



## Short communication

# A representation of a class of quasi-arithmetic means using a unary modifier operator

József Dombi<sup>a,b</sup>, Tamás Jónás<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ELKH-SZTE Research Group on Artificial Intelligence, Szeged, Tisza Lajos körút, Szeged H-6720, Hungary

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Informatics, University of Szeged, Árpád tér 2, Szeged H-6720, Hungary

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Economics, Eötvös Loránd University, Egyetem tér 1-3, Budapest H-1053, Hungary

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Strict t-norms

Quasi-arithmetic means

Tau function

Idempotency

## ABSTRACT

In this short communication, we will show that a quasi-arithmetic mean induced by an additive generator of a strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively) can be represented by the composition of a unary operator (called the tau function) and the strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively), both induced by the same generator function as the quasi arithmetic mean. Here, we will also state a connection between the idempotency of a transformed strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively) and the tau function.

## 1. Preliminaries

Here, we will give an overview of the concepts and notations that will be utilized later on. We will use the common notation  $\mathbb{R}$  for the real line and  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  for the extended real line, i.e.,  $\overline{\mathbb{R}} = [-\infty, \infty]$ . Since we will operate on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , based on [1] and [2], we will adopt the following conventions:

$$\frac{1}{0} = \infty, \frac{1}{\infty} = 0, e^{-\infty} = 0, e^{\infty} = \infty, \ln(0) = -\infty, \text{ and } \ln(\infty) = \infty.$$

### 1.1. Strict triangular norms and strict triangular conorms

The Archimedean triangular norms (t-norms in short) and triangular conorms (t-conorms in short) as well as their strict class both play an important role in continuous-valued logic (for more details, see [1]). These norms are defined as follows (see, e.g., [1,3]).

**Definition 1.** We say that a continuous t-norm  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  (t-conorm  $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , respectively) is Archimedean, if  $T(x, x) < x$  ( $S(x, x) > x$ , respectively) holds for any  $x \in (0, 1)$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that a continuous Archimedean t-norm  $T$  (t-conorm  $S$ , respectively) is a strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively), if  $T(x, y) < T(x, z)$  whenever  $x \in (0, 1]$  and  $y < z$  (if  $S(x, y) < S(x, z)$  whenever  $x \in [0, 1)$  and  $y < z$ , respectively).

The strict t-norms and t-conorms can be represented as follows (see [1,4]).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [dombi@inf.u-szeged.hu](mailto:dombi@inf.u-szeged.hu) (J. Dombi), [jonas@gtk.elte.hu](mailto:jonas@gtk.elte.hu) (T. Jónás).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2023.108763>

Received 10 August 2023; Received in revised form 18 October 2023; Accepted 20 October 2023

Available online 24 October 2023

0165-0114/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

**Theorem 1.** A function  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  ( $S : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , respectively) is a strict t-norm (t-conorm, respectively) if and only if there exists a continuous, strictly decreasing (increasing, respectively) function  $t : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  ( $s : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , respectively) with  $t(0) = \infty$  and  $t(1) = 0$  ( $s(0) = 0$  and  $s(1) = \infty$ , respectively), which is uniquely determined up to a positive constant multiplier, such that for any  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$T(x, y) = t^{-1}(t(x) + t(y))$$

$$(S(x, y) = s^{-1}(s(x) + s(y)), \text{ respectively}).$$

In Theorem 1, the function  $t$  ( $s$ , respectively) is called an additive generator of the strict t-norm  $T$  (strict t-conorm  $S$ , respectively). We will now use the following class of functions.

**Notation 1.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the set of all continuous and strictly monotonic functions  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  for which exactly one of the following two cases holds:

- (a)  $g$  is strictly decreasing with  $g(0) = \infty$  and  $g(1) = 0$ ;
- (b)  $g$  is strictly increasing with  $g(0) = 0$  and  $g(1) = \infty$ .

Based on Theorem 1 and Notation 1,  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  means that  $g$  is an additive generator function of either a strict t-norm or a strict t-conorm.

Taking into account the associativity of strict t-norms (strict t-conorms, respectively) (see, e.g., [1,5]), we will simply interpret the  $n$ -ary strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively)  $o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , induced by an additive generator  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , as

$$o_g(\mathbf{x}) = g^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\right), \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ .

