di Genova

Università degli Studi di Genova

Dottorato di Ricerca
in Matematica e Applicazioni Ciclo XXXV

Universität Bayreuth
Promotion an der Fakultät für Mathematik, Physik und Informatik

# Constructions and Moduli of Surfaces of General Type and Related Topics 

Ph.D. Thesis

Candidate:
Massimiliano Alessandro

SUPERVISOR:
Prof. Dr. Matteo Penegini

SUPERVISOR:
Prof. Dr. Ingrid Bauer

A mio padre.

## Abstract

In this thesis we treat two topics: the construction of minimal complex surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2,3$ and an extension of Schur's concept of a representation group for projective representations to the setting of semi-projective representations. These are the contents of the two articles [AC22] and [AGK23], which are two joint works: the former with Fabrizio Catanese, the latter with Christian Gleissner and Julia Kotonski.

The first part of the thesis is devoted to the treatment of the construction method for minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q$ developed together with Fabrizio Catanese in (AC22].

We give first a construction of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$, $K^{2}=5$ and Albanese map of degree 3, describing a unirational irreducible connected component of the Gieseker moduli space, which we show to be the only one with these invariants fulfilling a mild technical assumption (Gorenstein Assumption, see Assumption 2.6) and whose general element $S$ has Albanese surface $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ containing no elliptic curve. We call it the component of CHPP surfaces, since it contains the family constructed by Chen and Hacon in [CH06], and coincides with the one constructed by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo13a.

Similarly, we construct a unirational irreducible connected component of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree 4 , which we call the component of PP4 surfaces since it coincides with the irreducible one constructed by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo 14 .

Furthermore, we answer a question posed by Chen and Hacon [CH06] by constructing three families of surfaces with $p_{g}=q$ whose Tschirnhaus module has a kernel realization with quotient a nontrivial homogeneous bundle. Two families have $p_{g}=q=3$ (one of them is just a potential example since a computer script showing the existence is still missing), while the third one is a new family of surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree 3. The latter, whose existence is showed in [CS22], yields a new irreducible component of the Gieseker moduli space, which we call the component of AC3 surfaces. This is the first known component with these invariants, and moreover we show that it is unirational.

We point out that we provide explicit and global equations for all the five families of surfaces we mentioned above.

Finally, in the second and last part of the thesis we treat the content of the joint work AGK23] with Christian Gleissner and Julia Kotonski.

Here we study semi-projective representations, i.e., homomorphisms of finite groups to the group of semi-projective transformations of finite dimensional vector spaces over an arbitrary field $K$. The main tool we use is group cohomology, more precisely explicit computations involving cocycles.

As our main result, we extend Schur's concept of projective representation groups [Sch04] to the semi-projective case under the assumption that $K$ is algebraically closed.

Furthermore, a computer algorithm is given: it produces, for a given finite group, all twisted representation groups under trivial or conjugation actions on the field of complex numbers.

In order to stress the relevance of the theory, we discuss two important applications, where semi-projective representations occur naturally.

The first one reviews Isaacs' treatment in Clifford theory for characters Isa81, namely the extension problem of invariant characters (over arbitrary fields) defined on normal subgroups.

The second one is our original algebro-geometric motivation and deals with the problem to find linear parts of homeomorphisms and biholomorphisms between complex torus quotients.
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## Introduction

In this thesis we mostly treat the contents of the two articles AC22, AGK23].
The first part of the thesis is devoted to the treatment of a construction method for minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q$ developed together with Fabrizio Catanese in AC22.

First, we give a construction of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$, $K^{2}=5$ and Albanese map of degree 3, describing a unirational irreducible connected component of the Gieseker moduli space, which we show to be the only one with these invariants fulfilling a mild technical assumption (Gorenstein Assumption, see Assumption 0.7) and whose general element $S$ has Albanese surface $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ containing no elliptic curve. We call it the component of CHPP surfaces, since it contains the family constructed by Chen and Hacon in CH06, and coincides with the one constructed by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo13a.

Secondly, we construct a unirational irreducible connected component of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree 4, which we call the component of PP4 surfaces since it coincides with the irreducible one constructed by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo14.

Finally, we answer a question posed by Chen and Hacon [CH06] by constructing three families of surfaces with $p_{g}=q$ whose Tschirnhaus module has a kernel realization with quotient a nontrivial homogeneous bundle. Two families have $p_{g}=q=3$ (one of them is just a potential example since a computer script showing the existence is still missing), while the third one is a new family of surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree 3. The latter, whose existence is showed in [CS22], yields a new irreducible component of the Gieseker moduli space, which we call the component of AC3 surfaces. This is the first known component with these invariants, and moreover we show that it is unirational.

We point out right away that we provide explicit and global equations for all the five families of surfaces we mentioned above.

Later on we will describe the state of the art in the classification of surfaces of general type, and moreover we will highlight the novelty of our construction method in Remark 0.12 .

In the second and last part of the thesis we treat the content of the joint work AGK23.

Recalling that a projective representation of a finite group $G$ is a homomorphism

$$
f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(V)
$$

where $\operatorname{PGL}(V)$ is the group of projective transformations of a finite dimensional $K$ vector space $V$, in AGK23 we consider more in general homomorphisms

$$
f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V),
$$

where $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \cong \mathrm{PGL}(V) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is the group of semi-projective transformations of $V$. We call such homomorphisms semi-projective representations and study them by using as a main tool group cohomology, more precisely explicit computations involving cocycles.

The novelty of our approach mainly relies on the fact that we allow nontrivial actions of the group $G$ on the field $K$ (see Remark 0.19 ).

As our main result, we extend Schur's concept of projective representation groups Sch04 to the semi-projective case under the assumption that $K$ is algebraically closed.

Furthermore, a computer algorithm is given: it produces, for a given finite group, all twisted representation groups under trivial or conjugation actions on the field of complex numbers.

In order to stress the relevance of the theory, we discuss two important applications, where semi-projective representations occur naturally.

The first one reviews Isaacs' treatment in Clifford theory for characters Isa81, namely the extension problem of invariant characters (over arbitrary fields) defined on normal subgroups.

The second one is our original algebro-geometric motivation and deals with the problem to find linear parts of homeomorphisms and biholomorphisms between complex torus quotients.

Later on, on pages 13-16, we will describe our working setup and results more in detail. In particular, there we will explain the above-mentioned concept of a projective representation group by introducing the so-called lifting problem (see diagram (0.16)), highlighting on page 13 the connection between the two articles [AC22] and [AGK23] (see diagram (0.14).

Let us now explain with more details the content of the thesis.
The classification of surfaces of general type is a classical and long-standing research topic. Recall that such surfaces have a unique minimal model, hence their birational classification amounts to the classification of minimal surfaces of general type.

In this context, given a minimal surface of general type $S$, classical inequalities are known:

- $K_{S}^{2} \geq 1, \chi(S) \geq 1$ (the second one due to Castelnuovo, Bea96, Proposition X.1, Theorem X.4]);
- $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 \chi(S)-6$ (Noether's inequality, BHPV04, Theorem 3.1]);
- $K_{S}^{2} \leq 9 \chi(S)$ (Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality, Miy77], Yau77, Yau78]);
- $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}$ if $q>0$ (Debarre's inequality, Deb82).

It turns out that isomorphism classes of minimal surfaces of general type can be parametrized by countably many quasi-projective families. More precisely, Gieseker proved ([Gie77, Theorem 1.3]) the following.

Theorem 0.1. There exists a quasi-projective coarse moduli scheme $\mathcal{M}_{K_{S}^{2}, \chi(S)}$ for minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with fixed invariants $K_{S}^{2}, \chi(S)$.

Then, for fixed values of $K_{S}^{2}, \chi(S)$, we can consider the Gieseker moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{K_{S}^{2}, \chi(S)}$ and its subschemes $\mathcal{M}_{K_{S}^{2}, p_{g}, q}$ corresponding to minimal surfaces of general type with given invariants $K_{S}^{2}, p_{g}, q$, which are quasi-projective schemes and so they have finitely many irreducible components.

Despite the importance of Gieseker's Theorem, nothing is said about the structure of $\mathcal{M}_{K_{S}^{2}, \chi(S)}$ and describing even its subschemes $\mathcal{M}_{K_{S}^{2}, p_{g}, q}$ is a very challenging task. Indeed, it turns out that even constructing minimal surfaces of general type with small invariants is very hard (see for instance [BCP06], [BCP11]). Therefore, one first tries to understand and classify surfaces with particularly small invariants (e.g. those fulfilling the equality in some of the above-mentioned classical inequalities).

In this thesis we focus on surfaces with $p_{g}=q$ which are those with the lowest value $\chi(S)=1$ of the invariant $\chi(S)=1-q+p_{g}$. Then from the above inequalities it follows in particular that

$$
0 \leq p_{g}=q \leq 4
$$

The case $p_{g}=q=4$ have been classified by Beauville in the appendix to Deb82, where he shows as a byproduct of his main theorem that such a surface $S$ is isomorphic to the product of two curves of genus $g=2$. In particular, $K_{S}^{2}=8$ and the Gieseker moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{8,4,4}$ consists exactly of one connected component of dimension 6 .

The case $p_{g}=q=3$ have been understood through the work of several authors, see CCML98, HP02, Pir02. Each minimal surface of general type $S$ with such invariants has either $K_{S}^{2}=6$ and is the symmetric square of a genus three curve, or $K_{S}^{2}=8$ and is of the form $\left(C_{2} \times C_{3}\right) / \nu$, where $C_{g}$ is a curve of genus $g$ and $\nu$ is an involution acting on $C_{2}$ as an elliptic involution (i.e., $C_{2} / \nu$ is an elliptic curve) and freely on $C_{3}$. In particular, the Gieseker moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=3$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{M}_{6,3,3}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{8,3,3}$, which are both irreducible of dimension 6 and 5 respectively.

The case $p_{g}=q=2$ is still widely open despite many contributions, (Zuc03], Man03], [CML02], [CH06], [Pen11], [PePo13a], [PePo13b], |PePo14], |CMLP14], [Pen13], [PePo17], [BCF15], [Rit18], [CanFrap18], [PiPo17], [PRR20], [PePi22].

It seems that the classification becomes more and more complicated as the value of $p_{g}$ decreases.

In particular, given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$, the Albanese variety $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ is an abelian surface and for the Albanese map $\operatorname{alb}_{S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ there are two possibilities:
(1) either the image $\operatorname{alb}_{S}(S)$ is a curve of genus 2, or
(2) $a l b_{S}$ is surjective.

Case (1) was fully understood through the work of Zucconi [Zuc03] and Penegini Pen11].

Recall that a surface $S$ is said to be isogeneous to a higher product of curves if

$$
S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G
$$

where $C_{i}$ is a smooth curve of genus $g_{i} \geq 2$ and $G$ is a finite group acting freely on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$. The action of $G$ is said to be of unmixed type if $G$ does not exchange the two factors, and hence it acts diagonally. Moreover, a surface $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ isogenous to a higher product of curves which is of umixed type is said to be of generalized hyperelliptic type if

- the Galois cover $C_{1} \rightarrow C_{1} / G$ is unramified, and
- the quotient $C_{2} / G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Ideed, Catanese [Cat00] and Zucconi [Zuc03] proved that all minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{alb}_{S}(S)=1$ are of generalized hyperelliptic type.

Recalling that an isotrivial fibration of a surface $S$ is a fibration $f: S \rightarrow B$ from $S$ onto a smooth curve $B$ such that all the smooth fibres are isomorphic to each other, since Penegini classified in Pen11 all minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ which either are isogenous to a higher product of curves of mixed type or admit an isotrivial fibration, he completed as a byproduct the classification of those surfaces $S$ with Albanese dimension equal to 1 .

This is the reason why we focus on minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=$ $q=2$ and surjective Albanese map $a l b_{S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$. In this context, the degree $d$ of the Albanese map is a topological invariant (see Cat91]), hence in particular it is a numerical invariant for a connected component of the moduli space. We observe that no explicit upper bound is known for $d$, even though up to now we have only examples with $d=2,3,4,6$ : in particular, only one family for $d=6$ ([Pen11]), but already three for $d=4$ (two in Pen11] and one in PePo14]; regarding the latter see also (AC22]). We refer the reader to Appendix A for more details on all the known families with $d=2,3,4,6$.

In Pen11, there are several examples of such surfaces, which are

- either isogenous to a higher product of curves (see Table 1 of Pen11]), or
- the minimal resolution of singularities of a quotient $\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ where $C_{i}$ is a smooth curve of genus $g_{i} \geq 2$ and $G$ is a finite group acting faithfully on $C_{i}$ and diagonally, but not freely, on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ (see Table 2 of Pen11]).

However, not all minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension are of this kind. Indeed, several different examples were found in
 [CMLP14], PePo17], BCF15], [Rit18], [CanFrap18], PiPo17], [PRR20], [PePi22].

We observe here that for such surfaces $S$ we have $K_{S}^{2} \geq 4$ by Debarre's inequality or Par05], and moreover Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality implies $4 \leq K_{S}^{2} \leq 9$.

Note that all the values $K_{S}^{2}=4,5,6,7,8$ occur, while the case $K_{S}^{2}=9$ is believed not to occur. Indeed, there are several papers by Sai-Kee Yeung claiming that, though his proofs contain some gaps.

In particular, the case $K_{S}^{2}=4$, first studied by Catanese (see Ci197], Example (c) on page 70 and Remark 3.15 on page 72), was fully classified, see [Man03], [CML02], [CMLP14]. In fact, the generically finite double covers $S$ of a principally polarized abelian surface $(A, \Theta)$ branched on a divisor $\mathcal{B} \in|2 \Theta|$ with simple singularities have $p_{g}=q=2$ and $K_{S}^{2}=4$, and, conversely, it turns out that each surface $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$
and $K_{S}^{2}=4$ belongs to this family, which is called the family of Catanese surfaces (see Pen13]) or STANDARD surfaces (see AC22]).

Indeed, every surface $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension arises as a generically finite cover $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of a polarized abelian surface $A$. Considering the Stein factorization $S \rightarrow Y \rightarrow A$ of $\alpha$, we get then a finite cover $Y \rightarrow A$ where $Y$ is normal. This is the reason why one tries to construct such a surface $S$ by using a bottom-up approach: one can construct a finite cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$, where $A$ is a given abelian surface and $Y$ is normal, by assigning some cover data on $A$, and then consider the minimal resolution of singularities $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow Y$ of $Y$. Eventually, after contracting all ( -1 )-curves on $\widetilde{S}$ (if there are any), one gets the desired minimal surface $S$, and $\operatorname{alb}_{S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ is induced by the composition of the resolution $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$.

Following this bottom-up strategy, some examples of surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and degree of the Albanese map $d=2,3,4$ have been constructed, see for instance CH06], PePo13a, PePo13b, PePo14]. All the latter examples have small degree $d \leq 4$ : this is due to the fact that structure theorems for covers of degree $d$ are known only for $d=2,3,4$ (and partially for $d=5$ ).

Recalling that every degree $d$ cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ is given as $Y \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{A}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is a rank $d-1$ locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module called the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\pi$, we point out here that the main difficulty of the bottom-up approach described above relies on the fact that constructing covers with a non-split Tschirnhaus bundle is in general very hard.

From this viewpoint, a result by Chen and Hacon ([]H06], Theorem 3.5) has been helpful. Namely, they proved the following.

Theorem 0.2 (Theorem 2.10. Let $S$ be a minimal surface of general type with $p_{g}=$ $q=2$ without any irrational pencil. Denote by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ the Albanese map of $S$ and by $\mathfrak{F}$ the coherent sheaf defined as the cokernel of the map $\omega_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \omega_{S}$. Then there exist a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathfrak{H}$ on $A$, a negative definite line bundle $\mathfrak{L}$ on $\widehat{A}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ and a short exact sequence as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{L}} \rightarrow\left(-1_{A}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}$ denotes the Fourier-Mukai transform of $\mathfrak{L}$.
We recall that a surface $S$ is said to have an irrational pencil of genus $b$ if there exists a surjective rational map $f: S \rightarrow B$ onto a smooth curve $B$ of genus $b \geq 1$ with connected fibres ([CCML98], page 278).

Remark 0.3. Given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$, it turns out that $S$ has no irrational pencil if and only if $S$ has a surjective Albanese map $a l b_{S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ and Albanese surface $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ containing no elliptic curve.

Let us come back to the setting of Theorem 0.2. Considering the dual abelian surface $A^{\prime}:=\widehat{A}$ and the isogeny associated with the polarization $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D):=\mathfrak{L}^{-1}$ of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (hence, with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$ ), namely $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A \cong A^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)$ (see Chapter 1. Subsection 1.4.1 for the definition of $\Phi_{D}$ ), one main result of the theory of FourierMukai transforms ensures that (see Proposition 1.85)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Phi_{D}\right)^{*}(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}) \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}, \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ is a $\delta$-dimensional vector space.
Hence, if in sequence (0.1) we have $\mathfrak{H}=0$, then the sheaf $\mathfrak{F}$ is locally free and its pullback $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}:=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F}$ is a split locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$ module $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$.

From our viewpoint, given an abelian surface $A$ and setting $A^{\prime}:=\widehat{A}$ for its dual, the latter fact suggests that, in order to construct a cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ with a non-split Tschirnhaus bundle, we can construct a cover $\pi^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ with a split Tschirnhaus bundle, and then we take the étale quotient $Y:=Y^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)$. In this way, it is possible to bypass the difficulty of dealing with cohomological computations involving a non-split locally free sheaf.

This is exactly the approach followed in [CH06], [PePo13a] and [PePo14]. Here two families of surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and degree of the Albanese map $d=3,4$ have been constructed by exploiting the theory of Miranda Mir85] for $d=3$ in $\overline{\mathrm{CH} 06]}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{PePo13a}}$, respectively the theory of Hahn-Miranda [HM99] for $d=4$ in $\mathrm{PePo14}$. In these constructions we have a diagram as follows

where $S \rightarrow Y \rightarrow A$ is the Stein factorization of the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ and $\pi^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is a cover with a split Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$ (here we are using the notation of Theorem 0.2, which applies with $\mathfrak{H}=0: \mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D):=\mathfrak{L}^{-1}$, $\mathfrak{F}:=\alpha_{*} \omega_{S} / \omega_{A}$ and $\left.\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}:=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathbb{F}\right)$.

Inspired by the work of Jungkai Alfred Chen, Christopher Derek Hacon, Matteo Penegini and Francesco Polizzi, namely [CH06], [PePo13a], [PePo14], Fabrizio Catanese and I developed in a joint work AC22 a new construction method for minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q$. Let us show the main feature of our construction.

Let $A^{\prime}$ be an abelian surface with a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (hence with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$ ).

Then $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ is a $\delta$-dimensional vector space, and we consider the group of translations $G:=\mathcal{K}(D)$ leaving the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ invariant, namely the kernel of $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \widehat{A^{\prime}}$.

Setting $H_{D}:=\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}\right)$ and $A:=\widehat{A^{\prime}}=A^{\prime} / G$ for the dual abelian surface of $A^{\prime}$, we have that $G \cong H_{D}^{2}$ and $V$ is an irreducible representation (called the Schrödinger representation) of the finite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}:=\mathcal{H}\left(H_{D}\right)$ (see Chapter 11. Section 1.3 for the definition of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ of a given finite abelian group $H$ ) fitting into the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mu_{\delta_{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{D} \rightarrow H_{D}^{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{\delta_{2}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is the group of $\delta_{2}$-th roots of 1 .
This representation has the property that the centre (which is also the commutator subgroup) $\mu_{\delta_{2}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ acts by scalar multiplication in a natural way. We observe moreover that $\mathcal{H}_{D} / \mu_{\delta_{2}} \cong G$.

Our method consists in describing a surface

$$
S^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\delta-1} \times A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}
$$

which is $G$-invariant for the $G$-action of product type on $\mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$ (the action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ being induced by the action of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ on $\left.V\right)$.

Then we obtain the desired surface $S$ with $p_{g}=q$ as the free quotient $S:=S^{\prime} / G$.
In order to get a full component of the moduli space, we must also consider those normal varieties $X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$ which have at most Rational Double Points as singularities, and then we let $S^{\prime}$ be the minimal resolution of $X^{\prime}\left(S^{\prime}=X^{\prime}\right.$ if $X^{\prime}$ is smooth $)$.

Focusing on the case $p_{g}=q=2$, since our method is based on Theorem 0.2 , we consider components of the Gieseker moduli space where the Albanese map alb $: S \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ is surjective and the Albanese surface $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$, for a general $S$, does not contain any elliptic curve.

More generally, we give the following.
Definition 0.4 (Definition 2.14). A component $\mathcal{M}$ of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ is said to be of the Main Stream if
(1) the Albanese map is surjective and
(2)

$$
\{\operatorname{Alb}(S) \mid[S] \in \mathcal{M}\}
$$

contains an open set in a moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces.
Remark 0.5. The hypothesis on $S$ (which is however not necessarily deformation invariant) that the Albanese surface $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ does not contain any elliptic curve is generically verified if we deal with a component of the Main Stream.

Example 0.6. The simplest example of a component of the Main Stream is given by the component of the above-mentioned STANDARD surfaces having $K_{S}^{2}=4$.

More generally, we consider a surface $S$ with $p_{g}=q$ and a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d$ onto an abelian surface $A$ such that $\alpha$ does not factor through any other abelian surface: we call such a surface $S$ "surface with $A P$ ", where AP stands for Albanese Property (see Chapter 2, Definition 2.1.

One defines the Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ via the split exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By relative duality, we have then the split exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \omega_{A} \cong \mathcal{O}_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \omega_{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{F}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ is locally free if and only if $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$ (see Chapter 2 , Section 2.1.

If such a surface $S$ has $p_{g}=q=2$ and its Albanese surface $A$ does not contain any elliptic curve, then $S$ fulfills the hypothesis of Theorem 0.2 and there is a sequence like (0.1).

Hence, considering the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow A \cong \widehat{A} / \mathcal{K}(D)$ associated with the polarization $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D):=\mathfrak{L}^{-1}$, if we pull back sequence (0.1) via $\left(-\Phi_{D}\right)$, we get on $\widehat{A}$ the Heisenberg-equivariant (and indeed $\mathcal{K}(D)$-equivariant) exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}:=\left(-\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}:=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F}$.
We notice that in general the sheaf $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ might not be locally free (see Chapter 2 , Remark 2.5. Assuming that $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ is locally free, we get $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}:=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathcal{E}$, hence a sequence as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is Heisenberg-equivariant.
Another main ingredient in our construction method is the use of the theory by Casnati and Ekedahl of Gorenstein covers of degree $d \geq 3$, CE96. The choice to use this theory forces us to make a slightly restrictive assumption, which we now describe.

We have a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$, where $A$ is an abelian surface, and $S$ is the minimal model of a surface of general type. Then $\alpha$ is generically finite of degree $d \geq 2$, and any rational curve $C$ in $S$ is mapped to a point in $A$. Hence, $\alpha$ factors through a morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$ of the canonical model $X$ of $S$, which is a Gorenstein normal variety.

If $a: X \rightarrow A$ is a finite morphism and $d \geq 3$, then we can directly apply the factorization theorem by Casnati and Ekedahl (Chapter 1. Theorem 1.37), implying that $X$ embeds into $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha$. In particular, we can use the structure theorems of [CE96] for degree $d=3,4$.

In general, we can consider the Stein factorization $S \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\pi} A$, where the last morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ is finite of degree $d$, but $Y$ need not be Gorenstein. For this reason, one usually uses the theory by Miranda for $d=3$ (Mir85]) and Hahn-Miranda for $d=4\left(\mid\right.$ HM99 |), describing $Y$ as $\mathbf{S p e c}_{\mathcal{O}_{A}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)^{1}$

Still, restricting our attention to the open set

$$
A^{0}:=A \backslash\left\{z \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(a^{-1}(z)\right)=1\right\}
$$

we have a finite morphism $X^{0} \rightarrow A^{0}$, hence a rational map

$$
\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)
$$

with image $Z$ which is birational to $S$. The natural question is: when is $\psi$ a morphism? For instance, is it so when $Z$ is normal?

At any rate, we propose the following assumption.
Assumption 0.7 (Gorenstein Assumption 2.6). (I) We are given a surjective morphism of degree $d \geq 3, \alpha: S \rightarrow A$, where $A$ is an abelian surface, $S$ is the minimal model of a surface of general type with $p_{g}=q$, and $\alpha$ enjoys the property of the Albanese map, that it does not factor through a morphism of $S$ to another abelian surface.
(II) We make the assumption that $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ induces an embedding $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ of the canonical model $X$ of $S$.

[^0]Remark 0.8. The Gorenstein Assumption holds true if $a: X \rightarrow A$ is finite, but the example of CHPP surfaces shows that it holds more generally without the morphism $a$ being finite (see Chapter 2, Section 2.5, Remark 2.28.

Remark 0.9. If $S$ is a surface with $p_{g}=q$ fulfilling the Gorenstein Assumption, then $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathfrak{F}$ is the sheaf defined via sequence (0.6) (see Chapter 2, Proposition 2.9).

In light of the previous remark, if $S$ is a surface satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 0.2 and also the Gorenstein Assumption, then there is a sequence like 0.8).

Hence, an alternative to the hypothesis of having a component of the Main Stream fulfilling the Gorenstein Assumption is the following.

Assumption 0.10. (Generality Assumption 2.17) We make here the same assumptions (I), (II) as in Assumption 0.7, and we require moreover that:
(III) there exists an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ on $\widehat{A}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ such that the pull-back $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ via the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow A$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module fitting into a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a homogeneous vector bundle and $V:=H^{0}\left(\widehat{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)\right)$ is the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}:=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}\right)$.

Moreover, we consider the abelian surface $A$ endowed with the dual polarization corresponding to $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)$, which is still of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (see for instance [BL04, Sec. 14.4] for the notion of dual polarization).

Remark 0.11. We consider the case $d \geq 3$ as we want to use the theory by CasnatiEkedahl. Concerning the case $d=2$, denote by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ the (surjective) Albanese map of a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$; even if $A$ does not contain any elliptic curve, the remark made on page 226 of [CH06] is wrong (observe moreover that in this remark there is an error of sign: it should be $\mathcal{O}_{A}(\Theta)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}_{A}(-\Theta)$ ).

Indeed, the hypothesis $d=2$ does not imply that $\mathfrak{F}:=\alpha_{*} \omega_{S} / \omega_{A}$ is a line bundle (yielding a principal polarization), as showed by the existence of the families with $p_{g}=$ $q=2, K^{2}=8, d=2$ and $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6, d=2$, constructed respectively in Pen11] and PePo13b (see Appendix A for more details on how $\mathfrak{F}$ looks like in these cases).

Remark 0.12. Let us come back to the constructions given in [CH06], $\mathrm{PePo13a}$ and [PePo14], where we have a diagram like (0.3).

From the description of the main features of our construction method [AC22], it is clear that the novelty of our approach is given by
(1) Assumption 0.7 (Gorenstein Assumption 2.6), which here corresponds to the assumption that the canonical model $X^{\prime}$ of the resolution of singularities $S^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ of the normal variety $Y^{\prime}=Y \times_{A} \widehat{A}$ embeds as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{\delta-1} \times \widehat{A} \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is the dual of the Tschirnhaus bundle of the cover $\pi^{\prime}: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ and $\delta=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$ is the Pfaffian of the polarization $D$ provided by Theorem 0.2 ,
(2) the geometric interpretation of the exact sequence 0.9 as the Heisenberg-equivariant embedding of projective bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{V}}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\delta-1} \times \widehat{A} \tag{0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$ is the Pfaffian of the polarization $D$ provided by Assumption 0.10 (Generality Assumption 2.17);
(3) the use of the theory by Casnati-Ekedahl for Gorenstein covers of small degree $d=3,4$ [E96].

Indeed, (1), (2) and (3) from the previous remark allowed us to construct some families of surfaces providing for them explicit and global equations (inside a trivial projective bundle).

More in detail, by using our construction method we could find global equations for the two families of surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2$ constructed and studied in CH06 and PePo13a], respectively in PePo14. We named "CHPP family" after Chen, Hacon, Penegini and Polizzi, [CH06], |PePo13a], the family with degree of the Albanese map $d=3$ described in Chapter 2, Sections 2.5 2.6 , and similarly we did for the family presented in Chapter 2, Sections 2.7,2.8, which we named "PP4 family" after Penegini and Polizzi, PePo14.

Here are their equations:
(I) CHPP surfaces: $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=5, d=3, \delta=2$,

$$
S^{\prime}:=S^{\prime}(\lambda):=\left\{x_{1}\left(y_{1}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(y_{2}^{3}+\lambda y_{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ (dual basis of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ ).
(II) PP4 surfaces: $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6, d=4, \delta=3$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
S^{\prime}:=S^{\prime}(\mu):=\{\operatorname{rank}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime} \\
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1} & x_{3} & x_{2} \\
y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} y_{3} & y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2} & y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C},\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ (dual basis of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ ).

The examples $(I),(I I)$ we have described above yield two components of the Main Stream, and then, considering sequence (0.7), these are just cases where the homogeneous bundle $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=0$ (equivalently, $\mathfrak{H}=0$ ). Under this assumption, the sheaf $\mathfrak{F}$ defined via sequence 0.6 is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module, and then $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$.

On the other hand, given a minimal surface $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and surjective Albanese $\operatorname{map} \alpha: S \rightarrow A$ where $A$ contains no elliptic curve, we have sequences like
(0.1) and (0.7), and on page 227 of $[\mathrm{CH} 06]$ it is asked whether the case $\mathfrak{H} \neq 0$ can occur (equivalently, $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \neq 0$ ).

We give a positive answer, constructing under Assumption 0.10 (Generality Assumption 2.17 two families of examples with $p_{g}=q=3$ (see $(I I I),(V)$ below) and one family with $p_{g}=q=2$ (see ( $I V$ ) below).
(III) $p_{g}=q=3, K_{S}^{2}=6, d=\delta=3$ (see Chapter 2, Subsection 2.9.1.a, Proposition 2.58,

$$
S^{\prime}:=S^{\prime}(\lambda):=\left\{(y, z) \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=\sum_{j} y_{j}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}
$$

where $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right), y:=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $F(y):=\sum_{j} y_{j}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=0$ defines a smooth elliptic curve $C$; then, for a general $\lambda, S^{\prime}(\lambda)$ is smooth.
Hence, $S^{\prime} \subset C \times A^{\prime}$ and $S:=S^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)$ has irregularity $q=3$ since $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2}$ acts by translations on $C$.
(IV) AC3 surfaces: $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6, d=\delta=3$ (see Chapter 2, Subsection 2.9.1.b),

$$
S^{\prime}:=\left\{(y, z) \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0, \quad \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}
$$

where $y:=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V),\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=$ $H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right), C=\left\{y \mid \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Here, $S^{\prime} \subset C \times A^{\prime}$ and $S:=S^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)$ has irregularity $q=2$ since $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2}$ does not act by translations on $C$.
(V) $p_{g}=q=3, K_{S}^{2}=6, d=\delta=4$, with a polarization $D$ of type $(1,4) \square^{2}$ (see Chapter 2. Section 2.10,

$$
S^{\prime}:=S^{\prime}(\lambda):=\left\{(y, z) \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=Q_{1}(y)=Q_{2}(y)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \times A^{\prime}
$$

where $y \in \mathbb{P}^{3}=\mathbb{P}(V),\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ and

$$
Q_{1}(y):=y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{2} y_{4}, \quad Q_{2}(y):=y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{1} y_{3}, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm i
$$

The intersection of the two quadrics defines an elliptic curve $C$ of degree 4 ,

$$
C:=\left\{y \mid Q_{1}(y)=Q_{2}(y)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

on which $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{2}$ acts by translations.
Here, $S^{\prime} \subset C \times A^{\prime}$ and $S:=S^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)$ has irregularity $q=3$ since $\mathcal{K}(D)$ acts by translations on $C$.

[^1]Remark 0.13. The family of surfaces whose equations are displayed in $(V)$ is just a potential example since here a computer script showing the existence is still missing.

In the examples $(I I I)-(V)$ above, the Albanese variety $\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ of $S$ admits a surjection onto an abelian surface $A$, and the composition of the Albanese map alb of $S$ with this surjection yields $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=\delta=3$ in (III) and (IV), respectively $d=\delta=4$ in $(V)$.

Furthermore, the equations of $S^{\prime}$ in the above-mentioned cases $(I I I)-(V)$ explicitly show one of the main features of our construction method, namely the item labelled with (2) above: $S^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$ is contained here in the projective subbundle given by $\left\{\sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$, and this is indeed the manifestation of the geometric interpretation of the exact sequence $(0.9)$ as the embedding of projective bundles 0.11 which generalizes the equality in 0.10 .

Still, as the reader might have observed, the equations that we have shown in all the five examples $(I)-(V)$ are either a cubic equation in the variables $\left(y_{j}\right)$, or some quadratic equations: this is due to the use of the theory by Casnati-Ekedahl of Gorenstein covers of small degree $d=3,4$ CE96.

Remark 0.14. Note that the above example labelled with ( $I V$ ) provides a new irreducible component of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree $d=3$, as showed in |CS22| (see Theorem 2.61). This is actually the first known component with these invariants. Note also that this component is unirational (see Theorem 2.63).

Remark 0.15. In PiPo17] the authors provide a component of the Main Stream, and hence for the general surface $S$ of this component Theorem 0.2 applies. We point out here that their construction implicitly provides another example where $\mathfrak{H} \neq 0$. Here we have $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=7$ and Albanese map of degree $d=3$ (and we believe $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=2$, hence $\delta=4$ ), but in this case the construction is quite different and not directly related to our method since our Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 (Assumption 0.7) is not verified.

These are the main results presented in Chapter 2 (AC22]).

Theorem 0.16 (Theorem 2.35). The CHPP surfaces yield a unirational irreducible connected component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type, which is the unique component of the Main Stream such that there is a surface in this component which fulfills the Gorenstein Assumption 0.7 and has $K_{S}^{2}=5, p_{g}(S)=q(S)=2$ and Albanese $\operatorname{map} \alpha: S \rightarrow A:=\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ of degree $d=3$. In particular, this component coincides with the one constructed in PePo13a.

Theorem 0.17 (Theorem 2.47, Theorem 2.49, Theorem 2.51, Subsection 2.8.2). The four dimensional family of PP4 surfaces of general type yields a unirational irreducible connected component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$, $K_{S}^{2}=6, d=4$ and $\delta=3$. This component coincides with the one found by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo14.

Theorem 0.18 ( Theorem 2.63, Theorem 2.64). All the minimal surfaces $S$ of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6$, with Albanese map of degree $d=3$ and satisfying the Generality Assumption 2.17 (Assumption 0.10) with Pfaffian $\delta=3$ belong to the family described in Subsection 2.9.1.b, whose existence is proved in [CS22]. This family yields an irreducible component of the moduli space which is in particular unirational.

Moreover, under the Generality Assumption with Pfaffian $\delta=3$, the only other minimal surfaces $S$ of general type with $p_{g}=q, K_{S}^{2}=6$, having a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=3$ onto an abelian surface $A$, are the surfaces with $p_{g}=q=3$ described in Subsection 2.9.1.a.

We have a similar example with $p_{g}=q=3, K_{S}^{2}=6$ and $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ a surjective morphism of degree $d=\delta=4$ onto an abelian surface $A$, see Section 2.10 (here there is a computer script still missing, see Remark 0.13).

One of the main ingredients of the construction method developed in the joint work [AC22] and carefully described in Chapter 2 is the equivariance of sequence (0.9) with respect to the action of the finite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ associated to a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (hence, with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$ ) on an abelian surface $A^{\prime}$. As already said before, this group is a central extension by the group of $\delta_{2}$-th roots of unity $\mu_{\delta_{2}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ of the group of translations $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{2}$ leaving invariant the isomorphism class of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$. Namely, there is a sequence as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mu_{\delta_{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By exploiting the Schrödinger representation $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ of the group $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ we could provide explicit and global equations for some families of surfaces inside the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$.

Assuming that $D$ is a very ample divisor, the associated embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{D}: \quad A^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\delta-1}=\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \tag{0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the property that the action of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ on $A^{\prime}$ extends to an action $\tilde{\rho}: \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{PGL}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ on the projective space $\mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ with respect to which the embedding $\varphi_{D}$ is equivariant.

Even though it is not possible to lift the projective representation $\tilde{\rho}$ to an ordinary representation of $\mathcal{K}(D)$, it is possible to lift it to an ordinary representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$, namely to the dual of the Schrödinger representation $\rho: \mathcal{H}_{D} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$.

This particular representation $V$ has the property that it is the unique irreducible representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ such that its center $\mu_{\delta_{2}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ acts via scalar multiplication in the natural way (Stone-von Neumann Theorem, see [Mackey49] or [Igu72], Ch. I, Sec. 5, Proposition 2).

Furthermore, if $D$ is of type $(1, \delta)$, the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}:=\mathcal{H}_{D}$ turns out to be a representation group for $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / \delta \mathbb{Z})^{2}$ : this means that every projective representation $f: \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ lifts to an ordinary representation $F: \mathcal{H}_{\delta} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, namely the following diagram commutes


The notion of a representation group was first introduced by Schur [Sch04] in order to study, over an arbitrary field $K$, projective representations by means of ordinary representations.

He showed that, given a finite group $G$ and denoting by $V$ an arbitrary finite dimensional $K$-vector space, there exists a stem extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { with } \quad A \cong H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \tag{0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that every projective representation $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(V)$ lifts to an ordinary representation $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ fitting into the following diagram


Recall that, considering $K^{*}$ as a $G$-module with the trivial action, $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ denotes the second cohomology group of the group $G$ with coefficients in $K^{*}$ (see Chapter 1, Section 1.5) and stem means that $A$ is central and contained in the commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$.

In a joint work with Christian Gleissner and Julia Kotonski [AGK23], we extended (under the assumption that $K$ is an algebraically closed field) Schur's concept of a representation group to semi-projective representations, which are homomorphisms $f: G \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$ from a finite group $G$ to the group of semi-projective transformations $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$ defined as the quotient of the group of semi-linearities $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ modulo $K^{*}, V$ being an arbitrary finite dimensional $K$-vector space.

Remark 0.19. We observe right away that, given a semi-projective representation $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \cong \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K)$, there is an induced action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$, $g \mapsto \varphi_{g}$, which endows $K^{*}$ with a structure of $G$-module. Note that in general $\varphi$ is nontrivial ( $\varphi$ being trivial means that we are indeed in the projective setting).

In Chapter 3 we treat this topic from both a group-theoretic and an algebro-geometric viewpoint.

First, we explain the interplay between semi-projective representations and group cohomology, showing that to every semi-projective representation $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ we can attach a cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$; namely we have the following.
Proposition 0.20 (Proposition 3.6). Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ be a semi-projective representation and $f_{g}$ be a representative of the class $f(g)$ for each $g \in G$. Then there exists a map

$$
\alpha: G \times G \rightarrow K^{*} \quad \text { such that } \quad f_{g h}=\alpha(g, h) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h}\right)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$. The map $\alpha$ is a 2 -cocycle, i.e.,

$$
\varphi_{g}(\alpha(h, k)) \cdot \alpha(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(g, h k) \cdot \alpha(g, h)^{-1}=1 .
$$

The cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is independent of the chosen representatives $f_{g}$.
Then we phrase the above-mentioned lifting problem (see diagram (0.16) in terms of semi-projective representations, giving a cohomological criterion for a semi-projective representation of a finite group $G$ to lift to a semi-linear representation of an extension $\Gamma$ of $G$ by a finite abelian group $A$.

Theorem 0.21 (Theorem 3.11). Let $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be an extension of $G$ by a finite abelian group $A$ with associated cohomology class $[\beta] \in H^{2}(G, A)$. A semiprojective representation $f: G \rightarrow P \Gamma L(V)$ with class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is induced by a semi-linear representation $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma L(V)$ if and only if $[\alpha]$ belongs to the image of the transgression map

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \quad \lambda \mapsto[\lambda \circ \beta] .
$$

Finally, we construct for any given finite group $G$, together with an action $\varphi$ on an algebraically closed field $K$, a $\varphi$-twisted representation group.

This is our main theorem.
Theorem 0.22 (Theorem 3.18). Let $G$ be a finite group and $K$ an algebraically closed field. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be a fixed action. Then there exists an extension of $G$

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

with $A$ finite and abelian such that the transgression map

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \quad \lambda \mapsto[\lambda \circ \beta]
$$

is an isomorphism.
Therefore, we give the formal definition of a $\varphi$-twisted representation group.
Definition $\mathbf{0 . 2 3}$ (Definition 3.20). Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be an action of a finite group $G$ on an algebraically closed field $K$. A group $\Gamma$ is called a $\varphi$-twisted representation group of $G$ if there exists an extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { with } A \text { finite and abelian }
$$

such that the following conditions hold:

1. $\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid|A|$,
2. $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$,
3. the transgression map tra: $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is an isomorphim.

The definition of a $\varphi$-twisted representation group is indeed a generalization of Schur's concept of a representation group.

Proposition 0.24 (Proposition 3.22). In the projective case, i.e., when the G-action on $K$ is trivial, Definition 0.23 (Definition 3.20) reduces exactly to the classical notion of a representation group (cf. [Isa94, Corollary 11.20]), i.e.,

1. the extension $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$ is stem,
2. $|A|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$.

Using the previous proposition, we give a proof of the following well-known fact.

Proposition 0.25 (Proposition 3.25). The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / r$ is a representation group for the group $(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$.

Furthermore, we provide a numerical criterion to decide whether a given extension $\Gamma$ of $G$ is a $\varphi$-twisted representation group of $G$ or not.

Proposition 0.26 (Proposition 3.24). Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be a nontrivial action of a finite group $G$ on an algebraically closed field $K$. Let

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

be an extension by a finite abelian group $A$. Then $\Gamma$ is a $\varphi$-twisted representation group if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $|A|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$,
2. $\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right|$ and
3. $\left|H^{1}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|=\left|H^{1}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right)\right|$.

After providing some basic examples of semi-projective representatios and twisted representation groups, we also give an algorithm for the case $K=\mathbb{C}$ (see Algorithm 1 in Chapter 3, Subsection 3.5.1, which takes as inputs a finite group $G$ and an action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$, and returns all the $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of $G$. Moreover, we provide a MAGMA implementation of this algorithm (which is presented in Appendix B), running it to determine the $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of the dihedral group $D_{4}$ for all possible actions $\varphi$.

Finally, we discuss two interesting situations where semi-projective representations occur naturally:
(1) the problem of extending $G$-invariant irreducible $L$-representations defined on a normal subgroup $N \unlhd G$ to the ambient group $G$ for arbitrary fields $L$ (see Chapter 3. Subsection 3.5.2);
(2) the study of homeomorphisms and biholomorphisms of certain quotients of complex tori (see Chapter 3, Subsection 3.5.3).

Note that (2) is indeed our original geometric motivation for studying semi-projective representations.

This thesis is organized in three chapters, which are subdivided in several sections. We give now a brief explanation of the content of each chapter and section.

Chapter 1 treats some of the tools used in Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 which we believe are relevant for the discussion.

In Section 1.1 we recall the notion of a cover in algebraic geometry. In particular, in Subsection 1.1.2, we define for a given degree $d$ cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ the so-called Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, which is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module of rank $d-1$. Then, after briefly explaining the relative spectrum construction of a cover (Subsection 1.1.3), we focus on
covers of small degree $d=3,4$. More precisely, in Subsection 1.1.4 we briefly recall the theory of triple and quadruple covers developed respectively by Miranda Mir85] and Hahn-Miranda HM99, and then the theory of Casnati-Ekedahl for the Gorenstein case [CE96]. Here, given a Gorenstein cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d \geq 3$, the factorization theorem of Casnati-Ekedahl (see Theorem 1.37) implies that the total space $X$ embeds into the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ associated with the Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ of $\pi$ : this is the reason why in Section 1.2 we discuss some important features of projective bundles, recalling also some formulae which turn out to be useful in Chapter 2

In Section 1.3 we introduce the so-called Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ of a given finite abelian group $H$, which turns out to be one of the main tools used by the construction method described in Chapter 2. After constructing the group $\mathcal{H}(H)$, we show its main features, focusing on the case where $H \cong(\mathbb{Z} / r)$ is cyclic with some explicit computations for $r=2,3$. It is of interest to recall that in the cyclic case the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{r}:=\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z} / r)$ turns out to be a representation group (in the sense of Schur [Sch04]) for $(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$. This is a well-known fact and we provide a new proof in Chapter 3 by using the theory of semi-projective representations developed in AGK23].

Section 1.4 is devoted to the discussion on some features of line bundles on complex tori. Given a divisor $D$ on a complex torus, we introduce its associated homomorphism $\Phi_{D}$ in Subsection 1.4.1. Then, given an abelian variety $A$ of dimension $g$, we introduce the theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ of a divisor $D$ (Subsection 1.4.2). Under the assumption that $D$ yields a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$, we recall in Subsection 1.4.3 that the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}:=\mathcal{H}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{i}\right)$ can be considered as a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ in a sense that we make precise therein. Moreover, in Subsection 1.4.4 we briefly explain how the Heisenberg group acts on sheaves over a given abelian variety. Subsection 1.4.5 concludes our overview on line bundles on complex tori recalling in particular the notion of Fourier-Mukai transform for a non-degenerate line bundle.

Finally, Section 1.5 is devoted to the description of the most important features of Group Cohomology, which is one of the main tool used in Chapter 3 to develop the theory of semi-projective representations and twisted representation groups.

The main purpose of Chapter 2 is to describe the construction method for minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q$ developed in a joint work with Fabrizio Catanese, AC22. The chapter is structured as follows.

In Section 2.1 we introduce the objects we would like to construct. We call them surfaces with AP (Definition 2.1) and describe in detail their main features.

In Section 2.2 and Section 2.3 we discuss the technical assumptions introduced before as Assumption 0.7 and Assumption 0.10 (see Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 and Generality Assumption 2.17). In Section 2.4 we provide a detailed description of our construction method.

Then we construct some known families of surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2,3$, providing global and explicit equations inside a projective bundle (see Sections 2.5 2.10). We also sketch the construction of a new irreducible component of the Gieseker moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{6,2,2}$ of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree $d=3$ (Subsection 2.9.1.b). We point out that the existence of this component is proved in [CS22] and that this is the first known component with these invariants. Moreover, we show that this component is unirational (see Theorem 2.63).

More in detail, in Section 2.5 we construct a family of surfaces called as in AC22] CHPP surfaces and named after Jungkai Alfred Chen, Christopher Derek Hacon, Matteo Penegini and Francesco Polizzi. Chen and Hacon constructed in CH06 a surface of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and $K_{S}^{2}=5$, and afterwards Penegini and Polizzi studied in PePo13a the family containing such a surface, which is called therein family of Chen-Hacon surfaces and provides a four dimensional irreducible connected component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=5$ and Albanese map of degree 3. In Section 2.6 we study the moduli space of CHPP surfaces, showing in particular that they yield a component which is unirational and corresponds to the component of Chen-Hacon surfaces constructed in PePo13a.

