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# GRAPHS WITHOUT A $2 C_{3}$-MINOR AND BICIRCULAR MATROIDS WITHOUT A $U_{3,6}$-MINOR 

DANIEL SLILATY


#### Abstract

In this note we characterize all graphs without a $2 C_{3}$-minor. A consequence of this result is a characterization of the bicircular matroids with no $U_{3,6}$-minor.


## 1. Introduction

We assume the reader has a basic familiarity with matroid theory as in [5]; however, it isn't completely necessary to read this note. Given a fixed graph $H$, results characterizing the structure of graphs $G$ without an $H$-minor have a well-established history going back as far as 1937 with Wagner's seminal result [7] for $H=K_{5}$. Recently Ding and Liu [3] surveyed the known results for 3-connected graphs $H$ and an older survey by Diestel [2] lists results for some other small graphs. In all of the results listed in [2, 3], the graph $H$ is simple. The graph $2 C_{3}$ is obtained from the cycle of length 3 by doubling each edge. The graph $2 C_{3}$ is of interest in matroid theory in that a bicircular matroid $B(G)$ is isomorphic to $U_{3,6}$ if and only if $G \cong 2 C_{3}$ up to removal of isolated vertices (see [8, Lemma 2.12] or [1, Theorem 4.11]).

The main result of this note is Theorem 1.1 which describes the very limited structure that a graph with no $2 C_{3}$-minor can have. We remark that Theorem 1.1 is enough to characterize all graphs without a $2 C_{3}$-minor because: $G$ has a $2 C_{3}$-minor if and only if some block of $G$ has a $2 C_{3}$-minor and if $G$ has a vertex $v$ of degree 2 , then $G$ has a $2 C_{3}$-minor if and only if the graph obtained from $G$ by smoothing out $v$ has a $2 C_{3}$-minor. We also prove Theorem 1.2.

[^0]Let $G$ be an outerplanar simple graph. Thus $G$ consists of a Hamilton cycle $H$ along with a set of chords $C$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be a disjoint copy of $C$. Embed $G \cup C^{\prime}$ with chords $C$ inside $H$ and chords $C^{\prime}$ outside $H$. A doubled outerplanar embedding is any graph $K$ contained between $H$ and $G \cup C^{\prime}$ with embedding inherited from $G \cup C^{\prime}$.

Theorem 1.1. If $G$ is a connected and nonseparable graph with minimum degree 3, then $G$ has no $2 C_{3}$-minor if and only if
(1) $G \cong K_{4}$ or
(2) $G$ is the topological dual graph of some doubled outerplanar embedding.

Theorem 1.2. If $G$ is 3-connected and loopless, then $G \cong K_{4}$ or $G$ contains a $2 C_{3}$-minor.

## 2. Proofs

Given a graph $G$, a $k$-separation is an expression $G=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ in which each $G_{i}$ has at least $k$ edges and $G_{1} \cap G_{2}$ is a set of $k$ vertices. A connected graph is separable when it has a 1-separation. A graph $G$ is nonseparable when it is connected and has no 1-separation. A link is an edge in a graph that is not a loop. Note that every edge in a nonseparable graph is a link. A connected graph $G$ is $k$-connected when it has at least $k+1$ vertices and it has no set of $t<k$ vertices whose removal leaves a disconnected subgraph.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $G \cong K_{4}$ or $G=H^{*}$ where $H$ is a doubled outerplanar embedding. It is important to note that the graph of a doubled outerplanar embedding is still an outerplanar graph. If $G \cong K_{4}$, then $G$ has no $2 C_{3}$-minor. If $G=H^{*}$, then $H$ has no $K_{2,3}$-minor. (It is well known that a graph $G$ is outerplanar if and only if it has no $K_{2,3^{-}}$or $K_{4}$-minor.) Since any embedding of $2 C_{3}$ in the plane has topological dual graph isomorphic to $K_{2,3}$, we get that $G$ has no $2 C_{3}$-minor.

