

This is a repository copy of Education and the construction of hope.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/204935/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Book Section:

Webb, D. orcid.org/0000-0001-9435-8676 (2018) Education and the construction of hope. In: Green, R.M., (ed.) Theories of Hope: Exploring Alternative Affective Dimensions of Human Experience. Lexington Books , London , pp. 131-153. ISBN 9781498563628

Webb, D, Education and the construction of hope, in Theories of Hope: Exploring Alternative Affective Dimensions of Human Experience edited by Rochelle M. Green, 2018, reproduced by permission of Rowman & Littlefield https://rowman.com/ISBN/9781498563628/Theories-of-Hope-Exploring-Alternative-Affective-Dimensions-of-Human-Experience. All rights reserved. Please contact the publisher for permission to copy, distribute or reprint.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Education and the Construction of Hope

Darren Webb

In the field of education the significance of hope is widely recognised (e.g. Cammarota 2011; Carlson 2005; Duncan-Andrade 2009; Halpin 2001, 2003; Harden et al. 2012; Male 2011; Thrupp and Tomlinson 2005; Veck 2014). Academic studies suggest that educational experiences and outcomes are more likely to be positive if students, parents, teachers and policy-makers possess hope. An editorial in the *Cambridge Journal of Education* declared that hope is 'a core underpinning of education and all its processes' (Andrews 2010, 323). Hope has also become a key element within contemporary policy discourse. 'Giving hope' is the mission statement of numerous educational charities while successive Education Ministers in the UK have stressed the need to 'give children hope'. Countless 'hope resources' exist for parents and teachers—with titles such as *The Great Big Book of Hope* (McDermott and Snyder 2000) and *Making Hope Happen* (Lopez 2013a). Jaklin Eliot is quite right to refer to the emergence of a 'hope industry' (Eliot 2005, 27).

This chapter seeks to problemetise simplistic and self-evident notions of hope. A framework is outlined which sees hope not as a singular undifferentiated experience that is 'good', but rather as a socially mediated human capacity that can be experienced in different modes. Educational policy discourse in the UK is then used as a lens through which to examine hope as a construct with complex effects. Education is a key channel through which we are taught to orient ourselves toward an uncertain future; a key channel through which hope is constructed. The chapter explores the role of education in constructing shared objectives of hope—affectively aligning us with objectives that circulate as social goods—and in framing appropriate cognitive, affective and behavioural responses. Rather than

operating as an unmitigated good, the chapter points to the complex, tense and potentially unstable operation of hope.

Hope in Educational Policy Discourse

In her first speech to the Conservative Party Conference as the UK's Secretary of State for Education, Nicky Morgan declared:

Conference, if there's one thing I ask of you today it's that we show every school that we're on their side. That everything we've done has been driven by the desire to raise standards for all pupils in all schools. And that we care more about what a young person sees as they walk out of the gate than we do about the name they see on the way in. *We care that they see hope* (Morgan 2014)

This echoed Michael Gove's (Morgan's predecessor) speech to the Party Conference the year before, when he proclaimed that 'We're making sure that the best teachers and the best heads go to the toughest areas *to give those children hope'* (Gove 2013c). Gove spoke repeatedly of giving children 'new hope' and 'high hopes' through a series of reforms that challenged what he saw as 'the culture of low expectations'. (Gove 2013a; 2013b). Those who challenge the culture of low expectations were 'fighting with passion' for 'our children's future' (Gove 2013a). More evocatively still, Morgan declared it her mission to 'slay the soft bigotry of low expectations' in order to 'give new hope for the future' to children from poor and marginalised communities (Morgan 2015).

It seems to be a requirement almost that each new government minister declares their commitment to 'hope' upon taking office. In his first written piece as the current Secretary of State for Education (in post for a month at the time of writing), Damian Hinds emphasised the need for teachers to have 'high hopes' of their students and for schools and government to help create an environment in which children have 'high hopes' of themselves (Hinds 2018). The imperative to 'give hope' is not confined to politicians, however. One need only browse through the list of educational charities working with young people from disadvantaged backgrounds—organisations with names such as Giving Children Hope, Giving Hope, Giving Hope Inc., the Giving Hope Network, and Artists Giving Hope. The discourse of 'giving hope' has created a market in which organisations promote hope-giving resources or present themselves as fitting providers of England's Academies and free schools. The Oasis group, for example, one of the largest sponsors of Academy schools in England, presents its mission as one of 'raising aspirations' and 'bringing hope' (OasisUK 2007). Bringing hope—that phrase, that imperative—is everywhere, such that to be without hope is interpreted as moral failure (Morgan 2014) and giving it becomes a mission pursued, as Morgan tells us, with the passion of a zealot (Morgan 2015).

There is a considerable body of research exploring the relationship between hope and education. In his highly influential book on *Emotional Intelligence*, Daniel Goleman claimed that hope 'plays a surprisingly potent role in life' and is a significant factor in explaining differences in educational attainment (Goleman 1996, 87). Subsequent research, heavily influenced—as was Goleman—by positive psychology, has sought to support Goleman's claims. To give some specific examples of work in this area:

• it is claimed that children with high levels of hope have better school attendance, make greater use of student support resources, and achieve higher grades (Acosta 2017; Ciarrochi et al. 2007; Davidson, Feldman and Margalit 2012; Feldman and Kubota 2015; Levi et al. 2013; Lopez 2013b; Marques et al. 2015; Rand 2009; Rand, Martin and Shea 2011; Snyder et al. 2002). The link between hope and attainment is the source of considerable research, and some studies suggest that hope is a stronger predictor of academic achievement than measures of intelligence, personality, self-esteem, previous

academic achievement, or entrance exam results (Day et al. 2010; Gallagher, Marques and Lopez 2016; Lopez 2010).

• there are plenty of studies pointing to the importance of hope for teachers and schools (Bullough and Hall-Kenyon 2011; Collinson, Killeavy and Stephenson 2000; Edgoose 2010; Lopez 2013b; Shade 2006; Sezgin and Erdogan 2015; te Riele 2008, 2010). Teachers animated by hope are said to be able to create excitement about the future, teach children how to confront obstacles and overcome problems, model a hopeful lifestyle and promote strengths-based development (Lopez 2010; Snyder 2005). There are case-studies of inspirational hope-driven teachers (Freedom Writer Teachers 2009; Schmidt and Whitmore 2010) and headteachers (Halpin 2003) who are said to offer models of how hope can transform educational practice and engage students in the educational process. Hopeful teachers are driven by a greater sense of personal responsibility (Eren 2017) and, at their best, become 'hope generators' (Roebben 2016). • the importance of high-hope parents has also been emphasised, with research claiming, for example, to show a correlation between the hopefulness of parents and the life satisfaction and academic attainment of their children (Jiang, Huebner and Hills 2013). Resources of all kinds exist to bolster the hope of parents who want to help their children achieve their dreams. The bestselling Great Big Book of Hope, for example, offers detailed guidance on how parents can enhance their children's hopeful orientation toward the future (McDermott and Synder 2000).

the significance of hope is also stressed in relation to other constructs such as happiness, well-being and resilience—key motifs within educational policy discourse.
Thus, hope is presented as a key predictor of resilience and studies strive to show its importance as a protective factor in education (Davidson, Feldman and Margalit 2012).
There is a wealth of literature suggesting that hope is a significant contributor to

psychological and physical well-being (e.g. Alarcon, Bowling and Khazon 2013; Ciarrochi et al. 2015; Demirli, Türkmen and Serkan Arik 2015; Marques, Lopez and Pais-Ribeiro 2011; Yang, Zhang and Kou 2016). And meta-analyses of empirical studies identify hope as a strong predictor of happiness (Alarcon, Bowling and Khazon 2013).

