



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/igye20

# Vasomotor symptoms and management of women undergoing treatment for breast cancer: literature review with focus on the therapeutic potential of cytoplasmic pollen extract

Stefano Lello, Ida Paris, Angelo Cagnacci, Donata Sartori, Salvatore Caruso & Aldo Iop

**To cite this article:** Stefano Lello, Ida Paris, Angelo Cagnacci, Donata Sartori, Salvatore Caruso & Aldo Iop (2023) Vasomotor symptoms and management of women undergoing treatment for breast cancer: literature review with focus on the therapeutic potential of cytoplasmic pollen extract, Gynecological Endocrinology, 39:1, 2162035, DOI: 10.1080/09513590.2022.2162035

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2022.2162035</u>

9	© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group	Published online: 02 Jan 2023.
	Submit your article to this journal 🛛	Article views: 422
ď	View related articles $\square$	View Crossmark data 🗗

### REVIEW

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

# Vasomotor symptoms and management of women undergoing treatment for breast cancer: literature review with focus on the therapeutic potential of cytoplasmic pollen extract

Stefano Lello<sup>a</sup> (D), Ida Paris<sup>a</sup> (D), Angelo Cagnacci<sup>b</sup> (D), Donata Sartori<sup>c</sup>, Salvatore Caruso<sup>d</sup> (D) and Aldo Iop<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Woman and Child Health, Policlinico A. Gemelli Foundation-IRCCS, Rome, Italy; <sup>b</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, San Martino Hospital, Genoa, Italy; <sup>c</sup>Oncology Unit, AULSS 3, Mirano, Italy; <sup>d</sup>Obstetrics and Gynecology Unit, Department of General Surgery and Medical Surgical Specialties, University of Catania, Catania, Italy; <sup>e</sup>University Local Health Authority Giuliano Isontina ASUGI, Trieste,Italy

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Effective management of vasomotor symptoms (VMS) in patients undergoing treatment for breast cancer (BC) represents a critical but frequent unmet need. This review summarizes the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and clinical features of VMS in patients with BC and provides a synopsis of the complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) approaches in relieving VMS with a focus on purified cytoplasm of pollen (PCP).

**Methods:** The literature on VMS epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical burden, and CAM treatment in healthy women and patients with BC was reviewed.

**Results:** VMS are common in patients with BC undergoing hormonal treatment and negatively impact quality of life, leading to treatment discontinuation in up to 25% of patients with detrimental impact on risk of BC recurrence and overall survival. CAM approaches to treat VMS in patients with BC include vitamin E, phytoestrogens, and black cohosh, even if there is a lack of solid evidence to guide clinicians in the choice of treatment. PCP, obtained according to standards of good manufacturing practice, has a definite pharmacological mechanism of action, is devoid of estrogen activity, and has shown clinical efficacy on menopause-associated symptoms with a favorable safety profile and high compliance. As such, it appears to represent a valid management option to improve quality of life in patients with pre- and postmenopausal BC.

**Conclusions:** Physicians should actively investigate the presence and impact of VMS in patients receiving therapy for BC. Additional and appropriately sized randomized clinical trials are needed to provide clear evidence on how to best meet the needs of patients with BC suffering from menopause-associated symptoms.

# **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 22 July 2022 Revised 14 December 2022 Accepted 19 December 2022 Published online 02 January 2023

### **KEYWORDS**

Breast cancer; management; vasomotor symptoms; pollen; cytoplasmic extract

# Introduction

The survival rate of patients with breast cancer (BC) has significantly increased due to earlier diagnosis and advances in adjuvant therapies with 5-year relative survival of about 90% [1]. Treatments for BC, including endocrine therapy with or without ovarian function suppression and chemotherapy, suppress endogenous estrogen levels by different mechanisms to induce pharmacological menopause with symptoms that adversely affect women's quality of life (QoL) [2]. Vasomotor symptoms (VMS) are common. However, despite the high discomfort they bring about, these adverse events are not always reported by patients, intercepted by physicians, appropriately assessed, and subsequently treated. Pharmacological and non-pharmacological options are available to treat VMS in patients with BC, although there are limited robust data to guide clinicians in the selection of therapies. Effective management of VMS in patients with BC is therefore a critical but frequent unmet need.

