Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Matteo Balderacchi, Independent Researcher, Piacenza, Italy

REVIEWED BY Chikkappa G. Karjagi, Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR), India Jiban Shrestha, Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Nepal

*CORRESPONDENCE Baffour Badu-Apraku ⊠ b.badu-apraku@cgiar.org

RECEIVED 19 June 2023 ACCEPTED 12 September 2023 PUBLISHED 10 October 2023

CITATION

Okunlola G, Badu-Apraku B, Ariyo O and Ayo-Vaughan M (2023) The combining ability of extra-early maturing quality protein maize (*Zea mays*) inbred lines and the performance of their hybrids in *Striga*-infested and low-nitrogen environments. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 7:1238874. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2023.1238874

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Okunlola, Badu-Apraku, Ariyo and Ayo-Vaughan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The combining ability of extra-early maturing quality protein maize (*Zea mays*) inbred lines and the performance of their hybrids in *Striga*-infested and low-nitrogen environments

Gbemisola Okunlola^{1,2}, Baffour Badu-Apraku¹, Omolayo Ariyo² and Moninuola Ayo-Vaughan²

¹International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria, ²Department of Plant Breeding and Seed Technology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria

Maize production in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) faces challenges due to the damage caused by the parasitic weed, Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benths and low soil nitrogen. To address these constraints and improve food security and nutrition, this study assessed the combining ability of 47 inbred lines and four testers, grouped them into heterotic groups, identified effective testers, and determined the stability of the lines in hybrid combinations under contrasting research conditions. The study was conducted at Mokwa and Abuja during the 2019 and 2020 growing seasons. One hundred and ninety-six hybrids comprising 188 testcrosses, 6 hybrids derived by intermating the four testers, and two commercial checks were evaluated using a 14×14 lattice design with two replicates. Results revealed that under Striga infestation, the best quality protein maize (QPM) hybrid, TZEEQI 468×TZEEQI 321, outyielded the best check, TZEEQI 342×TZEEQI 7, by 24%. Under low-N, QPM hybrid, TZEEQI 515 x TZEEQI 321 outyielded the best check, TZEEQI 507 × TZEEQI 7 by 11% while under optimal conditions the best QPM hybrid, TZEEQI 506 \times TZEEQI 321 outyielded the best check, TZEEQI 342 × TZEEQI 7 by 2%. General combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) significantly influenced grain yield and other measured traits across the test environments. These indicated the importance of both additive and non-additive genetic variances in trait inheritance. GCA was more important than SCA for grain yield and most traits in contrasting environments. Four inbred lines had significant and positive GCA effects for grain yield under Striga-infested conditions, while three lines had similar GCA effects under low-nitrogen conditions. These lines demonstrated outstanding potential for developing Striga-resistant and low-nitrogen-tolerant hybrids. The study identified four heterotic groups using the heterotic grouping method based on the general combining ability of multiple traits (HGCMAT). Inbred lines TZEEQI 490 and TZEEQI 460 were identified as testers. The QPM hybrid TZEEQI 515×TZEEQI 321 exhibited outstanding yield and stability across contrasting environments, highlighting the need for extensive on-farm trials to confirm its superiority and potential for commercialization in SSA.

KEYWORDS

maize, QPM inbred lines, Striga, low-N, genetics, stability

1. Introduction

Maize is the third most important stable cereal in the world, and the second major stable food crop in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Ekpa et al., 2018; FAO, 2021). Over 300 million Africans rely on maize as a primary staple food, making it crucial for food security in the region (Thorne, 2002; VIB, 2017). More than two-thirds of the maize production constitutes over 20% of the food calorie intake for half of the population in SSA (Shiferaw et al., 2011). The poor population relies heavily on maize as a daily staple food as it serves as their primary source of calories, proteins, vitamins, and minerals in their diets (Badu-Apraku et al., 2015). However, normal endosperm maize lacks sufficient protein due to its low lysin and tryptophan content. These two amino acids are essential in human and livestock nutrition (Huang et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2010). As a result, efforts have been made to develop, disseminate, and encourage the adoption of various quality protein maize (QPM) varieties in West and Central Africa (WCA). The opaque-2 (o2) gene and modifiers that contain double the lysine (>4.0%) and tryptophan (>0.8%) contents compared to normal endosperm maize have been incorporated into the QPM varieties. This development has played a crucial role in reducing malnutrition in the region by enhancing the levels of quality protein in maize-based diets (Krivanek et al., 2007; Badu-Apraku et al., 2016b).

Maize production is predicted to be the highest in developing countries by the year 2025, and this demand is expected to double by 2050 (CIMMYT and IITA, 2010). However, maize productivity in SSA is faced with three major stresses, namely, low soil nitrogen, *Striga* infestation, and drought. These factors greatly limit the productivity of maize in SSA and are primarily attributed to the limited capacity of smallholder farmers to adapt to the effects of climate change (Mulungu and Ng'ombe, 2019).

Maize is a crop that thrives in fertile soils for optimal yield (Uribelarrea et al., 2009). However, soil fertility in the savannas is inherently low due to weathering and leaching, resulting in deficiencies in essential nutrients such as nitrogen (Edmeades et al., 1996; Koné et al., 2008). Nitrogen plays a vital role in stimulating vegetative growth, kernel initiation, and kernel set (Below, 1997). The average fertilizer use in SSA is reported to be 5 to 10 kg ha-1, which falls below the target of at least 50 kg ha-1 by 2015 set by the Fertilizer for the African Green Revolution for African Union Member States (African Development Bank, 2006; FAOSTAT, 2017). The increasing demand for higher grain yield to sustain the growing population, coupled with decreasing fertilizer use, poses a great challenge to food security in SSA. This therefore necessitates improved nitrogen use efficiency (Cassman et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2015). Developing maize varieties through breeding for both high nitrogen-use efficiency and high yield potential offers a sustainable and economically viable solution to support the rapidly growing population, and address the needs of smallholder farmers in the sub-region.

Striga hermonthica is a parasitic weed that poses a significant threat to staple food crops such as maize, sorghum, and cowpea, among others. It is the primary factor limiting maize production in SSA (Ejeta, 2007; Parker, 2012). The weed is particularly prevalent in the savannas, which are known for their high maize yield potential (Yallou et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2014). Severe infestation by *Striga* can result in yield losses ranging from 10 to 100% (Lagoke et al., 1991; Odhiambo and Woomer, 2005; Abdulmalik et al., 2017). In extreme cases, farmers are compelled to abandon their fields due to total crop failure caused by

Striga infestation (Ejeta, 2007; Atera et al., 2012). Several methods have been employed to control Striga, including handpicking, crop rotation, trap and cash crops, fertilizer application, fallowing, and seed treatments. However, these approaches have proven ineffective (Bebawi et al., 1984; Odhiambo and Ransom, 1994; Shaxson and Riches, 1998; Kountche et al., 2016). Host plant resistance or tolerance is therefore considered the most efficient, cost-effective, and sustainable strategy, especially for resource-limited farmers (Odhiambo and Ransom, 1994; Shaxson and Riches, 1998; Mandumbu et al., 2019). The savannah regions of West and Central Africa suffer from low soil nitrogen levels, as farmers apply minimal or no fertilizer, aggravating the problem of Striga infestation in maize farms (Badu-Apraku et al., 2018). Oswald and Ransom (2001) reported that the combined effects of Striga and low nitrogen can lead to yield losses of up to 100%. Consequently, there is a pressing need to breed and cultivate maize varieties with dual resistance to low nitrogen and Striga to meet the increasing maize demand driven by population growth in SSA countries.

Combining ability analysis of different maize inbred lines is a highly effective tool for identifying superior inbred combiners for the development of maize hybrids. It enhances the understanding of the genetic basis of different traits, thereby enabling the selection of appropriate parental lines based on their performance in crosses (Sprague and Tatum, 1942). Combining ability have been widely employed by breeders to select the best crossing pairs for hybrid development. Additionally, knowledge of gene action in maize inbred lines is important for devising suitable breeding strategies in breeding programs (Kamara et al., 2014).

Several authors have investigated the combining ability and gene action controlling the inheritance of grain yield under Striga resistance and low-N tolerance in maize inbreds. Some findings affirmed the preponderance of additive gene action in the inheritance of grain yield, Striga damage syndrome ratings, and Striga emergence (Ifie et al., 2015; Badu-Apraku et al., 2020; Oyekale et al., 2020; Abu et al., 2021) under Striga-infested conditions. Other authors affirmed the dominance of non-additive gene action in the expression of these traits (Badu-Apraku et al., 2016b; Akaogu et al., 2019; Annor et al., 2019). There has been conflicting information regarding how gene action influences grain yield under low soil N. Some authors affirmed that additive gene action was more important than non-additive gene action for grain yield and most associated traits under low soil N conditions (Tamilarasi et al., 2010; Makumbi et al., 2011; Badu-Apraku et al., 2016b; Obeng-Bio et al., 2019; Ribeiro et al., 2020). Other studies reported that non-additive gene action largely influenced the inheritance of grain yield under low soil nitrogen (Meseka et al., 2006; Ndhlela, 2012; Badu-Apraku et al., 2016b; Mafouasson et al., 2017). The conflicting findings among these researchers may have stemmed from variations in the levels of N stress under which the genotypes were tested (Mosisa et al., 2007). Most of these studies focused on white endosperm, intermediate, and early maturing maize inbred lines. To optimize the use of available extra-early quality protein maize inbred lines for breeding low N-tolerant and Striga-resistant hybrids at IITA, a true understanding of the combining ability of these inbred lines and the gene actions controlling the stresses is expedient. This will help to identify the inbred combiners that best exploit heterosis and to devise the best breeding strategy to be employed in breeding low-N tolerant and Striga-resistant maize hybrids.

Newly developed hybrids are often evaluated in multienvironment trials (MET) including favorable and unfavorable conditions. This results in a genotype by environment interaction (GEI), which is the differential performance of genotypes with respect to environmental variations (Alves et al., 2021). Genotype × environment interaction causes variability in grain yield outcomes across various environments, thereby complicating the identification of superior genotypes (Miah et al., 2016). Yield stability is therefore a paramount criterion for identifying newly developed hybrids with potential for commercialization. An important goal of a maize breeder is to develop and select hybrids that have high and stable yields across varying environmental conditions.

This study aimed to gather information regarding the combining ability and gene action that governs the inheritance of low soil nitrogen tolerance and *Striga* resistance in extra-early maturing QPM inbred lines. The findings will contribute to the development of maize cultivars suitable for farmers in SSA for cultivation under the conditions of low soil fertility and significant *Striga* infestation. The objectives of this study were as follows: (a) to determine the gene action that influences grain yield and other agronomic traits of extra-early white QPM inbred lines under low-nitrogen and *Striga* infestation, as well as optimal growing conditions; (b) to classify the inbred lines into heterotic groups using the heterotic grouping method based on the combining ability of multiple traits (HGCAMT) and identify suitable inbred lines.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant materials

The study involved 47 new inbred lines (Table 1), four standard IITA inbred testers, and two hybrid checks with combined resistance to Striga, tolerance to drought, and low soil N. The inbred lines were derived from F₁ hybrids of nine bi-parental crosses involving crosses among extra-early white QPM inbred testers and early maturing white QPM inbred testers. The testers and the checks were extracted from Striga-resistant populations. The F1 hybrids were taken through a cycle of backcrossing to the extra-early inbred testers to recover the earliness. The BC1F1 with desirable agronomic characteristics were selected using the pedigree selection method from each backcrossed population and advanced through repeated inbreeding to the S₈ generation. The QPM inbred lines were screened under a lightbox for desirable endosperm modification ranging from 25 to 50%. The QPM inbred line testers were identified as having positive and significant general combining ability from previous studies (Badu-Apraku et al., 2016b; Badu-Apraku and Fakorede, 2017). The 47 inbred lines were crossed with four standard IITA testers, TZEEQI 7, TZEEQI 134, TZEEQI 321, and TZEEQI 294, to generate 188 testcrosses. The four testers were intercrossed to obtain six hybrids which together with the two hybrid checks, TZEEQI 314×TZEEQI 134 and TZEEQI 342×TZEEQI 7, totaling 196 hybrids.

2.2. Experimental sites and field layout

The 196 hybrids were evaluated under low soil N, *Striga*-infested, and optimum conditions in 2019 and 2020. Evaluation under *Striga*-infested conditions was conducted in Mokwa (9° 18'N and 5° 04'E, 457 m

asl, 1,100 mm annual rainfall) and Abuja (9° 15'N and 7° 20'E, 300 m asl, 1,700 mm annual rainfall) during the 2020 planting season. Evaluation under low N conditions was conducted in Mokwa in 2019 and 2020, while the optimum experiments were conducted in Mokwa in 2019 and 2020 and in Abuja in 2020. The experiments were laid out in a 14×14 lattice design with two replications. Each entry was evaluated in a one-row plot, 3 m long, spaced 0.75 m apart with 0.4 m between hills. Three maize seeds were sown per hill and thinned to two plants per hill 2 weeks after planting, to obtain a final population density of 66,666 plants per ha. For the low soil nitrogen tolerance experiment, the experimental field was depleted of nitrogen over several years by repeatedly planting maize crops and removing the plant biomass after each harvest. Soil samples were collected annually before planting in the test environments. These soil samples were then analyzed for nitrogen content at the IITA soil laboratory located in Ibadan.