Analogously, in Section 2.7 we construct a family of surfaces called as in AC22] PP4 surfaces and named after Matteo Penegini and Francesco Polizzi. Indeed, they constructed in PePo14 a four dimensional irreducible component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree 4 . In Section 2.8 we study the moduli space of PP4 surfaces, showing in particular that they yield a component which corresponds to the one constructed in PePo14. Moreover, we show that this component is unirational and connected.

Section 2.9 and Section 2.10 are devoted to the construction of surfaces $S$ with $p_{g}=q$ fulfilling the above-mentioned Generality Assumption (Assumption 2.17).

More precisely, in Section 2.9 we construct two families of surfaces with $p_{g}=q$, both having $K_{S}^{2}=6$ : one has $p_{g}=q=2$ and is called as in AC22 AC3 family (Subsection 2.9.1.b, while the other one has $p_{g}=q=3$ (Subsection 2.9.1.a). As showed in Subsection 2.9.2, these two families contain all the surfaces with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 with $d=\delta=3$, where $d$ is the degree of the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ given by definition of a surface with AP and $\delta$ is the Pfaffian of the polarization $D$ provided by the Generality Assumption.

In Section 2.10 we analyze surfaces with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 with $d=4$, providing a potential example with $d=\delta=4$ : this should give a family of surfaces with $p_{g}=q=3$ and $K_{S}^{2}=6$. Here we sketch a possible proof of the existence of this family, but a computer script is still missing.

Section 2.11 is devoted to the explicit computation of the degree $d$ of the Albanese map for the three components labelled with UnMix in Table 1 of Pen11 (see also items n. $15,16,17$ of Table A in Appendix A). Indeed, in Pen13 the author points out that for these families $d \leq 6$ is an upper bound for the degree $d$ of the Albanese map. We show that the degrees are respectively $d=4,6,4$ (using the order of Table 1 in $\mid$ Pen $11 \mid$ ), confirming a personal communication by Penegini, who had a different and more involved proof. We also describe the Galois closure of the Albanese map.

Finally, in Section 2.12 we briefly summarize what we have done from the perspective of our construction method, pointing out some open questions which potentially constitutes the starting point for a future research program.

Chapter 3 is dedicated to the description of the content of the joint work AGK23. We now explain how this chapter is structured.

After briefly introducing our general setting in Section 3.1, we discuss in Section 3.2 the interplay between semi-projective representations and group cohomology.

In Section 3.3 we phrase the lifting problem (see diagram (0.16) and give a cohomological criterion for a semi-projective representation of a finite group $G$ to lift to a semi-linear representation of an extension $\Gamma$ of $G$ by a finite abelian group $A$.

In Section 3.4, we construct for any given finite group $G$, together with an action $\varphi$ on an algebraically closed field $K$, a $\varphi$-twisted representation group. For this purpose, we adapt Isaacs construction of a representation group in the projective case [Isa94, 11] to our setup. Then we give a cohomological characterization of a $\varphi$-twisted representation group and show that it coincides with the classical notion in case that $\varphi$ is the trivial action. As an immediate application, in Subsection 3.4.1 we give a proof of the wellknown fact that the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ of a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / r$ is a representation group for $(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$.

The last part of the chapter, Section 3.5, is devoted to examples and applications. Besides basic examples of semi-projective representations and twisted representation groups, in Subsection 3.5.1 we develop an algorithm which allows us to determine all the $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of a given finite group $G$ under the assumption that $K=\mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi$ maps to $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$. Running a MAGMA implementation (see Appendix B), we determine the $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of the dihedral group $D_{4}$ for all possible actions $\varphi$. Finally, we explain the relations between semi-projective representations and

- the extendability of $L$-representations (Subsection 3.5.2),
- the study of homeomorphism and biholomorphism classes of torus quotients (Subsection 3.5.3).

Finally, the reader can find in Appendix A a brief overview on the known irreducible components of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension. Some relevant information on these components are displayed in Table A.

The content of Appendix B is our MAGMA implementation of the algorithm presented in Chapter 3 .

## Notation

Throughout this thesis we deal with algebraic varieties over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers. For us, an algebraic variety $X$ is a quasi-projective integral scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ (in the sense of [Har77]) with structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}$.

We recall that there is an equivalence of categories between locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules and vector bundles over $X$. More precisely, let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of rank $r+1, r \geq 0$. Denoting by $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ the dual sheaf of $\mathcal{E}$, namely $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}:=\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$, and by $S(\mathcal{E})=\bigoplus_{m} S^{m}(\mathcal{E})$ or $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{E})=\bigoplus_{m} \mathbf{S y m}^{m}(\mathcal{E})$ the symmetric algebra of $\mathcal{E}$, we define

$$
\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}):=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(S\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right) \rightarrow X
$$

as the vector bundle associated with $\mathcal{E}$, which is a vector bundle of rank $r+1$ whose sheaf of sections is, up to isomorphism, $\mathcal{E}$. Namely,

$$
\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) / X)=\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbf{S p e c}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(S\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right) / X\right) \cong \mathcal{E}
$$

where $\mathscr{S}(X / Y)$ is defined to be the sheaf of (regular) sections of the morphism $X \rightarrow Y$, cf. Har77, Ch. II, Ex. 5.18].

Furthermore, if $r \geq 1$, following topologists' notation we define

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}):=\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}\left(S\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right) \rightarrow X
$$

as the projective bundle associated with $\mathcal{E}$. This is a rank $r$ projective bundle whose fibres consist of one-dimensional subspaces of the fibres of $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ (see Chapter 1 , Section 1.2 for further details on the notion of a projective bundle).

Still, we inform the reader that in the case $r=0$ we will use the words line bundle and geometric line bundle referring to a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module of rank 1 , respectively to a vector bundle of rank 1 . Hence, we will speak of line bundles and their associated geometric line bundles.

Let $X, Y$ be two complex algebraic varieties. We denote by:

| $\Omega_{X}$ | the sheaf of Kähler differentials on $X$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\omega_{X}$ | the dualizing sheaf of $X$ |
| $\Omega_{X \mid Y}$ | the sheaf of relative differentials of a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ |
| $\omega_{X \mid Y}$ | the relative dualizing sheaf of a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ |

Let $S$ be a surface, i.e., a smooth complex projective variety of dimension 2 . We denote by:

| $\Omega_{S}^{p}$ | the sheaf of holomorphic p-forms on $S$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\omega_{S}:=\Omega_{S}^{2}=: \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(K_{S}\right)$ | the canonical sheaf of $S$ |
| $p_{g}:=p_{g}(S):=h^{0}\left(S, \omega_{S}\right)$ | the geometric genus of $S$ |
| $q:=q(S):=h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$ | the irregularity of $S$ |
| $K^{2}:=K_{S}^{2}$ | the self-intersection of the canonical divisor $K_{S}$ |
| $\chi(S):=\sum_{i=0}^{2}(-1)^{i} h^{i}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$ | the holomorphic Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $S$ |
| $e(S):=\sum_{i=0}^{4}(-1)^{i} b_{i}(S)$ | the topological Euler number of $S$ |
| $P_{n}:=P_{n}(S):=h^{0}\left(S, \omega_{S}^{\otimes n}\right)$ | the n-th plurigenus of $S$ |
| alb $_{S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ | the Albanese map of $S$ |

Other symbols:

| $\mathbb{Z} / r \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / r$ | the cyclic group of order $r$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ | the group of $r$-th roots of unity |
| $[G, G]$ | the commutator subgroup of a group $G$ |
| $Z(G)$ | the centre of a group $G$ |
| $\mathcal{H}(H)$ | the Heisenberg group of a finite abelian group $H$ |
| $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ | the Heisenberg group of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / r$ |
| $\widehat{X}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ | the dual complex torus of the complex torus $X$ |
| $\widehat{\mathscr{L}}$ | the Fourier-Mukai transform of the line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on an abelian variety |
| $\Phi_{D}: X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ | the homomorphism associated with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ over the complex torus $X$ |
| $\mathcal{K}(D)$ | the kernel of $\Phi_{D}: X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ |
| $\mathcal{G}(D)$ | the theta group of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ over the abelian variety $A$ |
| $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ | the infinite Heisenberg group of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ over the abelian variety $A$ |

$\mathcal{H}_{D}$
$\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(p)$

Core $_{G}(H)$
$\mathfrak{S}_{n}$
$\mathbb{C}(H)$
$\operatorname{im} f$
$\mathfrak{I m} z$
$\langle\langle S\rangle\rangle$
$\operatorname{Tr}: \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}$
tra: $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$
the (finite) Heisenberg group of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ over the abelian variety $A$
the stabilizer of the point $p$ with respect to the action of $G$
the normal core of the subgroup $H \leq G$
the permutation group of $n$ elements
the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions defined on the finite abelian group $H$
the image of the map $f$
the imaginary part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$
the subgroup normally generated by $S \subset G$
the trace map of the cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$
the transgression map with respect to the group extension $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$

## Chapter 1

## Preliminaries

Throughout this chapter we recall several different tools used in Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 which we believe are relevant for the discussion.

### 1.1 Covers in Algebraic Geometry

Let $X, Y$ be two algebraic varieties and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a dominant morphism, i.e., $\overline{\pi(X)}=Y$. For the following definition we refer the reader to [Sha13], Definition 1.1 on page 60 and Definition 1.2 on page 62 .

Definition 1.1 (Finite morphism). A dominant morphism of algebraic varieties $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be finite if every point $y \in Y$ has an affine neighborhood $U \subset Y$ such that $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is affine and the induced dominant morphism $\pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ carries an integral ring extension $\mathbb{C}[U] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[\pi^{-1}(U)\right]$ (equivalently, $\mathbb{C}\left[\pi^{-1}(U)\right]$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[U]$-module).

Remark 1.2. In the definition above the existence of an open affine covering of $Y$ fulfilling that property is equivalent to require the given property for every affine open subset of $Y$, see [Har77, Ch. II, Sec. 3, p. 84].

Given a finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, since $X, Y$ are irreducible and $\pi$ is dominant, we have a field extension

$$
\pi^{*}(\mathbb{C}(Y)) \subset \mathbb{C}(X)
$$

whose degree $\left[\mathbb{C}(X): \pi^{*}(\mathbb{C}(Y))\right]$ is a finite number (see [Sha13], p. 141).
Definition 1.3 (Degree of a finite morphism). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between algebraic varieties. We define $\operatorname{deg}(\pi):=\left[\mathbb{C}(X): \pi^{*}(\mathbb{C}(Y))\right]$ to be the degree of the finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 1.4 (Galois finite morphism). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between algebraic varieties. We say that $\pi$ is Galois if the induced field extension

$$
\pi^{*}(\mathbb{C}(Y)) \subset \mathbb{C}(X)
$$

is Galois.

Definition 1.5 (Deck transformation). Given a $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic varieties, an automorphism $g: X \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagram

commutes is said to be a deck transformation of the $\pi$.
We denote by $\operatorname{Deck}(\pi)$ the group of deck transformations of $\pi$.
Remark 1.6. Note that $\operatorname{Deck}(\pi)$ can also be defined as the Galois group of the finite field extension

$$
\pi^{*}(\mathbb{C}(Y)) \subset \mathbb{C}(X)
$$

This implies in particular that $\operatorname{Deck}(\pi)$ is a finite group (see Gab08, page 232).
Remark 1.7. Given a finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d$ between algebraic varieties and denoting by $G:=\operatorname{Deck}(\pi)$ the group of deck transformations of $\pi$, we have the following commutative diagram


In light of Remark 1.6 we have field extensions as follows

$$
\mathbb{C}(Y) \subset \mathbb{C}(X)^{G} \subset \mathbb{C}(X)
$$

and hence it follows that $|G|$ divides $d$. As a result,

$$
\pi: X \rightarrow Y \text { is Galois } \Longleftrightarrow \quad|G|=d \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad Y \cong X / G
$$

If $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite morphism, then any point $y \in Y$ has at most a finite number of preimages, as explained in [Sha13], page 61.

The following proposition points out that such a fibre $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is never empty.
Proposition 1.8 ([Sha13, Theorem 1.12]). Every finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic varieties is surjective.

Given a finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic varieties, we expect that its degree $d$ gives un upper bound for the cardinality of each fibre $\pi^{-1}(y)$, namely that $\left|\pi^{-1}(y)\right| \leq d$ for any $y \in Y$.

This is in general not true as the following example shows.
Example 1.9 (Nodal cubic curve). Let $Y$ be the nodal cubic curve described in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ by the equation

$$
y^{2}=x^{2}+x^{3}
$$

and consider its rational parametrization

$$
\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow Y, \quad t \longmapsto\left(t^{2}-1, t\left(t^{2}-1\right)\right)
$$

It is easy to see that $\pi$ is a finite morphism and $\operatorname{deg}(\pi)=1$ since $\pi$ is birational. However, the singular point $(0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ has two preimages, namely $\pm 1$.

Indeed, what we need is the assumption that $Y$ is normal. More precisely, we have the following result (see Sha13], Theorem 2.28).

Proposition 1.10. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism of degree $d$ between algebraic varieties, and assume that $Y$ is normal. Then for any $y \in Y$

$$
\left|\pi^{-1}(y)\right| \leq d
$$

For the next definition we refer the reader to page 254 of Har77] (Ch. III, Sec. 9).
Definition 1.11 (Flat morphism). A morphism of algebraic varieties $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be flat if, for every $x \in X, \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is a flat module over $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \pi(x)}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is considered as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \pi(x)}$-module via the natural map $\pi^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{Y, \pi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, x}$.

Now we are finally ready to give the definition of a cover in algebraic geometry.
Definition 1.12 (Cover). A cover is defined to be a finite and flat morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic varieties. We say that $X$ is a cover of $Y$ referring implicitly to the cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$. By degree of a cover we mean the degree as a finite morphism.

Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, it might be unclear for the reader which is the role played by flatness. The following proposition should clarify ideas (we refer to Mum99, III, Sec. 10, Prop. 2]).

Proposition 1.13. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism. Then it holds true that

$$
\pi \text { is flat } \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \text { is a locally free } \mathcal{O}_{Y^{-}} \text {module }
$$

Corollary 1.14. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cover. Then $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module of rank $\operatorname{deg}(\pi)$.

Even though the previous result helps us better understand the meaning of flatness, at this stage it is still not clear why we want to consider finite morphisms which are also flat. One of the reasons relies on the fact that we want to deal with "nice" algebraic varieties, and it turns out that a finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is also flat if $X, Y$ are "nice" enough. The following theorem, which can be considered as a weaker version of the so-called miracle flatness theorem (see Har77, Ch. III, Exercise 10.9) since every fibre of a finite morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ has dimension $0=\operatorname{dim} X-\operatorname{dim} Y$, makes our previous statement precise.

Theorem 1.15 (Miracle Flatness). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between algebraic varieties. Assume that $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay and $Y$ is smooth. Then $\pi$ is flat.

Corollary 1.16. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a finite morphism between algebraic varieties of dimension 2. Assume that $X$ is normal and $Y$ is smooth. Then $\pi$ is flat.

Proof. It is enough to recall that, in dimension 2, every normal algebraic variety is Cohen-Macaulay: this is a consequence of the so-called Serre's criterion for normality (|Har77], Ch. II, Theorem 8.22A) which states in particular that an algebraic variety is normal if and only if it satifies conditions $R_{1}$ (regularity in codimension 1) and $S_{2}$. We refer the reader to Definition 5.7.2 on page 103 of [Gro65] for the definition of Serre's conditions $S_{k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and recall that an algebraic variety of dimension $n$ is CohenMacaulay if and only if it satisfies $S_{n}$ (cf. Remark 5.7.3 (i) of Gro65).

### 1.1.1 Ramification Locus and Branch Locus

Next we define the notions of ramification locus and branch locus of a given cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$.

Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ and denoting by $\Omega_{X}$ the sheaf of Kähler differentials of $X$, we consider the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{X \mid Y}$, which is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module since $\pi$ is finite (see [Har77], p. 175). We recall that the sheaf $\Omega_{X \mid Y}$ measures the difference between $\Omega_{X}$ and the pull-back $\pi^{*} \Omega_{Y}$. Namely, we have an exact sequence called the relative cotangent sequence (cf. Har77, Ch. II, Proposition 8.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{*} \Omega_{Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \mid Y} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.17 (Ramification locus). Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, we define the ramification locus $R$ of $\pi$ as $\operatorname{Supp} \Omega_{X \mid Y}$, the support of the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{X \mid Y}$. If $R=\emptyset$ we say that $\pi$ is unramified or unbranched or étale.

Definition 1.18 (Branch locus). Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, we define the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ of $\pi$ as the image of the ramification locus $R$, namely $\mathcal{B}:=\pi(R)$, if $R \neq \emptyset$, otherwise we set $\mathcal{B}:=\emptyset$.

Remark 1.19. Recalling that the support of a coherent sheaf is a closed subset (Har77], exercise 5.6(c)), the ramification locus $R$ of a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is by definition a closed subset of $X$. Moreover, we observe that $\Omega_{X \mid Y}=0$ on an open set $U \subset X$ (since $\pi$ is dominant by Har77, Ch. III, Lemma 10.5), and hence $R \subset X$ is a proper closed subset. Also, since a finite morphism is closed (see Har77, exercise II.3.5(b)), it follows by definition that the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ is a proper closed subset of $Y$.

Indeed, given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, under the assumption that $X$ is normal and $Y$ is smooth we have more information on the ramification locus $R$ (and hence on the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ ).

Proposition 1.20 (Purity of the Branch Locus, Zar58]). Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cover. Assume that $X$ is normal and $Y$ is smooth. Then the ramification locus $R$ is a reduced and effective Weil divisor of $X$.

Hence, given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, the ramification locus $R$ and the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ are proper closed subsets of $X$, respectively of $Y$. Moreover, under the assumption that $X$ is normal and $Y$ is smooth, they are indeed (Weil) divisors.

Remark 1.21. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cover. Under the assumption that $Y$ is normal the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ can also be defined as the set of points $y \in Y$ such that $\left|\pi^{-1}(y)\right|<\operatorname{deg}(\pi)$ (see [Sha13], page 142).

Remark 1.22. Note that in literature there are some inconsistencies about the use of the words ramification locus and branch locus. For instance, Zariski in [Zar58] refers to the ramification locus in our sense by using the term branch locus.

Remark 1.23. Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ where $X, Y$ are smooth, consider the first map of the relative cotangent sequence 1.1 , namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{*} \Omega_{Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X, Y$ are smooth, this is a map between locally free $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules of the same rank. Hence, taking the top exterior power of it we get

$$
\pi^{*} \omega_{Y} \rightarrow \omega_{X}
$$

and tensoring the latter by $\left(\pi^{*} \omega_{Y}\right)^{-1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \omega_{X} \otimes\left(\pi^{*} \omega_{Y}\right)^{-1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is a global section $s$ of the line bundle $\omega_{X} \otimes\left(\pi^{*} \omega_{Y}\right)^{-1}$. Denoting by $R$ the zero locus of $s$, we get then an effective divisor $R$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{X}=\pi^{*} \omega_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(R) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The previous formula is known as Riemann-Hurwitz formula (cf. [BHPV04], I.16) and the divisor $R$ coincides with the ramification locus of the cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$.

Indeed, under the assumption that $X$ and $Y$ are smooth and both of dimension $n$, the ramification locus $R$ of $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ has a more elementary description as the set of critical points of the derivative of $\pi$. More precisely, recalling that the derivative $D \pi_{x}$ of $\pi$ at $x \in X$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $D \pi_{x}: \Theta_{X, x} \rightarrow \Theta_{Y, f(x)}$ between the tangent spaces $\Theta_{X, x} \cong\left(m_{x} / m_{x}^{2}\right)^{\vee} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\Theta_{Y, f(x)} \cong\left(m_{f(x)} / m_{f(x)}^{2}\right)^{\vee} \cong \mathbb{C}^{n}$ (see Sha13, Chapter 2, Section 1.3), we have that

$$
R=\left\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{rank}\left(D \pi_{x}\right)<n\right\}
$$

Moreover, in this setting the branch locus $\mathcal{B}=\pi(R)$ can be described as the set of points $y \in Y$ such that $\left|\pi^{-1}(y)\right|<\operatorname{deg}(\pi)$ (see Remark 1.21 .

### 1.1.2 Tschirnhaus Bundle

Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cover of degree $d \geq 2$. We define here the so-called trace map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}: \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows (see HM99).
Recall that $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module with respect to the natural structure given by the pull-back map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \\
\quad f \longmapsto \pi^{\#}(f):=f \circ \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists an affine open covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $Y$ such that for each $i \in I$

$$
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(U_{i}\right)^{\oplus d}
$$

For every affine open set $U_{i} \subset Y$, each $\alpha \in \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right):=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)\right)$ defines a $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(U_{i}\right)$-linear map $\underline{\alpha}$ given by multiplication with $\alpha$, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{\alpha}: \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right) & \rightarrow \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right)  \tag{1.6}\\
\beta & \longmapsto \alpha \cdot \beta .
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose a basis for $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(U_{i}\right)^{\oplus d}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(U_{i}\right)$, then $\underline{\alpha}$ determines a $(d \times d)$ matrix $A_{\alpha}$.

Hence, if $\operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\alpha}\right)$ denotes the trace of the matrix $A_{\alpha}$, we define a map as follows

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{U_{i}}: \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(U_{i}\right) \\
\alpha \longmapsto \frac{1}{d} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{\alpha}\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since this definition is independent of the choice of a basis (different basis give similar matrices), the map is well-defined.

Moreover, we see right away that $\operatorname{Tr}_{U_{i}}$ is surjective since, by definition of $\operatorname{Tr}_{U_{i}}$, we have $\operatorname{Tr}_{U_{i}} \circ \pi_{U_{i}}^{\#}=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(U_{i}\right)}$.

Hence, as $\operatorname{Tr}_{U_{i}}$ glue together, we get a surjective map of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules like (1.5) which yields the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 module.

Definition 1.24. We call $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ the Tschirnhaus bundle of the cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$.
Remark 1.25. The symbol $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ we have chosen to denote the Tschirnhaus bundle might seem to the reader weird. However, we will see in Chapter 2 that our choice turns out to be useful since we will actually need to work with the dual sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of the Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$. Moreover, the notation $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ reminds the reader that the Tschirnhaus bundle is a locally free sheaf with no section, namely $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)=0$.

Since it holds true

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \circ \pi^{\#}=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}},
$$

the sequence 1.8 splits via $\pi^{\#}$ and we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.26. Note that, since sequence 1.8 splits via the pull-back map $\pi^{\#}$, we can equivalently define the Tschirnhaus bundle $\overline{\mathcal{E}}^{\vee}$ as the cokernel of the pull-back map $\pi^{\#}$, namely via the split exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\#}} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.1.3 The Spec Construction of a Cover

Let $Y$ be a fixed algebraic variety. It turns out that all covers $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ over $Y$ can be constructed by assigning some data on $Y$. Let us make this statement more precise.

Recall that, given a degree $d$ cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, the pushforward $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ sits into 1.8 which splits as in 1.9

Hence, $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ yields a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $d-1$ together with a ring structure on $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ which makes the latter an $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-algebra. }}$

Also, recall that for every quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{-}}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we can construct an affine morphism

$$
\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \cong Y
$$

such that $\pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}=\mathcal{A}$ (see Har77], exercise II.5.17(c)). Note that $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is finite if $\mathcal{A}$ is coherent (see Mum99], Ch. III., Sec. 2, Definition 2). Hence, if $\mathcal{A}$ is locally free, $\pi^{\prime}$ is finite and also flat by Proposition 1.13 . This construction is called relative spectrum construction of an affine morphism.

Conversely, given an affine morphism $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$, we observe that $\pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$ is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebra. Performing the relative spectrum construction with such a sheaf of algebras $\pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}$, we recover the given morphism $\pi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ since it holds

$$
X^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\pi_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\right)
$$

see Har77, exercise II.5.17(d).
Now let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a degree $d$ cover with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$. Recalling that a finite morphism is affine, if we perform the relative spectrum construction with $\mathcal{A}=\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, we get back the given cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, every cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d$ over a fixed algebraic variety $Y$ is uniquely determined by the assignment of a rank $d-1$ locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-module }} \mathcal{E}$ together with a structure of $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-algebra on }} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$.

Remark 1.27. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and $\mathcal{E}$ a rank $d-1$ locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module. Suppose that a structure of $\mathcal{O}_{Y^{-}}$-algebra is given on $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$.

Then if we perform the relative spectrum construction with $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, we get a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: X:=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=Y \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a finite and flat morphism of degree $d$.
However, the space $X=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ constructed in this way is not even an algebraic variety in general. This is an algebraic set which might be neither irreducible nor reduced.

The previous remark gives us the chance to point out an important fact: if we want to construct a degree $d$ cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ starting from a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $d-1$, we need to require that the ring structure we provide on $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ yields a global space $X$ with the desired features. This is not for granted.

Remark 1.28 (Factorization of a cover). Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d \geq 2$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, we note that there is a natural surjection of $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-algebras }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}=\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which induces an embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}):=\mathbf{S p e c}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(S\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ denotes the vector bundle associated with the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$.
Hence, every cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ factors as follows

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the dual of the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\pi$ and $p: \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$ is the vector bundle projection.

Remark 1.29. Note that the case $d=2$ is well-known and treated by several authors, see for instance [Per78] and BHPV04, Ch. I, Sec. 17]. Indeed, all double covers are Galois covers with Galois group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

### 1.1.4 Triple and Quadruple Covers

In this subsection we will briefly recall the theory of triple and quadruple covers as follows

- first we introduce the general theory developed by Miranda in Mir85 about triple covers and by Hahn-Miranda in HM99 about quadruple covers;
- then we describe the theory of Casnati-Ekedahl CE96 for Gorenstein covers of degree $d=3,4$.


### 1.1.4.a The Theory of Miranda and Hahn-Miranda

As we observed in Subsection 1.1.3, given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ it holds true

$$
X \cong \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)
$$

In other words, a degree $d$ cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is uniquely determined, up to isomorphism, by
(1) a rank $d-1$ locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$,
(2) a ring structure on $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ (which turns $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ into a $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebra) such that $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is locally the trace-zero module.

We will now try to better understand condition (2).
Let $Y$ be a fixed variety and $d \geq 2$ an integer. Given a rank $d-1$ locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-module }} \mathcal{E}$, we have to give a multiplication map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \otimes\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)=\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right) \oplus\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving (1.16) amounts to providing four maps as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}, \\
& \mathcal{O}_{Y} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}, \\
& \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee},  \tag{1.18}\\
& \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}
\end{align*}
$$

However, note that the first three maps are already given. More precisely, the first map is the multiplication of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$, while the second and the third give the $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module structure of $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$. Hence, assigning (1.16) is equivalent to providing a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has to factor through a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi: S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the multiplication is required to be commutative.
Therefore, giving a map $\phi$ as above amounts to assigning a commutative multiplication on $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ which is $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-linear.

However, there are conditions on $\phi$ in order that the multiplication 1.16 is associative and the $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-submodule $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ consists locally of zero trace functions.

These conditions are carefully analyzed in the cases $d=3,4$ in [Mir85], respectively in [HM99]. In both cases, it turns out that giving the map $\phi$ with the above-mentioned extra conditions amounts to assigning a section $\eta$ of a locally free sheaf given in terms of $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ and their symmetric and exterior powers.

More precisely, in Mir85 Miranda proves the following.
Theorem 1.30. Let $Y$ be an algebraic variety. A triple cover of $Y$ is determined by a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ of rank 2 together with a global section

$$
\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{3}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right)
$$

In [HM99] the authors give the following structure theorem for quadruple covers.
Theorem 1.31. Let $Y$ be an algebraic variety. A quadruple cover of $Y$ is determined by a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ of rank 3 and a totally decomposable section

$$
\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, \bigwedge_{\bigwedge}^{2} S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right)
$$

i.e., a map $\eta: \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} S^{2}(\mathcal{E})$ which for every $y \in Y$ induces a map

$$
\eta_{y}:\left(\bigwedge^{3} \mathcal{E}\right)_{y} \rightarrow\left(\bigwedge^{2} S^{2}(\mathcal{E})\right)_{y}
$$

whose image consists of totally decomposable tensors.

### 1.1.4.b Gorenstein Covers: the Theory of Casnati-Ekedahl

Till now we have treated the theory of covers $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ without any specific assumption. In particular, in Subsection 1.1.4.a we presented the structure theorems for covers $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d=3,4$ given by Miranda in Mir85], respectively by Hahn-Miranda in [HM99]. Here we briefly introduce the theory of Gorenstein covers of degree $d \geq 3$ developed by Casnati-Ekedahl in [CE96] and state the structure theorems for $d=3,4$ given therein.

Let us start with some definitions and observations.
Definition 1.32. A variety $X$ is said to be Gorenstein if for every $x \in X$ the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}$ is a Gorenstein ring.
Remark 1.33. A Gorenstein variety $X$ has an invertible dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X}$ (Har66], Proposition V.9.3).
Definition 1.34 (cf. [Har66], Exercise V.9.7). A cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic varieties is said to be Gorenstein if all fibres $X_{y}:=\pi^{-1}(y)$ are Gorenstein (schemetheoretically).
Remark 1.35. Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$, it holds true (|Har66|, Exercise V.9.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \text { is Gorenstein } \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \omega_{X \mid Y} \text { is a line bundle, } \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here $\omega_{X \mid Y}$ denotes the relative dualizing complex of $\pi$.
Remark 1.36. Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ where $Y$ is Gorenstein, it holds true that (see [Har66], Proposition V.9.6, cf. [Mat89], Ch. 8, Sec. 23, Theorem 23.4)

$$
\pi \text { is Gorenstein } \Longleftrightarrow X \text { is Gorenstein. }
$$

Given a cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d \geq 3$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, from Remark 1.28 it follows that there exists a factorization


Recalling that a subscheme $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is said to be arithmetically Gorenstein if its homogeneous coordinate ring $S(Z):=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] / I(Z)$ is a Gorenstein ring, the novelty of Casnati-Ekedahl approach relies on the fact that if we assume $X_{y}:=\pi^{-1}(y)$ to be Gorenstein, then $X_{y}$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_{y}$, where $p: \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow Y$ is the $\mathbb{P}^{d-2}$-bundle associated with the Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ and $\mathbb{P}_{y}:=p^{-1}(y) \cong \mathbb{P}^{d-2}$.

This yields a global embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ such that the given cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ factors as follows


In our setting the factorization theorem for Gorenstein covers of degree $d \geq 3$ (see [CE96, Theorem 2.1] and [CN07, Theorem 2.2] for an amended version) can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.37. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two algebraic varieties, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Gorenstein cover of degree $d \geq 3$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$. Then there exists a unique $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{d-2}$-bundle $p: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow Y$ and an embedding $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ such that $\pi=p \circ i$ and $X_{y}:=\pi^{-1}(y) \subset \mathbb{P}_{y}:=p^{-1}(y) \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{d-2}$ is a non-degenerate arithmetically Gorenstein subscheme for each $y \in Y$.

Moreover, the following properties hold.
i. $\mathbb{P} \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}(S(\mathcal{E}))$ where $\mathcal{E} \cong\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}$ is the dual of the Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ 。
ii. The composition $\varphi: \pi^{*} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \pi^{*} \pi_{*} \omega_{X \mid Y} \rightarrow \omega_{X \mid Y}$ is surjective and the ramification divisor $R$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{X}(R) \cong \omega_{X \mid Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(1):=i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)}(1) \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii. There exists an exact sequence $\mathcal{N}_{*}$ of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-sheaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{d-2}(-d) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{d-2}} \mathcal{N}_{d-3}(-d+2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{d-3}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} \mathcal{N}_{1}(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

unique up to unique isomorphisms and whose restriction to the fibre $\mathbb{P}_{y}$ over $y \in$ $Y$ is a minimal free resolution of the structure sheaf of $X_{y}$; in particular, $\mathcal{N}_{i}$ is fibrewise trivial. $\mathcal{N}_{d-2}$ is invertible and for $i=1, \ldots, d-3$ one has

$$
\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{N}_{i}=\frac{i(d-2-i)}{d-1}\binom{d}{i+1}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
p^{*} p_{*} \mathcal{N}_{*} \cong \mathcal{N}_{*} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\mathcal{N}_{*}, \mathcal{N}_{d-2}(-d)\right) \cong \mathcal{N}_{*}
$$

iv. If $\mathbb{P} \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}_{d-2} \cong p^{*} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ in the resolution 1.25 computed with respect to the polarization $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right)}(1)$.

### 1.1.4.c Gorenstein Triple Covers

Given a Gorenstein cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d=3$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ Theorem 1.37 applies and the sequence 1.25 reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})(-3) \stackrel{\delta}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $X \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)=: \mathbb{P}$ is the zero locus of the section $\delta \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}, p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}(3)\right)$ which corresponds to a section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{3}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}\right) \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{3}: H^{0}\left(Y, S^{3}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}, p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}(3)\right) \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely $\delta=\Phi_{3}(\eta)$.

Definition 1.38 ([CE96], Definition 3.3). Let $Y, \mathcal{E}$ and $\eta$ be as above. We say that $\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{3} \mathcal{E} \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{-1}\right)$ has the right codimension at $y \in Y$ if the zero-locus of

$$
\delta_{y} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{y}, p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{y}}(3)\right)
$$

has dimension 0 .
In our setting the structure theorem for Gorenstein triple covers can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.39 (cf. [CE96], Theorem 3.4). Let $Y$ be an algebraic variety. Any Gorenstein triple cover $\pi: \bar{X} \rightarrow Y$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ determines, up to scalars, a global section $\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{3}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}\right)$ having the right codimension at every $y \in Y$.

Conversely, given a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ and a global section

$$
\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{3}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})^{-1}\right)
$$

having the right codimension at every $y \in Y$, let $X$ be the zero-locus of $\delta:=\Phi_{3}(\eta)$ inside the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}(S(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow Y$, namely $X \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$. Then the restriction $\pi:=p_{\mid X}: X \rightarrow Y$ of the bundle projection $p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow Y$ is a Gorenstein cover of degree 3 with $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ as Tschirnhaus bundle.

### 1.1.4.d Gorenstein Quadruple Covers

Given a Gorenstein cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ of degree $d=4$ with Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ Theorem 1.37 applies and the sequence 1.25 reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})(-4) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(-2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$


Note that the Koszul complex of $\delta$ (see [Ful84, Appendix B.3]) is globally exact and then, since $\sqrt{1.29}$ is unique, it must be

$$
p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E})(-4) \cong \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{N})(-4) \Longleftrightarrow p^{*} \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \operatorname{det} \mathcal{N}
$$

and then, observing that $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{N} \cong p^{*} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{F}$, by injectivity of the pull-back $p^{*}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadruple cover $X$ is given by the zero-locus of the section $\delta \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}^{\vee}(2)\right)$, which corrsponds to a section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\vee}\right) \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{4}: H^{0}\left(Y, S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\vee}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{N}^{\vee}(2)\right), \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely $\delta=\Phi_{4}(\eta)$.
Definition 1.40. Let $Y, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ and $\eta$ be as above. We say that a section $\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\vee}\right)$ has the right codimension at $y \in Y$ if the zero-locus of

$$
\delta_{y} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}_{y}, \mathcal{N}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{y}}(2)\right)
$$

has dimension 0 .

In our setting the statement of the structure theorem for Gorenstein quadruple cover is as follows.

Theorem 1.41 (cf. [CE96], Theorem 4.4). Let Y be an algebraic variety. Any Gorenstein quadruple cover $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ with Tschirhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ determines a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of rank 2 with $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F})$ and, up to scalars, a global section $\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\vee}\right)$ having the right codimension at every $y \in Y$.

Conversely, given locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ of rank 3 and 2 respectively with $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}$ and $\eta \in H^{0}\left(Y, S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\vee}\right)$ having the right codimension at every $y \in Y$, let $X$ be the zero-locus of $\delta:=\Phi_{4}(\eta)$ inside the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}(S(\mathcal{E}))$, namely $X \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$. Then the restriction $\pi:=p_{\mid X}: X \rightarrow Y$ of the bundle projection $p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow Y$ is a Gorenstein cover of degree 4 such that $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is its Tschirnhaus bundle and

$$
\mathcal{F} \cong \operatorname{ker}\left(S^{2}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \pi_{*}\left(\omega_{X \mid Y}^{\otimes 2}\right)\right)
$$

where $\omega_{X \mid Y}$ denotes the relative dualizing sheaf of $\pi$.

### 1.2 Projective Bundles: a Brief Overview

Let $Y$ be a fixed algebraic variety and $\mathcal{E}$ a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module of rank $r \geq 2$. As already declared in Notation, for us the projective bundle associated with $\mathcal{E}$, denoted by $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
p: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}):=\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow Y \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

To avoid any sort of confusion, we point out that this is the projective bundle of onedimensional subspaces of the vector bundle $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$, namely we have that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})=\left(\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}) \backslash s_{0}(Y)\right) / \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

where $s_{0}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ is the zero section of the vector bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E}):=\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_{Y}}\left(\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)\right) \rightarrow Y \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the geometric notation adopted in [Ful84, Appendix B.3, B.5], where it is also pointed out that $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$ is the vector bundle whose sheaf of sections is, up to isomorphism, $\mathcal{E}$.

### 1.2.1 Tautological Line Bundle and Useful Formulae

Given a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ module $\mathcal{E}$, set $p: \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$ and $E:=\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{E})$.
Recall that the so-called tautological line bundle $\mathscr{L}_{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}$ is defined by gluing together the tautological line bundles of every fibre. This corresponds to the dual of the Serre's twisting sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}_{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have a natural embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \rightarrow p^{*} \mathcal{E} \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently a surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (Ful84], Appendix B. 5 for (1.36) and Laz04], Appendix A for (1.37).
Moreover, we have for all $m \geq 0$

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(m)=\mathbf{S y m}^{m}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)  \tag{1.38}\\
R^{r-1} p_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-r-m)=\operatorname{Sym}^{m}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E} \tag{1.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

and all the other direct images vanish (see Laz04], Appendix A).
Remark 1.42. From the previous formulae it follows in particular

$$
\text { (i) } \quad p_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}=\mathcal{O}_{Y},
$$

(ii) $p_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)=\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$,
(iii) $\quad R^{r-1} p_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-r)=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}$.

Remark 1.43. Note that, for every line bundle $\mathscr{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}(Y)$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathscr{L})}(1)=\varphi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \otimes\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{*} \mathscr{L}^{-1} \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y, p^{\prime}: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}) \rightarrow Y$ are the bundle projections, cf. Har77], Lemma II.7.9.

If $Y$ is smooth, then also the converse holds. Namely, if $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ are two locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-modules such that }}$

$$
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)
$$

then there exists a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $Y$ such that $\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathscr{L}$, see Har77, exercise II.7.9(b).

### 1.2.2 Morphisms factoring through $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

As it follows from Proposition II.7.12 of Har77, given a morphism of varieties $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ and a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}$, it turns out that $\pi$ factors through the projective bundle $p: \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$, namely we have a diagram as follows

if and only if there is a line bundle $\mathscr{L}$ on $X$ and a surjection as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{*}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this hypothesis, we have that

$$
\mathscr{L}=\psi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)
$$

and (1.42) is the pull-back via $\psi$ of the tautological surjection (1.37).

### 1.2.3 Relative Canonical Formula

Assume here that $Y$ is smooth. Given a a projective bundle

$$
p: \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y,
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y \text {-module of rank } r \geq 2 \text {, we observe that under the assumption }}$ that $Y$ is smooth, $\mathbb{P}$ is also smooth and the sheaf of relative differentials $\Omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid Y}$ is locally free of rank $r-1$. Furthermore, the relative cotangent sequence 1.1 is a sequence of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}$-modules which reads as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow p^{*} \Omega_{Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid Y} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking determinants we have then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{P}}=p^{*} \omega_{Y} \otimes \omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid Y} \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the so-called Euler sequence (see exercise III.8.4(b) of Har77])

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid Y} \rightarrow p^{*}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by taking determinants, we get from the last sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid Y}=p^{*} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-r) \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, putting together formulae 1.44 and 1.46 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{P}}=p^{*} \omega_{Y} \otimes p^{*} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-r) \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called relative canonical formula for the projective bundle $p: \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$.
Remark 1.44. Note that if $\omega_{Y} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ is trivial, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid Y}=\omega_{\mathbb{P}} \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

from 1.44 , and hence formula 1.46 computes the canonical bundle $\omega_{\mathbb{P}}$ of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathbb{P}}=p^{*} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-r) \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the case, for instance, when $Y$ is an abelian variety.

### 1.2.4 Néron-Severi Group and Integral Cohomology

Assume now that $Y$ is smooth and projective and let

$$
p: \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y
$$

be a projective bundle where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module of rank $r \geq 2$. Then, since $Y$ is smooth we can give precise information on the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P})$. Indeed, it holds (see Har77], exercise II.7.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pic}(\mathbb{P}) \cong \operatorname{Pic}(Y) \times \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since $Y$ is projective, we have, as pointed out in [Laz04], Appendix A, that the Néron-Severi group $N^{1}(\mathbb{P})$ of $\mathbb{P}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N^{1}(\mathbb{P}) \cong p^{*} N^{1}(Y) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot H \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the class of the Serre's twisting sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$. Moreover, denoting still by $H$ the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$ in $H^{2}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z})$, the integral cohomology ring

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z}):=\bigoplus_{n} H^{n}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a finetely generated $H^{*}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$-algebra. More precisely, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \frac{H^{*}(Y, \mathbb{Z})[H]}{H^{r}-c_{1} H^{r-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{r-1} c_{r-1} H+(-1)^{r} c_{r}} \tag{1.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}:=p^{*} c_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ are the pull-back of the Chern classes

$$
c_{i}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \in H^{2 i}(Y, \mathbb{Z})
$$

of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}-c_{1} H^{r-1}+\ldots+(-1)^{r-1} c_{r-1} H+(-1)^{r} c_{r}=0 \tag{1.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called Grothendieck relation.

### 1.3 The Heisenberg Group

Let $H$ be a finite abelian group with exponent $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote by

$$
H^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \cong H
$$

its group of characters.
Denoting by $V$ the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $H$, namely

$$
V:=\mathbb{C}(H)
$$

for every $h \in H$ and $\chi \in H^{*}$ we define linear operators $\underline{h}$ and $\underline{\chi}$ on $V$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\underline{h} \cdot f)(x):=f(x+h), \\
& (\underline{\chi} \cdot f)(x):=\chi(x) f(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.45. We define the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ as the subgroup of GL(V) generated by the operators introduced above. Namely,

$$
\mathcal{H}(H):=\left\langle\underline{h}, \underline{\chi} \mid h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}\right\rangle \leq G L(V) .
$$

The product in $\mathcal{H}(H)$ is the composition of linear operators and $\mathcal{H}(H)$ acts by definition on the vector space $V$, which is called the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}(H)$.

Note that the any two operators in $\{\underline{h}\}_{h \in H}$ commutes, and similarly for those in $\left\{\underline{\chi}_{\chi \in H^{*}}\right\}$. However, given $h \in H$ and $\chi \in H^{*}$, the associated operators $\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}$ in general does not. We give in the following remark some useful properties describing the way all these operators relate to each other.

Remark 1.46. For every $h, h^{\prime} \in H, \chi, \chi^{\prime} \in H^{*}$ it holds true:
(i) $\underline{h} \circ \underline{h^{\prime}}=\underline{h+h^{\prime}}, \quad \underline{\chi} \circ \underline{\chi^{\prime}}=\underline{\chi \chi^{\prime}}$,
(ii) $[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}]:=\underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi} \circ(\underline{h})^{-1} \circ(\underline{\chi})^{-1}=\chi(h) \cdot \operatorname{id}_{V} \in Z(\mathcal{H}(H))$,
(iii) $[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}]^{-1}=\left[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi^{-1}}\right], \quad[\underline{\chi}, \underline{h}]=[\underline{-h}, \underline{\chi}]$.

The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ is not abelian, as (ii) of the previous remark shows. Our aim is to prove that

$$
[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)]=Z(\mathcal{H}(H)) \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

To do so we need some preliminary lemmas.
Lemma 1.47. Given a finite abelian group $H$, for every $h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}$ it holds true

$$
\underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi} \in Z(\mathcal{H}(H)) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad(h, \chi)=(0,1) \in H \times H^{*} .
$$

Proof. From the hypothesis it follows that

$$
(\underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}) \circ \underline{h^{\prime}}=\underline{h^{\prime}} \circ(\underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}) \quad \forall h^{\prime} \in H
$$

which implies $\chi\left(h^{\prime}\right)=1$ and hence $\chi=1$. Therefore,

$$
\underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}=\underline{h} \in Z(\mathcal{H}(H))
$$

and since $\left[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi^{\prime}}\right]=\chi^{\prime}(h) \operatorname{id}_{V}$ we get $\chi^{\prime}(h)=1$ for all $\chi^{\prime} \in H^{*}$, which implies that $h=0$.
In fact, suppose by contradiction $h \neq 0$. Since $H$ is a finite abelian group we can write

$$
H \cong \mathbb{Z} / d_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / d_{n}
$$

and then, once we choose primitive $d_{i}$-roots of unity $\zeta_{d_{i}}$, we get isomorphisms

$$
\varphi_{i}: \mathbb{Z} / d_{i} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{i}\right)^{*}, \quad x \longmapsto\left\{y \mapsto\left(\zeta_{d_{i}}^{x}\right)^{y}\right\}
$$

yielding a (non-canonical) isomorphism

$$
H^{*} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{1}\right)^{*} \oplus \ldots \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{n}\right)^{*}
$$

Since $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \neq(0, \ldots, 0)$ there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $h_{j} \neq 0$.
There are two possibilities for $h_{j}$ :

1. $h_{j}$ is not a zero-divisor in $\mathbb{Z} / d_{j}$. Then we set

$$
\chi^{\prime}:=\left(1, \ldots, \varphi_{j}\left(h_{j}\right), \ldots, 1\right)
$$

2. $h_{j}$ is a zero-divisor in $\mathbb{Z} / d_{j}$. Then there exists $k_{j}$ such that $h_{j} k_{j}=0$ and we set

$$
\chi^{\prime}:=\left(1, \ldots, \varphi_{j}\left(k_{j}+1\right), \ldots, 1\right) .
$$

In both cases we found $\chi^{\prime} \in H^{*}$ such that $\chi^{\prime}(h) \neq 1$, a contradiction.