Purified cytoplasm of pollen (PCP) is a non-hormonal nutraceutical with inhibitory action on serotonin reuptake [3], whose efficacy and safety in treating postmenopause VMS has been assessed in several studies [4,5]. The aims of this review are: (1) to review the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and clinical features of VMS in patients with BC as well as the state of the art of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) approaches in relieving these symptoms; (2) to review the effectiveness of PCP based on available literature and the authors' clinical experience.

### Methods

The literature on VMS epidemiology, pathophysiology, clinical burden, and CAM treatment in healthy women and patients with BC was reviewed. Literature was identified through a search on PubMed and selection of all references held to be relevant by the authors.

### Results

VMS in women treated for BC

# Epidemiology

Evidence on the prevalence and frequency of VMS in BC survivors is scarce and there are even less data on their impact on

CONTACT Stefano Lello 🛛 Iello.stefano@gmail.com 🗈 Department of Woman and Child Health, Policlinico A. Gemelli Foundation-IRCCS, Rome, Italy.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

QoL. VMS, including hot flashes (HFs) and night sweats, are the most common symptoms of physiological menopause [6]. In patients with BC, VMS have greater prevalence and frequency and are more severe than in age-matched women without BC [7,8]. Up to 95% of pre-menopausal women and over 30% of postmenopausal women will experience VMS as a result of treatments for BC, and especially aromatase inhibitors, tamoxifen, or other menopause-inducing therapies [9,10]. In a study in patients with BC undergoing endocrine therapy, HFs were reported by 70% of women [11]. Up to 50% BC survivors were reported to experience HFs at daily and or weekly intervals [12]. Related sleep disturbances are present in 18.6 to 56.6% of patients with BC [13,14]. VMS are usually more severe in younger survivors because of the premature ovarian failure induced by BC treatments and can have significant impact on QoL [15]. In addition, in postmenopausal women who already suffer from VMS, endocrine therapy for BC can exacerbate symptoms [16].

# Pathophysiology

VMS, and in particular HFs, are early signs of menopause that tend to increase during the menopausal transition, peak in the first 2 years of menopause, and then decline over time [17]. VMS are a form of temperature dysfunction that is related to changes in ovarian hormones. Disruption of this tightly controlled temperature circuit results in exaggerated heat-loss responses presenting as VMS, with peripheral vasodilation and elevated skin blood flow and temperature [18]. Decreased estrogen levels play a major role in VMS. However, it is recognized that estrogen withdrawal is necessary but not sufficient to explain the occurrence of VMS, whose precise etiology and mechanism are not yet completely clarified [19]. HFs occur in conditions of negative fluctuation (spontaneous menopause) or an abrupt drop (surgical menopause) in estrogen levels. The correlation is more significant with abrupt estrogen decreases than with chronically low levels [20].

Women who experience HFs were shown to have a restricted thermoregulatory zone, which makes the crossing of the upper threshold more likely with subsequent development of peripheral dilation and sweats as heat dissipation responses [21]. Furthermore, with the decline of estrogen levels in menopause, norepinephrine levels increase, and experimental data have shown that increased brain norepinephrine further narrows the width of the thermoneutral zone [22]. Overall, the regulation of internal body temperature involves neuroendocrine pathways with complex interactions between noradrenaline, estrogen, testosterone, and serotonin [23]. Several clinical studies have found that compounds that increase serotonin availability can improve HFs [24–26].

Symptoms other than VMS are reported by menopausal women. The genitourinary syndrome of menopause (GSM) is the new term for vulvovaginal atrophy and encompasses urinary, genital, or sexual dysfunction related to a hypoestrogenic state. GSM, typically related to postmenopausal estrogen loss, can also be induced by BC therapies such as chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and endocrine therapy [27]. Common symptoms of GMS are vaginal dryness, dyspareunia, vulvovaginal irritation, and pruritus. Other aspects of sexual dysfunction include decrease of libido, arousal level, and sexual satisfaction [28]. Moreover, a low level of estrogens can lower vaginal pH, favoring the development of genitourinary infections.

