



# **King's Research Portal**

DOI: 10.1109/TSMC.2023.3311540

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Zhong, Z., Lam, H-K., Ying, H., & Xu, G. (2023). CMAC-based SMC for uncertain descriptor systems using reachable set learning. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.2023.3311540

# Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

## General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

•Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research. •You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain •You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

## Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

18

19

20

22

23

24

26

27

28

30

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

# CMAC-Based SMC for Uncertain Descriptor Systems Using Reachable Set Learning

Zhixiong Zhong, Hak-Keung Lam, Fellow, IEEE, Hao Ying, Fellow, IEEE, and Ge Xu

Abstract—This paper introduces a novel sliding mode control (SMC) law to achieve trajectory tracking for a class of descriptor systems with unknown uncertainties. It approximates the uncertainties by a cerebellar model articulation control (CMAC) neural network. We formulate the problem of training the CMAC as a scheme of estimating a reachable set for a discrete-time nonlinear system. A new online learning algorithm based on output feedback control of reachable set estimation is developed and the approximation error is bounded in an ellipsoidal reachable set. In order to dispel the effect of the approximation error of the CMAC, we develop a compensation controller by using the reachable set bounds. Controller gains and parameters of the learning algorithm are obtained via linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). Our computer simulation results show that the proposed CMAC-based SMC technique can achieve convergent tracking errors. The technique is applied to a salient permanent magnet synchronous motor (PMSM) in our lab and demonstrates excellent performance.

Keywords: Uncertain descriptor systems, sliding mode control (SMC), cerebellar model articulation control (CMAC), reachable set estimation.

# I. INTRODUCTION

1

Over the past few decades, sliding mode control (SMC) has 2 been regarded as an efficient robust control strategy for various 3 classes of uncertain systems, such as uncertain stochastic sys-4 tems [1], [2], uncertain linear systems [3], uncertain nonlinear 5 systems [4], [5]. The essence of SMC is to always drive control 6 system states toward a given sliding mode surface [6], [7]. However, when uncertain systems exist unknown dynamics, 8 the sliding mode motion can work well only if the information of the unknown dynamic is available. Thus the robust use 10 of SMC to stability analysis of unknown dynamic systems is 11 difficult to be implemented [8]–[10]. Neural networks (NNs) 12 are constructed from a plentiful parallel structure, which makes 13 them capable of approximating a nonlinear function for an 14 arbitrary precision. The utilization of NNs for stability analysis 15 of unknown dynamic systems has become widespread and 16 effective [11]-[13]. However, in each learning cycle all the 17

The work described in this paper was supported by the Central Government Drects Special Funds for Scientific and Technological Development, China under Grant 2019L3009.

Zhixiong Zhong and Ge Xu are with the Key Laboratory of Information Processing and Intelligent Control, Minjiang University, Fuzhou 350121, China. Email: zhixiongzhong20120120.com; xuqe@pku.edu.cn

Hak-Keung Lam is with the Department of Engineering, King's College London, Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom. Email: hak-keung.lam@kcl.ac.uk

Hao Ying is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA. Email: hao.ying@wayne.edu

weights of NNs need to keep updating, and the essence of such learning is global and time-consuming. Thus, the efficacy of the multilayer NNs is generally limited when considering real-time control issues [14]-[17]. 21

Thanks to the introduction of CMAC model, the fast convergence speed and good generalization capability in the identification and control of complex dynamical systems can be obtained [18]–[20]. The essence of CMAC is constructed 25 from an association-memory network with nonfully connected forms and lapped receptive fields. It has been demonstrated that CMAC can be used for approximating a nonlinear function to any given precision [21]. In traditional CMAC, its receptive 29 field space applies the constant binary function or the triangular basis one in the sense that their derivative characteristics 31 can not be collected. The work of [22] has introduced a new CMAC neural network using a Gaussian basis function with the differentiable characteristic in its receptive field space, where the derivative information is acquired from the inputoutput relations, and the convergence analysis for the proposed new CMAC network model is performed. Another important topic in training of a CMAC neural network has been presented in the open literature. The gradient descent algorithms such as back propagation (BP), search the parameter weights of the network model toward the steepest descent direction for minimizing the approximation error [23]–[25]. It has been regarded as a basic method for training CMAC model in control system applications. However, the main drawbacks are its slow convergence speed and incapable of obtaining the global minimum [26].

Descriptor systems, are also named as singular systems. They can be utilized to represent these systems, which are difficult to be represented by normal models [27]. Descriptor systems have attracted considerable interests in the literature for a variety of practical applications such as economics, robotics, electrical and chemical systems [28], [29]. Meanwhile, in practical control systems always exist unknown uncertainties, which include unmodeled characteristics, modeling errors and unknown disturbances. These conditions might deteriorate the performance of control systems and even lead to instability.

To the best of our knowledge, there is little literature on the 57 SMC design of trajectory tracking for descriptor systems with 58 unknown uncertainties. This motivates our present research. 59 This paper introduces a novel SMC strategy of trajectory 60 tracking for a class of descriptor systems with unknown 61 uncertainties. First, a CMAC neural network is employed to 62 achieve the approximation of the unknown uncertainties in the 63 considered system, and is embedded into the SMC controller. 64 Then, the training problem of the CMAC neural network 65

model is cast into the estimation scheme of reachable set 66 for a discrete-time nonlinear system. A new online learning 67 algorithm based on the output-feedback control method is 68 developed and the approximation error is bounded in an 69 ellipsoidal reachable set. Moreover, based on the obtained 70 boundary of reachable set, a compensation controller is utilized 71 to remove the negative impact induced by the approximated 72 73 error. After the help of the Lyapunov theory, the convergence of both the closed-loop SMC system and the training CMAC 74 neural networks can be guaranteed. It will be shown that 75 the controller gains and the online learning parameters are 76 solved by using the LMI optimization techniques. Finally, 77 a simulation application of salient PMSM demonstrates the 78 remarkable effectiveness and superiority. 79

The main contributions to the CMAC-based SMC scheme proposed in this paper are summarized as below:

i) This paper examines the issue of trajectory tracking for 82 a class of descriptor systems with unknown uncertainties. 83 We propose a CMAC-based SMC scheme that combines the 84 merits of CMAC and SMC. In this scheme, the CMAC 85 model is utilized to achieve the approximation of the unknown 86 uncertainties and the SMC strategy guarantees the reachability 87 of the trajectory error subject to the given sliding mode 88 surface. It achieves not only the accurate approximation of 89 uncertain dynamics by using CMAC model but also preserves 90 the advantages of rapid response and robustness characteristic 91 of the SMC technique. 92

ii) A new online learning law that is based on the reachable 93 set estimation of output-feedback control method is proposed 94 for training CMAC model. Our approach differs from previous 95 approaches, we do not focus on the widely-used gradient 96 descent algorithm learning framework with slow convergence 97 speed or non-global minimum. In the online learning set-98 tings, the training problem of CMAC model is cast into the 99 estimation framework of the reachable set for discrete-time 100 nonlinear system, and the approximation error is bounded in 101 an ellipsoidal reachable set. 102

iii) The proposed CMAC-based SMC scheme reformulates 103 the SMC of trajectory tracking for uncertain descriptor system 104 and online learning of the CMAC as a convex optimization 105 problem readily solved by the standard LMI toolbox. A high 106 tracking accuracy and convergence speed can be guaranteed, 107 and the minimum approximation error of the unknown dynam-108 ics can be obtained. Compared with the work of [30]-[32], the 109 gradient-descent based learning is used for the online learning 110 of CMAC model that yields several learning rates are chosen 111 a priori. However, there are no simple methods to choose the 112 online learning rates, such that the minimum approximation 113 error can be achieved. 114

