PRUSZYŃSKI, Jacek, CIANCIARA, Dorota, WŁODARCZYK-PRUSZYŃSKA, Inga, GÓRCZAK, Małgorzata and PADZIŃSKA-PRUSZYŃSKA, Irena. Indoor Generation Era. Risks and challenges. Journal of Education, Health and Sport. 2023;48(1):23-40. eISSN 2391-8306. https://dx.doi.org/10.12775/JEHS.2023.48.01.002 https://apcz.umk.pl/JEHS/article/view/46075 https://zenodo.org/records/10006094

The journal has had 40 points in Ministry of Education and Science of Poland parametric evaluation. Annex to the announcement of the Minister of Education and Science of 17.07.2023 No. 32318. Has a Journal's Unique Identifier: 201159. Scientific disciplines assigned: Physical Culture Sciences (Field of Medical sciences and health Sciences): Health Sciences (Field of Medical Sciences). Punkty Ministerialne z 2019 - aktualny rok 40 punktów. Załącznik do komunikatu Ministra Edukacji i Nauki z dnia 17.07.2023 Lp. 32318. Posiada Unikatowy Identyfikator Czasopisma: 201159. Przypisane dyscypliny naukowe: Nauki o kulturze fizycznej (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu); Nauki o zdrowiu (Dziedzina nauk medycznych i nauk o zdrowiu). The article to the science science of the science science

This article is published with open access at Licensee Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland

In a article is published with open access at Lacense Open Journal Systems of Nicolaus Copernets University in Torun, Poland Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial license Share alike. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author (s) and source are credited. This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non commercial license Share alike. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0) which permits unrestricted, non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper. Received: 01.09.2023. Revised: 15.09.2023. Accepted: 16.10.2023. Published: 17.10.2023.

Indoor Generation Era. Risks and challenges

Jacek Pruszyński

School of Public Health, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warsaw, Poland

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2123-6488

Dorota Cianciara

School of Public Health, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warsaw, Poland

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0318-8649

Inga Włodarczyk-Pruszyńska

University Clinical Center of the Medical University of Warsaw, Poland

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2719-1815

Małgorzata Górczak

Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland, Institute of Biology, Department of Cancer Biology

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5383-6096

Irena Padzińska-Pruszyńska

Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland, Institute of Biology, Department of Cancer Biology

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0784-8066

Abstract

Our ability to remain in good health, both physical and mental, largely depends on the amount of time we spend out of doors. Certain changes to our lifestyle which came about due to technological progress have reduced the frequency of direct contact with the natural world and resulted in people remaining indoors for around 90% of their time. This has a negative impact on our health due to prolonged exposition to indoor pollutants, including light pollution on account of artificial lighting. A reasonable conclusion can be drawn that members of developed societies will, in the nearest future, continue to spend most of their time in various enclosed spaces. Because of this, in addition to taking steps aimed at motivating people to spend as much time as possible outside, we need to ensure that the conditions indoors are detrimental to us to the least extent possible and that the structural design of rooms where we stay supported our biological and mental needs.

Keywords: public health, indoor time, lockdown, anthropause, circadian circle, indoor pollution, remote work, outdoor activities, pet effect

Introduction.

Research into human mobility usually focus on migration, i.e. how people change the place where they stay. Consequently, they neglect one of the most important aspects of our mobility: movement in the course of everyday activities related to working or maintaining our household.

A typical plan of daily activities in the 21st century looks pretty much the same for all of us now: we wake up, we eat hastily in a poorly lit and insufficiently ventilated apartment, we spend eight or more hours at work, at a school bench, at a computer station, at an assembly line or at some type of

workplace with several breaks for keeping up with what has transpired in the meantime in "the world outside" via electronic media. Then we have another meal, do some household chores, spend several hours in front of a display of some kind and go to sleep. In spite of the fact that progress has made our lives easier by means of giving us new and improved tools, machines, and innovative technologies, it has also caused a drastic change in the way we live and behave. A considerable portion of the planet's population has, over the course of several decades, been freed from the burden of being directly involved with producing food. This facilitated migration to ever-growing agglomerations, conurbations, and metropoles. Working outside of the agricultural sector is associated with work performed indoors, in enclosed spaces. As a result of this process, many people spend most of their time in enclosed urban areas instead of out in the open, in a natural environment which would allow them to enjoy sunlight. Consequently, they lose their connection with the environment which their ancestors used to inhabit and their biological clock is more and more often disrupted. Such factors, when considered together, exert a negative influence on both the physical health of contemporary people and on their mental state, negatively impacting their well-being. Nowadays, researchers dedicate more and more of their time and attention to studying two core issues: how much time people spend in enclosed spaces (during work, in means of transport, while shopping, while socialising, and at home) and what impact this has on their health.

