all transgenic cells. For lamprey transgenics, i-SceI meganuclease integration was used in sea lamprey embryos as described (Parker et al., 2011), injecting zygotes and allowing embryos to grow to Tahara stage 26-29. Embryos of all three species were imaged live then fixed for 1 h in 4% PFA and transferred to PBS-TritonX100 for storage. For sectioning chicken embryos were embedded in gelatin then sectioned with a crvostat.

2.4. Immunohistochemistry

Fixed embryos were initially washed 3 times in PBS-TritonX100 (PBS with 0.5% Triton \times 100) for 30 min, washed 2 times in block solution (PBS-TritonX100 with 10% heat-treated sheep serum) for 30 min and blocked in block solution for longer than 2 h, and up to overnight. Embryos were then incubated overnight with the primary antibody solution followed by 5 PBS-TritonX100 and 2 block solution washes for 30 min. Secondary antibody solution incubation was then carried out for longer than 4 h up to overnight, followed by 5 PBS-TritonX100 washes for 30 min each. Embryos were finally transferred to 25% and then 50% glycerol in PBS to clear and visualised in an optical fluorescent (Zeiss Axioskop) or confocal (Olympus FV1000) microscope. Sections were initially incubated in PBS at 45 °C for 30 min to remove gelatin. Then 5 washes in PBS-TritonX100 for 15 min were followed by blocking for 1 h at 4 °C and overnight incubation in primary antibody, 5 washes in PBS-TritonX100 for 15 min, incubation with secondary antibody for more than 2 h and 5 washes in PBS-TritonX100 for 15 min before transferring to glycerol and sealing. Antibodies used were: Chicken Polyclonal Anti-GFP antibody (Abcam AB13970), Goat Anti-Chicken IgY H&L Alexa Fluor® 488 (Abcam AB150169), Mouse Hu/ELAV Monoclonal Antibody (Invitrogen 16A11), anti-Mouse alexa594 (Abcam AB150116), mouse anti-GFP (Invitrogen A-11120) (used in chicken) visualised with Goat anti-Mouse IgG H + L Alexa Fluor® 488 (Invitrogen A-11001).

2.5. Image analysis

Analysis and processing of fluorescence microscopy data were carried out using ImageJ v. 1.52g. Confocal or optical z stacks and 3D projections were created using maximum intensity projection. Some images are composites of multiple individual images of the same embryo focused at slightly different levels to follow expressing cell populations as the embryo moved in and out of focus. These were assembled in PowerPoint by overlapping individual images. This applies to images shown in Fig. 7A, B, G, and H.

2.6. Transcriptome assembly and analysis

RNA-seq data from dissected eyes from lamprey, hagfish, gar and shark (Lamb et al., 2016) were downloaded from the NCBI (Bioproject PRJNA292033). Libraries for each species were separately assembled using Trinity with the integrated Trimmomatic option for trimming (Haas et al., 2013). These assemblies were then searched for ERR genes using BLAST. Read counts for each transcript were then generated using Kalisto (Bray et al., 2016).

2.7. Single-cell RNAseq data analysis

Ciona robusta and amphioxus single-cell RNAseq (scRNAseq) data have been previously published (Cao et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2022). Data were accessed at https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/stud v/SCP454/comprehensive-single-cell-transcriptome-lineages-of-a-p https://lifeomics.shinyapps.io/shinyapp roto-vertebrate and multi/respectively. In each case, we first used the website interface to search for the profiles of selected genes across cell clusters. For the Ciona robusta data we were able to identify two well-defined neural cell clusters expressing ERR (gene ID KH2012: KH. L8.21). To gain insight into the nature of ERR expressing cells we extracted the lists of genes significantly enriched in these cell clusters (as defined by the original scRNAseq study (Cao et al., 2019)), and retrieved Gene Ontology (GO) terms for C. robusta genes from the Aniseed database (https://www.an iseed.fr/ (Brozovic et al., 2018):). These data were then analysed with g:Profiler (Raudvere et al., 2019) to identify functional enrichment with a threshold of 0.05. We also directly searched genes in these cell clusters for those annotated with GO terms related to photoreception.

3. Results

3.1. Evolutionary history of the ERR gene family

We first sought to describe the evolutionary history of chordate ERR genes. We identified ER and ERR genes from three lamprey species (Lampetra planeri, Petromyzon marinus, Lethenteron camtschaticum), one hagfish species (Eptatretus burgeri) and a range of other vertebrate and invertebrate taxa. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of encoded protein sequences using the Retinoid X Receptors as outgroups (Mangelsdorf et al., 1992) showed ER and ERR sequences formed well supported groups, each including vertebrate and invertebrate sequences (Fig. 1). Most invertebrates (including the invertebrate chordates) had a single ERR gene, while lampreys and hagfishes had four ERR genes. As expected, jawed vertebrate ER (ER1, ER2) and ERR paralog sequences formed separate well-supported groups. Lamprey and hagfish ERR and ER sequences grouped within the ERR and ER families respectively. However, while lamprey and hagfish sequences generally grouped, the tree did not resolve sufficiently to determine the orthology between lamprey and jawed vertebrate sequences.

While extracting lamprey ERR and ER sequences, we noted one *ERR* paralogue and one *ER* paralogue were about 600 kb apart in the same genomic scaffold. This prompted us to examine *ERR* and *ER* gene localisation in other genomes, identifying additional *ERR-ER* gene linkages (Fig. 2A and B). The distance between *ERR* and *ER* genes is small in some genomes, for example around 40 Kb in the hemichordate *Saccoglossus kowalevskii*, but in jawed vertebrates is usually in the order of several Mb. Vertebrate paralogue pairs ERR_{γ} -*ER1* and ERR_{β} -*ER2* often appear in linkage, while the *ERR* α and *ERR* δ loci appear unlinked to ER loci in all jawed vertebrates examined, except for *Xenopus* where *ERR* δ is found close to *ER2* (Fig. 2).

3.2. ERR gene expression in tunicate, amphioxus and mollusc embryos

Previous studies of embryonic *ERR* spatial expression in invertebrates are limited to *Drosophila* (developed as part of high throughput *in situ* hybridisation screening (Thurmond et al., 2019)), the amphioxus *Branchiostoma floridae* (Bardet et al., 2005) and the larval head of an ascidian, *Phallusia mammillata* (Gomes et al., 2019a, 2019b). Since these data were insufficient for useful comparison to vertebrates, we examined embryonic gene expression in additional invertebrates: a second amphioxus species, (*Branchiostoma lanceolatum*), another tunicate (*Ciona intestinalis*) and a gastropod mollusc (the common limpet *Patella vulgata*). *ERR* expression in *B. lanceolatum* localised to both CNS and PNS, and to axial muscles (Fig. 3A–D), essentially as reported in *B. floridae* (Bardet et al., 2005). As seen in *B. floridae*, expression in the



Fig. 1. Molecular phylogenetic analysis of ERR and ER sequences

Phylogenetic tree using maximum likelihood analysis with 1000 bootstrap replicates (showing as percentage support values on nodes) of ERR and ER family members. The RXR family is used as an outgroup. Different taxonomic groups are colour coded and highlighted with bars on the right side, with continuous lines indicating monophyly and dashed lines indicating non-monophyletic groups. All sequences described in this study fall robustly within their expected families: ER (98%, red circle), ERR (96%, blue circle), RXR (100%). Bootstrap support values for chordate, vertebrate and gnathostome clades are coloured according to the respective group name colour, other node support values have been omitted. *ERR* δ is also known as *ERR* γ r or *ERR* γ l depending on species. Lamprey sequences are from *Lethenteron cantschaticum*.