Lemma 1.48. For each element $T \in \mathcal{H}(H)$ there exist $h_{i} \in H, \chi_{i} \in H^{*}, i=1, \ldots, s$, and unique $h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}$ such that $T$ can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left[\underline{h_{1}}, \underline{\chi_{1}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{s}}, \underline{\chi_{s}}\right] \cdot \underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi} . \tag{1.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. An element $T \in \mathcal{H}(H)$ is by definition of the form

$$
T=\left(\underline{h_{1}}\right)^{i_{1}} \circ\left(\underline{\chi_{1}}\right)^{j_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ\left(\underline{h_{s}}\right)^{i_{s}} \circ\left(\underline{\chi_{s}}\right)^{j_{s}} \quad \text { for some } \quad h_{k} \in H, \chi_{k} \in H^{*}, i_{k}, j_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

By applying Remark 1.46 (i) and renaming by abuse of notation $i_{k} \cdot h_{k}, \chi_{k}^{j_{k}}$ as $h_{k}, \chi_{k}$, we get

$$
T=\underline{h_{1}} \circ \underline{\chi_{1}} \circ \cdots \circ \underline{h_{s}} \circ \underline{\chi_{s}} .
$$

Now, thanks to Remark 1.46 (i), (ii), it is easy to see that

$$
T=\left[\underline{\chi_{1}}, \underline{h_{2}}\right] \cdot\left[\underline{\chi_{1} \chi_{2}}, \underline{h_{3}}\right] \cdot \cdots \cdot\left[\underline{\chi_{1} \cdots \chi_{s-1}}, \underline{h_{s}}\right] \cdot \underline{\left(h_{1}+\ldots+h_{s}\right)} \circ \underline{\left(\chi_{1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \chi_{s}\right)}
$$

and then, by Remark 1.46 (i) and (iii), we get the desired form 1.55).
Now, suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left[\underline{h_{1}}, \underline{\chi_{1}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{s}}, \underline{\chi_{s}}\right] \cdot \underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}=\left[\underline{h_{1}^{\prime}}, \underline{\chi_{1}^{\prime}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{t}^{\prime}}, \underline{\chi_{t}^{\prime}}\right] \cdot \underline{h^{\prime}} \circ \underline{\chi^{\prime}} \tag{1.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting

$$
\lambda \operatorname{id}_{V}:=\left[\underline{h_{1}}, \underline{\chi_{1}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{s}}, \underline{\chi_{s}}\right], \quad \mu \operatorname{id}_{V}:=\left[\underline{h_{1}^{\prime}}, \underline{\chi_{1}^{\prime}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{t}^{\prime}}, \underline{\chi_{t}^{\prime}}\right],
$$

we get

$$
\lambda \cdot \underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}=\mu \cdot \underline{h}^{\prime} \circ \underline{\chi}^{\prime} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \underline{h-h^{\prime}} \circ \underline{\chi} \underline{\chi}^{\prime-1}=\lambda^{-1} \mu \cdot \mathrm{id}_{V} .
$$

Hence, thanks to Lemma 1.47, we obtain

$$
h=h^{\prime}, \quad \chi=\chi^{\prime} .
$$

Now we are ready to prove the desired result.
Proposition 1.49. Given a finite abelian group $H$, for its associated Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ it holds that

$$
[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)]=Z(\mathcal{H}(H)) \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

Proof. First of all, we recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)] } & =\left\langle\left\langle[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}],[\underline{\chi}, \underline{h}] \mid h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\left\langle[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}] \mid h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle \\
& :=\left\langle T \circ[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}] \circ T^{-1} \mid h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}, T \in \mathcal{H}(H)\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equality follows from Remark 1.46 (iii).
Then since the commutators $[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}]=\chi(h) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{V}$ are central elements, we have that

$$
[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)] \subseteq Z(\mathcal{H}(H)) .
$$

Now, let $T \in Z(\mathcal{H}(H))$ be a central element. By Lemma 1.48

$$
T=\left[\underline{h_{1}}, \underline{\chi_{1}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{s}}, \underline{\chi_{s}}\right] \cdot \underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}
$$

and thus

$$
T \in Z(\mathcal{H}(H)) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi} \in Z(\mathcal{H}(H))
$$

By Lemma 1.47 we get $h=0, \chi=1$ and hence

$$
T=\left[\underline{h_{1}}, \underline{\chi_{1}}\right] \cdots\left[\underline{h_{s}}, \underline{\chi_{s}}\right] \in[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)] .
$$

Finally, we have showed that

$$
Z(\mathcal{H}(H))=[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)]=\left\langle[\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}] \mid h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}\right\rangle \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

where the inclusion follows from the fact that $[\underline{h}, \chi]$ are scalar operators.

Given a finite abelian group $H$ with exponent $r \in \mathbb{N}$, thanks to Lemma 1.48 we can define a surjective group homomorphism

$$
\psi: \mathcal{H}(H) \rightarrow H \times H^{*}
$$

by the following assignment

$$
\psi(\underline{h}):=(h, 1), \quad \psi(\underline{\chi}):=(0, \chi), \quad \psi([\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}]):=(0,1) .
$$

By definition of $\psi$ and again by Lemma 1.48, it is immediate to see that

$$
\operatorname{ker} \psi=[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)]=Z(\mathcal{H}(H)) .
$$

Since the latter is a finite group of scalar operators which has exponent $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$
\operatorname{ker} \psi \cong \mu_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}
$$

Therefore, the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ of a finite abelian group $H$ with exponent $r \in \mathbb{N}$ is a central extension of $H \times H^{*}$ via $\mu_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mu_{r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(H) \longrightarrow H \times H^{*} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{r}=Z(\mathcal{H}(H))=[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)]$.
Recalling that every such a group extension is given by a 2-cocycle (see Section 1.5 or [MacLane95], Ch. IV, Thm 4.1), it easy to see that (1.57) corresponds to the (normalized) 2-cocycle

$$
\begin{gather*}
\beta:\left(H \times H^{*}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mu_{r} \\
\left(\left(h_{1}, \chi_{1}\right),\left(h_{2}, \chi_{2}\right)\right) \longmapsto \chi_{1}^{-1}\left(h_{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{id}_{V}=\left[\underline{h}_{2}, \underline{\chi_{1}^{-1}}\right] \tag{1.58}
\end{gather*}
$$

We recall moreover that $\beta$ measures the failure of the section

$$
s: H \times H^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(H), \quad(h, \chi) \longmapsto \underline{h} \circ \underline{\chi}
$$

to be a homomorphism. More precisely, the cohomology class of $\beta$

$$
[\beta] \in H^{2}\left(H \times H^{*}, \mu_{r}\right)
$$

is trivial if and only if the sequence 1.57 ) splits.

### 1.3.1 The Infinite Heisenberg Group

We construct now the infinite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H)$ with $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ as centre. The idea is to add all the scalar operators to the finite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$, namely $\left\{\lambda \cdot \mathrm{id}_{V}\right\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}}$.

Therefore, given a finite abelian group $H$ with exponent $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and keeping the notation $V=\mathbb{C}(H)$, we define

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H):=\left\langle\left\{\underline{h}, \underline{\chi}, \lambda \cdot \operatorname{id}_{V} \mid h \in H, \chi \in H^{*}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right\}\right\rangle \leq G L(V),
$$

getting the following commutative diagram

with

$$
\mathbb{C}^{*}=Z\left(\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H)\right)=\left[\mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H), \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H)\right] .
$$

By construction $\mathcal{H}(H) \leq \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H)$ and obviously the Schrödinger representation $\rho: \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ restricted to $\mathcal{H}(H)$ gives the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}(H)$.

Remark 1.50. (i) The Stone-von Neumann Theorem (known also as Mackey's Theorem, see Mackey49] or [Igu72], Ch. I, Sec. 5, Propositon 2) ensures that $V=\mathbb{C}(H)$ is the unique irreducible (faithful) representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ such that its centre $\mu_{r} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ acts via scalar multiplication in a natural way.
(ii) Considering the canonical basis of $V=\mathbb{C}(H)$, namely the characteristic functions $\left\{\mathbb{1}_{h}\right\}_{h \in H}$ defined as follows

$$
\mathbb{1}_{h}(x):= \begin{cases}0 & x \neq h  \tag{1.60}\\ 1 & x=h\end{cases}
$$

we get the canonical Schrödinger matrix representation.
(iii) Since the centre of both Heisenberg groups $\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H)$ act via scalar multiplication on $V=\mathbb{C}(H)$, there is an induced action of

$$
H \times H^{*} \cong \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(H) / \mathbb{C}^{*} \cong \mathcal{H}(H) / \mu_{r}
$$

on the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$. Namely, denoting by $\widetilde{T}$ the class in PGL $(V)$ of an operator $T \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$, there is a projective representation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{\rho}: H \times H^{*} \rightarrow P G L(V) \\
(h, \chi) \longmapsto \underline{6} \circ \underline{\chi} \tag{1.61}
\end{gather*}
$$

which makes the following diagram commute


In other words, $\bar{\rho}$ is a projective representation of $H \times H^{*}$ which lifts to a linear representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$. We will see in Chapter 3 that for a cyclic group $H=\mathbb{Z} / r$ every projective representation of $H \times H^{*} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$ has this property and the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z} / r)$ is called a representation group of $(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$.

### 1.3.2 The Representation $V \otimes V^{\vee}$

Given a finite abelian group $H$, we consider its Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H)$ fitting into the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mu_{r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(H) \longrightarrow H \times H^{*} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{r}=Z(\mathcal{H}(H))=[\mathcal{H}(H), \mathcal{H}(H)]$.
Denoting by $V$ the Schrödinger representation, we show here that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \otimes V^{\vee}=\bigoplus_{\eta \in H^{*} \times H} \mathbb{C}_{\eta} \tag{1.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta \in H^{*} \times H=\left(H \times H^{*}\right)^{*}$ are the 1-dimensional characters of the abelian group $H \times H^{*}$.

Indeed, denoting by $\chi$ the character of the Schrödinger representation $\rho: \mathcal{H}(H) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ and by $\bar{\chi}$ its complex conjugate, from character theory (see for instance [sa94], Chapter 2) it follows that it is enough to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\chi \cdot \bar{\chi}, \eta\rangle=1 \quad \text { for all } \quad \eta \in\left(H \times H^{*}\right)^{*} . \tag{1.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that, given a 1-dimensional character $\eta$ of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}(H), \eta$ comes from the abelianization of $\mathcal{H}(H)$, namely

$$
\mathcal{H}(H) / \mu_{r} \cong H \times H^{*}
$$

Moreover, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\chi \cdot \bar{\chi}, \eta\rangle=\langle\chi, \chi \cdot \eta\rangle \quad \text { for all } \quad \eta \in\left(H \times H^{*}\right)^{*} . \tag{1.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, given $\eta \in\left(H \times H^{*}\right)^{*}, \chi \cdot \eta$ is an irreducible character of $\mathcal{H}(H)$ since $\chi$ is irreducible. Moreover, as $\eta$ comes from the abelianization of $\mathcal{H}(H)$, it holds true

$$
\chi=\chi \cdot \eta \quad \text { on } \quad \mu_{r} .
$$

Hence, by Stone-von Neumann Theorem we get

$$
\chi=\chi \cdot \eta
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\chi \cdot \bar{\chi}, \eta\rangle=\langle\chi, \chi \cdot \eta\rangle=\langle\chi, \chi\rangle=1 \quad \text { for all } \quad \eta \in\left(H \times H^{*}\right)^{*} \tag{1.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

proving the decomposition 1.64

### 1.3.3 The Cyclic Case

Given a cyclic group $H=\mathbb{Z} / r \mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$
\mathcal{H}_{r}:=\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Z} / r \mathbb{Z})
$$

Then the sequence 1.57 reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mu_{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{r} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2} \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a presentation of $\mathcal{H}_{r}$, which is a group of order $r^{3}$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{r}=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{r}=b^{r}=c^{r}=1, c=[a, b], a c a^{-1}=c, b c b^{-1}=c\right\rangle . \tag{1.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the 2-cocycle $\beta \in Z^{2}\left((\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}, \mathbb{Z} / r\right)$ giving the extension 1.68 is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta:(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2} \times(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2} \rightarrow \mu_{r} \cong \mathbb{Z} / r \\
& \quad((i, j),(k, l)) \longmapsto-j k .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the Schrödinger representation has in this case dimension $r$. Fixing the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z} / r)$ and a primitive $r$-th root of unity $\zeta_{r}$, the generators $a, b, c$ act as the following matrices

$$
a \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

and they give the canonical Schrödinger matrix representation of $\mathcal{H}_{r}$.

### 1.3.3.a The Case $r=2$

The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ is a group of order 8 with a presentation as follows

$$
\mathcal{H}_{2}=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{2}=b^{2}=c^{2}=1, c=[a, b], a c a=c, b c b=c\right\rangle
$$

Since the dihedral group $D_{4}$ can be presented by

$$
D_{4}=\left\langle r, s \quad \mid \quad s^{2}=r^{4}=1, \quad s r s=r^{-1}\right\rangle
$$

we easily see that the following map

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi: \mathcal{H}_{2} \rightarrow D_{4} \\
& a \longmapsto s \\
& \longmapsto \longmapsto s r  \tag{1.70}\\
& \longmapsto \longmapsto r^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

The Schrödinger representation $V$ has dimension 2 and the generators $a, b, c$ act as follows

$$
a \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad b \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad c \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Moreover, since the dual representation $V^{\vee}$ is obtained by transposing and inverting, we have in this case that

$$
V \cong V^{\vee}
$$

On the other hand, using the standard formula from representation theory (see [Ser77], Ch. II, Corollary 2(a))

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G|=\sum_{i=1}^{s} d_{i}^{2} \tag{1.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G$ is a finite group and $d_{i}$ are the degrees of its irreducible representations, we get that, up to isomorphism of representations, $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ has:

- 1 irreducible representations of dimension 2 (the Schrödinger representation $V$ ),
- 4 irreducible representations of dimension 1 (i.e., the four characters coming from the abelianization $\left.(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \cong \mathcal{H}_{2} / \mu_{2}\right)$.


### 1.3.3.b The Case $r=3$

The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ is a group with 27 elements given by the following presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{3}=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{3}=b^{3}=c^{3}=1,[a, b]=c, a c a^{2}=c, b c b^{2}=c\right\rangle \tag{1.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical Schrödinger matrix representation is given in this case by

$$
a \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad b \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \zeta_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad c \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We immediately see that the dual representation $V^{\vee}$ is then given by

$$
a \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad b \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{3}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right), \quad c \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta_{3}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{3}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \zeta_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the traces of the two matrices corresponding to $c$ are not equal, it follows that the Schrödinger representation $V$ and its dual $V^{\vee}$ are not isomorphic.

Hence, formula 1.71 implies in this case that, up to isomorphism of representations, $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ has:

- 2 irreducible rep. of dimension $3\left(V, V^{\vee}\right)$,
- 9 irreducible rep. of dimension 1 (i.e., the 9 characters coming from the abelianization $\left.(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2} \cong \mathcal{H}_{3} / \mu_{3}\right)$.


### 1.4 Line Bundles on Complex Tori

Let $X=V / \Lambda$ be a complex torus of dimension $g$, where $V$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of dimension $g$ and $\Lambda$ is a lattice inside $V$.

Recall that the Néron-Severi group $N S(X)$, which is defined as the image of the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}: \operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong H^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \tag{1.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be identified with the group of hermitian forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
H: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text { with } \quad \operatorname{im} H(\Lambda, \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

see BL04, Ch. 2, Sec. 2.1).
We collect here, under the above-mentioned identification, some fundamental notions and definitions, as for which we refer the reader to [BL04].

Given on $X$ a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ with first Chern class $H=c_{1}(\mathcal{L})$, we recall that $E=\mathfrak{I m} H$ is an alternating form $E: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is $\mathbb{Z}$-valued on the lattice $\Lambda$, see BL04], Lemma 2.1.7. Hence, by the elementary divisor theorem (Bou07], Theorem 1 of Sec. 5.1) there exists a basis $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{g}$ of $\Lambda$ with respect to which $E$ is represented by a matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \Delta  \tag{1.75}\\
-\Delta & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Delta=\operatorname{diag}\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$ is a $g \times g$ diagonal matrix with integers $\delta_{i} \geq 0$ such that $\delta_{i} \mid \delta_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, g-1$.

Definition 1.51 (Type of $\mathcal{L}$ ). The integers $\delta_{i}$ are called elementary divisors of $\mathcal{L}$ and the vector $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$ is defined as the type of $\mathcal{L}$.
Definition 1.52 (Pfaffian of $\mathcal{L}$ ). We call the Pfaffian of the skew-symmetric matrix (1.75) the Pfaffian of $\mathcal{L}$, namely

$$
\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{L}):=\operatorname{det}(\Delta)=\delta_{1} \cdots \delta_{g} .
$$

Definition 1.53. A holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on a complex torus $X$ is said to be non-degenerate (resp. positive definite/negative definite) if its first Chern class $c_{1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a non-degenerate (resp. positive definite/negative definite) hermitian form.
Definition 1.54. A polarization $H$ on a complex torus $X$ is defined as the first Chern class $H=c_{1}(\mathcal{L})$ of a positive definite holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. We often say by abuse of notation that $\mathcal{L}$ (or $D$ ) itself is a polarization.
Definition 1.55. An abelian variety $A$ is a complex torus endowed with a polarization $H=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$. We say that $(A, H)$ is a polarized abelian variety and by abuse of notation we often write $\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$ or $(A, D)$ instead of $(A, H)$.
Remark 1.56. From the work of Lefschetz Lef21a, Lef21b] (see also Mum70], pp. 2933, Theorem of Lefschetz) it follows that on a complex torus a holomorphic line bundle is positive definite if and only if it is ample. This means that an abelian variety can be defined as a complex torus admitting a projective embedding (and hence, by Chow's Theorem, it is a projective variety).

### 1.4.1 The Associated Homomorphism $\Phi_{D}$

Given a complex torus $X$ of dimension $g$, we will use the canonical identification between the dual complex torus $\widehat{X}$ and $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ (see BL04], Proposition 2.4.1), where the latter consists by definition of those line bundles with vanishing first Chern class.

For any given holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ on $X$, it is defined a map (see (BL04], p. 36)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi_{D}: X \rightarrow \widehat{X}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)  \tag{1.76}\\
x \longmapsto t_{x}^{*} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $t_{x}: X \rightarrow X$ denotes the translation by $x \in X$.
Remark 1.57. By definition it follows immediately that

$$
\Phi_{(-D)}=\left(-1_{\hat{X}}\right) \circ \Phi_{D}
$$

where $-1_{\widehat{X}}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ denotes the multiplication by -1 .
The above-defined map $\Phi_{D}$ is indeed a homomorphism between complex tori by the Theorem of the Square (see [BL04], Theorem 2.3.3, or [Mum70], pp. 59-60).

Hence, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(D):=\operatorname{ker} \Phi_{D} \tag{1.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

for its kernel, we have the following propositions.
Proposition 1.58 (BL04], Lemma 2.4.7(b)).

$$
\mathcal{K}(D)=X \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)
$$

Proposition 1.59 (BL04], Proposition 2.4.8). $\mathcal{K}(D)$ is a finite group if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ is a non-degenerate line bundle.

Hence, under the assumption that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ is a non-degenerate line bundle of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$, the homomorphism $\Phi_{D}$ is indeed an isogeny between complex tori, whose degree is computed by the following.

Proposition 1.60 (|BL04], Proposition 2.4.9). For any non-degenerate line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$ with Pfaffian $\delta:=\prod_{i=1}^{g} \delta_{i}$, it holds true

$$
\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{D}=\delta^{2}=\prod_{i=1}^{g} \delta_{i}^{2}
$$

### 1.4.2 The Theta Group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ and its Canonical Representation

Let $A$ be an abelian variety. Given a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$, we consider the group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ of all automorphisms of its associated geometric line bundle $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$ which are lifts of some translations $t_{x}: A \rightarrow A$, where $x \in A$.

More formally, we give the following definitions, as for which we refer the reader to BL04, Ch. 6.

Definition 1.61. Suppose $x \in A$. Recalling that $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the geometric line bundle whose sheaf of section is $\mathcal{L}$, a biholomorphic map $\varphi_{x}: \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})$ is called an automorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ over $x$ if the following diagram commutes

and, for every $y \in A$, the induced map on the fibres

$$
\varphi(y): \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})_{y} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L})_{y+x}
$$

is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.
Definition 1.62 (Theta group). Given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on an abelian variety $A$, we define the theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ as follows

$$
\mathcal{G}(D):=\left\{\left(\varphi_{x}, x\right) \mid x \in A, \varphi_{x} \text { is an automorphism of } \mathcal{L} \text { over } x\right\} .
$$

Recalling that $\mathcal{K}(D)$ is the group of translations $t_{x}: A \rightarrow A$ such that $t_{x}^{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$, the image of the map

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{G}(D) \rightarrow A  \tag{1.78}\\
& \left(\varphi_{x}, x\right) \mapsto x
\end{align*}
$$

is $\mathcal{K}(D)$ by the universal property of the fibre product. Indeed, we can be more precise.
Proposition 1.63 (||BL04], Prop. 6.1.1). Given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on an abelian variety $A$, the theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ is a central extension of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ via $\mathbb{C}^{*}$, namely it fits into the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on an abelian variety $A$, the theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ acts in a natural way on the vector space of global sections $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$.

Suppose $s$ is a global section of $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ and $\left(\varphi_{x}, x\right) \in \mathcal{G}(D)$. Since the following diagram

commutes, $\varphi s t_{-x}$ is also a global section of $\mathcal{L}$.
It is immediate to see that the assignment

$$
\left(\left(\varphi_{x}, x\right), s\right) \longmapsto \varphi_{x} \circ s \circ t_{-x}
$$

defines in a canonical way an action

$$
\rho: \mathcal{G}(D) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}\left(H^{0}(A, \mathcal{L})\right)
$$

which is called the canonical representation of the Theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$.
Remark 1.64. Note that if $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ is a positive definite line bundle, there exists an explicit basis for $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$, namely the set of canonical theta functions with fixed characteristic, see [BL04], Theorem 3.2.7. Then, fixing this basis, we obtain the canonical matrix representation of $\mathcal{G}(D)$.

### 1.4.3 The Isomorphism between the Theta Group and the Heisenberg Group

Given on an abelian variety $A$ of dimension $g$ a positive definite line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$, we define the Heisenberg group associated with $D$ (resp. the infinite Heisenberg group associated with $D$ ) as the Heisenberg group (resp. the infinite Heisenberg group) of the abelian group $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{i}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{D}:=\mathcal{H}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{i}\right), \quad\left(\text { resp. } \mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}:=\mathcal{H}^{\infty}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{i}\right)\right) \tag{1.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.65. Note that $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ coincides with the Heisenberg group defined in Sec. 6.6 of BL04.

Set $H_{D}:=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g} \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{i}$ and recall that, since $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ is non-degenerate, the group $\mathcal{K}(D)$ is finite by Proposition 1.59 . Indeed, in this case we can be more precise as $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ is positive definite and hence, from Lemma 6.6.5 of [BL04], it follows that there exist group isomorphisms $b, b^{\prime}$ such that the following diagram commutes


Furthermore, these isomorphisms $b, b^{\prime}$ induce an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta: H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left(H_{D}\right) \tag{1.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending the basis of canonical theta functions of Remark 1.64 to the canonical basis $\left\{\mathbb{1}_{h}\right\}_{h \in H_{D}}$ of $\mathbb{C}\left(H_{D}\right)$, and the following diagram commutes (see BL04, Proposition 6.7.1])

where the first and second row are given by the canonical representation of $\mathcal{G}(D)$, respectively by the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$.

In light of diagrams (1.82) and 1.84 , we see that the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ is an abstract version of the theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$. Therefore, we will identify $\mathcal{G}(D)$ with $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ and the canonical representation of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ with the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$, calling the elements of the canonical basis $\left\{\mathbb{1}_{h}\right\}_{h \in H_{D}}$ finite theta functions (see Remark 6.7.2 of BL04]).

Still, we will say that the infinite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ acts on $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$ and write a sequence as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D} \subset \mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ is by definition a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$ of order

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{D}\right|=\delta_{g} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{g} \delta_{i}^{2}
$$

fitting into a diagram like 1.62, namely

where $\mu_{\delta_{g}} \subset \mathbb{C}^{*}$ is the group of $\delta_{g}$-th roots of unity.
Hence, under the above-mentioned identification between $\mathcal{G}(D)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$, we will write

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mu_{\delta_{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

considering $\mathcal{H}_{D} \subset \mathcal{G}(D)$ as a subgroup with the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ being induced by restriction of the canonical representation of $\mathcal{G}(D)$ (see Section 1.3).

Remark 1.66. The inclusion $\mathcal{H}_{D} \subset \mathcal{G}(D)$ means that we can interpret the elements of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ as automorphisms over points $x \in \mathcal{K}(D)$. Note that the set $\mathcal{G}(D) \backslash \mathcal{H}_{D}$ consists exactly of those automorphisms given by multiplication with a non-zero constant $\lambda \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{*}, \lambda \notin \mu_{\delta_{g}}$.

Remark 1.67. It is worth pointing out that throughout Chapter 2 we will deal with $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ and never with its infinite version $\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\infty}$.

### 1.4.4 Heisenberg Action on Sheaves

Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$. Given a positive definite line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$, we observe that its associated isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is indeed an unramified Galois cover with Galois group $\mathcal{K}(D)$ and degree equal to $\operatorname{Pf}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)^{2}=|\mathcal{K}(D)|$ (see Proposition 1.60, namely we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{D}: & A \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)=A / \mathcal{K}(D) \\
& x \longmapsto t_{x}^{*} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $t_{x}: A \rightarrow A$ denotes the translation by $x \in A$.
We now recall the notion of $G$-sheaf (see Mum70, II.7) which turns out to be useful for our purposes.

Let $X$ be an algebraic variety, $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ a finite group of automorphisms, and denotes by $\pi: X \rightarrow Y=X / G$ the canonical projection.

Definition 1.68 (G-sheaf). A coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module $\mathscr{F}$ is said to be a $G$-sheaf if $G$ acts on $\mathscr{F}$ in a way compatible with its action on $X$. In other words, for every $g \in G$ there must be an automorphism $g^{*}: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}$ inducing an isomorphism between the stalks $\mathscr{F}_{x} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}_{g x}$ for every $x \in X$.

Example 1.69. Consider the pull-back $\pi^{*} \mathscr{G}$ of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module $\mathscr{G}$. This is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module (Har77], Ch. II, Prop. 5.8(b)) which is a $G$-sheaf in a natural way. More precisely, for every $g \in G$ there is a commutative diagram as follows

providing for any open set $U \subset X$


Since $\pi \circ g=\pi$, the pull-back map

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{U}^{*}: \pi^{*} \mathscr{G}(U) \rightarrow \pi^{*} \mathscr{G}(g(U)) \\
& s \longmapsto g^{*}(s):=s \circ g^{-1} \tag{1.90}
\end{align*}
$$

yields an automorphism

$$
g^{*}: \pi^{*} \mathscr{G} \rightarrow \pi^{*} \mathscr{G} .
$$

Note moreover that $g^{*}$ induces on the level of stalks isomorphisms as follows

$$
\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{G}\right)_{x} \cong\left(\pi^{*} \mathcal{G}\right)_{g x}
$$

since sections comes from $Y=X / G$ and locally we have a diagram like 1.89.
Remark 1.70. If in the previous example $\mathscr{G}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module, it becomes easier to describe the $G$-sheaf structure of $\pi^{*} \mathscr{G}$ since we can do it in terms of vector bundles. Namely, considering the associated vector bundle $E:=\mathbb{V}(\mathscr{G})$, for every $g \in G$ we have a diagram as follows

which shows that $G$ acts on $E$ in a way compatible with its action on $X$.

Indeed, the following result shows that, if $G$ acts freely, $G$-sheaves on $X$ are essentially pull-backs of sheaves on $Y=X / G$.

Proposition 1.71. Let $X$ be an algebraic variety and $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be a finite group of automorphisms of $X$, acting freely on $X$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y:=X / G$ be the canonical projection. Then the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \pi^{*} \mathcal{F}$ is an equivalence between the category of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules and that of coherent $G$-sheaves on $X$, whose inverse is given by $\mathscr{F} \mapsto \pi_{*}(\mathscr{F})^{G}$. Locally free sheaves correspond to locally free sheaves of the same rank.

Applying the previous proposition to the case of the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ where $A$ is an abelian variety and $D$ a polarization, we see that for every coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module $\mathscr{F}$, the pull-back $\Phi_{D}^{*} \mathscr{F}$ is a $\mathcal{K}(D)$-sheaf. Moreover, given a sequence of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module, if we take pull-backs via $\Phi_{D}$ we get a sequence of $\mathcal{K}(D)$-equivariant sheaves.

Notice that the notion of a $G$-sheaf can be generalized to the case where the action of the group $G$ on the algebraic variety $X$ is not faithful. Namely, in Definition 1.68 we replace the hypothesis $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ with $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ an action.

Example 1.72. Given on an abelian variety $A$ a polarization $D$, every $\mathcal{K}(D)$-sheaf is also a Heisenberg $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-sheaf by using the surjection

$$
\mathcal{H}_{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(D)
$$

Hence, since the action of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ on $A$ is free, the pull-back $\Phi_{D}^{*} \mathscr{F}$ of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module $\mathscr{F}$ is both a $\mathcal{K}(D)$-sheaf and a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-sheaf.

Example 1.73. Given on an abelian variety $A$ a polarization $D$ of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ is a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-sheaf since we consider $\mathcal{H}_{D} \subset \mathcal{G}(D)$.

### 1.4.5 The Fourier-Mukai Transform

Given a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ an abelian variety $A$, we would like to have information on its cohomology. From this viewpoint the so-called Riemann-Roch Theorem for abelian varieties provides us with some help. Let us recall it.

Theorem 1.74 (|Mum70], page 150). Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a line bundle. Then it holds

$$
\text { (i) } \quad \chi(D)=\frac{D^{g}}{g!}
$$

(ii) $\quad \chi(D)^{2}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{D} & \text { if }|\mathcal{K}(D)|<\infty \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}$
where $D^{g}$ denotes the $g$-fold self-intersection number of $D$.
Thanks to the previous theorem, it becomes easy to compute the cohomology of a positive definite line bundle on an abelian variety.

Corollary 1.75. Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a positive definite line bundle of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$ with Pfaffian $\delta:=\prod_{j=1}^{g} \delta_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{j}(D)=0 \quad \text { for } j \neq 0, \quad h^{0}(D)=\delta, \quad D^{g}=g!\cdot \delta . \tag{1.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Kodaira vanishing we have that $h^{j}(D)=0$ for all $j \neq 0$, and hence $\chi(D)=h^{0}(D)$. Moreover, since $D$ is non-degenerate, we have $\mathcal{K}(D)$ is finite. Thus, applying (ii) of Theorem 1.74 we get

$$
h^{0}(D)^{2}=\operatorname{deg} \Phi_{D}=\delta^{2},
$$

where the last equality follows from Proposition 1.60. Hence, we get $h^{0}(D)=\delta$ and

$$
D^{g}=g!\cdot h^{0}(D)=g!\cdot \delta,
$$

where the first equality follows from $(i)$ of Theorem 1.74
More generally, we are interested in the case where the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ is nondegenerate (or equivalently by Proposition $1.59,|\mathcal{K}(D)|<\infty)$.

The cohomology of such a line bundle $D$ turns out to be really interesting as the so-called Mumford's Index Theorem points out (see Mum70, page 150).

Theorem 1.76 (Mumford's Index Theorem). Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a non-degenerate line bundle. Then there exists a unique integer $i(D)$, $0 \leq i(D) \leq g$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{j}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)=0 \quad \text { for } j \neq i(D), \quad H^{i(D)}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right) \neq 0 \tag{1.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $i(-D)=g-i(D)$.
Definition 1.77. Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a nondegenerate line bundle. We call the integer $i(D)$ given by Mumford's Index Theorem the "index of $D$ ".

Example 1.78. Given a negative definite line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on an abelian variety $A$, $\operatorname{dim} A=g$, we see right away that its index $i(D)=g$. Indeed, $i(D)=g-i(-D)$ by Serre duality and since $-D$ is ample we get immediately $i(-D)=0$ applying Corollary 1.75

A natural question then arises: given a non-degenerate line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on an abelian variety $A, \operatorname{dim} A=g$, how can we compute its index $i(D)$ ?

The following proposition provides an answer.
Proposition 1.79 (|Mum70], Corollary on page 62). Let $A=V / \Lambda$ be an abelian variety
 is a non-degenerate hermitian form defined on $V$. Then the index $i(D)$ equals the number $s$ of negative eigenvalues of $H$.

Corollary 1.80. Let $A$ be an abelian variety of dimension $g$ and $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a non-degenerate line bundle. For any line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(M) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$, we have that $i(D+M)=i(D)$.

Proof. It is enough to observe that a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(M) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ has vanishing first Chern class.

Hence, summing up, for a non-degenerate line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on an abelian variety $A, \operatorname{dim} A=g$, there exists an integer $i(D), 1 \leq i(D) \leq g$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{j}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D+M)\right)=0 \quad \text { for } j \neq i(D), \quad H^{i(D)}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D+M)\right) \neq 0 . \tag{1.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A}(M) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$.
Consider the normalized Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$ on $A \times \widehat{A}$ (see BL04, Ch. 2, Sec. 5 for its definition and properties) and denote by $p_{A}$ and $p_{\widehat{A}}$ the two projections from $A \times \widehat{A}$
to $A$, respectively $\widehat{A}$. Given a line bundle $\mathscr{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ on $A$, according to the following picture

we consider all higher direct images

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{i} p_{\widehat{A *}}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{A}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right), \quad i \geq 0 \tag{1.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next proposition gives information on them under the hypothesis that $\mathscr{L}$ is nondegenerate.

Proposition 1.81 (cf. [BL04], Lemma 14.2.1). Let $A$ be an abelian variety and $\mathscr{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a non-degenerate line bundle. Then it holds
(i) $R^{j} p_{\widehat{A} *}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{A}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)=0$ for $j \neq i(D)$
(ii) $R^{i(D)} p_{\widehat{A *}}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{A}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)$ is locally free of rank $h^{i(D)}(A, D)$ on $\widehat{A}$.

Thus, it makes sense to give the following definition.
Definition 1.82 (Fourier-Mukai Transform). Let $A$ be an abelian variety and $\mathscr{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ a non-degenerate line bundle. The locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module of rank $h^{i(D)}(A, D)$

$$
\widehat{\mathscr{L}}:=R^{i(D)} p_{\widehat{A} *}\left(\mathcal{P} \otimes p_{A}^{*} \mathscr{L}\right)
$$

is called Fourier-Mukai transform of $\mathscr{L}$.
Example 1.83. Let $A$ be an abelian variety, $\operatorname{dim} A=g$, and $\mathscr{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$ an ample line bundle yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{g}\right)$ with Pfaffian $\delta:=\prod_{i=1}^{g} \delta_{i}$. Hence, $\mathscr{L}^{-1}$ is a negative definite line bundle with index $g$ and it holds that

$$
h^{g}(-D)=h^{0}(D)=\delta,
$$

where the first equality follows from Serre, while the second from Corollary 1.75
Therefore, the Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathscr{L}^{-1}}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module of rank $\delta$.
Remark 1.84. We have defined here the Fourier-Mukai transform for a non-degenerate line bundle. Indeed, this is just the very first instance of a more general construction which yields an equivalence of categories between the two derived categories $D(A)$ and $D(\widehat{A})$ of an abelian variety $A$ and its dual $\widehat{A}$. We refer the interested reader to [Muk81] or [BL04], Ch. 14. Sec. 2, for a more detailed account on the topic.

Finally, we present here one main result of the theory of Fourier-Mukai transforms, which actually turns out to be central for the construction method described in Chapter 2.

Proposition 1.85 (cf. [Muk81, formula (3.10)], [Polish03, Prop. 11.9]). Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a negative definite line bundle on an abelian surface $A$. Set $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D):=\mathfrak{L}^{-1}$ and $V:=H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$. Then, recalling that $\Phi_{D}: A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ denotes the isogeny associated with the ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)$, it holds true

$$
\left(-\Phi_{D}\right)^{*}(\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}) \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} .
$$

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{L}$ is a negative definite line bundle on the abelian surface $A$, its index equals 2 (see Example 1.78). Hence, applying Corollary 14.3 .6 a) of [BL04], we obtain

$$
\left(\Phi_{-D}\right)^{*} \widehat{\mathfrak{L}} \cong H^{2}(A, \mathfrak{L}) \otimes \mathfrak{L}^{-1} .
$$

Recalling that by definition $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A}(D)=\mathfrak{L}^{-1}$ and that $\Phi_{(-D)}=-\Phi_{D}$, by Serre duality we get our formula.

### 1.4.6 Polarizations on Abelian Surfaces

Here we recall some well-known facts on polarizations on abelian surfaces which will turn out to be useful in Chapter 2

Given on an abelian surface $A$ an ample divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (hence with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$ ), the linear system $|D|$ has no base points if $\delta_{1} \geq 2$ (by Proposition 4.1.5 of (BL04]).

### 1.4.6.a The Case $(1, \delta), \delta \geq 3$

If $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta=\delta_{2} \geq 3$ we first show that it has no base points if it has no fixed part; since the base-point locus $\Sigma$ is $\mathcal{K}(D)$-invariant, it has cardinality a multiple of $|\mathcal{K}(D)|=\delta^{2}$, while $D^{2}=2 \delta$, a contradiction.

Note that the system $|D|$ has no fixed part (see [BL04, Lemma 10.1.1]) unless the pair $\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$ is isomorphic to a polarized product of two elliptic curves, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right) \cong\left(E_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right)\right) \times\left(E_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{2}}\left(D_{2}\right)\right), \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)=1, \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)=\delta_{2}$.
Hence, we conclude in particular that for $\delta_{1}=1, \delta \geq 3,|D|$ has no base points if $A$ does not contain any elliptic curve.

### 1.4.6.b The Case $(1,2)$

If $\delta_{1}=1$ and $\delta=2, D$ has no fixed part unless (see Bar87) $A$ is the polarized product of two elliptic curves,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)=\left(E_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{1}}\left(P_{1}\right)\right) \times\left(E_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{E_{2}}\left(2 P_{2}\right)\right), \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are points; in this case the base locus equals the curve $\left\{P_{1}\right\} \times E_{2}$.
If there is no curve in the base locus, by $\mathcal{K}(D)$-invariance, the base locus consists of 4 distinct points.

Hence, in all cases, given a basis $x_{1}, x_{2}$ of $H^{0}\left(A, \mathcal{O}_{A}(D)\right)$, at each base point either $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$ is a local parameter.

### 1.5 Group Cohomology: a Brief Overview

In this section we will give a brief introduction to group cohomology, referring the reader to $\overline{\mathrm{Bro94}}$ as a classical textbook on the topic. More precisely, our main goal is to introduce the groups $H^{i}(G, M)$ which we will use throughout Chapter 3 .

We start with the definition of a $G$-module, where $G$ is a finite group.
Definition 1.86 ( $G$-module). A $G$-module is an abelian group $M$ equipped with a left action $G \times M \rightarrow M$ which is compatible with the abelian group structure on $M$, namely

$$
g *(x \cdot y)=(g * x) \cdot(g * y), \quad g * 1=1 .
$$

Example 1.87. (1) Every abelian group $M$ where $G$ acts trivially is a $G$-module.
(2) Every abelian group $M$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-module with the natural action given, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in M$, by

$$
(n, m) \longmapsto n * m:=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\underbrace{m \cdot \ldots \cdot m}_{n \text { times }} \quad n \geq 0 \\
\underbrace{m^{-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot m^{-1}}_{-n \text { times }} \quad n<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Given a $G$-module $M$, we define the so-called $G$-invariant part $M^{G}$ as follows

$$
M^{G}:=\{m \in M \mid g * m=m \text { for all } g \in G\}
$$

It is easy to see that $M^{G}$ is an abelian subgroup of $M$ where by definition $G$ acts trivially.

Note that ${ }^{G}$ gives a functor from the category of $G$-modules $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{G}}$ to the category of abelian groups $\mathbf{A b}$, namely

$$
\begin{gathered}
.^{G}: \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow \mathbf{A b} \\
M \rightarrow M^{G} .
\end{gathered}
$$

and given a $G$-equivariant group homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$, the corresponding map $f^{G}: M^{G} \rightarrow N^{G}$ is simply defined as the restriction

$$
f^{G}:=f_{\mid M^{G}}
$$

Consider now a short exact sequence of $G$-modules, that is a short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} N \xrightarrow{\psi} P \longrightarrow 1, \tag{1.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the group homomorphisms are $G$-equivariant. Applying the functor ${ }^{G}$, we get an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \longrightarrow M^{G} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{G}} N^{G} \xrightarrow{\psi^{G}} P^{G}, \tag{1.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi^{G}$ is in general not surjective.
In other words, ${ }^{G}$ is a left exact functor, and therefore, as usual, we would like to construct its right derived functors to get a long exact sequence in cohomology. Given a $G$-module $M$, these right derived functors give as values abelian groups denoted by $H^{i}(G, M)$ and called the $i$-th cohomology group of $G$ with coefficients in $M$.

Indeed, we can give a more down-to-earth description of these cohomology groups, which turns out to be really useful in order to perform computations in Chapter 3.

### 1.5.1 Cocycles and Coboundaries

Given a $G$-module $M$, we define for $n \geq 0$ the group $C^{n}(G, M)$ of $n$-cochains as the abelian group of all $M$-valued functions defined on $\underbrace{G \times \ldots \times G}_{n \text { times }}$, namely

$$
C^{n}(G, M):=\left\{f: G^{n} \rightarrow M\right\}
$$

where by definition $G^{0}:=\{1\}$ and the product is naturally given by

$$
(\alpha \cdot \beta)\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right):=\alpha\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right) \cdot \beta\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in C^{n}(G, M)
$$

We observe immediately that the group of 0-cochains is indeed isomorphic to $M$, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
C^{0}(G, M)=\{f:\{1\} & \rightarrow M\} \rightarrow M \\
& f \longmapsto f(1), \tag{1.98}
\end{align*}
$$

and we define the first coboundary operator as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial^{1}: & C^{0}(G, M) \cong M \rightarrow C^{1}(G, M) \\
& m \rightarrow\left\{g \mapsto g * m \cdot m^{-1}\right\} . \tag{1.99}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, given an $n$-cochain $\tau, n \geq 1$, we define the ( $n+1$ )-th coboundary operator

$$
\partial^{n+1}: C^{n}(G, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, M)
$$

via the following formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial^{n+1} \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right):= \\
g_{1} *\left(\tau\left(g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=2}^{n+1} \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{j-2}, g_{j-1} g_{j}, g_{j+1}, \ldots, g_{n+1}\right)^{(-1)^{j-1}}\right) \cdot \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)^{(-1)^{n+1}} . \tag{1.100}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since for all $n \geq 0$ it holds true

$$
\partial^{n+2} \circ \partial^{n+1}=1
$$

we get a cochain complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow C^{0}(G, M) \cong M \xrightarrow{\partial^{1}} C^{1}(G, M) \xrightarrow{\partial^{2}} C^{2}(G, M) \xrightarrow{\partial^{3}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial^{n}} C^{n}(G, M) \xrightarrow{\partial^{n+1}} \ldots \tag{1.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.88 ( $n$-cocycles). The group $Z^{n}(G, M)$ of $n$-cocycles is defined as the kernel of the homomorphism $\partial^{n+1}: C^{n}(G, M) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(G, M)$, namely

$$
Z^{n}(G, M):=\operatorname{ker} \partial^{n+1} \subset C^{n}(G, M)
$$

Definition 1.89 ( $n$-coboundaries). The group $B^{n}(G, M)$ of $n$-coboundaries is defined as the image of the homomorphism $\partial^{n}: C^{n-1}(G, M) \rightarrow C^{n}(G, M)$, namely

$$
B^{n}(G, M):=\operatorname{im} \partial^{n} \subset C^{n}(G, M)
$$

Note that, since $\partial^{n+2} \circ \partial^{n+1}=1$, we have indeed

$$
B^{n}(G, M) \subset Z^{n}(G, M)
$$

Definition 1.90 (the $n$-th cohomology group). We define the $n$-th cohomology group of $G$ with coefficients in $M$ as following quotient

$$
H^{n}(G, M):=Z^{n}(G, M) / B^{n}(G, M) .
$$

Note in particular that

$$
\begin{gather*}
H^{0}(G, M)=\operatorname{ker} \partial^{1}=\{m \in M \mid g * m=m \quad \forall g \in G\}=M^{G}  \tag{1.102}\\
H^{1}(G, M)=\frac{\operatorname{ker} \partial^{2}}{\operatorname{im} \partial^{1}}=\frac{\left\{\tau: G \rightarrow M \mid g * \tau(h) \cdot \tau(g h)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g)=1\right\}}{\left\{\tau: G \rightarrow M \mid \exists m \in M \text { s.t. } \tau(g)=g * m \cdot m^{-1}\right\}}  \tag{1.103}\\
H^{2}(G, M)=\frac{\left\{\tau: G \times G \rightarrow M \mid g * \tau(h, k) \cdot \tau(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g, h k) \cdot \tau(g, h)^{-1}=1\right\}}{\left\{\tau: G \times G \rightarrow M \mid \exists \gamma: G \rightarrow M \text { s.t. } \tau(g, h)=g * \gamma(h) \cdot \gamma(g h)^{-1} \cdot \gamma(g)\right\}} \tag{1.104}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, for a given function $\tau: G \rightarrow M$, we have the so-called 1-cocycle relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g * \tau(h) \cdot \tau(g h)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g)=1, \quad \forall g, h \in G . \tag{1.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for a given function $\tau: G \times G \rightarrow M$, we have the so-called 2-cocycle relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
g * \tau(h, k) \cdot \tau(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g, h k) \cdot \tau(g, h)^{-1}=1, \quad \forall g, h, k \in G . \tag{1.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.91. When dealing with cocycles and coboundaries one often omits the index $n$ for the $n$-th coboundary operator $\partial^{n}$ if no confusion arises. This is what we do in Chapter 3 .

### 1.5.2 Group Extensions with Abelian Kernels

Given a finite group $G$, we consider a group extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1, \quad \text { with } A \text { finite and abelian. } \tag{1.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a natural action of $G$ on the kernel $A$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
g * a:=s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}, \tag{1.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a set-theoretic section. Note that, since $A$ is abelian, the action is independent of the choice of the section.

Hence, $A$ is a $G$-module and we observe that for every $g, h \in G$ the two elements

$$
s(g h), \quad s(g) \cdot s(h)
$$

must differ by an element $\beta(g, h)$ in the kernel $A$ of the extension, namely

$$
s(g h)=\beta(g, h) s(g) \cdot s(h) .
$$

It is easy to see that the map $\beta: G \times G \rightarrow A$ is a 2-cocycle since it fulfills the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g * \beta(h, k)) \cdot \beta(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot \beta(g, h k) \cdot \beta(g, h)^{-1}=1 . \tag{1.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

A different choice of a section $s^{\prime}: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ yields a cohomologous cocycle $\beta^{\prime} \in Z^{2}(G, A)$.
Therefore, we can associate to the given extension a unique cohomology class $[\beta] \in H^{2}(G, A)$, which is trivial if and only if the extension splits (|MacLane95], Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1).