Conversely, suppose that $G$ has no $2 C_{3}$-minor. The reader can check that $K_{3,3}$ and $K_{5}$ both contain $2 C_{3}$-minors and hence $G$ is planar. Let $H$ be the topological dual graph of some embedding of $G$ in the plane. Note that $H$ has no faces of length two because $G$ has minimum degree 3. Furthermore, since $G$ is nonseparable, so must be $H$. Now $|V(H)|>2$ because $G$ has minimum degree 3. Since $|V(H)| \geq 3, H$ is 2-connected. Let $H_{v}$ be the graph obtained from $H$ by adjoining an apex vertex to all other vertices of $H$. Thus $H_{v}$ is 3-connected. If $H_{v}$ is planar, then $H$ is outerplanar and has an embedding in the plane without faces of length 2 . Thus $H$ is a doubled outerplanar embedding, a desired result. If $H_{v}$ is non-planar, then by a theorem of D.W. Hall ([4] or see [5, 12.2.11]) either $H_{v} \cong K_{5}$ along with maybe some doubled edges or $H_{v}$ contains a $K_{3,3}$-subdivision. In the former case, $H \cong K_{4}$ along with maybe some doubled edges. If an edge of $K_{4}$ is doubled, however, the resulting graph has a $2 C_{3}$-minor, a contradiction. Thus $G \cong K_{4}$, a desired outcome. In the latter case $H$ contains a $K_{2,3}$-subdivision and so $G$ contains a $2 C_{3}$-minor, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\hat{G}$ be the simplification of $G$; that is, for each class of parallel links, delete all but one of them. Thus $\hat{G}$ is 3 -connected and simple. By Tutte's Wheel Theorem ([6] or see
[5, Theorem 8.8.4]) there is a sequence of 3 -connected simple graphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{t}$ such that $G_{1}=\hat{G}$, $G_{i+1}=G_{i} / e$ or $G_{i} \backslash e$, and $G_{t} \cong W_{n}$ for $n \geq 3$ where $W_{n}$ is the $n$-spoked wheel. If $n \geq 4$, then $G_{t}$ has a $2 C_{3}$-minor and therefore so does $G$. So suppose that $G_{t} \cong W_{3} \cong K_{4}$. If $G=G_{t}$, then we are done. So suppose that $G_{t}$ is a proper minor of $G$. Since there is no 3 -connected simple graph $H$ for which $H / e$ or $H \backslash e$ is $K_{4}$, we must have that $\hat{G}=G_{1}=G_{t} \cong K_{4}$. Since $G_{t}$ is a proper minor of $G, G$ contains $K_{4}$ along with one doubled edge. This contains a $2 C_{3}$-minor, as required.

## References

[1] C. R. Coullard, J. G. del Greco and D. K. Wagner, Representations of bicircular matroids, Discrete Appl. Math., 32 (1991) 223-240.
[2] R. Diestel, Simplicial decompositions of graphs: a survey of applications, Graph theory and combinatorics (Cambridge, 1988). Discrete Math., 75 (1989) 121-144.
[3] G. Ding and C. Liu, Excluding a small minor, Discrete Appl. Math., 161 (2013) 355-368.
[4] D. W. Hall, A note on primitive skew curves, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1943) 935-936.
[5] J. Oxley, Matroid theory, second ed., Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 21, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011.
[6] W. T. Tutte, Connectivity in matroids, Canadian J. Math., 18 (1966) 1301-1324.
[7] K. Wagner, Über eine Eigenschaft der ebenen Komplexe, Math. Ann., 114 (1937) 570-590.
[8] T. Zaslavsky, Biased graphs. II. The three matroids, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 51 (1991) 46-72.

## Daniel Slilaty

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Wright State University Dayton, OH 45435, USA
Email: daniel.slilaty@wright.edu


[^0]:    Communicated by Peter Csikvari.
    MSC(2010): Primary: 05C75; Secondary: 05B35.
    Keywords: minor-closed family, graph minors, bicircular matroid.
    Article Type: Research Paper.
    Received: 03 July 2022, Accepted: 15 April 2023.
    http://dx.doi.org/10.22108/toc.2023.134261.1998.