PNS was confined to a subset of PNS cells. We also searched published scRNAseq data from amphioxus (Ma et al., 2022). This identified expression in mesoderm and neural tissue (Supplementary Fig. S1) but was insufficient to identify specific PNS cell types.

In *C. intestinalis ERR* was expressed in axial muscles and in the CNS, in a structure called the sensory vesicle (Fig. 3E and F). Sensory vesicle cells expressing *ERR* form part of the ocellus (the visual organ of the larva) and also include two cells in the lining of the vesicle in which the otolith, the larval inertial/gravity sensor, lies. From their position, we considered that these cells are possibly those known as the 'antenna cells', which connect the otolith to the brain, probably relaying information about its physical displacement and thus allowing inertial sensing (Bostwick et al., 2020; Esposito et al., 2015; Ryan et al., 2017). *Ciona spcs.* also have several types of PNS cells at the stages we examined

(Liu and Satou, 2020), but we did not detect *ERR* expression in any of these by *in situ* hybridisation.

To gain additional insight into the cell types expressing *ERR* we mined scRNAseq data from *Ciona robusta* (Cao et al., 2019; Horie et al., 2018b). The *Ciona robusta ERR* gene (KH2012:KH.L8.21) showed no evidence of expression in peripheral neural cell types, confirming *in situ* hybridisation results. However, *ERR* was prominently expressed in two central neural cell clusters, 'Rx + anterior sensory vesicle (Rx + aSV)' cells and 'Lox5+ anterior sensory vesicle (Lox5+ aSV)' cells (here we use the names given to these clusters by the authors of the scRNAseq study) (Supplementary Fig. S1). Rx + aSV cells express the *Rx* (*Retinal homeobox*) gene and are hence likely to be visual system cells (Mathers et al., 1997). To examine this, we extracted the list of genes significantly expressed in the Rx + aSV cluster and assessed GO term enrichment,



Fig. 2. The evolutionary history of ERR-ER gene linkage

ERR genes are in blue and *ER* genes in red. A black line connecting the gene boxes indicates the loci lie in proximity in the same scaffold/chromosome, with intergenic distances shown. Dotted vertical lines represent a change in scaffold/chromosome. Phylogenetic relationships of species presented are on the left. A shows the broader context across animals and spanning the 2R genome duplications. B is focused on the ray-finned fish, amongst which teleosts have undergone another genome duplication. Pictures from https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?title=Main_Page&oldid=343113363.

finding terms related to photosensation to be significantly enriched in this gene set (25 genes including an Opsin gene: Supplementary Fig. S1). This indicates these cells are likely to be the *ERR* expressing cells adjacent to the ocellus, in turn implying the Lox5+ aSV cells may be the cells adjacent to the otolith. Genes significantly expressed in the Lox5+ aSV cells did not show informative GO term enrichment, though do include several genes with visual system GO annotations (19 genes, 8 of which overlap with the 25 genes from the Rx + aSV cluster analysis: Supplementary Fig. S1). If these cells are antenna cells, they should also express the vesicular glutamate transporter *VGlut* (KH2012:KH.C3.324) (Bostwick et al., 2020; Kourakis et al., 2021) while Rx + aSV cells should not. The scRNAseq data confirmed this was the case (Supplementary Fig. S1).

In *P. vulgata ERR* was expressed in trochophore larvae by cells of the mantle edge surrounding the shell field, and by cells of the apical organ (Fig. 3G, I, J). The apical organ is a ciliated sensory organ with a central tuft of long cilia, and comparison to scanning electron microscope images of embryos at the same developmental stage (Fig. 3H, K) shows expression is not in the central cells with elongated cilia, but in cells with shorter cilia lying next to them.

3.3. ERR gene expression in lamprey embryos

We named the four lamprey *ERR* genes *ERRa* to *ERRd* to avoid direct attribution to jawed vertebrate *ERRa* to *ERR\delta*, since this was not supported by molecular phylogenetic analysis. Sequences for *ERRa*, *ERRb*

and ERRc were retrieved from embryo transcriptome data for three lamprey species and cloned from L. planeri embryo mRNA by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR). ERRd was identified in the P. marinus and L. camtschaticum genomes (Mehta et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2018), but was not present in the embryo transcriptomes and we were unable to amplify this gene from L. planeri embryo mRNA by RT-PCR. In situ hybridisation showed ERRc was only expressed in the hindbrain and part of the mandibular arch (Supplementary Fig. S2), while embryonic expression of ERRb was not detected by this method. It also showed ERRa expression in the CNS including the midbrain, hindbrain, epiphysis, olfactory epithelium and spinal cord, as well as in the VA (Fig. 4). ERRa expression commenced at Tahara stage 24 (Tahara, 1988) in the epiphysis, midbrain and the VA (Fig. 4A). By stage 25 expression was also seen in the olfactory system, forebrain, hindbrain and in the spinal cord (Fig. 4B). From stage 27 onwards, expression persisted in all these domains, but with more widespread and stronger signal in the hindbrain and spinal cord (Fig. 4C). Expression in some cells in the eye could also be seen from stage 28 onwards (Fig. 4D, Supplementary Fig. S3). Lamprey eye development is delayed relative to other vertebrates, with full vision only developing at metamorphosis after some years as a larva. To see if ERR expression persisted we examined published RNA-seq data from adult lamprey (Geotria australis, Mordacia mordax) and hagfish (Eptatretus cirrhatus) eyes (Lamb et al., 2016). We assembled transcriptomes for each species and searched for transcripts coded by ERR loci using reciprocal BLAST matches to P. marinus transcripts,



Fig. 3. ERR expression in amphioxus, ascidian and mollusc embryos.

A-D. Amphioxus (Branchiostoma lanceolatum) 32hr embryo (A,B) and early (42hr) larva (C,D) in lateral (A,D) and dorsal (B,C) views, anterior to the left. Expression is seen in the neural tube (CNS) and PNS (arrows), as well as in muscle (m) in the larva. E-F. Ciona late tailbud stage, F is a close up of the head of the specimen in E. Expression is seen in muscle and in ocellus (oc) and antenna cells (ac) by the otolith (ot) in the head. G. Limpet trochophore showing expression around the shell field (sf) and some anterior mesoderm (me) as well as in cells by the apical tuft (arrows). Close ups of the shell field and apical tuft (at) in different embryos are shown in I and J. Arrows in J point to expressing cells by the apical tuft. H, K, SEMs of limpet trochophores at the same stage, focused on the apical tuft and the surrounding ciliated cells which are marked with white asterisks and some of which express ERR (compare to G and J, respectively). Additional abbreviation: pt, prototroch. Scale bar on H is 50 µm, on K is 10 µm.

identifying *ERRa*, *ERRb* and *ERRc* transcripts in all three species and *ERRd* in two species. Based on transcript per million (TPM) scores, we concluded that *ERRa*, *ERRb* and *ERRc* transcripts are expressed in adult lamprey and hagfish eyes (Supplementary Table S1).