The outline of this paper is arranged as below. Section II presents the problem formulation. The CMAC-based SMC is considered in Section III. The control of a salient PMSM system is used in Section IV to demonstrate the effectiveness and superiority of the proposed methods, which is summarized by some conclusions in Section V.

**Notations.**  $\Re^{n \times m}$  denotes the real matrix with the  $n \times m$  dimension and  $\Re^n$  is the Euclidean space with the *n*-dimensional characteristics.  $A^{-1}$  is the inverse of the matrix A, and  $A^T$  is 131

144

145

150

151

152

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

its transpose. P > 0 represents that the matrix P is positivedefinite, and  $P \ge 0$  means that the matrix P is positive semidefinite. Sym{A} means  $A + A^T$ , where  $A \in \Re^{n \times n}$ . The term  $\star$  denotes symmetry, for example  $\begin{bmatrix} A & \star \\ B & C \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\star = B^T$ .  $\mathbf{I}_n$  is n-dimensional identity matrix and  $0_{m \times n}$  is the zero matrix with  $m \times n$  dimension.  $\|x(t)\|_2$  denotes the Euclidean norm of the vector x(t), and  $\|x(t)\|_{\infty} = \sup_{t \ge 0} \{|x(t)|\}$ .

# II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

This paper considers a class of descriptor systems with <sup>132</sup> unknown uncertainties as below: <sup>133</sup>

$$E\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) + N(t), \qquad (1)$$

where  $x(t) \in \Re^{n_x}$  and  $u(t) \in \Re^{n_u}$  denote the system state and the control input, respectively. E, A and B are the known system parameters, where E may be a singular matrix with the rank  $(E) = n_r \leq n_x$ .  $N(t) \in \Re^{n_x}$  is the total unknown uncertainty, which includes unmodeled characteristics, modeled errors and unknown disturbances.

This paper aims at designing a tracking control strategy such that the system state x(t) tracks a given trajectory signal  $x_d(t)$ . To do so, we first denote the tracking error as the following form:

$$e(t) = x_d(t) - x(t).$$
 (2)

Based on the representation of the tracking error (2), we introduce an integral-type sliding surface function as below [33]:

$$s(t) = GEe(t) - \int_0^t K_e e(s) ds,$$
 (3)

where  $G \in \Re^{n_u \times n_x}$  is a given matrix, which can guarantee that the matrix GB is nonsingular.  $K_e \in \Re^{n_u \times n_x}$  is a parameterized matrix, which will be designed later. 149

If the unknown uncertainty N(t) can be accurately known, then a perfect controller is expressed as

$$u^{*}(t) = (GB)^{-1} [GE\dot{x}_{d}(t) - GAx(t) - GN(t) - K_{e}e(t)],$$
(4)

where the ideal controller  $u^*(t)$  ensures  $\dot{s}(t) = 0$ .

Note that in practical applications the uncertainty N(t) 153 is generally unknown, thus the ideal controller in (4) is 154 unavailable. In this paper the unknown uncertainty N(t) will 155 be approximated by employing a CMAC neural network 156 model, and a CMAC-based SMC law will be introduced in 157 the following sections, which raise the following two key 159 questions: 159

**Q-1.** How to design a CMAC approximator with online learning algorithm such that the convergence of approximation error is guaranteed and the approximation error is bounded in an ellipsoidal reachable set?

**Q-2.** How to give a design result of the CMAC-based SMC strategy such that the tracking trajectories are driven onto the predefined sliding mode surface s(t) = 0?

**Remark 2.1.** It is noted that, in each learning cycle of most NNs all the weights should be updated, thus the essence of such kind of learning is both global and slow. CMAC is constructed from an association-memory network with the nonfully connected form and the lapped receptive space. It has been shown that CMAC is able to achieve fast learning, such 172

211

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

238

that it is suitable for implementing the real-time control [12],[18].

**Remark 2.2.** Note that, it is difficult to evaluate the training 175 performance of the CMAC neural network model by using 176 the gradient-descent learning. This paper casts the CMAC's 177 training into the estimation framework of the reachable set for 178 discrete-time nonlinear system. In this case, an online learning 179 algorithm based on output-feedback control method is used in-180 stead of the gradient-descent method for the CMAC's training. 181 Furthermore, the convergence of CMAC neural network model 182 is established and its approximation error can be bounded in 183 an ellipsoidal reachable set. 184

# 185 III. CMAC-BASED SLIDING-MODE CONTROL

This section first introduces a main controller in which a 186 CMAC model is used to achieve the approximation of the 187 unknown lumped uncertainty. Then the training problem of 188 the CMAC model is formulated into the framework of the 189 reachable set estimation for discrete-time nonlinear system, 190 and a new online learning algorithm based on output-feedback 191 control method is developed. Finally, a compensation con-192 troller is introduced to offset the gap between the unknown 193 lumped uncertainty and its approximation. 194

195 A. Framework of CMAC-based SMC



Fig. 1. Framework of the CMAC-based SMC strategy.

Fig.1 depicts the framework of the CMAC-based SMC strategy, which consists of the main controller and the compensation one as follows:

$$u(t) = u_m(t) + u_c(t),$$
 (5)

where  $u_m(t)$  is the main controller, which is used instead of 199 the perfect controller (4). In the main controller, a CMAC 200 model integrated into SMC strategy is introduced to achieve 201 the approximation of the unknown lumped uncertainty. The 202 online learning of the CMAC model is facilitated by using 203 an output-feedback-based control law, which ensures that the 204 convergence of CMAC model is established and its approx-205 imation error can be bounded in an ellipsoidal reachable 206 set; The compensation controller  $u_c(t)$  is utilized to offset 207 the gap between the unknown lumped uncertainty and its 208

approximation, which helps the main controller drive the tracking error trajectories of the uncertain descriptor system onto the given sliding mode surface. 211

# B. Main controller

By introducing the CMAC-based function approximator, we give the following main controller instead of the perfect controller (4):

$$u_m(t) = (GB)^{-1} \left[ GE\dot{x}_d(t) - GAx(t) - G\hat{N}(t) - K_e e(t) \right],$$
(6)

where N(t) is the approximation of N(t).