The total N in the soils was determined by Kjeldahl digestion using the colorimetric method of Technician AAII Autoanalyzer (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). Fertilizer was applied to bring the total available N to 30 kg ha⁻¹. Also, single superphosphate (P_2O_5) and muriate of potash (K_2O) were applied to the low N plots at the rate of 60 kg N ha⁻¹. Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 90 kg N ha⁻¹, 60 kg ha⁻¹ each of P_2O_5 , and K_2O at 3 WAP and an additional 60 kg N ha⁻¹ was top-dressed as urea at 5 WAP on the optimum experiment. The trials were kept weed-free with the application of pre-emergence (Primextra Gold) and post-emergence (Gramoxone) herbicides supplemented with manual weeding.

For the *Striga*-infested condition, each plot was artificially infested with approximately 5,000 germinable *S. hermonthica* seeds/hill. The *Striga* infestation method developed by IITA Maize Improvement Programme was adopted to ensure uniform *Striga* infestation with no escapes (Kim, 1991; Kim and Winslow, 1991). Approximately 30 kg of nitrogen fertilizer per hectare (ha-¹) was applied, with the application split into two stages. The first application was deliberately delayed until 21 days after planting, creating stress conditions to stimulate the production of strigolactones in the maize plants. This strategy aimed to enhance the germination of *Striga* seeds and promote the attachment of *Striga* plants to the roots of maize plants. A top dressing of fertilizer was done 35 days after planting. To maintain effective weed control, manual removal of weeds other than *Striga* was constantly done by hand.

2.3. Data collection

Data were collected for grain yield and other agronomic traits under *Striga*, low-N and optimal research conditions as shown in Table 2.

2.4. Data analysis

Plot means were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) under each research condition (low soil N, *Striga*-infested, and optimal environments) for the hybrids and the checks using general linear model procedure in Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, 2012 version 9.3). Data on traits common to the three research conditions were pooled for combined ANOVA. Using a RANDOM statement with the TEST option where block was nested within replicate × environment and replicate nested within environment. Environments were random effects while

TABLE 1 Characteristics of inbred lines used in the study.

S/N	Inbred	Pedigree	Reaction to Striga
1	TZEEQI 506	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 35) F1 6/9 BC1 S7 2/2-2/2-2/3-2/2-1/1-1/1	Т
2	TZEEQI 490	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 10/10 BC1 S7 2/2-2/2-3/4-1/4-2/2-1/1-1/1	Т
3	TZEEQI 502	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 35) F1 4/9 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-4/4-2/4-2/2-1/1-1/1A	Т
4	TZEEQI 451	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-1/3-2/3-1/3-1/3-1/1-1/1	Т
5	TZEEQI 483	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 5/10 BC1 S7 2/2-2/2-2/3-3/4-1/2-1/2-1/1	Т
6	TZEEQI 464	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 2/2-4/4-2/2-1/3-3/3-1/1-1/1	МТ
7	TZEEQI 481	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 5/10 BC1 S7 1/1-2/3-1/2-2/2-1/3-1/1-1/1	S
8	TZEEQI 515	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 60) F1 3/17 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-4/4-1/1-1/1-1/1-1/1A	МТ
9	TZEEQI 507	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 35) F1 9/9 BC1 S7 1/3-1/1-1/1-1/2-1/2-1/2-1/1A	S
10	TZEEQI 467	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4)F 1 3/10 BC1 S7 2/2-1/3-1/4-2/3-4/4-1/1-1/1	Т
11	TZEEQI 482	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 5/10 BC1 S7 1/1-2/3-1/2-2/2-2/3-1/1-1/1	Т
12	TZEEQI 498	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 35) F1 3/9 BC1 S7 2/2-2/2-3/3-1/4-1/1-1/2-1/1	S
13	TZEEQI 489	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 10/10 BC1 S7 2/2-2/2-3/4-1/4-1/2-1/1-1/1	МТ
14	TZEEQI 442	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 1/10 BC1 S7 3/4-2/2-2/3-3/4-2/3-1/1-1/1	S
15	TZEEQI 453	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-3/3-1/4-2/5-3/3-1/1-1/1	S
16	TZEEQI 424	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-3/3-1/4-2/5-3/3-1/1-1/1	S
17	TZEEQI 474	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 3/10 BC1 S7 2/2-1/3-4/4-1/2-2/2-1/1-1/1	MT
18	TZEEQI 459	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-3/3-2/4-3/3-3/3-1/1-1/1	Т
19	TZEEQI 428	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 6) F1 4/13 BC1 S7 2/2-2/3-2/4-3/6-1/2-1/2-1/1	S
20	TZEEQI 479	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 3/10 BC1 S7 3/3-1/4-6/6-2/3-1/3-1/2-1/1	MT
21	TZEEQI 434	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 6) F1 9/13 BC1 S7 1/2–1/1–2/3–2/2–1/2–1/1–1/1	Т
22	TZEEQI 446	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 1/10 BC1 S7 3/4–2/2–3/3–1/2–3/4–1/2–1/1	Т
23	TZEEQI 468	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 3/10 BC1 S7 2/2–1/3–1/4–3/3–3/3–1/1–1/1	Т
24	TZEEQI 457	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-3/3-2/4-2/3-2/2-1/1-1/1	Т
25	TZEEQI 473	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 3/10 BC1 S7 2/2-1/3-4/4-1/2-1/2-1/1-1/1	MT
26	TZEEQI 465	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 2/2-4/4-2/2-2/3-2/3-1/1-1/1	MT
27	TZEEQI 430	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 6) F1 8/13 BC1 S7 1/2-1/1-1/3-1/1-3/3-1/1-1/1	MT
28	TZEEQI 437	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 6) F1 10/13 BC1 S7 3/3-1/2-2/4-1/3-1/2-1/1-1/1	Т
29	TZEEQI 431	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 6) F1 8/13 BC1 S7 1/2-1/1-3/3-1/2-2/3-1/1-1/1	S
30	TZEEQI 533	(TZEEQI 102×TZEQI 6) F1 17/17 BC1 S7 3/3-2/2-1/2-1/1-1/2-1/1-1/1	S
31	TZEEQI 420	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 6) F1 2/13 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-3/4-2/3-1/4-1/1-1/1	S
32	TZEEQI 418	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 6) F1 2/13 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-1/4-4/4-1/1-1/1-1/1	S
33	TZEEQI 485	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 8/10 BC1 S7 1/1-2/6-1/1-3/4-1/1-1/1-1/1	MT
34	TZEEQI 519	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 60) F1 6/17 BC1 S7 1/2-2/2-1/3-3/7-1/1-1/2-1/1	MT
35	TZEEQI 521	(TZEEQI 60×TZEQI 49) F1 1/8 BC1 S7 1/2-1/1-1/2-1/2-1/2-1/2-1/2-1/1	Т
36	TZEEQI 480	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 5/10 BC1 S7 1/1-2/3-1/2-1/2-2/4-1/1-1/1	S
37	TZEEQI 470	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 3/10 BC1 S7 2/2-1/3-2/4-1/2-2/2-1/1-1/1	S
38	TZEEQI 425	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 6) F1 4/13 BC1 S7 2/2-1/3-2/2-2/4-1/2-1/1-1/1	MT
39	TZEEQI 500	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 35) F1 4/9 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-1/4-1/2-2/2-1/1-1/1	МТ
40	TZEEQI 419	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 6) F1 2/13 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-2/4-2/3-1/3-1/1-1/1	Т
41	TZEEQI 456	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-3/3-2/4-2/3-1/2-1/1-1/1	S
42	TZEEQI 458	(TZEEQI 7 × TZEQI 4) F1 2/10 BC1 S7 1/2-3/3-2/4-3/3-2/3-1/1-1/1	MT
43	TZEEQI 516	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 60) F1 3/17 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-4/4-1/1-1/1-1/1-1/1B	Т
44	TZEEQI 475	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 4) F1 3/10 BC1 S7 2/2-1/3-4/4-2/2-2/3-1/1-1/1	MT
45	TZEEQI 436	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 6) F1 10/13 BC1 S7 2/3-1/1-5/5-1/3-1/1-1/1-1/1	MT
46	TZEEQI 496	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 35) F1 3/9 BC1 S7 2/2-2/2-2/3-2/2-1/1-1/1-1/1A	S
47	TZEEQI 422	(TZEEQI 7×TZEQI 6) F1 2/13 BC1 S7 2/2-1/1-1/4-2/4-1/4-1/1-1/1	MT

T, tolerant; MT, moderately tolerant; S, susceptible.

TABLE 2 Agronomic traits measured and their mode of observation.

S/N	Traits	Mode of observation
1	Plant stand (count)	Total number of plants/plot obtained soon after thinning.
2	Number of Days to anthesis (DYA)	Number of days from planting to when 50% of the plants in a plot had shed pollen.
3	Number of sDays to silking (DYS)	Number of days from planting to when 50% of the plants in a plot had produced silk.
4	Anthesis-silking interval (ASI)	Difference between days to 50% silking and 50% anthesis.
5	Plant height (cm)	Distance from the base of the plant to the first tassel branch. This was calculated using the mean of five random plants.
6	Ear height (cm)	Distance from the base of the plant and the node bearing the upper ear. It was calculated using the mean of five random plants.
7	Husk cover	Rated on a scale of 1–5 where, 1 = Very tight husk extending beyond the ear tip and 5 = exposed ear tip.
8	Root lodge percentage	Proportion or percentage of plants that fell down from the root.
9	Stalk lodge percentage	Proportion or percentage of plants with broken stalk below the ear or the stalk bending more than 45° from the upright position.
10	Ear aspect	Assessment of the general appeal of the ears was based on a scale of 1–9. The rating was done based on ear size; uniformity of size, color and texture; extent of grain filling, insect and disease damage, where, 1 = excellent with no disease/insect damage, large cobs, uniform ears and fully filled grains, 2 = very good with no disease/insect damage and fully filled grains, one or two irregularity in cob size, 3 = good with no disease/insect damage and fully filled grains, one or two irregularity in cob size, 4 = mild insect damage, no disease, fully filled grains, one or two irregularity in cob size poor, 5 = mild disease/insect damage and fully filled grains, one or two irregularity in cob size, 6 = severe disease/insect damage and fully filled grains, smaller cobs, non-uniform cob size, 7 = severe disease/ insect damage, scanty grain filling, few ears, non-uniformity of cobs, 8 = severe disease/insect damage, scanty grain filling, very few ears and 9 = disease/insect damage, scanty grain filling, very few ears, 9 = only one or no ears (Badu- Apraku et al., 2011a,b)
11	Specific to <i>Striga</i> -infested conditions Host plant damage (<i>Striga</i> damage rating)	Rating the visible damage symptoms caused by the <i>Striga</i> plant on the maize at 8 WAP and 10 WAP. <i>Striga</i> rating 1 = depicts normal plant growth, no visible symptoms, 2 = Small and vague purplish-brown leaf blotches visible, 3 = Mild leaf blotching with some purplish-brown necrotic spots, 4 = Extensive blotching and mild wilting. Slight but noticeable stunting and reduction in ear and tassel size, 5 = Extensive leaf blotching, wilting and some scorching, moderate stunting; ear and tassel size reduction, 6 = Extensive leaf scorching with mostly gray necrotic spots, some stunting and reduction in stem diameter, ear size and tassel size, 7 = Extensive leaf scorching, with gray necrotic spots, and leaf wilting and rolling, severe stunting and reduction in stem diameter, ear size and tassel size, 8 = Extensive leaf scorching with extensive gray necrotic spots, conspicuous stunting, leaf wilting, rolling, severe stalk lodging and brittleness, reduction in stem diameter, ear size and tassel size, 8 = Extensive leaf scorching with extensive gray necrotic spots, conspicuous stunting, leaf wilting, rolling, severe stalk lodging and brittleness, reduction in stem diameter, ear size and tassel size, of en causing stale lodging and p = Complete scorching of all leaves, causing premature death or collapse of host plant and no ear formation (Kim, 1991)
12	Number of emerged <i>Striga</i> plants	Physical counting of the number of emerged <i>Striga</i> plants associated with plants in an experimental unit taken at 8 WAP and 10 WAP
13	Specific to low N and optimal conditions Plant aspect	Scoring was based on overall plant type (plant and ear heights), uniformity of plants, disease and insect damage and lodging. 1 = excellent, 2 = very good, 3 = good, 4 = satisfactory, 5 = acceptable, 6 = undesirable, 7 = bad, 8 = worse, 9 = completely undesirable
14	Specific to low N conditions Stay-green characteristic (Leaf death)	Scored at 10 weeks after planting on a scale of 1–9 based on the percentage of dead leaf area below the ear, where: 1 = 0–10% dead leaf area, 2 = 10–20%, 3 = 20–30% dead leaf area, 4 = 30–40% dead leaf, 5 = 50–60% dead leaf area, 6 = 60–70% dead leaf area, 7 = 70–80% dead leaf area, 8 = 80–90% dead leaf area and 9 = 90–100% dead leaf area.
15	Grain yield (<i>Striga</i> -Infested and optimal)	Field weight (kg/plot) X $\frac{(100 - moisture \ content)}{85} \times \frac{(10000)}{Plot \ Area} \times shelling\%$ where: Plot area = 0.75 m x 0.4 m, shelling percentage is 80% (0.8)
16	Grain Yield (Low-N)	All ears harvested per plot were shelled and grain weight measured (Badu-Apraku et al., 2011a,b) as follows: Field weight (kg/plot) X $\frac{(100 - moisture \ content)}{85} \times \frac{(10000)}{Plot \ Area}$ Where: Plot Area = 0.75 m x 0.4 m = 3 m ²

WAP, Weeks after planting.

genotypes were fixed effects. Line × tester analysis was carried out following the procedure of Singh and Chaudhary (1977). General combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) and their standard errors were computed for grain yield and other measured traits under each research condition. The variation among hybrids was partitioned into sources due to lines, testers, and line × testers. The main effects of line and tester were represented by GCA-line and GCA-tester respectively, while the main effect of line × tester was represented by SCA. The GCA of a tester (male) was obtained based on its performance in F_1 hybrid combinations with all possible lines (females). Also, the GCA of each line was determined based on the performance of the F_1 hybrid with the four testers.