3.4. Lamprey ERRa and jawed vertebrate ERR $_{\gamma}$ share conserved synteny and non-coding elements driving CNS and PNS expression

It is notable that while lamprey *ERRa* and jawed vertebrate *ERR* γ do not appear as orthologues in our molecular phylogenetic analysis they share similarity in expression in the brain, spinal cord and VA. Synteny can provide parallel evidence to molecular phylogenetics for gene relationships between species. We compared neighbouring genes between lamprey and jawed vertebrate ERR loci, revealing they all evolved by block duplication of a single ancestral ERR locus (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S4). This is consistent with the origin of vertebrate ERR paralogues by chromosome and genome scale duplications proposed to have occurred early in vertebrate evolution (Putnam et al., 2008; Simakov et al., 2020). Synteny was most similar between lamprey ERRa and jawed vertebrate $ERR\gamma$, with both flanked by the single copy genes EPRS, SPATA17 and USH2A. This suggests that although ERRa and ERRy do not resolve as orthologues in molecular phylogenetic analysis, they are sited in orthologous loci. Their similar expression prompted us to ask if they might also have shared regulatory CNEs leading to such conserved gene expression. A CNE named EL161 shared across jawed vertebrates has been previously identified in the last intron of ERRy and shown to be capable of regulating part of the $ERR\gamma$ expression pattern in transgenic zebrafish (Roberts et al., 2014). Using Vista we identified this CNE in the last intron of lamprey ERRa, showing it to be ancestral for

vertebrates (Fig. 5B). Our Vista comparison also identified additional CNEs (CNEs1-10) in the second to last intron of $ERR\gamma$ (Fig. 5B). Four are conserved in lamprey ERRa and hence also ancestral for all living vertebrates (Fig. 5C). We also searched ascidian, amphioxus and mollusc ERR loci for these CNEs but did not find the conserved sequence in the orthologous introns, or elsewhere. Identifiable sequence conservation hence appears vertebrate-specific. CNE sequences and alignments can be found in the supplementary appendix.

We hypothesised that the ancestral vertebrate CNEs are regulatory elements responsible for expression in territories shared between the genes in jawless and jawed vertebrates. To investigate this, we selected a region with multiple CNEs nearby (named *ERR8t12* and containing CNE6, CNE7 and CNE9; Fig. 5C), which was cloned from lamprey genomic DNA and tested for its ability to drive reporter expression in transgenic lamprey embryos generated by i-SceI meganuclease integration into zygotes (Fig. 6, Supplementary Fig. S5). Reporter expression viewed in live embryos overlapped with domains of the endogenous *ERRa* expression, with 36.3% of embryos showing expression in the brain, and 40.3% in more posterior neural tube (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Fig. S5). We also saw expression of the reporter in the branchial arches and head muscles in many embryos (Supplementary Fig. S5). These sites do not map to sites of *ERRa* expression and are common ectopic sites when using this plasmid vector (Papadogiannis et al., 2022).

Since precise sites of expression in the CNS were difficult to see in live embryos and cranial ganglia difficult to distinguish from underlying CNS, we stained fixed embryos using antibodies against GFP and the neural differentiation marker Hu/ELAV and recorded detailed expression via optical fluorescence microscopy (20 embryos) and confocal microscopy (3 embryos) (Fig. 6C–E). This gave single cell level



Fig. 4. Lamprey ERRa Expression

Embryos are in left lateral view with anterior to the right, except F which is a dorsal view. A. Lamprey ERRa expression at stage 24 in the epiphysis (ep), midbrain (mb) and vestibuloacoustic ganglion (VA). B. At stage 25, expression is also seen in the ventral and dorsal hindbrain (hb), olfactory (ol) and forebrain (fb). Apparent labelling in the branchial arches (ba) in this specimen is background due to trapping. C. At stage 27 expression in the spinal cord (sc) is also visible. VA expression is very strong by this stage. D. Expression at stage 29 persists in all previous domains. E. Close-up of the otic region in a stage 25 embryo showing staining in the VA, positioned at the ventral and anterior side of the otic vesicle (ov; white dotted outline). F. Stage 27 embryo in dorsal view and focused on the VA region, white dotted outline marks the position of the otic vesicles. Additional abbreviations: e, eye.

resolution and revealed GFP in sites that could not be seen in live embryos. It confirmed CNS expression mirrored the expression of *ERRa*, with reporter expression in the olfactory system (43.5% of embryos) and epiphysis (30.5% of embryos)) (Fig. 6C and D), midbrain (69.5% of embryos) (Fig. 6C) and spinal cord (56.5% of embryos) (Fig. 6E). Activity was also seen in the eye (56.5% of embryos), in a pattern similar to the *ERRa in situ* hybridisation signal (Supplementary Fig. S3). However, GFP staining in the cranial ganglia (including the VA) (21.5% of embryos) was not detected above the level seen in transgenic vector-only transgenic control embryos (Papadogiannis et al., 2022), and as viewed by confocal microscopy showed signal in only a few cranial ganglia cells in the two embryos screened (Supplementary Fig. S6).

Since detecting weak reporter signal in lamprey embryos was hampered by both background and the need to fix and stain transgenic embryos, we sought an alternative approach to testing for reporter expression in the VA. Building on the hypothesis that sequence conservation reflects evolutionary constraint on sequence change due to conserved upstream regulation, we considered that *ERR8t12* function should extend to other vertebrates. To explore this, we tested lamprey *ERR8t12* reporter activity in transgenic chicken embryos. Embryos were electroporated at stage HH10 targeting DNA constructs to the head ectoderm, including cells from where the otic vesicle and VA develop. Imaging and sectioning of embryos showed that lamprey *ERR8t12* was able to drive reporter expression specifically in the otic vesicle and VA at both stages, but not more broadly in head ectoderm (Fig. 7).

4. Discussion

4.1. ERR gene family evolution

The *ER* and *ERR* genes were proposed to have originated from an ancestral NHR gene early in animal evolution (Bertrand et al., 2004). Our data suggest that this occurred by tandem gene duplication, as the genes are linked in many species. Molecular phylogenetic analysis resolved *ER* and *ERR* gene families well, as seen previously (Bertrand

et al., 2004; Filowitz et al., 2018). Most invertebrates have a single *ERR* gene, while vertebrates had three or more *ERR* genes. Lamprey and hagfish *ERR* genes were placed with other vertebrate *ERR* genes as expected, but not as clear orthologues of *ERRa*, *ERR* β , *ERR* γ or ERR δ . Comparing synteny between *ERR* loci in lamprey and jawed vertebrates showed they evolved by block duplication of a single ancestral locus. This is consistent with the evolution of lamprey and jawed vertebrate genomes by chromosome and genome level duplications (Simakov et al., 2020). It also showed that the lamprey *ERRa* and jawed vertebrate *ERR* γ loci are most similar to each other, as they share proximity with several single copy genes. This, along with similarities in expression and CNE content (discussed below) mean *ERRa* and *ERR* γ are probably orthologues.