All too often, however, hope is treated as an unproblematic concept. Sometimes it is simply assumed that we know what 'hope' is and that its importance for education is plain to see. Kraftl (2008) highlights the elision of childhood and hope—children as the future, childhood as a repository for hope, childhood as a period of natural future-oriented hopefulness—that is so easily and uncritically made by policymakers and childhood researchers. At other times hope is reduced to, or is treated as just another word for, aspiration or ambition, as if the hopes of young people consist of little more than future education or career aspirations (DfE 2014; HM Government 2011; Cummings et al. 2012; Gorard, Huat See and Davies 2012).

Equally narrow are the standard models of hope used within clinical and educational psychology. Rick Snyder's hope theory, in particular, has informed a range of educational interventions designed to 'give' hope to young people (Snyder 2002). There are scales developed to measure state and trait hope and a host of hope activities, resources and therapies available (Lopez, Snyder and Pedrotti 2003; Martin-Krumm et al. 2015; Snyder 2000). The field of 'hope studies'—studies *exploring* the experience of hope—is dominated by positive psychology. When Jaklin Eliot referred to the emergence of a hope industry, she also said that the baton of hope had been passed from theology to psychology (2005, 27). Once understood primarily as a theological virtue, hope now becomes an individual psychological state or trait, a dimension of human experience that can be quickly measured via a questionnaire and just as quickly treated through a hope intervention. A recent article in

the *Journal of Happiness Studies* had the title 'Can hope be changed in 90 minutes?' The answer was a resounding yes (Feldman and Dreher 2012).

Hope, however, is a complex category of human experience. Pinning down the characteristics of hope has been compared to catching the spring breeze (Li, Mitton-Kukner and Yeom 2009). It cannot simply be reduced to aspiration or self-efficacy, or, rather, the construction of hope as such is something that needs to be critically interrogated (e.g. Brown 2013). Nor is hope a singular experience that can be captured in a standardised hope scale (te Riele, 2010). As I shall argue in the following section, there are different *modes* of hoping. This means that different individuals and social classes, at different historical junctures, embedded in different social relations, enjoying different opportunities and facing different constraints, will experience hope in different ways. What I also argue—and this is important—is that the hope activities, interventions and curricula promoted by positive psychology need to be explored critically.

Modes of Hoping

An important distinction can be made between two sets of questions: those concerning the nature of hope (what hope *is*) and those concerning its characteristics (what it is *to* hope). Regarding the former, the most common response is to suggest that hope is an emotion of some kind. What kind of emotion, however, is open to dispute. Some are doubtful that hope operates as a 'basic' human emotion (Nesse 1999) while others regard it as the most 'human' emotion of all (Bloch 1995). Some see the emotion of hope as a biologically-based reaction shaped by natural selection (Maier and Watkins 2000; Tiger 1999) while others see it as a socially constructed pattern of behaviour which is learned (Averill, Catlin and Chon 1990). Some include hope—together with desire, confidence, anxiety and despair—among the list of

'prospective' emotions (Rycroft 1979) while others claim that hope is a unique emotion which straddles the border separating the passions from reason (Drahos 2004). Many dismiss the idea that hope is an emotion at all and characterise it instead as a cognitive phenomenon (Waterworth 2004), a cognitive process with emotional sequelae (Snyder 2002). Others suggest that hope should be considered an attitude, disposition or state of mind (Crapanzano 2003; Day 1969; Godfrey 1987; Pettit 2004). Or is it rather an emotion which *resembles* a state of mind (Bar-Tal 2001)? Or perhaps an instinct, impulse, intuition or subliminal 'sense' (Mandel 2002; Ricoeur 1970; Taussig 2002)? The intricacies of these debates need not overly concern us here. All we need take from them is the recognition that hope is a complex and multifaceted aspect of human experience with emotional, cognitive, and behavioural dimensions (Dufault and Martocchio 1985; Farran, Herth and Poppovich 1995; McGreer 2004; Ojala, 2017).

Regarding its characteristics, there is a tendency—within the fields of philosophy and psychology in particular—for researchers to insist that they, rather than others, have captured *the* experience of hope *in its singularity*. Acosta, for example, bemoans the fact that educators 'do not understand the true definition of hope' (which turns out to be Rick Snyder's, because no other definitions are considered) (Acosta 2017, 307). Hope is not, however, an undifferentiated experience. While in its broadest sense—in the sense that we need to distinguish hope from wish, desire, belief, expectation, optimism, faith, etc.—it can be understood as *a positive orientation toward an uncertain future good*, the objective of hope and the characteristics of its positive orientation can vary in significant ways. Hope can be experienced in different modes. Each mode of hoping is distinguished by its own particular orientation toward the objective of hope and by a particular matrix of cognitive, affective and behavioural dimensions. Each mode of hoping affords a different sense of human agency and a different orientation toward oneself, others and the world. And the *mode* in which hope is

experienced at any particular time, in any particular culture, within any particular group, is the result of a complex process of social mediation.

Hope, then, is not a singular undifferentiated experience but a socially mediated human capacity experienced in different modes with varying affective-cognitive-behavioural dimensions. In other writings (see Webb 2007, 2008a, 2008b, 2009a, 2013a) I have developed a taxonomy of modes of hoping. Drawing on, and bringing together, research from the fields of philosophy, psychology, the health sciences, theology, politics, and sociology, I identify five principal modes of hoping: patient, critical, sound, resolute and transformative. The characteristics of these are presented in summary form in Appendix A. The framework draws on literature referred to in other chapters of this book (e.g. Day, Snyder, Marcel, all discussed by Nancy Snow in Chapter 1, and Bloch, the focus of much of Akiba Lerner's chapter). Rather than presenting these varied readings as merely different perspectives on hope, I take the different perspectives as expressive of the complexity of hope as an experience. While recognising, of course, that the taxonomy is an artifice that cannot possibly capture hope in *all* its complexity and fluidity, I do consider it useful as an analytical frame. It suggests that the characteristics of hope as a positive orientation toward an uncertain future good can vary immensely depending on the mode in which it is experienced. Thus, for example: hope can be active or passive; secure and trusting or restless and agitated; careful and realistic or ambitious and risky; resigned and accepting or passionate and critical; directed towards individual privatised goals or towards expansive social goals; directed towards a future that defies representation or a future given clear shape and form; apolitical or politically charged; a conservative force or a subversive one.