GCA and SCA effects were determined for each trait under each research condition and across research conditions. The general linear model for line by tester mating design is:

$$Uijbkl = \mu + Al + \mathscr{E}b(k) + \Gamma k(l) + gi + gj + sij + (Av)ijl + Eijbkl$$
(1)

where Uijbkl = observed value of the progeny between the ith line and the jth tester in the bth block within the kth replication in the lth environment, μ =population mean, Al=average effect due to environment, \pounds b(k)=effect of block nested within replication, Γ k(l)=effect of replication nested within environment, gi=general combining ability (GCA) of the ith line, gj=general combining ability (GCA) effect of the jth tester, sij=specific combining ability (SCA) of the ijth testcross, (Av)ijl=effect of interaction between the ijth testcross and lth location, and Eijbkl=residual effect.

Broad-sense heritability values were estimated for each trait under each research condition as follows:

$$H^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{g}^{2}}{\sigma_{g}^{2} + \frac{\sigma_{ge}^{2}}{e} + \frac{\sigma_{e}^{2}}{re}}$$
(2)

where σ_{ge}^2 is the genotype x environment interaction, σ_g^2 is the genotypic variance, σ_e^2 is the error variance, is the number of replicates per environment, and is the number of environments (Fehr, 1991).

The proportionate contribution of GCA to SCA sum of squares for grain yield and other agronomic traits was determined using the ratio proposed by Baker (1978). The inbred lines were classified into heterotic groups based on GCA effects of multiple traits (HGCAMT) (Badu-Apraku et al., 2013). The grouping was achieved by standardizing the GCA effects with a mean of zero and standard deviation of 1 for traits measured that had significant genotypic mean squares and GCA across test environments using the following statistical model:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\gamma_i - \bar{\mathbf{y}}_i}{s} \right) + \varepsilon_{ij} \tag{3}$$

where Y is HGCAMT, representing the genetic value that measured the relationship among genotypes using the GCA of multiple traits i to n; γ_i is the individual GCA effects of genotypes for trait i, \overline{y}_i is the mean of GCA effects across genotypes for trait i; s is the standard deviation of the GCA effects of trait i, and ε_{ij} is the residual of the model associated with the combination of inbred i and j.

Identification of inbred testers was carried out using the criteria proposed by Pswarayi and Vivek (2008), which states that an inbred line should (i) belong to a heterotic group, (ii) have significant and positive GCA effects across the test conditions, and (iii) have a high *per se* yield performance. To identify desirable hybrids across test conditions, the multiple trait base index (MI) proposed by Badu-Apraku et al. (2011a,b, 2016b) was calculated. The MI integrated grain yield, number of ears per plant, plant aspect, ear aspect, stay green characteristic, *Striga* damage ratings at 8 and 10 WAP as well as number of emerged *Striga* plants at 8 and 10 WAP as follows:

$$Multiple Index = (2 \times YLD) + EPP - EASP - PASP - SGR - SDR8 - SDR10 - (0.5 \times ESP8) - (0.5 \times ESP10)$$
(4)

where: YLD = grain yield across conditions; EPP = number of earsper plant across conditions; EASP = Ear aspect across conditions;PASP = Plant aspect across environments; SGR = Stay greencharacteristic across low-N environments; SDR8 and SDR10 =*Striga* damage rating at 8 and 10 WAP across*Striga*environments; ESP8 andESP10 = Number of emerged*Striga*plants at 8 and 10 WAP across*Striga*environments.

A set of 20 best hybrids and five worst hybrids and the two QPM checks were thereafter selected and subjected to a GGE biplot to determine the stability of performance across test environments. The "mean vs. stability" view of the GGE biplot was employed to identify hybrids with high grain yield and stability across the *Striga*-infested, low-nitrogen, and optimal environments. The GGE biplot model equation used was as follows:

$$Y_{ij} - Y_j = \lambda_1 \xi_{i1} \eta_{j1} + \lambda_2 \xi_{i2} \eta_{j2} + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
⁽⁵⁾

where: Y_{ij} is the average yield of genotype *i* in environment *j*; Y_j is the average yield across the genotypes in environment *j*; λ_1 and λ_2 are the singular values for PC1 and PC2, respectively; ξ_1 and ξ_2 are the PC1 and PC2 scores, respectively, for genotype *i*, η_{j1} and η_{j2} are the PC1 and PC2 scores, respectively for environment *j*; β_j is the mean of all combinations involving trait *j*; d_j is the standardization value (with mean of zero and standard deviation of 1); and ε_{ij} is the residual of the model associated with the genotype *I* in environment *j*.

3. Results

3.1. Performance of hybrids under *Striga*-infested, low N, and optimal conditions

Under *Striga*-infested conditions (Table 3), analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed significant (p < 0.05) environment variance for measured traits except for ear aspect. Significant differences (p < 0.05) for the hybrids were also observed for the measured traits except for the anthesis-silking interval and number of ears

TABLE 3 Mean squar	es of grair.	ר yield and other ag	gronomic traits	of 188 extra-6	early maturing qı	uality protein ma	aize hybrids an	d 8 checks eva	uated across Str	<i>riga-</i> infested	conditions ir	n Mokwa and Al	ouja, 2020.
Source	DF	Yield	SDR1	SDR2	ESC1	ESC2	EASP	DYA	DYS	ASI	EPP	PLHT	EHT
ENV	1	23880355**	412.96^{**}	77.82**	12352.73**	65902.22**	1.07 ns	3302.14^{**}	3455.76**	1.75*	0.75**	6753.32**	12313.07**
Rep(ENV)	2	133184243**	3.55**	7.12**	881.86**	1386.03^{**}	18.86**	20.54^{**}	34.96**	1.95^{*}	0.17^{**}	7222.38^{**}	3594.13**
Blk(ENV*Rep)	52	3717071.**	1.93^{**}	2.70**	61.87*	116.89**	1.90^{**}	2.83**	4.25^{**}	0.55 ns	0.05^{**}	751.19**	316.14^{**}
HYBRID	195	2703152.**	0.97**	1.81^{**}	68.30**	95.79**	1.55^{**}	6.51**	7.33**	0.56 ns	0.02 ns	513.03^{**}	184.34^{**}
GCALINE	46	1495730 ns	1.03 ns	1.57**	74.70**	119.83**	1.18*	7.44^{**}	8.96**	0.70*	0.03 ns	262.22**	902.56**
GCA _{TESTER}	e	78089596*	17.77**	52.41 ns	399.12**	380.13**	43.45**	260.20**	271.62**	3.37**	0.02 ns	3952.07**	11618.35**
ENV*HYBRID	195	144469 ns	0.62 ns	0.82 ns	53.93 ns	87.58 ns	0.62 ns	1.45 ns	1.93 ns	0.51 ns	0.02 ns	206.43*	112.99**
SCA	138	1923798 ns	0.81 ns	1.22 ns	55.61*	88.22na	1.05*	1.84 ns	2.36 ns	0.51 ns	0.03 ns	129.99 ns	288.80 ns
ENV*GCA _{LINE}	46	1,839,620 ns	0.81 ns	1.18 ns	60.72*	109.02*	1.07 ns	1.97 ns	2.84 ns	0.71^{*}	0.03 ns	116.30 ns	248.36 ns
ENV*GCA _{TESTER}	3	974557 ns	6.56**	2.13 ns	36.87 ns	406.37**	1.37 ns	5.14^{*}	9.88**	2.00**	0.01 ns	107.51 ns	393.46 ns
ENV*SCA	138	2039841 ns	0.67 ns	1.11 ns	47.60 ns	86.37 ns	0.87 ns	1.45 ns	1.81 ns	0.46 ns	0.02 ns	141.46 ns	309.82**
ERROR	375	1215659	0.75	1.03	41.32	78.00	0.59	1.66	2.21	0.50	0.02	247.44	114.23
Heritability (%)		68	37	56	24	6	59	79	75	67	60	40	30
*. ** Significant at 0.05 and	4 0.01 prohah	vility levels.											

Env, environment, Blk, block; Repi cation; ns, not significant GCALINE; general combining ability due to lines; GCATESTER, general combining ability due to tester; SCA, specific combining ability; DYA, days to 50% anthesis; DYA, days to silking interval; EPP, number of ears per plant; EASP, ear aspect; SDR1, Striga damage rating at 8 WAP; SDR2, Striga damage rating at 10 WAP; ESC1, emerged Striga count at 8 WAP; ESC2, emerged Striga count at 10 WAP; ESC4 per plant. Hybrid × environment interaction variance was not significant for most of the measured traits except plant and ear height (p < 0.05/0.01). Using the line × tester analysis to partition the genotype variances into its GCA_{Line}, GCA_{Tester}, and SCA components, significant (p < 0.05/0.01) GCA_{LINE} variance was observed for measured traits except for grain yield, Striga damage syndrome rating at 8 WAP, and number of ears per plant. GCA_{Tester} variance was significant for measured traits except for Striga damage syndrome ratings at 10 WAP and number of ears per plant while SCA variance was significant for only emerged Striga count at 8 WAP and ear aspect. Similarly, environment × GCA_{Line} variance was significant only for emerged Striga count at 8 WAP, emerged Striga count at 10 WAP, and anthesis-silking interval (p < 0.05), while the environment × GCA_{Tester} variances were significant for Striga damage syndrome ratings at 8 WAP, emerged Striga count at 10 WAP, days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, and anthesis-silking interval. Environment × SCA variance was only significant for ear height (p < 0.01). The broad sense heritability (H) ranged from 9% for emerged Striga count to 79% for days to 50% anthesis. Under low N conditions (Table 4), the environment was

significant for most measured traits except for the stay-green characteristic, ear aspect, number of ears per plant, and plant height. Hybrid was significant for all measured traits except ears per plant, anthesis-silking interval, plant height, and ear height. Environment × hybrid was significant for only grain yield, days to 50% anthesis, and number of ears per plant. GCA_{Line} variance was significant for grain yield, plant aspect, stay-green characteristics, days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, number of ears per plant, and plant height. GCA_{Tester} variance was significant for all measured traits except ear aspect, anthesis-silking interval, and ear height while the SCA variance was significant for only grain yield and number of ears per plant. Similarly, environment \times GCA_{Line} was significant for only the number of ears per plant while environment × GCA_{Tester} variance was significant for grain yield, days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, and number of ears per plant. Also, environment × SCA variance was significant for only the number of ears per plant. Broad sense heritability (H) estimates of the studied traits ranged from 4% for the number of ears per plant to 55% for ear aspect.

Under optimal conditions (Table 5), environment was significant (p < 0.01) for all measured traits, while hybrid mean squares was significant for measured traits except the anthesissilking interval. Similarly, the environment × hybrid was significant for grain yield, days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, plant height, and ear height. GCA_{Line} was significant for all measured characters except for anthesis-silking and the number of ears per plant. GCA_{Tester} variance was significant for all measured traits and SCA variance was significant for only days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, plant height, and ear height. Environment \times GCA_{Line} was significant for days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, plant height, and ear height. Significant environment × GCA_{Tester} variance was observed for most of the measured traits except for days to 50% silking and the number of ears per plant. There was significant environment \times SCA interaction for the measured traits days to 50% anthesis, days to 50% silking, and plant height. Broad sense heritability estimates ranged from 29% for ears per plant to 78% for ear aspect.

TABLE 4 Mean squares of grain yield and other agronomic traits of 188 extra-early maturing quality protein maize hybrids and 8 checks evaluated across low soil N conditions in Mokwa, 2019 and 2020.

Source	DF	Yield	PASP	STGR	EASP	DYA	DYS	ASI	EPP	PLHT	EHT
ENV	1	7245438**	35.77**	0.36	25.82	1127.89**	80.66**	683.53**	0.06	1967.14	9773.14**
Rep (ENV)	2	22737703**	8.23**	16.24**	1.21	18.83*	36.045*	52.27**	0.26**	2403.85	1112.83**
BLK (ENV*Rep)	52	2582793**	1.62**	2.40**	9.74	10.94**	12.76*	10.66**	0.040	2197.04	210.55
HYBRID	195	1849082**	0.96**	1.28*	9.46	9.82**	10.56**	3.37	0.19**	2306.34	184.50
GCA _{LINE}	46	1811355**	1.21**	1.93**	10.10	15.32**	17.30**	3.56	0.165**	3114.45*	211.48
GCA _{tester}	3	53083491**	18.12**	29.99**	12.50	158.27**	149.43**	2.20	0.86**	7460.49**	387.70
SCA	138	1549877**	0.83	1.30	10.32	5.59	6.37	4.01	0.20**	2181.00	199.91
ENV*HYBRID	195	1106197*	0.57	0.79	9.04	6.17*	5.94	2.88	0.17**	2099.24	187.19
ENV*GCA _{LINE}	46	1313685	0.86	1.07	7.57	7.65	6.52	3.64	0.17*	2113.68	252.84
ENV*GCA _{tester}	3	5228406**	0.25	0.70	20.43	92**	47.42**	12.77	0.26**	514.31	1028.15
ENV*SCA	138	1114793	0.77	1.00	10.22	5.87	6.89	3.62	0.21*	2217.65	194.34
ERROR	375	1117411	0.73	1.09	9.79	5.87	7.60	3.03	0.04	2025.97	178.25
Heritability (%)		46	43	47	55	20	25	-	4	13	-

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels.