# Predictors

Identification of factors that can predict VMS occurrence following BC therapy may be helpful in appropriately addressing these symptoms in women who are at higher risk of developing them. However, little is known about the characteristics that may affect the risk of VMS during and after treatment for BC, other than treatment with tamoxifen or aromatase inhibitors. Age and duration of treatment have long been identified as the strongest predictors [29]. More recently, the Life and Longevity after Cancer Study (LILAC) in 3,595 women identified prior chemotherapy and adjuvant hormone therapy as strong predictors of VMS [30]. Other factors associated with an increased risk of VMS are postmenopausal hormone therapy use, previous occurrence of VMS during menopausal transition, bilateral oophorectomy, and baseline antidepressant use. Another very recent analysis of the cohort of midlife women enrolled in the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN) study found no differences in risk factors for VMS between women with incident BC and cancer-free controls [7].

# **Clinical features**

HFs can be described as subjective sensations of heat associated with objective signs of cutaneous vasodilation and subsequent drop in core temperature and may be accompanied by sweating, especially at night, as well as palpitations, anxiety, irritability, and even panic [31]. Night sweats and sleep disturbances, mostly considered secondary to HF, are among the most troublesome symptoms reported by women with BC [32]. In addition, over half of women with BC would consider a treatment to be effective if it reduced nighttime awakenings [32].

Women who were premenopausal at diagnosis of BC generally report more severe VMS following BC treatment compared to postmenopausal patients [33]. Overall, significantly reduced physical health-related QoL has been observed at the end of adjuvant endocrine therapy compared with a normal population, which is substantially worse in patients treated with aromatase inhibitors. While significant improvement was observed in the subsequent 12 months in the tamoxifen group, in patients treated with aromatase inhibitors, at the end of treatment, there was a plateau in recovery [16].

Musculoskeletal problems have been reported in BC patients undergoing endocrine therapy, especially with aromatase inhibitors, including arthralgia, accelerated bone loss, and an increased incidence of osteoporotic fractures [16].

# Management of VMS in patients with BC

According to the "North American Menopause Society" (NAMS), in women hormonal replacement therapy should be individualized using the best available evidence to maximize benefits and minimize risks. In general, in those younger than 60 years or within 10 years of menopause onset and no contraindications, the benefit–risk ratio is generally favorable while for those who initiate hormone therapy more than 10 years from menopause onset or who are aged older than 60 years the benefit–risk ratio is less favorable [34]. Although a number of pharmacological approaches may be used to treat VMS, including hormone replacement therapy [35–37], selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)[38], selective serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs) [38], gabapentin [39,40], and clonidine [41], albeit with some controversy and not recognized in many settings, herein focus is placed on CAM and PCP.

# Complementary and alternative medicine

Many patients with BC prefer to manage their VMS with non-hormonal and non-psychotropic medications and seek CAM approaches. CAM includes different types of approaches including: (i) mind-body practices, such as hypnosis, cognitive behavioral therapy, relaxation, yoga, sofrology, biofeedback, and meditation; (ii) natural products, (iii) acupuncture. There is some evidence that mind-body interventions can reduce the stress and discomfort associated with VMS, with very few side effects, and may thus be worth considering [42]. Herein, however, focus is placed on natural products.

*Vitamin E.* Vitamin E is a fat-soluble vitamin with antioxidant properties. In a trial investigating the effect of vitamin E on VMS reduction in healthy menopausal women, participants reported a non-clinically meaningful decrease of 1–2 daily HFs [43]. In two randomized trials in patients with BC with menopausal symptoms comparing vitamin E with placebo [44] and gabapentin [39], no clinically meaningful benefit on VMS was observed.