4.2. ERR gene expression in invertebrates and vertebrates

While *ERR* expression in jawed vertebrates like zebrafish and mice has been quite well described, expression in invertebrate embryos has been poorly documented. We evaluated *ERR* expression in the embryos of three additional invertebrates, plus lampreys. Comparison between these species and jawed vertebrates allows us to infer conserved and derived aspects of *ERR* expression.

4.2.1. ERR expression in the nervous system

All species examined showed ERR expression in the nervous system. Lamprey *ERRa* was expressed in several sites in the developing CNS, including the eye, epiphysis, olfactory system, hindbrain and spinal cord. Many of these sites are shared with jawed vertebrate embryos, suggesting they are conserved. *ERR* appears to be confined to the endoderm of *Drosophila* (Thurmond et al., 2019), however we observed *ERR* in the nervous systems of all three invertebrates we studied. The limpet produces a typical planktonic trochophore larva and we observed *ERR* expression in the cells of its apical organ, a ciliated sensory structure sited at the animal pole. In some species, the apical organ mediates multiple stimuli including mechanosensation and chemosensation



Fig. 5. *ERRa-ERR* γ synteny and shared CNEs A. Local synteny around ERR loci in lamprey, chicken and human. The windows show a 1.5 mb region for lamprey, a 6 mb region for human and a 42 mb window in chicken. Paralogues are colour coded. Only genes shared between loci are shown. Distances are not to scale, but gene order is as observed. B. Vista comparisons of the last and second-to-last introns of human ERR γ (N and N-1 respectively) showing conservation across vertebrate genomes and identification of CNEs. The inset shows a similar analysis using the putative shark CNE3 sequence in reverse orientation. C. schematic representation of CNEs also identified in lamprey. The ERR8t12 cluster is highlighted in yellow.

(Marlow et al., 2014). Different cell morphologies in the limpet apical organ (Fig. 3H, K) suggest that it may also mediate multiple stimuli, although this has not been experimentally validated.

Both species of amphioxus show *ERR* expression in CNS and PNS (this study (Bardet et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2020);). CNS *ERR* expression includes the frontal eye (part of the anterior CNS and a homolog of the vertebrate eye (Vopalensky et al., 2012)), plus the photoreceptor cells of Hesse, scattered light-sensitive cells found more posteriorly in the CNS

(Bardet et al., 2005). This association with the visual system may be conserved across chordates, given *ERR* expression in lamprey and jawed vertebrate eyes and the tunicate ocellus (discussed further below). Amphioxus *ERR* is also expressed in some other cells along the length of the anterior CNS whose precise identity is unknown (Ren et al., 2020). In mice, single cell sequencing has identified *ERR* γ as expressed in neurons in the dI2 region of the spinal cord (which houses commissural neurons) as well as in ventral interneuron populations (Delile et al., 2019).



Fig. 6. ERR8t12 CNE activity in lamprey embryos A, B. Live stage 29 embryo in lateral and dorsal view respectively, showing reporter expression in olfactory system (ol), midbrain (mb), hindbrain (hb) and spinal cord (sc), as well as head muscle (m). For additional images see Supplementary Fig. S5. C-E. Confocal reconstructions labelled for GFP (reporter, green), Hu/ ELAV (differentiated neurons, red) and DAPI (nuclei, blue). Embryo orientations are shown in each image (A, anterior, D, dorsal). C. Stage 29 head showing reporter localisation in olfactory, epiphysis, midbrain (mb) and hindbrain, as well as cranial muscle. The otic vesicle is outlined, and cranial ganglia identified. D. close up of the olfactory and epiphysis (ep) in the forebrain region. E. spinal cord reporter localisation, alongside the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) which are labelled by Hu/ELAV. Additional abbreviations: e, eye; g/all, geniculate/anterior lateral line ganglia; mmV, maxillomandibular trigeminal ganglion; opV, ophthalmic trigeminal ganglion; p, petrosal ganglion; pll, posterior lateral line ganglion.

Embryonic lamprey spinal cord neurons have not been sufficiently well described to determine if *ERRa* is expressed by equivalent cells, though the activity of *ERR8t12:GFP* shows there is probably conservation of regulation. It is likely at least some of the *ERR* positive cells in lamprey and jawed vertebrate spinal cords are of the same type, though proving this will require further study.

Amphioxus *ERR* expression is also found in epidermal sensory neurons, part of the PNS. Some amphioxus epidermal sensory neurons delaminate to lie between the epidermis and underlying mesendoderm, leaving their sensory cilium projecting through the epidermis to the outside world (Mazet et al., 2004; Schubert et al., 2004). Their function has not been tested, though it is likely some are mechanosensory and some chemosensory (Parker, 1908; Satoh, 2005). Their developmental history is distinct from vertebrate cranial ganglia neurons as they are born in the ventral embryonic ectoderm as scattered precursors (Lu et al., 2012) rather than in dorsal placodes. However, they do express some genes characteristic of vertebrate placodes such as *Eya* and *Six1/2* (Kozmik et al., 2007). One possibility that accounts for these conflicting data is that the cells are comparable to vertebrate cranial ganglia cells at the level of the cell types concerned, but not at the level of the placode or

ganglion morphogenesis (Patthey et al., 2014). *ERR* is restricted to a subset of epidermal neurons in the middle of the animal, alongside the 'rhombospinal' part of the amphioxus neural tube which is homologous (based on Hox expression) to the hindbrain and anterior spinal cord region of vertebrates (Albuixech-Crespo et al., 2017; Ferran and Puelles, 2019). If *ERR* expression in these amphioxus cells is conserved with vertebrates it would imply homology to vertebrate VA *ERR*-expressing cells. Our data neither support nor conflict with this possibility.

Tunicates are the sister group to vertebrates. A previous study has described spatial *ERR* expression in tunicate development, revealing expression in parts of the sensory vesicle of the ascidian *P. mammillata* but not defining the precise cells. In the tunicate *Ciona*, we identified *ERR* expression in the CNS associated with cells of two sensory organs, the ocellus and the otolith. Expression in the ocellus is interesting, as the organ has been suggested to be homologous to the vertebrate retina (Kusakabe et al., 2001) and our mining of scRNAseq data also suggests these cells have a visual system function. This adds support to the contention discussed above that *ERR* expression in the visual sensory system of the anterior CNS is an ancestral chordate character still shared by amphioxus, tunicates, lampreys and jawed vertebrates.