Now, by submitting the main controller (6) into the system (1), and taking the derivative of the tracking error, the closed-loop tracking error dynamics is described as

$$E\dot{e}(t) = \left(\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_e\right)e(t) + \bar{W}(t), \qquad (7)$$

where

$$\bar{A} = A - \bar{B}GA, \bar{B} = B (GB)^{-1}, \bar{W}(t) = \bar{B}G\hat{N}(t) - N(t) + (E - \bar{B}GE) \dot{x}_d(t) - \bar{A}x_d(t).$$
(8)

Based on the resulting closed-loop tracking control system (7), the following result is devoted to solve the design of the matrix parameter  $K_e$ . 220

Lemma 1: The tracking error dynamics (7) with the parameter matrices  $\{E, \bar{A}, \bar{B}\}$  is robust stable with the  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  performance index  $\|e(t)\|_2 \leq \gamma \|\bar{W}(t)\|_2$  if there exist the matrix  $0 < X = X^T \in \Re^{n_x \times n_x}$ , and the matrix  $\bar{K}_e \in \Re^{n_u \times n_x}$ , such that is minimized  $\gamma$  subject to the following matrix inequalities:

$$X^{T}E^{T} = EX \ge 0, \qquad (9)$$
  
$$\bar{A}X + \bar{B}\bar{K}_{e}) \quad X^{T} \quad \mathbf{I} \quad ]$$

$$Sym \left( \bar{A}X + \bar{B}\bar{K}_e \right) \quad X^T \quad \mathbf{I} \\
 \star \quad -\mathbf{I} \quad 0 \\
 \star \quad 0 \quad -\gamma^2 \mathbf{I} \quad \right] < 0. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, if the above matrix inequalities have a feasible 223 solution then the gain matrix  $K_e$  in (6) can be given by 224

$$K_e = \bar{K}_e X^{-1}.$$
 (11)

*Proof:* The result can be obtained straightly from Lemma 225 2 of [34], whose proof is thus deleted.

Remark 3.1. Note that, the matrix inequality in (9) is a 227 positive semi-definite form and the solution used standard LMI 228 technique becomes a difficult task. For a singular matrix  $E_0$ , 229 where rank  $(E_0) = n_r \leq n_x$ , we can specify the matrices 230 M and N with the nonsingular characteristic to achieve 231 *M* and *N* with the honsingular characteristic to achieve  $E_0 = M \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} N$ . Without loss of generality, we consider a special case with the matrix  $E = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then the matrix *X* is denoted as  $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ X_2 & X_3 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $0 < X_1 = X_1^T \in \Re^{n_r \times n_r}, X_2 \in \Re^{(n_x - n_r) \times n_r}, X_3 \in \Re^{(n_x - n_r) \times (n_x - n_r)}$ . 232 233 234 In this case, the semi-definite matrix inequality in (9) holds, 236 and the result in Lemma 1 is formulated into LMIs [35]. 237

# C. CMAC model

The proposed CMAC model includes three mappings and one output. All functional mappings of the CMAC model are

277

278

279

280

281

282

shown as follows [12]:

Mapping: 
$$I \to A$$
, (12)

Mapping: 
$$A \to R$$
, (13)

Mapping: 
$$R \to W$$
, (14)

Output computation: 
$$O(I) = W^T \Gamma(I)$$
, (15)

where I, A, R and W denote the input, the associative memory, the receptive field, and the weighted memory spaces, respectively; O is the output. In every mapping space the corresponding basic function is introduced as follows:

1) Mapping  $I \to A$ : In the input space,  $I = [I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n_i}]$ denotes a  $n_i$ -dimensional input variable with  $i \in \mathcal{I} :=$  $\{1, 2, \dots, n_i\}$ . Each input datum is partitioned to  $n_k$  neurons by virtue of Gaussian basis function, and these neurons are placed in the associative memory space A with the set  $k \in \mathcal{K} := \{1, 2, \dots, n_k\}$ . Considering the descriptions above, each neuron can be given by

$$\alpha_{ik}\left(I_{i}\right) = \exp\left[-\frac{\left(I_{i} - m_{ik}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{ik}^{2}}\right], i \in \mathcal{I}, k \in \mathcal{K}$$
(16)

where  $m_{ik}$  and  $\sigma_{ik}$  denote the mean and the variance relative to the input variable, respectively.

252 2) Mapping  $A \rightarrow R$ : The receptive field space R has a 253 k-layer region, which is called hypercube represented by

$$\beta_k(I) = \prod_{i=1}^{n_i} \alpha_{ik}(I_i), k \in \mathcal{K}$$
(17)

where  $\beta_k(I)$  denotes the general basis function for representing the hypercube.

<sup>256</sup> 3) Mapping  $R \to W$ : Each region in the receptive field <sup>257</sup> space R is connected to the weighted memory W with the <sup>258</sup> corresponding specific value, that is

$$\theta_{jk}\left(I\right) = w_{jk}\beta_k\left(I\right), j \in \mathcal{M}, k \in \mathcal{K}$$
(18)

where  $w_{jk}$  denotes the weight relative to the k-th hypercube in the j-th output,  $j \in \mathcal{M} := \{1, 2, ..., n_m\}.$ 

4) Output: The output of CMAC includes  $n_m$  subspaces, and each subspace is the sum of  $n_k$  particular adjustable parameters, which is given by

$$O_{j}(I) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_{k}} \theta_{jk}(I), j \in \mathcal{M}.$$
(19)

<sup>264</sup> Thus, the output of CMAC is calculated by

$$O(I) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} O_j(I).$$
 (20)

**Remark 3.2.** Note that, the receptive field space of the traditional CMAC applies the constant binary function or the triangular basis one in the sense that their derivative characteristics can not be collected. Therefore, this paper proposes the CMAC used the Gaussian basis function as shown in (12)-(20), which overcomes the drawback of using the constant binary function or the triangular basis one [36].