*Phytoestrogens*. Phytoestrogens are plant-derived, naturally occurring compounds that are capable of binding to and activating estrogen receptors. They include isoflavones (derived from soy and red clover), lignans (from flexseed), and hops (*Humulus lupulus*). Phytoestrogens may have both estrogenic and antiestrogenic effects in humans [45]. A systematic review of 43 randomized controlled trials on 4364 healthy peri- and postmenopausal women did not support the use of phytoestrogens to relieve VMS symptoms [46]. In BC survivors, the estrogenic properties of these compounds suggest that they may stimulate cancer recurrence and worsen prognosis [47]. As such, they should be considered as contraindicated in women with BC for relief of VMS symptoms.

**Black cohosh.** Black cohosh is a perennial medicinal plant native to North America that is indicated for menopause-related neurovegetative and emotional symptoms. Several mechanisms have been suggested, including estrogen receptor modulation, partial agonism of serotonin, with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties [48]. A Cochrane review of 16 randomized clinical trials on over 2000 healthy menopausal women with VMS concluded that there is no sufficient evidence to support the use of black cohosh in controlling menopausal symptoms [49]. However, black cohosh appears to have a good safety profile in BC survivors [50].

# Cytoplasmic extract of pollen for management of VMS in patients with BC

# Production of purified cytoplasm of pollen

PCP is a non-hormonal nutraceutical containing two major active ingredients, a pure pollen extract (GC Fem) and a combined pollen and pistil extract (PI 82). PI 82 is an extract of pollen from *Secale cereale, Dactylis glomerata,* and *Pinus silvestris* and a pollen-pistil extract from *Zea mays.* GC FEM is an extract of pollen from *S. cereale, Z. mays,* and *P. silvestris.* The plants of origin are cultivated and harvested separately by plant type using a standardized method in accordance with the recommendations of the European Medicines Agency. The extraction procedure, performed according to Good Manufacturing Practice, removes

the cytoplasmic pollen extract from its shell, which is highly allergenic, enabling the retention of the active pollen components. The exclusion of the shell also makes the active compounds highly bioavailable. The final pollen cytoplasm extract is highly purified and removes at least 180 nutrients and pollen allergens. The production procedures are standardized to ensure that different batches of PCP are reproducible.

### **Composition of PCP**

PCP contains three active agents with antioxidant enzymes and natural non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents: purified pollen extract (GC Fem), a mixture of cytoplasmic pollen, and pistil extracts (PI 82), and vitamin E.

### Mechanism of action

Inhibition of serotonin reuptake seems to be responsible for at least part of activity of PCP, since animal studies have demonstrated a dose-dependent inhibitory effect on the reuptake of [<sup>3</sup>H]-serotonin into rat cortical synaptosomes [3]. It has been hypothesized that PCP may have an amplifying effect on other neurotransmitter pathways that control thermoregulation, sleep, and mood [3].

The decrease in estrogens during menopause has been shown to increase the levels of oxidative stress in the body [51]. Part of the mechanism of action of PCP may also be explained by the high antioxidant action of the enzyme superoxide dismutase contained in pollen and pistil extracts [52].

### **Clinical studies**

The first important clinical study was a randomized trial comparing the effect of two daily tablets of PCP (320 mg of purified pollen extract and 10 mg of vitamin E per tablet) and placebo for 3 months on menopausal symptoms, measured by the 16-symptom Menopausal Rating Scale (MRS) in addition to the patients' daily diary [4]. The study involved 64 menopausal women, 54 of whom completed the trial. The effect of PCP on HFs was significant after 2 months and even more evident at 3 months. The overall trend in 15 other QoL parameters was also in favor of PCP. No safety concerns emerged.

An open-label multicenter study carried out in France involving 417 menopausal women evaluated the efficacy of PCP using visual analogue scales for HFs, sweats, irritability, fatigue, quality of sleep, and QoL [53]. At the 3-month end-of-study visit, HFs, night sweats, irritability, and fatigue were reduced, while quality of sleep and QoL had improved.

A randomized controlled study compared three small groups of menopausal women treated with placebo, PCP, or a combination of E2 and drospirenone (E2 + DRSP) [54]. Neurovegetative symptoms, including HFs, sweating, asthenia, palpitations, insomnia, headache, and moodiness, were assessed with the Kupperman Index (KI) at baseline and after 3 and 6 months of treatment. With similar baseline values, a considerable reduction in the KI was obtained in the two active treatment groups at the 3- and 6-month evaluations, while the placebo group remained stable.