Fig. 7. Lamprey *ERR8t12* CNE activity in chicken embryos

A. HH17 embryo showing ERR8t12 activity (green) in the otic vesicle (OV) and vestibuloacoustic ganglion (VA). Lines labelled C and E mark the planes of section shown below. B. HH17 embryo showing ERR8t12 activity in the otic vesicle. C. Transverse section at the level of the VA of the embryo in A, as shown by the dotted line in A, and overlaying DsRed and GFP. The framed region is shown in D. E. Transverse section at the level of the otic vesicle of the embryo in A, as shown by the dotted line. F. Close-up of the region framed in E, with overlay of multiple sequential focal planes revealing green fluorescence in the otic vesicle. G, H. HH stage 21 embryos showing ERR8t12 activity (green) in the otic vesicle and VA. Embryos were co-electroporated with a control construct in which DsRed was driven by CMV (CMV::DsRed). Embryo numbers are n = 3 (HH17) and n = 7(HH21). Orientations dorsal (D) and anterior (A) or lateral (L) are shown. e, eye.

The Ciona otolith system functions as a gravity sensor (Tsuda et al., 2003), helping the swimming larva swim downwards to settle and metamorphose. The system is thought to work as an inertial sensor, detecting displacement of the otolith pigment cell. Our data suggest Ciona ERR expression near the otolith is in cells known as 'antenna cells', based on their position and on ERR co-expression with Vglut in scRNAseq data. Antenna cells are neurons that relay sensation of otolith displacement to the processing part of the brain (Ryan et al., 2017). This has functional equivalence to inertial sensing by the otic/VA system of vertebrates but does not appear to be homologous in the classical sense, as in Ciona these cells develop from within the CNS and not from sensory placodes and cranial sensory ganglia. Furthermore, good candidates for placode homologs are present in Ciona and produce some sensory neurons (Horie et al., 2018a; Mazet et al., 2005; Papadogiannis et al., 2022), but we did not detect ERR at all in the Ciona PNS either by in situ hybridisation or through examining published scRNAseq data. We therefore suggest that if these ERR expressing systems do have shared ancestry it is also at the level of the cell type. We can speculate that the common sensory theme is mechanosensation: in the VA/otic system of vertebrates, the otic system of Ciona and the epidermal sensory cells of amphioxus. This could be tested by establishing the downstream targets of ERR genes in the respective cells in these different species.

4.2.2. Mesoderm ERR expression in amphioxus, Ciona and vertebrates

In both *Ciona* and amphioxus ERR genes are expressed by segmented muscle cells (Bardet et al., 2005). In amphioxus these cells derive from multicellular somites, while in *Ciona* these are individual muscle cells arranged segmentally on either side of the notochord. In zebrafish *ERR*

expression in somites and other mesoderm derivatives has also been reported (Bardet et al., 2004; Bertrand et al., 2007), which could point to somite expression as conserved across the chordates. However, we did not identify *ERR* expression in these tissues in lamprey. If mesodermal *ERR* expression is ancestral for chordates it has either been lost by lampreys or occurs at a later stage in the life cycle than those analysed here.

4.2.3. A summary of the evolution of ERR expression

Neural and possibly mesodermal expression appear to be ancestral for chordate ERR genes. The visual system expresses ERR genes in all the chordate lineages examined. Cell type expression also suggests a connection to mechanosensation, albeit speculative as there are also substantial differences here between amphioxus, *Ciona* and vertebrates. Within vertebrates, expression of *ERRa* in lamprey and *ERRy* in other vertebrates show similarity in the eye, other parts of the CNS and VA. This suggests the presence of a conserved regulatory network in the vertebrate common ancestor, driving *ERR* expression in these tissues, inherited by *ERRa/ERRy*. Mapping and testing of potential regulatory elements allowed us to examine this further.

4.3. The evolution of vertebrate ERR regulation

Lamprey *ERRa* and jawed vertebrate *ERR* γ share CNEs with the same order, orientation and intron location. We did not find similar CNEs around other *ERR* paralogues. These features indicate *ERRa* and *ERR* γ are probably orthologues, and we can definitively conclude that the vertebrate common ancestor had at least one *ERR* gene with this

expression pattern and CNE suite. A follow-on prediction is that similar *ERR* expression in lamprey and jawed vertebrates is controlled by these CNEs. Partly confirming this, our sequence analysis showed that one CNE (*EL161*) is shared with lampreys and has been previously shown to drive reporter expression into the zebrafish CNS (Roberts et al., 2014). We focused experimental analysis on the *ERR8t12* CNE cluster in the second-to-last intron of *ERRa* since this includes three of the remaining four lamprey CNEs. *ERR8t12* activity in transgenic lamprey embryos showed overlap with *ERRa* expression domains in the CNS, including the eye, olfactory system, epiphysis, and along the spinal cord. This confirms *ERR8t12* can function as an enhancer, driving reporter expression into sites in the CNS that express *ERRa*.

While low level *ERR8t12* reporter expression was observed in the cranial ganglia of transgenic lampreys, the weak activity was similar to that previously observed in vector-only control transgenics (Papadogiannis et al., 2022). However, *ERR8t12* activity in the otic and VA system of transgenic chicken embryos provides some evidence for a conserved role in these tissues. These results make the lack of clear *ERR8t12* activity in the VA of transgenic lampreys surprising, as we might expect element activity to be more robust in a same-species environment than when tested cross species. One possibility is *ERR8t12* drives lamprey VA activity at different developmental stages than the ones analysed here. This is possible as detecting some aspects of transgene expression required fixation and antibody labelling, limiting the stages we could census.

Combined, these data show that the expression of this subset of vertebrate *ERR* genes was inherited from the expression of one ancestral *ERR* gene and that this was regulated by conserved regulatory elements in the 3' introns. This shows the gene regulatory network driving *ERR* expression in these conserved sites evolved before the separation of lamprey and jawed vertebrate lineages. This network presumably came under sufficient constraint to be maintained from that point onwards. Similarly, the absence of conservation outside of vertebrates suggests it is vertebrate specific. This last point will be difficult to test directly, though it might be insightful to determine how *ERR* is regulated in one or more invertebrate outgroups, as we would not expect it to be conserved with vertebrates.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

SMS was supported by the BBSRC (BBS005064/1). VP was funded by a Natural Motion Scholarship. DH was funded by the Sydney Brenner Post-doctoral Fellowship; MH and AS were supported by the BBSRC (BB/ M006964/1) and a Diana Trebble studentship awarded by the Faculty of Dentistry, Oral and Craniofacial Sciences. SM was funded by Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. We thank Marianne Bronner for comments on the manuscript and for hosting DH for the lamprey transgenic work, which was supported by NIH R35NS11156 to Marianne Bronner. We thank Forestry England for permission to collect *L. planeri*, and Northney marina for permission to collect adult *C. intestinalis*.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2023.09.003.

References

- Abello, G., Khatri, S., Giraldez, F., Alsina, B., 2007. Early regionalization of the otic placode and its regulation by the Notch signaling pathway. Mech. Dev. 124, 631–645.
- Albuixech-Crespo, B., Lopez-Blanch, L., Burguera, D., Maeso, I., Sanchez-Arrones, L., Moreno-Bravo, J.A., Somorjai, I., Pascual-Anaya, J., Puelles, E., Bovolenta, P.,

Garcia-Fernandez, J., Puelles, L., Irimia, M., Ferran, J.L., 2017. Molecular regionalization of the developing amphioxus neural tube challenges major partitions of the vertebrate brain. PLoS Biol. 15, e2001573.