# 272 D. Formulation of CMAC training

In the previous subsection, we have introduced CMAC model. The following subsections will focus on training C-MAC model. First, it follows the descriptions from (12)-(20)

showing that the input-output relationship of the CMAC's dynamics can be represented as

$$O(t) = W^T \Gamma(I(t))$$
  
=  $f(w_{jk}(t), m_{ik}(t), \sigma_{ik}(t), I(t)).$  (21)

Now, we regard  $\dot{s}(t)$  and N(t) as the input and output of CMAC's dynamics (21), respectively. Thus, we have

$$\hat{N}(t) = W^{T} \Gamma(\dot{s}(t)) 
= f(w_{jk}(t), m_{ik}(t), \sigma_{ik}(t), \dot{s}(t)).$$
(22)

Using the first-order Taylor approximation [37], it can be shown that

$$\hat{N}(t+1) = \hat{N}(t) + \frac{dN(t)}{dw_{jk}} \Delta w_{jk}(t) + \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}} \Delta m_{ik}(t) + \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}} \Delta \sigma_{ik}(t) + \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\dot{s}(t)} \Delta \dot{s}(t) + \phi(t), \qquad (23)$$

where  $\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dw_{jk}}, \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}}, \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}}, \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\dot{s}(t)}$  are the partial derivatives 273 with respect to  $w_{jk}(t), m_{ik}(t), \sigma_{ik}(t), \dot{s}(t)$ , respectively; 274  $\Delta w_{jk}(t), \Delta m_{ik}(t), \Delta \sigma_{ik}(t), \Delta \dot{s}(t)$  are the difference terms, 275 and  $\phi(t)$  is the residual signal. 276

Then, by recalling the sliding surface function s(t) in (3), and taking its derivative, and using the relations of (1), (2) and (6), we have

$$\dot{s}(t) = G\varepsilon\left(t\right),\tag{24}$$

where  $\dot{s}(t)$  is the derivative information of the sliding surface function, and  $\varepsilon(t)$  is the approximation error subject to  $\varepsilon(t) = \hat{N}(t) - N(t)$ .

We further subtract N(t+1) to the both sides of (23), then the following output-feedback control system can be obtained as:

$$\varepsilon(t+1) = \varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{B}(t)\mathcal{U}(t) + \mathcal{W}(t), \qquad (25)$$

$$\mathcal{Y}\left(t\right) = G\varepsilon\left(t\right),\tag{26}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dw_{jk}} & \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}} & \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}} \end{bmatrix},$$
  

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta w_{jk}^{T}(t) & \Delta m_{ik}^{T}(t) & \Delta \sigma_{ik}^{T}(t) \end{bmatrix}^{T},$$
  

$$\mathcal{W}(t) = \frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d[G\varepsilon(t)]} G\Delta\varepsilon(t) + \phi(t) - \Delta N(t),$$
  

$$\Delta\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon(t+1) - \varepsilon(t), \Delta N(t) = N(t+1) - N(t),$$
  
(27)

with the following partial derivatives:

$$\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dw_{jk}} = \prod_{i=1}^{n_i} \beta_{ik}(\dot{s}_i), \beta_{ik}(\dot{s}_i) = \exp\left[-\frac{(\dot{s}_i - m_{ik})^2}{\sigma_{ik}^2}\right], \\
\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} w_{jk} \prod_{i=1}^{n_i} \left[\beta_{ik}(\dot{s}_i)\frac{2(\dot{s}_i - m_{ik})}{\sigma_{ik}^2}\right], \\
\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_m} \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} w_{jk} \prod_{i=1}^{n_i} \left[\beta_{ik}(\dot{s}_i)\frac{2(\dot{s}_i - m_{ik})^2}{\sigma_{ik}^3}\right]. \quad (28)$$

**Remark 3.3.** It is noted that when the approximation 283 error  $\varepsilon(t)$  tends to zero then the weights  $\{w_{jk}(t), m_{ik}(t), 284 \sigma_{ik}(t)\}$  are around the real values. Therefore, in this case, 285

the difference terms  $\{\Delta w_{ik}(t), \Delta m_{ik}(t), \Delta \sigma_{ik}(t), \Delta \dot{s}(t)\}$ 286 are around zero, which means the residual signal  $\phi(t)$  will be 287 around zero. 288

Remark 3.4. Note that when using the CMAC model, a 289 general question is raised: How to train the CMAC model? In 290 the other words, the task is to explore a weight update law for 291 refreshing the hypercube weight  $w_{jk}$ , and the mean  $m_{ik}$ , and 292 the variance  $\sigma_{ik}$  in the CMAC model. 293

Remark 3.5. Note that the learning problem of CMAC's 294 weights has been formulated into a robust output-feedback 295 control framework of the discrete-time nonlinear system as 296 shown in (25) and (26). More specifically, the approximation 297 error of CMAC model is denoted as the system state  $\varepsilon(t)$ , 298 and the learning law of CMAC's weights is considered as the 299 control input  $\mathcal{U}(t)$ , which decides the refresh rates of weight 300 values, and the term  $\mathcal{W}(t)$  is regarded as the disturbance. 301

Remark 3.6. For the above-mentioned robust control prob-302 lem, we aim at designing an output-feedback controller with 303 reachable set estimation, which ensures the CMAC model is 304 robust convergence and its approximation error is bounded in 305 an ellipsoidal reachable set. 306

#### E. Online learning law based on output-feedback control 307

The previous subsection has formulated the training problem 308 of CMAC model into a robust control framework of discrete-309 time nonlinear system as shown in (25) and (26). It is natural 310 to specify the online learning law of CMAC model as the 311 following output-feedback controller: 312

$$\mathcal{U}\left(t\right) = \mathcal{K}_{y}\mathcal{Y}\left(t\right),\tag{29}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_y$  is the controller gain, which will be designed later. 313 Now, by submitting the controller (29) into the system (25) 314 and (26), and taking the relation of (24), the closed-loop 315 output-feedback control system is given by 316

$$\varepsilon(t+1) = \left(\mathbf{I} + \bar{\mathcal{K}}_y\right)\varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{W}(t), \qquad (30)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_{y} = \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{K}_{y} G$  and  $\mathcal{W}(t)$  is further assumed to be 317 satisfied with the following condition: 318

r

$$\mathcal{W}^{T}\left(t\right)\mathcal{W}\left(t\right) \leq \bar{\mathcal{W}}^{2},\tag{31}$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$  is a constant. 319

> Here, our aim at designing the online learning law based on the output-feedback controller as shown in (29) such that the approximated error dynamics of CMAC in (30) is with the following reachable set bounding:

$$\mathbb{S} \triangleq \{ \varepsilon (t) \in \Re^{n_x} | \varepsilon (t) \text{ and } \mathcal{W} (t) \text{ are subject to}$$
(30) and (31), respectively,  $t \ge 0 \}.$ 
(32)

The reachable set of the closed-loop output-feedback control 320 system in (30) subject to an ellipsoid is given by [38] 321

$$\mathbb{E} \triangleq \left\{ \varepsilon(t) \mid \varepsilon^{T}(t) \, P\varepsilon(t) < 1, \ \varepsilon(t) \in \Re^{n_{x}} \right\}, \tag{33}$$

where  $P = P^T > 0$ . 322

Note that, the learning problem of CMAC's weights has 323 been formulated into a reachable set estimation framework of 324 the discrete-time nonlinear output-feedback control system as 325 shown in (32). In the following, we first present a formulated 326 analysis result for the estimation problem of the reachable set. 327 This is derived to answer the first question (Q-1). 328