A prospective, open, observational, multicenter study in 104 menopausal women assessed the effectiveness of PCP over 3 months on menopausal symptoms by the Menopausal Rating Scale (MRS) [5]. After 3 months of treatment, HFs, sleep disturbance, depressive mood, irritability, and fatigue were reduced by about half.

A 6-month prospective observational study in healthy menopausal women with VMS symptoms compared PCP (2 tablets/ day, each containing 320 mg of purified pollen extract and 10 mg of vitamin E; N=57) with soy isoflavones containing 30 mg of genistein and 30 mg of daidzein (one 60 mg tablet/day; N=60) and no treatment (N=47) [55]. The active treatment groups showed significant improvement in HFs after 3 and 6 months. At 6 months, the PCP group showed greater decrease in the number of daily HFs compared with the isoflavone group. Improvement in global sleep quality was also greater in the PCP group at both 3 and 6 months.

A multicenter prospective observational study conducted in 108 Italian symptomatic peri- and postmenopausal women investigated the effects of PCP on HFs and other menopausal symptoms including the Greene Climacteric Scale (GCS) [56]. After 3 months, significant improvement was observed in HFs and night sweats and in almost all GCS items, including nervousness, irritability, and depressed mood.

### PCP in patients with breast cancer

When managing patients with BC for treatment-induced menopausal symptoms, the main consideration is to avoid tumor relapse during or after hormonal therapy, avoiding substances with estrogenic activity. Preclinical studies have demonstrated that the pollen extract in PCP does not have any estrogenic effects. High-performance liquid chromatography analyses of phytoestrogens showed low, subeffective concentrations of daidzein and genistein, whereas formononetin and biochanin A were not detected [57]. The estrogenic activity of PCP was also examined in a bioassay using immature female rats, showing that up to a high dose of 500 mg/kg/day it did not induce uterine growth [57].

Experimental data showed that estrogens can stimulate a proliferative effect on BC cells via the progesterone receptor membrane component (PGRMC1) in addition to intracellular receptors. In an *in vitro* study, PCP at different concentrations and in combination with estradiol or mixed growth factors did not stimulate proliferation of MCF-7 cells – a human BC cell line that endogenously expresses estrogen receptors – whether or not transfected with PGRMC1 [58].

A case series was recently published that included women with BC (12 with HR+, 8 with luminal A, and 4 with luminal B cancer) with a median age of 47 years who received PCP for 3 months [59]. Menopausal symptoms were evaluated with the MRS. After 3 months, all women had improvement in HFs, cardiac symptoms, irritability, and anxiety. No side effects were reported, and compliance was very high.

# Conclusions

VMS and especially HFs are extremely common in patients with BC receiving hormonal treatment and negatively impact QoL in both pre- and postmenopausal women, leading to treatment discontinuation in up to 25% of patients, with detrimental impact on the risk of BC recurrence and overall survival. Despite their frequency and impact on patients, VMS are not always adequately recognized, reported, evaluated, and consequently treated. There is thus the need to actively investigate the presence and impact of VMS in patients receiving BC therapy and discuss the most appropriate management strategy with the patient.

Pharmacological and CAM options for VMS are available, although there is a lack of solid evidence to guide clinicians in the choice of treatment. Furthermore, clinical results are often unsatisfactory and effective management of VMS in patients with BC still represents a frequent unmet need. PCP, obtained by GMP standards, has a defined pharmacological mechanism of action and is devoid of estrogen activity. In our opinion, PCP represents a valid option to improve QoL in pre- and postmenopausal patients with BC. It has shown clinical efficacy on menopause associated symptoms and is not associated with any adverse effects. Additional randomized clinical trials with patient-focused outcomes are needed to adequately meet the needs of patients with BC suffering from symptoms associated with menopause.

## Acknowledgments

Medical writing support was provided by Renata Perego on behalf of Ma.CRO Lifescience Srl and was funded by Shionogi.