The results of a survey funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (1) might serve as a good starting point - they form a set of input data which, in view of its relevance, is one of the most often quoted in publications. The study made use of interviews conducted over the phone to procure from its participants retrospective data about how they allocated their time during the preceding day. It was concluded that American people spend, on average, 87% of their time in enclosed rooms and around 6% of their time in various means of transport (2). Data prepared by Statistica on the basis of EPA National Human Activity Pattern Survey indicate that American people spend 74.1% of their time at home / at the office / at work (at a production plant), 11.0% of their time in other rooms, 5.5% of their time in means of transport, and 1.8% of their time in bars / restaurants. The average American person spent 7.6% of their time in an outdoor environment.

Further research showed that respondents remained in a room of some sort for 21 hours per day (i.e. 90% of the day) (3,4,5). Data presented in Indoor Time – Microenvironment – Activity Patterns in Seven Regions of Europe (6) are equally alarming - the study indicates that the people of Helsinki, Athens, Grenoble, Milan, Prague, and Oxford spend most of their time in enclosed spaces.

In Poland, data from the Public Opinion Research Center (CBOS) from 2012 (7) indicate that a professionally active Pole dedicated 46 hours per week to work on average. Additionally, he or she

would also commute to and from work for an average of 5 hours per week (this includes people whose work does not require commuting). The total amount of time assigned to professional activity thus goes up to 51 hours per week, i.e. around 10 hours per day, assuming a working week of five days (8). If we add 10-16 hours per week assigned to shopping, paying visits to friends and family members, visiting state institutions, and going to the doctor (9) and, on average, 8 hours of sleep per day and if we assume that household chores such as cleaning up, doing the laundry, meal preparation, and helping children with their homework take around 2 hours per day, the end result for Poles is similar to what we see in other modern societies. This means that the total amount of time we spend outside of enclosed spaces constitutes a very small portion of our overall time. The situation is similar in the case of the elderly people after they have retired - they spend entire days at home, many of them in solitude (10).

The COVID pandemics and anthropause.

The COVID-19 pandemics and the recommendation to stay at home associated with it, as well as restrictions affecting our freedom of movement imposed by some governments, further extended the amount of time we spend in enclosed spaces. This has had a major impact on the ways in which we spend our free time (11, 12, 13): there emerged many programmes promoting fitness activities at home (14), as well as social media challenges such as #StayHomeChallenge or #ToiletRollChallenge (15). What is more, numerous professional sports events migrated to online platforms (16). This substantiates a claim that lockdown-related restrictions have resulted in a major alteration of our behavioural patterns which could be referred to as "time spent in a digital environment." While the importance of digital incentives to remain fit and physically active even when forced to remain at home should not be underestimated, the major role the COVID-related lockdown has played in restricting our mobility outdoors also cannot be denied. The above-indicated alteration of behavioural patterns caused by the lockdown resulted in a phenomenon referred to as anthropause (17), the slowing-down of human activity. The anthropause period has had a major impact on the amount of time which had to elapse before mobility and the level of human activity gradually reached their pre-COVID levels. An example of such an impact are mental disorders which appeared or intensified during that time (18).

Remote work

Even though it cannot be said that the COVID-19 pandemics started an era of remote work, it was during the COVID-19 lockdown that employees realised en masse that working from home is much more convenient than working from the office. The new mode of work turned out to also benefit employers who realised that employees working remotely are just as effective as those working at the office. Consequently, though the popularity of remote work had

been on the increase even before the COVID-19 pandemics (19), the pandemics did reinforce that trend, effectively making it the new norm. This was a result of the technological progress which resulted in the emergence of a digital economy as part of which e-commerce and online teaching became another viable source of revenue (20,21). The emergence of virtual conference platforms such as Skype, Zoom, or Google Classroom, made it possible for teachers to interact with pupils and students without either of them having to physically appear in a classroom and online business meetings became so widespread and convenient that they are still commonly used by numerous institutions in both the private and public sector.

Virtual reality - one of the reasons indoor activities.

More and more people work in a way requiring considerable focus while they prepare materials, search for data, edit data, and correct data. Work like that does not combine well with frequent interruptions which only encourages such people to remain in enclosed spaces continuously with only short breaks. Such behavioural patterns are now adopted by people of various ages who spend the majority of their day at their computerized workplace while at the same time staying in touch with their peers whose situation is like their own. The virtual reality which can be generated by high class computers and smart digital TV sets and the development of mobile telephony mean that such people are more and more often content with viewing the external world only via the digital media they are surrounded with. Interacting with others through social media negates the need to engage in face-to-face direct meetings (22). According to a study carried out by PEW Research in 2023, around one-third (35%) of employees whose work allows them to work remotely work from home all the time. This is a significant increase compared to 7% from before the COVID-19 pandemics. Moreover, many employees performing hybrid work claim that they would also like to increase the share of the work they do remotely. Around one-third (34%) of people who now work remotely most of the time claims that they would switch to working from home all the time if they were given the choice to do so. As far as people whose work is partially performed online are concerned, half of them would like to work remotely all the time (18%) or most of the time (32%) (23). The sheer digital capacity and possibilities at our disposal resulted in both the creators of various online content and its recipients remaining in enclosed spaces for most of their time.

