

West and Central African Food Systems Transformation



# Agronomic and economic performances of integrated rice-off-season vegetable systems

Authors: Alin Yeo, Dossou-Yovo Elliott Ronald

**Disclaimer:** This work was carried out by Africa Rice Center (AfricaRice) as part of the CGIAR initiative, West and Central African Food Systems Transformation (TAFS-WCA) and has not been independently peer reviewed. Responsibility for editing, proofreading, and layout, opinions expressed, and any possible errors lies with the authors and not the institutions involved. **Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank all the funders who support this research through their contributions to the CGIAR Trust Fund. To learn more about TAFS-WCA and other initiatives in the CGIAR research portfolio, please visit https://www.cgiar.org/research/cgiar-portfolio/.

# Contents

| 1.   | Introduction                 | 3 |
|------|------------------------------|---|
| 2.   | Material and methods         | 4 |
| 2.1. | Study sites                  | 4 |
| 2.2. | Data collection and analysis | 4 |
| 3.   | Results                      | 5 |
| 3.1. | Agronomic performance        | 5 |
| 3.2. | Economic performance         | 5 |
| 4.   | References                   | 6 |

### 1. Introduction

Worldwide, more than 3.5 billion people depend on rice to meet their daily calorie intake (IRRI et *al.* 2010). Rice is the staple food of more than half of the world's population and the most important staple crop in developing countries (Arouna and Aristide, 20219). In sub-Saharan Africa, its consumption is growing faster than that of any other staple food (Seck et *al.*, 2013). Indeed, population growth, changes in their eating habits (Van Oort et al., 2015) and urbanization are explanatory reasons for the increase in their consumption (Dossou-Yovo et al., 2022). By 2028, SSA is expected to have the second-highest annual per capita rice consumption in the world after Asia (Dossou-Yovo et al, 2022). However, domestic production meets only 53% of the demand, and imports come mainly from Asia (Arouna et al., 2021; Asaia et *al.*, 2021). Lower rice production compared to the demand in SSA is partly attributed to lower rice yield, which can be related to suboptimal crop management practices (Saito et al., 2018, Dossou-Yovo et al., 2022). Alternative cropping systems, such as improved fallow systems and crop rotation with legumes could overcome soil fertility and rice productivity.

Crop rotation with legumes is one of the best alternatives for plant nutrient management, which is safe for the environment and can effectively reduce the reliance on external inputs (Pokhrel, 2013). Smallholders do not have external inputs or are limited due to high production risk, poverty, and limited access to mineral fertilizers (Croppenstedt et al., 2003; LiverpoolTasie et al., 2017). Low soil fertility is considered to be a major factor in the low productivity of rice in SSA. Therefore, intensifying rice rotation diversification methods with off-season legumes can enable farmers to increase their incomes, improve their food intake, and reduce production risks (Sharma et al., 2005). Thus, legumes can incorporate 15 to 20 t/ha of green manure, i.e. 6% nitric acid and 52.1% organic mineral complex (Pokhrel, 2013). However, there is a limited number of publications on the effects of integrated crop management practices on the performance of rice-based systems. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of-season vegetables and legumes on the agronomic and economic performances of rice-based systems.

### 2. Material and methods

### 2.1.Study sites

The field experiment was conducted in 2020 – 2022 on the rainfed lowland plot of the Africa Rice Center (AfricaRice) in M'bé (7°53'58' N, 5°3'3'33' W), located in central Côte d'Ivoire. The climate is tropical with two dry seasons from November to March and from July to August and two rainy seasons from April to June and from September to October.

### 2.2.Data collection and analysis

Data were collected on phenology, input use, heights and number of tillers of rice plants, grain of vegetables and legumes, and production costs. Rice yield was determined from 2 zones of 4m2 in the center of each plot and adjusted to 14% grain moisture content. Weight of rice straws after threshing. The tests of normality and homogeneity were conducted and when there was a significant difference, the means were separated using the Least Significant Difference test. The yield of off-season vegetables was collected from an area of 1 m<sup>2</sup> weighed per elementary plot and then calculated by the formula. The rice equivalent yield (REY) of each vegetable was calculated by converting its grain yield with a price factor according to the following formula:

$$REY(kg.ha^{-1}) = \frac{Cumul \ grain \ yield \ of \ veg \ (kg.ha^{-1}) \times Price \ of \ veg \ (USDha^{-1})}{Price \ of \ rice \ (USDha^{-1})}$$

Economic indicators were calculated on all fixed and variable costs (tillage, seeds, fertilizers, pesticides, irrigation, harvesting, and post-harvest). The cost of labour used for soil preparation, transplanting, irrigation, fertilization, pesticide application, and harvesting was based on ha<sup>-1</sup> person-days, and the grain prices of different crops according to the periods were calculated using the formulas (Yabi et al., 2012; Kumar, 2020):

The net benefit of the system was calculated as the difference between the gross yield and the total cost of the crop.

 $Net Return (USD. ha^{-1}) = Cost total (USD. ha^{-1}) - Gross return (USD. ha^{-1})$  $Benefit cost ratio = \frac{Net Return (USD. ha^{-1})}{Total cost (USD. ha^{-1})}$ 

# 3. Results

# **3.1.Agronomic performance**

The highest system rice equivalent yield was recorded in the integrated tomato-rice rotation crop, followed by cucumber rice rotation (Table 1). The lowest system rice equivalent yield was observed in okra–rice rotation and green-bean rice rotation.