Env, environment; Blk, block; Rep; Replication; ns, not significant; GCALINE, general combining ability due to line; GCATESTER, general combining ability due to tester; SCA, specific combining ability; DYA, days to 50% anthesis; DYA, days to 50% silking; ASI, anthesis-silking interval; PASP, plant aspect; EPP, number of ears per plant; EASP, ear aspect; STGR, stay-green character; PLHT, plant height; EHT, ear height.

TABLE 5 Mean squares of grain yield and other agronomic traits of 188 extra-early maturing quality protein maize hybrids and 8 checks evaluated across optimal conditions in Mokwa 2019 and 2020 and Abuja, 2020.

Source	DF	YIELD	PASP	EASP	DYA	DYS	ASI	EPP	PLHT	EHT
ENV	2	1037501539**	194.60**	220.99**	5657.50**	6409.78**	379.72**	2.19**	411813.28**	159665.30**
REP(ENV)	3	39054914**	1.49 ns	9.29**	16.94**	22.67**	0.88 ns	0.12**	1345.27**	991.40**
BLK(ENV*REP)	78	4115118**	1.28**	2.34**	5.35**	8.50**	0.81 ns	0.04*	456.73**	245.40**
HYBRID	195	7917194**	1.92**	3.59**	7.59**	8.63**	0.72 ns	0.047**	349.51**	189.10**
GCA _{LINE}	46	6638005**	2.064**	2.57**	10.65**	11.67**	0.76 ns	0.04 ns	572.09**	244.39**
GCA _{tester}	3	328861605**	57.62**	150.30**	207.14**	223.38**	2.65*	0.70**	8211.17**	5955.49**
SCA	138	1,861,638 ns	0.87 ns	1.15 ns	3.98**	4.59**	0.71 ns	0.03 ns	262.99**	113.15*
ENV*HYBRID	390	1972740**	0.60 ns	0.87 ns	4.59**	5.60**	0.78 ns	0.03 ns	201.41**	104.96**
ENV*GCA _{LINE}	92	2,151,731 ns	0.70 ns	0.87 ns	4.94**	5.21*	0.87 ns	0.04 ns	291.02**	127.62**
ENV*GCA _{tester}	6	20656060**	3.18**	4.37**	71.78**	75.58 ns	2.22**	0.05 ns	2164.89**	1242.83**
ENV*SCA	276	1850099 ns	0.62 ns	0.88 ns	3.73**	5.22**	0.74 ns	0.03 ns	202.34*	100.14 ns
ERROR	563	1970539	0.76	1.04	3.16	4.36	0.83	0.04	172.10	92.20
Heritability (%)		76	70	78	41	37	-	29	46	48

*, ** Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels.

Env, environment; Blk, block; Rep; Replication; ns, not significant GCALINE, general combining ability due to line; GCATESTER, general combining ability due to tester; SCA, specific combining ability; ASI, anthesis-silking interval; DYA, days to 50% anthesis; DYA, days to 50% silking; PASP, plant aspect; EPP, number of ears per plant; EASP, ear aspect; PLHT, plant height; EHT, ear height.

3.2. Relative contributions of combining ability effects across *Striga*-infested, low N, optimal, and research environments

The relative importance of GCA and SCA variances was determined as the ratio of GCA variance to the total genetic variances using the sum of squares. The closer the ratio is to unity, the greater the predictability based on GCA (Baker, 1978). The percentage contribution of GCA to the overall genotypic sum of squares for grain yield was greater than that of SCA under *Striga*-infested, low-N, optimal, and across research conditions (Table 6). Under *Striga*-infested environments, the overall contributions of GCA effects to the

total genotypic variation among hybrids ranged from 26.29% for the number of ears per plant to 81.53% for days to 50% anthesis, while the SCA variance ranged from 18.46% for days to 50% anthesis to 73.71% for the number of ears per plant. GCA variance accounted for 60.60% of the variations in grain yield, 47.48% for *Striga* damage at 8WAP, 57.60% for *Striga* damage rating at 10WAP, and 37.65 and 35.34% for the number of emerged *Striga* plants at 8 and 10 WAP, respectively. The percentage contribution of SCA for *Striga* damage rating at 8 WAP was 52.52%, for *Striga* damage rating at 10 WAP was 42.40%, emerged *Striga* plants at 8 WAP was 62.35%, emerged *Striga* plants at 8 UAP was 62.46%, and the number of ears per plant was 73.71%. The percentage contribution of

Traits	Stri	iga-infeste	ed		Low-N			Optimal		Acr en	oss resear vironmen	rch ts
	GCAL	GCA _T	SCA	GCAL	GCA_{T}	SCA	GCA_{L}	GCA _T	SCA	GCA_{L}	GCA _T	SCA
Yield	13.76	46.84	39.40	19.55	37.94	42.50	19.71	63.70	16.59	14.50	69.91	15.59
EASP	16.40	39.52	44.08	21.99	2.79	75.21	14.94	66.20	18.85	13.35	65.70	20.95
DYA	24.85	56.68	18.46	36.12	24.33	39.55	24.43	41.70	33.87	33.40	47.65	18.95
DYS	26.55	52.47	20.99	37.48	21.11	41.41	20.87	39.73	39.40	34.17	46.66	19.17
ASI	28.52	9.02	62.46	16.56	0.60	82.84	23.82	5.78	70.40	25.93	8.84	65.24
EPP	25.22	1.07	73.71	19.72	6.60	73.68	22.98	25.21	51.81	25.99	8.13	65.88
PLHT	35.72	29.99	34.29	30.70	4.80	64.50	30.16	28.24	41.60	34.36	24.86	40.78
EHT	28.82	28.33	42.86	25.28	3.02	71.70	25.14	39.95	34.91	31.92	38.27	29.81
SDR 1	22.30	25.179	52.52	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SDR 2	18.12	39.48	42.40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESC 1	27.92	9.73	62.35	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
ESC 2	29.28	6.07	64.66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PASP	-	-	-	25.96	24.53	49.51	21.26	49.63	29.11	_	-	-
STGR	-	-	-	24.93	28.77	46.30	-	-	-	_	-	-

TABLE 6 Proportion of the sums of squares attributable to general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) for grain yield and other agronomic traits of extra-early QPM inbred lines under *Striga*-infested, low-N, optimal, and across research environments.

GCAL, line GCA; GCAT, tester GCA; ASI, anthesis-silking interval; PASP, plant aspect; EPP, number of ears per plant; EASP, ear aspect; DYA, days to 50% anthesis; DYA, days to 50% silking; SDR1, Striga damage rating at 8 WAP; SDR2, Striga damage rating at 10 WAP; ESC1, emerged Striga count at 8 WAP; ESC2, emerged Striga count at 10 WAP; STGR, stay-green character; PLHT, plant height; EHT, ear height.

GCA variance for *Striga* damage rating at 10 WAP (57.60%), ear aspect (55.92%), days to 50% anthesis (81.52%), days to 50% silking (79.02%), plant height (65.71%), and ear height (57.14%) were greater than that of SCA.

due to SCA was higher for the anthesis-silking interval (65.24%) and the number of ears per plant (65.88%).

Under low soil N environments, the percentage contribution of GCA to the total variance ranged from 17.16% for the anthesis-silking interval to 60.45% for days to 50% anthesis, while SCA contributions varied from 39.55% for days to 50% anthesis to 82.84% for the anthesis-silking interval.

The percentage contribution of GCA for grain yield (57.50%), stay-green characteristic (53.70%), plant aspect (50.49%), days to 50% anthesis (60.45%), and days to 50% silking (58.59%) were higher than SCA, while SCA contributions for ear aspect (75.21%), anthesis-silking interval (82.84%), number of ears per plant (73.68%), plant height (64.50%), and ear height (71.70%) were higher than the corresponding GCA.

Across optimal research conditions, the percentage contribution of GCA to the total variation varied from 29.60% for anthesis-silking interval to 83.41% for grain yield, while SCA varied from 16.59 to 70.40% for grain yield and ASI, respectively. Percentage contributions of GCA was higher for ear aspect (81.15%), plant aspect (70.89%), days to 50% anthesis (66.13%), days to 50% silking (60.60%), plant height (58.40%), and ear height (65.09%), while the percentage contribution of SCA was 51.81% for the number of ears per plant and 70.40% for the anthesis-silking interval.

Across research conditions, the percentage contribution of GCA varied from 34.12% for the number of ears per plant to 84.41% for grain yield, while the SCA varied from 15.59% for grain yield and 65.88% for the number of ears per plant. The percentage variation due to GCA for grain yield was 84.41, 79.05% for ear aspect, 81.05% for days to 50% anthesis, 80.83% for days to 50% silking, 59.22% for plant height, and 70.19% for ear height. However, the percentage variation

3.3. General combing ability effects for grain yield and other tolerance/resistance indicator traits under *Striga* infestation, low N, and optimal conditions

The estimates of the GCA effects of the 47 inbred lines evaluated under Striga-infested conditions are presented in Table 7. The GCA effects for grain yield ranged from-592.73 for TZEEQI 498 to 744.26 for TZEEQI 468. Significant and positive GCA effects were observed for inbreds TZEEQI 428, TZEEQI 468, TZEEQI 490, and TZEEQI 506, while TZEEQI 425, TZEEQI 451, and TZEEQI 498 had significant and negative GCA effects for grain yield. The GCA effects of TZEEQI 436 and TZEEQI 451 were significant and positive for Striga damage ratings at 8 WAP, while TZEEQI 490 had significant and negative GCA effects. Inbreds TZEEQI 436, TZEEQI 451, and TZEEQI 470 had significant and positive GCA effects for Striga damage ratings at 10 WAP, while TZEEQI 489 had significant and negative GCA effects. Also, significant, and positive GCA effects were observed for TZEEQI 425 and TZEEQI 481 for the number of emerged Striga plants at 8 and 10 WAP. However, a significant and negative GCA effect was observed for TZEEQI 456 for emerged Striga plants at 8 WAP, and for TZEEQI 468, TZEEQI 475, and TZEEQI 498 for Striga plants at 10 WAP.

The GCA effects of grain yield of the 47 extra-early maturing QPM inbreds under low soil N ranged from-740.16 for TZEEQI 428 to 772.92 for TZEEQI 480. Inbreds TZEEQI 490, TZEEQI 480 and TZEEQI 464 had significant and positive GCA effects, while TZEEQI 428 had significant and negative GCA effects for grain yield.

TABLE 7 GCA effects of the 47 extra-early QPM inbred lines for grain yield and other agronomic traits evaluated under *Striga*-infested, low-N, optimal, and across research environments.

Inbreds		Striga	-infested env	vironment		Low S	Soil Nitrogen	Optimal conditions	Across research conditions
	Grain yield	Striga damage rating at 8 WAP	Striga damage rating at 10 WAP	Emerged Striga plants at 8 WAP	Emerged Striga plants at 10 WAP	Grain yield	Stay-green characteristic	Grain yield	Grain yield
TZEEQI 418	-368.47	-0.03	0.10	-0.64	-0.47	21.37	-0.38	-695.29*	-402.14*
TZEEQI 419	-143.70	-0.15	0.10	-0.89	-2.53	-358.30	0.49	-453.07	-345.60
TZEEQI 420	-18.23	0.10	-0.02	-1.77	-1.22	-363.67	0.05	-1124.38**	-687.23**
TZEEQI 422	-455.48	0.35	0.48	-0.83	0.78	-57.24	-0.20	-465.28	-388.78*
TZEEQI 424	-442.11	0.35	0.23	1.61	2.53	85.65	-0.07	496.61	46.66
TZEEQI 425	-506.89*	0.16	0.17	8.80**	8.01**	73.33	0.67**	803.95**	183.44
TZEEQI 428	510.23*	-0.21	0.04	-0.33	3.10	-740.16*	0.18	251.80	22.39
TZEEQI 430	-216.71	0.29	0.35	1.05	2.28	406.27	-0.82*	-447.02	-172.01
TZEEQI 431	-102.73	-0.21	0.23	-0.95	-1.47	-141.96	-0.01	-371.78	-205.05
TZEEQI 434	25.59	-0.28	-0.33	-0.77	1.41	-282.73	0.18	659.28*	220.34
TZEEQI 436	250.46	0.48*	0.54*	-0.70	0.78	-347.07	0.30	-3.74	2.29
TZEEQI 437	-215.24	0.29	0.23	-2.08	-0.28	302.12	-0.13	791.92**	410.74*
TZEEQI 442	-118.65	0.16	0.23	-0.14	-0.09	-500.52	0.61*	-277.64	-291.83
TZEEQI 446	-426.96	0.35	0.35	0.42	1.53	-0.72	0.12	299.35	55.22
TZEEQI 451	-512.03*	0.66*	0.60*	3.17	1.22	60.32	-0.01	-76.04	-179.79
TZEEQI 453	212.45	-0.15	-0.40	-0.08	0.85	64.22	0.18	-370.44	-100.27
TZEEQI 456	-43.74	-0.21	-0.08	-3.89*	-0.28	122.86	-0.32	-281.26	-217.02
TZEEQI 457	111.25	0.04	-0.27	-1.39	-3.34	-412.57	0.61*	256.88	63.30
TZEEQI 458	-136.32	-0.15	-0.21	0.30	3.03	118.63	-0.26	-743.89**	-280.18
TZEEQI 459	-197.22	-0.03	-0.21	1.61	4.16	112.74	-0.01	514.12	163.39
TZEEQI 464	-29.94	0.29	0.29	1.17	-1.90	609.96*	-0.07	830.74**	517.82**
TZEEQI 465	-97.28	0.04	0.10	1.61	-0.53	-53.81	-0.38	246.58	-32.93
TZEEQI 467	133.66	-0.15	-0.40	-0.2	-1.72	515.21	-0.63*	-65.48	141.88
TZEEQI 468	744.26*	-0.34	-0.46	-3.33	-5.59*	69.94	-0.20	426.23	411.81*
TZEEQI 470	-283.61	0.29	0.54*	1.86	-0.15	-291.27	0.24	-627.08*	-394.84*
TZEEQI 473	38.70	0.04	0.10	0.48	0.78	-110.88	-0.38	-72.62	-20.86
TZEEQI 474	135.63	-0.03	0.10	0.42	-0.97	67.92	-0.20	222.45	272.62
TZEEQI 475	19.72	0.35	-0.27	-2.64	-5.09*	-197.89	0.24	-208.77	-155.09
TZEEQI 479	-2.82	-0.28	-0.21	-3.02	-0.22	-100.89	-0.07	-232.09	-163.43
TZEEQI 480	125.87	0.16	0.10	0.55	-1.03	772.92*	-0.57*	41.72	283.81
TZEEQI 481	-1.85	-0.09	-0.27	5.36**	5.78*	222.54	0.05	399.66	164.44
TZEEQI 482	-108.83	-0.09	-0.33	1.92	4.41	-100.60	-0.13	216.82	55.68
TZEEQI 483	-239.59	-0.15	0.04	-1.39	-0.59	76.85	0.43	-431.30	-197.86
TZEEQI 485	92.28	-0.15	-0.33	0.05	0.03	148.49	0.30	-140.31	58.89
TZEEQI 489	241.42	-0.40	-0.58*	-1.89	-2.97	-469.13	0.24	-381.47	-218.45
TZEEQI 490	706.29*	-0.65*	-0.33	-0.77	-1.53	728.05*	-0.50*	209.11	458.62*
TZEEQI 496	67.36	0.16	0.35	-0.45	-3.59	-71.19	0.05	-445.75	-202.09
TZEEQI 498	-592.73*	0.04	0.35	-1.95	-5.00*	-424.57	0.12	-784.57**	-571.75**
TZEEQI 500	393.81	-0.03	0.04	0.86	-0.28	118.17	0.30	139.73	223.99