Consequences of staying indoors. Air in enclosed rooms and its impact on health.

The term "quality of air in rooms" (24) refers to the quality of air at home, at school, at the office, at one's production plant workplace or in another artificially created environment. When asked about air

pollution, people usually think about areas surrounding big factories or busy urban areas with high levels of pollutants generated by cars. However, what impacts us the most is the quality of air in the enclosed spaces we stay in the most (25). Members of modern societies spend 90% of their time indoors (26), in rooms where the concentration levels of certain pollutants are sometimes 2 to 5 times higher than outside (27). People who are particularly vulnerable to and likely to be adversely affected by pollutants, such as the elderly, children, people suffering from circulatory or respiratory disorders, spend even more time in enclosed spaces (28,29).

The levels of concentration of pollutants in rooms have increased over several decades due to factors such as, among other things, buildings being constructed with saving energy in mind (i.e. with less focus on ensuring proper air circulation) and greater use of synthetic construction materials which might release volatile toxins over time (30,31). Common use of household chemicals and personal hygiene products inside rooms is also of no small impact (32).

Most pollutants influencing the quality of air in rooms come from sources inside buildings. Widely used products, such as cleaning agents, paints, and insecticides, introduce multiple chemical substances, including volatile organic compounds detrimental to humans, to our indoor environments. Potential sources of pollutants include construction materials (33) - whether as part of the process of their decomposition (e.g. substances released as insulation decomposes) or as a result of sublimation (e.g. from compressed wood products). Indoor pollutants could also be of natural origin (for example, moulds) (36). Harmful side products of combustion (e.g. carbon monoxide and solid particles) could be released from fireplaces and heating or cooking devices (37), especially if those run on synthetic fuels (38). Another important source of indoor pollution is tobacco smoke (39,40).

External pollutants may enter rooms through open doors and windows, ventilation systems, and cracks in structural elements. Some pollutants make their way into rooms from the foundations of buildings (for example, radon (41) which appears in places where uranium naturally present in rocks and soil decomposes). Volatile chemical substances can make their way into buildings in areas with polluted groundwater or soil (42). When people living in a given building use water (for bathing or taking a shower), chemicals present in water supply systems can make their way into rooms (43). There are many other factors influencing the quality of air in rooms, including the rate of air exchange, environmental conditions outside of said rooms, weather conditions, and the socio-economic status and behaviour of users of said rooms (44).

The most frequent health-related consequences of indoor air pollution include: irritation of the nose, eyes, and throat, headaches and dizziness, and tiredness. There are also documented instances of disorders affecting the respiratory and circulatory systems and tumours (45,46,47,48,49,50). An example illustrating the importance of indoor environment quality in enclosed

spaces is the "sick building syndrome" (51,52) which consists in different people suffering similar ailments after entering a specific building with those symptoms being alleviated or disappearing soon after they leave it.

There are also less known consequences of spending long hours indoors, ones related to the human body's connection to the rhythm of nature and the daily behavioural patterns governed by the biological clock being severed, thus disrupting the body's physiological attunement to the cycle of being asleep and awake. Exposition to the natural light/darkness cycle is a crucial element which our bodies need to function correctly (53). The intensity of light outside during the day falls somewhere between 10 000 and many dozens of thousands of lux, depending on cloud cover, while the intensity of light in most indoor environments is usually below 400 lux (54). Such levels of illumination are sufficient for us to perform our daily activities but they are not enough to regulate our biological cycles (55). Another typical feature associated with staying inside enclosed spaces for extended periods of time is the light pollution which results in incorrect application of activity/sleep cycles and de-synchronisation of the human internal clock not only with regard to the environment but also the rhythm of various processes (including hormonal processes) in interpersonal relations (56). This makes it more difficult to fall asleep and also interferes with physiological processes and metabolism which could lead to health-related issues (57). It can be reasonably demonstrated that those issues manifest themselves as disorders such as: increased frequency of occurrence of tumours, obesity, and type two diabetes - all of which are now considered diseases of affluence (58).