Theorem 1: An online learning law (29) can guarantee 329 the convergence of CMAC's approximation error with the 330 reachable set in (32), if there exist the matrices  $\{0 < P =$ 331  $P^T \in \Re^{n_x \times n_x}, G \in \Re^{n_u \times n_x}, \mathcal{K}_y \in \Re^{n_u \times n_u}$  and the positive 332 scalars  $\{0 < a < 1, \overline{W}\}$ , such that the following matrix 333 inequality holds, 334

$$\begin{bmatrix} -aP & 0 & P + G^{T}\mathcal{K}_{y}^{T}\mathcal{B}^{T}(t)P \\ 0 & -\frac{1-a}{\mathcal{W}^{2}}\mathbf{I} & P \\ \star & \star & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(34)

*Proof:* We firstly define  $V(t) = \varepsilon^T(t) P \varepsilon(t)$  and 335  $\Delta V(t) = V(t+1) - V(t)$ , where  $0 < P = P^T \in \Re^{n_x \times n_x}$ . 336 Further, the performance index is introduced as below:

$$\begin{split} I(t) &= \Delta V(t) + (1-a) V(t) - \frac{1-a}{\bar{\mathcal{W}}^2} \mathcal{W}^T(t) \mathcal{W}(t) \\ &= \varepsilon^T (t+1) P \varepsilon (t+1) - \varepsilon^T (t) P \varepsilon (t) \\ &+ (1-a) \varepsilon^T (t) P \varepsilon (t) - \frac{1-a}{\bar{\mathcal{W}}^2} \mathcal{W}^T(t) \mathcal{W}(t) \\ &= \varepsilon^T (t+1) P \varepsilon (t+1) - a \varepsilon^T (t) P \varepsilon (t) \\ &- \frac{1-a}{\bar{\mathcal{W}}^2} \mathcal{W}^T(t) \mathcal{W}(t) \\ &= \left[ \left( \mathbf{I} + \bar{\mathcal{K}}_y \right) \varepsilon (t) + \mathcal{W}(t) \right]^T P[\star] \\ &- a \varepsilon^T (t) P \varepsilon (t) - \frac{1-a}{\bar{\mathcal{W}}^2} \mathcal{W}^T(t) \mathcal{W}(t) \\ &= \left[ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon(t) \\ \mathcal{W}(t) \end{array} \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{c} \left( \mathbf{I} + \bar{\mathcal{K}}_y \right)^T \\ \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] P[\star] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon(t) \\ \mathcal{W}(t) \end{array} \right] \\ &+ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon(t) \\ \mathcal{W}(t) \end{array} \right]^T \left[ \begin{array}{c} -aP & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1-a}{\mathcal{W}^2} \mathbf{I} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon(t) \\ \mathcal{W}(t) \end{array} \right], (35) \end{split}$$

where  $\mathcal{K}_{y} = \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{K}_{y} G$ , and 0 < a < 1 is a constant scalar. 337 It can be seen from (35) that the following matrix inequality 338 holds 339

$$\begin{bmatrix} \left(\mathbf{I} + \bar{\mathcal{K}}_{y}\right)^{T} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} P[\star] + \begin{bmatrix} -aP & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1-a}{W^{2}}\mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (36)$$

which guarantees J(t) < 0. By applying Schur complement 340 lemma [39], the result on (34) can be obtained directly from 341 (36). Thus we complete the verification of the robust stability 342 for the closed-loop control system model in (30). 343 344

Since J(t) < 0, we have

ε

$$V(t) < 1 + (V(0) - 1) a^{k}.$$
(37)

347

349

351

Note that when  $\varepsilon(0)$  satisfies  $V(0) \leq 1$ , the inequality in 345 (37) implies V(t) < 1. Thus we complete this proof to the 346 reachable set in (32). 

It is also noted that the matrix inequality in (34) is nonlinear 348 because of the coupling term  $\mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{K}_{v} GP$ . For simplicity in the controller design procedure, we can specify the controller 350 gain  $\mathcal{K}_y$  as

$$\mathcal{K}_{y} = \mathcal{B}^{T}(t) \left( \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{B}^{T}(t) \right)^{-1} K_{y}, \qquad (38)$$

where  $K_y$  is a constant controller gain. In this case, the output-352 feedback control system in (30) is rewritten as 353

$$\varepsilon(t+1) = (\mathbf{I} + K_y G) \varepsilon(t) + \mathcal{W}(t).$$
(39)

Based on the new closed-loop error dynamics in (39), 354 an online learning law for updating the CMAC's weights 355 is given by a parameterized representation of the output-356 feedback controller gains in terms of the feasible solutions 357

to the following LMI. 358

Lemma 2: An online learning law (29) can guarantee the 359 convergence of CMAC's approximation error with the reach-360 able set in (32), if there exist the matrices  $\{0 < P = P^T \in$ 361  $\Re^{n_x \times n_x}, G \in \Re^{n_u \times n_x}, \bar{K}_y \in \Re^{n_x \times n_u}$ , and the positive 362 scalars  $\{0 < a < 1, \overline{W}\}$ , such that the following LMI holds, 363

$$\begin{bmatrix} -aP & 0 & P + G^T K_y^T \\ 0 & -\frac{1-a}{W^2} \mathbf{I} & P \\ \star & \star & -P \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(40)

Moreover, if the above LMI has a feasible solution then the 364 matrix parameter  $K_y$  in (38) can be given by 365

$$K_y = P^{-1} \bar{K}_y. \tag{41}$$

366 *Proof:* The result can be obtained straightly from Theorems 1, whose proof is thus deleted. 367

**Remark 3.7.** Here, our aim at designing the output-feedback 368 controller (29) such that the minimum bounding for the 369 reachable set of CMAC's approximation error can be obtained. 370 To do so, we borrow the work of [40] to maximize  $\delta$  with 371 the constrain  $\delta \mathbf{I} < P$ . By using Schur complement [39], the 372 optimization problem can be easily solved as below: 373

Minimize  $\bar{\delta}$  subject to the constraints:  $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\delta}\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} \\ \star & P \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$  and (40), of (45), we have

where  $\bar{\delta} = \delta^{-1}$ . 374

Remark 3.8. It is noted that when the matrix term 375  $\mathcal{B}(t)\mathcal{B}^{T}(t)$  in (38) is singular, it should be replaced by 376  $\mathcal{B}^{T}(t) \left[ \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{B}^{T}(t) + \rho \mathbf{I} \right]^{-1}$  with a small positive scalar  $\rho$ . 377