(Continued)

Inbreds		Striga	-infested env	vironment		Low S	Soil Nitrogen	Optimal conditions	Across research conditions
	Grain yield	Striga damage rating at 8 WAP	Striga damage rating at 10 WAP	Emerged Striga plants at 8 WAP	Emerged Striga plants at 10 WAP	Grain yield	Stay-green characteristic	Grain yield	Grain yield
TZEEQI 502	-232.29	0.10	0.48	0.73	0.10	291.30	-0.20	125.45	133.77
TZEEQI 506	543.44*	-0.40	-0.40	-1.02	-3.28	192.99	-0.20	726.80*	551.00**
TZEEQI 507	163.52	-0.09	-0.33	1.80	2.47	318.85	-0.32	-476.57	-146.06
TZEEQI 515	342.22	-0.09	-0.15	-0.14	-0.84	44.72	0.30	1052.90**	657.82**
TZEEQI 516	202.60	-0.09	-0.02	-0.52	2.78	-313.03	0.18	845.27**	321.91
TZEEQI 519	-86.62	0.04	0.17	1.61	1.28	119.12	-0.26	-1066.77**	-439.03*
TZEEQI 521	343.25	-0.21	-0.40	-1.45	-1.15	-220.28	0.18	206.19	120.03
TZEEQI 533	176.03	-0.09	-0.33	-2.14	-1.34	-106.07	0.24	479.08	270.42
S.E	244.16	0.22	0.27	1.93	2.58	299.27	0.26	296.00	182.02

TABLE 7 (Continued)

Significant and positive GCA effects for the stay-green characteristic were observed for inbreds TZEEQI 425, TZEEQI 442, and TZEEQI 457, while inbreds TZEEQI 430, TZEEQI 467, TZEEQI 490, and TZEEQI 480 had significant and negative GCA effects for the stay green characteristic.

The GCA effects for grain yield for optimum conditions ranged from –1124.38 for TZEEQI 420 to 1054.9 for TZEEQI 515. Significant and positive GCA effects were recorded for TZEEQI 425, TZEEQI 434, TZEEQI 437, TZEEQI 464, TZEEQI 506, TZEEQI 515 and TZEEQI 516, while inbreds TZEEQI 418, TZEEQI 420, TZEEQI 458, TZEEQI 470, TZEEQI 498 and TZEEQI 519 had significant and negative GCA effects.

Across the research conditions, GCA effects for grain yield ranged from -687.23 for TZEEQI 420 to 657.82 for TZEEQI 515. Inbreds TZEEQI 437, TZEEQI 464, TZEEQI 490, and TZEEQI 515 had significant and positive GCA effects for grain yield, while TZEEQI 418, TZEEQI 420, TZEEQI 470, TZEEQI 498, and TZEEQI 519 had significant and negative GCA effects.

3.4. Heterotic grouping of inbred lines across research conditions

A dendrogram constructed based on HGCAMT grouping across research conditions is presented in Figure 1. The 47 inbreds and four testers fell into four heterotic groups at a 40% level of similarity. In total, 22 inbred lines (TZEEQI 418, TZEEQI 470, TZEEQI 422, TZEEQI 442, TZEEQI 436, TZEEQI 496, TZEEQI 451, TZEEQI 483, TZEEQI 448, TZEEQI 419, TZEEQI 453, TZEEQI 479, TZEEQI 423, TZEEQI 446, TZEEQI 473, TZEEQI 507, TZEEQI 430, TZEEQI 431, TZEEQI 519, TZEEQI 456, TZEEQI 489, TZEEQI 458, TZEEQI 475, TZEEQI 456), including tester TZEEQI 134, made up the first group. The second group consisted of two lines TZEEQI 420, including tester TZEEQI 7, and the third group comprised 14 inbred lines (TZEEQI 425, TZEEQI 458, TZEEQI 516, TZEEQI 468, TZEEQI 533, TZEEQI 500, TZEEQI 480, TZEEQI 515, TZEEQI 434, TZEEQI 482, TZEEQI 521, TZEEQI 428, TZEEQI 474), including tester TZEEQI 321, while 10 inbred lines (TZEEQI 437, TZEEQI 502, TZEEQI 457, TZEEQI 467, TZEEQI 485, TZEEQI 464, TZEEQI 481, TZEEQI 490, TZEEQI 294 and TZEEQI 506), including tester TZEEQI 294 made up the fourth group (Table 8).

3.5. Identification of inbred testers under *Striga* infestation

Inbred and single cross testers were identified using the criteria established by Pswarayi and Vivek (2008). TZEEQI 490 and TZEEQI 460 had positive and significant GCA effects for grain yield as well as for *per se* high grain yield under *Striga*-infested conditions and were classified into heterotic groups. Inbred lines TZEEQI 490 and TZEEQI 460 with these characteristics were identified as suitable testers under *Striga*-infested conditions.

3.6. Grain yield of 47 extra-early quality protein maize hybrids across *Striga*-infested, low N, and optimal conditions

Under *Striga*-infested conditions, grain yield ranged from 1796 kg ha⁻¹ for the check TZEEQI 342 × TZEEQI 7 to 5,383 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321 with a mean yield of 4,764 kg ha⁻¹. The best hybrid TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321 outyielded the best check by 24% (Table 9). The grain yield of the QPM hybrids under low-nitrogen conditions ranged from 1,640 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEQI 507 × TZEEQI 7 to 4,788 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEQI 515 × TZEEQI 321 with a mean of 2,765 kg ha⁻¹. The best hybrid outyielded the highest-yielding check by 11%. Under optimal conditions, the grain yield ranged from 2,805 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEQI 507 × TZEEQI 7 to 7,923 kg ha⁻¹ for TZEEQI 506 × TZEEQI 321 with a mean of 5,454 kg ha⁻¹.



3.7. Grain yield stability of hybrids across research environments in Mokwa in 2019 and 2020 and Abuja in 2020

The 20 best hybrids and 10 worst hybrids plus two checks were subjected to GGE biplot analysis. In the polygon view, the vertex entries represented the highest-yielding hybrids in the sector (Figure 2). The hybrids' distance from the biplot origin served as a measure of their performance differences and how they deviated from the average yields of other hybrids. Therefore, the vertex hybrids within each sector were more responsive to the conditions compared to those located within the polygon or near the biplot origin. The polygon view revealed that the research conditions fell into 2 of 8 sectors, resulting in the identification of 2 mega-environments. The first mega-environment comprised Mokwa infested 2020 (MKWSTR20), Abuja infested 2020 (ABSTR20), Mokwa Low N 2019 (MKLN19), and Mokwa Low N 2020 (MKLN20) while Abuja optimal 2020 (ABOPT20), Mokwa optimal 2020 (MKOPT20), and Mokwa optimal 2019 (MKOPT19) constituted the second mega-environment. Hybrids 1(TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321) and 8 (TZEEQI $506 \times TZEEQI 321$) were vertex hybrids in this sector which consisted of MKLN19, MKLN20, MKSTR20, and ABSTR20 in Striga-infested and low soil N environments. Mega-environment 2 had no vertex hybrid, therefore no outstanding hybrids were identified in these mega-environments. Hybrids 22, 29, and 6 were also vertex hybrids but did not fall under any environment.

The "mean versus stability" (Figure 3) of the GGE biplot view showed the mean performance and stability of 32 extra-early white QPM hybrids. The average coordinates of the test environments are represented by a small circle in the biplot. The average environment axis (AEA), depicted as a single-arrowed line passing through the origin of the biplot and the average environment, indicates the direction of increasing performance of the genotypes. The AEA points towards the direction of increasing performance of the genotypes.

Hybrid TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321 (1) was the highest-yielding hybrid in all environments. TZEEQI 515 × TZEEQI 321 (2), TZEEQI 506 × TZEEQI 321 (8), TZEEQI 474 × TZEEQI 321 (3), and TZEEQI $490 \times TZEEQI 321 (7)$ constituted the five best-performing hybrids. The worst-performing hybrids were TZEEQI 507 × TZEEQI 7 (29), TZEEQI 470×TZEEQI 294 (23), TZEEQI 442 × TZEEQI 7 (28), TZEEQI 446 \times TZEEQI 7 (27), and TZEEQI 456 \times TZEEQI 7 (26). The stability of the genotypes is assessed by their projection onto the AEC ordinate. The length of the genotype's projection on the AEA axis indicates its contribution to the genotype by environment interaction (GEI) and its level of stability across different test environments. A longer projection signifies a higher contribution to GEI and lower stability across test environments (Yan et al., 2007). Thus, the most stable hybrids across the test environments were TZEEQI 502 \times TZEEQI 321 (9) and TZEEQI 481 \times TZEEQI 321 (16), followed by TZEEQI 458×TZEEQI 294 (18) and TZEEQI $515 \times TZEEQI 321$ (2). Thus, TZEEQI $515 \times TZEEQI 321$ (2) is the

Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4
TZEEQI 418	TZEEQI 420	TZEEQI 425	TZEEQI 437
TZEEQI 470	TZEEQI 7	TZEEQI 459	TZEEQI 502
TZEEQI 422		TZEEQI 516	TZEEQI 457
TZEEQI 442		TZEEQI 468	TZEEQI 467
TZEEQI 436		TZEEQI 533	TZEEQI 485
TZEEQI 496		TZEEQI 500	TZEEQI 464
TZEEQI 451		TZEEQI 480	TZEEQI 481
TZEEQI 483		TZEEQI 515	TZEEQI 490
TZEEQI 498		TZEEQI 434	TZEEQI 506
TZEEQI 419		TZEEQI 482	TZEEQI 294
TZEEQI 453		TZEEQI 521	
TZEEQI 479		TZEEQI 428	
TZEEQI 424		TZEEQI 474	
TZEEQI 446		TZEEQI 428	
TZEEQI 473		TZEEQI 321	
TZEEQI 507			
TZEEQI 430			
TZEEQI 431			
TZEEQI 519			
TZEEQI 456			
TZEEQI 489			
TZEEQI 458			
TZEEQI 475			
TZEEQI 456			
TZEEQI 134			

TABLE 8 Heterotic grouping of the 47 inbred lines evaluated across stress and non-stress research environments at Mokwa and Abuja.

most desirable in terms of grain yield and stability across test environments.

4. Discussion

The presence of significant variation in grain yield and other traits under conditions of *Striga* infestation, low nitrogen (N), and optimal growth indicated the existence of substantial genetic diversity among the hybrids. This finding suggested that significant advancements could be achieved through the selection of important agronomic traits under both stress and non-stress conditions.

The non-significant $G \times E$ interaction for grain yield and other traits across *Striga*-infested conditions implied that the measured traits responded to the two stresses the same way. This corroborates the results reported by Akinwale et al. (2013) who found no $G \times E$ interaction for grain yield and most traits observed under *Striga* infestation. This disagrees with the findings of Menkir et al. (2010), Abu et al. (2021), and Makinde et al. (2023), who observed significant G x E mean square for grain yield and other *Striga* resistance indicator traits for late, early, and extra-early maturing maize hybrids, respectively. Oyekale et al. (2020) attributed the non-significant $G \times E$ interactions in extra-early PVA inbred lines to the existence of limited genetic variation among the hybrids in the test locations. The significant $G \times E$ interaction observed for grain yield, days to silking, and the number of ears per plant across low soil N conditions implied that the responses of the hybrids varied with the individual environments. Similar findings were reported by Makumbi et al. (2011), Mebratu et al. (2019), and Dosho et al. (2022) who found significant $G \times E$ for grain yield and few measured traits under low-N soil conditions.