Table 1. Rice yield, vegetable yield, rice equivalent yield, and system rice equivalent rice of the cropping systems

| Cropping system   | Cumulative rice        | Vegetable yield        | REY (Kg/ha)         | SREY (Kg/ha)           |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                   | yield (kg/ha)          | (Kg/ha)                |                     |                        |
| Cucumber – rice   | $10537.1 \pm 2768.3$ a | $178806.3 \pm 94448.2$ | $447015.8 \pm$      | $457552.9 \pm$         |
|                   |                        | b                      | 236120.7 bc         | 234104.8 bc            |
| Green bean – rice | $10191.9 \pm 2299.6$ a | 19931 ± 7723.8 a       | 69759.7 ± 27033.5 a | $79951.7 \pm 26620.91$ |
|                   |                        |                        |                     | а                      |
| Okra – rice       | $10704.1 \pm 3276.3$ a | $74345.7 \pm 27591.72$ | $188468.2 \pm$      | $199172.3 \pm 68323.8$ |
|                   |                        | a                      | 69046.14 ab         | ab                     |
| Tomato – rice     | $10331.3 \pm 2509.1$ a | 182106.1 ±             | 637371.2 ±          | $647702.6 \pm$         |
|                   |                        | 282431.6 b             | 988510.54 c         | 989209.6 c             |
| p-Value           | 0.8899                 | < 0.001                | < 0.001             | < 0.001                |
| LSD               | 7663.412               | 418721.9               | 1426184             | 1426399                |

# **3.2.Economic performance**

The highest net return and benefit-cost ratio were achieved in the tomato-rice rotation system followed by the cucumber-rice rotation system, and these were significantly higher than the net return and benefit-cost ratio of the okra-rice and green bean rice rotation systems (Table 2).

| Cropping system      | Gross return<br>system(USD/ha) | Net return (USD/ha)        | Benefit-cost ratio  |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Cucumber – rice      | 88409.13 ± 45191.12 ab         | 82949.75 ± 45103 ab        | 15.182287 ± 7.958743<br>ab                                  |
| Green bean –<br>rice | 28051.65 ± 10749.55 a          | 22828.75 ± 10110.33 a      | 4.250947 ± 1.484557 a                                       |
| Okra – rice          | $56063.73 \pm 20760.99$ a      | $50993.56 \pm 20036.10$ a  | $9.733494 \pm 2.930014$ a                                   |
| Tomato – rice        | 284342.70 483275.81 ab         | 278947.87 ± 483113.39<br>b | $\begin{array}{c} 49.829717 \pm 83.080256 \\ b \end{array}$ |
| Pr                   | 0.0174 *                       | 0.017 *                    | 0.0134 *  |
| p-value              | 0.00000305                     | 0.000002065                | 0.0000008656  |

Table 2. Gross return, the net return, and benefit-cost ratio of off-season vegetable crops.

### 4. References

Arouna et al., 2021; Ibrahim et al., 2021; Rodenburg et al., 2022b. How to reconcile this with the need to move away from dependence on fossil fuels in food production systems is one of the challenges expected in the near future.

Arouna and Aristide 2019. 20219. Water Management Technology for Climate Change Adaptation in RiceProduction: Evidence from SmartValley West Africa Approach

Croppenstedt, A., Demeke, M., Meschi, M., 2003. Technology Adoption in the Presence of Constraints: The Case of Fertilizer Demand in Ethiopia.Rev. Dev. Econ. 7, 5870

Dalrymple, DG, 1986. Development and diffusion of high-yielding rice varieties in developing countries. http://books.irri.org/9711041596\_content.pdf

Elliott Ronald Dossou-Yovo; Krishna Prasad Devkota; Komlavi Akpoti; Alexandre Danvi; Trust Duku e,Sander J. Zwart . 2022 Thirty Years of Water Management Research for Rice in Sub-Saharan Africa: Achievements and Prospects

International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Africa Rice Center and International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT). (2010). Global Rice Science Partnership (GRISP), CGIAR Thematic Area 3: Sustainable Crop Productivity Enhancement for Global Food Security, CGIAR Research Program Proposal on Rice-Based Production Systems. Los Banos: IRRI

Jonne Rodenburg; Kazuki Saito 2022 : Towards a Sustainable Improvement in the Productivity of Lowland Rice Farming Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa

LiverpoolTasie, LSO, Omonona, BT, Sanou, A., Ogunleye, WO, 2017. Is increasing the use of inorganic fertilizers for maize production in SSA a cost-effective proposition? Evidence from Nigeria. Food Policy 67, 4151.

Pokhrel, 2013 : Legume crop rotation can improve food and nutrition security Nepal, Agronomic Journal of Nepal (Agron JN) Vol. 3. 2013

Seck, P.A., Touré, A.A., Coulibaly, J.Y., Diagne, A., Wopereis, MCS, 2013. The rice economy in Africa before and after the 2008 rice crisis. In: Wopereis, MCS, Johnson, DE, Ahmadi, N., Tollens, E., Jalloh, A. (Eds.), Realizing the Rice Promise in Africa. CABI, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom, pp

Senthilkumar, K., 2022. Bridging rice yield gaps in Africa requires the integration of good agricultural practices. Field Crops Res 285, 108591

Tollens, E., Demont, M., Si'e, M., Diagne, A., Saito, K., Wopereis, MCS, 2013. From WARDA to AfricaRice: An Overview of Rice for Development Research in Partnership in Africa