Remark 3.9. Thanks to the online learning law based on the 378 reachable set estimation of output-feedback control as shown 379 in (29), the learning law for updating CMAC's weights is given 380 by 381 m

$$\begin{aligned} & 382 \quad \Delta w_{jk}\left(t\right) = \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dw_{jk}}\right]^{T} \Phi^{-1}\left(t\right) K_{y}\dot{s}(t), \\ & 383 \quad \Delta m_{ik}\left(t\right) = \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}}\right]^{T} \Phi^{-1}\left(t\right) K_{y}\dot{s}(t), \\ & 384 \quad \Delta \sigma_{ik}\left(t\right) = \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}}\right]^{T} \Phi^{-1}\left(t\right) K_{y}\dot{s}(t), \\ & 385 \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi\left(t\right) = \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dw_{jk}}\right] \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dw_{jk}}\right]^{T} + \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}}\right] \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{dm_{ik}}\right]^{T} + \\ & 386 \quad \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}}\right] \left[\frac{d\hat{N}(t)}{d\sigma_{ik}}\right]^{T}. \end{aligned}$$

### F. Design of compensation controller 387

In the previous subsections, we propose the CMAC's ap-388 proximator and its learning law. We now focus on designing 389 a compensation controller, which is used to dispel the effect 390 of the approximation error. before moving on we recall the 391 approximation error of the unknown lumped uncertainty in 392 (24) as below: 393

$$\varepsilon(t) = \tilde{N}(t) - N(t), \qquad (42)$$

where N(t) denotes the unknown uncertainty, and N(t)394 denotes the approximation of N(t) by using the CMAC neural 395 network model. 396

Here, our aim is to design a compensation controller, which 397 will dispel the impact induced by the approximated error. It 398 follows from Remark 3.6 that the approximation error can be 399 bounded by 400

$$0 \le \left\| G\varepsilon\left(t\right) \right\|_{\infty} \le C,\tag{43}$$

where C is a positive constant. 401

Now, we introduce a compensation controller as below:

$$u_{c}(t) = (GB)^{-1} C \operatorname{sgn}(s(t)),$$
 (44)

where  $sgn(\star)$  denotes a switching sign function.

Then, by taking the derivative of the integral-type sliding surface function (3) and submitting the main controller in (6), 405 we have 406

$$\dot{s}(t) = G\varepsilon(t) - GB(GB)^{-1}C\operatorname{sgn}(s(t)).$$
(45)

Based on the sliding mode dynamics (45), we derive a 407 sufficient criteria for designing a CMAC-based SMC law as 408 shown in (5), which drives the tracking error trajectories onto 409 the given sliding mode surface s(t) = 0. This is derived to 410 answer the second question (Q-2). 411

Theorem 2: The main controller (6) and the compensation controller (44) can ensure that the tracking error trajectories of the uncertain descriptor system (1) are driven onto the given sliding mode surface s(t) = 0.

Proof: We firstly consider the following Lyapunov function:

$$V(t) = \frac{1}{2}s^{T}(t)s(t).$$
 (46)

By calculating the derivative of V(t), and using the relation

$$V(t) = s^{T}(t)\dot{s}(t)$$
  
=  $s^{T}(t)G\varepsilon(t) - s^{T}(t)C\operatorname{sgn}(s(t))$   
 $\leq ||s(t)||_{1} ||G\varepsilon(t)||_{1} - ||s(t)||_{1}C$   
 $\leq 0.$  (47)

It can be seen from (46) that the  $\dot{V}(t) \leq 0$ , which means the 418 main controller (6) and the compensation controller (43) can 419 drive the tracking error trajectories onto the specified sliding 420 mode surface s(t) = 0. Therefore, the proof is completed. 421

# G. Design procedure for CMAC-based SMC strategy

The detailed calculating steps to solve the tracking problem 423 of the considered uncertain descriptor system can be summa-424 rized as below: 425

1) Use Lemma 1 to obtain the matrix gain  $K_e$  and choose 426 the suitable matrix G, and then construct an integral-type sliding surface function in (3) for the system (1);

2) Introduce CMAC neural network model with the form of (12)-(15);

3) Construct the main controller  $u_m(t)$  as shown in (6);

4) Use Lemma 2 to obtain the controller gain  $K_y$ , and construct the output-feedback control law in (29) to update the weights  $\{\Delta w_{ik}(t), \Delta m_{ik}(t), \Delta \sigma_{ik}(t)\}$  of the CMAC model;

5) Use Remark 3.6 to obtain the bounding of the reachable set  $\delta$  and calculate the constant C in (43);

6) Construct the compensation controller  $u_{c}(t)$  as shown in (44);

7) Apply the main controller and the compensation controller as shown in (6) and (44) to the system (1).

# **IV. DEMONSTRATIVE EXAMPLES**

This section considers a salient permanent magnet syn-443 chronous motor (PMSM), where its stator inductance is not 444

403 404

402

412 413 414

415

416

417

422

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

equal around the airgap. In the PMSM system, its control is generally implemented by using the vector modulation. For the control of speed, torque, and position, the big trouble that arises, particularly in the regulation of torque relative to stator current. Therefore, a new transformation called the d-qcoordinates is used to depict the relation between the stator

current and the voltage vector [41].



Fig. 2. Control framework of PMSM using the CMAC-based SMC method.

451

Fig. 2 shows the control framework of PMSM using the CMAC-based SMC method proposed in this paper. In the d-q coordinates the stator inductance is equal to  $L_d$  on the d axis and is also equal to  $L_q$  on the q axis. The evolution of these d-q currents can be described as follows [6], [41]:

$$L_d \frac{di_{sd}}{dt} = -R_s i_{sd} - \omega_r L_q i_{sq} + u_{sd},$$
$$L_q \frac{di_{sq}}{dt} = -R_s i_{sq} - \omega_r L_q i_{sd} + u_{sq} - \omega_r \psi_m$$

where  $L_d$  and  $L_q$  are the stator inductance;  $R_s$  denotes the stator resistance;  $i_{sd}$  and  $i_{sq}$  are regarded as the stator current;  $u_{sd}$  and  $u_{sq}$  are the stator voltage;  $\omega_r$  is the electrical rotor frequency;  $\psi_m$  is the rotor flux linkage from the permanent magnets.

Further, we consider the unknown uncertainties  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are involved in the d - q currents, respectively. The aim at controlling the stator currents  $i_{sd}$  and  $i_{sq}$  to follow the references  $i_{sd}^*$  and  $i_{sq}^*$  with the accuracy and robustness, respectively. Here, we first define

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} L_d & 0\\ 0 & L_q \end{bmatrix}, x(t) = \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd}\\ i_{sq} \end{bmatrix}, x_d(t) = \begin{bmatrix} i_{sd}\\ i_{sq}^* \end{bmatrix},$$
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -R_s & -\omega_r L_q\\ -\omega_r L_q & -R_s \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$u(t) = \begin{bmatrix} u_{sd}\\ u_{sq} \end{bmatrix}, N(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1\\ \phi_2 - \omega_r \psi_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then, the salient PMSM system is depicted by the state-spaceformulas as shown in (1).