# **Author contributions**

All authors have accepted responsibility for the entire content of this manuscript and approved its submission.

#### **Disclosure statement**

Ida Paris received honoraria for public speaking from Novartis, Lilly, Pfizer, Gilead, Genetic, Astra Zeneca, MDS; Consultant for Seagen. Angelo Cagnacci was a speaker at scientific meetings for Shionogi. All the other authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Funding

The author(s) reported there is no funding associated with the work featured in this article.

# ORCID

 Stefano Lello
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1616-9105

 Ida Paris
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7445-3366

 Angelo Cagnacci
 http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2714-623X

 Salvatore Caruso
 http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1387-0932

### References

- [1] Cancer stat facts: female breast cancer. [cited 2022 May 27]. Available from: https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/breast.html.
- [2] Genazzani AR, Schneider HP, Panay N, et al. The european menopause survey 2005: women's perceptions on the menopause and postmenopausal hormone therapy. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2006;22(7):369–375.
- [3] Appel K, Veit J, Diaz P, et al. Purified and specific cytoplasmic pollen extract, PureCyTonin<sup>\*</sup>, inhibits serotonin reuptake in the rat brain model. GREM Gynecol Reprod Endocrinol Metab. 2020;01(2020):64–68.
- [4] Winther K, Rein E, Hedman C. Femal, a herbal remedy made from pollen extracts, reduces hot flushes and improves quality of life in menopausal women: a randomized, placebo-controlled, parallel study. Climacteric. 2005;8(2):162–170.
- [5] Fait T, Sailer M, Regidor PA. Prospective observational study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of the pollen extract serelys((R)) in the management of women with menopausal symptoms. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2019;35(4):360–363.
- [6] Gold EB, Colvin A, Avis N, et al. Longitudinal analysis of the association between vasomotor symptoms and race/ethnicity across the menopausal transition: study of women's health across the nation. Am J Public Health. 2006;96(7):1226–1235.