## Chapter 2

## Surfaces of General Type with <br> $p_{g}=q$

As already pointed out in Notation, by surface we mean a two dimensional smooth complex projective variety. Using the standard notation from the theory of complex algebraic surfaces (see for instance |Bea96], [Băd01],|BHPV04]; see also Notation), throughout this chapter we treat minimal surfaces of general type. Recall that a surface $S$ is said to be minimal if $S$ does not contain any smooth curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ such that $C^{2}=-1$, and a minimal surface $S$ is of general type if the canonical divisor $K_{S}$ is big and nef.

In this context, classical inequalities are known:

- $K_{S}^{2} \geq 1, \chi(S) \geq 1$ (the second one due to Castelnuovo, Bea96, Proposition X.1, Theorem X.4]);
- $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 \chi(S)-6$ (Noether's inequality, BHPV04, Theorem 3.1]);
- $K_{S}^{2} \leq 9 \chi(S)$ (Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality, Miy77, Yau77, Yau78);
- $K_{S}^{2} \geq 2 p_{g}$ if $q>0$ (Debarre's inequality, |Deb82|).

Here we focus on minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q$ : they are those with the lowest value $\chi(S)=1$ of the invariant $\chi(S)=1-q+p_{g}$.

More precisely, the aim of this chapter is to describe the construction method for minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q$ developed in [AC22].

We address with particular emphasis the case $p_{g}=q=2$ since it is still widely open.

### 2.1 General Set-up

In this section we define and then analyze those surfaces we want to construct by using our construction method AC22]. We call them surfaces with AP (Albanese Property).

Let $S$ be a minimal surface of general type with $p_{g}=q$.
Definition 2.1 (Surface with AP). We say that $S$ is a surface with AP (Albanese Property) if there exist an abelian surface $A$ and a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d \geq 2$ which enjoys the following property:
if $\widetilde{A}$ is an abelian surface such that $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ factors as follows

then $\phi: \widetilde{A} \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism.
Example 2.2. A minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and Albanese map $a l b_{S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is an example of a surface with AP (take $\left.\alpha=a l b_{S}\right)$.

Remark 2.3. Note that there are examples of surfaces with AP where the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ is not the Albanese map of $S$, see Proposition 2.58 .

Given a surface $S$ with AP, we consider the Stein factorization of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A(\| \operatorname{Har} 77$, III, Corollary 11.5])

where

- $Y$ is a normal projective variety of dimension 2 ,
- $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$ (i.e., $f$ has connected fibres),
- $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ is a finite morphism (and hence also flat by Corollary 1.16 since $Y$ is a normal variety of dimension 2 and $A$ is a surface) of degree $d$, i.e., a cover in the sense of Definition 1.12 .

Considering the canonical model $X$ of $S$, we get a commutative diagram as follows

where $f^{\prime}$ contracts all the $(-2)$-curves on $S$ and $f=g \circ f^{\prime}$.
In particular, from the diagram above it follows that the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ induces a surjective morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$, which is in general not finite.

More precisely, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $Y$ has (at most) Rational Double Points (RDP for short) as singularities,
(2) $X=Y$,
(3) $a: X \rightarrow A$ is finite.

We have a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\#}} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which splits, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ is a cover, $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ is locally free and $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\pi$ (see Subsection 1.1.2. Moreover, since $\alpha=\pi \circ f$ and $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}$, it holds true that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore $\alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is locally free.
Summarizing, we have a split short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\#}} \alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module of rank $d-1$.
Definition 2.4. Let $S$ be a surface with AP. Using the same notation as in Definition 2.1, the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d \geq 2$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$, which denotes the cokernel of the pull-back map $\alpha^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}$, is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module of rank $d-1$. We call $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$.

Given a surface $S$ with AP, we would like to analyze the cokernel $\mathfrak{F}$ of the injective map $\omega_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \omega_{S}$ given by pull-back of differential 2-forms. Namely, we have a split short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \omega_{A} \cong \mathcal{O}_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \omega_{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{A} \cong \mathcal{O}_{A}$ because $A$ is an abelian surface.
By duality for a finite morphism (see Har77], Exercises 6.10, page 239, and 7.2, page 249)

$$
\mathcal{H o m}\left(\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}, \omega_{A}\right)=\pi_{*} \omega_{Y}=\omega_{A} \oplus\left(\mathcal{E} \otimes \omega_{A}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{Y}$ is the dualizing sheaf of $Y$ and clearly $\mathcal{E} \cong\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}$.
In dimension $2, \omega_{Y}$ equals the sheaf of Zariski's differentials (see Kni73); since $S$ is a resolution of singularities of $Y$, we obtain then that $\alpha_{*} \omega_{S} \subset \pi_{*} \omega_{Y}$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*} \omega_{S}=\omega_{A} \oplus \mathfrak{F}, \quad \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{E} \otimes \omega_{A} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A$ is an abelian surface, this formula simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*} \omega_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathfrak{F}, \quad \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{E} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we have that in the split exact sequence 2.9 the cokernel $\mathfrak{F}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}$.

Remark 2.5. Some remarks on the coherent sheaf $\mathfrak{F}$ and its relation with the dual sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of the Tschirnhaus bundle $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$.
(i) $\mathcal{E} / \mathfrak{F}$ is supported on a finite set contained in the image of the singular points of $Y$, and of the points where the fibre of $f: S \rightarrow Y$ is positive dimensional. Hence, since every locally free sheaf on an open set of a surface such that the complement has codimension at least two extends in a unique way to a locally free sheaf on the surface, if $\mathfrak{F}$ is locally free, then $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$.
(ii) Unfortunately, $\mathfrak{F}$ is in general not locally free as it occurs for the components n . 3, 4, 5 and 12 in Table A displayed in Appendix A. Since this fact might lead to some technical difficulties, this is one of the reasons why we will make later a nice working assumption, namely the Gorenstein Assumption (see Assumption 2.6 and Subsection 2.2.1.
(iii) If $Y$ has (at most) Rational Double Points as singularities (i.e., $X=Y$ ), then $\alpha_{*} \omega_{S}=\pi_{*} \omega_{Y}$ and we have equality $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$.
Indeed, something stronger holds, namely

$$
\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad Y \text { has (at most) rational singularities, }
$$

see Remark 1.2 of AC22].

### 2.2 The Theory of Casnati-Ekedahl

This section is devoted to the discussion of the first main ingredient of our construction method developed in [AC22], namely the structure theorems of Casnati-Ekedahl [CE96] for Gorenstein covers of small degree $d=3,4$ and the assumption arisen from them (Gorenstein Assumption 2.6).

Given an abelian surface $A$, our aim is to construct a minimal surface of general type $S$ together with a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d$.

Using a bottom-up approach, one can construct a degree $d$ cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$, where $Y$ is normal, by assigning some cover data on $A$ (as we have seen in Subsection 1.1.3) and then consider the minimal resolution of singularities $\widetilde{S}$ of $Y$. Eventually, after contracting all ( -1 )-curves on $\widetilde{S}$ (if there are any), one gets the desired minimal surface $S$, and $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ is induced by the composition of the resolution $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$.

Following this strategy, examples of surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ and degree $d=3,4$ have been constructed in [PePo13a, $\overline{\mathrm{PePo} 14]}$ by using respectively the theory of Miranda [Mir85] for $d=3$ and the theory of Hahn-Miranda [HM99] for $d=4$ (see Subsection 1.1.4.a).

Recalling that on a two-dimensional normal algebraic variety $Y$ a singularity is an RDP if and only if it is a rational Gorenstein singularity (see for instance Ish18, Theorem 7.5.1]), the reason why most authors have not used the theory of Casnati-Ekedahl for Gorenstein covers (see Subsection 1.1.4.b) relies on the fact that, for a degree $d$ cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ where $A$ is an abelian surface and $Y$ is normal, the total space $Y$ might have non-Gorenstein singularities, and actually there are examples where it is so (see PePo13a]. Indeed, if $d \geq 3$ and $Y$ is Gorenstein (equivalently by Remark 1.36 since $A$ is
smooth, $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$ is a Gorenstein cover of degree $d$ ), then the factorization theorem of Casnati-Ekedahl for Gorenstein covers of degree $d \geq 3$ (Theorem 1.37) applies, implying that $Y$ embeds into the projective bundle

$$
p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow A
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is the Tschirnhaus bundle of the cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$, which is given by restriction of $p$.

More generally, given a surface $S$ with AP whose surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ has degree $d \geq 3$, we consider the canonical model $X$ of $S$ and the morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$ induced by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ (see diagram (2.3).

If $a: X \rightarrow A$ is a finite morphism, then it is a Gorenstein cover since $X$ is Gorenstein (by Remark 1.36). Thus, by Theorem 1.37 we have an embeddding

$$
\psi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ denotes the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$.
However, $a: X \rightarrow A$ is in general not finite, and then, considering the open set

$$
A^{0}:=A \backslash\left\{z \mid \operatorname{dim}\left(a^{-1}(z)\right)=1\right\}
$$

we have an induced finite morphism $a^{0}: X^{0} \rightarrow A^{0}$, which is a Gorenstein cover. Hence, again by Theorem 1.37, we get a rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose image $Z$ is birational to $S$.
Since we want to use the structure theorems of CE96 for Gorenstein covers of degree $d=3,4$, namely Theorem 1.39 and Theorem 1.41, to provide a new construction method for surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q$, we make a slightly restrictive assumption. Namely, we propose the following.

## Assumption 2.6. (Gorenstein Assumption)

(I) We are given a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d \geq 3$, where $A$ is an abelian surface, $S$ is the minimal model of a surface of general type with $p_{g}=q$, and $\alpha$ enjoys the property of the Albanese map, that it does not factor through a morphism of $S$ to another abelian surface. In other words, we are given a surface $S$ with AP whose surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ has degree $d \geq 3$.
(II) We make the assumption that $\alpha$ induces an embedding $\psi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ of the canonical model $X$ of $S$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ denotes the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$. Namely, we are given a commutative diagram as follows


Remark 2.7. Given a surface $S$ with AP for which $d \geq 3$, the Gorenstein Assumption holds true if $a: X \rightarrow A$ is finite, but the family of CHPP surfaces we will construct in Section 2.5 shows that there exist examples where this assumption holds more generally without $a$ being finite (see Remark 2.28.

Anyhow, there are also examples for which the Gorenstein Assumption does not hold. This is the case for the family of surfaces constructed in [PiPo17] (see item n. 11 in Table A], which are called in [AC22] PP7 surfaces and named after Roberto Pignatelli and Francesco Polizzi. Indeed, they construct an irreducible component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=7$ and Albanese map of degree 3. Hence, a PP7 surface $S$ is in particular a surface with AP for which $d \geq 3$, and then it makes sense to ask if the Gorenstein Assumption is fulfilled or not.

From Pignatelli-Polizzi's construction in $\mid \overline{\mathrm{PiPo} 17}]$ one sees that the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ of a PP7 surface $S$ contracts only one elliptic curve (Proposition 2.8 of [PiPo17]), yielding a Gorenstein elliptic singularity on the normal variety $Y$ given by the Stein factorization of $\alpha$. Thus, since $Y$ is a Gorenstein variety, the cover $\pi: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ induced by the Stein factorization is a Gorenstein cover of degree $d=3$ (by Remark 1.36], and then the structure theorem of [CE96] for $d=3$ (Theorem 1.39] applies, yielding in particular an embedding $Y \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ denotes the Tschirnhaus bundle of the Albanese map $\alpha$. Denoting by $X$ the canonical model of $S$, we have that $S=X$ since there are no rational curves inside $S$, and the rational map (2.12) coincides in this case with the morphism

$$
X=S \rightarrow Y \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)
$$

induced by the Stein factorization of $\alpha: S \rightarrow \operatorname{Alb}(S)$ and contracting the aforementioned elliptic curve. Therefore, the Gorenstein Assumption is not fulfilled.

Remark 2.8. It is worth pointing out that in this thesis we use the theory and the structure theorems of Casnati-Ekedahl just as a tool for our construction method. In fact, in general results from CE96 do not apply directly since we want to deal with a bigger class of morphisms, namely those which are generically finite covers of small degree $d=3,4$.

### 2.2.1 The Gorenstein Assumption implies $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$

In (2.8) and in 2.11) of Section 2.1 we have seen that, given a surface $S$ with AP, it holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \\
\alpha_{*} \omega_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathfrak{F}, \quad \mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{E}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\mathfrak{F}$ is in general just a subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}$. Moreover, (i) of Remark 2.5 points out that $\mathfrak{F}$ is locally free if and only if $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$.

We show here that under the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 things go well, namely it holds true $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$.
Proposition 2.9. Let $S$ be a surface with AP whose surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ has degree $d \geq 3$. Denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}, \mathfrak{F}$ the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha$, respectively the cokernel of the map $\omega_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \omega_{S}$. If $S$ fulfills the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6, then $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$.

Proof. Denoting by $X$ the canonical model of $S$, by the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 we have an embedding $\psi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$, and hence $X$ is a closed subscheme of the $\mathbb{P}^{d-2}$-bundle $p: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow A$.

Since $\mathbb{P}$ is Cohen-Macaulay at every point (in fact, $\mathbb{P}$ is smooth), Theorem 13.5 of [Lip84] ensures that for the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X}$ there is an isomorphism as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{*} \omega_{X} \cong \mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{d-2}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same notation as in 2.2 and in 2.3 , we recall that for the surjective morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$ induced by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ via the Stein factorization it holds

$$
a=p \circ \psi, \quad \alpha=a \circ f^{\prime}
$$

where $f^{\prime}: S \rightarrow X$ is a morphism with connected fibres contracting all ( -2 )-curves on S . Moreover, we have that

$$
\omega_{S}=\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{*} \omega_{X}
$$

Then we apply to both sides of equality 2.14 the direct image $p_{*}$. We get on the left-hand side

$$
p_{*}\left(\psi_{*} \omega_{X}\right)=a_{*}\left(\omega_{X}\right)=\alpha_{*}\left(\omega_{S}\right)=\omega_{A} \oplus \mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathfrak{F}
$$

where the second equality follows from projection formula since $f_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$.
On the right-hand side we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{*}\left(\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{d-2}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right)=\mathcal{E} x t_{p}^{d-2}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}}\right) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, using the same notation as in |Kle80|, page $39, \mathcal{E} x t_{p}^{d-2}$ stands for the $(d-2)$-th derived functor of the composition

$$
p_{*}(\cdot) \circ \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \cdot\right)
$$

Indeed, we have an isomorphism of derived functors (in the derived category setting)

$$
R\left(p_{*}(\cdot) \circ \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \cdot\right)\right) \cong R p_{*}(\cdot) \circ R \mathcal{H} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \cdot\right)
$$

since Grothendieck's Composition Theorem (see for instance [GM03, Theorem III.7.1])
 sheaves form two classes of objects adapted respectively to the functors $\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \cdot\right)$, $p_{*}(\cdot)$, see GM03, Subsection III.6.3] for the notion of adapted class of objects.

Hence, since we have the vanishing

$$
\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{q}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad q \neq d-2
$$

formula displayed in line 10 of page 201 of GM03 holds true for the sheaf $\omega_{\mathbb{P}}$ with $k=d-2$, and then for $n=d-2$ it reads as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{d-2}\left(p_{*} \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right) \cong R^{d-2-(d-2)} p_{*}\left(\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{d-2}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, equality 2.15 follows.

Recalling that $\omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid A}:=\omega_{\mathbb{P}} \otimes p^{*} \omega_{A}$ denotes the relative canonical sheaf with respect to the morphism $p: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow A$, in this case $\omega_{\mathbb{P}}=\omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid A}$ because $A$ is an abelian surface. Then, since $(d-2)$-th order duality holds for $p: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow A$ (see Kle80, Definition 10, Example 12]), we have the following isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{E} x t_{p}^{d-2}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}, \omega_{\mathbb{P} \mid A}\right) \cong \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{A}}\left(p_{*}\left(\psi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right), \mathcal{O}_{A}\right)=\left(a_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{\vee}
$$

and since $\alpha=a \circ f^{\prime}, f_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{S}=\mathcal{O}_{X}$, we have clearly

$$
\left(a_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)^{\vee}=\left(\alpha_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)^{\vee}=\left(\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}=\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}
$$

Finally, comparing the two sides of equality (2.14) after applying $p_{*}$, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathfrak{F} \cong \mathcal{O}_{A} \oplus \mathcal{E}
$$

which clearly yields our thesis, i.e., $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$, since $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

### 2.3 The Theory of Chen-Hacon

In this section we discuss first the main theorem of [CH06] and then the theory originated from it. This provides us with the second main ingredient of our construction method developed in $[\mathrm{AC} 22]$ and completes the picture.

### 2.3.1 The Theorem of Chen-Hacon

Given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$, for its Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ there are two possibilities:
(1) $\alpha(S)$ is a smooth projective curve of genus 2 or
(2) $\alpha$ is surjective, i.e., $S$ has maximal Albanese dimension.

The classification of case (1) was started by Zucconi [Zuc03] and completed by Penegini [Pen11]. This is why we are interested just in case (2).

We recall that $S$ is said to have an irrational pencil of genus $b$ if there exists a surjective rational map $f: S \rightarrow B$ onto a smooth projective curve $B$ of genus $b \geq 1$ with connected fibres (cf. CCML98], page 278).

Thus, since $q=2$, if $S$ has an irrational pencil of genus $b$, then it must be $1 \leq b \leq 2$. The case $b=2$ amounts to the image $\alpha(S)$ of the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ being a smooth projective curve of genus 2 . Hence, if we assume that $S$ has maximal Albanese dimension, it must occur $b=1$. Then by the universal property of the Albanese map we get a surjection $A \rightarrow B$ onto an elliptic curve $B$ and $A$ is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves.

Finally, given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2, S$ has no irrational pencil if and only if $S$ has a surjective Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ and Albanese surface $A$ containing no elliptic curve. In this context the work of Chen and Hacon [CH06] singles out an important property that such a surface $S$ has to fulfill.

Theorem 2.10 ([][H06], Theorem 3.5). Let $S$ be a minimal surface of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ without any irrational pencil. Denote by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ the Albanese map of $S$ and by $\mathfrak{F}$ the coherent sheaf defined as the cokernel of the map $\omega_{A} \rightarrow \alpha_{*} \omega_{S}$. Then there exist a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathfrak{H}$ on A, a negative definite line bundle $\mathfrak{L}$ on $\widehat{A}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ and a short exact sequence as follows

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{L}} \rightarrow\left(-1_{A}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

In other words, given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ with surjective Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ and Albanese surface $A$ containing no elliptic curve, Theorem 2.10 ensures that there exists a short exact sequence as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{L}} \rightarrow\left(-1_{A}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}$ is a homogeneous vector bundle on $A$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{L}}$ is the Fourier-Mukai transform of a negative definite line bundle $\mathfrak{L}$ on the dual abelian surface $\widehat{A}$.

Let us recall here the definition of a homogeneous vector bundle.
Definition 2.11. Let $A$ be an abelian surface. A locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module $\mathfrak{H}$ is said to be a homogeneous vector bundle if

$$
t_{x}^{*} \mathfrak{H} \cong \mathfrak{H} \quad \forall x \in A
$$

where $t_{x}: A \rightarrow A$ denotes the translation by $x \in A$.
Example 2.12. Given an abelian surface $A$, a line bundle $\mathscr{L} \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ is the very first example of a homogeneous vector bundle since

$$
t_{x}^{*} \mathscr{L} \cong \mathscr{L} \quad \forall x \in A
$$

see Proposition 1.58
Remark 2.13. Note that from the proof of Theorem 2.10 it follows that $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{L}$ are constructed from the coherent sheaf $\mathfrak{F}$ by using the Fourier-Mukai transfom (see Remark 1.84).

Let us come back to the situation where Theorem 2.10 applies. Since $\mathfrak{L}$ is negative definite, the inverse line bundle $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D):=\mathfrak{L}^{-1}$ is an ample line bundle on the dual abelian surface $A^{\prime}:=\widehat{A}$ of the Albanese surface $A$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$.

We consider the isogeny associated with $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ (see Subsection 1.4.1), namely

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{D}: & A^{\prime} \rightarrow \widehat{A^{\prime}} \cong A \\
& x \longmapsto t_{x}^{*} \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

whose kernel $\mathcal{K}(D):=\operatorname{ker} \Phi_{D}$ is a finite group of translations of $A^{\prime}$ leaving invariant the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$. Moreover, it turns out that (see diagram 1.82) in Subsection 1.4.3)

$$
\mathcal{K}(D) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Note also that

$$
A \cong A^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A) / \mathcal{K}(D)
$$

As we have seen in Section 1.4.3, the action of the theta group $\mathcal{G}(D)$ on $V:=$ $H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ coincides with the action of the finite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ on the $\mathbb{C}$ vector space $\mathbb{C}\left(H_{D}\right)$ of $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions defined on $H_{D}:=\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}$, which is called the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$. Therefore, we shall say that the finite Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ acts on the vector space of global sections $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$.

Hence, denoting by $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ the pull-back $\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F},\left(-\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{H}$ respectively, we pullback sequence (2.17) by the isogeny $-\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D) \cong A$, getting as a result by Proposition 1.85 the following exact sequence on $A^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is $\mathcal{K}(D)$-equivariant by Proposition 1.71, and hence $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant as pointed out in Example 1.72 of Subsection 1.4.4 it is enough to use the surjection

$$
\mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{D}
$$

We want to stress at this stage that sequence (2.19) is in general just a sequence of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-modules. In other words, the homogeneous vector bundle $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ need not be a subbundle of $\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$. Anyhow, if $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module, then 2.19 becomes a sequence of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-modules. What we have just said about sequence 2.19) holds in a similar fashion for sequence 2.17) on $A$.

Since sequence 2.19 is one of the main ingredients for our construction method, we want to deal mainly with minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ without any irrational pencil in order to apply Theorem 2.10. Hence, we give the following definition.

Definition 2.14. A component $\mathcal{M}$ of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ is said to be of the Main Stream if
(1) the Albanese map is surjective and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\operatorname{Alb}(S) \mid[S] \in \mathcal{M}\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains an open set in a moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces.
Remark 2.15. Note that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a component of the Main Stream, then Theorem 2.10 applies for the general element $[S] \in \mathcal{M}$ since abelian surfaces isogenous to a product of two elliptic curves form a closed subset in the moduli space of polarized abelian surfaces.
Remark 2.16 (The induced polarization on $\widehat{\operatorname{Alb}(S)})$. Given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ such that the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ is surjective and $A$ does not contain any elliptic curve, Theorem 2.10 applies and from its proof it follows in particular that $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ determines an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ on the dual abelian surface $A^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ of the Albanese surface $A$ via the Fourier-Mukai transform of $\mathfrak{F}:=\alpha_{*} \omega_{S} / \omega_{A}$ (see Remark 1.84). If we deal with a component of the Main Stream, the general element $S$ satisfies Theorem 2.10, and then we would like to know the value
of the Pfaffian $\delta$ of the polarization yielded on $A^{\prime}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$. However, this strongly depends on the coherent sheaf $\mathfrak{F}$ (which might not be locally free, see (ii) of Remark 2.5), involving also its Fourier-Mukai transform. As a result, it is in general not easy to compute $\delta$.

### 2.3.2 Generality Assumption

Let us sum up which are the consequences of Theorem 2.10 (Theorem 3.5 of CH 06$]$ ).
Given a minimal surface of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ such that the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ is surjective and the Albanese surface $A$ does not contain any elliptic curve, Theorem 2.10 ensures that there exist an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type ( $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ ) on $\widehat{A}$ and a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant short exact sequence of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-modules as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D), \mathcal{H}_{D}$ denotes the Heisenberg group of $\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}\right), \mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a homogeneous vector bundle, $V:=H^{0}\left(\widehat{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)\right)$ is the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}$ being defined as $\mathfrak{F}:=\alpha_{*} \omega_{S} / \omega_{A}$.

If we deal with a component of the Main Stream, the above-mentioned theorem is satisfied by the general surface $S$ of the component, and hence we have a sequence like (2.20). It is important to point out that, denoting by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ the Albanese map of $S$, in general the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ (equivalently by Theorem 1.71, $\mathfrak{F}$ on $A$ ) is not locally free, see (ii) of Remark 2.5.

However, if we deal with a component of the Main Stream fulfilling the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6, for the general surface $S$ of the component it holds by Proposition 2.9 that

$$
\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E} \quad \text { (equivalently, } \mathfrak{F} \text { is locally free) },
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the dual sheaf of the Tschirnhaus bundle of the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$. In other words, for such a surface $S$ the sequence 2.20 reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}:=\Phi_{D}^{*}(\mathcal{E})$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module.
Till now we have treated minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ such that the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ is surjective and the Albanese surface $A$ does not contain any elliptic curve, and we have just seen that if such a surface $S$ fulfills the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6, then there exists a sequence like 2.21

Since we want to consider more generally surfaces with AP fulfilling the Gorenstein Assumption and for which there exists a sequence like 2.21, we propose the following.

## Assumption 2.17. (Generality Assumption)

We make here the same assumptions (I), (II) as in the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6, and we require moreover that:
(III) there exists an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ on $\widehat{A}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(A)$ such that the pull-back $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ via the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow A$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-module fitting into a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a homogeneous vector bundle and $V:=H^{0}\left(\widehat{A}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)\right)$ is the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}\right)$.

Moreover, we consider the abelian surface $A$ endowed with the dual polarization corresponding to $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}(D)$, which is still of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (see for instance BL04, Sec. 14.4] for the notion of dual polarization).

Remark 2.18. Indeed, in the Generality Assumption the Heisenberg action on $\mathcal{L}$, respectively on $V^{\vee}$, makes $\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$ a $\mathcal{K}(D)$-sheaf, see Subsection 1.3.2. Hence, the sequence $\Delta\rangle$ is $\mathcal{K}(D)$-equivariant since $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is a pull-back via $\Phi_{D}$ (see Subsection 1.4.4.

Remark 2.19. Since $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a successive extension of line bundles in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\widehat{A})$, from sequence ( $\Delta$ it follows that the total Chern class of $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ equals

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=(1+D)^{\delta}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta D, \quad c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2} D^{2}=\delta^{2}(\delta-1) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality follows from $D^{2}=2 \delta$.
Remark 2.20. For surfaces $S$ with $p_{g}=q=2$ the Generality Assumption can be considered as an alternative to the hypothesis of having a component of the Main Stream fulfilling the Gorenstein Assumption.

Now we are ready to describe in detail the construction method developed in AC22. This is what we do in the next section.

### 2.4 The Construction Method

The goal of our construction method developed in AC22 is to construct surfaces $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 (recall that for such surfaces $S$ the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ has degree $d \geq 3$ by definition of Generality Assumption).

More precisely, we construct a two-dimensional normal projective variety $X$ with (at most) RDP as singularities and $K_{X}$ ample such that there is an embedding

$$
X \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{A}} \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{E})
$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf over a given abelian surface $A$. Then we define $S$ to be the minimal resolution of singularities of $X$.

However, at this stage it is still not clear to the reader how to do that. In order to figure it out we need to analyze in detail the surfaces we want to construct.

Suppose that a surface $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption is given and denote by $X$ its canonical model. Using the same notation as in the Generality Assumption, there is a sequence of locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}$-modules as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is $\mathcal{H}_{D^{-}}$equivariant.
This sequence encodes a geometric interpretation which we are going to explain now. Indeed, it induces in particular a Heisenberg-equivariant surjection

$$
\operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\operatorname { S y m }}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

which yields a Heisenberg-equivariant embedding of projective bundles

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right):=\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}}} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}\right)=: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes V\right)
$$

Since there is a natural isomorphism between projective bundles (as described in Har77, II, Lemma 7.9])

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}} \otimes V\right) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes V\right)
$$

where by definiton $\mathbb{P}(V) \times \widehat{A}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{A}} \otimes V\right)$, we get a Heisenberg-equivariant embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V) \times \widehat{A} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the action of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ on the right-hand side is of product type, induced on $\widehat{A}$ by the action of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ via translations and on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ by the Schrödinger representation $V$. Indeed, in light of Remark 2.18, the embedding 2.25 is also $\mathcal{K}(D)$ equivariant.

Recall that by the Generality Assumption we have an embedding $\psi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ of the canonical model $X$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$.

Considering the fibre product of the morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$ induced by $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ (see diagram 2.3) with the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow A$, we get the following square

where the morphism $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is étale and Galois with Galois group $\mathcal{K}(D)$ since $\Phi_{D}: \widehat{A} \rightarrow A$ is so. Moreover, since $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ is étale, $X^{\prime}$ is a two-dimensional normal projective variety with (at most) RDP as singularities and $K_{X^{\prime}}$ ample as $X$ is so.

Still, recalling that $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}:=\Phi_{D}^{*}(\mathcal{E})$, we have the pull-back square


Since the morphism $\mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\wedge}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ is fibrewise an isomorphism of vector spaces, it induces a map between the respective projectivizations, yielding a diagram as follows


Therefore, we get that the fibre product $X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right)$ of $X$ is a $\mathcal{K}(D)$-invariant subvariety of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right)$ and it holds

$$
X \cong X^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)
$$

Summarizing, given a surface $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17, its canonical model $X$ fits into a diagram as follows


The above picture suggests clearly the strategy we have to follow in order to construct a surface $S$ with AP. In fact, our construction method consists morally speaking in constructing the left-hand side of the above diagram. Then we get the right-hand side of it by taking quotients with respect to the free action of $\mathcal{K}(D)$.

More precisely, we consider an abelian surface $A^{\prime}$ with an ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ (hence, with Pfaffian $\left.\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}\right)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ the Heisenberg group of $H_{D}:=\left(\mathbb{Z} / \delta_{1} \times \mathbb{Z} / \delta_{2}\right)$ and recall that (see Chapter 1, Section 1.3)

$$
H_{D}^{2} \cong \mathcal{K}(D) \cong \mathcal{H}_{D} / \mu_{D}
$$

where $\mu_{D}$ is the centre of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$.
Assume we are given a homogeneous vector bundle $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ on $A^{\prime}$ and a $\mathcal{K}(D)$-equivariant (and hence $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant, see Subsection 1.4.4) sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D), V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ is the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}_{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module of rank $d-1 \geq 2$.

Hence, as argued for sequence (2.24), we get a $\mathcal{K}(D)$-equivariant embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime v}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the theory of Casnati-Ekedahl comes into the picture. Indeed, our aim is to construct a two-dimensional normal projective variety $X^{\prime}$ with (at most) RDP as singularities and $K_{X^{\prime}}$ ample such that

$$
X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}
$$

and $X^{\prime}$ is $\mathcal{K}(D)$-invariant.

Using the strategy provided by the structure theorems of Casnati-Ekedahl [CE96] for Gorenstein covers of small degree $d=3,4$, we construct $X^{\prime}$ as a generically finite cover of the abelian surface $A^{\prime}$. Namely, we give $X^{\prime}$ as a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$ in such a way that

$$
a^{\prime}:=p_{\mid X^{\prime}}^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}
$$

is a generically finite cover of small degre $d=3,4$, where $p^{\prime}: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ denotes the canonical bundle projection.

Remark 2.21. In principle we can try to perform the same construction of $X^{\prime}$ also for degree $d \geq 5$. Indeed, for $d=5$ it is possible to use results contained in Cas96, whereas for $d \geq 6$ the serious drawback relies on the fact that no structure theorems for covers of degree $d \geq 6$ are known.

Finally, we define $X$ as the free quotient

$$
X:=X^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D),
$$

and take its minimal free resolution $S$.
Since the action of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ on the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right)$ is compatible with the action of $\mathcal{K}(D)$ on $A^{\prime}$, we have that the bundle projection $p^{\prime}: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ descends to a map between the quotients, namely there is diagram as follows


As the map $\Phi_{D}$ is étale, it is clear that $p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{/ \vee}\right) / \mathcal{K}(D) \rightarrow A$ is a projective bundle over the abelian surface $A$ defined above as the dual abelian surface of $A^{\prime}$. Therefore, since every projective bundle over a regular scheme arises from a locally free sheaf (see Har77], exercise II.7.10(c)), there exists a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ such that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\wedge}\right) / \mathcal{K}(D) \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)
$$

Finally, we have shown that there is an embedding $X \subset \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ and a surjective map $a:=p_{\mid X}: X \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=3,4$ which in turn provides a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of the same degree by composition with the minimal resolution of singularities $S \rightarrow X$.

Note that $S$ is a minimal surface since a $(-1)$-curve on $S$ would yield a ( -1 )-curve on $X$ : a contradiction since $K_{X}$ is ample.

Hence, the surface $S$ together with the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ gives us the desired surface with AP.

Remark 2.22. It is worth pointing out that some sanity checks have to be done while constructing a surface $S$ with AP in the way we have just showed. More precisely, it is important to have the invariants of $X^{\prime}$ under control so that the ones of $X$ (and hence of $S$ ) are correct, i.e., $p_{g}(S)=q(S)$. Also, we have to check that the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ constructed as above does not factor through a morphism of $S$ to another abelian surface.

### 2.5 Construction of CHPP Surfaces

In this section $A^{\prime}$ is an abelian surface with a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $(1,2)$.

Then $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ is a two-dimensional vector space, and the kernel $\mathcal{K}(D)$ of the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A:=\widehat{A^{\prime}}$ is here

$$
G:=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} .
$$

Consider the order 8 Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{2} \cong D_{4}$ with centre $\mu_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2$, namely

$$
1 \rightarrow \mu_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow G \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

Recalling that $V$ is the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}$, there are two generators $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ acting on $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ by transforming a suitable basis $x_{1}, x_{2}$ as follows:

$$
g_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{1}, g_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=-x_{2}, g_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)=x_{2}, g_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)=x_{1} .
$$

The action of $g_{1}, g_{2}$ has the property that $\gamma:=g_{1} g_{2} g_{1} g_{2}$ acts by multiplication by -1 , hence $\langle\gamma\rangle=\mu_{2}$ and $\mathcal{H} /\langle\gamma\rangle \cong G$.

Let us call $W:=V^{\vee}$ the dual representation of $V$, which actually turns out to be isomorphic to $V$. Namely, $y_{1}, y_{2}$ being the dual basis of $x_{1}, x_{2}$,

$$
g_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{1}, g_{1}\left(y_{2}\right)=-y_{2}, g_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)=y_{2}, g_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)=y_{1},
$$

and $W, V$ are the same representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}$.
The basic observation is that on the tensor product $V \otimes W$ we have an action of $G$, since the centre of $\mathcal{H}$, generated by $\gamma$, acts trivially. Also, $V \otimes W$ contains (up to constants) precisely one invariant element, namely $x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}$.

We define now an action of $G$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$, of product type, where $G$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{1}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ via the previous action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $V$, whereas $G$ acts on $A^{\prime}$ by translations.

Let $H$ be the hyperplane divisor on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then we consider the family of divisors $X^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$ which belong to the linear system

$$
|3 H+D|:=\left|p_{1}^{*}(3 H)+p_{2}^{*}(D)\right|
$$

and which are left invariant by the action of $G$.
The general equation of such divisors in $|3 H+D|$ is of the form

$$
X^{\prime}:=\left\{x_{1} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)+x_{2} Q\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

with $P, Q$ homogeneous polynomials of degree 3 .
$g_{2}$-invariance is equivalent to $Q\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\epsilon P\left(y_{2}, y_{1}\right), \epsilon= \pm 1$ : here the choice of $\epsilon$ amounts to requiring the equation $f:=x_{1} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)+x_{2} Q\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ to be an $\epsilon$-eigenvector for the action of $g_{2}$.
$g_{1}$-invariance is equivalent to

$$
x_{1} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)+x_{2} \epsilon P\left(y_{2}, y_{1}\right)=\epsilon^{\prime}\left[x_{1} P\left(y_{1},-y_{2}\right)-x_{2} \epsilon P\left(-y_{2}, y_{1}\right)\right], \quad \epsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1
$$

(the choice of $\epsilon^{\prime}$ amounts to requiring the equation to be an $\epsilon^{\prime}$-eigenvector for the action of $g_{1}$ ).

We can write

$$
P\left(y_{1},-y_{2}\right)=\epsilon^{\prime} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right), \quad \epsilon^{\prime}= \pm 1,
$$

that is, either

$$
P\left(y_{1},-y_{2}\right)=P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right), \quad \text { or } \quad P\left(y_{1},-y_{2}\right)=-P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) .
$$

In the first case $P$ is a linear combination of $y_{1}^{3}, y_{1} y_{2}^{2}$, in the second case a linear combination of $y_{2}^{3}, y_{1}^{2} y_{2}$.

Remark 2.23. (i) One may observe in an elementary way that the choice of $\epsilon=-1$ reduces to the case $\epsilon=1$ by replacing the basis element $x_{2}$ with $-x_{2}$.
(ii) The equation $f \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}}(3 H+D)\right)=\mathbf{S y m}^{3}(W) \otimes V$. Since $X^{\prime}:=\{f=0\}$ is $G$-invariant, follows that $f$ is an eigenvector for the $G$-action, with eigenvalue a character $\chi \in G^{*}$.

We can then take as new equation $(f \otimes \chi) \in \mathbf{S y m}^{3}(W) \otimes(V \otimes \chi) \cong \mathbf{S y m}^{3}(W) \otimes V$, where the last isomorphism follows since $\mathcal{H}$ has a unique irreducible representation of dimension 2 , and 4 of dimension 1 , corresponding to $G^{*}=\mathcal{H}^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, see Section 1.3 .

Hence, by a suitable change of basis in $V$ we may always assume that not only $X^{\prime}$ is $G$-invariant, but also its equation $f$ is $G$-invariant.

Hence, we get for $X^{\prime}$ the following equation

$$
X^{\prime}:=X^{\prime}(\lambda):=\left\{x_{1}\left(y_{1}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(y_{2}^{3}+\lambda y_{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}=: Z
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We will denote by $a^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ the restriction of the natural projection onto $A^{\prime}$.

Definition 2.24. We define an extended CHPP surface $X$ as the quotient $X:=X^{\prime} / G$ of a surface

$$
X^{\prime}:=X^{\prime}(\lambda):=\left\{x_{1}\left(y_{1}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(y_{2}^{3}+\lambda y_{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}=: Z
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
A CHPP surface is defined to be the minimal resolution of singularities of an extended CHPP surface which has (at most) Rational Double Points as singularities.

Remark 2.25. Observe that, for $\lambda=0, X^{\prime}$ is a Galois cover of $A^{\prime}$ with group $(\mathbb{Z} / 3)$.

Proposition 2.26. An extended CHPP surface is reducible if we are in the exceptional case (**) of Subsection 1.4.6.b, that is, if $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is a polarized product of elliptic curves.

Otherwise, an extended CHPP surface is always normal, and smooth for general $\lambda$ and general $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$.
$G$ acts freely on $X^{\prime}$, and the canonical models $X:=X^{\prime} / G$ of CHPP surfaces have ample canonical divisor and invariants

$$
K_{X^{\prime}}^{2}=20, K_{X}^{2}=5, q\left(X^{\prime}\right)=q(X)=2, p_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=5, p_{g}(X)=2,
$$

$$
\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{1}(X)=\mathbb{Z}^{4}
$$

Their Albanese map has degree 3.
Moreover, the branch locus $\Delta$ of the Albanese map of $X^{\prime}$ consists of 4 curves in the linear system $|D|$, which are generally distinct (hence $\Delta$ has a 4-uple point at the points $\left.x_{1}=x_{2}=0\right)$; for $\lambda=0$ instead $\Delta$ consists of the two curves $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\},\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ counted with multiplicity 2.

Proof. i) If we are in the exceptional case **), where $x_{1}=e_{1} s_{1}, x_{2}=e_{1} s_{2}, e_{1}$ is the pull-back of a section defining $P_{1}$ on $E_{1}$, while $s_{1}, s_{2}$ are pull-backs of a basis of $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{E_{2}}\left(2 P_{2}\right)\right)$, then over the curve $E_{2}^{\prime}:=\left\{P_{1}\right\} \times E_{2}$ we have $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, hence ( $\left.\mathbb{P}^{1} \times E_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset X^{\prime}$, and $X^{\prime}$ is reducible.
 define 4 points and $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are local parameters for $A^{\prime}$.
ii) For $\lambda=0$, we get that the derivatives with respect to $y_{1}, y_{2}$ vanish only when $x_{1} y_{1}=x_{2} y_{2}=0$, which implies that $x_{1} x_{2}=0$.

Since $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is not the exception (**) of Subsection 1.4.6.b, for $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$ the divisors $x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0$ are smooth and they intersect transversally in 4 points; hence $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are local coordinates, and the partial derivatives with respect to $x_{1}, x_{2}$ vanish only on $y_{1}=y_{2}=0$ : but these equations define the empty set in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$.

If only one of $x_{1}, x_{2}$ vanishes, say $x_{1}=0$, then $y_{2}=0$ and we have a smooth point if the divisor $x_{1}=0$ is smooth: this happens for general $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$.
iii) Identify $H, D$ with their pull back on $Z=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$.

Since $K_{Z}=-2 H$, adjunction gives $K_{X^{\prime}}=\left.(H+D)\right|_{X^{\prime}}$, and

$$
K_{X^{\prime}}^{2}=(3 H+D)(H+D)^{2}=5 H D^{2}=20 .
$$

$G$ acts freely on $A^{\prime}$, hence also on $X^{\prime}$, therefore $K_{X}^{2}=5$.
We have the exact cohomology sequence associated to the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(-2 H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and since

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(-2 H)\right)=0, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(-2 H)\right)=1, h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(-2 H)\right)=2, \\
H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D)\right)=0, H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D)\right)=0, h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D)\right)=4,
\end{gathered}
$$

it follows that

$$
p_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=5, \quad q\left(X^{\prime}\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime}}\right)\right)=2 .
$$

Since $G$ acts trivially on $H^{0}\left(\Omega_{A^{\prime}}^{1}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(\Omega_{X^{\prime}}^{1}\right)$, it follows that $q(X)=2$. Finally $G$ acts trivially on $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(-2 H)\right)$, while, as remarked at the beginning, $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D)\right)=$ $V \otimes W$, hence $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D)\right)^{G}$ has dimension 1 and thus $p_{g}(X)=2$.

The isomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ follows from Lefschetz hyperplane theorem since $X^{\prime}$ is an ample divisor on $Z=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$.

Finally, $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subset \pi_{1}(X)$ is a normal subgroup of index 4 , with quotient group $G$. Recall that $A=\widehat{A^{\prime}} \cong A^{\prime} / G$. Then $A$ is the Albanese variety of $X$, hence $\pi_{1}(A)$ is a quotient of $\pi_{1}(X)$. But $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \subset \pi_{1}(A)$ has index 4, hence $\pi_{1}(X) \cong \pi_{1}(A)$.
iv) In general, we ask when $X^{\prime}$ has (at most) Rational Double Points as singularities, for $\lambda \neq 0$.

To calculate the singular points we may use the Remark 2.23, and restrict to the equation $f=x_{1}\left(y_{1}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(y_{2}^{3}+\lambda y_{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)$.

The partials with respect to $y_{1}$, respectively $y_{2}$, yield:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{1}}=x_{1}\left(3 y_{1}^{2}+\lambda y_{2}^{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(2 \lambda y_{1} y_{2}\right)=0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_{2}}=x_{1}\left(2 \lambda y_{1} y_{2}\right)+x_{2}\left(3 y_{2}^{2}+\lambda y_{1}^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

If $x_{1}$ vanishes, but $x_{2}$ does not, we have a singular point only if $y_{1} y_{2}=0=\left(3 y_{2}^{2}+\lambda y_{1}^{2}\right)$, but the two polynomials do not vanish simultaneously, hence we have no singular point. Similarly if $x_{2}$ vanishes, but $x_{1}$ does not.

If both $x_{1}, x_{2}$ vanish, the two partials with respect to the (local parameters) $x_{1}, x_{2}$ vanish if and only if

$$
\left(y_{1}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(y_{2}^{3}+\lambda y_{2} y_{1}^{2}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(y_{1}^{2}+\lambda y_{2}^{2}\right)=\left(y_{2}^{2}+\lambda y_{1}^{2}\right)=0
$$

This may occur only for $\lambda= \pm 1$, and we get then exactly two singular points.
If both $x_{1}, x_{2}$ do not vanish, then a necessary condition for a singular point (or a ramification point for $a^{\prime}$ ) is that

$$
\left(3 y_{1}^{2}+\lambda y_{2}^{2}\right)\left(3 y_{2}^{2}+\lambda y_{1}^{2}\right)-\left(2 \lambda y_{1} y_{2}\right)^{2}=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow y_{1}^{4}+y_{2}^{4}+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(3-\lambda^{2}\right) y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}=0
$$

This equation does not vanish for $y_{1}=0$, hence we write $y_{1}=1, y_{2}=z$, and we get the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+z^{4}+\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(3-\lambda^{2}\right) z^{2}=0 \tag{***}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose roots come in opposite pairs $z,-z$.
At a singular point of $X^{\prime}$ we have:

$$
f:=x_{1} f_{1}(\lambda, z)+x_{2} f_{2}(\lambda, z)=0, \quad \nabla x_{1}\left(f_{1}(\lambda, z)\right)+\nabla x_{2}\left(f_{2}(\lambda, z)\right)=0
$$

whence we get as second coordinate a singular point of the pencil $|D|$, corresponding to the point $\left(f_{1}(\lambda, z), f_{2}(\lambda, z)\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

Now, since we are not in the exceptional case (**), by the Zeuthen-Segre formula it follows that the pencil $|D|$ gives rise to at most such 12 singular points, since the Euler number of the blow up of $A^{\prime}$ equals 4 , and then $4=-2 D^{2}+\mu=-8+\mu$, hence we have $\mu=12$ singular fibres counted with multiplicity.

For each such value of ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) corresponding to a singular fibre we get the equation $u_{2} f_{1}(\lambda, z)-u_{1} f_{2}(\lambda, z)=0$, and substituting the four values of $z$ gotten by ***), we get equations for the parameter $\lambda$ for which $X^{\prime}$ is singular.
v) We want to show that $X^{\prime}$ has always only finitely many singularities, hence $X^{\prime}$ is always normal.

In fact, a fibre of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is contained in $X^{\prime}$ if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$. But $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are local parameters, hence the whole fibre cannot be contained in the singular locus.

The above proof shows that, in the other cases where $x_{1} \neq 0$ or $x_{2} \neq 0$, we have always a finite number of singular points on $X^{\prime}$.
vi) Finally, the discriminant of the projection of $X^{\prime}$ to $A^{\prime}$, namely $a^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$, equals

$$
\Delta:=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
3 x_{1} & 2 \lambda x_{2} & \lambda x_{1} & 0  \tag{2.31}\\
0 & 3 x_{1} & 2 \lambda x_{2} & \lambda x_{1} \\
\lambda x_{2} & 2 \lambda x_{1} & 3 x_{2} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda x_{2} & 2 \lambda x_{1} & 3 x_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $\Delta$ is given by the vanishing of a homogeneus polynomial of degree 4 in ( $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ) we get, for each $\lambda$, a product of 4 linear factors, hence the discriminant consists of 4 curves in the linear system $|D|$, counted with multiplicity.