- Altekar, G., Dwarkadas, S., Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2004. Parallel Metropolis coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo for Bayesian phylogenetic inference. Bioinformatics 20, 407–415.
- Baker, M.E., 2008. Trichoplax, the simplest known animal, contains an estrogen-related receptor but no estrogen receptor: implications for estrogen receptor evolution. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 375, 623–627.
- Bardet, P.L., Obrecht-Pflumio, S., Thisse, C., Laudet, V., Thisse, B., Vanacker, J.M., 2004. Cloning and developmental expression of five estrogen-receptor related genes in the zebrafish. Dev. Gene. Evol. 214, 240–249.
- Bardet, P.L., Schubert, M., Horard, B., Holland, L.Z., Laudet, V., Holland, N.D., Vanacker, J.M., 2005. Expression of estrogen-receptor related receptors in amphioxus and zebrafish: implications for the evolution of posterior brain segmentation at the invertebrate-to-vertebrate transition. Evol. Dev. 7, 223–233.
- Bertrand, S., Brunet, F.G., Escriva, H., Parmentier, G., Laudet, V., Robinson-Rechavi, M., 2004. Evolutionary genomics of nuclear receptors: from twenty-five ancestral genes to derived endocrine systems. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21, 1923–1937.
- Bertrand, S., Thisse, B., Tavares, R., Sachs, L., Chaumot, A., Bardet, P.L., Escriva, H., Duffraisse, M., Marchand, O., Safi, R., Thisse, C., Laudet, V., 2007. Unexpected novel relational links uncovered by extensive developmental profiling of nuclear receptor expression. PLoS Genet. 3, e188.
- Bonnelye, E., Vanacker, J.M., Spruyt, N., Alric, S., Fournier, B., Desbiens, X., Laudet, V., 1997. Expression of the estrogen-related receptor 1 (ERR-1) orphan receptor during mouse development. Mech. Dev. 65, 71–85.
- Boorman, C.J., Shimeld, S.M., 2002. Pitx homeobox genes in Ciona and amphioxus show left-right asymmetry is a conserved chordate character and define the ascidian adenohypophysis. Evol. Dev. 4, 354–365.
- Bostwick, M., Smith, E.L., Borba, C., Newman-Smith, E., Guleria, I., Kourakis, M.J., Smith, W.C., 2020. Antagonistic inhibitory circuits integrate visual and gravitactic behaviors. Curr. Biol. 30, 600–609 e602.
- Bray, N.L., Pimentel, H., Melsted, P., Pachter, L., 2016. Near-optimal probabilistic RNAseq quantification. Nat. Biotechnol. 34, 525–527.
- Brozovic, M., Dantec, C., Dardaillon, J., Dauga, D., Faure, E., Gineste, M., Louis, A., Naville, M., Nitta, K.R., Piette, J., Reeves, W., Scornavacca, C., Simion, P., Vincentelli, R., Bellec, M., Aicha, S.B., Fagotto, M., Gueroult-Bellone, M., Haeussler, M., Jacox, E., Lowe, E.K., Mendez, M., Roberge, A., Stolfi, A., Yokomori, R., Brown, C.T., Cambillau, C., Christiaen, L., Delsuc, F., Douzery, E., Dumollard, R., Kusakabe, T., Nakai, K., Nishida, H., Satou, Y., Swalla, B., Veeman, M., Volff, J.N., Lemaire, P., 2018. Aniseed 2017: extending the integrated ascidian database to the exploration and evolutionary comparison of genome-scale datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, D718–D725.
- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., Madden, T.L., 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinform. 10, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Cao, C., Lemaire, L.A., Wang, W., Yoon, P.H., Choi, Y.A., Parsons, L.R., Matese, J.C., Wang, W., Levine, M., Chen, K., 2019. Comprehensive single-cell transcriptome lineages of a proto-vertebrate. Nature 571, 349–354.
- Chen, J., Streit, A., 2015. A medium-scale assay for enhancer validation in amniotes. Dev. Dynam. 244, 1291–1299.
- Delile, J., Rayon, T., Melchionda, M., Edwards, A., Briscoe, J., Sagner, A., 2019. Single cell transcriptomics reveals spatial and temporal dynamics of gene expression in the developing mouse spinal cord. Development 146.
- Esposito, R., Racioppi, C., Pezzotti, M.R., Branno, M., Locascio, A., Ristoratore, F., Spagnuolo, A., 2015. The ascidian pigmented sensory organs: structures and developmental programs. Genesis 53, 15–33.
- Ferran, J.L., Puelles, L., 2019. Lessons from amphioxus bauplan about origin of cranial nerves of vertebrates that innervates extrinsic eye muscles. Anat. Rec. 302, 452–462.
- Filowitz, G.L., Rajakumar, R., O'Shaughnessy, K.L., Cohn, M.J., 2018. Cartilaginous fishes provide insights into the origin, diversification, and sexually dimorphic expression of vertebrate estrogen receptor genes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35, 2695–2701.
- Frazer, K.A., Pachter, L., Poliakov, A., Rubin, E.M., Dubchak, I., 2004. VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, W273–W279.
- Fuentes, M., Benito, E., Bertrand, S., Paris, M., Mignardot, A., Godoy, L., Jimenez-Delgado, S., Oliveri, D., Candiani, S., Hirsinger, E., D'Aniello, S., Pascual-Anaya, J., Maeso, I., Pestarino, M., Vernier, P., Nicolas, J.F., Schubert, M., Laudet, V., Geneviere, A.M., Albalat, R., Garcia Fernandez, J., Holland, N.D., Escriva, H., 2007. Insights into spawning behavior and development of the European amphioxus (Branchiostoma lanceolatum). J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol. 308, 484–493.
- Fuentes, M., Schubert, M., Dalfo, D., Candiani, S., Benito, E., Gardenyes, J., Godoy, L., Moret, F., Illas, M., Patten, I., Permanyer, J., Oliveri, D., Boeuf, G., Falcon, J., Pestarino, M., Fernandez, J.G., Albalat, R., Laudet, V., Vernier, P., Escriva, H., 2004. Preliminary observations on the spawning conditions of the European amphioxus (Branchiostoma lanceolatum) in captivity. J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol. 302, 384–391.
- Gomes, I.D.L., Gazo, I., Besnardeau, L., Hebras, C., McDougall, A., Dumollard, R., 2019a. Potential roles of nuclear receptors in mediating neurodevelopmental toxicity of known endocrine-disrupting chemicals in ascidian embryos. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 86, 1333–1347.
- Gomes, I.D.L., Gazo, I., Nabi, D., Besnardeau, L., Hebras, C., McDougall, A., Dumollard, R., 2019b. Bisphenols disrupt differentiation of the pigmented cells during larval brain formation in the ascidian. Aquat. Toxicol. 216, 105314.
- Green, S.A., Bronner, M.E., 2014. The lamprey: a jawless vertebrate model system for examining origin of the neural crest and other vertebrate traits. Differentiation 87, 44–51.