Much of my research over recent years can be located within the field of critical pedagogy (e.g. Webb 2009b, 2010, 2012, 2013b). More specifically I have been concerned to tease out what we might understand by *utopian* pedagogy (Webb 2016, 2017, 2018). Here, of

course, one encounters hope all the time. Paulo Freire famously declared that 'without hope there is no way we can even start thinking about education' (Freire 2007, 87) and writers such as Henry Giroux have spent their careers developing radical pedagogies of hope (Giroux 2004, 2007, 2011). What I have argued time and again, however, is that there is nothing inherently radical or subversive about hope. Pedagogies of hope can serve to reproduce social relations as well as to transform them (Webb 2013a). In exploring the relationship between hope and education, we need to extend our gaze beyond the mainstream focus on its value for particular individuals or sets of individuals, be they students, teachers or parents. We need to look in particular at the construction of hope-the ways in which the experience of hope is shaped and organised in, by and through channels such as political discourse, the media, art, literature, and, of course, the education system. Education is clearly a key channel through which we are taught to orient ourselves toward an uncertain future; a key channel through which hope is constructed. It is important, therefore, to examine the role of education in constructing shared objectives of hope-affectively aligning us with objectives that circulate as social goods (Ahmed 2010)—and in framing appropriate cognitive, affective and behavioural responses. As I suggested at the outset, hope is not an unmitigated good. A key concern is how subjectivities are shaped through the construction of hope; how the construction of hope teaches us to feel, appraise, express, and behave in accordance with certain cognitive, affective and behavioural frames.

The Construction of Hope within Contemporary Policy

Appeals to 'hope' are sometimes very explicit (Obama's 2008 presidential campaign, for example). So too resources for 'giving' hope (the manuals produced by Snyder's hope theory team—e.g Lopez 2013a; Snyder et al. 1997—include chapters on 'teaching hope'). Any

rigorous study of hope cannot, however, confine itself to policies, practices and texts that explicitly evoke the word 'hope'. Indeed, it would be a mistake to regard hope as the focus of this or that particular policy. Rather, *the construction of hope is at work across a range of contemporary policies and practices*. One particular area of interest is the infrastructure of emotional management erected in the UK. When emotional literacy became a mainstream educational buzzword in the late 1990s, it was presented as the antidote to moral malaise, holding out the promise of a brighter future. As Goleman put it, 'while the everyday substance of emotional literacy classes may look mundane, the outcome—decent human beings—is more critical to our future than ever' (Goleman 1996, 263). Influenced heavily by Goleman and positive psychology, the first decade of the new century saw the rolling out of, *inter alia*, the National Healthy Schools Programme (NHSP); Personal, Social, Health and Economic education (PSHE); Citizenship Education; Every Child Matters; and the Social and Emotional Aspects of Learning (SEAL) programme.

At the heart of these pedagogies of emotional well-being is the construction of hope. What we have here is a policy ensemble framed explicitly in terms of instilling in children and young people a future-oriented understanding of themselves, others and the world, characterised by a range of specific cognitive, affective and behavioural attributes. The aim is to teach children and young people to think, feel and behave in a certain way as they orient themselves positively toward the future (e.g. DfES 2005). To give a specific example, the aim of Citizenship Education when it was introduced was to construct a shared objective of hope—an objective towards which we share an orientation as being good, an objective that provides a shared horizon with positive affective value. The objective of hope to be constructed through citizenship education was the democratic process; through citizenship education we were to be taught to value the democratic process as being good (QCA 1998). Not only was the objective of hope identified—the objective towards which we need to orient

ourselves if 'potentially explosive alienation' was to be overcome (QCA 1998, 16)—but we were also told how to align ourselves cognitively, affectively and behaviourly towards this objective (QCA 1998, 44). In developing the requisite values, dispositions, skills, aptitudes, concepts, knowledge and understanding (all laid out in dizzying detail), citizenship education was tasked with constructing a positive orientation towards an uncertain future—an orientation toward a future good, difficult but possible to obtain.

The need to 'bring' and 'give' hope to children and young people deemed to be without it is a much-repeated explicit rallying cry. Less explicitly, though perhaps more importantly, at work across a range of policy areas is the very construction of hope, training children and young people how to orient themselves positively towards an uncertain future. But, of course, hope is not a singular undifferentiated experience. Thus, we need to look at the *modes* of hoping constructed through education and explore the complex, tense and potentially unstable operation of hope within contemporary schooling. A crucial consideration here is the *privatisation* of hope. The private sphere has become 'the only space in which to imagine any sense of hope, pleasure, or possibility' (Giroux 2003, 144) such that models of 'the good life' have become increasingly cut off from models of 'the good society' (Bauman 2003). As Thompson puts it, 'hope generally resides now in individual liberation through money or fame or both. The dreams of a better world are dreams of a better world for oneself' (2013, 5). Objectives of hope have become increasingly individualised and privatised.

The discourse of hope within the school simultaneously (but not necessarily harmoniously) teaches children to think, feel and behave in accordance with patient, sound and resolute hope while hope in its critical and transformative—i.e. socialised—modes are more or less effectively (but not completely) negated (see Appendix A). Children are taught simultaneously to direct their hopes towards objectives that are realistic and achievable given

things as they are (sound hope) and yet to perceive themselves as capable of attaining any personal objective, even in spite of the evidence, if they engage in determined goal pursuit and effective agency thinking (resolute hope). In outlining *Positive for Youth*, the single plan for young people in England, the government urged young people to train their hopes on objectives that are both 'ambitious and pragmatic' (HM Government 2011, 34). To reach for the stars and keep one's feet on the ground. At the same time, a discourse of *patient hope* operates to encourage the domesticating of circumstances; making oneself feel at home amongst the trials and tribulations of life in the secure trust that such trials have meaning (a key aim of both Citizenship Education and Positive for Youth). Moral literacy goes hand in hand here with emotional literacy. A key aspect of contemporary emotional pedagogy is character formation, instilling in children the virtues associated with patient hope: trust, patience, and responsibility.

Critics have described the emotional curriculum as a powerful form of social engineering encouraging dependence on ritualised forms of emotional support (Ecclestone and Hayes 2009a); a moralising normative political project disguised as value-neutral applied science (Dixon 2012; Ecclestone 2012; Miller 2008; Rietti 2008); and a mode of social control heavily regulating appropriate forms of emotional expression, modelled on a deficit analysis of children and young people, and serving to individualise social problems (Burman 2009; Cigman 2008; Gillies 2011; McLaughlin 2008). Using the modes of hoping taxonomy as an analytical frame, we can add here that pedagogies of resolute hope encourage the privatisation and individualised means (by means of "willpower" and "waypower," to use the language of hope psychology). Pedagogies of resolute hope are harnessed in the service of neoliberal human capital formation, as the objectives of hope (the individual, private goods towards which hope is directed) are increasingly narrowed so that the hopes of

young people are understood almost solely in terms of educational "success" and employability skills. At the same time, pedagogies of patient hope operate as a form of moral instruction that guide and goad us to readjust our inner attitude towards others and the world so that we develop secure trust in the meaningfulness of our shared journey and learn to wait with patience amidst life's trials. Patient hope provides the backdrop (a *positive resignation* to the status quo; a *positive acceptance* that things make sense, *resilience* in the face of precarity) against which individuals pursue their privatised goals. A particular kind of fortitude and resilience is required in order to cope with the uncertainties of contemporary life. Thus, the role of education is to form subjectivities able to endure in patient hope as they struggle to sell their labour power and find a foothold amidst increasingly austere and precarious conditions.