For $\lambda=0$, we get $81 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}=0$, which is of course expected since then we have a Galois cover with cyclic Galois group of order 3 .

Remark 2.27. The morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$ never yields a Galois extension of function fields.

The argument is as follows: if $a$ is Galois, then also the fibre product $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ is Galois, hence $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is Galois and the equation of $X^{\prime}$ is

$$
X^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1} y_{1}^{3}+x_{2} y_{2}^{3}=0\right\}
$$

The group $\mu_{3}$ of third roots of unity acts by

$$
y_{1} \mapsto y_{1}, \quad y_{2} \mapsto \epsilon y_{2}, \quad \epsilon^{3}=1
$$

We claim that $G, \mu_{3}$ generate a group $G^{\prime}$ of order 12 . Indeed, we see right away that $g_{1}$ and $\epsilon$ commute, while

$$
g_{2} \epsilon g_{2}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(\epsilon y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\left(y_{1}, \epsilon^{-1} y_{2}\right) \Longleftrightarrow g_{2} \epsilon g_{2}=\epsilon^{-1}
$$

Hence, $g_{2}$ and $\mu_{3}$ generate $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$, and

$$
G^{\prime}=\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z} / 2, \quad \mathbb{Z} / 2=\left\{0, g_{2}\right\}
$$

Since $X$ corresponds to the intermediate subgroup $G<G^{\prime}$ which is not normal $(G \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2), a: X \rightarrow A$ is not Galois, a contradiction.

Remark 2.28. The morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$ contracts exactly one smooth rational curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Indeed, observe that the morphism $a^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ contracts only the 4 rational fibres $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\left\{z_{i}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$ over the base locus $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{4}\right\}$ of the linear system $|D|$ given by $\left\{x_{1}=x_{2}=0\right\}$. Since the fibres $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ are identified under the action of $G=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$, we get our conclusion.

### 2.6 Moduli Space of CHPP Surfaces

In this section we study the family of CHPP surfaces we have constructed in Section 2.5, hence we keep using the same notation and conventions adopted therein.

In particular, we remind the reader that $A^{\prime}$ is here an abelian surface with a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $(1,2), V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ is the two dimensional Schrödinger representation of the order 8 Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{2} \cong D_{4}, G:=$ $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ is the kernel of the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A:=\widehat{A^{\prime}}$ and $Z:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$.

Moreover, given a CHPP surface $S$ with canonical model $X:=X^{\prime} / G$ and Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$, by abuse of notation we will often call Albanese map the induced morphism $a: X \rightarrow A$.

We have constructed an irreducible 4-dimensional family (three parameters for the abelian surface $A^{\prime}$, and $\lambda$ as fourth parameter) of CHPP surfaces, and we want to see that this yields a component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type.

In order to achieve this goal, it suffices to analyze deformations $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow T$ with connected base.

There are two guiding principles, coming from topology:
I) every deformation of $X$ comes together with a deformation of $X^{\prime}$ preserving the $G$-action (up to an automorphism of $G$ ),
II) every deformation of $X$, respectively of $X^{\prime}$, comes together with a deformation of their Albanese maps $a^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}, a: X \rightarrow A$ which are generically finite cover of degree 3 ; indeed any other surface homotopically equivalent to $X$, resp. $X^{\prime}$, has an Albanese map of degree 3 .

Taking the Stein factorization of the Albanese maps, we get finite triple covers $Y(t) \rightarrow A(t), Y(t):=\operatorname{Spec}\left(a(t)_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{t}}\right)\right)$, and similarly for the deformations of $X^{\prime}$.

We observe that for our surfaces $X^{\prime}$ we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(-3 H-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

whence by direct image the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow a_{*}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow 0
$$

and the so-called Tschirnhaus bundle $\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$ of the degree 3 map equals $\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)^{\oplus 2}$.
Moreover, for small deformations, we shall have a composite morphism

$$
X_{t}^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(a^{\prime}(t)_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{t}^{\prime}}\right) / \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}(t)}\right),
$$

which is a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle over $A^{\prime}(t)$.
The deformations of $X^{\prime}$ turn out to be more complicated to describe than the ones of $X$, since the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle can admit nontrivial deformations as $X^{\prime}$ deforms.

However, the situation for $X$ is simpler.
Lemma 2.29. For every deformation $X_{t}$ of $X$, the Albanese map of $X_{t}^{\prime}$ factors through a birational morphism into $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}(t)$.

Proof. Any deformation of $X$ yields, as we already observed, a deformation of $X^{\prime}$ which preserves the $G$-action.

This implies that the Tschirnhaus bundle $\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$ splits according to the two eigensheaves for $g_{1}$, and since they have to be exchanged by $g_{2}$, we have that $\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee}$ is always a direct sum of two copies of the same line bundle, which, of course, is a deformation of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)$. Hence, it is this bundle up to translation on $A^{\prime}(t)$.

Corollary 2.30. Any small deformation of $X$ yields an embedding $X_{t}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}(t)$. The divisor class of $X_{t}^{\prime}$ is the class $3 H+D_{t}$, where $D_{t}$ is a polarization of type $(1,2)$ on $A^{\prime}(t)$.

The previous results allow us to conclude that all small deformations of $X$ are given by deformations of $X^{\prime}$ as hypersurfaces inside a threefold $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}(t)$, where $A^{\prime}(t)$ is a deformation of $A^{\prime}$, and the action of $G$ is preserved; hence every deformation of $X$ comes from a $G$-invariant deformation of $X^{\prime}$, and we conclude that our families are locally complete.

We want to show more.
Theorem 2.31. Every deformation in the large of a CHPP surface is a CHPP surface.
Proof. As well known (see for instance $\overline{\mathrm{BC1}}$ ], pages 625-626), it suffices to show that if we have a 1-parameter family $X_{t}, t \in T$, where $T$ is a smooth curve, which is a deformation in the large of the canonical model $X$ of a CHPP surface, then all the surfaces $X_{t}$ are canonical models of CHPP surfaces.

Under the above assumption $X_{t}^{\prime}$ is a deformation of $X^{\prime}$, and we have a birational morphism $X_{t}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}(t)$, whose image is a divisor $\Sigma_{t}$ in a linear system $\left|3 H+D_{t}\right|$, where $D_{t}$ is a polarization of type $(1,2)$ on $A^{\prime}(t)$.

The dualizing sheaf $\omega_{\Sigma_{t}}$ is the restriction of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Z(t)}\left(H+D_{t}\right)$, and it has $h^{0}\left(\omega_{\Sigma_{t}}\right)=5=p_{g}\left(X_{t}^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $S_{t}^{\prime}$ be the minimal model of $X_{t}^{\prime}$. Since $S_{t}^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma_{t}$ is a resolution of singularities, we see now that there are no conditions of subadjunction, nor of adjunction (see the appendix by Mumford to Chapter III of [Zar71])
$\Sigma_{t}$ yields an extended CHPP surface and, since $\Sigma_{t}$ is irreducible, by Proposition 2.26 we are not in the exceptional case $W^{* *}$ of Subsection 1.4.6.b and $\Sigma_{t}$ is normal.

If $\Sigma_{t}$ is normal and does not have Rational Double Points as singularities, then $K_{X_{t}^{\prime}}$ is the pull-back of $\left(H+D_{t}\right)$ minus a non zero effective exceptional divisor, hence $K_{X_{t}^{\prime}}^{2}<20$, a contradiction.

Finally, we have shown the following theorem.
Theorem 2.32. The 4-dimensional family of CHPP surfaces yields an irreducible connected component $\mathcal{M}_{C H P P}$ of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=5$ and Albanese map of degree $d=3$.

In the next subsection, which can be seen as a longer digression, we consider the more difficult question of studying the deformations of the surfaces $X^{\prime}$.

### 2.6.1 The Deformations of $X^{\prime}$

This subsection is sort of a digression. We want here to look at the deformations of $X^{\prime}$ : hence we look at the cohomology group $H^{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ and the Kodaira-Spencer map.

The first isomorphism that we observe is

$$
\Theta_{Z} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Z}(2 H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Z}^{2}
$$

Then we consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \Theta_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(3 H+D) \rightarrow 0
$$

and, since $X^{\prime}$ is of general type, $H^{0}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right)=0$, while $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$ has dimension 5 , and $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(3 H+D)\right)$ has dimension $9=8-1+2$, since it fits into the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(3 H+D)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(3 H+D)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Finally, since $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(3 H+D)=\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(2 H+K_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ has vanishing second cohomology group, and first of dimension 1 , we have the exact cohomology sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(3 H+D)\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(3 H+D)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

and since, by the next lemma, $H^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H)\right)$ has dimension 6 for $i=1$, respectively 3 for $i=2$, we get that $H^{1}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ has dimension at most 14 , while $H^{2}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ has dimension either 13 or 14.

Since however $10 \chi\left(X^{\prime}\right)-2 K_{X^{\prime}}^{2}=0, H^{1}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right), H^{2}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ have the same dimension.
Lemma 2.33. $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H)\right)$ has dimension $6, H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H)\right)$ has dimension 3.
Proof. We use the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(-H-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(2 H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H) \rightarrow 0
$$

and the fact that by the Künneth formula $\mathcal{O}_{Z}(-H-D)$ has all cohomology groups vanishing, hence

$$
H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H)\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(2 H)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right)
$$

has dimension 6 , while

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H)\right) \cong H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(2 H)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(2)\right) \otimes H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right)
$$

has dimension 3.

We observe that the image of $H^{1}\left(\Theta_{X^{\prime}}\right)$ inside $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\right)^{2}$ corresponds to the deformations of $A^{\prime}$ as a complex torus, but each deformation of $X^{\prime}$ yields a deformation of $A^{\prime}$ as an abelian surface.

The deformations of $X^{\prime}$ contains a family of dimension $3+7-3=7$ if we keep a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$, but indeed deforming $X^{\prime}$ we could take a deformation of the trivial rank 2 bundle.

The tangent space to the deformations of the trivial rank 2 bundle on $A^{\prime}$ is given by the vector space $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}^{4}\right)$, which has dimension 8, but since we are interested in the deformations of the associated projective bundle, we get a vector space of dimension 6 , corresponding to the deformations with trivial determinant, $H^{1}\left(E n d^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\right)\left(E n d^{0}\right.$ denotes as usual the space of trace zero endomorphisms): this is the explanation of the map to the 6 -dimensional vector space $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}(2 H)\right) \cong$ $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(2 H)\right)$.

### 2.6.2 Unirational Moduli Space for CHPP Surfaces and its Characterization

We now show that the irreducible connected component $\mathcal{M}_{\text {CHPP }}$ of CHPP surfaces is unirational.

Theorem 2.34. The irreducible connected component $\mathcal{M}_{\text {CHPP }}$ corresponding to CHPP surfaces is unirational.

Proof. Denoting by $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,2)}$ the moduli space of (1,2)-polarized abelian surfaces, it is clear from the construction of the family $\mathcal{M}_{\text {CHPP }}$ of CHPP surfaces that there is a dominant rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,2)} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{CHPP}} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,2)}$ is known to be rational (see Gri94]), we get right away our conclusion that $\mathcal{M}_{\text {CHPP }}$ is unirational.

The following result shows in particular that the component $\mathcal{M}_{\text {CHPP }}$ of CHPP surfaces coincides with the one constructed by Penegini and Polizzi in [PePo13a].

Theorem 2.35. The unirational irreducible connected component corresponding to the CHPP surfaces is the unique component of the Main Stream such that there is a surface in this component which fulfills the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 and has $K_{S}^{2}=5, p_{g}(S)=$ $q(S)=2$, and Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A=\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ of degree $d=3$. In particular, this component coincides with the component constructed in PePo13a.

Proof. We have the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)=A^{\prime} / G$, and if we have a component $\mathfrak{N}$ of the Main Stream, there is some surface $S$ such that $A=\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ contains no elliptic curve.

Under this condition, by Theorem 3.5 of [CH06], we have an exact sequence for the pull-back $\mathfrak{F}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{F}:=\alpha_{*} \omega_{S} / \omega_{A}$, namely

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a homogeneous bundle and $\mathcal{L}$ a polarization with Pfaffian $\delta=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}\right)+2$.
We consider now those surfaces $S$ which satisfy the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6: then, denoting by $\mathcal{E}$ the dual of the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$, we get $\mathfrak{F}=\mathcal{E}$ (see Proposition 2.9), and we have $K_{S}^{2}=3+\delta$ (see Proposition 2.56). This implies immediately that $\delta=2$ and therefore $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=0$.

Hence, we get that $D$ yields a polarization of type $(1,2)$.

Taking the fibre-product $S^{\prime}$ to $A^{\prime}$ of the surfaces $S$ in $\mathfrak{N}$, we find that on an open set of $\mathfrak{N}$, by the theory by Miranda-Casnati-Ekedahl, we get a section of

$$
S^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=S^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)
$$

an equation defining a two dimensional variety $Z^{\prime}$ yielding an extended CHPP surface. For the surfaces $S$ satisfying the Gorenstein Assumption we have $Z^{\prime}=X^{\prime}$, where $X^{\prime}$ denotes the fibre-product of the canonical model $X$. Hence, the latter surfaces $S$ are CHPP surfaces and we conclude by Theorem 2.31 that $\mathfrak{N}$ is the connected component of the CHPP surfaces.

Finally, thanks to Proposition 6.1 of [PePo13a], we see immediately that this component $\mathfrak{N}$ must coincide with the one constructed by Penegini-Polizzi in PePo13a|.

Indeed, we can prove a stronger version of the previous result.
Theorem 2.36. The unirational irreducible connected component corresponding to the CHPP surfaces is the unique component with $K_{S}^{2}=5, p_{g}(S)=q(S)=2$, and Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A=\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ of degree $d=3$ such that there is a surface in this component which fulfills property (III) of the Generality Assumption 2.17 with $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=0$.

Proof. If (III) of the Generality Assumption holds with $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=0$ for some surfaces of the component $\mathfrak{N}$, then their canonical models $X$ have a fibre-product $X^{\prime}$ with a birational $\operatorname{map} \psi: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Z^{\prime}$, where $Z^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime}$ yields an extended CHPP surface and has dualizing sheaf $\omega_{Z^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}_{Z^{\prime}}(H+D)$. Note that by Proposition $2.26 Z^{\prime}$ is normal.

The map $\psi$ is an isomorphism where $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is finite, that is, outside a finite number of fibres of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$. Where $Z^{\prime}$ does not contain such a fibre, $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is finite and $Z^{\prime}$ coincides with the Stein factorization, so $\psi$ is a morphism there.

There remain the fibres which are contained in $Z^{\prime}$ and for which $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ has a positive dimensional fibre.

Take a blow-up of the minimal resolution of singularities $S^{\prime}$ of $X^{\prime}$, say $S^{*}$, such that $\psi$ becomes a birational morphism on $S^{*}$ and use the same notation for a divisor and its pull-back to $S^{*}$.

By adjunction we have $K_{S^{*}}=K_{Z^{\prime}}-\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the adjoint divisor (an effective divisor, see the appendix by Mumford to Chapter III of [Zar71]).

Similarly, $K_{S^{*}}=K_{S^{\prime}}+E$, for some effective exceptional divisor $E$.
Then the conclusion is that

$$
K_{Z^{\prime}}=K_{S^{\prime}}+E+\mathcal{A}
$$

Since $K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}=K_{X^{\prime}}^{2}=K_{Z^{\prime}}^{2}=20$, and $E+\mathcal{A}$ has negative self-intersection (alternatively, use that $K_{Z^{\prime}}, K_{S^{\prime}}$ are nef and big, and uniqueness of the Zariski decomposition), we conclude then that $E+\mathcal{A}=0$, which means that $K_{Z^{\prime}}$ pulls back to $K_{X^{\prime}}$. Since $K_{X^{\prime}}$ is ample, it follows that $X^{\prime}=Z^{\prime}$ (else there is a curve $C$ in $X^{\prime}$ which is contracted to a point in $Z^{\prime}$, a contradiction), and $X$ is the canonical model of a CHPP surface.

In conclusion, by Theorem 2.31 we see that the component $\mathfrak{N}$ is the component of CHPP surfaces.

### 2.7 Construction of PP4 Surfaces

Let here $A^{\prime}$ be an abelian surface with a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $(1,3)$ and set $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$. Then $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ is a three dimensional vector space, and the kernel $\mathcal{K}(D)$ of the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A:=\widehat{A^{\prime}}$ is here

$$
G:=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2} .
$$

Consider the order 27 Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{3}$ with centre $\mu_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3$, namely

$$
1 \rightarrow \mu_{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow G \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

Recalling that $V$ is the Schrödinger representation of $\mathcal{H}$, we now describe, using the method of Casnati-Ekedahl [CE96], a family of generically finite covers $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ of degree 4 such that $X^{\prime}$ (which we require to be normal with at most RDP as singularities) is invariant under the action of the group $G$.

We will call $P P_{4}$ surfaces the minimal resolution of singularities $S$ of the free quotients

$$
X:=X^{\prime} / G
$$

since our family coincides generically with the family constructed by Penegini and Polizzi in (PePo14], see Subsection 2.8.2.

Setting

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}:=V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)=V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L},
$$

we need to construct, according to Casnati-Ekedahl CE96, a rank two locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ with an embedding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}\right) \otimes S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right)=6 \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}=\bigwedge^{2}(3 \mathcal{L}) \oplus \bigwedge^{2}(3 \mathcal{L}) \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that such an embedding is given and assume that the corresponding map $S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a finite quadruple cover with $S^{\prime}$ smooth. Then by Casnati-Ekedahl we must have either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=3 D \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}-2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+4 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=9 K_{S}^{2}-2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+4 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=9\left(K_{S}^{2}-4\right) . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, for $K_{S}^{2}=6$ we must have $c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=18$, whereas for $K_{S}^{2}=5$ we would have $c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=9$.

We observe that

$$
h^{0}(\mathcal{L})=3, \quad D^{2}=6,
$$

and $|D|$ has no base points if $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is not a product polarization as in (*) of Subsection 1.4.6.a We make this assumption from now on.

### 2.7.1 The Definition of the Sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and its Description

We define the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ as the cokernel of the natural homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)
$$

given by the natural invariant

$$
s:=\sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j} \in V^{\vee} \otimes V,
$$

where $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ and $\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}$ is the dual basis of $V^{\vee}$. Namely, we have

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{s=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}  \tag{2.36}\\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)=3 \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $|D|$ has no base points, $\mathcal{F}$ is a rank 2 locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime} \text {-module. }}$
The Description of $\mathcal{F}$ via the Koszul Complex. We are going to show that $\mathcal{F}$ is the image of the map $\wedge s$ in the Koszul complex associated with the section

$$
s=\sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j} \in V^{\vee} \otimes H^{0}(\mathcal{L})
$$

(or equivalently, $s={ }^{t}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ ), see Ful84], Appendix B.3.4.
Namely, defining $Z(s)$ to be the zero subscheme of the section $s$, we consider the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^{3} \mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} \mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{1} \mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z(s)} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is exact on $A^{\prime} \backslash Z(s)$.
Note that $Z(s)=\emptyset$ if we assume that $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ have no common zeroes, as does in our case occur for a general choice of $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$.

Then since $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$, we get the following exact sequence
$0 \rightarrow\left(\bigwedge^{3} V\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-3 D) \rightarrow\left(\bigwedge^{2} V\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-2 D) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)=3 \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$.
Dualizing the previous sequence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{s} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)=3 \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D) \xrightarrow{\wedge s}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D) \rightarrow\left(\bigwedge^{3} V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(3 D) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\wedge s}{\hookrightarrow}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)=3 \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D) . \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.7.2 The Construction of the Quadruple Covers

Since we constructed a rank two locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ with an embedding as follows

$$
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right),
$$

following Casnati-Ekedahl CE96 we can now define the desired (generically finite) quadruple cover $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ as given by the zero locus of a section

$$
\eta \in H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \otimes S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

This means that the fibres of $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ are the intersections of two conics in the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle

$$
p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right)=\operatorname{Proj}_{\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime},
$$

where $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}$.
More precisely, we will describe a 2-dimensional family of sections

$$
\eta_{\lambda, \mu}:=\lambda i_{1} \oplus \mu i_{2}
$$

depending on two complex parameters $\lambda, \mu$. Namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \frac{\eta_{\lambda, \mu}}{\lambda i_{1} \oplus \mu i_{2}} S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)\right) \oplus\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)\right) \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{1}, i_{2}$ are the respective inclusions of $\mathcal{F}$ in the respective summands.
We need to give explicitly the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2 D)\right) \bigoplus\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}(2 D)\right) \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take this isomorphism in such a way that the respective summands correspond to the following irreducible subrepresentations of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}$ inside $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right)=\left\langle y_{1}^{2}, y_{2}^{2}, y_{3}^{2}\right\rangle \bigoplus\left\langle y_{2} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{2}\right\rangle \cong V \bigoplus V \cong \bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee} \bigoplus \bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee} \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe in fact that $y_{i} y_{j}=\frac{y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}}{y_{k}}$, for $i, j, k$ a permutation of $\{1,2,3\}$ of signature 1 , and similarly $y_{i} \wedge y_{j}=\frac{y_{1} \wedge y_{2} \wedge y_{3}}{y_{k}} \in \mathbb{C} \otimes\left(V^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}$, where here dividing by $y_{k}$ stands for the contraction with the corresponding vector in the dual basis.

Recall that the map

$$
\wedge s: 3 \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \subset\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)=3 \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)
$$

is given as follows

$$
\sigma={ }^{t}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \longmapsto s \wedge \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{3} x_{2}-\sigma_{2} x_{3}  \tag{2.44}\\
\sigma_{1} x_{3}-\sigma_{3} x_{1} \\
\sigma_{2} x_{1}-\sigma_{1} x_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{3} x_{2}-\sigma_{2} x_{3}  \tag{2.45}\\
\sigma_{1} x_{3}-\sigma_{3} x_{1} \\
\sigma_{2} x_{1}-\sigma_{1} x_{2}
\end{array}\right) \stackrel{\eta_{\lambda, \mu}}{ } \lambda\left(\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{3} x_{2}-\sigma_{2} x_{3} \\
\sigma_{1} x_{3}-\sigma_{3} x_{1} \\
\sigma_{2} x_{1}-\sigma_{1} x_{2}
\end{array}\right) \bigoplus \mu\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{3} x_{2}-\sigma_{2} x_{3} \\
\sigma_{1} x_{3}-\sigma_{3} x_{1} \\
\sigma_{2} x_{1}-\sigma_{1} x_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For each element $\sigma \in V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ we get therefore the following equations

$$
\sigma \longmapsto \lambda\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}^{2}\left(\sigma_{3} x_{2}-\sigma_{2} x_{3}\right)+  \tag{2.46}\\
+y_{2}^{2}\left(\sigma_{1} x_{3}-\sigma_{3} x_{1}\right)+ \\
+y_{3}^{2}\left(\sigma_{2} x_{1}-\sigma_{1} x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\mu\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{2} y_{3}\left(\sigma_{3} x_{2}-\sigma_{2} x_{3}\right)+ \\
+y_{1} y_{3}\left(\sigma_{1} x_{3}-\sigma_{3} x_{1}\right)+ \\
+y_{1} y_{2}\left(\sigma_{2} x_{1}-\sigma_{1} x_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The generators of the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{X^{\prime}}$ of $X^{\prime}$ are given, since $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by global sections, by the image of the space $H^{0}\left(V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$, hence by the images of the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, 0,0\right),\left(0, \sigma_{2}, 0\right),\left(0,0, \sigma_{3}\right) \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{1} F_{1}:=\sigma_{1}\left(\lambda\left(y_{2}^{2} x_{3}-y_{3}^{2} x_{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{3} y_{1} y_{3}-x_{2} y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \sigma_{2} F_{2}:=\sigma_{2}\left(\lambda\left(-x_{3} y_{1}^{2}+x_{1} y_{3}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(-x_{3} y_{2} y_{3}+x_{1} y_{1} y_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.48}\\
& \sigma_{3} F_{3}:=\sigma_{3}\left(\lambda\left(x_{2} y_{1}^{2}-x_{1} y_{2}^{2}\right)+\mu\left(x_{2} y_{2} y_{3}-x_{1} y_{1} y_{3}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Rearranging them and observing that

$$
\sigma_{j} F_{j}=0 \quad \forall \sigma_{j} \in H^{0}(\mathcal{L}) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad F_{j}=0
$$

we finally obtain the following equations for $X^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}=x_{3}\left(\lambda y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}\right)-x_{2}\left(\lambda y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2}\right)=0 \\
& F_{2}=x_{1}\left(\lambda y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2}\right)-x_{3}\left(\lambda y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} y_{3}\right)=0  \tag{2.49}\\
& F_{3}=x_{2}\left(\lambda y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} y_{3}\right)-x_{1}\left(\lambda y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}\right)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

We observe now that $X^{\prime}$ is a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}$, which has an action of $G=\mathcal{K}(D)$ of product type, where $G$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ via the Schrödinger representation $V$ of $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{3}$, whereas $G$ acts on $A^{\prime}$ by translations.

Remark 2.37. We set $\mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right)$. When using the description $\mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}$, we denote by $H$ the hyperplane section on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and use the same notation for a divisor (either on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ or on $A^{\prime}$ ) and its pull-back. Moreover, when using the description $\mathbb{P}^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$, with bundle projection $p: \mathbb{P}^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$, we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(h)$ the Serre's twisting sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(1)$. Hence, in particular we have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(h) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(H+D),
$$

see Remark 1.43 in Section 1.2 ,

We conclude that $X^{\prime}$ is $G$-invariant by the following Lemma
Lemma 2.38. The algebraic set $X^{\prime}$ is $G=\mathcal{K}(D)$-invariant.
Proof. Recalling that $G=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \times \mu_{3}$, we observe that the group $\mu_{3}$ acts, if $\epsilon$ is a primitive third root of unity, multiplying $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ by $1, \epsilon, \epsilon^{2}$, and $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ by $1, \epsilon^{2}, \epsilon$ : hence the equations $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ are respectively multiplied by $1, \epsilon^{2}, \epsilon$.

The group $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ acts by a cyclical permutation of $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and with the same permutation of $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$, hence $F_{1}, F_{2}, F_{3}$ are also cyclically permuted.

We can also show our assertions using the fact that the inclusion of $\mathcal{F}$ inside $S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ was chosen to be Heisenberg equivariant.

The above $G$-invariant equations on $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}$ can be written as describing a determinantal variety of Hilbert-Burch type, given by the vanishing of the $2 \times 2$ minors of the following matrix (we set $\lambda=1$ )

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1} & x_{3} & x_{2}  \tag{2.50}\\
y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} z_{3} & y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2} & y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Remark 2.39. The global Hilbert-Burch resolution for the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{X^{\prime}}$ is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-2 D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4 H-D) \xrightarrow{t} M \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-D) \xrightarrow{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\left(F_{1},-F_{3}, F_{2}\right)} \mathcal{I}_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.40. The dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X^{\prime}}$ of a normal projective variety

$$
X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{\prime}
$$

with (at most) RDP as singularities given by the vanishing of the $2 \times 2$ minors of $M$ is an invertible sheaf as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{X^{\prime}} \cong \mathcal{E} x t_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\right) \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [Lip84], Theorem 13.5. Moreover, since $X^{\prime}$ is Gorenstein we have the vanishing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E} x t_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i \neq 2, \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is an exact sequence as follows (cf. CE96])

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(-4 h+3 D) \rightarrow p^{*} \mathcal{F}(-2 h) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that (see Subsection 1.2.3)

$$
\omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}=3 D-3 h .
$$

Hence, applying the functor $\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\left(\cdot, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\right)$ to the previous sequence and taking into account the vanishing 2.53, we get in particular a surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(h) \cong \mathcal{H o m}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(-4 h+3 D), \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} x t_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}, \omega_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, in view of the isomorphism 2.52, this yields an isomorphism as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(H+D)_{\mid X^{\prime}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\prime}}(1)_{\mid X^{\prime}} \cong \omega_{X^{\prime}}, \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\omega_{X^{\prime}}$ is ample.

In light of the previous remark we see that, given a generically finite quadruple cover $X^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ as above, if $X^{\prime}$ is normal and has at most RDP as singularities, then $X^{\prime}$ is the canonical model of a surface of general type $S^{\prime}$. Indeed, we can prove that $S^{\prime}=X^{\prime}$ in most cases.

Proposition 2.41. The generically finite quadruple cover $X^{\prime}=X_{\mu}^{\prime}$ is smooth for a general $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$.
Proof. It suffices to show this for $\mu=0$. Using the symmetry of these equations, and since for a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right) x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ do not have common zeros, we may assume that if $p \in X^{\prime}$ is singular, then $x_{1} \neq 0$ (at $p$ ).

Then by the criterion of bordering minors we have two equations which locally define $X^{\prime}$, namely

$$
x_{1} y_{3}^{2}-x_{3} y_{1}^{2}=0, x_{1} y_{2}^{2}-x_{2} y_{1}^{2}=0
$$

Requiring that the respective $z$-gradients are proportional implies the proportionality of the vectors

$$
\left(-x_{3} y_{1}, 0, x_{1} y_{3}\right),\left(-x_{2} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2}, 0\right)
$$

and again by symmetry, since we must have $y_{2} y_{3}=0$, we may assume $y_{3}=0$, and either $y_{2}=0$ or $x_{3} y_{1}=0$.

In the first case we would have $x_{2}=x_{3}=0$; looking then at the gradient on $A^{\prime}$, we would have that $x_{2}=0$ and $x_{3}=0$ do not intersect transversally, a contradiction since we know that the effective divisors $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{3}=0\right\}$ intersect transversally (see PePo14, p. 776, Prop. 2.2]).

If $y_{3}=y_{1}=0$ we would have the contradiction that $x_{1}=0$. Whereas, if $y_{3}=x_{3}=0$, by the remark in the previous line $y_{1} \neq 0$; if the gradient of $x_{3}$ vanishes, then we get a singular point of $x_{3}=0$. But for a general $A^{\prime}$ the divisors of the sections $x_{j}$ are smooth, a contradiction. Hence, the gradient of the first equation on $A^{\prime}$ is non-zero, and we have a singular point only if $y_{2}=x_{2}=0$ and the gradients of $x_{3}, x_{2}$ are proportional. But we have already seen that this is impossible.

Proposition 2.42. A surface $S^{\prime}$ constructed as a generically finite quadruple cover $S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ by the vanishing of the $2 \times 2$ minors of the matrix $M$, see 2.50 , has invariants as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{g}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=10, \quad q\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2, \quad K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}=6 \cdot 9=54 . \tag{2.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is the Albanese map of $S^{\prime}$ for a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$.
Proof. (i) By Remark 2.39, we get right away the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-2 D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4 H-D) \xrightarrow{t} M \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-D)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\left(F_{1},-F_{3}, F_{2}\right)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, computing holomorphic Euler-Poincaré characteristics we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)-3 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-D)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-2 D)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4 H-D)\right) . \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that $\omega_{\mathbb{P}}=-3 H$, by Serre duality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)-3 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(D-H)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2 D-H)\right)+\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(H+D)\right) . \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Künneth formula we immediately see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(D-H)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2 D-H)\right)=0, \\
& \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(H+D)\right)=9, \tag{2.61}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=9$.
(ii) Now we split the sequence 2.58 into two short exact sequences, namely
(i) $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-2 D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-4 H-D) \xrightarrow{t} M \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-2 H-D)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\left(F_{1},-F_{3}, F_{2}\right)} \mathcal{I}_{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$,
(ii) $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$.

Considering the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with $(i)$ we get in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{S^{\prime}}\right)=h^{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{S^{\prime}}\right)=0 \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $h^{i}(-2 H-2 D)=h^{i}(-4 H-D)=h^{i}(-2 H-D)=0$ for $i=1,2,3$ (by Serre duality and Kodaira vanishing theorem).

Therefore, considering the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with (ii) and taking into account (2.63), we find out that

$$
2=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\right)=q\left(S^{\prime}\right),
$$

where the first equality follows from Künneth formula.
Finally, $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=9$ and $q\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2$ immediately imply that $p_{g}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=10$.
(iii) Since $q\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2$, it follows immediately that the surjective map $S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is the Albanese map of $S^{\prime}$. Indeed, for a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$, the Picard group of $A^{\prime}$ has no torsion, namely $\operatorname{Pic}\left(A^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and hence in particular there is no degree 2 isogeny $\widetilde{A} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$, where $\widetilde{A}$ is another abelian surface. As a result, by the universal property of the Albanese map we get our conclusion.
(iv) Since for a general $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ the map $S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is a finite quadruple cover, the self-intersection $K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}$ of the canonical divisor of $S^{\prime}$ can be computed by using CasnatiEkedahl's formula ([|CE96], Proposition 5.3,(ii); cf. (2.35)), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}=c_{2}(\mathcal{F})+2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling that

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=3 D, \quad c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=3 D^{2}, \quad D^{2}=6,
$$

we obtain right away

$$
K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}=c_{2}(\mathcal{F})+2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=18+2 \cdot 54-4 \cdot 18=54 .
$$

Corollary 2.43. Let $S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a generically finite quadruple cover constructed as above, where $S^{\prime}$ is smooth. Then the free quotient

$$
S:=S^{\prime} / G=S^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)
$$

is a minimal surface of general type with $p_{g}(S)=q(S)=2, K_{S}^{2}=6$ and Albanese map

$$
\alpha: S \rightarrow A:=\widehat{A^{\prime}}=A^{\prime} / G
$$

of degree $d=4$.
Proof. Observe that $G=\mathcal{K}(D)$ acts trivially on $H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \Omega_{A^{\prime}}^{1}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \Omega_{S^{\prime}}^{1}\right)$, and then

$$
q(S)=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \Omega_{S^{\prime}}^{1}\right)^{G}=h^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \Omega_{S^{\prime}}^{1}\right)=q\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2 .
$$

Hence, the thesis follows immediately from the previous proposition recalling that

$$
K_{S}^{2}=K_{S^{\prime}}^{2} /|G|=54 / 9=6, \quad \chi(S)=\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right) /|G|=9 / 9=1
$$

Finally, we give the formal definition of a PP4 surface.
Definition 2.44. Given an abelian surface $A^{\prime}$ with a polarization $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ of type $(1,3)$, consider the following matrix

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1} & x_{3} & x_{2} \\
y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} z_{3} & y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2} & y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

- $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$,
- $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$,
- $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ (the dual basis of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ ).

We call extended PP4 surface an étale quotient $X:=X^{\prime} / G$, where $X^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}$ is defined by the vanishing of the $2 \times 2$ minors of $M$ and $G:=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2}$ is the kernel of the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A:=\widehat{A^{\prime}}$.

A PP4 surface is defined to be the minimal resolution of singularities of an extended PP4 surface which is normal and has at most Rational Double Points as singularities.

### 2.8 Moduli Space of PP4 Surfaces

In this section we study the family of PP4 surfaces we have constructed in Section 2.7, hence we keep using the same notation and conventions adopted therein. In particular, we remind the reader that $A^{\prime}$ is here an abelian surface with a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $(1,3)$ (not a polarized product), $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right.$ ) is the three dimensional Schrödinger representation of the order 27 Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{3}$ and $G=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2}$ is the kernel of the isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A=\widehat{A^{\prime}}=A^{\prime} / G$.

Proposition 2.45. The family of Heisenberg invariant deformations $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ with fixed determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=3 D$ is smooth of dimension 2 , is parametrized by $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, and consists, for $M \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, of the cokernel bundles

$$
\mathcal{F}_{M}=\operatorname{coker}\left(f: \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-3 M) \rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D+M)\right), \quad f=\sum_{j} x_{j} y_{j}
$$

$y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ being the dual basis of $V^{\vee}$ of a canonical basis $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ of $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(4 M+D)\right)$.
Proof. The tangent space to the deformations of $\mathcal{F}$ with fixed determinant is the space

$$
H^{1}\left(E n d^{0}(\mathcal{F})\right)
$$

where $E n d^{0}(\mathcal{F})$ denotes the subbundle of trace zero endomorphisms; that is, we have the direct sum decomposition $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F})=E n d^{0}(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$.

The Heisenberg invariant deformations have as tangent space the subspace

$$
H^{1}\left(E n d^{0}(\mathcal{F})\right)^{\mathcal{H}} .
$$

By the exact sequence

$$
\text { (I) } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

follows the exact sequence

$$
(I I) 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0
$$

hence

$$
(I I I) 0 \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D) \rightarrow V \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D) \rightarrow 0
$$

and finally the exact sequence

$$
(I V) 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0
$$

The respective long exact cohomology sequences (in cases (I), (III)) yield:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0, \\
H^{1}(\mathcal{F}) \cong H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}, \\
H^{0}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D)) \cong V \otimes V^{\vee},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow V \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D)) \rightarrow V \otimes H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)\right)=V \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow V \otimes V^{\vee} \otimes H^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D)) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Taking Heisenberg invariants we have

$$
H^{0}(\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{H}} \cong H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right), \quad H^{1}(\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathbb{C},
$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the trivial representation, and
$H^{0}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D))^{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathbb{C}, H^{1}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D))^{\mathcal{H}} \cong H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}^{2}, H^{2}(V \otimes \mathcal{F}(-D))^{\mathcal{H}}=0$.
We take now the Heisenberg invariants of the cohomology sequence (IV), observing that by Serre duality

$$
H^{0}(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}))=H^{2}(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}))^{\vee}, H^{0}(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}))^{\mathcal{H}} \supset \mathbb{C} .
$$

We get then

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{0}(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}))^{\mathcal{H}} \cong \mathbb{C} \cong H^{2}(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}))^{\mathcal{H}} \\
0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F}))^{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since however $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{End}^{0}(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$, we infer that

$$
H^{1}\left(E n d^{0}(\mathcal{F})\right)^{\mathcal{H}} \cong H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right), \quad H^{2}\left(E n d^{0}(\mathcal{F})\right)^{\mathcal{H}}=0 .
$$

This means that our deformations are unobstructed, with tangent space $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right)$, which is the tangent space to $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

It is easy then to see that the universal family of deformations is our family $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{M}\right\}$.

Proposition 2.46. Let the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}-\text { module }} \mathcal{F}_{M}$ be as in Proposition 2.45, and assume that we have a Heisenberg equivariant injective homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{F}_{M} \rightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D) \otimes S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right)
$$

Then $2 M$ is trivial, hence every deformation of $\mathcal{F}$ as a (Heisenberg invariant) subbundle of $S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ is trivial. Moreover, the homomorphism, for $M$ trivial, belongs to the two dimensional vector family described above.

Proof. By composition we obtain an equivariant homomorphism

$$
V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D+M) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{M} \rightarrow(V \bigoplus V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)
$$

equivalently

$$
V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow(V \bigoplus V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D-M)
$$

determined by a homomorphism of representations

$$
V^{\vee} \rightarrow(V \bigoplus V) \otimes V
$$

To determine this we can use our previous Koszul-type arguments, observing that again $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ is a regular sequence: this implies that the inclusion of $\mathcal{F}_{M}$ factors through (here $s=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ )

$$
\mathcal{F}_{M} \stackrel{\wedge s}{\hookrightarrow}\left(\bigwedge^{2} V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D+2 M) \cong V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D+2 M),
$$

and hence our proof follows immediately, since
$\operatorname{Hom}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D+2 M),(V \bigoplus V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)\right)^{\mathcal{H}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}0 & \text { for } 2 M \neq 0, \\ \operatorname{Hom}(V, V \bigoplus V) & \text { for } 2 M=0 .\end{array}\right.$

Theorem 2.47. The four dimensional family of PP4 surfaces yields an irreducible component of the moduli space.

Proof. First of all, notice that the Generality Assumption 2.17 (which holds when the abelian surface $A^{\prime}$ does not contain an elliptic curve) is an open condition.

Once this is satisfied, by the theorem of Casnati and Ekedahl $X$ is determined by $X^{\prime}$ which in turn is determined by the Heisenberg invariant inclusion of a locally free


By Propositions 2.45 and 2.46, $\mathcal{F}$ and the inclusion are determined in an open set containing our family, and this open set is equal to our family.

Remark 2.48. 1) Proving that $\mathcal{F}$ is the unique Heisenberg invariant subbundle $\mathcal{F} \subset$ $S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right)$ of rank 2 and with $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F})=3 D$ would show that the PP4 family is the only component of the Main Stream of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6, d=4, \delta=3$.
2) We shall show that the closure of our family yields a connected component of the moduli space. While it is clear, as in the case $K_{S}^{2}=5, d=3$, that a limit of Tschirnhaus bundles of the form $V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ is again of this form, we show now an analogous statement for the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$.

Now we show that the irreducible component corresponding to PP4 surfaces is unirational.

Theorem 2.49. The four dimensional irreducible component of the moduli space corresponding to PP4 surfaces is unirational.

Proof. The argument is analogous to the one given in the proof of Theorem 2.34
Denoting by $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,3)}$ the moduli space of $(1,3)$-polarized abelian surfaces, it is clear from the construction of the component $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PP} 4}$ corresponding to PP4 surfaces that there is a dominant rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,3)} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PP} 4} \tag{2.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,3)}$ is known to be unirational (see Gri94), we get right away our conclusion that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{PP} 4}$ is unirational.

In the light of Remark 2.48, especially in the direction of part 2), we establish some characterizations of $\mathcal{F}$ and its Heisenberg equivariant embeddings in $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)$.

We recall here that $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is not a polarized product, hence the linear system $|D|$ has no fixed part and no base points.

Set $F:=\mathcal{F}(-D)$, so that we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D) \rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0
$$

Observe that $c_{1}(F)=D, c_{2}(F)=D^{2}=6$.
Using a non zero element in $V^{\vee}$, for instance the first element of the canonical basis, we get a bundle inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$, and, by composition, an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z}(D) \rightarrow 0
$$

where injectivity follows since $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \cap \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)=0$, and moreover the induced section vanishes only in the 0 -dimensional subscheme

$$
Z:=\left\{x_{2}=x_{3}=0\right\},
$$

since

$$
F / \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}=\left(V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\right) /\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)\right)=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}^{2} / \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D),
$$

and the composed map $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}^{2} \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ is given by $\left(x_{3},-x_{2}\right)$.
Let now $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module with the same Chern classes as $\mathcal{F}$, and again admitting a Heisenberg equivariant embedding in $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)$.

Set then $F^{\prime}:=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(-D)$ and assume that $F^{\prime}$ admits a non zero section, leading to an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(C) \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z}(D-C) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme and $C$ is an effective divisor.
Since $F^{\prime}$ embeds into $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$, the effective divisor $C$ is contained in a divisor in $|D|$.

We observe that $H^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ is a representation of the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{3}$, and if $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$, the representation is a sum of 1 -dimensional representations (se Chapter 1, Subsection 1.3.3.b. Hence, there is a Heisenberg invariant extension and the subscheme $Z$ is $\mathcal{H}_{3}$-invariant: this implies that 9 divides $|Z|$, but the length $|Z|$ equals $6-C(D-C)$ and since $6=D^{2}=C^{2}+(D-C)^{2}+2 C \cdot(D-C)$, we get $3 \leq|Z| \leq 6$, a contradiction.

We can exclude the case $C=D$, since then $H^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ comes from the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(C)$ (that is, $H^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(C)\right)$ ), hence the subsheaf is unique and the subscheme $Z$ is $\mathcal{H}_{3}$-invariant: this implies that 9 divides $|Z|$, again a contradiction.

We can assume therefore $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$, and we take the sections with a minimal curve $C$ of vanishing.

If $0<C<D$, then first of all $C \cdot(D-C) \geq 1$, since $C+(D-C)$ is numerically connected (this follows for instance since $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)\right)=0$ ) and moreover $C \cdot(D-C) \geq$ 2 since $C \cdot(D-C)=1$ implies that its canonical system has a base point (see CatFran96], [CFHR99]), while $|D|$ is base-point free.

Hence, from $6=D^{2}=C^{2}+(D-C)^{2}+2 C \cdot(D-C)$ follows that either

1) $C \cdot(D-C)=3$ and both $D-C$ and $C$ have self-intersection zero, or
2) $C \cdot(D-C)=2$ and one of them has self-intersection zero.

In case 1) we have that one of the systems $|C|$ or $|D-C|$ has dimension 2 , hence $|D|$ has a fixed part, a contradiction.

In case 2), one of the systems has dimension $\leq 1$, and the other has dimension 0 . Since $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$ and $Z$ is non trivial, it follows that $\operatorname{dim}|C|+\operatorname{dim}|D-C|=1$, and $Z$ is in the base locus of $|D-C|$, which has therefore dimension 0 and $(D-C)^{2}=2$. Then $|C|$ consists of curves which are the union of two elliptic curves $E_{1}, E_{2}$. But then $E_{1} \cdot(D-C)=1$, hence $D-C$ maps isomorphically to the elliptic curve $A^{\prime} / E_{1}$ and thus $D-C$ consists of two elliptic curves, of which one is algebraically equivalent to $E_{1}$. This implies that $|D|$ has a fixed part, a contradiction.

We have therefore reached the conclusion that, under the assumption of existence of a section, the general section vanishes only on a finite set, and then we have the desired exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z}(D) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we know moreover that $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$.
Hence, since $|D|$ has dimension 2 and does not have 6 base points, then $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=3$ and our exact sequence is exact on global sections.

Whence, $Z$ is the complete intersection of 2 sections of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$. Since the base-point scheme of $H^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ is Heisenberg invariant and is contained in $Z$, then $F^{\prime}$ is generated by global sections, and by Heisenberg invariance we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D) \rightarrow V^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

showing that $F^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $F$.
We summarize our conclusion:
Lemma 2.50. Let $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ be a polarization of type $(1,3)$ which is not a polarized product and let $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be a rank 2 locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}-m o d u l e}$ with the same Chern classes as $\mathcal{F}$, with a Heisenberg equivariant embedding in $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)$, and moreover with $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}(-D)\right) \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}$.

The case where $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is a polarized product cannot occur.
Proof. We have given the proof assuming that $|D|$ is base-point free.
But the only exception is when we have a polarized product of elliptic curves, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)=\left(E_{1}, P_{1}\right) \times\left(E_{2}, 3 P_{2}\right) \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case however we can run the same proof; in particular, considering sequence (2.66, we infer as before that $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$.

We know that the curves of the linear system $|D|$ consist of a fixed elliptic curve $E_{2}^{\prime}$ and three elliptic curves $E_{1}^{\prime \prime}, E_{2}^{\prime \prime}, E_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ which are algebraically equivalent.

Hence, it is possible to have the situation $C \cdot(D-C)=1$ : this happens iff either $C=E_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ or $D-C=E_{3}^{\prime \prime}$.

Moreover, we can have the situation where $C^{2}=(D-C)^{2}=0, C \cdot(D-C)=3$.
If the first case occurs, since $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$, we must have that $Z$ is in the base locus of $|D-C|$. Hence, the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(C)$ cannot be embedded in $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$.

In the second case, we get a similar contradiction if $|D-C|$ has base points.