- [7] Gold EB, Crawford SL, Leung K, et al. Vasomotor symptoms in midlife women with incident breast cancer: pink SWAN. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2022;191(1):125–135.
- [8] Seib C, Porter-Steele J, McGuire A, et al. Menopausal symptom clusters and their correlates in women with and without a history of breast cancer: a pooled data analysis from the women's wellness research program. Menopause. 2017;24(6):624–634.
- [9] Regan MM, Price KN, Giobbie-Hurder A, et al., International Breast Cancer Study Group and BIG 1-98 Collaborative Group. Interpreting breast international group (BIG) 1-98: a randomized, double-blind, phase III trial comparing letrozole and tamoxifen as adjuvant endocrine therapy for postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive, early breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2011;13(3):209.
- [10] Yeo W, Pang E, Liem GS, et al. Menopausal symptoms in relationship to breast cancer-specific quality of life after adjuvant cytotoxic treatment in young breast cancer survivors. Health Qual Life Outcomes. 2020;18(1):24.
- [11] Glaus A, Boehme C, Thurlimann B, et al. Fatigue and menopausal symptoms in women with breast cancer undergoing hormonal cancer treatment. Ann Oncol. 2006;17(5):801–806.
- [12] Chang HY, Jotwani AC, Lai YH, et al. Hot flashes in breast cancer survivors: frequency, severity and impact. Breast. 2016;27:116–121.
- [13] Desai K, Mao JJ, Su I, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for insomnia among breast cancer patients on aromatase inhibitors. Support Care Cancer. 2013;21(1):43–51.
- [14] Seib C, Anderson D, Lee K. Prevalence and correlates of sleep disturbance in postmenopausal women: the Australian healthy aging of women (HOW) study. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2014;23(2):151–158.
- [15] Knobf MT. The influence of endocrine effects of adjuvant therapy on quality of life outcomes in younger breast cancer survivors. Oncologist. 2006;11(2):96–110.
- [16] Ganz PA, Petersen L, Bower JE, et al. Impact of adjuvant endocrine therapy on quality of life and symptoms: observational data Over 12 months from the Mind-Body study. J Clin Oncol. 2016;34(8):816–824.
- [17] Kronenberg F. Hot flashes: epidemiology and physiology. Ann NY Acad Sci. 1990;592:52–86. discussion 123–133.
- [18] Deecher DC, Dorries K. Understanding the pathophysiology of vasomotor symptoms (hot flushes and night sweats) that occur in perimenopause, menopause, and postmenopause life stages. Arch Womens Ment Health. 2007;10(6):247–257.
- [19] Kronenberg F. Menopausal hot flashes: a review of physiology and biosociocultural perspective on methods of assessment. J Nutr. 2010;140(7):1380S-1385S.
- [20] Gallicchio L, Whiteman MK, Tomic D, et al. Type of menopause, patterns of hormone therapy use, and hot flashes. Fertil Steril. 2006;85(5):1432-1440.
- [21] Freedman RR. Physiology of hot flashes. Am J Hum Biol. 2001;13(4):453-464.
- [22] Freedman RR. Menopausal hot flashes: mechanisms, endocrinology, treatment. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2014;142:115–120.
- [23] Kligman L, Younus J. Management of hot flashes in women with breast cancer. Curr Oncol. 2010;17(1):81–86.
- [24] Freeman EW, Guthrie KA, Caan B, et al. Efficacy of escitalopram for hot flashes in healthy menopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2011;305(3):267–274.
- [25] Loprinzi CL, Kugler JW, Sloan JA, et al. Venlafaxine in management of hot flashes in survivors of breast cancer: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2000;356(9247):2059–2063.
- [26] Loprinzi CL, Sloan JA, Perez EA, et al. Phase III evaluation of fluoxetine for treatment of hot flashes. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20(6):1578– 1583.
- [27] Moreno AC, Sikka SK, Thacker HL. Genitourinary syndrome of menopause in breast cancer survivors: treatments are available. Cleve Clin J Med. 2018;85(10):760–766.
- [28] Chin SN, Trinkaus M, Simmons C, et al. Prevalence and severity of urogenital symptoms in postmenopausal women receiving endocrine therapy for breast cancer. Clin Breast Cancer. 2009;9(2):108–117.
- [29] Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, et al. Risk of menopause during the first year after breast cancer diagnosis. J Clin Oncol. 1999;17(8):2365-2370.