Of key concern here is how emotional curricula and pedagogies serve to negate the expression of critical hope. Certain feelings are identified as 'good' and in need of enhancement and others as 'bad' and in need of inhibiting (Cigman 2008). Bad, or 'uncomfortable' feelings, include agitation, frustration, anxiety, and restlessness, and students who display the characteristics of critical hope—a yearning, a feeling of unfulfilment, a protest born of the sense that 'something's missing—are pathologised, marked out as personally lacking and in need of therapeutic intervention. As for transformative hope, characterised by a profound confidence in the collective powers of human agency, discursive work across the cultural field has ensured that utopian possibilities stretching beyond the narrow confines of the 'realistic' are derided as fanciful and have no place in the field of education (see Webb 2009b, 2016).¹ The work of Kathryn Ecclestone is important here, highlighting as she does how emotional pedagogies erode the idea of humans as conscious agents who realise their potential through projects to transform the world and replace it with a

narrow introspective view of humans as diminished, fragile and vulnerable (Ecclestone 2012; Ecclestone and Hayes 2009a, 2009b).

The Need for Ethnographic Research

In spite of its significance within policy discourse, few detailed empirical studies of 'hope' exist within the social sciences. A special issue of the journal *Children's Geographies*, devoted to the relationship between education and aspirations, concluded that further research was need on 'children's hopes for the future and the factors which influence the dispositions of individuals' (Pimlott-Wilson 2011, 112). This has yet to be forthcoming.² There is need for ethnographic research exploring the extent to which different modes of hoping are inscribed within, and constructed through, different emotional pedagogies and curricula; looking at how emotional pedagogies work to shape children's identities and subjectivities, their orientation towards themselves, others and the world; delving beneath the commonplace assertion that hope is significant for education (for students, teachers and policy-makers alike) and exploring in detail the characteristics and dynamics of hope as constructed and experienced within particular educational sites and settings. Key questions that require detailed exploration include:

The experience of hope

How is hope experienced and practiced by young people across different social sites and contexts?

How do young people make meaning of hope? Conflicting experiences of hope To what extent is there dissonance between, for example, hope as constructed and experienced within the family and hope as constructed and experienced within the school?

How do these different experiences of hope serve to shape young people's identities? *The experience of hopelessness*

How are low aspirations, low hopes and hopelessness identified and treated within social policy and practice?

What is it to live life as a young person deemed to be without hope?

'Giving' hope

What does 'giving hope' look like? How is hope *given* to young people through education and other institutions?

How does the operation of hope impact on young people's orientations toward themselves, others, the world and the future?

These questions connect with two other areas of research concerned with childhood and the future. The first concerns the future-orientedness of childhood itself. For a long time, childhood was interpreted predominantly as a process of becoming; an unfolding project in which "becoming' relates to the transformation as children move into adulthood' (Harden et al. 2012). From the late 1990s, however, the construction of childhood as *becoming* was challenged by the emergent paradigm of childhood as *being*, in which children are regarded as competent social actors actively constructing their own childhood (Christensen and James 2008; James, Jenks and Prout 1998; Mayall 2002). Childhood as becoming was placed on who the child will become rather than on who the child is, with the discourse of 'development' serving to structure, stratify and discipline children's experiences of time (Christensen, James and Jenks 2001).

Understanding future narratives is important because the way we orient ourselves to the future shapes our present actions and redefines our pasts (Harden et al. 2012). Further research is needed to develop our understanding of what has been termed a 'being and becomings' approach, which explores the ways in which children's orientations toward the future help shape the experiences of being a child and contribute to the formation of childhood in the here and now (Lee 2002; Qvortrup 2004; Uprichard 2008). We need not afford childhood any special relationship with hope or the future here; there is no suggestion that an abstract notion of the future is somehow inscribed within a romanticised construction of childhood (Kraftl 2008). Rather, exploring the role of education in constructing shared objectives of hope and framing appropriate cognitive, affective and behavioural responses is needed in order to examine how power operates in and through the construction of hope and the ways in which this impacts on children's agency.

The second area of research is concerned with the increasing uncertainty of the future to which we orient ourselves. It has been suggested that traditional narratives of the lifecourse (constructed around, for example, class, gender and age) have been eroded. The 'destandardisation' and 'individualisation' of the lifecourse has given rise to both increased uncertainty regarding the future and the growing significance of individual choices (Beck 1992). In late modernity we no longer follow a traditional lifecourse but live 'life as a planning project' (Beck-Gernsheim 1996). Nowotny (1994), however, talks of the acceleration of time, with more activities (work, consumption, play, care) having to be compressed into a shorter timespan, while technologies ensuring that we are always available ensure also that the possibilities far exceed what can be achieved within any timeframe. The future is taken into the here and now and loses its meaning. Rather than living life as a planning project, 'people are unable to think about the long term much less plan for it. Lived experience is imprisoned in an all pervasive extended present' (Nowotny 1994, 517).

Research with children and young people has offered some support for both positions. Brannen and Nilsen, for example, suggest that young people, time-pressured and futureanxious, find it difficult to imagine the future or engage in forms of 'life-planning' (Brannen 2005; Brannen and Nilsen 2002, 2007). Others, however, claim that young people do plan for the future and have a linear forward-looking concept of the life-course (Anderson et al. 2005). There are, however, only a limited number of studies that explicitly explore the future orientations of children. The studies that do exist tend to treat 'hope' as synonymous with 'ambition' and 'aspiration' (Devadason 2008), focusing narrowly on employment aspirations (Harden et al. 2012), or they conflate it with other constructs such as faith and optimism (Cook 2016). As Bryant and Ellard rightly note, what is lacking within childhood and youth studies is research exploring 'how hope is produced through social processes and spaces' (2015, 495). The limited research that exists describes the hopes of young people (or rather, narrows the scope of hope to descriptions of weak proxy constructs such as aspiration and ambition), but 'it does not speak to questions about what social processes produce or shut down hope' (Bryant and Ellard 2015, 495).

Concluding Remarks

Research on hope and education has been dominated by positive psychology. Hope is conceptualised as a singular state or trait which can be measured via eight questions on a questionnaire and transformed through short classroom interventions. It is assumed that hope is a 'good' and that what we all need is more of it. Studies within the field of 'hope theory' demonstrate the good things that come when students, parents and teachers possess high levels of hope. The imperative to *give children hope* is central to contemporary policy discourse. Untheorized, and generally conflated with other constructs such as ambition or

aspiration, 'hope' becomes a thing that some groups of young people lack (the poor and marginalised) and 'giving' these young people hope becomes a mission to be pursued with the passion of a zealot.

Hope is a more complex category of human experience than this. It is not an undifferentiated experience that is 'good', but rather a socially mediated human capacity that can be experienced in different modes and with complex effects. Hope is constructed across a range of social sites—family, community, education, media, art and literature, public political discourse—and the modes in which hope is constructed within and across these sites may be mutually reinforcing or may produce dissonance. Within education, for example, the twin operation of resolute hope (aim for the stars) and sound hope (keep your feet on the ground) points to an instability within the operation of hope, creating a dissonance and sense of unease that could (potentially at least) underpin a more restless, agitated, critical mode of hoping.

Further research is needed in order fully to understand the complexities of hope as a human experience. What is lacking in hope studies is any detailed ethnographic research exploring how the material, social, cultural and discursive construction of positive orientations toward an uncertain future help shape children and young people's identities and subjectivities in the present. Of particular interest is how subjectivities are shaped through the construction of hope within the school; how the material and discursive construction of hope teaches us to feel, appraise, express and behave in accordance with certain cognitive, emotional and behavioural frames. This chapter has offered some contextual and conceptual reflections. As Kraftl rightly suggests, however, 'the task is to understand how hope is figured through the matters, routines, and practices of everyday lives' (Kraftl 2008, 86).