There remains only the possibility that $C=E_{2}^{\prime}$.
Consider now another section of $F^{\prime}$ : it must vanish on the curve $E_{2}^{\prime}$, thus it gives another injective map of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\left(E_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ in $F^{\prime}$, which yields another subsheaf, since otherwise $h^{0}\left(F^{\prime}\right)=1$. The conclusion is that there is a map of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}\left(E_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ with nontrivial determinant, hence $D \geq 2 E_{2}^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Therefore, we have a section vanishing only on a finite number of points and then we get a sequence like (2.67, namely

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow F^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z}(D) \rightarrow 0
$$

We find again a contradiction since $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$ cannot be embedded in $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ with torsion free cokernel: because all sections of $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ vanish on $E_{2}^{\prime}$.

Theorem 2.51. The family of PP4 surfaces yields a connected component of the moduli space.

Proof. The first part of our argument runs as in the case of CHPP surfaces.
We consider a 1-parameter limit $X_{0}^{\prime}$ of PP4 surfaces, and we observe that, by virtue of Remark 2.48 and Lemma 2.50, in the limit the locally free sheaves $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are exactly as for the PP4 surfaces, and that moreover $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is not a product polarization.

The subbundle $\mathcal{F}$ defines a subscheme $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}$ whose equations can be written in Hilbert-Burch form,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma:=\{(y, z) \mid \operatorname{rank}(M) \leq 1\} \\
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1} & x_{3} & x_{2} \\
y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} y_{3} & y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2} & y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and it would suffice to see that $\Sigma$ is normal: this however is not always the case, as we shall see later on. Therefore we use another argument.

Since $|D|$ has no base points, $\Sigma$ is the union of the open sets $\mathcal{U}_{j} \cap \Sigma$, where

$$
\mathcal{U}_{j}:=\left\{x_{j} \neq 0\right\} .
$$

By symmetry, we may analyze the open set $\mathcal{U}_{1}$ where $x_{1} \neq 0$, and set

$$
s:=\frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}, \quad s^{\prime}:=\frac{x_{3}}{x_{1}}, \quad q_{j}:=y_{j}^{2}+\mu y_{j+1} y_{j+2}
$$

(here the indices have to be understood as elements of $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ ).
Then on this open set we have, by the criterion of bordering minors, the complete intersection

$$
\Sigma \cap \mathcal{U}_{1}=\left\{q_{2}-s q_{1}=q_{3}-s^{\prime} q_{1}=0\right\}
$$

Hence, $\Sigma$ is Gorenstein, with dualizing (canonical) sheaf $\omega_{\Sigma}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime} \vee\right)}(1)_{\mid \Sigma}$, and thus the vector space of sections of the canonical system is generated by the pull-back of the 2 -form on $A^{\prime}$ and by the elements $\left\{y_{i} x_{j}\right\}, i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, which are a basis of $V^{\vee} \otimes H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$.

Observe that the sub-system generated by $\left\{y_{i} x_{j}\right\}, i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$, is base point free and factors through $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{P}^{2}$, where the last map is the product of the identity with $\varphi_{D}$.

Assume now that $\Sigma$ is not normal, and let $X^{\prime \prime}$ be a resolution of singularities of $\Sigma$ : then $p_{g}\left(X^{\prime \prime}\right)<10$, since the sections of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime \prime}}\left(K_{X^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ correspond to a subspace of the canonical system of $\Sigma$ contained in the subspace of sections vanishing on the singular curve of $\Sigma$.

This is a contradiction, since $X_{0}^{\prime}$ is birational to $X^{\prime \prime}$ and $p_{g}\left(X_{0}^{\prime}\right)=10$ (since $\chi\left(X_{0}^{\prime}\right)=9$, $q\left(X_{0}^{\prime}\right)=2$ ) .

A similar contradiction is found if $\Sigma$ is normal but its singularities are not Rational Double Points.

In order to give a more explicit description of the surfaces in this connected component of the moduli space, it is desirable to describe the singular sets of such two dimensional varieties $\Sigma$. This is the main goal of the next subsection.

### 2.8.1 Singular Sets of Extended PP4 Surfaces

As in Theorem 2.51, we consider a variety

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma:=\{(y, z) \mid \operatorname{rank}(M) \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime} \\
M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} \\
q_{1} & q_{2} & q_{3}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

yielding an extended PP4 surface.
To avoid cumbersome calculations, we write (the indices being understood as elements of $\mathbb{Z} / 3$ )

$$
q_{j}(y):=y_{j}^{2}+2 m y_{j+1} y_{j+2}
$$

observing right away that $3 q_{j}(y)=\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial y_{j}}$, where

$$
f_{m}(y)=\sum_{j} y_{j}^{3}+6 m y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}
$$

Hence, $R_{m}:=\left\{y \mid f_{m}(y)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a cubic in the Hesse pencil of cubic curves, which is a smooth cubic or the union of 3 lines: the latter situation occurs precisely for $\mu^{3}=(2 m)^{3}=-1$.

The crucial remark is that $\Sigma$ is a birational fibre product

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma=\left\{(y, z) \mid q(y)=\varphi_{D}(z)\right\}=\mathbb{P}^{2} \times_{\mathbb{P}^{2}} A^{\prime} \\
\varphi_{D}(z):=\left(x_{1}(z), x_{2}(z), x_{3}(z)\right), \quad q(y):=\left(q_{1}(y), q_{2}(y), q_{3}(y)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe that $\varphi_{D}$ is always a finite morphism, since we assume that $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is not a polarized product, whereas $q$ is a morphism (hence also finite) if $(2 m)^{3} \neq-1$.

If instead $(2 m)^{3}=-1, R_{m}$ consists of three lines, hence $q$ is a standard birational Cremona transformation contracting the three lines and blowing up the three singular points of $R_{m}$.

From this remark and a trivial calculation in local coordinates follows that, defining $R_{m}$ to be the ramification divisor for $q$ and $R$ as the ramification divisor for $\varphi_{D}$, the point $(y, z)$ is a smooth point if either $y \notin R_{m}$ or $z \notin R$.

Similarly, $(y, z)$ is a smooth point if $y \in R_{m}, z \in R$, but the rank of the derivatives are

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(D q_{y}\right)=1, \quad \operatorname{rank}\left(D\left(\varphi_{D}\right)_{z}\right)=1,
$$

and the respective images of $D q_{y}, D\left(\varphi_{D}\right)_{z}$ are not the same tangent line.
A partial conclusion is that, defining $B_{m}$ as the branch divisor for $q$ and $\mathcal{B}$ as the branch divisor for $\varphi_{D}$, the singular points lie above the points in the plane in $B_{m} \cap \mathcal{B}$ where the two curves do not intersect transversally.

It follows then:
Proposition 2.52. An extended PP4 surface yielded by $\Sigma$ is normal unless the two curves $\mathcal{B}$ and $B_{m}$ have a common component or $(2 m)^{3}=-1$.

Proof. We have seen that $\Sigma$ is a local complete intersection, whence it is normal if and only if it is smooth in codimension one, that is, $\operatorname{Sing}(\Sigma)$ is a finite set.

If $\mathcal{B}$ and $B_{m}$ have no common component, their intersection is a finite set. We use then the fact that $\varphi_{D}$ is a finite morphism, and also $q$ is a morphism for $(2 m)^{3} \neq-1$, hence necessarily finite.

The reason for assuming $(2 m)^{3} \neq-1$ is that, for $(2 m)^{3}=-1, R_{m}$ consists of a triangle, and if $y^{\prime}$ is a vertex of the triangle, then $q\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0$. Thus, it follows that $\Sigma \supset\left\{y^{\prime}\right\} \times A^{\prime}$, hence $\Sigma$ has at least four irreducible components.

For $(2 m)^{3} \neq-1$ the branch locus $B_{m}$ consists of the dual sextic curve to the cubic $R_{m}$, which has equation (see [Cas99], page 383)

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{m}:=\{x \mid & \sum_{j} x_{j}^{6}+2\left(-16 m^{3}-1\right)\left(\sum_{i \neq j} x_{i}^{3} x_{j}^{3}\right) \\
& \left.-24 m^{2} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\left(\sum_{j} x_{j}^{3}\right)+6 m\left(-8 m^{3}-4\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}=0\right\} . \tag{2.69}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Cas99 we have:
Example 2.53. There exist extended PP4 surfaces which are not normal.
It suffices to take $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ a bielliptic abelian surface of type $(1,3)$ with $B_{m}$ contained in the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$. This exists by Cas99.

### 2.8.2 The PP4 Family and the Construction of $|\mathrm{PePo} 14|$

We now show that the 4 -dimensional family of PP4 surfaces contains the family of surfaces described in $\overline{\mathrm{PePo} 14}$.

Recalling that $\alpha^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ is in general a finite quadruple cover, we have

$$
\alpha_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \oplus \mathcal{E}^{\prime V}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \oplus\left(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D)\right) .
$$

The multiplication tensor is given by two tensors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau_{0}: \mathcal{E}^{\prime V} \times \mathcal{E}^{\prime V} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \\
& \tau_{1}: \mathcal{E}^{\prime V} \times \mathcal{E}^{\prime V} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime V}
\end{aligned}
$$

As Hahn and Miranda prove [HM99], $\tau_{0}$ is determined by

$$
\tau_{1} \in H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\wedge}\right)=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)=S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes V \otimes V
$$

Indeed, they show that $\tau_{1}$ is in turn determined by a totally decomposable section (that is, a section which locally is the wedge product of two local sections; see also Theorem 1.31):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\lambda, \mu} \in H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \bigwedge^{3} \mathcal{E}^{\vee} \otimes \bigwedge^{2} S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right) & =H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \bigwedge^{3} V \otimes \bigwedge^{2} S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right) \\
& =\bigwedge^{3} V \otimes \bigwedge^{2} S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes V
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\lambda, \mu}=\left(x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \wedge x_{3}\right) \otimes & \left(-\lambda \mu x_{2} \otimes y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{1} y_{2}+\lambda \mu x_{3} \otimes y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{1} y_{3}+\lambda^{2} x_{3} \otimes y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& +0 \otimes y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}-\lambda^{2} x_{2} \otimes y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{3}^{2}-\mu^{2} x_{1} \otimes y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{1} y_{3} \\
& -\lambda \mu x_{1} \otimes y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{2}^{2}+\mu^{2} x_{2} \otimes y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}+0 \otimes y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{3}^{2} \\
& +0 \otimes y_{1} y_{3} \wedge y_{2}^{2}-\mu^{2} x_{3} \otimes y_{1} y_{3} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}+\lambda \mu x_{1} \otimes y_{1} y_{3} \wedge y_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.-\lambda \mu x_{3} \otimes y_{2}^{2} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}+\lambda^{2} x_{1} \otimes y_{2}^{2} \wedge y_{3}^{2}-\lambda \mu x_{2} \otimes y_{2} y_{3} \wedge y_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As pointed out in HM99, p. 12], recalling that $\bigwedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \cong \bigwedge^{3} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}, \gamma_{\lambda, \mu}$ corresponds to the Plucker embedding

$$
\bigwedge^{2} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Choosing for $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$ the ordered basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{1} y_{2}, y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{1} y_{3}, y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{2}^{2}, y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}, y_{1}^{2} \wedge y_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{1} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{2}^{2}, y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{2} \wedge y_{3}^{2}, y_{1} y_{3} \wedge y_{2}^{2} \\
& \left.y_{1} y_{3} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{3} \wedge y_{3}^{2}, y_{2}^{2} \wedge y_{2} y_{3}, y_{2}^{2} \wedge y_{3}^{2}, y_{2} y_{3} \wedge y_{3}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

as in $[\mathrm{PePo14}]$, under the identification

$$
X, Y, Z \longleftrightarrow x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \quad \hat{X}, \hat{Y}, \hat{Z} \longleftrightarrow y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}
$$

we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\lambda, \mu}= & \left(-\lambda \mu x_{2}, \lambda \mu x_{3}, \lambda^{2} x_{3}, 0,-\lambda^{2} x_{2}\right. \\
& -\mu^{2} x_{1},-\lambda \mu x_{1}, \mu^{2} x_{2}, 0,0 \\
& \left.-\mu^{2} x_{3}, \lambda \mu x_{1},-\lambda \mu x_{3}, \lambda^{2} x_{1},-\lambda \mu x_{2}\right) \in H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)^{\oplus 15} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is easy to see that $\gamma_{\lambda, \mu}$ has the same form provided by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo14, Proposition 2.3, setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & :=-\lambda \mu, \quad b:=\lambda \mu, \quad c:=\lambda^{2}, \\
d & :=0, \quad e:=-\mu^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and it fulfills the properties stated in Proposition 2.4 therein.
Therefore, this shows that the family of PP4 surfaces contains the one in PePo14.
Remark 2.54. Note that in $\overline{\mathrm{PePo14}}$, in the statement of Proposition 2.3, we have to switch $Y, Z$ since in our case the dual of the Heisenberg representation $V^{\vee}$ is equivalent to the one given in $[\mathrm{PePo14}]$ via the matrix

$$
C:=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, we point out that the correspondence between the parameters $(\lambda, \mu)$ and $(a, c)$ giving respectively the family of PP 4 surfaces and the family in $[\overline{\mathrm{PePo} 14}]$ is as follows

$$
(\lambda, \mu) \longmapsto\left(-\lambda \mu, \lambda^{2}\right) .
$$

### 2.8.3 Branch Locus

We compute now the branch locus of the (generically finite) degree 4 cover

$$
\alpha^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}
$$

induced by the second projection map $\mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$.
The equations of $S^{\prime}$ are given by the vanishing of the $2 \times 2$ minors of the matrix $M$, which we have seen to equal

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1}=x_{3}\left(y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}\right)-x_{2}\left(y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2}\right)=0  \tag{2.70}\\
F_{2}=x_{1}\left(y_{3}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{2}\right)-x_{3}\left(y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} y_{3}\right)=0 \\
F_{3}=x_{2}\left(y_{1}^{2}+\mu y_{2} y_{3}\right)-x_{1}\left(y_{2}^{2}+\mu y_{1} y_{3}\right)=0
\end{array} \quad \mu \in \mathbb{C} .\right.
$$

Recalling that a fixed fibre is singular if and only if it has strictly less than 4 points, we are going to find the conditions which the coefficients of the system (2.70), namely $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \mu$, must satisfy in order that this happens.

Recall that the case

$$
x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0
$$

cannot occur since $|D|$ has no base points.
Then one of them is nonzero and by symmetry we may assume that

$$
x_{1} \neq 0
$$

Then as in Proposition 2.41, the local equations are

$$
F_{2}=0, \quad F_{3}=0
$$

The equations describe the intersection of two conics in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and the intersection points are exactly the base points of the pencil generated by them.

Let us denote by $A_{u, v} \in \operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{C}, 3)$ the $3 \times 3$ symmetric matrix of a conic in the pencil, namely

$$
A_{u, v}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{2} v-x_{3} u & \frac{1}{2} \mu x_{1} u & -\frac{1}{2} \mu x_{1} v  \tag{2.71}\\
\frac{1}{2} \mu x_{1} u & -x_{1} v & \frac{1}{2} \mu\left(x_{2} v-x_{3} u\right) \\
-\frac{1}{2} \mu x_{1} v & \frac{1}{2} \mu\left(x_{2} v-x_{3} u\right) & x_{1} u
\end{array}\right]
$$

and consider its determinant

$$
p(u, v):=\operatorname{det} A_{u, v} \in \mathbb{C}[u, v]_{3}
$$

We point out that the the case $p(u, v) \equiv 0$ does not occur for a general fibre since $S^{\prime}$ is irreducible, hence the pencil does not have any fixed component.

Then $p(u, v)$ is a nonzero homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 whose roots correspond to the degenerate conics of the pencil.

Recall that the base points of a pencil of conics are less then 4 if and only if the pencil contains at most 2 degenerate conics, that is $p(u, v)$ has at least one multiple root, equivalently the discriminant of $p(u, v)$ vanishes.

Since we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
p(u, v) & =\frac{1}{4} \mu^{2}\left(x_{3}^{3}-x_{1}^{3}\right) u^{3}+ \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{4} \mu^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{3}-\frac{3}{4} \mu^{2} x_{2} x_{3}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{3}\right) u^{2} v+ \\
& +\left(-\frac{1}{4} \mu^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+\frac{3}{4} \mu^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}\right) u v^{2}+  \tag{2.72}\\
& +\frac{1}{4} \mu^{2}\left(x_{1}^{3}-x_{2}^{3}\right) v^{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and we have the well-known formula saying that the discriminant of a polynomial $p=$ $a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+d$ equals

$$
b^{2} c^{2}-4 a c^{3}-4 b^{3} d-27 a^{2} d^{2}+18 a b c d
$$

a long but straightforward computation shows that the equation of the discriminant is in our case:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}^{6}\left[-27 \mu^{8}\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}+x_{3}^{6}\right)+\mu^{2}\left(-4 \mu^{9}+6 \mu^{6}-192 \mu^{3}-256\right)\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2}^{3} x_{3}^{3}\right)+\right. \\
& +\mu^{4}\left(18 \mu^{6}+144 \mu^{3}+288\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}\right)+ \\
& \left.+\left(\mu^{12}-92 \mu^{9}-336 \mu^{6}+256 \mu^{3}+256\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}\right]=0 \tag{2.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we worked on the open set $x_{1} \neq 0$, we finally get the branch locus equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& -27 \mu^{8}\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}+x_{3}^{6}\right)+\mu^{2}\left(-4 \mu^{9}+6 \mu^{6}-192 \mu^{3}-256\right)\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}+x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2}^{3} x_{3}^{3}\right)+ \\
& +\mu^{4}\left(18 \mu^{6}+144 \mu^{3}+288\right) x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}\right)+ \\
& +\left(\mu^{12}-92 \mu^{9}-336 \mu^{6}+256 \mu^{3}+256\right) x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}=0 \tag{2.74}
\end{align*}
$$

One easily sees by symmetry that the cases $x_{2} \neq 0, x_{3} \neq 0$ lead exactly to the same equation.

Remark 2.55. Note that this is the same branch locus as the one found by Penegini and Polizzi in PePo14, p. 749, equation (14)]. In fact, by multiplying their equation with -27 and setting $c=1, a=-\mu, X=x_{1}, Y=x_{2}, Z=x_{3}$ one gets 2.74.

### 2.9 Analysis of the Case $d=3$ under the Generality Assumption

We want to construct surfaces $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 and having a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=3$.

Hence, given such a surface $S$ and setting $A^{\prime}:=\widehat{A}$, there is a polarization $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ of type ( $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ ) and hence with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$. Considering the associated isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ with kernel $G:=\mathcal{K}(D)$, since $d=3$ we have that the dual $\mathcal{E}$ of the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha$ and its pull-back $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathcal{E}$ have rank

$$
\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=2
$$

and moreover there is a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant exact sequence like $\Delta$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$ has rank $\delta, \mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ has rank $\delta-2$, and by definition it is a successive extension of line bundles in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Since for such a suface $S$ the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 holds, it follows that $S^{\prime}:=$ $S \times{ }_{A} A^{\prime}$ is a subscheme in $\mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{\delta-1} \times A^{\prime}$ corresponding to a divisor of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right)$ given by a section of

$$
\mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta D, \quad c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2} D^{2}, \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Remark 2.19. Moreover, letting $H$ be the hyperplane divisor of

$$
\mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \vee}\right)=\operatorname{Proj} \operatorname{Sym}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right),
$$

we have the so-called Grothendieck relation (see Chapter 1. Subsection 1.2.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}-c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) H+c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{2.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that the class of $S^{\prime}$ equals $3 H-c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ and (cf. formula 1.49)

$$
K_{\mathbb{P}}=-2 H+c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right),
$$

hence $K_{S^{\prime}}=H_{\mid S^{\prime}}$.
Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{S^{\prime}}^{2} & =H^{2}\left(3 H-c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) H-c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(3 H-c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)= \\
& =3 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) H^{2}-c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-3 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-3 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=  \tag{2.78}\\
& =2 \delta^{2} D^{2}-\frac{3}{2} \delta(\delta-1) D^{2}=\delta^{2}(4 \delta-3(\delta-1))=\delta^{2}(\delta+3),
\end{align*}
$$

where the second-last equality follows from $D^{2}=2 \delta$.
Since the degree of $S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ equals $|G|=\delta^{2}$, we have shown the first assertion of the following.

Proposition 2.56. $K_{S}^{2}=\delta+3$ and $\chi(S)=1$.
Proof. There remains to show the second assertion. Indeed, it suffices to show that $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\delta^{2}$. This follows from the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(-2 H+c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\left(K_{S^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

We have $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right)=-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=0$, more precisely, $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right)=0, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right)=$ $h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=1, h^{2}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\right)=2, h^{3}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}}\right)\right)=1$.

On the other hand, $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(H)\right)=h^{i}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ yields

$$
\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\left(K_{S^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta \chi(\mathcal{L})-\chi\left(\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}\right)=\delta^{2}-0=\delta^{2}
$$

as we wanted to show.

Remark 2.57. In the above proposition we certainly have $q\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2$ provided that $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=0, h^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=0$, and this follows if $h^{i}\left(\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}\right)=0 \forall i$.

The case $\delta=2$ is the case of CHPP surfaces, that we have already described in detail, so let us proceed to the next case $\delta=3$.

### 2.9.1 The Case $d=\delta=3$ with Trivial Homogeneous Bundle

Given an abelian surface $A^{\prime}$ with an ample divisor $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)=(1,3)$ (hence, with Pfaffian $\delta=3$ ), we want to get a Heisenbergequivariant exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a line bundle in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.
The first guess is to take $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$, so that the inclusion $j: \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$ is given by a Heisenberg invariant section of $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}\right)=V \otimes V^{\vee}$.

Since $V$ is an irreducible representation, the only invariant by Schur's lemma corresponds to the identity of $V$, hence to the element $\sum_{j} x_{j} y_{j}$, where $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ is a natural basis of $V$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ is the dual basis.

In order to get a section of $\mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ we use the surjection

$$
\operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

hence the surjection $\operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)$, and we consider a cubic form $F(y) \in \mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$.

Then $F(y)=0$ defines a smooth cubic curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V)$, and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}:=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0, F(y)=0\right\} \tag{2.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of $S^{\prime}$ as a divisor inside the abelian variety $Z:=C \times A^{\prime}$ is $(H+D)_{\mid Z}$, where $H$ denotes the hyperplane section on $\mathbb{P}(V)=\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (as usual, we use the same notation for a divisor and its pull-back).

So $S^{\prime}$ is an ample divisor inside $Z$, hence, by Lefschetz hyperplane theorem, $q\left(S^{\prime}\right)=3$, and moreover

$$
K_{S^{\prime}}^{2}=(H+D)^{3}(3 H)=9 H^{2} D^{2}=9 \cdot 2 \delta=9 \cdot 6 .
$$

Thus, setting $G:=\mathcal{K}(D)$, this calculation shows that $S=S^{\prime} / G$ has $K_{S}^{2}=6$.
On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^{\prime}}\left(K_{S^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

shows that $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z}(H+D)\right)=9$, hence $\chi(S)=1$.

We have taken for granted that $S^{\prime}$ is $G$-invariant and smooth, let's now show it.
First of all, $C$ must be $G$-invariant, and since $G$ is generated by a cyclical permutation $g_{1}: y_{1} \mapsto y_{3} \mapsto y_{2} \mapsto y_{1}$, follows that $F$ is a linear combination of

$$
\sum_{j} y_{j}^{3}, \quad y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}, \quad \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}, \quad \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i-1}
$$

Since the other generator $g_{2}$ of $G$ acts via the diagonal matrix with entries $1, \epsilon^{2}, \epsilon(\epsilon$ being a primitive cubic root of unity), the above monomials are eigenvectors for respective eigenvalues $1,1, \epsilon^{2}, \epsilon$. Hence, either

1. $F=\sum y_{j}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$, or
2. $F=\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}$, or
3. $F=\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i-1}$.

Note that the third case is projectively equivalent to the second, via the projectivity $\iota_{2}$ which exchanges the coordinates $y_{2}, y_{3}$, but the isomorphism does not preserve the action of $G$.

However, see [LS02], we can use the involution $\iota$ coming from the extended Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{3} \rtimes\langle\iota\rangle \cong \mathcal{H}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2$ and such that $\iota\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{2}\right)$ : it is associated to an automorphism $\iota$ of $A^{\prime}$ which equals to multiplication by -1 for a suitable choice of the origin.

Now, the isomorphism $\iota \times \iota_{2}$ normalizes the action of the group $G$ (sending each element of $G$ to its inverse), and leaves the equation $\sum_{i} x_{i} y_{i}=0$ invariant.

Hence, in the sequel we restrict ourselves to consider only the first and second case. In the first case $C$ is a curve of the Hesse pencil of cubics, hence it is either smooth or the product of three linear forms, while in the second case $C$ is smooth, since the three polynomials

$$
y_{1}^{2}+2 y_{2} y_{3}, \quad y_{2}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{3}, \quad y_{3}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{2}
$$

cannot vanish simultaneously (one observes that $y_{j} \neq 0$ for all $j$, then $y_{1}=1$ implies $\left|y_{2}\right|=\left|y_{3}\right|=2$, hence $1=8$, a contradiction).

### 2.9.1.a A Family of Surfaces with $p_{g}=q=3, K^{2}=6, d=3$

We have to show the smoothness of $S^{\prime}$ in the first case, that is when $C$ is given by

$$
F=\sum_{j} y_{j}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=0
$$

Here, we have a linear system on $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\wedge}\right)$, which has as base-point set the intersection of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathcal{B} \times A^{\prime}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is the base-point set of the Hesse pencil, consisting of the 9 -point orbit via the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ of the points $\left(0,1,-\epsilon^{j}\right)$, where $\epsilon$ is a primitive cubic root of 1 .

By the first Bertini's theorem (see $\overline{\mathrm{Ber} 82]}$, p. $26, \overline{\mathrm{Sev} 06]}$, p. 207) it suffices to show smoothness at these points, and by cyclic symmetry it suffices to look at the points $(0,1, \zeta):=\left(0,1,-\epsilon^{j}\right)$.

Notice that we have a smooth point of $S^{\prime}$ if the gradients of the two equations are not proportional in $(y, z)$. This certainly happens if $z$ is a smooth point of the curve $D_{y}:=\left\{z \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0\right\}$.

Now, for a general $A^{\prime}$, the curve $D_{y}$ is always irreducible, hence it has only a finite number of singular points. Making $y$ vary in the finite set of the 9 base points of the Hesse pencil, we get only a finite set of points in the plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ with coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$, image of $A^{\prime}$ under the finite morphism $\varphi_{D}$ of degree 6 associated to $H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$.

These points must then satisfy the equation $x_{2}+\zeta x_{3}=0$, coming from the equation $\sum_{j} x_{j} y_{j}=0$. Moreover, the condition that the gradients are proportional means that the rank of the following matrix

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda \zeta & 3 & 3 \zeta^{2} \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is at most 1. This leads to further equations

$$
3 x_{1}-\lambda \zeta x_{2}=x_{3}-\zeta^{2} x_{2}=-\lambda x_{3}+3 \zeta x_{1}=0
$$

We may set $x_{1}=1$, hence we get

$$
x_{2}=\frac{3}{\lambda \zeta}, \quad x_{3}=\frac{3 \zeta}{\lambda}
$$

Therefore, the point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right):=\left(\lambda \zeta^{2}, 3 \zeta,-3\right)$ is the only solution in the plane. Then $z$ must be a singular point for the curve $D_{(0,1, \zeta)}$, and belong to the preimage of $\left(\lambda \zeta^{2}, 3 \zeta,-3\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{2}$ via the degree 6 morphism

$$
\varphi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

associated to $H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$.
This cannot happen for a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ since then the divisor

$$
D^{\prime}:=\left\{x_{2}(z)+\zeta x_{3}(z)=0\right\}
$$

is smooth.

Therefore, we have shown that $S^{\prime}$ is smooth for a general $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$. Furthermore, the action of $G$ on a curve of the Hesse pencil is free, since $g_{1}$ has as fixed points only the three points $\left(1, \epsilon^{j}, \epsilon^{2 j}\right), j=0,1,2$, while $g_{2}$ has as fixed points only the coordinate points, and all these points do not belong to the general cubic $C$.

The conclusion is that $G$ acts by translation via the nine 3 -torsion points of $C$, hence $q(S)=3$.

Summarizing, we have the following.
Proposition 2.58. Let $A^{\prime}$ be an abelian surface with a divisor $D$ yielding a polarization of type $(1,3)$. Let $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$ be the Schrödinger representation of the order 27 Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{3}$ with a natural basis $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and denote by $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ its dual basis. Then the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}:=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0, \sum_{j} y_{j}^{3}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime} \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields, for a general $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$, a family of minimal surfaces $S:=S^{\prime} / \mathcal{K}(D)$ of general type with $p_{g}=q=3, K^{2}=6$ and having a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=3$, where $A:=\widehat{A}^{\prime}$ and $\alpha$ is induced by the projection $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ restricted to $S^{\prime}$.

### 2.9.1.b A New Component, consisting of Surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2, K_{S}^{2}=6$, $d=3$.

The main point to establish in the second case, that is when $C$ is the curve

$$
C:=\left\{F(y):=\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2},
$$

is that the surface $S^{\prime}$ is smooth (or has only Rational Double Points as singularities).
This is done in Theorem 0.2 of [CS22.
In this case the action is not free on $C$, since the coordinate points belong to $C$ and are fixed for $g_{2}$. Thus, the quotient surface $S=S^{\prime} / G$ has $q(S)=2$ and $\chi(S)=1$, as desired.

Let us therefore discuss the singularities of $S^{\prime}$, which has equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}:=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0, \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0\right\} . \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have already shown that $C$ is smooth.
We notice that a point $(y, z)$ is a singular point of $S^{\prime}$ if and only if $z$ is a singular point of the curve $D_{y}:=\left\{z \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0\right\}$ and the rows of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
y_{3}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{2} & y_{1}^{2}+2 y_{2} y_{3} & y_{2}^{2}+2 y_{1} y_{3}  \tag{2.83}\\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are proportional. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x:=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\nabla F(y), \quad y:=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right), \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we view $x$ as a point of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)^{\vee}=: \mathbb{P}^{\prime}$, while $y \in \mathbb{P}:=\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
Geometrically, this means that $x \in C^{\vee}$, and $x$ represents a tangent line to $C$ at $y$, hence $y$ represents a line $\Lambda_{y}$ tangent to $C^{\vee}$ at $x$.

Moreover, since $z$ is a singular point of $D_{y}$, which is the inverse image under

$$
\varphi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\prime}
$$

of the line $\Lambda_{y}$ corresponding to $y$, we require that the line $\Lambda_{y}$ is tangent at $x$ to the branch curve $\mathcal{B}$ of $\varphi_{D}$. Hence, that $\mathcal{B}$ and $C^{\vee}$ are tangent.

Therefore, we have reached the conclusion that $S^{\prime}$ is smooth if $\mathcal{B}$ and $C^{\vee}$ intersect transversally.

The following is the content of Theorem 0.2 of CS22]:
Theorem 2.59. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the branch curve of $\varphi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$, where $D$ is a polarization of type $(1,3)$ and the pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is general.

Then, if $C$ is the plane curve $C:=\left\{\sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0\right\} \subset\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)^{\vee}, \mathcal{B}$ intersects transversally the dual sextic curve $C^{\vee}$ and $C$ intersects transversally the discriminant curve $W$ of the linear system $|D|$.

Definition 2.60. We call $A C 3$ surface a minimal surface $S$ of general type with $p_{g}=$ $q=2, K^{2}=6$ and degree of the Albanese map $d=3$, which is the étale quotient $S=S^{\prime} / G$ of a surface

$$
S^{\prime}:=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0, \quad \sum_{i} y_{i}^{2} y_{i+1}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times A^{\prime}
$$

where

- $A^{\prime}$ is a polarized abelian surface with a polarization $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ of type $(1,3)$ and the pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$ is general,
- $G:=\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3)^{2}$,
- $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a natural basis of $V:=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right)$,
- $y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{2}=\mathbb{P}(V)$ (dual basis of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$ ).

Summarizing, in the light of Theorem 0.2 of CS22 we get the following.
Theorem 2.61. The three dimensional family of AC3 surfaces yields a new irreducible component of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$, $K^{2}=6$ and Albanese map of degree $d=3$.

Remark 2.62. For the reader's convenience, we point out here that this is the first known irreducible component with these invariants.

Furthermore, we can prove right away the following.
Theorem 2.63. The irreducible component corresponding to AC3 surfaces is unirational.

Proof. The argument is analogous to the one given in the proofs of Theorem 2.34 and Theorem 2.49

Denoting by $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,3)}$ the moduli space of ( 1,3 )-polarized abelian surfaces, it is clear from the construction of the component $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{AC} 3}$ of AC 3 surfaces that there is a dominant rational map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,3)} \ldots \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{AC} 3} . \tag{2.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{(1,3)}$ is known to be unirational (see Gri94), we get right away our conclusion that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{AC} 3}$ is unirational.

### 2.9.2 The Case $d=\delta=3$ with Nontrivial Homogeneous Bundle

Given an abelian surface $A^{\prime}$ with an ample divisor $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)=(1,3)$ (hence, with Pfaffian $\delta=3$ ), the next option to get a sequence like 2.79) is to take $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M)$, a nontrivial line bundle in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Observe that the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$ comes from a section

$$
\xi \in H^{0}\left(\mathcal{L}(-M) \otimes V^{\vee}\right)
$$

and there is a point $z \in A^{\prime}$ such that, if $t_{z}$ denotes the translation by $z$,

$$
\xi \in t_{z}^{*} H^{0}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes V^{\vee}
$$

Then from the exact sequence 2.79 , which in this case reads as

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

we get the following Eagon-Northcott exact sequence for $\mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D+M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(3 D) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

By tensoring with $\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M-3 D)$ we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D+2 M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \tag{++}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M) \in \operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ is nontrivial, we know that $H^{i}(M)=0$ for all $i$, and therefore

$$
\text { (i) } \quad H^{0}\left(\mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M)\right)=0
$$

Furthermore, since $D$ is ample, we have by Kodaira vanishing theorem that

$$
\text { (ii) } \quad H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(-D+2 M)\right)=0
$$

Finally, relations (i) and (ii) together with the long exact cohomology sequence associated with +++ imply that

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{S y m}^{3}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right) \otimes \operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)=0
$$

The conclusion is then the following.

Theorem 2.64. The case $d=\delta=3, p_{g}=q=2$ occurs under the Generality Assumption 2.17 exactly for the family of AC3 surfaces.

That is, all the minimal surfaces $S$ of general type with $p_{g}=q=2, K^{2}=6$, with Albanese map of degree 3 and satisfying the Generality Assumption with Pfaffian $\delta=3$ belong to the family described in Subsection 2.9.1.b, whose existence is proved in [CS22]. This family yields an irreducible component of the moduli space which is in particular unirational.

Moreover, the only other minimal surfaces $S$ of general type with $p_{g}=q, K^{2}=6$, with $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ a surjective morphism of degree $d=3$ onto an abelian surface $A$ and satisfying the Generality Assumption with Pfaffian $\delta=3$ are the surfaces with $p_{g}=q=3$ described in Subsection 2.9.1.a.

### 2.10 The Case $d=4$ under the Generality Assumption: an Example with $d=\delta=4$ and Nonzero Homogeneous Bundle $\mathfrak{H}$.

Here we want to construct surfaces $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 and having a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=4$.

Hence, given such a surface $S$ and setting $A^{\prime}:=\widehat{A}$, there is a polarization $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ of type ( $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ ) and hence with Pfaffian $\delta:=\delta_{1} \delta_{2}$. Considering the associated isogeny $\Phi_{D}: A^{\prime} \rightarrow A$ with kernel $G:=\mathcal{K}(D)$, since here $d=4$ we have that the dual $\mathcal{E}$ of the Tschirnhaus bundle of $\alpha$ and its pull-back $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\left(\Phi_{D}\right)^{*} \mathcal{E}$ have rank

$$
\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{E})=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=3,
$$

and moreover there is a $\mathcal{H}_{D}$-equivariant exact sequence like $\left.\Delta\right\rangle$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee}$ has rank $\delta, \mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ has rank $\delta-3$, and by definition it is a successive extension of line bundles in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Since for such a suface $S$ the Gorenstein Assumption 2.6 holds, it follows that $S^{\prime}:=$ $S \times{ }_{A} A^{\prime}$ is a subscheme of the $\mathbb{P}^{2}$-bundle

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime V}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{\delta-1} \times A^{\prime}
$$

given by Casnati-Ekedahl CE96 by an embedding

$$
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a rank 2 locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module with $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$.
Considering sequence 2.86, we must have either

1. $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta D$, or
2. $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta D-M$, for $M$ a nontrivial line bundle in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

Again by CE96 we have the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=K_{S^{\prime}}-2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+4 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\delta^{2} K_{S}^{2}-2 c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+4 c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\delta^{2}\left(K_{S}^{2}-4\right), \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality follows from

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta D, \quad c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2} D^{2}=\delta^{2}(\delta-1),
$$

see Remark 2.19
The first admissible value for $\delta$ is $\delta=3$, which indeed corresponds to the family of PP4 surfaces, already described in detail in Section 2.7 and Section 2.8.

Hence, let us proceed to the next case, that is $\delta=4$.

### 2.10.1 The Case $d=\delta=4$ : a Potential Example

Let $A^{\prime}$ be an abelian surface with an ample divisor $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)$ yielding a polarization of type $\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ with Pfaffian $\delta=4$.

Here, since $\delta=4$, we want to get a Heisenberg-equivariant exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}$ is a line bundle in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.
There are two cases:

1. $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$,
2. $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(M)$, with $M$ nontrivial in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$.

In the first case, one has to choose a rank 2 locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ with an embedding

$$
\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and such that

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=\delta D=4 D
$$

A natural choice is to take $\mathcal{F}:=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)$ with the inclusion $\mathcal{F} \subset S^{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)$ being induced by a two dimensional subspace of $S^{2}\left(V^{\vee}\right)$.

Then, setting $G:=\mathcal{K}(D)$, suppose that we have already constructed a $G$-invariant and smooth $S^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \times A^{\prime}$ and define $S:=S^{\prime} / G$. Hence, we have

$$
K_{S}^{2}=6
$$

by formula 2.87) since $c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=4 D^{2}=8 \delta=32$, and moreover

$$
\chi(S)=\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right) / \delta^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-c_{2}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)\right) / \delta^{2}=1
$$

see [CE96], Proposition $5.3 i$ ).
We show now that this case should occur for a polarization $D$ of type (1,4), but without yielding $p_{g}=q=2$.

The Construction of the Potential Example Consider the Heisenberg-equivariant sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes V^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the rank 2 locally free $\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}$-module

$$
\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(2 D)
$$

We define $S^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}^{3} \times A^{\prime}$ as the complete intersection of three divisors of respective classes $2 H, 2 H, H+D$, where $H$ denotes here the hyperplane section in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (as usual, we use the same notation for a divisor and its pull-back).

More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}:=\left\{(y, z) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \mid Q_{1}(y)=Q_{2}(y)=\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \times A^{\prime} \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}$ is a canonical basis of $V=H^{0}\left(A^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{A^{\prime}}(D)\right), y_{1}, \ldots, y_{4}$ denote the dual basis of $V^{\vee}$ and the subspace generated by the quadrics $Q_{1}(y), Q_{2}(y)$ is Heisenberg invariant.

Hence, $S^{\prime} \subset C \times A^{\prime}$, where $C:=Q_{1} \cap Q_{2}$ is a normal elliptic quartic which is Heisenberg invariant.

We see immediately that the polarization $D$ is of type $(1,4)$ since for type $(2,2)$ we would have $G \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{4}$ acting faithfully on $\mathbb{P}^{3}=\mathbb{P}(V)$, while there is no faithful action of $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{4}$ on an elliptic curve $C$.

By classical formulae, see [Hul86], page 28, we have that by Heisenberg invariance the two quadratic equations are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}(y):=y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{2} y_{4}=0,  \tag{2.91}\\
& Q_{2}(y):=y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{1} y_{3}=0, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm i .
\end{align*}
$$

The group $G \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{2}$ acts by translations on the normal elliptic curve $C$ of degree 4 , namely

$$
C=\left\{y \in \mathbb{P}^{3}=\mathbb{P}(V) \mid Q_{1}(y)=Q_{2}(y)=0\right\},
$$

hence the quotient surface $S=S^{\prime} / G$ has $q(S)=3$.
Thus, since $\chi(S)=1$ and $K_{S}^{2}=6$, equations 2.90 together with 2.91) should yield a family of minimal surfaces of general type $S$ with $p_{g}=q=3, K^{2}=6$ and having a surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ of degree $d=4$ onto an abelian surface $A$.

The Smoothness of $S^{\prime}$ : a Sketch of the Proof There remains to show that, for a general choice of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right), S^{\prime}$ is smooth. Let's now sketch how to prove the smoothness of $S^{\prime}$.

To this purpose we apply the Theorem of Bertini-Sard, and we need only to show that the singular locus of
$\mathcal{S}:=\left\{(y, z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times A^{\prime} \times \mathbb{C} \mid y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{2} y_{4}=y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{1} y_{3}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0\right\}$
does not map surjectively onto the complex line $\mathbb{C}$ with coordinate $\lambda$.
We define $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{C}$ as the family of normal elliptic quartics given by the zero set

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{1}(y, \lambda):=y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{2} y_{4}=0  \tag{2.92}\\
Q_{2}(y, \lambda):=y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}+2 \lambda y_{1} y_{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 2.65. $\mathcal{C}$ is birational to a smooth quartic surface $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$, defined by the following equation

$$
\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) y_{1} y_{3}=\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}\right) y_{2} y_{4},
$$

since

$$
Q_{1}(y, \lambda)=Q_{2}(y, \lambda)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad-2 \lambda=\frac{y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}}{y_{2} y_{4}}=\frac{y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}}{y_{1} y_{3}}
$$

Hence, $\mathcal{S}$ is a hypersurface in the 4 -fold $\mathcal{C} \times A^{\prime}$.

We observe that

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{S})=\left\{(y, \lambda, z) \in \mathcal{C} \times A^{\prime} \mid z \in \operatorname{Sing}\left(D_{y}\right) \text { and } \operatorname{rank} M=2\right\}
$$

where $D_{y}:=\left\{z \in A^{\prime} \mid \sum_{j} y_{j} x_{j}(z)=0\right\}$ and

$$
M:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 y_{1} & 2 \lambda y_{4} & 2 y_{3} & 2 \lambda y_{2} & 2 y_{2} y_{4} \\
2 \lambda y_{3} & 2 y_{2} & 2 \lambda y_{1} & 2 y_{4} & 2 y_{1} y_{3} \\
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{4} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since for $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm i$ the curve $\left\{Q_{1}(y, \lambda)=Q_{2}(y, \lambda)=0\right\}$ is smooth, the first two rows $M_{1}, M_{2}$ of $M$ are linearly independent and then, on an open set of $\mathcal{C}$, it must hold

$$
x=y_{1} y_{3} \cdot M_{1}-y_{2} y_{4} \cdot M_{2}
$$

Hence, writing $x$ as a column, we have

$$
x=\left(\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}^{2} y_{3}-\lambda y_{2} y_{3} y_{4} \\
-y_{2}^{2} y_{4}+\lambda y_{1} y_{3} y_{4} \\
y_{1} y_{3}^{2}-\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{4} \\
-y_{2} y_{4}^{2}+\lambda y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}
\end{array}\right)=: \beta(y, \lambda)
$$

That is, we have a rational map

$$
\beta: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}
$$

such that

$$
x=\beta(y, \lambda)=\beta_{0}(y)+\lambda \beta_{1}(y)
$$

where clearly

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{0}(y):={ }^{t}\left(y_{1}^{2} y_{3},-y_{2}^{2} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{3}^{2},-y_{2} y_{4}^{2}\right) \\
\beta_{1}(y):={ }^{t}\left(-y_{2} y_{3} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{3} y_{4},-y_{1} y_{2} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Recalling that

$$
-2 \lambda=\frac{y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}}{y_{2} y_{4}}=\frac{y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}}{y_{1} y_{3}}
$$

we can write

$$
x=2 \beta_{0}(y)-\frac{y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}}{y_{2} y_{4}} \beta_{1}(y)=2 y_{2} y_{4} \beta_{0}(y)-\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) \beta_{1}(y)
$$

and this shows that the rational map $\beta$ is given by homogeneous polynomials of degree 5.

Recall also that, for a general pair $\left(A^{\prime}, D\right)$, the image $\Sigma$ of the map associated to the linear system $|D|$, namely

$$
x: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}, \quad z \mapsto\left(x_{1}(z), x_{2}(z), x_{3}(z), x_{4}(z)\right)
$$

is an octic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ whose equation depends on some $c=\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$ (see [BLvS89]).

Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be the discriminant of the linear system $|D|$, namely

$$
\Delta:=\left\{y \mid D_{y} \text { is singular }\right\} .
$$

Hence, we define the following divisors in $\mathcal{C}$

$$
N_{1}:=\beta^{-1}(\Sigma), \quad N_{2}:=\mathcal{C} \cap \Delta
$$

( $N_{2}$ is the birational inverse image of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime} \cap \Delta$ ).
Moreover, we have the equation (asserting that $x=\beta(y, \lambda)$ belongs to the plane $y^{\perp}$ )

$$
y \cdot \beta(y, \lambda)=0
$$

defining

$$
N_{3}:=\{(y, \lambda) \in \mathcal{C} \mid y \cdot \beta(y, \lambda)=0\} \subset \mathcal{C} .
$$

Remark 2.66. A straightforward computation shows that actually $N_{3}=\mathcal{C}$. In fact: $y \cdot \beta(y, \lambda)=0 \Longleftrightarrow y_{1}^{3} y_{3}-y_{2}^{3} y_{4}+y_{1} y_{3}^{3}-y_{2} y_{4}^{3}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{3}^{2}\right) y_{1} y_{3}=\left(y_{2}^{2}+y_{4}^{2}\right) y_{2} y_{4}$.

Therefore, $\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{S})$ does not map onto $\mathbb{C}$ if

$$
\left|N_{1} \cap N_{2}\right|<\infty
$$

Remark 2.67. (a) Certainly, $N_{2}$ is a curve, since the surfaces $\Sigma$ vary, hence their discriminants, and $\mathcal{C}, \Sigma$ are irreducible. By a similar argument also $N_{1}$ is a curve in $\mathcal{C}$ ( $\Sigma$ moves).
(b) We should also impose the condition that $x^{\perp}$ is tangent to $\Delta$ at $y$.

Since $\Delta$ is the dual surface to $\Sigma$, this condition means that $y^{\perp}$ is tangent to $\Sigma$ at $x$.
It suffices in any case to show that $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$ is a finite set for a general $A^{\prime}$.
Let $F(c, x)=0$, for $c \in \mathbb{P}^{3}, x \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$, be the equation of the family of octics $\Sigma_{c}$, given in BLvS89; let $p(y)=0, y \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$, be the equation for the surface $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$.