Recommendations: behavioural, technical, and organisational

According to researchers, children should spend 4-6 hours per day out of doors and at least 3 hours of outdoor playtime is recommended to ensure their correct development (59). As for adults, even though the mental and physical benefits of spending time outdoors (preferably in a natural environment) are highlighted in numerous articles (60,61,62,63), there is no clear-cut consensus as to the exact amount of time which needs to be spent outside for beneficial results. Data from different sources indicates that at least 10 to 30 minutes per day spent outdoors is beneficial for an adult (64,65,66,67). Even though there is an increasing number of studies indicating that staying out of doors, especially in a natural environment, improves our health and the way we feel (68,69), obligations related to our work and maintaining our households still often prevail over our efforts at going out and enjoying ourselves. Such efforts may become easier if we owned a dog - Maurice Maeterlinck described dogs as the only form of life to have formed an alliance with humans and they indeed have a considerable impact on increasing the amount of time their owners spend outside (70,71). Walking the dog on a daily basis requires physical activity from the owner and goes a long way towards disrupting the routine of everyday life (72,73). Owning a dog also opens up new opportunities related to outdoor sports. Jogging, Nordic walking, cycling, or dog obedience training - all those are not only bound to increase

the amount of physical exercise we do but also contribute towards building a better relationship with our four-legged companion (74). This is good for our health and helps us stay in shape and it is also beneficial for our mental well-being (75). There are also social benefits associated with owning a dog: dog owners, when walking their pets or participating in various events for pets, come into contact with other dog owners which facilitates forming social relationships (76). Owning a dog increases the frequency of our contacts with the natural world and the presence of a faithful companion makes every trip more enjoyable than simply going for a walk (77). To sum up, owning a dog gives us much more than simple companionship. It also encourages us to be more active out of doors, resulting in mental, physical, and social benefits. Looking after a pet gives the owner more opportunities to interact with the natural world and invites them to spend more time outdoors.

Since it can be assumed that in the future people will remain likely to spend considerable amounts of time in enclosed spaces, they should make sure that the environment within such spaces is as healthy for them as possible (78,79,80,81,82,83). Some of the commonly proposed solutions may seem trivial. In spite of that, regular cleaning coupled with reducing the use of chemical cleaning agents as much as possible, ventilating rooms at least three or four times per day and re-arranging interiors in such way as to maximise the amount of natural light reaching them is no less important. Similar, and equally important, recommendations include, as much as possible: leaving laundry to dry outside, switching oven hood's extraction fans on while cooking, closing the bathroom doors while taking a shower, and avoiding the use of candles, incense, or joss sticks inside rooms (84,85,86). The Environmental Protection Agency also recommends identifying and eliminating external sources of pollution by means of making foundations, roofs, walls, and floors airtight (87). Proper air flow needs to be ensured, especially for humid rooms because humidity facilitates the growth and spreading of moulds and saprophytes. Another important recommendation is to use non-toxic wooden floors and wood varnishes, as well as non-polluting or low-emission fitted carpets, wallpapers, paints, sealants, glues, and insulation. Attention should also be paid to ensuring that air vents and fuel-consuming devices such as gas stoves and central heating furnaces and bathroom heating devices are systematically inspected to guarantee their optimum functioning (88). Houses and apartments should be designed, built, and equipped in a way taking the needs of their future occupants into account and with the use of materials which pose no threat to human health. The Circadian House is an example of such design. Its purpose is to create rooms supporting the biological needs of their inhabitants. Such a house should include sufficient access to the external environment, make it possible to take advantage of natural light to the greatest extent possible and in line with the natural life rhythm, and guarantee protection against pollution (89,90,91).

Conclusion

Members of modern societies are, whether out of necessity or by choice, often forced to spend most of their time in enclosed spaces such as apartments, offices, means of transport, and educational facilities. This also applies to the elderly (92) who tend to be less active in any case. It has been proven that staying in enclosed spaces for extended periods of time can have a drastic impact on both physical and mental health (93,94,95,96,97,98). Insomnia, increased levels of aggression, irritability, lowered immunological defences of the body, increased vulnerability to circulatory, respiratory, and metabolic diseases, as well as a deterioration of social life are only some of the problems modern societies face. Those, at least in part, stem from decreased contact with the natural environment (99,100,101). Unfortunately, life in enclosed spaces is increasingly more common also among younger people who tend to derive less and less joy from interacting with the natural world and other people, choosing to spend their time in a virtual world instead. The digital age brought about by technological progress did make it possible for us to work remotely, study in the comfort of our own bedroom, and interact with others socially or enjoy entertainment online but it also made it necessary for us to take steps aimed at improving our indoor environments. It is important now to systematically spread information about the benefits of spending time outdoors and be active outside of one's own home. Owning a dog has the added benefit of encouraging its owner to spend more time outside and contributes to improving their physical and mental wellbeing.

Author's contribution:

Pruszyński J. J. -conceptualization, methodology; writing—review and editing, writing - rough preparation, supervision

Cianciara D. - writing-review and editing,

Włodarczyk-Pruszyńska I. Z. - writing-review and editing,

Górczak M. - writing-review and editing,

Padzińska-Pruszyńska I. B.- writing - rough preparation, writing-review and editing, methodology

All authors have read and agreed with the published version of the manuscript.