- [30] Reeves KW, Pennell M, Foraker RE, et al. Predictors of vasomotor symptoms among breast cancer survivors. J Cancer Surviv. 2018;12(3):379-387.
- [31] Boekhout AH, Beijnen JH, Schellens JH. Symptoms and treatment in cancer therapy-induced early menopause. Oncologist. 2006;11(6):641– 654.
- [32] Cole KM, Clemons M, Alzahrani M, et al. Vasomotor symptoms in early breast cancer-a "real world" exploration of the patient experience. Support Care Cancer. 2022;30(5):4437–4446.
- [33] Berger AM, Treat Marunda HA, Agrawal S. Influence of menopausal status on sleep and hot flashes throughout breast cancer adjuvant chemotherapy. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2009;38(3):353–366.
- [34] The hormone therapy position statement of "The North American menopause society" advisory Panel . The 2022 hormone therapy position statement of The North American menopause society. Menopause. 2022;29(7):767–794.
- [35] Holmberg L, Anderson H, Steering H, et al. HABITS (hormonal replacement therapy after breast cancer – is it safe?), a randomised comparison: trial stopped. Lancet. 2004;363(9407):453-455.
- [36] Holmberg L, Iversen OE, Rudenstam CM, et al., HABITS Study Group. Increased risk of recurrence after hormone replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008;100(7):475-482.
- [37] Poggio F, Del Mastro L, Bruzzone M, et al. Safety of systemic hormone replacement therapy in breast cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2022;191(2):269–275.
- [38] Rada G, Capurro D, Pantoja T, et al. Non-hormonal interventions for hot flushes in women with a history of breast cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(9):CD004923.
- [39] Biglia N, Sgandurra P, Peano E, et al. Non-hormonal treatment of hot flushes in breast cancer survivors: gabapentin vs. vitamin E. Climacteric. 2009;12(4):310–318.
- [40] Pandya KJ, Morrow GR, Roscoe JA, et al. Gabapentin for hot flashes in 420 women with breast cancer: a randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2005;366(9488):818-824.
- [41] Nelson HD, Vesco KK, Haney E, et al. Nonhormonal therapies for menopausal hot flashes: systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2006;295(17):2057–2071.
- [42] Johnson A, Roberts L, Elkins G. Complementary and alternative medicine for menopause. J Evid Based Integr Med. 2019;24:2515690X19829380.
- [43] Ziaei S, Kazemnejad A, Zareai M. The effect of vitamin E on hot flashes in menopausal women. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2007;64(4):204– 207.
- [44] Barton DL, Loprinzi CL, Quella SK, et al. Prospective evaluation of vitamin E for hot flashes in breast cancer survivors. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16(2):495-500.
- [45] Harris DM, Besselink E, Henning SM, et al. Phytoestrogens induce differential estrogen receptor alpha- or beta-mediated responses in transfected breast cancer cells. Exp Biol Med (Maywood). 2005;230(8):558-568.
- [46] Lethaby A, Marjoribanks J, Kronenberg F, et al. Phytoestrogens for menopausal vasomotor symptoms. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;(12):CD001395.
- [47] Alipour S, Jafari-Adli S, Eskandari A. Benefits and harms of phytoestrogen consumption in breast cancer survivors. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015;16(8):3091–3396.
- [48] Ruhlen RL, Sun GY, Sauter ER. Black cohosh: insights into its mechanism(s) of action. Integr Med Insights. 2008;3:21–32.
- [49] Leach MJ, Moore V. Black cohosh (cimicifuga spp.) for menopausal symptoms. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;2012(9):CD007244.
- [50] Fritz H, Seely D, McGowan J, et al. Black cohosh and breast cancer: a systematic review. Integr Cancer Ther. 2014;13(1):12–29.
- [51] Doshi SB, Agarwal A. The role of oxidative stress in menopause. J Midlife Health. 2013;4(3):140–146.
- [52] Krotkiewski M, Belboul A, Palm S, et al. The effect of SOD-active plant substance polbax on oxygen free radical (OFR) generation and blood cell rheology. Clin Hemorheol. 1995;4:641–647.
- [53] Elia D, Mares P. Assessment of the tolerance and effectiveness of a food supplement sérélys (femal) for menopausal women. Genesis. 2008;35:12–15.

- [54] D'Alterio MN, Giancane E, Cornacchia S. "GC fem, PI 82, vitamin E" in menopause treatment: benefits for peri- and postmenopausal neurovegetative symptoms. Multidiscip J Woman's Health. 2015;4:1.
- [55] De Franciscis P, Conte A, Schiattarella A, et al. Non-hormonal treatments for menopausal symptoms and sleep disturbances: a comparison between purified pollen extracts and soy isoflavones. Curr Pharm Des. 2020;26(35):4509–4514.
- [56] Lello S, Capozzi A, Xholli A, et al., on behalf of Italian Society of Menopause (SIM), the Italian Society of Gynecology of the Third Age of Women (SIGiTE), and the Pollen Extract in Menopause Italian Study Group. The benefits of purified cytoplasm of pollen in reducing menopausal symptoms in peri- and post-menopause: an italian

multicentre prospective observational study. Minerva Obstet Gynecol. 2022;74(6):516-521.

- [57] Hellstrom AC, Muntzing J. The pollen extract femal a nonestrogenic alternative to hormone therapy in women with menopausal symptoms. Menopause. 2012;19(7):825–829.
- [58] Seeger H, Ruan X, Neubauer H, et al. Membrane-initiated effects of serelys((R)) on proliferation and apoptosis of human breast cancer cells. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2018;34(4):353–356.
- [59] Iop A, Driol P, Zacchia A, et al. Cytoplasmic pollen extract for treatment of menopausal symptoms in breast cancer patients: a case series report. EJGO. 2021;42(1):45–49.