Since $\Delta$ is the dual surface of $\Sigma$, we denote by $\nabla F$ the gradient with respect to the variables $x$, and we set

$$
y=\nabla F(c, x) .
$$

Consider then the three equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(c, x)=0 \\
& p^{\prime}:=p(\nabla F(c, x))=0 \\
& F^{\prime}:=F(c, \beta(\nabla F(c, x))=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p^{\prime}:=p(\nabla F(c, x))=0, \quad(\star \star \star)
$$

which, for a general $c \in \mathbb{P}^{3}$, describe the set $N_{1} \cap N_{2}$.
Since our aim is to show that, for a general $c$, we have a finite number of solutions, we view the equations $\triangle \star \star \star$ as equations on $\mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3}$, describing

$$
W:=\left\{(c, x) \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \times \mathbb{P}^{3} \mid F(c, x)=p^{\prime}(c, x)=F^{\prime}(c, x)=0\right\}
$$

Hence, our claim is equivalent to showing that the components of $W$ which dominate the $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with coordinates $c$ have dimension 3 .

We therefore need to calculate the Jacobian matrix of the vector valued function ( $F, p^{\prime}, F^{\prime}$ ), and show that this matrix has generically rank equal to three.

This should be done by a computer algebra program. I have been developing a script which is still incomplete at the time I am writing.

### 2.11 The Degree of the Albanese Map of the UnMix Components of Pen11

In $\overline{\text { Pen13] }}$ the author points outs out that the three families of surfaces found in [Pen11] and listed in Table 1 ibidem as "UnMix" (see also Table A, items n. 15, 16, 17) have Albanese map of degree $d \leq 6$. They yield three irreducible connected components of the moduli space and consist of surfaces isogenous to a product of curves with Albanese surface isogenous to a product of elliptic curves. In particular, these components are not of the Main Stream.

In this section we compute the degree $d$ for each of these families, showing that $d=4,6,4$ (using the order of Table 1 in |Pen11]).

Recall that a surface $S$ is said to be isogenous to a product of curves if

$$
S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G
$$

where $C_{i}, i=1,2$, is a smooth projective curve of genus $g_{i}:=g\left(C_{i}\right) \geq 1$ and $G$ a finite group acting freely on the product $C_{1} \times C_{2}$. Surfaces isogenous to a product were introduced by Catanese in Cat00 and they are of general type if and only if $g_{i} \geq 2$, $i=1,2$.

Remark 2.68. A surface $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ isogenous to a product of curves is always minimal since it does not contain any smooth rational curve. Indeed, assuming by contradiction the converse would imply that the product $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ contains a smooth rational curve and this is not possible since $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are irrational by definition.

There are two possibilities for the action of $G$ :

1. there exists an automorphism of $G$ exchanging the two factors (in this case it must be $C \cong C_{1} \cong C_{2}$ ) and $S$ is said to be of mixed type;
2. $G$ acts faithfully on both curves $C_{i}$ and diagonally on their product $C_{1} \times C_{2}$, i.e., it acts as $\Delta_{G} \subset G \times G$; in this case $S$ is said to be of unmixed type.

The families of surfaces we shall treat in this section are of unmixed type, and hence we tacitly assume that case 2 . holds.

Moreover, we denote by $\Sigma_{i}$ and $\Sigma$ the subsets of $G$ consisting of those transformations (different from the identity) having some fixed points on $C_{i}$, respectively on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$. Note that by definition $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}$, and moreover, since $G \cong \Delta_{G} \subset G \times G$ acts freely on the product $C_{1} \times C_{2}$, it must hold

$$
\Sigma=\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset .
$$

Proposition 2.69. Let $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ be a surface isogenous to a product of unmixed type. Then it holds

$$
q(S)=g\left(C_{1} / G\right)+g\left(C_{2} / G\right)
$$

Proof. Setting $p_{i}: C_{1} \times C_{2} \rightarrow C_{i}$ for the natural projection to $C_{i}$, we recall the following fact (Bea96, Fact III. 22 ]

$$
\Omega_{C_{1} \times C_{2}}^{1} \cong p_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{1}}^{1}\right) \oplus p_{2}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{2}}^{1}\right),
$$

and then observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(\Omega_{C_{1} \times C_{2}}^{1}\right) & \cong H^{0}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{1}}^{1}\right) \oplus p_{2}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{2}}^{1}\right)\right) \cong H^{0}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{1}}^{1}\right)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(p_{2}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{2}}^{1}\right)\right) \\
& \cong H^{0}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{1}}^{1}\right) \otimes p_{2}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{2}}\right)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(p_{1}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{1}}\right) \otimes p_{2}^{*}\left(\Omega_{C_{2}}^{1}\right)\right) \\
& \cong\left(H^{0}\left(C_{1}, \Omega_{C_{1}}^{1}\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{2}}\right)\right) \oplus\left(H^{0}\left(C_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{1}}\right) \otimes H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \Omega_{C_{2}}^{1}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last isomorphism follows by Künneth formula.
Hence, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(S) & =h^{0}\left(S, \Omega_{S}^{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}, \Omega_{C_{1} \times C_{2}}^{1}\right)^{G} \\
& =\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(C_{1}, \Omega_{C_{1}}^{1}\right)^{G}+\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(C_{2}, \Omega_{C_{2}}^{1}\right)^{G}=g\left(C_{1} / G\right)+g\left(C_{2} / G\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second and the last equalities follow from Bea96, Lemma VI.11].

Define $\Gamma$ as the subgroup of $G \times G$ normally generated by the set $\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\Sigma_{1} \times\{1\}\right) \cup$ $\left(\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma:=\left\langle\left\langle\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\Sigma_{1} \times\{1\}\right) \cup\left(\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle \unlhd G \times G \tag{2.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and assume moreover that $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ is of maximal Albanese dimension.
Then it follows from Proposition 2.69 that

$$
q(S)=2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad g\left(C_{1} / G\right)=g\left(C_{2} / G\right)=1
$$

We can now prove the following proposition, which is inspired by the method used in Pig20.

Proposition 2.70. Let $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / G$ be a surface of general type isogenous to a product of unmixed type. Assume moreover that $q(S)=2$ and that the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ is surjective. Then the Albanese surface is

$$
A=\operatorname{Alb}(S)=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \Gamma
$$

and moreover,

$$
d:=\operatorname{deg} \alpha=|\Gamma| /|G| .
$$

Proof. Let us consider the following commutative diagram

where $E_{i}:=C_{i} / G$ is an elliptic curve by Proposition 2.69

Note that all maps are finite and the existence of the map $\pi: A \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2}$ is guaranteed by the universal property of the Albanese variety.

Still, $\pi: A \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2}$ is a morphism between abelian surfaces, hence an isogeny with kernel $H:=\operatorname{ker}(\pi)$.

The map $C_{1} \times C_{2} \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2}$ is also Galois with Galois group $G \times G$, whence the morphism

$$
g:=\alpha \circ f: \quad C_{1} \times C_{2} \rightarrow A
$$

is Galois with Galois group $K$ such that $(G \times G) / K=H$ (see for instance |Pig20, Lemma 4.1]). In other words,

$$
A=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / K, \quad \Delta_{G} \leq K \unlhd G \times G .
$$

Moreover, $A=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / K \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2}$ is étale, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{K}(p)=\operatorname{Stab}_{G \times G}(p) \quad \text { for all } \quad p \in C_{1} \times C_{2} .
$$

Therefore, since a finite étale cover of an abelian surface is an abelian surface, it follows from the universal property of the Albanese variety that $K$ is the smallest normal subgroup $K \unlhd G \times G$ such that
i. $\Delta_{G} \leq K$,
ii. $\operatorname{Stab}_{K}(p)=\operatorname{Stab}_{G \times G}(p)$ for all $p \in C_{1} \times C_{2}$.

Since $K$ fulfilling condition $i i$. amounts to requiring that

$$
K \supset \Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2},
$$

it turns out that $K$ is the subgroup of $G \times G$ normally generated by $\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\Sigma_{1} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)$, or equivalently by $\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\Sigma_{1} \times\{1\}\right) \cup\left(\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)$.

Thus, $K=\Gamma$ and we are done.

In light of the previous proposition, the Galois closure of the Albanese map

$$
\alpha: S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \Delta_{G} \rightarrow A=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \Gamma
$$

is given by the normal core of $\Delta_{G}$ in $\Gamma$, i.e., the biggest subgroup $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}\left(\Delta_{G}\right)$ contained in $\Delta_{G}$ which is normal in $\Gamma$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}\left(\Delta_{G}\right):=\bigcap_{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in \Gamma}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \Delta_{G}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.11.1 The Case $G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$

In this case (see item n. 15 of Table A in Appendix A) we have the following data (cf. Pen10, p. 79]):

- $g_{1}=g\left(C_{1}\right)=3, g_{2}=g\left(C_{2}\right)=3$,
- $G \cong\left\langle\sigma_{1}\right\rangle \oplus\left\langle\sigma_{2}\right\rangle=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}, \mathrm{id}\right\} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$.

The action is given as follows: on $C_{i}$ the automorphism $\sigma_{i}$ fixes 4 points, while $\sigma_{i+1}$, $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$ act freely (here the subscript has to be understood modulo 2). In particular, $G$ acts on $C_{i}$ yielding two branch points of multiplicity 2 .

In other words, we have that

$$
\Sigma_{i}=\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}, \quad \Sigma=\emptyset,
$$

and hence the action of $G \cong \Delta_{G} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ is free.
Setting

$$
E_{i}:=C_{i} / G, \quad E_{i}^{\prime}:=C_{i} /\left\langle\sigma_{i}\right\rangle, \quad D_{i}^{\prime}:=C_{i} /\left\langle\sigma_{i+1}\right\rangle, \quad D_{i}^{\prime \prime}:=C_{i} /\left\langle\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}\right\rangle,
$$

we have a diagram as follows

where $E_{i}, E_{i}^{\prime}$ are elliptic curves and $D_{i}^{\prime}, D_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ have genus 2.
Thus, we have in this case

$$
\Gamma \supset\left\{\left(\sigma_{1}, \mathrm{id}\right),\left(\mathrm{id}, \sigma_{2}\right)\right\} \cup \Delta_{G} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \Gamma=G \times G
$$

In light of Proposition 2.70, this implies in particular that

$$
d=\left|(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}\right| /\left|(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}\right|=4
$$

Finally, note that, since

$$
G \text { is abelian } \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Delta_{G} \unlhd G \times G
$$

the Galois closure of the Albanese map is $\alpha$ itself, with Galois group $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$.
In conclusion, we summarize here what we have shown in this subsection.
Proposition 2.71. The irreducible and connected component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and $K^{2}=8$ corresponding to item $n$. 15 of Table $A$ in Appendix A has degree of the Albanese map $d=4$.

Moreover, for each surface $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) /(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$ in this component, the Albanese map

$$
\alpha: S \rightarrow A=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) /\left((\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} \times(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}\right)=E_{1} \times E_{2}
$$

is Galois with Galois group $(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}$.

### 2.11.2 The Case $G=\mathfrak{S}_{3}$

In this case (see item n. 16 of Table A in Appendix A) we have the following data (cf. [Pen10, p. 79])

- $g_{1}=g\left(C_{1}\right)=3, g_{2}=g\left(C_{2}\right)=4$,
- $G \cong \mathfrak{S}_{3}=\left\langle x, y \mid x^{2}=y^{3}=(x y)^{2}=1\right\rangle$.
$G \cong \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ acts as follows:
- on $C_{1}$ yielding one branch point of multiplicity 3 and

$$
\Sigma_{1}=\left\{y, y^{2}\right\} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z})^{*},
$$

- on $C_{2}$ yielding two branch points of multiplicity 2 and

$$
\Sigma_{2}=\left\{x, x y, x y^{2}\right\} .
$$

Since $\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset$, the action of $G \cong \Delta_{G} \cong \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ is free.
Moreover, we have the following diagrams



Since $\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}$ generates $\{1\} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}$, we have that

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle\left\langle\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\Sigma_{1} \times\{1\}\right) \cup\left(\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle=\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3},
$$

and then, by Proposition 2.70 ,

$$
d=\left|\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}\right| /\left|\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right|=6
$$

Furthermore, we observe that in this case the Albanese map

$$
\alpha: S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \Delta_{G} \rightarrow A=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) /(G \times G)=E_{1} \times E_{2}
$$

is not Galois since $G=\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ is not abelian (and hence, $\Delta_{G}$ is not normal in $G \times G$ ).
The Galois closure of the Albanese map $\alpha$ is then given by 2.95, namely

$$
\operatorname{Core}_{\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}}\left(\Delta_{\mathfrak{S}_{3}}\right):=\bigcap_{\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \Delta_{\mathfrak{S}_{3}}\left(g_{1}^{-1}, g_{2}^{-1}\right)=\Delta_{Z\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)}=\{(1,1)\},
$$

and hence corresponds to the the map

$$
C_{1} \times C_{2} \rightarrow\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) /\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)=E_{1} \times E_{2}
$$

with Galois group $G \times G=\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.
In conclusion, we summarize here what we have shown in this subsection.
Proposition 2.72. The irreducible and connected component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and $K^{2}=8$ corresponding to item $n$. 16 of Table $A$ in Appendix A has Albanese map of degree $d=6$.

Moreover, for each surface $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \mathfrak{S}_{3}$ in this component, the Albanese map

$$
\alpha: S \rightarrow A=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) /\left(\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}\right)=E_{1} \times E_{2}
$$

is not Galois and its Galois closure is the map

$$
C_{1} \times C_{2} \rightarrow E_{1} \times E_{2}
$$

with Galois group $\mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}$.

### 2.11.3 The Case $G=D_{4}$

In this case (see item n. 17 of Table A in Appendix A) we have the following data (cf. [Pen10, p. 79]):

- $g_{1}=g\left(C_{1}\right)=3, g_{2}=g\left(C_{2}\right)=5$,
- $G \cong D_{4}=\left\langle x, y, z \mid x^{2}=y^{2}=z^{2}=1, z=[x, y],[x, z]=[y, z]=1\right\rangle$.
$G \cong D_{4}$ acts as follows:
- on $C_{1}$ yielding one branch point of multiplicity 2 and

$$
\Sigma_{1}=\{z\} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})^{*},
$$

- on $C_{2}$ yielding two branch points of multiplicity 2 and

$$
\Sigma_{2}=\{y, y z\}
$$

Since $\Sigma_{1} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\emptyset$, the action of $G \cong \Delta_{G} \cong D_{4}$ on $C_{1} \times C_{2}$ is free. More precisely, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1} \longrightarrow E_{1}^{\prime}=C_{1} /\langle z\rangle \xrightarrow{\text { étale }} \underset{(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}}{\longrightarrow} E_{1} \\
& C_{2} \longrightarrow E_{2}^{\prime}=C_{2} /\langle y\rangle \frac{\text { étale }}{(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}} E_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E_{i}^{\prime}$ are elliptic curves and $z$ fixes 4 points on $C_{1}$, whereas $y$ fixes 8 points on $C_{2}$.
Here, the group $\Gamma$ defined in 2.93 ) is generated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{G} \cup\{(z, 1)\} \cup\{(1, y),(1, y z)\} \tag{2.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that, setting $H:=\langle y, z\rangle$, the subset $\{(1, y),(1, y z)\}$ generates the group

$$
(\{1\} \times H) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2} .
$$

Then we have that

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle\left\langle\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\Sigma_{1} \times\{1\}\right) \cup\left(\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle=\left\langle\left\langle\Delta_{G} \cup\left(\{1\} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle=\Delta_{G} \cdot(\{1\} \times H),
$$

where the last equality holds since $\{1\} \times H$ is normal in $D_{4} \times D_{4}$.
Therefore,

$$
|\Gamma|=\left|\Delta_{G} \cdot(\{1\} \times H)\right|=32,
$$

and by Proposition 2.70 we get

$$
d=|\Gamma| /\left|D_{4}\right|=32 / 8=4 .
$$

Still, since $\Delta_{G}$ is not normal in $\Gamma=\Delta_{G} \cdot(\{1\} \times H)$, the Albanese map $\alpha$ is not Galois and its Galois closure is then given by the normal core

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}\left(\Delta_{D_{4}}\right)=\Delta_{H} \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}, \tag{2.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

and it corresponds to the map

$$
\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \Delta_{H} \rightarrow A=\operatorname{Alb}(S)=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / \Gamma
$$

with Galois group $\Gamma / \Delta_{H}$.
Since

$$
\Gamma / \Delta_{H}=\{[(1,1)],[(1, z)],[(1, y)],[(1, y z)],[(x, x)],[(x, x y)],[(x, x z)],[(x, y x)]\}
$$

it is easy to see that $\Gamma / \Delta_{H}$ is a non-abelian group of order 8 with 2 elements of order 4, namely

$$
[(x, x y)], \quad[(x, y x)],
$$

and 5 elements of order 2 , namely

$$
[(1, z)],[(1, y)],[(1, y z)],[(x, x)],[(x, x z)],
$$

and hence

$$
\Gamma / \Delta_{H} \cong D_{4}
$$

In conclusion, we summarize here what we have shown in this subsection.

Proposition 2.73. The irreducible and connected component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and $K^{2}=8$ corresponding to item $n$. 17 of Table $A$ in Appendix A has Albanese map of degree $d=4$.

Moreover, for each surface $S=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) / D_{4}$ in this component, the Albanese surface $A=\operatorname{Alb}(S)$ is isogenous to the product

$$
E_{1} \times E_{2}=\left(C_{1} \times C_{2}\right) /\left(D_{4} \times D_{4}\right),
$$

and the Albanese map $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$, which is not Galois, has Galois closure with Galois group $D_{4}$.

### 2.12 What is left to do? Some Open Research Questions

As stated at the beginning of this chapter, our aim is to construct surfaces $S$ with AP (see Definition 2.1) fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17

By exploiting our construction method AC22 we analyzed cases where the surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ has degree $d=3,4$ and the Pfaffian $\delta$ of the polarization $D$ is $\delta=2,3,4$.

More precisely, here are our results:
(I) $d=3, \delta=2$ : CHPP surfaces;
(II) $d=\delta=3$ : AC3 surfaces and the family with $p_{g}=q=3$ described in Subsection 2.9.1.a
(III) $d=4, \delta=3: \mathrm{PP} 4$ surfaces;
(IV) $d=\delta=4$ : there is a potential example with $p_{g}=q=3$ (Section 2.10).

Note that the previous list suggests the following natural questions.
Question 2.74. (1) Are there surfaces $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 with $d=3$ and $\delta \geq 4$ ? If so, can we classify them?
(2) Is the potential example mentioned in (IV) indeed an example?
(3) Can we thoroughly understand the case $d=\delta=4$ ?
(4) Does the case $d=4, \delta \geq 5$ occur?

Still, we recall that our construction method is based on the theory by CasnatiEkedahl for covers of small degree $d=3,4$, and this is the reason why all our examples have such a degree. However, one might ask the following question.

Question 2.75. Are there surfaces $S$ with AP fulfilling the Generality Assumption 2.17 with $d \geq 5$ ?

Here the main drawback is that no structure theorem for covers of degree $d \geq 6$ is known. Anyhow, for $d=5$ there are some results contained in [Cas96 which might be helpful towards this direction.

Another interesting question arises from Section 2.2. Considering a surface $S$ with AP whose surjective morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow A$ has degree $d \geq 3$, there is always a rational map $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{\vee}\right)$ as in (2.12), where $X$ is the canonical model of $S$ and $\mathcal{E}$ is the dual of the Tschirnhaus bundle of

Question 2.76. When is $\psi$ a morphism? Is it so when its image $Z$ is normal?
Finally, we showed that the three components of CHPP, PP4 and AC3 surfaces are unirational (see Theorem 2.34, Theorem 2.49 and Theorem 2.63). The natural question is then the following.

Question 2.77. Are the moduli spaces of CHPP surfaces, PP4 surfaces and AC3 surfaces rational?

## Chapter 3

## Semi-projective Representations and Twisted Representation Groups

In Sch04], Schur developed the theory of projective representations, which are homomorphisms from a group $G$ to the group of projective transformations PGL $(V)$. Here, $G$ is a finite group, $K$ a field and $V$ a non-trivial finite dimensional $K$-vector space. It is clear that every ordinary representation induces a projective representation. However, the converse is in general not true, more precisely the obstructions to lift are the elements of the second cohomology group $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$, where $K^{*}$ is considered as a trivial $G$-module. In order to study projective representations via ordinary representations in the case $K=\mathbb{C}$, Schur showed the existence of a representation group $\Gamma$, which is a particular kind of central extension of $G$ having the property that all projective representations of $G$ lift to ordinary representations of $\Gamma$.

An example of such a group $\Gamma$ is provided by the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / r$, defined by a sequence as follows

$$
1 \rightarrow \mu_{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{r} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

see Chapter 1, Section 1.3. Indeed, this is a well-known fact.
Recently, the authors of [DG23] and [GK22]) have constructed certain quotients of complex tori by holomorphic actions of finite groups and investigate their homeomorphism and biholomorphism classes. Under mild assumptions on the fixed loci of the actions, Bieberbach's theorems about crystallographic groups (see Cha86, I]) imply that homeomorphisms and biholomorphisms of such quotients are induced by affine transformations. When determining the linear parts of these transformations, one come across an object similar to a projective representation, namely a homomorphism from a finite group to $\operatorname{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, they had to determine a particular kind of lift of this map to $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$.

This example served for the authors of [AGK23] as a motivation to extend Schur's theory to semi-projective representations, i.e., homomorphisms from a finite group $G$ to the group of semi-projective transformations $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$. Here, $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ is defined as the quotient of the group of semi-linearities

$$
\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(V) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K)
$$

modulo the action of the multiplicative group $K^{*}$. A semi-projective representation yields an action $\varphi$ of $G$ on $K$ by automorphisms. In this way, $K^{*}$ becomes a $G$-module
and we can consider the second cohomology group $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ with respect to this action. In analogy to the projective case, this group plays an important role since it is the obstruction space of the lifting problem of semi-projective representations to semi-linear representations, i.e., homomorphisms from $G$ to $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$.

As a main result, in AGK23 it is showed that if $K$ is algebraically closed, then for any given action $\varphi$ of a finite group $G$, there exists a finite $\varphi$-twisted representation group $\Gamma$, which has the property that any semi-projective representation inducing the action $\varphi$ admits a semi-linear lift to $\Gamma$. Despite the fact that $\Gamma$ is in general not unique, it has minimal order among all groups enjoying the lifting property. This allows us to study semi-projective representations of $G$ via semi-linear representations of $\Gamma$.

It is also given a cohomological characterization of a group $\Gamma$ to be a $\varphi$-twisted representation group, which reduces to the classical description of a representation group in the case where the action $\varphi$ is trivial.

In general, it seems to be difficult to determine explicitly a $\varphi$-twisted representation group, even in the projective case, i.e., where $\varphi$ is trivial. Indeed, there is a vast amount of literature dedicated to this problem for specific classes of groups, e.g. Sch11, Kar85, Section 3.7] or the more recent article [HaSi21]. In AGK23] the authors approach this problem in the semi-projective case via an algorithm for the case $K=\mathbb{C}$ under the assumption that $\varphi$ takes values in $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$. This algorithm produces all $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of a given finite group $G$ and a given action $\varphi$.

Apart from the algebro-geometric application to torus quotients, there are other situations where semi-projective representations arise naturally, for example in Clifford theory: in [Isa81], Isaacs developed the concept of crossed-projective representations, which is analogous to our notion of semi-projective representations, in order to study the problem of extending $G$-invariant irreducible $L$-representations defined on a normal subgroup $N \unlhd G$ to the ambient group $G$ for arbitrary fields $L$. In the section dedicated to applications and examples, we briefly review Isaacs' work and rephrase it in our language.

### 3.1 General Setting

In this section, we introduce semi-linear and semi-projective representations. Throughout this chapter $V$ is a non-trivial finite-dimensional $K$-vector space and $G$ a finite group.
Definition 3.1. A bijective map $f: V \rightarrow V$ is called a semi-linear transformation if there exists an automorphism $\varphi_{f} \in \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ such that for all $v, w \in V$ and all $\lambda \in K$, it holds:

$$
f(v+w)=f(v)+f(w) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\lambda v)=\varphi_{f}(\lambda) f(v)
$$

The set of all semi-linear transformations of $V$ forms a group, which is denoted by $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$.

In the following remark we collect some basic properties describing the structure of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$.

Remark 3.2. (1) The group $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ contains $G L(V)$ as a normal subgroup and sits inside the following short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V) \longrightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K) \longrightarrow 1
$$

This sequence splits, i.e., $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(V) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K)$.
(2) Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be a basis of $V$. Then we can associate to every $f \in \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V)$ an invertible matrix $A_{f}:=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i j}$ by

$$
f\left(v_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} v_{i} .
$$

This procedure establishes an isomorphism between $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ and the semidirect product $\mathrm{GL}(n, K) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ with group structure

$$
(A, \varphi) \cdot(B, \psi):=(A \varphi(B), \varphi \circ \psi) .
$$

Here, $\varphi(B)$ is the matrix obtained by applying the automorphism $\varphi$ to all of the entries of $B$.

In analogy to the group of projective transformations $\operatorname{PGL}(V)$, the group of semiprojective transformations $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ is defined as the quotient of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ modulo the equivalence relation

$$
f \sim g \quad \text { if and only if there exists } \lambda \in K^{*} \text {, such that } f=\lambda g .
$$

By construction, we have a short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow K^{*} \longrightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V) \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

Remark 3.3. The structure of $\operatorname{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ is similar to the one of $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$, namely:
(1) The group $\operatorname{PGL}(V)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V)$, and there is a split exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K) \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

Note that the map $\operatorname{P\Gamma L}(V) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is well-defined because all representatives of a given class in $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ have the same automorphism.
(2) After choosing a projective frame, we can identify $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ with the semidirect product

$$
\operatorname{PGL}(n, K) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K) .
$$

(3) If $\operatorname{dim}(V) \geq 3$, then the fundamental theorem of projective geometry characterizes the semi-projective transformations as the bijective self maps of the projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ mapping collinear points to collinear points (see [Sam88, Theorem 7]).

We can now introduce our main objects:
Definition 3.4. Let $G$ be a finite group.
(1) A semi-linear representation is a homomorphism $F: G \rightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V)$.
(2) A semi-projective representation is a homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$.

Remark 3.5 (The lifting problem). Note that every semi-linear representation $F: G \rightarrow$ $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ induces a semi-projective representation $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ by composition with the quotient map:


However, it is not true that every semi-projective representations can be obtained in this way. The obstruction to the existence of a lift to $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$, or more generally, the interplay between semi-linear and semi-projective representations can be described by using group cohomology in analogy to the classical theory of projective representations.

### 3.2 Cohomological Description of Semi-projective Representations

Given a semi-linear or semi-projective representation of $G$, we obtain an action

$$
\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K), \quad g \mapsto \varphi_{g},
$$

by composition with the projection from $\Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ or $\mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ to $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$, respectively. Via this action, the abelian group $K^{*}$ obtains the structure of a $G$-module. In particular, we can define cocycles $Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$, coboundaries $B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ and the cohomology groups

$$
H^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)=Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right) / B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

For details on group cohomology, we refer the reader to the textbook [Bro94] (see also Section 1.5 for a brief overview). The basic observation is that we can associate to every semi-projective representation a well-defined class in the second cohomology group.

Proposition 3.6. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ be a semi-projective representation and $f_{g}$ be a representative of the class $f(g)$ for each $g \in G$. Then there exists a map

$$
\alpha: G \times G \rightarrow K^{*} \quad \text { such that } \quad f_{g h}=\alpha(g, h) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h}\right)
$$

for all $g, h \in G$. The map $\alpha$ is a 2 -cocycle, i.e.,

$$
\varphi_{g}(\alpha(h, k)) \cdot \alpha(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot \alpha(g, h k) \cdot \alpha(g, h)^{-1}=1
$$

The cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is independent of the chosen representatives $f_{g}$.
Proof. Since $f$ is a homomorphism, it holds $\left[f_{g h}\right]=\left[f_{g}\right] \circ\left[f_{h}\right]$, which implies that $f_{g h}$ and $f_{g} \circ f_{h}$ differ by an element $\alpha(g, h) \in K^{*}$. To show that $\alpha$ is a cocycle, we use the associativity of the multiplication in $G$ to compute $f_{g h k}$ in two different ways. On the one hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{g(h k)}=\alpha(g, h k) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h k}\right) & =\alpha(g, h k) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ \alpha(h, k) \cdot\left(f_{h} \circ f_{k}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha(g, h k) \cdot \varphi_{g}(\alpha(h, k)) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h} \circ f_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
f_{(g h) k}=\alpha(g h, k) \cdot\left(f_{g h} \circ f_{k}\right)=\alpha(g h, k) \cdot \alpha(g, h) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h} \circ f_{k}\right) .
$$

Comparing the two expressions yields

$$
\alpha(g, h k) \cdot \varphi_{g}(\alpha(h, k))=\alpha(g h, k) \cdot \alpha(g, h) .
$$

Let $f_{g}^{\prime}$ be another representative for $f(g)$, then there exists $\tau(g) \in K^{*}$ such that $f_{g}=$ $\tau(g) f_{g}^{\prime}$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}$ be the 2-cocycle associated to the collection of the $f_{g}^{\prime}$, i.e.,

$$
f_{g h}^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}(g, h) \cdot\left(f_{g}^{\prime} \circ f_{h}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { for all } \quad g, h \in G
$$

A computation as above shows that

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(g, h)=\varphi_{g}(\tau(h)) \cdot \tau(g h)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g) \cdot \alpha(g, h)
$$

Thus, $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ differ by the 2-coboundary $\partial \tau(g, h)=\varphi_{g}(\tau(h)) \cdot \tau(g h)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g)$.
Remark 3.7. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ be a semi-projective representation.
(1) If we choose $\mathrm{id}_{V}$ as a representative for $f(1)$, then the 2 -cocycle $\alpha$ is normalized, i.e.,

$$
\alpha(1, g)=\alpha(g, 1)=1
$$

(2) If $f$ is induced by a semi-linear representation $F$, then the attached cohomology class is trivial. Conversely, assume that $\alpha$ is a coboundary, that is

$$
\alpha(g, h)=\varphi_{g}(\tau(h)) \cdot \tau(g h)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g) \quad \text { for some } \quad \tau: G \rightarrow K^{*} .
$$

Then the map

$$
F: G \rightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{~L}(V), \quad g \mapsto F_{g}:=\tau(g) f_{g}
$$

is a semi-linear representation inducing $f$. Indeed, $F$ is a homomorphism, as the following computation shows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{g} \circ F_{h}=\left(\tau(g) \cdot f_{g}\right) \circ\left(\tau(h) \cdot f_{h}\right) & =\tau(g) \cdot \varphi_{g}(\tau(h)) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h}\right) \\
& =\tau(g h) \cdot \alpha(g, h) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h}\right) \\
& =\tau(g h) \cdot f_{g h}=F_{g h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the theory of projective representations, the action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is trivial and $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is called the Schur multiplier. In the semi-projective setting $\varphi$ is in general non-trivial. This motivates the next definition.

Definition 3.8. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be an action and consider the induced $G$-module structure on $K^{*}$. Then we call $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ the $\varphi$-twisted Schur multiplier of $G$.

Up to now, we assigned to every semi-projective representation an element in $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$. The next remark shows that all cohomology classes arise in this way.

Remark 3.9. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be an action of a finite group $G$ of order $n$ on the field $K$ and $\alpha \in Z^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ be a 2 -cocycle. In analogy to the regular representation, we consider the vector space $V$ with basis $\left\{e_{h} \mid h \in G\right\}$ and define for every $g \in G$ an element $R_{g} \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ via

$$
R_{g}\left(e_{h}\right):=\alpha(g, h)^{-1} e_{g h} .
$$

Then the map

$$
f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(V) \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(K), \quad g \mapsto\left(\left[R_{g}\right], \varphi_{g}\right),
$$

is a semi-projective representation with assigned cohomology class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$.

### 3.3 Schur's Lifting Problem

Remark 3.7 (2) and Remark 3.9 show that if $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \neq 0$, there are semi-projective representations without a semi-linear lift. In the projective case, this problem was first noticed and investigated by Schur [Sch04]. In order to study projective representations by means of ordinary linear representations, he constructed a so-called representation group $\Gamma$ of $G$ : in modern terminology, a stem extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1, \quad \text { with } \quad A \simeq H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

Such an extension has the property that for every projective representation $f: G \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{PGL}(V)$ there exists an ordinary linear representation $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ fitting inside the following diagram


Recall that stem means that $A$ is central and contained in the commutator group $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$.
If we want to generalize Schur's construction to the semi-projective case, we have to deal with more general finite extensions. Let us recall some facts about group extensions.

Remark 3.10. Let $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be an extension of $G$ by a finite abelian group $A$ and $s: G \rightarrow \Gamma$ a set-theoretic section.
(1) There is an action of $G$ on $A$ defined by $g * a:=s(g) \cdot a \cdot s(g)^{-1}$. Since $A$ is abelian, the action is independent of the choice of the section.
(2) In general, $s$ is not a homomorphism, but, as already recalled in Subsection 1.5.2, we may write

$$
s(g h)=\beta(g, h) s(g) s(h) \quad \text { for some } \quad \beta(g, h) \in A .
$$

In this way, we obtain a 2-cocycle $\beta: G \times G \rightarrow A$ whose cohomology class $[\beta] \in$ $H^{2}(G, A)$ uniquely determines the given extension, see MacLane95], Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1.
(3) Assume that we have an action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ on the field $K$. Then by composition with the projection $\Gamma \rightarrow G$, we also obtain an action of $\Gamma$ on $K$ with kernel containing $A$. In this situation, the inflation-restriction exact sequence of Hochschild and Serre HoSe53, Theorem 2, p. 129] reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(G, K^{*}\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inf}} H^{1}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { tra }} H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inf}} \\
& \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inf}} H^{2}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, inf and res are induced by inflation and restriction of cocycles and the transgression map tra is defined as

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \quad \lambda \mapsto[\lambda \circ \beta] .
$$

Clearly, this map depends only on the cohomology class of $\beta$.
By using the terminology of the previous remark, we get a far-reaching generalization of Remark 3.7 (2); see $\overline{\text { Isa94, }}$, Theorem 11.13] for the corresponding statement in the projective setting.
Theorem 3.11. Let $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} G \rightarrow 1$ be an extension of $G$ by a finite abelian group A with associated cohomology class $[\beta] \in H^{2}(G, A)$. A semi-projective representation $f: G \rightarrow P \Gamma L(V)$ with class $[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is induced by a semi-linear representation

$$
F: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma L(V), \quad \gamma \mapsto F_{\gamma}
$$

if and only if $[\alpha]$ belongs to the image of the transgression map.
Proof. Assume that $f$ is induced by a semi-linear representation $F$. By assumption, there exists a function $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow K^{*}$ such that $F_{\gamma}=\lambda(\gamma) f_{\pi(\gamma)}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Since we assume that $f_{1}=\mathrm{id}$, it follows that

$$
F_{a}=\lambda(a) f_{\pi(a)}=\lambda(a) \text { id } \quad \text { for all } \quad a \in A
$$

As a result, $\lambda$ restricted to $A$ is a homomorphism. We claim that $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\lambda(g * a)=\varphi_{g}(\lambda(a))
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $a \in A$. Indeed, we get
$\varphi_{g}(\lambda(a)) \mathrm{id}=F_{s(g)} \circ(\lambda(a) \mathrm{id}) \circ F_{s(g)^{-1}}=F_{s(g)} \circ F_{a} \circ F_{s(g)^{-1}}=F_{s(g) a s(g)^{-1}}=\lambda(g * a) \mathrm{id}$.
By using the definition of $\beta$, we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{s(g h)}=F_{\beta(g, h) s(g) s(h)} & =F_{\beta(g, h)} \circ F_{s(g)} \circ F_{s(h)} \\
& =\lambda(\beta(g, h)) \cdot\left(\lambda(s(g)) f_{g}\right) \circ\left(\lambda(s(h)) f_{h}\right) \\
& =\lambda(\beta(g, h)) \cdot \lambda(s(g)) \cdot \varphi_{g}\left(\lambda(s(h)) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h}\right) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
F_{s(g h)}=\lambda(s(g h)) f_{g h}=\lambda(s(g h)) \cdot \alpha(g, h) \cdot\left(f_{g} \circ f_{h}\right) .
$$

Comparing the results, we obtain $\alpha(g, h)=\lambda(\beta(g, h)) \cdot \partial(\lambda \circ s)(g, h)$, which means that

$$
[\lambda \circ \beta]=[\alpha] \in H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right) .
$$

Conversely, assume there is a function $\tau: G \rightarrow K^{*}$ and $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\alpha(g, h)=\lambda(\beta(g, h)) \cdot \varphi_{g}(\tau(h)) \cdot \tau(g h)^{-1} \cdot \tau(g) .
$$

We define the following map

$$
F: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma L(V), \quad a \cdot s(g) \mapsto \lambda(a) \tau(g) f_{g} .
$$

As in Remark 3.7, one can show that $F$ is a homomorphism inducing $f$.
A natural question arises:
Question 3.12. Is it possible to find for every finite group $G$ together with a fixed action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ an extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { with } A \text { finite and abelian }
$$

such that every semi-projective representation $f: G \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ with action $\varphi$ is induced by a semi-linear representation $F: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \mathrm{L}(V)$ ?

By virtue of Remark 3.9 and Theorem 3.11, answering this question amounts to constructing an extension with surjective trangression map

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \quad \lambda \mapsto[\lambda \circ \beta] .
$$

Clearly, this may only be possible if the twisted Schur multiplier is finite. In case such an extension $\Gamma$ exists, its order is bounded from below:

$$
|G| \cdot\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right| \leq|G| \cdot\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right| \leq|G| \cdot|A|=|\Gamma| .
$$

Remark 3.13. Note that $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is in general not finite. As an example, consider $K=\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $G=\operatorname{Gal}(K / \mathbb{Q})$ acting naturally on $K$. Then the cohomology group

$$
H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Q}^{*} / N_{K / \mathbb{Q}}\left(K^{*}\right)
$$

is infinite. Indeed, an application of the sum of two squares theorem shows that all primes $p$ with $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ yield non-trivial distinct elements. Nevertheless, in many important situations $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is finite: e.g., if $K$ is a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ is acting naturally (cf. [Neu13, II, Lemma 5.1]), or, as we shall see in the next section, if $K$ is algebraically closed and $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is an arbitrary action.

### 3.4 Twisted Representation Groups: the Algebraically Closed Case

Throughout this section, $K$ is an algebraically closed field and $G$ a finite group together with a given action

$$
\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)
$$

We want to provide an answer to Question 3.12 under the above assumptions. Indeed, we will construct an extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { with } A \text { finite and abelian }
$$

such that the transgression map

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism and $\Gamma$ has minimal order, namely

$$
|\Gamma|=|G| \cdot\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|
$$

Remark 3.14. Note that, under our assumptions, we mainly deal with a case similar to $K=\mathbb{C}$, where $\varphi$ acts just by the identity and/or complex conjugation. Indeed, $H:=\varphi(G)$ is a finite group and $F:=K^{H} \subset K$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $H$. Since we assume $K$ to be algebraically closed, the Artin-Schreier Theorem AS27] implies that if $H$ is non-trivial, then it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 2, K=F(i)$ with $i^{2}=-1$ and $\operatorname{char}(K)=0$. In particular, if $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 0$, then the action is necessarily trivial and we are in the projective setting.

The first step towards our goal is to prove the finiteness of the twisted Schur multiplier, or more generally, of all higher cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$. In order to show this, we adapt the proof of the finiteness of the Schur multiplier given in Isa94.

Lemma 3.15 (|Isa94], Lemma 11.14). Let $A$ be an abelian group (not necessarily finite) and $Q \leq A$ with $Q$ divisible, i.e., for all positive integers $n$, the maps

$$
Q \rightarrow Q, \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha^{n}
$$

are surjective. Assume $|A: Q|<\infty$. Then $Q$ is complemented in $A$.
Lemma 3.16. Under our assumptions, the groups $B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ are divisible.
Proof. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $\beta \in B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ a coboundary. Then there is a function $\tau: G^{i-1} \rightarrow K^{*}$ such that $\beta=\partial \tau$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right):= \\
\varphi_{g_{1}}\left(\tau\left(g_{2}, \ldots, g_{i}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{j=2}^{i} \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{j-2}, g_{j-1} g_{j}, g_{j+1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right)^{(-1)^{j-1}}\right) \cdot \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}\right)^{(-1)^{i}} \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since we assume $K$ to be algebraically closed, for all $\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}\right) \in G^{i-1}$, there is an element $\nu\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}\right) \in K^{*}$ such that $\nu\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}\right)^{n}=\tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i-1}\right)$. As $\varphi_{g_{1}}$ is a field automorphism, it holds

$$
\beta\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right)=\partial \tau\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right)=\partial \nu^{n}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right)=\left(\partial \nu\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{i}\right)\right)^{n}
$$

Now, we are ready to prove the finiteness of the higher cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$.
Proposition 3.17. For each $i \geq 1$, the cohomology groups $H^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ are finite with exponent dividing the order of $G$. Moreover, $B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ has a complement in $Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$.

Proof. It is well known that $\alpha^{|G|} \in B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ for every cocycle $\alpha \in Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$, see Bro94, III, Corollary 10.2]. In other words, the exponent of $H^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ divides the order of $G$. Take a cocycle $\alpha \in Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ and consider the group $A:=\left\langle B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \alpha\right\rangle$. By construction, $A / B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)=\langle[\alpha]\rangle$, which implies that the order of the quotient divides the order of $G$. Since $B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is divisible, it is complemented in $A$ thanks to Lemma 3.15. Thus, there exists a subgroup $W \leq A$ such that

$$
W \cap B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)=\{1\} \quad \text { and } \quad W B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)=A
$$

Note that, for all $\gamma \in W$, it holds

$$
\gamma^{|G|} \in W \cap B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)=\{1\} .
$$

This shows that $W$ is contained in the group

$$
U:=\left\{\eta \in Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \mid \eta^{|G|}=1\right\} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\alpha \in A=W B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \leq U B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

Since $\alpha \in Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is arbitrary, the above relation implies

$$
Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)=U B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

The group $U$ is finite because it consists of functions $G^{i} \rightarrow K^{*}$ with image contained in the group of $|G|$-th roots of unity. It follows that

$$
\left|H^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|=\left|Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right) / B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right| \leq|U|<\infty,
$$

and Lemma 3.15 implies that $B^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ has a complement in $Z^{i}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$.
The main result of this section is the following.
Theorem 3.18. Let $G$ be a finite group and $K$ an algebraically closed field. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be a fixed action. Then there exists an extension of $G$

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

with $A$ finite and abelian such that the transgression map

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \quad \lambda \mapsto[\lambda \circ \beta]
$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Take a complement $M$ of $B^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ in $Z^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$. Such a group $M$ exists and is finite thanks to Proposition 3.17. Consider $A:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(M, K^{*}\right)$ and define via $\varphi$ an action on it:

$$
(g * a)(m):=\varphi_{g}(a(m))
$$

We define a map $\beta: G \times G \rightarrow A$ by

$$
\beta(g, h)(m):=m(g, h) \quad \text { for } \quad m \in M
$$

A straightforward computation shows that $\beta$ is a 2-cocycle:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \beta(g, h, k)(m) & =\left(g * \beta(h, k) \cdot \beta(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot \beta(g, h k) \cdot \beta(g, h)^{-1}\right)(m) \\
& =\varphi_{g}(\beta(h, k)(m)) \cdot \beta(g h, k)^{-1}(m) \cdot \beta(g, h k)(m) \cdot \beta(g, h)^{-1}(m) \\
& =\varphi_{g}(m(h, k)) \cdot m(g h, k)^{-1} \cdot m(g, h k) \cdot m(g, h)^{-1} \\
& =\partial m(g, h, k)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Despite the fact that the cocycle $\beta$ is in general not normalized, we can consider a normalized cocycle $\beta^{\prime}$ in its cohomology class. Then it is clear from literature (see Bro94, IV]) that $\beta^{\prime}$ defines an extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $\Gamma:=A \times G$ with product structure

$$
(a, g) \cdot(b, h):=\left(a(g * b) \beta^{\prime}(g, h), g h\right) .
$$

We point out that the conjugation action of $G$ on $A$ is given by $g * a$.
Now, we claim that the transgression map

$$
\operatorname{tra}: \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right), \quad \lambda \mapsto[\lambda \circ \beta]=\left[\lambda \circ \beta^{\prime}\right],
$$

is surjective. Any class in $H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$ is represented by a (unique) element $m_{0} \in M \leq$ $Z^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$. Consider the evaluation homomorphism at $m_{0}$, that is

$$
\lambda: A \rightarrow K^{*}, \quad a \mapsto a\left(m_{0}\right) .
$$

Note that $\lambda$ is $G$-equivariant, in fact

$$
\lambda(g * a)=(g * a)\left(m_{0}\right)=\varphi_{g}\left(a\left(m_{0}\right)\right)=\varphi_{g}(\lambda(a)) \quad \text { for all } \quad g \in G .
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
(\lambda \circ \beta)(g, h)=\lambda(\beta(g, h))=\beta(g, h)\left(m_{0}\right)=m_{0}(g, h) .
$$

This shows that $\operatorname{tra}(\lambda)=\left[m_{0}\right]$ and thus the desired surjectivity. Finally, the injectivity follows from

$$
|M|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right| \leq\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right| \leq|A| \leq|M| .
$$

Remark 3.19. (1) From the above chain of inequalities, it follows that
(a) all characters of $A$ are $G$-equivariant, namely $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$,
(b) $A \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$,
(c) $A \simeq H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)$,
(d) the group $\Gamma$ has minimal order $|\Gamma|=|G| \cdot\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$,
(e) $H^{1}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right)$ by the inflation-restriction sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right) .
$$

(2) If $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 0$, the action $\varphi$ is trivial, see Remark 3.14. Moreover, property (1b) amounts to saying that $\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid|A|$. Thus, as a byproduct, we found a general property of the Schur multiplier, namely

$$
\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|,
$$

whenever $G$ is a finite group and $K$ is algebraically closed.
Remark 3.19 motivates the following definition:
Definition 3.20. Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be an action of a finite group $G$ on an algebraically closed field $K$. A group $\Gamma$ is called a $\varphi$-twisted representation group of $G$ if there exists an extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text { with } A \text { finite and abelian }
$$

such that the following conditions hold:
(1) $\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid|A|$,
(2) $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$,
(3) the transgression map

$$
\text { tra: } \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

is an isomorphim.
Hence, given a finite group $G$ together with an action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(K^{*}\right)$, we see right away thanks to Proposition 3.18 and Remark 3.19 that a $\varphi$-twisted representation group of $G$ always exists.

Proposition 3.21. If $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ is the trivial action, then an extension as in Definition 3.20 is a stem extension.