Heard, D.J., Norby, P.L., Holloway, J., Vissing, H., 2000. Human ERRgamma, a third member of the estrogen receptor-related receptor (ERR) subfamily of orphan nuclear receptors: tissue-specific isoforms are expressed during development and in the adult. Mol. Endocrinol. 14, 382–392.

Horie, R., Hazbun, A., Chen, K., Cao, C., Levine, M., Horie, T., 2018a. Shared evolutionary origin of vertebrate neural crest and cranial placodes. Nature 560, 228–232.

Horie, T., Horie, R., Chen, K., Cao, C., Nakagawa, M., Kusakabe, T.G., Satoh, N., Sasakura, Y., Levine, M., 2018b. Regulatory cocktail for dopaminergic neurons in a protovertebrate identified by whole-embryo single-cell transcriptomics. Genes Dev. 32, 1297–1302.

Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.

Kenny, N.J., Namigai, E.K., Marletaz, F., Hui, J.H., Shimeld, S.M., 2015. Draft genome assemblies and predicted microRNA complements of the intertidal lophotrochozoans Patella vulgata (Mollusca, Patellogastropoda) and Spirobranchus (Pomatoceros) lamarcki (Annelida, Serpulida). Mar. Genomics 24 Pt 2, 139–146.

Kenny, N.J., Shimeld, S.M., 2012. Additive multiple k-mer transcriptome of the keelworm Pomatoceros lamarckii (Annelida; Serpulidae) reveals annelid trochophore transcription factor cassette. Dev. Gene. Evol. 222, 325–339.

Kourakis, M.J., Bostwick, M., Zabriskie, A., Smith, W.C., 2021. Disruption of left-right axis specification in Ciona induces molecular, cellular, and functional defects in asymmetric brain structures. BMC Biol. 19, 141.

Kozmik, Z., Holland, N.D., Kreslova, J., Oliveri, D., Schubert, M., Jonasova, K., Holland, I.Z., Pestarino, M., Benes, V., Candiani, S., 2007. Pax-Six-Eya-Dach network during amphioxus development: conservation in vitro but context specificity in vivo. Dev. Biol. 306, 143–159.

Kusakabe, T., Kusakabe, R., Kawakami, I., Satou, Y., Satoh, N., Tsuda, M., 2001. Ciopsin1, a vertebrate-type opsin gene, expressed in the larval ocellus of the ascidian Ciona intestinalis. FEBS Lett. 506, 69–72.

Lamb, T.D., Patel, H., Chuah, A., Natoli, R.C., Davies, W.I., Hart, N.S., Collin, S.P., Hunt, D.M., 2016. Evolution of vertebrate phototransduction: cascade activation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 2064–2087.

Lara-Ramirez, R., Patthey, C., Shimeld, S.M., 2015. Characterization of two neurogenin genes from the brook lamprey lampetra planeri and their expression in the lamprey nervous system. Dev. Dynam. 244, 1096–1108.

Liu, B., Satou, Y., 2020. The genetic program to specify ectodermal cells in ascidian embryos. Dev. Growth Differ. 62, 301–310.

Lorke, D.E., Susens, U., Borgmeyer, U., Hermans-Borgmeyer, I., 2000. Differential expression of the estrogen receptor-related receptor gamma in the mouse brain. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 77, 277–280.

Lu, T.M., Luo, Y.J., Yu, J.K., 2012. BMP and Delta/Notch signaling control the development of amphioxus epidermal sensory neurons: insights into the evolution of the peripheral sensory system. Development 139, 2020–2030.

Ma, P., Liu, X., Xu, Z., Liu, H., Ding, X., Huang, Z., Shi, C., Liang, L., Xu, L., Li, X., Li, G., He, Y., Ding, Z., Chai, C., Wang, H., Qiu, J., Zhu, J., Wang, X., Ding, P., Zhou, S., Yuan, Y., Wu, W., Wan, C., Yan, Y., Zhou, Y., Zhou, Q.J., Wang, G.D., Zhang, Q., Xu, X., Li, G., Zhang, S., Mao, B., Chen, D., 2022. Joint profiling of gene expression and chromatin accessibility during amphioxus development at single-cell resolution. Cell Rep. 39, 110979.

Mangelsdorf, D.J., Borgmeyer, U., Heyman, R.A., Zhou, J.Y., Ong, E.S., Oro, A.E., Kakizuka, A., Evans, R.M., 1992. Characterization of three RXR genes that mediate the action of 9-cis retinoic acid. Genes Dev. 6, 329–344.

Marlow, H., Tosches, M.A., Tomer, R., Steinmetz, P.R., Lauri, A., Larsson, T., Arendt, D., 2014. Larval body patterning and apical organs are conserved in animal evolution. BMC Biol. 12, 7.

Mathers, P.H., Grinberg, A., Mahon, K.A., Jamrich, M., 1997. The Rx homeobox gene is essential for vertebrate eye development. Nature 387, 603–607.

Mazet, F., Hutt, J.A., Milloz, J., Millard, J., Graham, A., Shimeld, S.M., 2005. Molecular evidence from Ciona intestinalis for the evolutionary origin of vertebrate sensory placodes. Dev. Biol. 282, 494–508.

Mazet, F., Masood, S., Luke, G.N., Holland, N.D., Shimeld, S.M., 2004. Expression of AmphiCoe, an amphioxus COE/EBF gene, in the developing central nervous system and epidermal sensory neurons. Genesis 38, 58–65.

Mehta, T.K., Ravi, V., Yamasaki, S., Lee, A.P., Lian, M.M., Tay, B.H., Tohari, S., Yanai, S., Tay, A., Brenner, S., Venkatesh, B., 2013. Evidence for at least six Hox clusters in the Japanese lamprey (Lethenteron japonicum). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 16044–16049.

Nolan, L.S., Maier, H., Hermans-Borgmeyer, I., Girotto, G., Ecob, R., Pirastu, N., Cadge, B.A., Hubner, C., Gasparini, P., Strachan, D.P., Davis, A., Dawson, S.J., 2013. Estrogen-related receptor gamma and hearing function: evidence of a role in humans and mice. Neurobiol. Aging 34, 2077–2079 e2071.

Papadogiannis, V., Pennati, A., Parker, H.J., Rothbacher, U., Patthey, C., Bronner, M.E., Shimeld, S.M., 2022. Hmx gene conservation identifies the origin of vertebrate cranial ganglia. Nature 605, 701–705.

Park, W., Kim, G.J., Choi, H.S., Vanacker, J.M., Sohn, Y.C., 2009. Conserved properties of a urochordate estrogen receptor-related receptor (ERR) with mammalian ERRalpha. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1789, 125–134.

Parker, G.H., 1908. The sensory reactions of amphioxus. Proc. Am. Acad. Arts Sci. 43, 415.

Developmental Biology 504 (2023) 12–24

- Parker, H.J., Piccinelli, P., Sauka-Spengler, T., Bronner, M., Elgar, G., 2011. Ancient Pbx-Hox signatures define hundreds of vertebrate developmental enhancers. BMC Genom. 12, 637.
- Parker, H.J., Sauka-Spengler, T., Bronner, M., Elgar, G., 2014. A reporter assay in lamprey embryos reveals both functional conservation and elaboration of vertebrate enhancers. PLoS One 9, e85492.