Notes

- 1. It is interesting to note here how 'realism' has become inscribed within putatively 'radical' educational theory and research. Thus, the dominant conceptualisation of hope within the field of education studies is the 'sound hope' outlined in my taxonomy. In developing a philosophy of hope for working with marginalized youth, for example, Kitty te Riele calls for a hope that is 'attainable' and 'sound' (2010, 39). This resonates with the notion of 'complex' hope as developed by educationalists working at the Institute of Education in London (Grace 1994; Halpin 2003; Thrupp and Tomlinson 2005) and the 'robust hope' project involving educational researchers at the University of Western Sydney (Arthur and Sawyer 2009; Sawyer et al 2007; Singh 2007). For both complex and robust hope, the key characteristics are that the objective of hope is realistic and is grounded in a sound assessment of the evidence. While inspiring hope is presented as the educator's duty, it is also their responsibility to avoid the kind of hope derided as fanciful, naïve, unrealistic and 'hokey' (Duncan-Andrade, 2009). The kind of 'sound' hope that educators are called to embrace and nurture is one that offers no illusions and is grounded in a realistic grasp of structural constraints (Carlson, 2005). Even those such as Giroux, working in the radical tradition of Freirean critical pedagogy, insist on the need for a utopian realism. For a critique of 'sound hope' and 'utopian realism' as they operate within contemporary educational discourse, see Webb 2009b, 2013a, 2013b, 2016.
- 2. This is recognised by Thomas Grant, whose research with working-class young people in Leicester, England, responds to the call for further research on children's hopes for the future (Grant 2017). Grant applies the modes of hoping framework outlined in this chapter to the study of the role of habitus in helping shape and

organise young people's 'hope', understood as a complex multi-dimensional construct.

References

- Acosta, Alan. 2017. "We've Got High Hopes: Using Hope to Improve Higher Education." Journal of College and Character 18: 306-312.
- Ahmed, Sara. 2010. "Happy Objects." In *The Affect Theory Reader*, edited by Melissa Gregg and Gregory Seigworth, 29-51. London: Duke University Press.
- Alarcon, Gene M., Nathan A. Bowling and Steven Khazon. 2013. "Great Expectations: a Meta-Analytic Examination of Optimism and Hope." *Personality and Individual Differences* 54: 821-827.
- Anderson, Michael, Frank Bechhofer, David McCrone, Lynn Jamieson, Yaojun Li and Robert Stewart. 2005. "Timespans and Plans Among Young Adults." Sociology 39: 139-155.
- Andrews, Paul. 2010. "Hope and the Many Discourses of Education." *Cambridge Journal of Education* 40: 323-326.
- Arthur, Leonie and Wayne Sawyer. 2009. "Robust Hope, Democracy and Early Childhood Education." *Early Years* 29: 163-175.
- Averill, James R., George Catlin, and Kyum K. Chon. 1990. *Rules of Hope: Recent Research in Psychology*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Bar-Tal, Daniel. 2001. "Why Does Fear Override Hope in Societies Engulfed by Intractable Conflict, as it Does in the Israeili Society?" *Political Psychology* 22: 601-627.
- Bauman, Zygmunt. 2003. "Utopia With No Topos." *History of the Human Sciences* 16: 11-25.
- Beck, Ulrich. 1992. Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity. London: Sage.

Beck-Gernsheim, Elisabeth. 1996. "Life as a Planning Project". In *Risk, Environment and Modernity*, edited by Scott Lash, Bronislaw Szerszynski, and Brian Wynne, 139-153. London: Sage.

Bloch, Ernst. 1995. The Principle of Hope. Cambridge MA: The MIT Press.

- Bovens, Luc. 1999. "The Value of Hope." *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research* 59: 667-681.
- Brannen, Julia. 2005. "Time and the Negotiation of Work-Family Boundaries." *Time and Society* 14: 113-31.
- Brannen, Julia, and Ann Nilsen. 2007. "Young People, Time Horizons and Planning: a Response to Anderson et al." *Sociology* 41: 153-60.
- ---. 2002. "Young People's Time Perspectives: From Youth to Adulthood." *Sociology* 36: 513-537.
- Brown, Gavin. 2013. "The Revolt of Aspirations: Contesting Neo-Liberal Social Hope." ACME 12: 419-430.
- Bryant, Joanne, and Jeanne Ellard. 2015. "Hope as a Form of Agency in the Future Thinking of Disenfranchised Young People." *Journal of Youth Studies* 18: 485-499.
- Bullough, Robert V., and Kendra M. Hall-Kenyon. 2011. "The Call to Teach and Teacher Hopefulness." *Teacher Development* 15: 127-140.
- Burman, Erica. 2009. "Beyond 'Emotional Literacy' in Feminist and Educational Research." British Educational Research Journal 35: 137-155.
- Cammarota, Julio. 2011. "From Hopelessness to Hope: Social Justice Pedagogy in Urban Education and Youth Development." *Urban Education* 46: 828-844.
- Carlson, Dennis. 2005. "Hope Without Illusion: Telling the Story of Democratic Educational Renewal." *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education* 18: 21-45.

- Christensen, Pia, and Allison James. 2008. "Childhood Diversity and Commonality: Some Methodological Insights." In *Research with Children: Perspectives and Practices*, edited by Pia Christensen and Allison James, 160-178. London: Routledge.
- Christensen, Pia, Allison James and Chris Jenks. 2001. "'All we needed to do was blow the whistle': Children's Embodiment of Time." In *Exploring the Body*, edited by Sarah Cunningham-Burley and Kathryn Backett-Milburn, 201-222. Basingstoke: Palgrave.
- Ciarrochi, Joseph, Philip Parker, Todd B. Kashdan, Patrick C.L. Heaven, and Emma Barkus.
 2015. "Hope and Emotional Well-Being: a Six Year Study to Distinguish Antecedents, Correlates, and Consequences." *Journal of Positive Psychology* 10: 520-532.
- ---. 2007. "The Impact of Hope, Self-Esteem, and Attributional Style on Adolescents' School Grades and Emotional Well-Being." *Journal of Research in Personality* 41: 1161-1178.
- Cigman, Ruth. 2008. "Enhancing Children." Journal of Philosophy of Education 42: 539-557.
- Collinson, Vivienne, Maureen Killeavy, and Joan Stephenson. 2000. "Hope as a Factor in Teachers' Thinking and Classroom Practice." In *Educational Research in Europe Yearbook 2000*, edited by Christopher Day and Dolf van Veen. Leuven: Garant.
- Cook, Julia. 2016. "Young Adults' Hopes for the Long-Term Future: from Re-Enchantment with Technology to Faith in Humanity." *Journal of Youth Studies* 19: 517-532.
- Crapanzano, Vincent. 2003. "Reflections on Hope as a Category of Social and Psychological Analysis." *Cultural Anthropology* 18: 3-32.
- Cummings, Colleen, Karen Laing, James Law, Janice McLaughlin, Ivy Papps, Liz Todd, and Pam Woolner. 2012. *Can Changing Aspirations and Attitudes Impact on Educational Attainment? A Review of Interventions*. Joseph Rowntree Foundation.

Dauenhauer, Bernard. 1986. The Politics of Hope. London: Routledge.