The non-significant general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) mean square observed for grain yield across *Striga*-infested environments implied that there was no variation in the performance of the inbred parents when combined in hybrid combinations. A similar observation was made by Oyetunde et al. (2020) in their study on early maturing white maize, where non-significant GCA effects were found for both inbred lines and testers, but significant SCA effects were detected. However, significant GCA and SCA mean square was observed for the number of emerged *Striga* plants at 8 WAP and 10 WAP, indicating the involvement of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the expression of *Striga* infestation. This suggested that breeding strategies such as backcrossing, hybridization, and recurrent selection could be employed to enhance *Striga* tolerance/ resistance.

Significant GCA and SCA mean square was observed for grain yield and ears per plant under low soil N conditions, indicating the influence of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the inheritance of these traits. This suggested that recurrent selection and hybridization can be employed for the genetic improvement of these traits. Similar findings were reported by Wegary et al. (2013) and Owusu et al. (2023) using the diallel mating method, where both additive and non-additive gene actions were found to be important for the inheritance of grain yield among QPM inbreds under low-N conditions. Meseka et al. (2006), Afolabi et al. (2019), Abu et al. (2021), and Makinde et al. (2023) also observed the significance of both additive and non-additive gene actions in the expression of grain yield under low-N conditions. However, the non-significant SCA variances for the stay-green characteristic and other measured traits in this study suggested that the inheritance of these traits was not influenced by non-additive gene action. This finding aligns with the results reported by Ribeiro et al. (2020) in elite maize inbred lines, where non-significant SCA effects were observed for the stay-green characteristic and other traits, except for grain yield and ears per plant. Mafouasson et al. (2017), Ifie et al. (2015), and Badu-Apraku et al. (2013) similarly observed non-significant SCA effects for the stay-green characteristic.

Under optimal conditions, the significant GCA observed for grain yield and other traits except anthesis-silking interval and ears per plant, suggested that additive genetic variances dominated the expression of these traits. This is consistent with the observation of Abu et al. (2021) and Makinde et al. (2023) for grain yield. Thus, these traits could be improved through recurrent selection schemes during the selection stages of inbred lines (Ertiro et al., 2017).

Significant GCA_{Tester} × E and GCA_{Line} × E were observed for the number of emerged *Striga* plants at 8 WAP and 10 WAP, and anthesis-silking interval across *Striga*-infested environments, which indicated that the additive gene action was not consistently observed from

TABLE 9 Grain yield under Striga-infestation in Mokwa and Abuja 2020, low-N in Mokwa 2019 and 2020, and optimal conditions at Mokwa 2019, 2020 and Abuja 2020.

Hybrid		Grain Yiel	d	Yield Reductio	on under stress (%)	conditions
	<i>Striga-</i> infested	Low-N	Optimal environments	<i>Striga-</i> Infested	Low-N	MI*
TZEEQI 468×TZEEQI 321	5,383	3,455	7,923	32.0	56.3	15.41
TZEEQI 515×TZEEQI 321	5,273	4,788	7,192	26.7	33.4	14.16
TZEEQI 506×TZEEQI 321	5,157	3,686	7,913	34.9	53.5	10.67
TZEEQI 474×TZEEQI 321	5,371	4,360	6,969	22.9	37.4	11.21
TZEEQI 467×TZEEQI 321	4,535	4,448	7,184	36.9	38.1	13.34
TZEEQI 490×ZEEQI 321	5,213	4,087	6,647	21.6	38.5	8.68
TZEEQI 434×TZEEQI 321	4,500	3,470	7,421	39.4	53.2	10.82
TZEEQI 446×TZEEQI 321	3,065	3,901	7,622	59.8	48.8	8.66
TZEEQI 464×TZEEQI 321	3,448	4,529	7,121	51.6	36.4	7.99
TZEEQI 480×TZEEQI 321	4,119	4,502	6,685	38.4	32.6	8.62
TZEEQI 500×TZEEQI 321	4,728	3,173	7,130	33.7	55.5	9.12
TZEEQI 473×TZEEQI 321	4,669	4,605	6,183	24.5	25.5	10.81
TZEEQI 506×TZEEQI 294	3,289	3,412	7,588	56.7	55.0	1.99
TZEEQI 437 × TZEEQI 321	3,726	2,996	7,692	51.6	61.1	7.09
TZEEQI 479×TZEEQI 321	3,555	3,581	7,338	51.6	51.2	7.63
TZEEQI 425×TZEEQI 321	4,150	3,044	7,082	41.4	57.0	9.21
TZEEQI 481× TZEEQI 321	4,372	3,693	6,614	33.9	44.2	8.58
TZEEQI 442×TZEEQI 321	4,051	3,247	7,112	43.0	54.4	5.78
TZEEQI 516×TZEEQI 294	3,899	3,787	7,490	47.9	49.4	5.59
TZEEQI 428×TZEEQI 294	4,291	2,531	7,264	40.9	65.2	3.56
TZEEQI 458×TZEEQI 7	2,183	2,380	3,071	28.9	22.5	-5.58
TZEEQI 420×TZEEQI 7	2089	1,680	3,590	41.8	53.2	-9.61
TZEEQI 502×TZEEQI 7	1814	1979	3,513	48.3	43.7	-9.06
TZEEQI 470×TZEEQI 7	2038	2,413	3,003	32.1	19.7	-11.29
TZEEQI 507 × TZEEQI 7	2,103	1,640	2,805	25.0	41.5	-11.37
TZEEQI 342×TZEEQI						
7(Check)	1796	2,248	7,766	76.9	71.1	3.10
TZEEQI 315×TZEEQI						
134(Check)	4,064	4,257	6,715	39.5	36.6	3.83
MEAN	4,764	2,765	5,454	36.9	48.5	
LSD(5%)	1,013	1,474	1,427			

*MI, Multiple trait index.

environment to environment. The lack of significant GCA_{Line} × E and SCA × E for grain yield and most measured traits under *Striga*-infested and low-N conditions indicated that the GCA and SCA gene actions for the parental lines were consistent across these environments. The significant GCA_{Tester} × E, GCA_{Line} × E and SCA × E observed for ears per plant across low soil N environments indicated that the performance of parental lines and hybrid combinations varied from environment to environment.

A larger proportion of GCA relative to SCA was observed for grain yield, *Striga* damage ratings at 10 WAP, ear aspect, days to silking, days to anthesis, plant height, and ear height, which is an indication that the inheritance of these traits was governed by additive genetic variances and that the genetic variation observed among the 196 hybrids was more influenced by the GCA component. This indicated that selecting for *S. hermonthica* resistance solely based on GCA predictions would be highly effective, especially in the early generations of a breeding program. These findings are supported by Badu-Apraku et al. (2011a,b), Badu-Apraku et al. (2013), Ifie et al. (2015), Konaté et al. (2017), Adu et al. (2022), and Adewale et al. (2023) for grain yield under *Striga*-infestation. Contrary to these findings, Annor et al. (2019) found that non-additive gene action played a more significant role in the expression of *Striga* damage ratings at 8 WAP, number of emerged



Striga plants at 8 and 10 WAP, anthesis-silking interval, and ears per plant under *Striga* parasitism. Akanvou et al. (1997), Badu-Apraku et al. (2016a), and Annor et al. (2019) also found that non-additive gene action played a larger role in determining the inheritance of *Striga* damage syndrome rating and the number of emerged *Striga* plants. Contrarily, Yallou et al. (2009), Badu-Apraku and Oyekunle (2012), Badu-Apraku et al. (2021), and Adewale et al. (2023) found the inheritance of emerged *Striga* plants to be governed by additive gene action under *Striga* infestation.

The larger proportion of GCA to SCA observed for grain yield, stay-green characteristic, plant aspect, days to silking, and days to anthesis indicated that additive gene action was predominant in the expression of these traits and that GCA was the major component accounting for the genetic variations among the hybrids evaluated. These results are consistent with the observations of Owusu et al. (2023), Bhadmus et al. (2021), Ribeiro et al. (2020), Ertiro et al. (2017), Ifie et al. (2015), Badu-Apraku et al. (2013), and Tamilarasi et al. (2010), who also found additive genetic variance to be dominant for grain yield expression under low N conditions. In contrast, reports by Makinde et al. (2023), Mafouasson et al. (2017), Ndhlela (2012), Makumbi et al. (2011), Worku et al. (2008), Meseka et al. (2006), and Betran et al. (2003) affirmed the preponderance of non-additive gene action in the genetic control of grain yield under similar conditions. The differences in these findings and those of other authors could be attributed to the different genetic materials and environmental conditions used in the respective studies. However, non-additive gene action dominated the inheritance of ear aspect, anthesis-silking interval, ears per plant, plant, and ear heights. Similarly, the proportion of GCA was larger for grain yield and most measured traits across optimum conditions, an indication that additive gene action was more important in the inheritance of these traits. The studies conducted by Ifie et al. (2015) and Oyetunde et al. (2020) also supported these findings.

Heritability estimates play a crucial role in breeding programs as the effectiveness and success of such programs rely on the amount of genotypic variation that can be observed across different genetic populations, particularly when multi-environment trials (MET) are carried out. The moderate to high heritability estimates obtained for grain yield (68%), Striga damage syndrome rating at 10 WAP (56%), days to anthesis (79%), days to silking (75%), anthesis-silking interval (67%), and number of ears per plant (60%) indicated that selection for these traits will be stable and reliable across different stress environments (Menkir et al., 2012; Shayanowako et al., 2018; Badu-Apraku et al., 2020). However, the moderately low heritability estimates observed for Striga damage ratings at 8 WAP (37%), Striga emergence counts at 8WAP (24%) and 10 WAP (9%) suggested that the expression of the traits was influenced by the environment (Badu-Apraku, 2007). Moderate heritability estimates were recorded for grain yield (46%), plant aspect (43%), stay-green characteristic (47%), and ear aspect (55%) under low soil N. This suggested that the expression of these traits was influenced by research conditions.

The general combining ability effects were positive and significant for inbreds TZEEQI 428, TZEEQI 468, TZEEQI 490, and TZEEQI 506 for grain yield under *Striga* infestation indicating that these lines can function as good combiners and had favorable alleles for grain yield under *Striga*-infested environments. The inbreds



Code Hybrid TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321 1 TZEEQI 515 × TZEEQI 321 TZEEQI 474 × TZEEQI 321 3 TZEEQI 467 × TZEEQI 321 4 5 TZEEQI 473 × TZEEQI 321 6 TZEEQI 428 × TZEEQI 134 TZEEQI 490 × TZEEQI 321 8 TZEEOI 506 × TZEEOI 321 9 TZEEQI 502 × TZEEQI 321 10 TZEEOI 434 × TZEEOI 321 TZEEQI 489 × TZEEQI 321 11 12 TZEEQI 480 × TZEEQI 321 TZEEQI 464 × TZEEQI 321 13 14 TZEEQI 420 × TZEEQI 321 TZEEQI 294 × TZEEQI 134 15 16 TZEEQI 481 × TZEEQI 321 TZEEQI 458 × TZEEQI 294 18 19 TZEEQI 482 × TZEEQI 321 20 TZEEOI 425 × TZEEOI 321 TZEEOI 456 × TZEEOI 7 21 22 TZEEQI 446 × TZEEQI 7 TZEEQI 442 × TZEEQI 7 23 24 TZEEQI 507 × TZEEQI 7 24 TZEEQI 451 × TZEEQI 294 26 TZEEQI 342 × TZEEQI 7 27 TZEEQI 315 × TZEEQI 134

A "mean versus stability" view genotype plus genotype by environment interaction biplot of grain yield of selected 25 extra-early QPM hybrids plus two checks based on Striga infestation and the low-nitrogen base index evaluated across Striga-infested, low N and optimal environments in 2019 and 2021. MKLN2019, Mokwa under low-nitrogen environment, 2019; MKLN20, Mokwa under low-nitrogen environment, 2020; MKOPT19, Mokwa under optimal environment, 2019; MKOPT20, Mokwa optimal environment, 2020; MKSTR20, Mokwa Striga-infested environment, 2020; ABJSTR20, Abuja Striga-infested environment, 2020; ABJOPT20, Abuja optimal environment, 2020.

TZEEQI 456, TZEEQI 468, TZEEQI 475, and TZEEQI 498 had significant and negative GCA effects for emerged *Striga* plants, a *Striga* tolerance indicator trait. This suggested that the lines could be used as parents for *Striga* tolerance breeding. TZEEQI 489 and TZEEQI 490 had negative and significant GCA effects for *Striga* damage ratings, an indicator trait for *Striga* resistance. These lines could be used as parents for *Striga* resistance breeding.

Significant and positive GCA effects were observed for grain yield of the inbreds TZEEQI 464, TZEEQI 480, and TZEEQI 490 under low soil N, suggesting their potential for improvement of grain under this growing condition. The stay-green characteristic is associated with delayed leaf senescence, and it is described as a productivity enhancing character in plants (Thomas and Howarth, 2000). The negative and significant GCA effects displayed by TZEEQI 430, TZEEQI 467, TZEEQI 480, and TZEEQI 490 for the stay-green characteristic is an indication that these inbreds possessed genes for delayed leaf senescence which could be passed on to their progenies when used as parents for low-N tolerance improvement.

Under optimal conditions, TZEEQI 425, TZEEQI 437, TZEEQI 464, TZEEQI 506, TZEEQI 515, and TZEEQI 516 had positive and significant GCA effects for grain yield and would transfer favorable alleles for grain yield to their progenies under this growing condition.