Funding statement: The study did not receive special funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

 The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): A Resource for Assessing Exposure to Environmental Pollutants, https://digital.library.unt.edu/ark:/67531/metadc719357/ (Access: 25.07.2023)

2. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants: https://www.nature.com/articles/7500165 (Access: 25.07.2023)

3. Paulsen SG. Future generations face health risks from life indoors. 2018. https://press.velux.com/future-generation-of-brits-faces-health-risks-from-life-indoors/ (Access: 25.07.2023)

4. The Indoor Generation. How light and air quality affect our well-being. https://www.nytimes.com/paidpost/velux/the-indoor-generation.html (Access: 25.07.2023)

5. Barth S. Brits spend 92% of ALL their time indoors. 2017. https://road.cc/content/news/217728-brits-spend-92-all-their-time-indoors (Access: 25.07.2023)

6. Schweizer, C., Edwards, R., Bayer-Oglesby, L. et al. Indoor time-microenvironment-activity patterns in seven regions of Europe. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2007;17, 170-181. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jes.7500490

7. Public Opinion Research Center Foundation. Everyday mobility. BS/104/2012 Warszawa, 2012. Polish.

8. ibidem, s.4

9. ibidem, s.6

10. ibidem, s.9

11. Długosz P. The trauma of the COVID-19 pandemic in Polish society. 1sr ed. CeDeWu Sp. z o.o. publisher. 2021. Polish.

12. Velde G, Lubrecht J, Arayess L, van Loo C, Hesselink M, Reijnders D, Vreugdenhil A. Physical activity behaviour and screen time in Dutch children during the COVID-19 pandemic: Pre-, during-and post-school closures. Pediatr Obes. 2021;16(9):e12779.

13. Stockwell S, Trott M, Tully M, Shin J, Barnett Y, Butler L, McDermott D, Schuch F, Smith L. Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviours from before to during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: a systematic review. BMJ Open Sport & Exercise Medicine 2021;7:e000960.

14. Allen G, Velija P. Parents perceptions of online physical activity and leisure with early years children during Covid-19 and beyond, Leisure Studies, 2023;42:1, 23-37.

15. Bond AJ, Widdop P, Cockayne D, Parnell D. Prosumption, networks and value during a global pandemic: Lockdown leisure and COVID-19. Leisure Sciences. 2021;43(1–2), 70–77. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490400.2020.1773985

16. Davis L. The adaptation of the live PDC darts event during the COVID-19 lockdown. Managing Sport and Leisure. 2022;27(3), 247–253.

17. Bustad JJ, Clevenger SM, Rick OJ. COVID-19 and outdoor recreation in the post-anthropause. Leisure Studies. 2023;42(1), 85-99.

18. Kindred R, Bates GW. The Influence of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Social Anxiety: A Systematic Review. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20(3):2362.

19. Mann A, Adkins A. America's Coming Workplace: Home Alone. https://news.gallup.com/businessjournal/206033/america-coming-workplace-home-alone.aspx (Access: 25.07.2023)

20. Zou T, Cheshmehzangi A. ICT Adoption and Booming E-Commerce Usage in the COVID-19 Era. Front Psychol. 2022;13:916843.

21. DeCoito I, Estaiteyeh M. Transitioning to Online Teaching During the COVID-19 Pandemic: an Exploration of STEM Teachers' Views, Successes, and Challenges. J Sci Educ Technol. 2022;31(3):340-356.

22. Meier JV, Noel JA, Kaspar K. Alone Together: Computer-Mediated Communication in Leisure Time During and After the COVID-19 Pandemic. Front Psychol. 2021;12:666655.

23. Parker K. About a third of U.S. workers who can work from home now do so all the time. https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2023/03/30/about-a-third-of-us-workers-who-can-work-from-home-do-so-all-the-time/ (Access: 25.07.2023)

24. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Indoor Air Quality. https://www.epa.gov/reportenvironment/indoor-air-quality (Access: 25.07.2023)

25. Cincinelli A, Martellini T. Indoor Air Quality and Health. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017;14(11):1286.

26. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Report to Congress on indoor air quality: Volume 2. EPA/400/1-89/001C. Washington, DC

27. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1987. The total exposure assessment methodology (TEAM) study: Summary and analysis. EPA/600/6-87/002a. Washington, DC.

28. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Report to Congress on indoor air quality: Volume 2. EPA/400/1-89/001C. Washington, DC 3-4.

29. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1997. Exposure factors handbook volume 3: Activity factors. EPA/600/P-95/002Fa. Washington, DC

30. Mannan M, Al-Ghamdi SG. Indoor Air Quality in Buildings: A Comprehensive Review on the Factors Influencing Air Pollution in Residential and Commercial Structure. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(6):3276.