Proof. Since $\varphi$ is trivial, the restriction-inflation sequence reads

$$
1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K^{*}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)
$$

As the transgression map is an isomorphism, the restriction $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$ has to be trivial, which implies $A \leq[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Suppose it does not, then the map from $A$ to
the abelianization $\Gamma^{a b}$ is non-trivial. We write $\Gamma^{a b} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / d_{1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z} / d_{m}$ and, w.l.o.g., we can assume that the induced map $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / d_{1}$ is not the zero-map. If $p:=\operatorname{char}(K) \mid d_{1}$, then we write $d_{1}=p^{k} l_{1}$ with $p \nmid l_{1} \neq 1$ and obtain a non-trivial map $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / d_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / l_{1}$ since $p \nmid|A|$. Replacing $d_{1}$ by $l_{1}$, if necessary, we may assume that there exists a primitive $d_{1}$-th root of unity. This yields a character $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right)$ such that the restriction $\lambda_{A}: A \rightarrow K^{*}$ is non-trivial. Thus, we get a contradiction.

Assume now that $A$ is not contained in the center of $\Gamma$. Then there exist $a \in A$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
\gamma a \gamma^{-1} a^{-1} \neq 1
$$

Since $\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid|A|$, a similar argument as before shows that there exists a character $\lambda \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$ such that $\lambda\left(\gamma a \gamma^{-1} a^{-1}\right) \neq 1$. As $\varphi$ is the trivial action, this means that $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$, which contradicts the assumption $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$.

The next proposition shows that Definition 3.20 is well-posed.
Proposition 3.22. In the projective case, i.e., when the $G$-action on $K$ is trivial, Definition 3.20 reduces exactly to the classical notion of a representation group (cf. [Isa94, Corollary 11.20]), i.e.,
(1) the extension $1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$ is stem,
(2) $|A|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$.

Proof. If the extension fulfills the conditions of Definition 3.20, then Proposition 3.21 implies that it is stem. Since $\operatorname{char}(K) \nmid|A|$, we have that $A \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$ and then (2) follows from the fact that the transgression map is an isomorphism.

Conversely, suppose we have a stem extension

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

such that $|A|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$. First of all, Remark 3.19 (2) implies that char $(K) \nmid|A|$. Since the extension is stem, $A \leq Z(\Gamma)$ and therefore the action of $G$ on $A$ is trivial implying $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)$. Furthermore, the inflation-restriction sequence says that, for a stem extension, the transgression map is injective because $A \leq[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Since

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right|=|A|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|,
$$

we conclude that the transgression map is also surjective and hence an isomorphism.
Remark 3.23. We want to point out that only the order of a $\varphi$-twisted representation group $\Gamma$ is unique, whereas the group itself is in general not (see examples in Section 3.5), even in the projective case. Here, it is known that the group $\Gamma$ is unique up to isomorphism if $\left|G^{a b}\right|$ and $\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$ are coprime [BT82, p. 92]. Note that the latter condition is fulfilled if for instance $G$ is perfect. However, there are groups with a unique representation group, even though $\left|G^{a b}\right|$ and $\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|$ are not coprime. An example is the metacyclic group

$$
G:=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{8}=b^{4}=1, b a b^{-1}=a^{5}\right\rangle,
$$

which has abelianization $(\mathbb{Z} / 4)^{2}$, Schur multiplier $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ and

$$
\Gamma:=\left\langle a, b \mid a^{16}=b^{4}=1, b a b^{-1}=a^{5}\right\rangle
$$

as the unique representation group.

Now, we want to give a numerical criterion to decide whether a given extension is a $\varphi$-twisted representation group or not.

Proposition 3.24 (Numerical criterion). Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be a non-trivial action of a finite group $G$ on an algebraically closed field $K$. Let

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1
$$

be an extension by a finite abelian group $A$. Then $\Gamma$ is a $\varphi$-twisted representation group if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) }|A|=\left|H^{2}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right| \text {, } \\
& \text { (2) }\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right| \text { and } \\
& \text { (3) }\left|H^{1}\left(G, K^{*}\right)\right|=\left|H^{1}\left(\Gamma, K^{*}\right)\right| \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Clearly, every $\varphi$-twisted representation group fulfills the three conditions. Conversely, if they hold, then the inflation-restriction sequence together with (3) implies that the transgression map is injective. Condition (1), together with Remark 3.19(2), implies char $(K) \nmid|A|$. Therefore, by using condition (2), we have

$$
\left|\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, K^{*}\right)\right|=|A| .
$$

Thus, the transgression map is also surjective and hence an isomorphism.

### 3.4.1 The Heisenberg Group as a Representation Group

Let $K=\mathbb{C}$ be the field of complex numbers. We give here a proof of the well-known fact that the Heienberg group $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ of a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / r$ is a representation group for $G_{r}:=(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$.

We recall that by definition of Heisenberg group (see Chapter 1. Section 1.3) there is a stem extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mu_{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{r} \rightarrow G_{r} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\mu_{r}=Z\left(\mathcal{H}_{r}\right)=\left[\mathcal{H}_{r}, \mathcal{H}_{r}\right] .
$$

Since we are in the classical setting of projective representations, the action $\varphi: G_{r} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is trivial, and hence, according to Proposition 3.22, it remains to show just that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H^{2}\left(G_{r}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)\right|=\left|\mu_{r}\right|=r . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(G_{r}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / r, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we will show next.
To do so we can use some well-known formulae. Indeed, given two finite groups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, we recall that, defining the tensor product $H_{1} \otimes H_{2}$ of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1} \otimes H_{2}:=\left(H_{1} /\left[H_{1}, H_{1}\right]\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(H_{2} /\left[H_{2}, H_{2}\right]\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

it holds true (see Kar87, Theorem 2.2.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(H_{1} \times H_{2}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \cong H^{2}\left(H_{1}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \times H^{2}\left(H_{2}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \times H_{1} \otimes H_{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, Proposition 2.1.1 of [Kar87] states in particular that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / r, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)=1 \quad \text { for all } \quad r \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, applying (3.6) and (3.7), we get right away that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{2}\left(G_{r}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} / r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} / r \cong \mathbb{Z} / r, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the well-known formula

$$
\mathbb{Z} / m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} / n \cong \mathbb{Z} / \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)
$$

Therefore, we have showed the following.
Proposition 3.25. The Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / r$ is a representation group for the group $G_{r}=(\mathbb{Z} / r)^{2}$.

### 3.5 Examples and Applications

In this section, we present basic examples of semi-projective representations. Furthermore, we develop an algorithm to compute all $\varphi$-twisted representation groups for a given finite group $G$ and a given action $\varphi$ under the assumption $K=\mathbb{C}$ and that $\varphi$ maps to $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R})$.

Finally, as we have announced in the introduction to this chapter, we discuss two more involved situations, where semi-projective representations arise naturally.

The first one deals with a purely representation theoretic question from Clifford theory, namely the extendability of $G$-invariant irreducible $L$-representations defined on a normal subgroup $N \unlhd G$ to the ambient group $G$, where $L$ is an arbitrary field. Isaacs investigated this problem in [Isa81] by using the concept of crossed-projective representations, which is analogous to our notion of a semi-projective representation.

The second one is the original geometric motivation which led the authors of [AGK23] to the concept of a twisted representation group. It deals with the problem to find linear parts of homeomorphisms and biholomorphisms of complex torus quotients, cf. [DG23], GK22 and HL19]. We show that this problem reduces, in some occasions, to a lifting problem of a certain semi-projective representation.

### 3.5.1 Basic Examples of Semi-Projective Representations and Twisted Representation Groups

Example 3.26. Consider $K=\mathbb{C}$ as a $G=\mathbb{Z} / 2$-module, where $1 \in \mathbb{Z} / 2$ acts via complex conjugation $\operatorname{conj}(z)=\bar{z}$. In this example, a twisted representation group $\Gamma$ is of order 4 because

$$
H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{Z} / 2} / N_{\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma$ must be isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / 4$. Indeed, since the transgression map is required to be an isomorphism, the extension

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \longrightarrow 0
$$

has to be non-split, which implies $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4$. Consider the semi-projective representation

$$
f: \mathbb{Z} / 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2, \quad 1 \mapsto\left(\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right], \text { conj }\right) .
$$

Its cohomology class in $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ is represented by the normalised 2-cocycle $\alpha$ with $\alpha(1,1)=-1$, see Remark 3.9. It has no lift to a semi-linear representation of $\mathbb{Z} / 2$. A semi-linear lift to $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
F: \mathbb{Z} / 4 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2, \quad 1 \mapsto\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \text { conj }\right) .
$$

In the following, we explain how to use a computer algebra system, such as MAGMA BoCaPlay97, to produce all twisted representation groups of a given finite group $G$ in
the case $K=\mathbb{C}$. We assume that $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ takes values in $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}) \simeq\{\mathrm{id}$, conj $\}$, cf. Remark 3.14

Recall that Proposition 3.24 provides necessary and sufficient numerical conditions for an extension $\Gamma$ of $G$ by a finite abelian group $A$ to be a $\varphi$-twisted representation group. The results from the previous section say that $A$ must be isomorphic to $H^{2}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$. Furthermore, condition (3) of the proposition requires $H^{1}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ and $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ to be of the same size. In order to check this, we determine the above cohomology groups. Since we want to use a computer, it is necessary to replace the module $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ by a discrete module. Identifying complex conjugation with multiplication by -1 , the homomorphism $\varphi$ induces an action of $G$ on $\mathbb{Z}$ that is also denoted by $\varphi$. In this way, we can consider $\varphi$ as a complex character of $G$ of degree 1 with values in $\{ \pm 1\}$. Furthermore, the exponential sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cdot 2 \pi i} \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\exp } \mathbb{C}^{*} \longrightarrow 1
$$

becomes a sequence of $G$-modules. Since the cohomology groups $H^{n}(G, \mathbb{C})$ vanish for $n \geq 1$, see [Bro94, III, Corollary 10.2], the corresponding long exact sequence induces isomorphisms

$$
H^{n}\left(G, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq H^{n+1}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text { for all } \quad n \geq 1
$$

Similarly, we have these isomorphisms for the cohomology groups of $\Gamma$. In order to check the second condition of the proposition, we make use of the identity $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Irr}(A)$, which holds since $A$ is abelian.
These considerations lead to Algorithm 1. It takes as inputs a finite group $G$ and an action $\varphi$, which is given as a character with values in $\{ \pm 1\}$, and it returns all $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of $G$.

```
Algorithm \(1 \varphi\)-twisted representation groups
    function TwistedRepresentationGroups \((G, \varphi)\)
    input: Finite group \(G, \varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)\) of degree one with values in \(\{ \pm 1\}\)
    output: List of all \(\varphi\)-twisted representation groups of \(G\)
    \(A \leftarrow H^{3}(G, \mathbb{Z})\)
    \(\left(\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{k}\right) \leftarrow\) extensions of \(G\) by \(A\)
    \(L \leftarrow\) empty list
    for \(j=1, \ldots, k\) do
        test \(\leftarrow\) true
        for \(\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(A)\) do
            if \(\chi\) is not \(G\)-invariant then
                test \(\leftarrow\) false
            end if
        end for
        if test \(=\) true and \(\# H^{2}(G, \mathbb{Z})=\# H^{2}\left(\Gamma_{j}, \mathbb{Z}\right)\) then
            \(L \leftarrow \operatorname{append}\left(L, \Gamma_{j}\right) \quad \triangleright\) add \(\Gamma_{j}\) to the list \(L\)
        end if
    end for
    return \(L\)
```

The reader can find a MAGMA implementation on the webpage
http://www.staff.uni-bayreuth.de/~bt300503/publi.html,
see also Appendix B.
Example 3.27. Running our code, we compute the $\varphi$-twisted representation groups of the dihedral group

$$
D_{4}=\left\langle s, t \mid s^{2}=t^{4}=1, s t s^{-1}=t^{3}\right\rangle
$$

for all possible actions $\varphi: D_{4} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ given as characters with values in $\{ \pm 1\}$ :

| $\varphi(s)$ | $\varphi(t)$ | $A=H^{2}\left(D_{4}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ | $\varphi$-twisted representation groups |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\langle 16,7\rangle,\langle 16,8\rangle,\langle 16,9\rangle$ |
| -1 | -1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\langle 32,14\rangle,\langle 32,13\rangle$ |
| 1 | -1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\langle 32,9\rangle,\langle 32,10\rangle,\langle 32,14\rangle,\langle 32,13\rangle$ |
| -1 | 1 | $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$ | $\langle 32,2\rangle,\langle 32,10\rangle,\langle 32,13\rangle$ |

Here, the symbol $\langle n, d\rangle$ denotes the $d$-th group of order $n$ in MAGMA's Database of Small Groups.

### 3.5.2 Extendability of $L$-Representations

Let $L$ be a field and $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{L}(N)$ an irreducible character defined on a normal subgroup $N \unlhd G$. Assume that $\chi$ is $G$-invariant, i.e.,

$$
\chi\left(g n g^{-1}\right)=\chi(n) \quad \text { for all } \quad g \in G, n \in N .
$$

Then we can ask the question if $\chi$ can be extended to an irreducible character of the ambient group $G$. Clearly, the $G$-invariance is a necessary condition for the extendibility, but in general not sufficient. In the following, we will describe how this problem relates to the theory of semi-projective representations.

Remark 3.28. Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field containing $L$. Then the character $\chi$ splits as follows

$$
\chi=m\left(\eta_{1}+\ldots+\eta_{r}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad \eta_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}_{K}(N)
$$

The irreducible characters $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{r}$ form a single orbit under the action of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / L)$. The common multiplicity $m$ of the constituents $\eta_{i}$ is called the Schur index of $\chi$.

Let us call $\eta:=\eta_{1}$ and $F$ the subfield of $K$ generated by $L$ and the values of $\eta$. The extension $L \subset F$ is Galois of degree $r$ with abelian Galois group. By Isa81, Lemma 2.1], the $\operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$-orbit of $\eta$ consists of all constituents $\eta_{i}$ of $\chi$. We now make the crucial assumption that $m=1$, which by Isa94, Theorem 9.21]) is automatically fulfilled in the case $\operatorname{char}(L) \neq 0$. Under this assumption, the character $\eta$ is afforded by an irreducible $F$-representation

$$
\rho: N \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F),
$$

cf. [Isa94, Corollary 10.2]. By the $G$-invariance of $\chi$, there exists for all $g \in G$ an element $\varphi_{g} \in \operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$ such that $\eta^{g}=\varphi_{g} \circ \eta$, where $\eta^{g}(n):=\eta\left(g n g^{-1}\right)$. Clearly, $\varphi_{g}$ is unique and $\varphi_{n}=$ id for all $n \in N$. Thus, we obtain an action $\varphi: G \rightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$ which factors through the quotient map $\pi: G \rightarrow G / N$. Since the $F$-representations $\rho^{g}$ and $\varphi_{g}(\rho)$ are irreducible and their characters $\eta^{g}$ and $\varphi_{g} \circ \eta$ agree, they are equivalent according to [Isa94, Corollary 9.22]. Thus, for all $g \in G$, there exists a matrix $A_{g} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{g} \cdot \varphi_{g}(\rho) \cdot A_{g}^{-1}=\rho^{g} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho$ is irreducible over $K$, the matrix $A_{g}$ is only unique up to a scalar. Clearly, this scalar belongs to $F^{*}$ because $A_{g} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$. Let $s: G / N \rightarrow G$ be a set-theoretic section. Then we define the following map

$$
f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(n, F) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(F / L), \quad n \cdot s(\gamma) \mapsto\left(\left[\rho(n) A_{s(\gamma)}\right], \varphi_{\gamma}\right) .
$$

Proposition 3.29. The map $f: G \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(n, F) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(F / L)$ from above is a semiprojective representation.
Proof. We need to show that $f$ is a homomorphism, i.e.,

$$
f\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)=f\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right) \circ f\left(n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

For this purpose, we rewrite the left-hand side as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) & =f\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right) s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1} s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\rho\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right) s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)}\right], \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\rho\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \rho\left(s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) s\left(\gamma_{2}\right) s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot A_{s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)}\right], \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the right-hand side becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right) \circ f\left(n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) & =\left(\left[\rho\left(n_{1}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}\right], \varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\right) \circ\left(\left[\rho\left(n_{2}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}\right], \varphi_{\gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\rho\left(n_{1}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\left(\rho\left(n_{2}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}\right)\right], \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left[\rho\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot A_{s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\left(A_{s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}\right)\right], \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to show that they are equal, it suffices to prove that the following two matrices

$$
C_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}:=\rho\left(s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) s\left(\gamma_{2}\right) s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot A_{s\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}:=A_{s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\left(A_{s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}\right)
$$

differ by a constant $\bar{\alpha}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ in $F^{*}$, namely

$$
\bar{\alpha}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \cdot D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}=C_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)} .
$$

This is an immediate consequence of Schur's lemma and the identity

$$
C_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)} \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}(\rho) \cdot C_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}^{-1}=D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)} \cdot \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}(\rho) \cdot D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}^{-1}
$$

which we leave to the reader.
Remark 3.30. We observe from the proof of Proposition 3.29 that the cohomology class of $f$ is represented by a cocycle $\alpha: G \times G \rightarrow F^{*}$, which is constant on $N$. For this reason, it induces a cocycle $\bar{\alpha}: G / N \times G / N \rightarrow F^{*}$ whose class in $H^{2}\left(G / N, F^{*}\right)$ is independent of the chosen section $s: G / N \rightarrow G$ and of the chosen $A_{s(\gamma)}$, which we recall to be unique only up to a scalar in $F^{*}$.

It is clear from Remark 3.7 (2) that $f$ lifts to a semi-linear representation of $G$ if and only if $[\alpha]$ is trivial in $H^{2}\left(G, F^{*}\right)$. However, this semi-linear representation might not be an extension of $\rho$, cf. [Isa94, p. 179].

Theorem 3.31 ([Isa81], Theorem 4.3). The representation $\rho: N \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F)$ extends to a semi-linear representation

$$
\hat{\rho}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, F) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(F / L)
$$

if and only if $[\bar{\alpha}]$ is trivial in $H^{2}\left(G / N, F^{*}\right)$.
Proof. Given a semi-linear extension

$$
\hat{\rho}: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, F) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(F / L), \quad g \mapsto\left(B_{g}, \varphi_{g}\right),
$$

the matrices $B_{g}$ fulfill the conjugation equation **. Thus, setting $A_{g}:=B_{g}$, one can see that $\hat{\rho}$ is a lift of the semi-projective representation $f$ and then it is clear that $\bar{\alpha}=1$ as a cocycle.

Assume now that $[\bar{\alpha}]$ is trivial, where the representative $\bar{\alpha}$ is constructed as above choosing the matrices $A_{g}$ and the section $s: G / N \rightarrow G$ such that $s(1)=1$ and $A_{1}$ is the identity matrix $E_{n}$. Then there exists a function $\tau: G / N \rightarrow F^{*}$ such that

$$
\bar{\alpha}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=\varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \tau\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(\gamma_{1}\right) .
$$

Define the following map:

$$
\hat{\rho}: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, F) \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(F / L), \quad n \cdot s(\gamma) \mapsto\left(\tau(\gamma) \rho(n) A_{s(\gamma)}, \varphi_{\gamma}\right) .
$$

Clearly, by our choice of $s$ and $A_{g}$, the map $\hat{\rho}$ is an extension of $\rho$. Indeed, since $\bar{\alpha}(1,1)=1$, it follows that $\tau(1)=1$ and we obtain

$$
\hat{\rho}(n)=\left(\tau(1) \rho(n) A_{s(1)}, \varphi_{1}\right)=(\rho(n), \mathrm{id})
$$

It remains to show that $\hat{\rho}$ is a homomorphism. In order to have a compact notation, we use the matrices $C_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}$ and $D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}$, as defined in the proof of Proposition 3.29, and compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right) \circ \hat{\rho}\left(n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) & =\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \rho\left(n_{1}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)}, \varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\right) \circ\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \rho\left(n_{2}\right) A_{s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}, \varphi_{\gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \varphi_{\gamma_{1}}\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \rho\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}, \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right) \cdot \rho\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot \bar{\alpha}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right) \cdot D_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}, \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\left(\tau\left(\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}\right) \cdot \rho\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \cdot C_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)}, \varphi_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}}\right) \\
& =\hat{\rho}\left(n_{1} s\left(\gamma_{1}\right) n_{2} s\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.32. The extension $\hat{\rho}$ can be considered as an ordinary representation over the field $L$. Its character $\chi_{\hat{\rho}}$ is an extension of $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}_{L}(N)$, see Isa81, Theorem 3.1].

### 3.5.3 Homeomorphisms and Biholomorphisms of Torus Quotients

In order to describe the representation theoretic problem, we will briefly sketch the geometric setup. For details, we refer to the articles [DG23] and GK22.

Let $G$ be a finite group acting holomorphically and faithfully on a compact complex torus $T=\mathbb{C}^{n} / \Lambda$. Such an action is always affine-linear, i.e., of the form

$$
\phi(g) z=\rho(g) z+t(g),
$$

where the linear part $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a representation such that $\rho(g) \cdot \Lambda=\Lambda$ and the translation part $t: G \rightarrow T$ is a 1-cocycle

$$
\rho(g) t(h)-t(g h)+t(g)=0 .
$$

Here, we view the torus $T$ as a $G$-module via $\rho$. Since a quotient of a complex torus by a finite group of translations is again a complex torus, we may assume that $\rho$ is faithful, or equivalently, $\phi$ is translation-free. Suppose that $\phi^{\prime}$ is another action with the same linear part $\rho$, but a different translation part $t^{\prime}$. If these actions are free, or at least free in codimension one, then Bieberbach's theorems from crystallographic group theory (see [Cha86, I]) allow us to decide if the quotients $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ of $T$ with respect to these actions are homeomorphic or not. It turns out that $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are homeomorphic if and only if there exist a matrix $C \in \mathrm{GL}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ with $C \cdot \Lambda=\Lambda$, an automorphism $\psi$ of the group $G$ and an element $d \in T$, such that
(1) $C \cdot \rho_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot C^{-1}=\rho_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \psi$,
(2) $\left(\rho_{\mathbb{R}}^{\prime}(g)-\mathrm{id}\right) d=C t\left(\psi^{-1}(g)\right)-t^{\prime}(g)$ for all $g \in G$.

Here, the representation $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ is the decomplexification of $\rho$. If such $C$ and $d$ exist, then a homeomorphism is given by

$$
\Xi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}, \quad x \mapsto C x+d .
$$

The quotients $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are biholomorphic if and only if $C$ can be chosen as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear matrix, see [GK22, Remark 3.7], [DG23, Remark 4.6] or [HL19, Section 3].

Note that condition (1) says that the representations $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\rho_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \psi$ are equivalent. In particular,

$$
\psi \in \operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right):=\left\{\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}(G) \mid \chi_{\mathbb{R}}=\chi_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \psi\right\}, \quad \text { where } \quad \chi_{\mathbb{R}}:=\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{\mathbb{R}}\right)
$$

Condition (2) says that the cocycles $t^{\prime}$ and $C \cdot\left(t \circ \psi^{-1}\right)$ differ by a coboundary, i.e., they are equal in the cohomology group $H^{1}(G, T)$.

Concretely, if the torus $T$ and the two actions $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are explicitly given, one can easily check the second condition, for example by a computer, provided that the full list of candidates for $C$ is known.

The problem to determine the solutions $C$ of the conjugation equation in condition (1) relates to semi-projective representations, in analogy to the extension problem discussed in Subsection 3.5.2, where we had to solve a similiar conjugation equation, see (*). Note that for each $\psi \in \operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ the representations $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\rho_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \psi$ are equivalent
because they have the same character. Thus, there exists a matrix $C_{\psi} \in \operatorname{GL}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ fulfilling condition (1).

Assume now that $\rho$ is irreducible and of complex type, i.e., the Schur index $m(\chi)=1$, where $\chi=\operatorname{tr}(\rho)$. Then the matrix $C_{\psi}$ is unique up to an element in the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{G}\left(\rho_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}$. Since $\chi_{\mathbb{R}}=\chi+\bar{\chi}$, the automorphism $\psi$ either stabilizes $\chi$ or maps $\chi$ to $\bar{\chi}$. In the first case the matrix $C_{\psi}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, whereas in the second case $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear. This yields a semi-projective representation

$$
f: \operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

Since $\rho$ is faithful, the representation $f$ is also faithful. The candidates for the linear part $C$ of potential homeomorphisms are the elements in the group

$$
\mathcal{N}:=\{C \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mid[C] \in \operatorname{im}(f), C \cdot \Lambda=\Lambda\} .
$$

By construction, the group $\mathcal{N}$ sits inside the short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $A:=\left\{\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \mid \mu \Lambda=\Lambda\right\}$ and $S \leq \operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is the subgroup of automorphisms $\psi$ such that $f(\psi)$ has a representative $C_{\psi}$ with $C_{\psi} \cdot \Lambda=\Lambda$.

Proposition 3.33. The group $A$ is a finite cyclic group. In particular, $\mathcal{N}$ is finite.
Proof. We claim that $|\mu|=1$ for all $\mu \in A$. Suppose there exists an element $\mu \in A$ with modulus different from 1 ; note that we can always assume $|\mu|<1$, otherwise we replace $\mu$ by its inverse. Let $v \in \Lambda$ be a non-zero element of minimal norm. Then $w:=\mu v \in \Lambda$ has norm strictly less then $v$, which contradicts the minimality of $v$. Thus, $|\mu|=1$ and the map defined by multiplication with $\mu$ restricts to closed balls $\bar{B}_{r}$ of any radius $r$. If $r$ is chosen large enough so that $\bar{B}_{r}$ contains a non-zero element of $\Lambda$, then the multiplication-homomorphism

$$
A \rightarrow \operatorname{SYM}\left(\bar{B}_{r} \cap \Lambda\right), \quad \mu \mapsto(v \mapsto \mu v)
$$

is injective (SYM denotes the permutation group of the set $\bar{B}_{r} \cap \Lambda$ ). Since $\Lambda$ is discrete, the intersection $\bar{B}_{r} \cap \Lambda$ is finite and it follows that $A$ is a finite cyclic group.

Remark 3.34. The inclusion $i: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2$ is by construction a semi-linear lift of the semi-projective representation $f_{\mid S}: S \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2$.

Example 3.35. We discuss the example from [GK22], the one from [DG23] is similar. Here, the dimension is three and the lattice of the torus $T=\mathbb{C}^{3} / \Lambda$ is one of the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{1}:=\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{3}\right]^{3}+\langle(u, u, u)\rangle \quad \text { or } \quad \Lambda_{2}:=\Lambda_{1}+\langle(u,-u, 0)\rangle, \\
& \text { where } \quad u:=\frac{1}{3}\left(1+2 \zeta_{3}\right), \quad \zeta_{r}:=\exp (2 \pi i / r) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The group $G$ is here the Heisenberg group of order 27, namely $G=\mathcal{H}_{3}$. Recall that it can be presented as follows (see Chapter 1, Sec. 1.3)

$$
\mathcal{H}_{3}=\left\langle a, b, c \mid a^{3}=b^{3}=c^{3}=1,[a, b]=c, a c a^{2}=c, b c b^{2}=c\right\rangle .
$$

and that it has two irreducible complex three-dimensional representations: the first one is the Schrödinger representation $\rho: \mathcal{H}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and the second one is its complex conjugate $\bar{\rho}$. Note that they both have Schur index one. Furthermore, the decomplexification $\rho_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\rho$ is the unique irreducible 6 -dimensional representation of $\mathcal{H}_{3}$. Hence, $\operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is the full automorphism $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathcal{H}_{3}\right) \simeq \operatorname{AGL}(2,3)$.

In this example, $A=\left\langle\zeta_{6}\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 6$ and, for both lattices $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$, the group $\mathcal{N}$ contains the $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps

$$
C_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta_{3} & & \\
& \zeta_{3}^{2} & \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad C_{2}:=-u \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \zeta_{3}^{2} & \zeta_{3}^{2} \\
\zeta_{3}^{2} & 1 & \zeta_{3}^{2} \\
\zeta_{3}^{2} & \zeta_{3}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad C_{3}:=u \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \zeta_{3}^{2} & \zeta_{3} \\
1 & \zeta_{3} & \zeta_{3}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear map $C_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)=\left(\bar{z}_{1}, \bar{z}_{2}, \bar{z}_{3}\right)$. A MAGMA computation shows that the elements $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{4}$ generate a subgroup of $\mathcal{N}$ of order $2592=|A| \cdot\left|\operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right)\right|$. Hence, this subgroup is actually equal to $\mathcal{N}$ and every class in the image of

$$
f: \operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{PGL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z} / 2
$$

is represented by an element in $\mathcal{N}$. However, even if the semi-projective representation $f$ lifts to $\mathcal{N}$, this group is not a $\varphi$-twisted representation group for the action $\varphi: \operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ induced by $f$. Indeed, a MAGMA computation yields $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Stab}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{R}}\right), \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 3$ and $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{N}, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 6$, which violates the third condition of Proposition 3.24

## Appendix A. Components of the Moduli Space ( $p_{g}=q=2$ )

For the benefit of the reader, we summarize here the situation concerning the known irreducible components of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension.

Here are the components of the Main Stream:

- $K^{2}=4$ : there is a unique irreducible connected component, of STANDARD surfaces, with $d=2, \delta=1$, and branch curve in $|2 \Theta|$;
- $K^{2}=5, d=3$ : there is a unique irreducible connected component fulfilling the Gorenstein Assumption 0.7, the component of CHPP surfaces;
- $K^{2}=6, d=2$ : there are only three irreducible connected components, see PePo13b (note that their construction works, in spite of the incorrect assertion that the elliptic singularity maps to a base point of the linear system $\left|D^{\prime}\right|$, where $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{M}_{p}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ : indeed $p$ is a base point of $\left|D^{\prime}+Q_{i}\right|$, where $Q_{i}$ is a 2-torsion line bundle);
- $K^{2}=6, d=3$ : there is the new component of AC3 surfaces (see Subsection 2.9.1.b and [S22]);
- $K^{2}=6, d=4$ : there is the irreducible connected component of PP4 surfaces, which equals the irreducible one constructed in [PePo14];
- $K^{2}=7, d=3$ : there is the irreducible component of PP7 surfaces, see PiPo17.
- $K^{2}=8, d=2$ : there is an irreducible connected component of dimension 3 constructed by Penegini in Pen11] as follows. Let $f: D \rightarrow C$ be an étale double cover of a curve $C$ of genus 2, and let $g: C \rightarrow C$ be the covering involution. Then $S=(D \times D) / \mathbb{Z} / 4$ where the action is free and generated by $(x, y) \mapsto(y, g(x))$.
The Albanese surface is the Jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$ and the Albanese map factors through $[(x, y)] \mapsto f(x)+f(y) \in C^{(2)}$, and then via the birational morphism $C^{(2)} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Jac}(C)$. In this way the branch locus $\mathcal{B}$ of the Albanese map is a divisor $\mathcal{B} \in|4 \Theta|$ with a point $O$ of multiplicity 6 , and the sheaf $\mathfrak{F}=\mathfrak{M}_{O}^{2}(2 \Theta)$ (part of the facts we state here can also be found in [PRR20]).

Still, here are the other known irreducible components of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension, which are not of the Main Stream:

- $K^{2}=4$ : none, there is only the component of STANDARD surfaces;
- $K^{2}=5$ : none;
- $K^{2}=6$ : none;
- $K^{2}=7, d=2$ : there are 3 irreducible components, all of dimension 2, see PePi 22 . For every surface in them, the Albanese surface has a non-simple polarization of type $(1,2)$ and the branch curve $\mathcal{B} \in|2 D|$ has a singularity of type $(3,3)$;
- $K^{2}=8, d=2$ : there are two complex-conjugate rigid minimal surfaces whose universal cover is not biholomorphic to the bidisk $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$, PRR20.
- $K^{2}=8, d=4,6,4$ : there are here 3 connected components with $K^{2}=8$, two of them of dimension 3 and one of dimension 4 , constructed by Penegini in Pen11] and listed in Table A as items n. 15, 16, 17; these are surfaces isogenous to a product of unmixed type and not of the Main Stream (their Albanese surface is isogenous to a product of elliptic curves).
In Pen13] the author points out that for these families $d \leq 6$ is an upper bound for the degree $d$ of the Albanese map.
Indeed, as we calculated by hand, confirming a personal communication by Penegini, the respective degrees are (using the order of Table 1 of $\mid$ Pen11]) $d=4,6,4$ (see Section 2.11). Moreover, the respective monodromy groups of the Albanese covering are

$$
(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}, \mathfrak{S}_{3} \times \mathfrak{S}_{3}, D_{4}
$$

Remark A.36. (1) The surfaces with $p_{g}=q=2$ constructed in [BCF15], as stated in Proposition 4.11 ibidem, lie in the components described in PePo13b.
(2) Note that the first examples of PP7 surfaces were given in [CanFrap18]. Indeed, in PiPo17] the authors studied the family of surfaces containing those examples.

Question A.37. Does the case $K_{S}^{2}=5, d=2$ occur?
We have collected and displayed in Table A some relevant information concerning the known irreducible components of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension.

Here, items are ordered according to column "n." and each of them provides an irreducible component of the moduli space of surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and surjective Albanese map, whose dimension is listed in the column "dim".

The columns labelled with " $K_{S}^{2}$ " and " $d$ " display the self-intersection $K_{S}^{2}$ of the canonical divisor $K_{S}$, respectively the degree $d$ of the Albanese map.

Moreover, the column labelled with "Conn." indicates whether the irreducible component is also a connected component, while in the column "Name \& References" one can find the references where the component was discovered and/or described, together with its name (either we gave or used in the original reference).

Finally, the column "M. S." specifies whether the component is of the main stream or not.

| n. | $K_{S}^{2}$ | $d$ | Conn. | M. S. | dim | Name \& References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | STANDARD, \|CMLP14| |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | CHPP, \|PePo13a|, |AC22| |
| 3 | 6 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\mathcal{M}_{\text {Ia }},\|\mathrm{PePo} 13 \mathrm{~b}\|$ |
| 4 | 6 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\mathcal{M}_{I b},\|\mathrm{PePo} 13 \mathrm{~b}\|$ |
| 5 | 6 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 3 | $\mathcal{M}_{I I},\|\mathrm{PePo} 13 \mathrm{~b}\|$ |
| 6 | 6 | 3 | ? | $\checkmark$ | 3 | AC3, \|AC22], |CS22| |
| 7 | 6 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | PP4, \|PePo14], [AC22] |
| 8 | 7 | 2 | ? | $X$ | 2 | $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{1}},\|\mathrm{PePi} 22\|$ |
| 9 | 7 | 2 | ? | $X$ | 2 | $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{2}},\|\mathrm{PePi} 22\|$ |
| 10 | 7 | 2 | ? | $X$ | 2 | $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{4}},\|\mathrm{PePi} 22\|$ |
| 11 | 7 | 3 | ? | $\checkmark$ | 3 | PP7, \|PiPo17| |
| 12 | 8 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 3 | [Pen11, Table 1, Mix] |
| 13 | 8 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | 0 | [PRR20] |
| 14 | 8 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | 0 | \|PRR20| |
| 15 | 8 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | 4 | \|Pen11, Table 1, UnMix, $\left.G=(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{2}\right]$ |
| 16 | 8 | 6 | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | 3 | \|Pen11, Table 1, UnMix, $\left.G=\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right]$ |
| 17 | 8 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $X$ | 3 | [Pen11, Table 1, UnMix, $\left.G=D_{4}\right]$ |

Table A: Known irreducible components of the moduli space of minimal surfaces of general type with $p_{g}=q=2$ and maximal Albanese dimension.

Remark A.38. (1) From Table A one immediately sees that up to now 17 irreducible components are known, among which 9 are of the Main Stream.
(2) Besides the first examples in the PP7 family, several other surfaces with $p_{g}=$ $q=2$ have been constructed in CanFrap18, and the last section of Pig20 shows which row of Table A each of these surfaces belongs to. Ideed, this was the main motivation for Pig20.

## Appendix B. MAGMA Code (Twisted Representation Groups)

```
// This is the MAGMA implementation of our algorithm to determine phi-twisted
// representation groups (cf. Subsection 3.6.1)
/*
For a given finite group G and action phi: G -> Aut(C), we want to determine all
phi-twisted representation groups Gamma of G, i.e. we have to determine all extensions
0 -> A -> Gamma -> G -> 1,
where }A=H~2(G,\mp@subsup{C}{}{~}*), such tha
(1) }|\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{}{\wedge}1(\textrm{G},\mp@subsup{\textrm{C}}{}{\wedge}*)|=|\mp@subsup{\textrm{H}}{}{\wedge}1(Gamma,\mp@subsup{C}{}{\wedge}*)| and
(2) Hom_G(A,C^*)=Hom(A,C`*).
for this, we identify H^j(G,C^*)=H^{j+1}(G,Z), for j=1,2, where G acts on Z via phi
and sending conj to [-1], which gives a character X of G with values in {1,-1}.
Notice that Gamma is a C~*-module via phi$\circ$pi, where pi: Ga -> G is the quotient map.
The main function will therefore has as input the group G and the character X.
We start with two help functions.
*/
    /*
    The function "Phi" has as input "x=X(g)", for an element g in G, and an element "v" in C,
    and determines the value phi(g)(v), which is
    v, if x=[1],
    ComplexConjugate(v), if x=[-1].
    */
    function Phi(x,v)
    Id1:=DiagonalMatrix([1]);
    if x eq Id1 then
    return v;
    else
    return ComplexConjugate(v);
    end if;
    end function;
    /*
    The function "TestInvariance" has as input the group "A" with "a" generators
    and the group "Ga" with "m" generators. The group A is embedded in Ga such that
    the generators of A equal the last a generators of Ga. The action of Ga on Z is
    encoded in "actGa", which is a list where the i-th entry is the action (as 1x1-matrix)
    of the i-th generator of Ga on Z.
    The function checks condition (2). For this, we use that Hom(A, C^*)
    equals the set of irreducible characters of A. We need to check, whether all of them are
    G-invariant, where G acts on A via
    g*a:=s(g)as(g^-1), where s:G -> Ga is a section.
    We use that the first m-a generators of Ga define preimages of the generators of G
    under pi: Ga -> G.
49 The function returns "true" if condition (2) is fulfilled, "false" otherwise.
```

```
*/
```

function TestInvariance(A, Ga, actGa, m, a)
CT:=CharacterTable(A);
for x in CT do
for $i$ in [1..m-a] do
for $j$ in $[m-a+1 . . m]$ do
if not $x\left(G a . i * G a . j * G a . i^{\wedge}-1\right)$ eq $\operatorname{Phi}(a c t G a[i], x(G a . j))$ then
return false;
end if;
end for;
end for;
end for;
return true;
end function;
/*
The function "KernelCokernelExtension" has as input an extension "Ga" (of G by A),
its image "GaRef" under the Cayley-embedding "f", the number "m" of generators of $G$ and "a"=\#A.
It returns the kernel "APer" as subgroup of GaRef and the quotient "Quot"=Ga/APer
and the quotient map "pi":Ga -> Quot.
Note that the kernel $A$ is generated by the last generators of GaRef, the problem is that
we don't know how many generators we have to take (the number can differ from \#Generators(A).
Therefore, the last output "i" gives this number of generators of APer.
*/
function KernelCokernelExtension(Ga,GaRef, $f$, $m, a$ )
for i in [1..m] do
APer:=sub<Ga | [f(GaRef.j): $j$ in $[(m-i+1) . . m]]$;
if \#APer eq a then
Quot, pi:= quo<Ga|APer>;
return APer, Quot, pi, i;
end if;
end for;
end function;
$/ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$ MAIN FUNCTION $* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * / ~$
/*
INPUT: finite, solvable Group $G$ of type $G r p P e r m$, character $X$ of $G$ of degree 1 with values
in $\{1,-1\}$ representing an action phi of $G$ on $C$

groups of G
Explanation: the invariants [n_1,...n_k] correspond to the abelian group
Z/\{n_1\} x ... x $Z /\left\{n_{-} k\right\}$
*/
function RepGroups (G,X)
g: =\#Generators (G);
Id1:=DiagonalMatrix([1]);
act: $=[X(G . i) * I d 1:$ i in [1..g]]; // The i-th element of act gives the action of
//the i-th generator of $G$ on $Z$ as a $1 \times 1$-matrix.
CMG:= CohomologyModule(G,[0],act);
TwistedSchurG:=CohomologyGroup (CMG , 3); // TwistedSchurG=H^3(G,Z)=H^2(G, C^*)
invarA:=Moduli(TwistedSchurG); // \#invariants of the abelian Group $A=$ \#generators of $A$
if invarA eq [] then // in this case, the twisted Schur multiplier is trivial.
return invarA, G;
end if;
A:=AbelianGroup(GrpPerm,invarA); // $A=H^{\wedge} 2\left(G, C^{\wedge} *\right)$, of type GrpPerm
a: =\#A;
E: =ExtensionsOfSolubleGroup (A,G);
// all candidates for the phi-twisted representation groups, each group in the list is given

```
// as GrpFP, the last generators correspond to A
```

// as GrpFP, the last generators correspond to A
ListRepGroups:= [];
ListRepGroups:= [];
h1G:=\#CohomologyGroup(CMG,2);
h1G:=\#CohomologyGroup(CMG,2);
for k in [1..\#E] do
for k in [1..\#E] do
GaRef:=E[k];
GaRef:=E[k];
f,Ga:= CosetAction(GaRef,sub<GaRef|>); //Transform the extension GaRef into GrpPerm using
f,Ga:= CosetAction(GaRef,sub<GaRef|>); //Transform the extension GaRef into GrpPerm using
the Cayley-embedding f
the Cayley-embedding f
m:=\#Generators(GaRef);
m:=\#Generators(GaRef);
APer, Quot, pi, genA:=KernelCokernelExtension(Ga,GaRef,f,m,a);
APer, Quot, pi, genA:=KernelCokernelExtension(Ga,GaRef,f,m,a);
test, psi:=IsIsomorphic(Quot,G); // psi: Quot -> G defines an isomorphism
test, psi:=IsIsomorphic(Quot,G); // psi: Quot -> G defines an isomorphism
actGa:=[X(psi(pi(Ga.i)))*Id1 : i in [1..m]];// the action of Ga is given by composing the
actGa:=[X(psi(pi(Ga.i)))*Id1 : i in [1..m]];// the action of Ga is given by composing the
action of G with psi and pi.
action of G with psi and pi.
CMGa:=CohomologyModule(Ga,[0],actGa);
CMGa:=CohomologyModule(Ga,[0],actGa);
if h1G eq \#CohomologyGroup(CMGa,2) and TestInvariance(APer,Ga,actGa,m,genA) then
if h1G eq \#CohomologyGroup(CMGa,2) and TestInvariance(APer,Ga,actGa,m,genA) then
Append(~ListRepGroups,Ga);
Append(~ListRepGroups,Ga);
end if;
end if;
end for;
end for;
return invarA, ListRepGroups;
return invarA, ListRepGroups;
end function;
end function;
// Here we compute the representation groups in Example 3.27
// Here we compute the representation groups in Example 3.27
G:=DihedralGroup (4);
G:=DihedralGroup (4);
CT:=CharacterTable(G);
CT:=CharacterTable(G);
X:=CT[1];
X:=CT[1];
RepGroups(G,X);
RepGroups(G,X);
// *****************************************************************************************
// *****************************************************************************************
// With the MAGMA code from below, we show that the group "N" in Example 3.35 is not a
// With the MAGMA code from below, we show that the group "N" in Example 3.35 is not a
//covering group for the given action.
//covering group for the given action.
F:=CyclotomicField(12);
F:=CyclotomicField(12);
ze:=F.1~4;
ze:=F.1~4;
i:=F.1-3;
i:=F.1-3;
t:=(1+2*ze)/3;
t:=(1+2*ze)/3;
// The function RI returns real and imaginary part of a complex number "c". */
// The function RI returns real and imaginary part of a complex number "c". */
RI:=function(c)
RI:=function(c)
re:=(c+ComplexConjugate(c))/2;
re:=(c+ComplexConjugate(c))/2;
im:=-i*(c-re);
im:=-i*(c-re);
return [re, im];
return [re, im];
end function;
end function;
// The function "RealMat" turns a complex 3x3 matrix "D" into a real 6x6 matrix under
// The function "RealMat" turns a complex 3x3 matrix "D" into a real 6x6 matrix under
// the canonical embedding
// the canonical embedding
RealMat:=function(D)
RealMat:=function(D)
return Matrix(F, 6, 6,
return Matrix(F, 6, 6,
[RI(D[1][1])[1],-RI(D[1][1])[2],RI(D[1][2])[1],-RI(D[1][2])[2],RI(D[1][3])[1], -RI(D[1] [3])[2],
[RI(D[1][1])[1],-RI(D[1][1])[2],RI(D[1][2])[1],-RI(D[1][2])[2],RI(D[1][3])[1], -RI(D[1] [3])[2],
RI(D[1] [1])[2],RI(D[1][1])[1],RI (D[1][2])[2],RI(D[1][2])[1],RI(D[1][3])[2],RI(D[1][3])[1],
RI(D[1] [1])[2],RI(D[1][1])[1],RI (D[1][2])[2],RI(D[1][2])[1],RI(D[1][3])[2],RI(D[1][3])[1],
RI(D[2] [1])[1], -RI(D[2][1])[2],RI (D [2][2]) [1], -RI (D[2][2])[2],RI(D[2][3])[1],-RI (D[2][3])[2] ,

```
RI(D[2] [1])[1], -RI(D[2][1])[2],RI (D [2][2]) [1], -RI (D[2][2])[2],RI(D[2][3])[1],-RI (D[2][3])[2] ,
```




```
RI (D[3] [1])[1], -RI(D[3][1]) [2],RI (D [3][2]) [1], -RI (D[3][2])[2],RI(D[3][3])[1],-RI (D[3][3])[2],
```

```
RI (D[3] [1])[1], -RI(D[3][1]) [2],RI (D [3][2]) [1], -RI (D[3][2])[2],RI(D[3][3])[1],-RI (D[3][3])[2],
```




```
end function;
```

end function;
// These are the three C-linear matrices C1,..,C3, which generate N.
// These are the three C-linear matrices C1,..,C3, which generate N.
C1:=DiagonalMatrix([ze,ze^2,1]);
C1:=DiagonalMatrix([ze,ze^2,1]);
C2:=-t*Matrix([[1,ze^2,ze^2],[ze^2,1, ze^2],[ze^2, ze^2,1]]);
C2:=-t*Matrix([[1,ze^2,ze^2],[ze^2,1, ze^2],[ze^2, ze^2,1]]);
C3:=t*Matrix ([[1, 1,1],[1, ze^2,ze],[1, ze, ze^2]]);
C3:=t*Matrix ([[1, 1,1],[1, ze^2,ze],[1, ze, ze^2]]);
C4:=Matrix(F,6,6,[1,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1]);

```
C4:=Matrix(F,6,6,[1,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,-1]);
```
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In Mir85 and HM99 $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ is called $\mathcal{E}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The case of a polarization of type $(2,2)$ cannot occur since $\mathcal{K}(D) \cong(\mathbb{Z} / 2)^{4}$ cannot act faithfully on an elliptic curve.