- Davidson, Oranit B., David B. Feldman, and Malka Margalit. 2012. "A Focused Intervention for First Year College Students: Promoting Hope, Sense of Coherence and Self-Efficacy." *Journal of Psychology: Interdisciplinary and Applied* 146: 333-352.
- Day, J. P. 1991. *Hope: A Philosophical Analysis*. Helsinki: Philosophical Society of Finland. --- 1969. "Hope." *American Philosophical Quarterly* 6: 89-102.
- Day, Liz, Katie Hanson, John Maltby, Carmel Proctor, and Alex Wood. 2010. "Hope Uniquely Predicts Objective Academic Achievement Above Intelligence, Personality, and Previous Academic Achievement." *Journal of Research in Personality* 44: 550-553.
- Demirli, Aylin, Mustafa Türkmen and Recap Serkan Arik. 2015. "Investigation of
 Dispositional and State Hope Levels' Relations with Student Subjective Well-Being."
 Social Indicators Research 120: 601-613.
- Department for Education. 2014. *Careers Guidance and Inspiration in Schools*. DFE-0036-2013.
- Department for Education and Skills. 2005. *Excellence and Enjoyment: Social and Emotional Aspects of Learning*. Norwich: HMSO.
- Devadason, Ranji. 2008. "To Plan or Not to Plan? Young Adult Future Orientations in Two European Cities." *Sociology* 42: 1127-1145.
- Dixon, Thomas. 2012. "Educating the Emotions from Gradgrind to Goleman." *Research Papers in Education* 27: 481-495.
- Drahos, Peter. 2004. "Trading in Public Hope." *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 592: 18-38.
- Dufault, Karin, and Benita C. Martocchio. 1985. "Hope: its Spheres and Dimensions." *Nursing Clinics of North America* 20: 379-391.

- Duncan-Andrade, Jeff. 2009. "Note to Educators: Hope Required When Growing Roses in Concrete." *Harvard Educational Review* 79: 181-194.
- Ecclestone, Kathryn. 2012. "From Emotional and Psychological Well-Being to Character
 Education: Challenging Policy Discourses of Behavioural Science and 'Vulnerability'."
 Research Papers in Education 27: 463-480.
- Ecclestone, Kathryn, and Dennis Hayes. 2009a. *The Dangerous Rise of Therapeutic Education*. London: Routledge.
- ---. 2009b. "Changing the Subject: the Educational Implications of Developing Emotional Well-Being." *Oxford Review of Education* 35: 371-389.
- Edgoose, Julian. 2010. "Hope in the Unexpected: How Can Teachers Still Make a Difference in the World?" *Teachers College Record* 112: 386-406.
- Eliot, Jaklin. 2005. "What Have We Done With Hope? A Brief History." In *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Hope*, edited by Jaklin Eliot, 3-45. New York: Nova Science.
- Eren, Altay. 2017. "Investigating Prospective Teachers' Teaching-Specific Hopes as Predictors of Their Sense of Personal Responsibility." Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education 45: 267-284.
- Farran, Carol J., Kaye A. Herth, and Judith M. Poppovich. 1995. *Hope and Hopelessness: Critical Clinical Constructs*. London: Sage.
- Feldman, David B., and Diane E. Dreher. 2012. "Can Hope be Changed in 90 Minutes? Testing the Efficacy of a Single-Session Goal-Pursuit Intervention for College Students." *Journal of Happiness Studies* 13: 745-759.
- Feldman, David B., and Maxamilian Kubota. 2015. "Hope, Self-Efficacy, Optimism, and Academic Achievement: Distinguishing Constructs and Levels of Specificity in Predicting College Grade-Point Average." *Learning and Individual Differences* 37: 210-216.

Freedom Writer Teachers. 2009. Teaching Hope. New York: Broadway.

Freire, Paulo. 1997. Daring to Dream. Boulder CO: Paradigm.

---. 1970. Pedagogy of the Oppressed. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

- Gallagher, Matthew W., Susana C. Marques, and Shane J. Lopez. 2017. "Hope and the Academic Trajectory of College Students." *Journal of Happiness Studies* 18: 341-352.
- Gillies, Val. 2011. "Social and Emotional Pedagogies: Critiquing the New Orthodoxy of Emotion in Classroom Behaviour Management." *British Journal of Sociology of Education* 32: 185-202.

Giroux, Henry. 2011. On Critical Pedagogy. London: Continuum.

- ---. 2007. "Utopian Thinking in Dangerous Times: Critical Pedagogy and the Project of Educated Hope." In *Utopian Pedagogy*, edited by Mark Coté, Richard Day and Greig de Peuter, 25-42. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- ---. 2004. "When Hope is Subversive." Tikkun 19: 38-9.
- ---. 2003. "Youth, Higher Education, and the Crisis of Public Time: Educated Hope and the Possibility of a Democratic Future." *Social Identities* 9: 141-168.
- Godfrey, Joseph. 1987. A Philosophy of Human Hope. Dortrecht: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Goleman, Daniel. 1996. *Emotional Intelligence: Why it Can Matter More than IQ*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Gorard, Stephen, Beng Huat See and Peter Davies. 2012. *The Impact of Attitudes and Aspirations on Educational Attainment and Participation*. Joseph Rowntree Foundation.
- Gove, Michael. 2013a. *Michael Gove's 'anti-Mr Men' speech*. http://www.politics.co.uk/comment-analysis/2013/05/09/michael-gove-s-anti-mr-men-speech-in-full.

---. 2013b. The Civil Rights Struggle of Our Time.

https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/the-civil-rights-struggle-of-our-time.

---. 2013c. Speech to the Conservative Conference.

http://www.politicshome.com/uk/article/85798/michael_goves_speech_to_the_conserv ative_conference.html.

- Grace, Gerald. 1994. "Urban Education and the Culture of Contentment." In *Education in Urban Areas*, edited by N. Stromquist. Westport CT: Praeger.
- Grant, Thomas. 2017. "The Complexity of Aspiration: the Role of Hope and Habitus in Shaping Working-Class Young People's Aspirations to Higher Education." *Children's Geographies* 15: 289-303.

Gutiérrez, Gustavo. 2001. A Theology of Liberation. London: SCM Press.

- Halpin, David. 2003. *Hope and Education: The Role of the Utopian Imagination*. London:RoutledgeFalmer.
- ---. 2001. "The Nature of Hope and its Significance for Education." *British Journal of Educational Studies* 49: 392–410.
- Harden, Jeni, Kathryn Backett-Milburn, Alice MacLean and Lynn Jamieson. 2012. "Hopes for the Future: Parents' and Children's Narratives of Children's Future Employment Orientations." *Sociological Research Online* 17(2).
- Hinds, Damian. 2018. "Children are being Let Down by Depth and Breadth of Education." *The Times*, 19 January. https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/damian-hinds-children-arebeing-let-down-by-depth-and-breadth-of-education-jf9pzhc5m.

HM Government. 2011. Positive for Youth. DFE 00133-2011.

James, Allison, Chris Jenks and Alan Prout. 1998. *Theorising Childhood*. Oxford: Polity Press.