31. Huang L, Fantke P, Ritscher A, Jolliet O. Chemicals of concern in building materials: A high-throughput screening. J Hazard Mater. 2022;424(Pt C):127574

32. United States Environmental Protection Agency. The Inside Story: A Guide to Indoor Air Quality. https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/inside-story-guide-indoor-air-quality (Access: 25.07.2023)

33. Mitchell B. Building materials can be a major source of indoor air pollution. Occup Health Saf. 2013;82(9):62, 64.

34. Lyu X, Huo Y, Yang J, Yao D, Li K, Lu H, Zeren Y, Guo H. Real-time molecular characterization of air pollutants in a Hong Kong residence: Implication of indoor source emissions and heterogeneous chemistry. Indoor Air. 2021;31(5):1340-1352.

35. Kanazawa A, Saito I, Araki A, Takeda M, Ma M, Saijo Y, Kishi R. Association between indoor exposure to semi-volatile organic compounds and building-related symptoms among the occupants of residential dwellings. Indoor Air. 2010;20(1):72-84.

36. Caillaud D, Leynaert B, Keirsbulck M, Nadif R; mould ANSES working group. Indoor mould exposure, asthma and rhinitis: findings from systematic reviews and recent longitudinal studies. Eur Respir Rev. 2018;27(148):170137.

37. de Gennaro G, Dambruoso PR, Di Gilio A, Di Palma V, Marzocca A, Tutino M. Discontinuous and Continuous Indoor Air Quality Monitoring in Homes with Fireplaces or Wood Stoves as Heating System. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;13(1):78.

38. White AJ, Teitelbaum SL, Stellman SD, Beyea J, Steck SE, Mordukhovich I, McCarty KM, Ahn J, Rossner P Jr, Santella RM, Gammon MD. Indoor air pollution exposure from use of indoor stoves and fireplaces in association with breast cancer: a case-control study. Environ Health. 2014;13:108. doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-13-108.

39. Tiotiu AI, Novakova P, Nedeva D, Chong-Neto HJ, Novakova S, Steiropoulos P, Kowal K. Impact of Air Pollution on Asthma Outcomes. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(17):6212.

40. Rushton L. Health Impact of Environmental Tobacco Smoke in the Horne. Rev Environ Health. 2021;19(3-4):291-310.

41. Frumkin H, Samet JM. Radon. CA Cancer J Clin. 2001;51(6):337-44, 322; quiz 345-8.

42. Yu S, Unger AJ, Parker B. Simulating the fate and transport of TCE from groundwater to indoor air. J Contam Hydrol. 2009;107(3-4):140-61.

43. Squillace PJ, Moran MJ. Factors associated with sources, transport, and fate of volatile organic compounds and their mixtures in aquifers of the United States. Environ Sci Technol. 2007;41(7):2123-30.

44. Ferguson L, Taylor J, Davies M, Shrubsole C, Symonds P, Dimitroulopoulou S. Exposure to indoor air pollution across socio-economic groups in high-income countries: A scoping review of the literature and a modelling methodology. Environ Int. 2020;143:105748.

45. Institute of Medicine. 2000. Clearing the air: Asthma and indoor air exposures. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

46. Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2002;15(3):506-526.

47. Raub JA, Mathieu-Nolf M, Hampson NB, Thom SR. Carbon monoxide poisoning—a public health perspective. Toxicology. 2000;145:1-14.

48. Argacha JF, Bourdrel T, van de Borne P. Ecology of the cardiovascular system: A focus on airrelated environmental factors. Trends Cardiovasc Med. 2018;28(2):112-126.

49. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2003. EPA assessment of risks from radon in homes. EPA/402/R-03/003. Washington, DC

50. National Research Council. Health effects of exposure to indoor radon: biological effects of ionizing radiation (BEIR), report VI. Washington, DC: National Academies Press. 1999.

51. Redlich CA, Sparer J, Cullen MR. Sick-building syndrome. Lancet. 1997;349(9057):1013-6.

52. Burge PS. Sick building syndrome. Occup Environ Med. 2004;61(2):185-90.

53. Blume Ch, Garbazza C, Spitschan M, Effects of light on human circadian rhythms, sleep and mood. Somnologie 2019;23:147–156 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11818-019-00215-x

54. Roenneberg T, Wirz-Justice A, Merrow M. Life between Clocks: Daily Temporal Patterns of Human Chronotype Journal of Biological Rhythms. 2003;18 (1); 80-90.

55. Baehr EK, Fogg LF, Eastman CI. Intermittent bright light and exercise to entrain human circadian rhythms to night work. Am. J. Physiol. 1999;277:1598–1604.

56. Ouyang JQ, Davies S, Dominoni D. Hormonally mediated effects of artificial light at night on behavior and fitness: linking endocrine mechanisms with function. J Exp Biol. 2018;221(6).

57. Cho Y, Ryu SH, Lee BR, Kim KH, Lee E, Choi J. Effects of artificial light at night on human health: A literature review of observational and experimental studies applied to exposure assessment. Chronobiol Int. 2015;32(9):1294-310.

