

Contrast-enhanced mammography in women recalled from breast cancer screening

Citation for published version (APA):

Neeter, L. M. F. H. (2023). *Contrast-enhanced mammography in women recalled from breast cancer screening*. [Doctoral Thesis, Maastricht University]. Maastricht University. <https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20230922ln>

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2023

DOI:

[10.26481/dis.20230922ln](https://doi.org/10.26481/dis.20230922ln)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.umlib.nl/taverne-license

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

repository@maastrichtuniversity.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Stellingen behorende bij het proefschrift
Contrast-enhanced mammography in women recalled from
breast cancer screening

1. De veel gemaakte reactie op een BI-RADS 0 verwijzing dat “de foto is mislukt”, is incorrect; de foto is technische gezien gelukt, alleen niet voldoende goed beoordeelbaar.
2. CEM is more efficient than conventional imaging as primary work-up tool in women recalled from breast cancer screening.
(This dissertation)
3. CEM as primary work-up tool can be considered a cost-effective approach compared to standard care with FFDM and/or DBT.
(This dissertation)
4. Een cost-utility analyse vergt toelichting in de discussie om de resultaten goed te interpreteren.
(Dit proefschrift)
5. In science, we should be interested in persons, not in things.
(Inspired by Marie Curie, 1867-1934)
6. Bij een systematische review en meta-analyse vergelijk je appels met peren om er vervolgens mandarijnen van te maken.
7. Boobies always come in pairs.
(Anonymous sailor)
8. Alle B0 verwijzingen zouden een CEM moeten ondergaan als eerste onderzoek in het ziekenhuis na een verwijzing.
(Impact paragraph of this dissertation)
9. Wat er ook gebeurt, altijd blijven lachen.
(Bassie & Adriaan)
10. The Times They Are a-Changin’.
(Bob Dylan)
11. Heldhaftig, Vastberaden, Barmhartig.
(Devies verleend aan de stad Amsterdam n.a.v. de Februaristaking in 1941)