

Tackling the grapevine Pectate Lyase gene family and its role in the berry texture determination

B. ROJAS^{1,2,*}, J. LAGRÈZE^{1,2}, B. FARNETI², D. PORRO³, M. MALNOY², C. MOSER², L. DALLA COSTA² and G. MALACARNE²

¹ Center Agriculture Food Environment (C3A), University of Trento/Fondazione Edmund Mach, San Michele all'Adige (Trento), Italy ² Research and Innovation Center, Edmund Mach Foundation, San Michele all'Adige (Trento), Italy

³ Center of Technology Transfer, Edmund Mach Foundation, San Michele all'Adige

INTRODUCTION

Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) is one of the most commercially valuable fruit trees worldwide. Table grapes represent an important economic sector, where consumers highly appreciate the berry firmness trait. Although several studies have addressed the key role of the cell wall in fruit firmness, the main players among cell wall degrading enzymes during fruit ripening are still unclear. This work characterizes the grapevine Pectate Lyase (VvPL) gene family which catalyzes the eliminative cleavage of de-esterified pectin during the berry development. Using the latest grapevine genome assembly and annotation (Canaguier et al., 2017), 17 members of the family containing the PL domain were identified.





The study of firmness during the berry ripening indicates a contrasting phenotype between a soft and a firm variety in table grape. At five phenological stages, 60 berries were sampled, and their firmness was assessed with a durometer in the field. The mean is presented, and the

II. Characterization of berry texture in table grape genotypes

RESULTS

Fig 1. Schematic mechanism of the Pectate lyase role in the cell wall solubilization. After the demethylesterification of the homogalacturonan (HG) by the Pectinmethylesterase (PME), Pectate lyases can breakdown the HG into galacturonic acid units.



I. Identification of grapevine PL gene family and selection of candidate genes for functional characterization

II. Characterization of berry texture in table grape genotypes





differences statistical were determined through t-test (p < 0.5).

III. Gene expression analysis of VvPLs in berries with contrasting firmness



VvPL05 and VvPL16 are highly expressed in the soft variety at middle ripening. Flesh and skin were analyzed independently in a soft and a firm table grape variety at three phenological stages: veraison (V), middle ripening (MR) and full ripening (FR). The Normalized relative expression (NRE) of each gene was calculated using the method by Hellemans et al. (2007) and adopting VvEF1a and VvGAPDH genes as normalizers. An ANOVA One-Way Post-test Tukey (p<0.5) was performed to assess the significance of the comparisons. Vertical bars indicate the mean. N=3

IV. Functional analysis of selected VvPL genes by genome editing.



VvPL05 and VvPL16 are the most induced VvPL genes in Cabernet Sauvignon at the beginning of veraison (O DFV). This result comes from the investigation of

NOS-	T Pro-Ubq10)At	Cas9	NC	S-T	J6At	sgRN	A scaffold		
A STATE	SIGRADY PLS 3/2 SUGRADIE PLS 3/2 SUGRADIE PLS 3/2 SUGRADIE						I IIII			
Embryogenic calli		- Emb	ryogenic callus	S S	Somatic embr			Organogenesis	5	
in culture media		after	transformation	n	developmen		gRNA4_VvPL16			
						Li	ine	Mutation	<mark>.М</mark>	
PAM	gRNA3_VvPL05	gRNA3_VvPL14 PAM			VvPI	L16_1	Insertion of a C	÷		
Line	Mutation		Line	Mutation		VvPI	L16_2	Insertion of a G	÷	
VvPL05_1	Insertion of a T		VvPL14_1	Deletion of	Deletion of 8 pb Mixed mutation		L16_3	Mixed mutation	า	
VvPL05_2	Mixed mutation		VvPL14_2	Mixed muto			L16_4	Mixed mutation	ı	
VvPL05_3	Mixed mutation		VvPL14_3	Mixed muto	ation	VvPI	L16_5	Mixed mutation	า	
						VvPI	16 6	Mixed mutation	`	

'Sugraone' transformation for the knock-out of VvPL05, VvPL14 and VvPL16 through the CRISPR/Cas9 system has achieved three edited lines for VvPL05, three for VvPL14, and six for VvPL16 as indicated by the Sanger sequencing of the target site.

PERSPECTIVES

- Cell wall characterization of berries with contrasting firmness through Immunohistochemistry and FT-IR analyses.
- Characterization of VvPLs knock-out lines

REFERENCES

Canaguier et al. (2017). Genomics Data 14: 56–62 doi: 10.1016/j.gdata.2017.09.002