58. Skwarło-Sońta K, Majewski P. Melatonin, a multifunctional signaling molecule in the mammalian organism: biosynthetic sites, functions, mechanisms of action. Folia Medica Lodziensia, 2010;37(1):15-55. Polish.

59. Pakulniewicz W. Spędzanie czasu na świeżym powietrzu- ile czasu dla dzieci przedszkolnych i szkolnych. https://www.portaloswiatowy.pl/opieka-nad-uczniami-w-czasie-zajec-i-dyzurow/spedzanie-czasu-na-swiezym-powietrzu-ile-czasu-dla-dzieci-przedszkolnych-i-szkolnych-19983.html (Access: 25.07.2023)

60. James P, Hart JE, Banay RF, Laden F. Exposure to greenness and mortality in a nationwide prospective cohort study of women. Environ. Health Perspect. 2016;124, 1344–1352.

61. Crouse DL, Pinault L, Balram A, Hystad P, Peters PA, Chen H, van Donkelaar A, Randall V Martin RV, Ménard R, Robichaud A. Urban greenness and mortality in Canada's largest cities: a national cohort study. Lancet Planet. Health 1. 2017;289–297

62. Hansen MM, Jones R, Tocchini K. Shinrin-Yoku (forest bathing) and nature therapy: a state-of-the-art review. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 2017.

63. Antonelli M, Barbieri G, Donelli, D. Effects of forest bathing (shinrin-yoku) on levels of cortisol as a stress biomarker: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int. J. Biometeorol. 2019;63:1117.

64. Loebach J, Rakow DA, Meredith G, Shepley MM. Time Outdoors in Nature to Improve Staff Well-Being: Examining Changes in Behaviors and Motivations among University Staff in the Use of Natural Outdoor Environments Since the Emergence of the COVID-19 Pandemic. Front. Psychol. 2022;13.

65. Hunter MR, Gillespie BW, Chen SY. Urban Nature Experiences Reduce Stress in the Context of Daily Life Based on Salivary Biomarkers. Front. Psychol. 2019;10.

66. Stangierska D, Fornal-Pieniak B, Szumigała P, Widera K, Zarska B, Szumigała K. Green Physical Activity Indicator: Health, Physical Activity and Spending Time Outdoors Related to Residents Preference for Greenery. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2023; 20, 1242.

67. Meredith GR, Rakow DA, Eldermire ERB, Madsen CG, Shelley SP and Sachs NA. Minimum Time Dose in Nature to Positively Impact the Mental Health of College-Aged Students, and How to Measure It: A Scoping Review. Front. Psychol. 2020;10:2942.

68. Hartig T, Kahn PH Jr. Living in cities, naturally. Science. 2016;352 (6288), 938-940

69. White MP, Alcock I, Grellier J, Wheeler BW, Hartig T, Warber SL, BoneA, Depledge MH, Fleming LE. Spending at least 120 minutes a week in nature is associated with good health and wellbeing Scientific Reports. 2019;9:7730.

70. Dall PM, Ellis SLH, Ellis BM, Grant PM, Colyer A, Gee NR, Granat MH, Mills DS. The influence of dog ownership on objective measures of free-living physical activity and sedentary behaviour in community-dwelling older adults: a longitudinal case-controlled study. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):496.

71. Machová K, Daďová K, Chaloupková H, Svobodová I. Does having a pet influence the physical activity of their young female owners?. BMC Public Health. 2019;19, 1672. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7962-z

72. Martins CF, Soares JP, Cortinhas A, Silva L, Cardoso L, Pires MA, Mota MP. Pet's influence on humans' daily physical activity and mental health: a meta-analysis. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1196199.

73. Powell L, Edwards KM, Bauman A, McGreevy P, Podberscek A, Neilly B, Sherrington C, Stamatakis E. Does dog acquisition improve physical activity, sedentary behaviour and biological markers of cardiometabolic health? Results from a three-arm controlled study. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. 2020;6(1).

74. Csepregi M, Gácsi M. Factors Contributing to Successful Spontaneous Dog-Human Cooperation. Animals (Basel). 2023;13(14):2390.

75. Barcelos AM, Kargas N, Maltby J, Hall S, Mills DS. A framework for understanding how activities associated with dog ownership relate to human well-being. Sci Rep. 2020;10(1):11363.

76. Wood L, Giles-Corti B, Bulsara M. The pet connection: pets as a conduit for social capital? Soc Sci Med. 2005;61(6):1159-73

77. Zijlema WL, Christian H, Triguero-Mas M, Cirach M, van den Berg M, Maas J, Gidlow CJ, Kruize H, Wendel-Vos W, Andrušaitytė S, Grazuleviciene R, Litt J, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ. Dog ownership, the natural outdoor environment and health: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2019;9(5):e023000.