In this simulation, the system parameters are  $L_d = 0.3562$ H,  $L_q = 0.5298$ H,  $R_s = 0.2762$ ,  $\Omega, \omega_r = 50$ Hz. The detailed calculating steps to solve the current tracking problem of the considered salient PMSM system are summarized as below:

463 1) Use Theorem 1 to obtain the controller gain  $K_e =$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} -86.7994 & 16.3123 \\ -24.8099 & -131.2388 \end{bmatrix}$  and choose the matrix  $G = {}^{464}$  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and then construct an integral-type sliding surface  ${}^{465}$ function as the form of (3);  ${}^{466}$ 

2) Introduce CMAC network model with the form of (12)-(15); 467

3) Construct the main controller  $u_m(t)$  as the form of (6); 469 4) Give a = 0.9 and  $\overline{W} = 0.0476$  and use Lemma 1 to 470

obtain the controller gain  $K_y = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and construct 471 an online learning law based on the output-feedback controller 472 with  $\rho = 0.00001$  as follows: 473

$$\mathcal{U}(t) = \mathcal{B}^{T}(t) \left[ \mathcal{B}(t) \mathcal{B}^{T}(t) + \rho \mathbf{I} \right]^{-1} K_{y} G \varepsilon(t),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(t)$  is defined in (27);

5) Use Remark 3.6 to obtain the reachable set bounding  $_{475}$   $\delta = 0.0153$  and calculate the bounding C = 0.2010 in (43);  $_{476}$ 

6) Construct the compensation controller  $u_c(t)$  as the form 477 of (44); 478

7) Give the initial conditions of the control system as 479  $x(0) = [0.5, -0.5]^T, x_d(t) = [0, 0.8 * \sin(5t)]^T$ . Note that the 480 choice of  $x_d$  can be different according to the torque (refer to 481 [42] for details). We assume that the unknown uncertainties 482  $\phi_1 = 0.2 \sin 10t$  and  $\phi_2 = 0.2 \cos 10t$ . Now, we apply 483 the integral-type sliding surface function, the CMAC neural 484 network model, the main controller, and the compensation 485 controller. When using the proposed CMAC's learning with 486 the output-feedback control method, the currents of the d-q487 coordinates and their references are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, 488 respectively. In each cycle of learning, the updated weights of 489 CMAC neural network model can be shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 6 490 shows the response of the CMAC-based sliding mode control 491 input. However, when considering the CMAC model with the 492 gradient-descent based learning [30]-[32], Figs. 7 and 8 show 493 the responses of the d-axis and q-axis currents and their 494 references, respectively. Define the convergence performance 495 as  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|e(i)\|_2 / n$ , where *n* is the number of iterations. 496 Fig. 9 plots the convergence performances of CMAC for the 497 reachable set learning law proposed in this paper and the 498 gradient-descent based learning law proposed in [30]-[32]. It 499 is easy to see that the high accuracy and convergence speed to 500 the tracking responses of the d-q currents can be realized by 501 using the CMAC-based SMC method proposed in this paper. 502

**Remark 4.1.** Note that the work of [30]–[32] proposes 503 the gradient-descent based learning for the training of C-504 MAC neural network without convergence analysis. The main 505 drawbacks of the method are its slow convergence speed 506 and its inability to ensure global minimum. Moreover, this 507 method introduces the learning rates subject to some positive 508 scalars to be searched or manually prescribed. Taking different 509 learning-rate values may lead to instability of CMAC model. 510 To effectively train the CMAC model, this paper formulates 511 the training problem of CMAC model into the estimation 512 framework of the reachable set for discrete time nonlinear 513 system. An online learning law based on the output-feedback 514 control method is developed and the approximation error of 515 CMAC model is bounded in an ellipsoidal reachable set. 516

Remark 4.2. It is also noted that the proposed CMAC- 517



Fig. 3. Responses of the d-axis current using CMAC' learning based on the output-feedback control method.



Fig. 4. Responses of the q-axis current using CMAC' learning based on the output-feedback control method.

based SMC carries the advantages of both the reachable set 518 method and the SMC technique at the same time. In this sense, 519 the training of CMAC model is robust convergence with the 520 minimum approximation error by using the online learning 521 law (29), and the SMC strategy ensures the reachability of 522 the system trajectories subject to the given sliding mode 523 surface. Figs. 3-4 have shown that the methods proposed in 524 this paper achieve the fast response and high-accuracy tracking 525 performance against unknown dynamics in comparison with 526 the gradient-descent based learning proposed in [30]-[32]. 527

# V. CONCLUSIONS

528

The issue of SMC trajectory tracking for uncertain descrip-529 tor systems with unknown dynamics has been examined. The 530 CMAC-based SMC scheme was developed and all the control 531 gains and the online learning parameters are obtained by calcu-532 lating a set of LMIs. The effectiveness of the proposed CMAC-533 based SMC scheme is illustrated by controlling a salient 534 PMSM system. The simulation result shows that the methods 535 proposed in this paper achieve the fast response and high-536 accuracy tracking performance against unknown dynamics in 537 comparison with the gradient-descent based learning proposed 538



Fig. 5. The updates of CMAC weights using the online learning algorithm based on the output-feedback control method.



Fig. 6. Responses of the CMAC-based sliding mode control input.

in [30]–[32]. Further studies would focus on more effective neural-learning algorithms using the robust control method for identification and control of nonlinear systems.

# REFERENCES

- H. Chen, C. Lim, and P. Shi, "Robust *H*<sub>∞</sub>-based control for uncertain stochastic fuzzy switched time-delay systems via integral sliding mode strategy," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 382-396, 2022.
- [2] R. Nie, S. He, F. Liu, and X. Luan, "Sliding mode controller design for conic-type nonlinear semi-Markovian jumping systems of time-delayed Chua's circuit," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 2467-2475, 2019.
- [3] Michael V. Basin, P. Yu, and Y. Shtessel, "Hypersonic missile adaptive sliding mode control using finite- and fixed-time observers," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 930-941, 2017.
- [4] X. Zhao, H. Yang, and G. Zong, "Adaptive neural hierarchical sliding mode control of nonstrict-feedback nonlinear systems and an application to electronic circuits," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 1394-1404, 2017.
- [5] S. He, W. Lyu, and F. Liu, "Robust  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  sliding mode controller design of a class of time-delayed discrete conic-type nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 885-892, 2018.
- [6] J. Song, Y. Wang, Y. Niu, H.K. Lam, S. He, and H. Liu, "Periodic eventtriggered terminal sliding mode speed control for networked PMSM system: A GA-optimized extended state observer approach," *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, doi: 10.1109/TMECH.2022.3148541.

542

543

562

563

564



Fig. 7. Responses of the d-axis current using CMAC model with the gradient-descent based learning [30]–[32].



Fig. 8. Responses of the q-axis current using CMAC model with the gradient-descent based learning [30]–[32].

[7] Y. Kao, J. Xie, C. Wang, and H.R. Karimi, "A sliding mode approach to  $\mathscr{H}_{\infty}$  non-fragile observer-based control design for uncertain Markovian neutral-type stochastic systems," *Automatica*, vol. 52, pp. 218-226, 2015.