Patthey, C., Clifford, H., Haerty, W., Ponting, C.P., Shimeld, S.M., Begbie, J., 2016. Identification of molecular signatures specific for distinct cranial sensory ganglia in the developing chick. Neural Dev. 11, 3.

Patthey, C., Schlosser, G., Shimeld, S.M., 2014. The evolutionary history of vertebrate cranial placodes–I: cell type evolution. Dev. Biol. 389, 82–97.

Putnam, N.H., Butts, T., Ferrier, D.E., Furlong, R.F., Hellsten, U., Kawashima, T., Robinson-Rechavi, M., Shoguchi, E., Terry, A., Yu, J.K., Benito-Gutierrez, E.L., Dubchak, I., Garcia-Fernandez, J., Gibson-Brown, J.J., Grigoriev, I.V., Horton, A.C., de Jong, P.J., Jurka, J., Kapitonov, V.V., Kohara, Y., Kuroki, Y., Lindquist, E., Lucas, S., Osoogawa, K., Pennacchio, L.A., Salamov, A.A., Satou, Y., Sauka-Spengler, T., Schmutz, J., Shin, I.T., Toyoda, A., Bronner-Fraser, M., Fujiyama, A., Holland, L.Z., Holland, P.W., Satoh, N., Rokhsar, D.S., 2008. The amphioxus genome and the evolution of the chordate karyotype. Nature 453, 1064–1071.

Raudvere, U., Kolberg, L., Kuzmin, I., Arak, T., Adler, P., Peterson, H., Vilo, J., 2019. g: Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists (2019 update). Nucleic Acids Res. 47, W191–W198.

Ren, Q., Zhong, Y., Huang, X., Leung, B., Xing, C., Wang, H., Hu, G., Wang, Y., Shimeld, S.M., Li, G., 2020. Step-wise evolution of neural patterning by Hedgehog signalling in chordates. Nat Ecol Evol 4, 1247–1255.

Roberts, J.A., Miguel-Escalada, I., Slovik, K.J., Walsh, K.T., Hadzhiev, Y., Sanges, R., Stupka, E., Marsh, E.K., Balciuniene, J., Balciunas, D., Muller, F., 2014. Targeted transgene integration overcomes variability of position effects in zebrafish. Development 141, 715–724.

Ronquist, F., Huelsenbeck, J.P., 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19, 1572–1574.

Ryan, K., Lu, Z., Meinertzhagen, I.A., 2017. Circuit homology between decussating pathways in the Ciona larval CNS and the vertebrate startle-response pathway. Curr. Biol. 27, 721–728.

Sacerdot, C., Louis, A., Bon, C., Berthelot, C., Roest Crollius, H., 2018. Chromosome evolution at the origin of the ancestral vertebrate genome. Genome Biol. 19, 166.

Satoh, G., 2005. Characterization of novel GPCR gene coding locus in amphioxus genome: gene structure, expression, and phylogenetic analysis with implications for its involvement in chemoreception. Genesis 41, 47–57.

Schubert, M., Holland, N.D., Escriva, H., Holland, L.Z., Laudet, V., 2004. Retinoic acid influences anteroposterior positioning of epidermal sensory neurons and their gene expression in a developing chordate (amphioxus). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 101, 10320–10325.

Shimeld, S.M., Boyle, M.J., Brunet, T., Luke, G.N., Seaver, E.C., 2010. Clustered Fox genes in lophotrochozoans and the evolution of the bilaterian Fox gene cluster. Dev. Biol. 340, 234–248.

Shimeld, S.M., Donoghue, P.C., 2012. Evolutionary crossroads in developmental biology: cyclostomes (lamprey and hagfish). Development 139, 2091–2099.

Simakov, O., Marletaz, F., Yue, J.X., O'Connell, B., Jenkins, J., Brandt, A., Calef, R., Tung, C.H., Huang, T.K., Schmutz, J., Satoh, N., Yu, J.K., Putnam, N.H., Green, R.E., Rokhsar, D.S., 2020. Deeply conserved synteny resolves early events in vertebrate evolution. Nat Ecol Evol.

Smith, J.J., Timoshevskaya, N., Ye, C., Holt, C., Keinath, M.C., Parker, H.J., Cook, M.E., Hess, J.E., Narum, S.R., Lamanna, F., Kaessmann, H., Timoshevskiy, V.A., Waterbury, C.K.M., Saraceno, C., Wiedemann, L.M., Robb, S.M.C., Baker, C., Eichler, E.E., Hockman, D., Sauka-Spengler, T., Yandell, M., Krumlauf, R., Elgar, G., Amemiya, C.T., 2018. The sea lamprey germline genome provides insights into programmed genome rearrangement and vertebrate evolution. Nat. Genet. 50, 270–277.

Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313.

Susens, U., Hermans-Borgmeyer, I., Borgmeyer, U., 2000. Alternative splicing and expression of the mouse estrogen receptor-related receptor gamma. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 267, 532–535.

Tahara, Y., 1988. Normal stages of development in the lamprey, lampetra-reissneri (dybowski). Zool. Sci. 5, 109–118.

Thompson, H., Shimeld, S.M., 2015. Transmission and scanning electron microscopy of the accessory cells and chorion during development of Ciona intestinalis type B embryos and the impact of their removal on cell morphology. Zool. Sci. (Tokyo) 32, 217–222.

Thurmond, J., Goodman, J.L., Strelets, V.B., Attrill, H., Gramates, L.S., Marygold, S.J., Matthews, B.B., Millburn, G., Antonazzo, G., Trovisco, V., Kaufman, T.C., Calvi, B.R., FlyBase, C., 2019. FlyBase 2.0: the next generation. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, D759–D765.

Tremblay, A.M., Giguere, V., 2007. The NR3B subgroup: an ovERRview. Nucl. Recept. Signal. 5, e009.

Tsuda, M., Sakurai, D., Goda, M., 2003. Direct evidence for the role of pigment cells in the brain of ascidian larvae by laser ablation. J. Exp. Biol. 206, 1409–1417.

Vopalensky, P., Pergner, J., Liegertova, M., Benito-Gutierrez, E., Arendt, D., Kozmik, Z., 2012. Molecular analysis of the amphioxus frontal eye unravels the evolutionary

origin of the retina and pigment cells of the vertebrate eye. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 15383–15388. Werner, G.D.A., Gemmell, P., Grosser, S., Hamer, R., Shimeld, S.M., 2013. Analysis of a deep transcriptome from the mantle tissue of Patella vulgata Linnaeus (Mollusca:

gastropoda: Patellidae) reveals candidate biomineralising genes. Mar. Biotechnol.

Woolfe, A., Goode, D.K., Cooke, J., Callaway, H., Smith, S., Snell, P., McEwen, G.K., Elgar, G., 2007. CONDOR: a database resource of developmentally associated conserved non-coding elements. BMC Dev. Biol. 7, 100.