78. Reduce indoor allergens that can trigger respiratory problems and other issues. https://www.health.harvard.edu/staying-healthy/easy-ways-you-can-improve-indoor-air-quality (Access: 25.07.2023)

79. World Health Organization. Household air pollution. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/household-air-pollution-and-

health?gclid=EAIaIQobChMIy9nY4eC6gAMVV5GDBx24mAEyEAAYASAAEgIqMfD_BwE (Access: 25.07.2023)

80. Government of Canada. Improve indoor air quality in your home. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/air-quality/improve-indoor-air-quality-in-your-home.html (Access: 25.07.2023)

81. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: dampness and mould. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/164348/9789289041683eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (Access: 25.07.2023)

82. World Health Organization, Household air pollution. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/household-air-pollution-and-health (Access: 25.07.2023)

83. U.S. Epa. Benefits and Costs of the Clean Air Act 1990-2020, the Second Prospective Study. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/benefits-and-costs-clean-air-act-1990-2020-second-prospective-study (Access: 25.07.2023)

84. Kureshi RR, Thakker D, Mishra BK, Barnes J. From Raising Awareness to a Behavioural Change: A Case Study of Indoor Air Quality Improvement Using IoT and COM-B Model. Sensors (Basel). 2023;23(7):3613.

85. Rosário Filho NA, Urrutia-Pereira M, D'Amato G, Cecchi L, Ansotegui IJ, Galán C, Pomés A, Murrieta-Aguttes M, Caraballo L, Rouadi P, Chong-Neto HJ, Peden DB. Air pollution and indoor settings. World Allergy Organ J. 2021;14(1):100499.

86. Zhang F, Shi L, Liu S, Shi J, Cheng M. Indoor Air Quality in Tujia Dwellings in Hunan, China: Field Tests, Numerical Simulations, and Mitigation Strategies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(14):8396.

87. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Improving Indoor Air Quality. https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/improving-indoor-air-quality (Access: 25.07.2023)

88. NSW Department of Planning and Environment. Can I Do anything to reduce air pollution in my home? https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/questions/air-pollution-home (Access: 25.07.2023)

89. Hobday R, Dancer SJ. Roles of sunlight and natural ventilation for controlling infection: historical and current perspectives. J Hosp Infect 2013; 84:271-282.

90. Circadian House. Principles and Guidelines for Healthy Homes. http://thedaylightsite.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Circadian-House-Principles-and-guidelines-for-healthy-homes.pdf (Access: 25.07.2023)

91. Foldbjerg P, Andersen PA, Roy N, Christoffersen J. Circadian house: A vision for homes designed to be healthy and human-centric. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319703017 (Access: 25.07.2023)

92. Sattari Z, Weitkamp G, Meijering L. What happens behind doors? Exploring everyday indoor activities when ageing in place. Journal of Aging Studies. 2023; 64, 101109 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaging.2023.101109

93. Samet JM, Spengler JD. Indoor environments and health: moving into the 21st century. Am J Public Health. 2003;93(9):1489-93.

94. Dakua M, Karmakar R, Barman P. Exposure to indoor air pollution and the cognitive functioning of elderly rural women: a cross-sectional study using LASI data, India. BMC Public Health 2022; 22, 2272. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14749-7.

95. Kephalopoulos, S., Csobod, E., Bruinen De Bruin, Y. and De Oliveira Fernandes, E., Guidelines for healthy environments within European schools, EUR 26726, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2014.

96. Almeida-Silva M, Wolterbeek HT, Almeida SM. Elderly exposure to indoor air pollutants Atmos Environ. 2014;85:54-63.

97. Yazdi MD, Wang Y, Di Q, Wei Y, Requia WJ, Shi L, Sabath MB, Dominici F, Coull BA, Evans JS, Koutrakis P, Schwartz JD. Long-Term Association of Air Pollution and Hospital Admissions Among Medicare Participants Using a Doubly Robust Additive Model. Circulation. 2021;143:1584–1596. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050252.

98. Bin Mahfoz TN, AlazhraniL M, Sharik RA, Ahmad MS, Ahmad RK. Association of indoor air pollution on cognitive dysfunction among elderly European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences 2021; 25:5664-5673.

99. Bentayeb M, Simoni M, Norback D, Baldacci S, Maio S, Viegi G, Annesi-Maesano I. Indoor air pollution and respiratory health in the elderly. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. 2013;48(14):1783-9.

100. Karami Z, Golmohammadi R, Heidaripahlavian A, Poorolajal J, Heidarimoghadam R. Effect of Daylight on Melatonin and Subjective General Health Factors in Elderly People. Iran J Public Health. 2016;45(5):636-43.

101. Simoni M, Jaakkola MS, Carrozzi L, Baldacci S, Di Pede F, Viegi G. European Respiratory Journal 2003;21: 15-20.