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

- [8] X. Yu, and O. Kaynak, "Sliding-mode control with soft computing: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 3275-3285, 2009.
- [9] H. Zhang, Q. Qu, G. Xiao, and Y. Cui, "Optimal guaranteed cost sliding mode control for constrained-input nonlinear systems with matched and unmatched disturbances," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 2112-2126, 2018.
- Y. Wang, X. Xie, M. Chadli, S. Xie, and Y. Peng, "Sliding-mode control of fuzzy singularly perturbed descriptor systems," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 2349-2360, 2021.
- [11] C. Chen, Y. Liu, and G. Wen, "Fuzzy neural network-based adaptive control for a class of uncertain nonlinear stochastic systems," *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 583-593, 2014.
- [12] C. Lin, M. Prasad, and A. Saxena, "An improved polynomial neural network classifier using real-coded genetic algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 45, no. 11, pp. 1389-1401, 2015.
- [13] D. Li, C. Philip Chen, Y. Liu, and S. Tong, "Neural network controller design for a class of nonlinear delayed systems with time-varying full-state constraints," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 2625-2636, 2019.
- [14] C. Lin, and C.F. Hsu, "Neural-network hybrid control for antilock
   braking systems," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 14, no.
   2, pp. 351-359, 2003.
- 594 [15] Y. Liu, S. Liu, Y. Wang, F. Lombardi, and J. Han, "A survey of stochastic



Fig. 9. Convergence performances of CMAC for the reachable set learning and gradient-descent based learning.

computing neural networks for machine learning applications," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 2809-2824, 2020.

- [16] Y. Liu, L. Tang, S. Tong, and C. Philip Chen, "Adaptive NN controller design for a class of nonlinear MIMO discrete-time systems," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1007-1018, 2015.
- [17] R. Rahimilarki, Z. Gao, A. Zhang, and R. Binns, "Robust neural network fault estimation approach for nonlinear dynamic systems with applications to wind turbine systems," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 6302-6312, 2019.
- [18] J. Albus, "A new approach to manipulator control: The cerebellar model articulation controller," *Transaction of the ASME Journal of Dynamic Systems*, vol. 97, no. 3, pp. 270-277, 1975.
- [19] S. Jagannathan, S. Commuri, and F. L. Lewis, "Feedback linearization using CMAC neural networks," *Automatica*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 547-557, 1998.
- [20] W. Fang, F. Chao, L. Yang, C. Lin, C. Shang, C. Zhou, and Q. Shen, "A recurrent emotional CMAC neural network controller for vision-based mobile robots," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 334, pp. 227-238, 2019.
- [21] C. Lin, Y. Liu, and H. Li, "SoPC-based function-link cerebellar model articulation control system design for magnetic ball levitation systems," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 61, no. 8, pp. 4265-4273, 2013.
- [22] C. T. Chiang, and C. S. Lin, "CMAC with general basis functions," ISA Transactions, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 1199-1211, 1996.
- [23] H. Ying, F. Lin, and R. Sherwin, "Fuzzy discrete event systems with gradient-based online learning," in Proc. FUZZ-IEEE Conf., New Orleans, LA, USA, pp. 1-6, 2019.
- [24] Y. Lin, J. Chang, and C. Lin, "A TSK-type-based self-evolving compensatory interval type-2 fuzzy neural network (TSCIT2FNN) and its applications," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 447-459, 2013.
- [25] C. Lin, R. Ramarao, and S. Gopalai, "Self-organizing adaptive fuzzy brain emotional learning control for nonlinear systems," *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 1989-2007, 2019.
- [26] X. Jing, and L. Cheng, "An optimal PID control algorithm for training feedforward neural networks," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 2273-2283, 2013.
- [27] G. Liu, S. Xu, Y. Wei, Z. Qi, and Z. Zhang, "New insight into reachable set estimation for uncertain singular time-delay systems," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 320, pp. 769-780, 2018.
- [28] S. Yin, H. Gao, J. Qiu, and O. Kaynak, "Descriptor reduced-order sliding mode observers design for switched systems with sensor and actuator faults," *Automatica*, vol. 76, pp. 282-292, 2017.
- [29] M. Chadli, and M. Darouach, "Novel bounded real lemma for discretetime descriptor systems: Application to  $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$  control design," *Automatica*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 449-453, 2012.
- [30] C. Lin, and C.F. Hsu, "Self-organizing CMAC control for a class of MIMO uncertain nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 1377-1384, 2009.
- [31] T. Huynh, C. Lin, T. Le, and Z. Zhong, "A mixed gaussian membership

646

595

596

- function fuzzy CMAC for a three-link robot," *in Proc. FUZZ-IEEE Conf.*, Glasgow, UK, pp. 1-6, 2020.
- [32] C. Lin, and C.F. Hsu, "Adaptive CMAC-based supervisory control for uncertain nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 1248-1260, 2004.
- [33] H. Li, J. Wang, H.K. Lam, and Q. Zhou, "Adaptive sliding mode control for interval type-2 fuzzy systems," *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, vol. 46, no. 12, pp. 1654-1663, 2016.
- [34] S. Xu, P. Dooren, R. tefan, and J. Lam, "Robust stability and stabilization for singular systems with state delay and parameter uncertainty," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 1122-1128, 2002.
- [35] M. Chadli, and T.M. Guerra, "LMI solution for robust static output feedback control of discrete Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy models," *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1160-1165, 2012.
- [36] T. Huynh , C. Lin , T. Le , H. Cho, T.T. Pham, N. Le, and F. Chao,
   "A new self-organizing fuzzy cerebellar model articulation controller
   for uncertain nonlinear systems using overlapped gaussian membership
   functions," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 67, no. 11,
   pp. 9671-9682, 2020.
- [37] R.S. Murray, Schaum's Mathematical Handbook of Formulas and Tables,
   Mcgraw-Hill, Inc., 1968.
- [38] B. Zhang, J. Lam, and S. Xu, "Reachable set estimation and controller design for distributed delay systems with bounded disturbances," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 351, no. 6, pp. 3068-3088, 2014.
- [39] Y. Wei, J. H. Park, J. Qiu and H. Jung, "Reliable output feedback control for piecewise affine systems with Markov-type sensor failure," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 913-917, 2018.
- E. Fridman and U. Shaked, "On reachable sets for linear systems with delay and bounded peak inputs," *Automatica*, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 2005-2010, 2012.
- [41] Neugebauer, Henrik. Parameter identification of a permanent magnetsynchronous motor. MS thesis. 2012.
- [42] T. Jahns, G. Kliman, and T. Neumann, "Interior permanent-magnet synchronous motors for adjustable-speed drives," *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 4, pp. 738-747, 1986.