TZEEQI 490 had favorable alleles for grain yield and *Striga* damage syndrome under *Striga* infestation, and favorable alleles for grain yield and stay-green characteristic under low N conditions. This suggested that the inbred could be used for recurrent selection

and generation of new QPM inbred lines with tolerance/resistance to both stresses.

The HGCAMT grouping method classified the 47 extra-early QPM inbred lines including the four testers into four heterotic groups. The identified heterotic groups would increase the prospect of developing QPM hybrids and synthetics that possess traits such as extra-early maturity, *Striga* resistance, and low-nitrogen tolerance. This will facilitate their commercialization in SSA.

The choice of potential lines as testers to classify and evaluate new inbred lines was based on the display of positive GCA effects, classification into heterotic groups, and high *per se* grain yield (Pswarayi and Vivek, 2008). The inbred lines TZEEQI 490 and TZEEQI 460 exhibited these desired attributes and were identified as suitable testers specifically for *Striga*-infested environments. This suggested that these inbred lines possessed good combining abilities, making them viable options either as parental lines for developing high-yielding hybrids or for grouping other inbred lines under *Striga*-infested conditions.

The most outstanding hybrids were revealed using the mean performances of the hybrids across individual research conditions and across research conditions. Hybrid TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321 was outstanding across *Striga*-infested conditions, optimum conditions, and across all research conditions. The QPM hybrid TZEEQI 515 × TZEEQI 321 was outstanding across low soil-N conditions. These hybrids showed great potential as extra-early, *Striga* resistant, and low-N tolerant QPM hybrids. They should therefore be tested extensively for commercialization in SSA.

The GGE biplot is a statistical tool that has been employed to identify exceptional genotypes by evaluating their performance in specific and diverse environments. It aids in detecting environments that are most suitable for the genotypes and serve as representative test environments (Badu-Apraku et al., 2020). In this study, the seven environments were categorized into two mega-environments. The first mega-environment encompassed stress environments, which are Mokwa infested 2020 (MKWSTR20), Abuja infested 2020 (ABSTR20), Mokwa Low N 2019 (MKLN19), and Mokwa Low N 2020 (MKLN20), where hybrids TZEEQI 468 × TZEEQI 321 and TZEEQI 502 × TZEEQI 321 displayed exceptional performance. Among the tested hybrids, TZEEQI 515 × TZEEQI 321 had the highest performance and stability across the various test environments, including the optimal, Striga-infested, and low-N environments. This hybrid demonstrated great potential for production and should undergo a thorough evaluation in extensive on-farm trials for possible commercialization in SSA.

5. Conclusion

Additive genetic variance was dominant in the inheritance of grain yield and key agronomic traits under both stress and optimal environments. The inbred lines TZEEQI 480, TZEEQI 490, and TZEEQI 464 showed positive and significant GCA effects for grain yield under low soil N. Also, the inbred lines, TZEEQI 428, TZEEQI 468, TZEEQI 490, and TZEEQI 506 showed positive and significant GCA effects for grain yield under *Striga* infestation. These inbred lines could be used for the development of hybrids and synthetic varieties with tolerance to *Striga* and low N. TZEEQI 490 and TZEEQI 460 were identified as inbred testers. These lines could be used as parents in the production of high-yielding hybrids in *Striga*-infested environments. The QPM hybrid TZEEQI 515 × TZEEQI 321 showed high yield and stability across research environments. This hybrid should be tested extensively in multilocation trials and promoted for commercialization in SSA.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: The datasets used in the present study have been deposited at the IITA CKAN repository http://data. iita.org.

References

Abdulmalik, R. O., Menkir, A., Meseka, S. K., Unachukwu, N., Ado, S. G., Olarewaju, J. D., et al. (2017). Genetic gains in grain yield of a maize population improved through marker assisted recurrent selection under stress and non-stress conditions in West Africa. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:841. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.00841

Abu, P., Badu-Apraku, B., Ifie, B. E., Tongoona, P., Ribeiro, P. F., Obeng-Bio, E., et al. (2021). Genetics of extra-early-maturing yellow and orange quality protein maize inbreds and derived hybrids under low soil nitrogen and Striga infestation. *Crop Sci.* 61, 1052–1072. doi: 10.1002/csc2.20384

Adewale, S. A., Badu-Apraku, B., and Akinwale, R. O. (2023). Assessing the suitability of stress tolerant early-maturing maize (Zea mays) inbred lines for hybrid development

Author contributions

BB-A and GO: conceptualization, methodology, and project administration. BB-A, GO, and OA: validation. GO: formal analysis and investigation, data curation, writing – original draft preparation, and visualization. BB-A: resources and funding acquisition. BB-A, OA, and MA-V: writing – review and editing and supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was conducted through funding support from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1134248) under the Stress Tolerant Maize for Africa (STMA) and the Accelerated Genetic Gain in Maize and Wheat (AGG) Projects. We are also grateful for the funding support from the World Bank Center of Excellence in Agricultural Development and Sustainable Environment, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. The funding body played no role in the design of this study, collection, analysis, and interpretation of data as well as the writing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors also acknowledge the IITA Maize Improvement Program (MIP) for providing technical support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

using combining ability effects and DArTseq markers. *Plant Breed.* 142, 223–237. doi: 10.1111/pbr.13077

Adu, G. B., Badu-Apraku, B., Akromah, R., and Awuku, F. J. (2022). Combining abilities and heterotic patterns among early maturing maize inbred lines under optimal and Striga-infested environments. *Genes* 13:2289. doi: 10.3390/genes13122289

Afolabi, M. S., Salami, A. E., and Agbowuro, G. O. (2019). Genetic studies of grain yield and other agronomic traits of low-n maize (*Zea mays* L.) using a diallel cross under nitrogen fertilizer levels. *IJPBCS* 6, 569–574.

African Development Bank (2006). Abuja declaration. Abuja declaration on fertilizer for the African green revolution. Available at: https://www.afdb.org/en/topics-and-

sectors/initiativespartnerships/african-fertilizer-financingmechanism/abujadeclaration/ (accessed April 5, 2018).

Akanvou, L., Doku, E. V., and Kling, J. (1997). Estimates of genetic variances and interrelationships of traits associated with Striga resistance in maize. *Afr. Crop. Sci. J.* 5, 1–8. doi: 10.4314/acsj.v5i1.27864

Akaogu, I. C., Badu-Apraku, B., Tongoona, P., Cellabos, H., Gracen, V., Offei, S. K., et al. (2019). Inheritance of *Striga hermonthica* adaptive traits in an early-maturing white maize inbred line containing resistance genes from *Zea diploperennis*. *Plant Breed*. 138, 546–552. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12707

Akinwale, R. O., Badu-Apraku, B., and Fakorede, M. A. B. (2013). Evaluation of Strigaresistant early maize hybrids and test locations under Striga-infested and Striga-free environments. *Afr. Crop. Sci. J.* 21, 1–19.

Alves, F. C., Galli, G., Matias, F. I., Vidotti, M. S., Morosini, J. S., and Fritsche-Neto, R. (2021). Impact of the complexity of genotype by environment and dominance modeling on the predictive accuracy of maize hybrids in multi-environment prediction models. *Euphytica* 217:37. doi: 10.1007/s10681-021-02779-y

Annor, B., Badu-Apraku, B., Nyadanu, D., Akromah, R., and Fakorede, M. A. (2019). Testcross performance and combining ability of early maturing maize inbreds under multiple-stress environments. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 13809–13811. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-019-50345-3

Atera, E. A., Itoh, K., Azuma, T., and Ishii, T. (2012). Farmers' perspectives on the biotic constraint of Striga hermonthica and its control in western Kenya. *Weed Biol. Manag.* 12, 53–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1445-6664.2012.00435.x

Badu-Apraku, B. (2007). Genetic variances and correlations in an early tropical white maize population after three cycles of recurrent selection for Striga resistance. *Maydica* 52:205.

Badu-Apraku, B., Annor, B., Oyekunle, M., Akinwale, R. O., Fakorede, M. A. B., Talabi, A. O., et al. (2015). Grouping of early maturing quality protein maize inbreds based on SNP markers and combining ability under multiple environments. *Field Crop Res.* 183, 169–183. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2015.07.015

Badu-Apraku, B., and Fakorede, M. A. B. (2017). Advances in genetic enhancement of early and extra-early maize for sub-Saharan Africa. Springer International Publishing AG New York

Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M. A. B., Oyekunle, M., and Akinwale, R. O. (2011b). Selection of extra-early maize inbreds under low N and drought at flowering and grain-filling for hybrid production. *Maydica* 56, 29–41.

Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M. A. B., Talabi, A. O., Oyekunle, M., Aderounmu, M., Lum, A. F., et al. (2020). Genetic studies of extra-early provitamin-a maize inbred lines and their hybrids in multiple environments. *Crop Sci.* 60, 1325–1345. doi: 10.1002/csc2.20071

Badu-Apraku, B., Fakorede, M. A. B., Talabi, A. O., Oyekunle, M., Akaogu, I. C., Akinwale, R. O., et al. (2016a). Gene action and heterotic groups of early white quality protein maize inbreds under multiple stress environments. *Crop Sci.* 56, 183–199. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2015.05.0276

Badu-Apraku, B., Obisesan, O., Olumide, O. B., and Toyinbo, J. (2021). Gene action, heterotic patterns, and inter-trait relationships of early maturing pro-vitamin a maize inbred lines and performance of testcrosses under contrasting environments. *Agronomy* 11:1371. doi: 10.3390/agronomy11071371

Badu-Apraku, B., and Oyekunle, M. (2012). Genetic analysis of grain yield and other traits of extra-early yellow maize inbreds and hybrid performance under contrasting environments. *Field Crop Res.* 129, 99–110. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2012.01.018

Badu-Apraku, B., Oyekunle, M., Akinwale, R. O., and Lum, A. F. (2011a). Combining ability of early-maturing white maize inbreds under stress and nonstress environments. *Agron. J.* 103, 544–557. doi: 10.2134/agronj2010.0345

Badu-Apraku, B., Oyekunle, M., Fakorede, M. A. B., Vroh, I., Akinwale, R. O., and Aderounmu, M. (2013). Combining ability, heterotic patterns, and genetic diversity of extra-early yellow inbreds under contrasting environments. *Euphytica* 192, 413–433. doi: 10.1007/s10681-013-0876-4

Badu-Apraku, B., Talabi, A. O., Ifie, B. E., Chabi, Y. C., Obeng-Antwi, K., Haruna, A., et al. (2018). Gains in grain yield of extra-early maize during three breeding periods under drought and Rainfed conditions. *Crop Sci.* 58, 2399–2412. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci2018.03.0168

Badu-Apraku, B., Yallou, C. G., Alidu, H., Talabi, A. O., Akaogu, I. C., Annor, B., et al. (2016b). Genetic improvement of extra-early maize cultivars for grain yield and Striga resistance during three breeding eras. *Crop Sci.* 56, 2564–2578. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2016.02.0089

Baker, R. J. (1978). Issues in diallel analysis. Crop Sci. 18, 533–536. doi: 10.2135/crop sci1978.0011183X001800040001x

Bebawi, F. F., Eplec, R. E., and Harris, C. E. and Norris, R. S. (1984). Longevity of witchweed (Striga 12 asiatica) seed. *Weed Science* 32, 494–497.

Below, F. E. (1997). Growth and productivity of maize under nitrogen stress. In Proceedings of a symposium, El Batan, Mex. (Mexico), 25–29 CIMMYT.

Betran, F. J., Beck, D., Bänziger, M., and Edmeades, G. O. (2003). Genetic analysis of inbred and hybrid grain yield under stress and non-stress environments in tropical maize. *Crop Sci.* 43, 807–817. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2003.8070

Bhadmus, O. A., Badu-Apraku, B., Adeyemo, O. A., and Ogunkanmi, A. L. (2021). Genetic analysis of early white quality protein maize Inbreds and derived hybrids under low-nitrogen and combined drought and heat stress environments. *Plan. Theory* 10:2596. doi: 10.3390/plants10122596

Bremner, J. M., and Mulvaney, C. S. (1982). Nitrogen-total. In: a.L. page, R.H. miller, and D.R. Keeney, editors, methods of soil analysis. Part 2. *Agronomy* 9, 595–624. doi: 10.2134/agronmonogr9.2.2ed.c31

Cassman, K. G., Dobermann, A., and Walters, D. T. (2002). Agroecosystems, nitrogenuse efficiency, and nitrogen management. *AMBIO J. Hum. Environ.* 31, 132–140. doi: 10.1579/0044-7447-31.2.132

CIMMYT and IITA (2010). Maize alliance for improving food security and the livelihoods of the resource poor in the developing world draft proposal submitted by CIMMYT and IITA to the CGIAR consortium board, El Batan, Mexico, 9. Available at: http://hdl.handle.net/10883/670

Dosho, B. M., Ifie, B. E., Asante, I. K., Danquah, E. Y., and Zeleke, H. (2022). Genotype-by-environment interaction and yield stability for grain yield of quality protein maize hybrids under low and optimum soil nitrogen environments. *J. Crop. Sci. Biotechnol.* 25, 437–450. doi: 10.1007/s12892-022-00143-7

Edmeades, G. O., Bänziger, M., Mickelson, H. R., and Peña-Valdivia, C. B. (1996). Drought-and low N-tolerant maize. In Proceedings of a symposium (25-29).