- Jiang, Xu, E. Scott Huebner, and Kimberly Hills. 2013. "Parent Attachment and Early Adolescents' Life Satisfaction: the Mediating Effect of Hope." *Psychology in the Schools* 50: 340-352.
- Kraftl, Peter. 2008. "Young People, Hope, and Childhood-Hope." *Space and Culture* 11: 81-92.
- Lazarus, Richard S. 1999. "Hope: an Emotion and a Vital Coping Resource Against Despair." *Social Research* 66: 653-678.
- Lee, Nick. 2002. *Childhood and Society: Growing Up in an Age of Uncertainty*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Levi, Uzi, Michal Einav, Orit Ziv, Ilana Raskind, and Malka Margalit. 2013. "Academic Expectations and Actual Achievements: the Roles of Hope and Effort." *European Journal of Psychology of Education* 29: 367-386.
- Li, Yi., Jennifer Mitton-Kukner and Ji-Sook Yeom. 2008. "Keeping Hope Alive: Reflecting upon Learning to Teach in Cross-cultural Contexts." *Reflective Practice* 9: 245-256.

Lopez, Shane J. 2013a. Making Hope Happen. New York: Simon and Schuster.

- ---. 2013b. "Making Hope Happen in the Classroom." Phi Delta Kappan 95: 19-22.
- ---. 2010. "How Principals and Teachers Can Spread Hope Throughout our Schools." *Phi Delta Kappan* 92: 40-44.
- Lopez, Shane J., C. R. Snyder, and Jennifer Pedrotti. 2003. "Hope: Many Definitions, Many Measures." In *Positive Psychological Assessment*, edited by Shane J. Lopez and C. R. Snyder, 91-106. Washington DC: American Psychological Association.
- Maier, Steven F., and Linda R. Watkins. 2000. "The Neurobiology of Stressor
 Controllability." In *The Science of Optimism and Hope*, edited by Jane E. Gillham, 4156. Philadelphia: Templeton Foundation Press.

- Male, Alan. 2011. "Structures, History and Hope: a Case Study of Mechanisms that Maintain Advantage in Education." *International Education Journal* 10: 113-126.
- Mandel, Ernest. 2002. "Anticipation and Hope as Categories of Historical Materialism." *Historical Materialism* 10: 245-259.
- Marcel, Gabriel. 1962. *Homo Viator: Introduction to a Metaphysic of Hope*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Marques, Susana C., Shane J. Lopez, Anne Marie Fontaine, Susana Coimbra, and Joanna
 Mitchell. 2015. "How Much Hope is Enough? Levels of Hope and Students'
 Psychological and School Functioning." *Psychology in the Schools* 52: 325-334.
- Marques, Susana, Shane J. Lopez, and J. L. Pais-Ribeiro. 2011 "Building Hope for the Future: a Program to Foster Strengths in Middle-School Children." *Journal of Happiness Studies* 12: 139-152.
- Martin-Krumm, Charles, Yann Delas, Marc-André Lafrenière, Fabien Fenouillet, and ShaneJ. Lopez. 2015. "The Structure of the State Hope Scale." *Journal of Positive Psychology* 10: 272-281.
- Mayall, Berry. 2002. *Towards a Sociology for Childhood*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- McDermott, Diane, and C. R. Snyder. 2000. *The Great Big Book of Hope*. Oakland CA: New Harbinger.
- McGreer, Victoria. 2004. "The Art of Good Hope." *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 592: 100-127.
- McLaughlin, Colleen. 2008. "Emotional Well-Being and its Relationship to Schools and Classrooms: a Critical Reflection." *British Journal of Guidance and Counselling* 36: 353-366.

Miller, Alistair. 2008. "A Critique of Positive Psychology – or 'The New Science of Happiness." *Journal of Philosophy of Education* 42: 591-608.

Moltmann, Jürgen. 1967. A Theology of Hope. London: SCM Press.

Morgan, Nicky. 2015. "One Nation Education."

https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/nicky-morgan-one-nation-education.

---. 2014. "Speech to Conservative Party Conference".

http://press.conservatives.com/post/98807929855/nicky-morgan-speech-toconservative-party.

- Nesse, Randolph M. 1999. "The Evolution of Hope and Despair." *Social Research* 66: 429-469.
- Nowotny, Helga. 1994. *Time: the Modern and Postmodern Experience*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- OasisUK. 2007. Oasis Academies are set to raise aspirations and bring hope to communities. http://www.oasisuk.org/article.aspx?menuId=7453.
- Ojala, Maria. 2017. "Hope and anticipation in education for a sustainable future." *Futures* 94: 76-84.
- Pettit, Philip. 2004. "Hope and its Place in Mind." *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* 592: 152-165.
- Pimlott-Wilson, Helena. 2011. "The Role of Familial Habitus in Shaping Young Children's Views of Their Future Employment." *Children's Geographies* 9: 111-118.
- Qualifications and Curriculum Authority. 1998. *Education for Citizenship and the Teaching* of Democracy in Schools. London: QCA.
- Qvortrup, Jens. 2004. "Editorial: the Waiting Child." Childhood 11: 267-273.
- Rand, Kevin L. 2009. "Hope and Optimism: Latent Structures and Influence on Grade Expectancy and Academic Performance." *Journal of Personality* 77: 231-260.

- Rand, Kevin L., Allison D. Martin, and Amanda M. Shea. 2011. "Hope, but Not Optimism,
 Predicts Academic Performance of Law Students Beyond Previous Academic
 Achievement." *Journal of Research in Personality* 45: 683-686.
- Ricoeur, Paul. 1970. "Hope and the Structure of Philosophical Systems." *Proceedings of the American Catholic Philosophical Association* 144: 55-69.
- Rietti, Sophie. 2008. "Emotional Intelligence as Educational Goal: a Case for Caution." Journal of Philosophy of Education 42: 631-643.

Roebben, Bert. 2016. "Teachers as Hope Generators." Religious Education 111: 234-238.

- Rycroft, Charles. 1979. "Steps to an Ecology of Hope." In *The Sources of Hope*, edited by Ross Fitzgerald. Rushcutters Bay: Pergamon Press.
- Sawyer, Wayne, Michael Singh, Christine Woodrow, Toni Downes, Christine Johnston and Diana Whitton. 2007. "Robust Hope and Teacher Education Policy." *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education* 35: 227-242.
- Schmidt, Renita, and Kathryn F. Whitmore. 2010. "Analyzing the Language of Struggle in Search of Hope for Teachers." *Journal of Literacy Research* 42: 385-417.
- Sezgin, Ferundun, and Onur Erdogan. 2015. "Academic Optimism, Hope and Zest for Work as predictors of Teacher Self-Efficacy and Perceived Success." *Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice* 15: 7-19.
- Shade, Patrick. 2006. "Educating Hopes." Studies in Philosophy and Education 25: 191-225.
- Singh, Michael. 2007. "A Sound Research Base for beginning Teacher Education: Robust Hope, Action Policy Analysis and *Top of the Class.*" *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education* 35: 333-349.
- Snyder, C. R. 2005. "Teaching: the Lessons of Hope." *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology* 24: 72-85.
- ---. 2002. "Hope Theory: Rainbows in the Mind." Psychological Inquiry 13: 249-275.

- ---. 2000. Handbook of Hope: Theory, Measures and Applications. San Diego: Academic Press.
- Snyder, C. R., Diane McDermott, William Cook, and Michael A. Rapoff. 1997. *Hope for the Journey: Helping Children Through Good Times and Bad*. Oxford: Westview Press.
- Snyder, C. R., Hal Shorey, Jennifer Cheavens, Kimberley Pulvers, Virgil Adams III, and Cynthia Wiklund. 2002. "Hope and Academic Success in College." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 94: 820-826.
- Taussig, Michael. 2002. "Carnival of the Senses: a Conversation with Michael Taussig." In Hope: New Philosophies for Change, Mary Zournazi, 42-63. London: Lawrence and Wishart.
- te Riele, Kitty. 2010. "Philosophy of Hope: Concepts and Applications for Working with Marginalized Youth." *Journal of Youth Studies* 13: 35-46.
- ---. 2008. Negotiating Risk and Hope: a Case Study of Alternative Education for Marginalised Youth. Syndey: UTS.