Ejeta, G. (2007). Breeding for Striga resistance in sorghum: exploitation of an intricate host–parasite biology. *Crop Sci.* 47:S-216. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0011IPBS

Ekpa, O., Palacios-Rojas, N., Kruseman, G., Fogliano, V., and Linnemann, A. R. (2018). Sub-Saharan African maize-based foods: technological perspectives to increase the food and nutrition security impacts of maize breeding programmes. *Glob. Food Sec.* 17, 48–56. doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2018.03.007

Ertiro, B. T., Beyene, Y., Das, B., Mugo, S., Olsen, M., Oikeh, S., et al. (2017). Combining ability and testcross performance of drought-tolerant maize inbred lines under stress and non-stress environments in Kenya. *Plant Breed.* 136, 197–205. doi: 10.1111/pbr.12464

FAO. Food and agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT database. Rome: FAO. (2021). Available at: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#home (accessed February 15, 2021).

FAOSTAT, (2017) Food and agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO), FAO statistical database, 2016. Available at: http://faostat3.fao.org

Fehr, W. (1991). *Principle of cultivar development*; McMillian Publishing Company: New York, NY.

Huang, S., Adams, W. R., Zhou, Q., Malloy, K. P., Voyles, D. A., Anthony, J., et al. (2004). Improving nutritional quality of maize proteins by expressing sense and antisense zein genes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 1958–64. doi: 10.1021/jf0342223

Ifie, B. E., Badu-Apraku, B., Gracen, V., and Danquah, E. Y. (2015). Genetic analysis of grain yield of IITA and CIMMYT early maturing maize inbreds under Striga-infested and low soil nitrogen environments. *Crop Sci.* 55, 610–623. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci2014.07.0470

Kamara, M. M., El-Degwy, I. S., and Koyama, H. (2014). Estimation combining ability of some maize inbred lines using line x tester mating design under two nitrogen levels. *Aust. J. Crop. Sci.* 8, 1336–1342.

Khan, Z. R., Midega, C. A., Pittchar, J. O., Murage, A. W., Birkett, M. A., Bruce, T. J., et al. (2014). Achieving food security for one million sub-Saharan African poor through push–pull innovation by 2020. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond., B, Biol. Sci.* 369:20120284. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2012.0284

Kim, S. K. (1991). Breeding maize for Striga tolerance and the development of a field infestation technique. Proceedings of the International Workshop. IITA, 22-24 August 1988. Ibadan, Nigeria: IITA

Kim, S. K., and Winslow, M. D. (1991). "Progress in breeding maize for Strigatolerance/resistance at IITA 1991" in *Proceeding of 5th international symposium. Parasitic* weeds. ed. J. K. Ransom (Nairobi: IITA), 494–499.

Konaté, L., Baffour, B. A., and Traoré, D. (2017). Combining ability and heterotic grouping of early maturing provitamin a maize inbreds across Striga-infested and optimal environments. *J. Agric. Environ. Int. Dev.* 111, 157–173. doi: 10.12895/jaeid.20171.572

Koné, A. W., Tondoh, J. E., Angui, P. K., Bernhard-Reversat, F., Loranger-Merciris, G., Brunet, D., et al. (2008). Is soil quality improvement by legume cover crops a function of the initial soil chemical characteristics? *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 82, 89–105. doi: 10.1007/s10705-008-9172-4

Kountche, B. A., Al-Babili, S., and Haussmann, B. I. (2016). "Striga: a persistent problem on millets" in *Biotic stress resistance in Millets*. eds. I. K. Das and P. G. Padmaja (Cambridge, MA: Academic Press), 173–203.

Krivanek, A. F., De Groote, H., Gunaratna, N. S., Diallo, A. O., and Friesen, D. K. (2007). *Breeding and disseminating quality protein maize (QPM) for Africa*. Academic Press. Cambridge, MA

Lagoke, S. T. O., Parkinson, V., and Agunbiade, R. M. (1991). "Parasitic weed control methods in Africa" in *Proceedings in international workshop (IITA, ICRISAT and IDRC): Combating Striga in Africa, Ibadan, Nigeria 22–24 august 1998.* ed. S. K. Kim (Ibadan: IITA), 3–14.

Mafouasson, A. H. N., Kenga, R., Gracen, V., Yeboah, A. M., Mahamane, L. N., Tandzi, N. L., et al. (2017). Combining ability and gene action of tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines under low and high nitrogen conditions. *J. Agric. Sci.* 9, 222–235. doi: 10.5539/jas.v9n4p222

Makinde, S. A., Badu-Apraku, B., Ariyo, O. J., and Porbeni, J. B. (2023). Combining ability of extra-early maturing pro-vitamin a maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines and performance of derived hybrids under *Striga hermonthica* infestation and low soil nitrogen. *PLoS One* 18:e0280814. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0280814

Makumbi, D., Betran, J. F., Banziger, M., and Ribaut, J. M. (2011). Combining ability, heterosis and genetic diversity in tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) under stress and non-stress conditions. *Euphytica* 180, 143–162. doi: 10.1007/s10681-010-0334-5

Mandumbu, R., Mutengwa, C., Mabasa, S., and Mwenje, E. (2019). Challenges to the exploitation of host plant resistance for Striga management in cereals and legumes by farmers in sub-Saharan Africa: a review. *Acta. Agric. Scand. B Soil Plant. Sci.* 69, 82–88. doi: 10.1080/09064710.2018.1494302

Mebratu, A., Wegary, D., Mohammed, W., Teklewold, A., and Tarekegne, A. (2019). Genotypex environment interaction of quality protein maize hybrids under contrasting management conditions in eastern and southern Africa. *Crop Sci.* 59, 1576–1589. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2018.12.0722

Menkir, A., Adetimirin, V. O., Yallou, C. G., and Gedil, M. (2010). Relationship of genetic diversity of inbred lines with different reactions to *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth and the performance of their crosses. *Crop Sci.* 50, 602–611. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci2009.05.0247

Menkir, A., Makumbi, D., and Franco, J. (2012). Assessment of reaction patterns of hybrids to *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. Under artificial infestation in Kenya and Nigeria. *Crop Sci.* 52, 2528–2537. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2012.05.0307

Meseka, S. K., Menkir, A., Ibrahim, A. E. S., and Ajala, S. O. (2006). Genetic analysis of performance of maize inbred lines selected for tolerance to drought under low nitrogen. *Maydica* 51:487. Available at: https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12478/3074

Miah, M., Ahmed, S., and Uddin, M. (2016). Assessment of yield stability of maize inbred lines in multi-environment trials. *Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind.* 51:61. doi: 10.3329/bjsir. v51i1.27064

Mosisa, W., Bänziger, M., Erley, G. S. A. M., Friesen, D., Diallo, A. O., and Horst, W. J. (2007). Nitrogen uptake and utilization in contrasting nitrogen efficient tropical maize hybrids. *Crop Sci.* 47, 519–528. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2005.05.0070

Mulungu, K., and Ng'ombe, J. N. (2019). "Climate change impacts on sustainable maize production in sub-Saharan Africa: a review" in *Maize - Production and Use.* ed. A. Hossain, vol. 47-58 (London: IntechOpen)

Ndhlela, T. (2012). Improvement strategies for yield potential, disease resistance and drought tolerance of Zimbabwean maize inbred lines (dissertation). University of the Free State, South Africa, 295.

Obeng-Bio, E., Badu-Apraku, B., Ifie, B. E., Danquah, A., Blay, E. T., and Annor, B. (2019). Genetic analysis of grain yield and agronomic traits of early provitamin a quality protein maize inbred lines in contrasting environments. *J. Agric. Sci.* 157, 413–433. doi: 10.1017/S0021859619000753

Odhiambo, G. D., and Ransom, J. K. (1994). "Long term strategies for Striga control," in *Maize research for stress environments. proc. 4th eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference*. ed. D. C. Jewell (CIMMYT, Mexico: Harare, Zimbabwe), 263–26.

Odhiambo, G., and Woomer, P. L. (2005). "Striga emergence and seed bank dynamics under different maize management practices in Western Kenya" in *Proceedings of the African crop science conference*. eds. J. S. Tenywa, E. Adipala, P. Nampala, G. Tusiime, P. Okori and W. Kyamuhangire, vol. 7 (Kampala: African Crop Science Society), 473–477.

Oswald, A., and Ransom, J. K. (2001). Striga control and improved farm productivity using crop rotation. *Crop Prot.* 20, 113–120. doi: 10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00063-6

Owusu, G. A., Abe, A., and Ribeiro, P. F. (2023). Genetic analysis and heterotic grouping of quality protein maize (*Zea mays L.*) inbred lines and derived hybrids under conditions of low soil nitrogen and drought stress. *Euphytica* 219:29. doi: 10.1007/s10681-023-03159-4

Oyekale, S. A., Badu-Apraku, B., and Adetimirin, V. O. (2020). Combining ability of extra-early biofortified maize inbreds under Striga infestation and low soil nitrogen. *Crop Sci.* 60, 1925–1945. doi: 10.1002/csc2.20195

Oyetunde, O. A., Badu-Apraku, B., Ariyo, O. J., and Alake, C. O. (2020). Efficiencies of heterotic grouping methods for classifying early maturing maize inbred lines. *Agronomy* 10:1198. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2013.10.015

Parker, C. (2012). Parasitic weeds: a world challenge. Weed Sci. 60, 269–276. doi: 10.1614/WS-D-11-00068.1

Pswarayi, A., and Vivek, B. S. (2008). Combining ability amongst CIMMYT's early maturing maize (*Zea mays* L.) germplasm under stress and non-stress conditions and identification of testers. *Euphytica* 162, 353–362. doi: 10.1007/s10681-007-9525-0

Ribeiro, P. F., Badu-Apraku, B., Gracen, V., Danquah, E. Y., Afriyie-Debrah, C., Obeng-Dankwa, K., et al. (2020). Combining ability and testcross performance of low N tolerant intermediate maize inbred lines under low soil nitrogen and optimal environments. *J. Agric. Sci.* 158, 351–370. doi: 10.1017/S0021859620000702

SAS Institute. SAS system for windows; Release 9.4; SAS Institute Inc.: Cary, NC (2012).

Shaxson, L., and Riches, C. (1998). Where once there was grain to burn: a farming system in crisis in eastern Malawi. *Outlook Agric.* 27, 101–105. doi: 10.1177/003072709802700

Shayanowako, A. I., Shimelis, H., Laing, M. D., and Mwadzingeni, L. (2018). Variance components and heritability of traits related to *Striga asiatica* resistance and compatibility to fusarium oxysporum F. Sp. Striga in maize. *Maydica* 63:8. Available at: https://journals-crea.4science.it/ind

Shiferaw, B., Prasanna, B. M., Hellin, J., and Bänziger, M. (2011). Crops that feed the world 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. *Food Security* 3, 307–327. doi: 10.1007/s12571-011-0140-5

Singh, R. K., and Chaudhary, B. D. (1977). Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers. Ernakulam

Sprague, G. F., and Tatum, L. A. (1942). General vs combining ability in single crosses of corn. Agronomy 34, 923–932. doi: 10.2134/agronj1942.00021962003400100008x

Tamilarasi, P. M., Arumugachamy, S., Anantha, M. S., and Utharasu, S. (2010). Identification of nitrogen (N) stress tolerant maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbred lines for low nitrogen input condition. Indian. *J. Agric. Res.* 44, 53–57.

Thomas, H., and Howarth, C. J. (2000). Five ways to stay green. J. Exp. Bot. 51, 329–337. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/51.suppl_1.329

Thorne, P. J. (2002). Maize as food, feed, and fertilizer in intensifying crop-livestock Systems in East and Southern Africa: An ex-ante impact assessment of technology interventions to improve 840 smallholder welfare. ILRI (aka ILCA and ILRAD). Nairobi, Kenya

Uribelarrea, M., Crafts-Brandner, S. J., and Below, F. E. (2009). Physiological N response of field-grown maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) with divergent yield potential and grain protein concentration. *Plant Soil* 316, 151–160. doi: 10.1007/s11104-008-9767-1

VIB. Maize in Africa. VIB international plant biotechnology outreach. Fact series. Ghent: VIB (2017). Available at: http://www.vib.be/en/aboutvib/Documents/VIB_ MaizeInAfrica_EN_2017.pdf (accessed September 17, 2020).

Wegary, D., Vivek, B., and Labuschagne, M. (2013). Association of parental genetic distance with heterosis and specific combining ability in quality protein maize. *Euphytica* 191, 205–216. doi: 10.1007/s10681-012-0757-2

Worku, M., Banziger, M., Friesen, D., Schulte, G., Horst, W. J., and Vivek, B. S. (2008). Relative importance of general combining ability and specific combining ability among tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) inbreds under contrasting nitrogen environments. *Maydica* 53, 279–288.

Wu, Y. R., Holding, D. R., and Messing, J. (2010). γ-Zein are essential for endosperm modification in quality protein maize. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 12810–12815. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1004721107

Yallou, C. G., Menkir, A., and Adetimirin, V. O. (2009). Combining ability of maize inbred lines containing genes from *Zea diploperennis* for resistance to *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. *Plant Breed*. 128, 143–148. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0523.2008.01583

Yan, W., Kang, M. S., Ma, B., Woods, S., and Cornelius, P. L. (2007). GGE biplot vs. AMMI analysis of genotype-by-environment data. *Crop Sci.* 47, 643–653. doi: 10.2135/ cropsci2006.06.0374

Zhang, X., Davidson, E. A., Mauzerall, D. L., Searchinger, T. D., Dumas, P., and Shen, Y. (2015). Managing nitrogen for sustainable development. *Nature* 528, 51–59. doi: 10.1038/nature15743