- Thompson, Peter. 2013. "The Privatization of Hope and the Crisis of Negation." In *The Privatization of Hope*, edited by Peter Thompson and Slavoj Zizek, 1-20. Durham NC: Duke University Press.
- Thrupp, Martin, and Sally Tomlinson. 2005. "Introduction: Education Policy, Social Justice and 'Complex Hope." *British Educational Research Journal* 31: 549-556.
- Uprichard, Emma. 2008. "Children as 'Being and Becomings': Children, Childhood and Temporality." *Children and Society* 22: 303-13.
- Veck, Wayne. 2014. "Hope, Disability and Inclusive Participation in Education." International Journal of Inclusive Education 18: 177-195.

Waterworth, Jayne. 2004. A Philosophical Analysis of Hope. Basingstoke: Palgrave.

Tiger, Lionel. 1999. "Hope Springs Internal." Social Research 66: 611-623.

- Webb, Darren. 2018. "Bolt-holes and Breathing Spaces in the System: On Forms of Academic Resistance (or, Can Universities be Sites of Utopian Possibility?)" Review of Education, Pedagogy and Cultural Studies 40.
- ---. 2017. "Educational Archaeology and the Practice of Utopian Pedagogy." *Pedagogy, Culture and Society* 25: 551-566.
- ---. 2016. "Educational Studies and the Domestication of Utopia." *British Journal of Educational Studies* 64: 431-448.
- ---. 2013a. "Pedagogies of Hope." Studies in Philosophy and Education 32: 397-414.
- ---. 2013b. "Critical Pedagogy, Utopia and Political (Dis)engagement." *Power and Education*5: 280-290.
- ---. 2012. "Process, Orientation, and System: The Pedagogical Operation of Utopia in the Work of Paulo Freire." *Educational Theory* 62: 593-608.
- ---. 2010. "Paulo Freire and 'the need for a kind of education in hope'." *Cambridge Journal of Education* 40: 327-339.
- ---. 2009a. "Modes of Hoping and the Utopian Impulse." In *Hope: Global Interdisciplinary Perspectives*, edited by Whitney Bauman. 25-38. Oxford: Interdisciplinary Press.
- ---. 2009b. "Where's the Vision? The Concept of Utopia in Contemporary Educational Theory." *Oxford Review of Education* 35: 743-760.
- ---. 2008a. "Exploring the Relationship between Hope and Utopia: Towards a Conceptual Framework." *Politics* 28: 205-14.
- ---. 2008b. "Christian Hope and the Politics of Utopia." Utopian Studies 19: 113-144.
- ---. 2007. "Modes of Hoping." History of the Human Sciences 20: 65-83.
- Yang, Ying, Mengyuan Zhang, and Yu Kou. 2016. "Self-Compassion and Life Satisfaction: the Mediating Role of Hope." *Personality and Individual Differences* 98: 91-95.

Appendix A: Modes of Hoping

	Objective of hope	Cognitive-affective dimension of hope	Behavioural dimension of hope
Patient hope	Unrepresentable enroutedness	Secure trust	Courageous patience
	hope underpinned by a sense that we	a basic trust in the underlying	to hope is to take one's time and await
See, for example,	as human beings remain as yet	goodness of the world; it affords a	an essentially unforeseen future. In
Marcel (1962)	incomplete and are travelling the path	feeling of safety and security; and it	hope one stands steadfast amidst life's
and Dauenhauer	to ourselves. Hope directed toward the	enables one to relax and to let life take	trials and humbly though courageously
(1986) for classic	ontological journey itself and is	its course. In the end all shall be well.	perseveres, securely confident that a
expressions of	characterised by the conviction that		solution to life's trials will be found.
this mode of	being en route makes sense and has		
hoping.	meaning. Hope directed toward an		
	open-ended objective that defies		
	attempts to map it.		
Critical hope	Negation of the negative	Passionate longing	Critique and protest
	hope directed toward a better future, a	the experience of critical hope is	hope is experienced as the compulsion
	vision or sense of a world without	captured by the phrase 'something's	to critically negate the conditions

See, for example,	hunger, oppression and humiliation	missing'. Hope is experienced as a	giving rise to the sense of
Bloch (1995),	but which defies the hypostasis of	restless, future-oriented longing; a	unfulfilment. To live in hope is to
Moltmann	'closed' or 'final' representation.	sense of lack and unfulfilment; a	critically engage with the suffering of
(1967), and		response to an inchoate future that	the present while remaining open to
Giroux (2011)		calls to the present.	the future. A restless, future-oriented
			protest, criticising present negatives in
			light of their ultimate negation.
Sound hope	Realistic objective	Evidence-based probability estimate	Goal-directed action in some cases
	the objective of hope should be	in order to prevent the hoper from	the careful survey of the evidence
See, for example,	realistic, grounded in a sound	losing their grip on reality (false	characteristic of sound hope leads the
Day (1991),	assessment of the evidence, recognise	hope), 'sound hope' is based on a	hoper to identify which objectives are
Bovens (1999)	the obstacles confronting its	careful study of the evidence and an	worth pursuing and which are not.
and te Riele	realisation and be vulnerable to	accurate calculation of the likelihood	Some hopes would be considered less
(2010).	evidence that counts against it.	of one's hoped-for objective coming to	than fair gambles and not worth
		pass (probability >0<1).	actively pursuing while those

			considered more than fair gambles
			may prompt goal pursuit.
Resolute hope	Ambitious high-risk goals	Cognitive resolve	Goal-directed action in cases of less
	hope operates against the evidence,	To hope resolutely is to galvanise	than fair gambles
See, for example,	'against the odds', and in pursuit of	one's cognitions in a way that	the hoper strives to realise goals that
Snyder (2002)	personal goals that the sound hoper	overcomes the burden of evidence. To	the sound hoper would have dismissed
and Pettit (2004).	would reject as unrealistic. Hope	hope in this mode is to assume that	as less than fair gambles. In
	directed toward ambitious personal	one has the freedom to initiate events	encountering failure, the self-
	goals with a risk of failure and	on the basis of goals that one sets	perceptions of the resolute hoper mean
	disappointment.	oneself, and to assume that the world	they respond by devising different
		is fluid, plastic and capable of being	pathways or directing their energies
		moulded by one's agency as it moves	toward different objectives.
		along the pathways one has identified.	
Transformative	Shared utopian dreams	profound confidence in the power of	Mutually-efficacious social praxis
hope	hope directed toward a historical plan	collective human agency	hope is a commitment to goal-directed
	for a qualitatively different society, a		social praxis through which human

See, for example,	liberating utopia shared by members	a consciousness that human beings are	beings become the agents of their own
Freire (1970) and	of a collectivity.	self-organising and self-determining	destiny and willfully strive to create a
Gutiérrez (2001).		historical agents. Hope is a sense of	new and better society.
		possibility grounded in a profound	
		confidence in the capacity of human	
		beings to construct, both imaginatively	
		and materially, new ways of	
		organising life.	