### 1.2. Quasi-arithmetic means

Based on [2], we will use the following definition of a quasi-arithmetic mean on  $[0, 1]^n$  (see also [6]).

**Definition 3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a continuous and strictly monotonic function. We say that the function  $M_f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an  $n$ -ary quasi-arithmetic mean on  $[0, 1]^n$  generated by the function  $f$  if for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ ,  $M_f(\mathbf{x})$  is given by

$$M_f(\mathbf{x}) = f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)\right). \tag{2}$$

The function  $f$  in (2) is called a generator of  $M_f$ . Aczél [5] showed that  $f$  is uniquely determined up to a linear transformation (also see [7]).

Noting Definition 3, we readily note that for any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ ,

$$M_g(\mathbf{x}) = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\right) \tag{3}$$

is an  $n$ -ary quasi-arithmetic mean, where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ . In this short communication, we will concentrate on the class of  $n$ -ary quasi-arithmetic means induced by all the additive generators of strict t-norms and strict t-conorms (i.e., by functions that are members of  $\mathcal{G}$ ).

Based on [2], we will make use of the following definition of the idempotency of an  $n$ -ary operator.

**Definition 4.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq 1$ . We say that an operator  $o : \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is idempotent if for any  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,

$$o(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{n\text{-times}}) = x.$$

It immediately follows from (2) that a quasi-arithmetic mean is idempotent. In contrast, an  $n$ -ary strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively)  $o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is induced by an additive generator  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  according to (1), is not idempotent. Namely, if  $o_g$  is an  $n$ -ary strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively), then for any  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$o_g(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{n\text{-times}}) < x$$

$$(o_g(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{n\text{-times}}) > x, \text{ respectively}).$$

Later, we will show how the so-called tau function, which we will present in the next subsection, can be used to transform an  $n$ -ary strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively) into a quasi-arithmetic mean.

### 1.3. The tau function

The tau function, which is a unary modifier operator in continuous-valued logic, was first introduced by Dombi (see [8]). This function is defined as follows.

**Definition 5.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and let  $\nu, \nu_0 \in (0, 1)$ . We say that the mapping  $\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a tau function with the parameters  $\nu$  and  $\nu_0$ , induced by function  $g$ , if  $\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0}$  is given by

$$\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0}(x) = g^{-1} \left( g(\nu_0) \frac{g(x)}{g(\nu)} \right). \tag{4}$$

Here, the function  $g$  is called a generator function of  $\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0}$ .

The following properties of a tau function immediately follow from its definition (for more details, see [8]):

- (a)  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}$  is continuous in  $[0, 1]$
- (b)  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}$  is strictly increasing in  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}(0) = 0$  and  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}(1) = 1$
- (c)  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}(\nu) = \nu_0$
- (d) For any  $x \in (0, 1)$ ,
  - (d1) if  $\nu = \nu_0$ , then  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}(x) = x$
  - (d2) if  $\nu < \nu_0$ , then  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}(x) > x$
  - (d3) if  $\nu > \nu_0$ , then  $\tau_{\nu,\nu_0}(x) < x$ .

## 2. Representation of quasi-arithmetic means induced by additive generators of strict t-norms and strict t-conorms

Now, we will demonstrate that a quasi-arithmetic mean induced by an additive generator of a strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively) is none other than the composition of a tau function and the strict t-norm (strict t-conorm, respectively) both induced by the same generator function as the quasi arithmetic mean.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Suppose that  $M_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a quasi-arithmetic mean generated by  $g$  according to (3), and  $o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an  $n$ -ary strict t-norm or strict t-conorm also generated by  $g$  according to (1). Then, for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  and a strictly increasing and continuous function  $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

$$M_g(\mathbf{x}) = \tau(o_g(\mathbf{x})) \tag{5}$$

holds if and only if there exist  $\nu, \nu_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\nu_0 = g^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} g(\nu) \right) \tag{6}$$

and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\tau(x) = \tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0}(x), \tag{7}$$

where  $\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a tau function with the parameters  $\nu$  and  $\nu_0$ , induced by the  $g$  function.

**Proof.** Proof of necessity. Assume that for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ , (5) holds with a strictly increasing and continuous function  $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Then, based on (1) and (3), we have

$$g^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \right) = \tau \left( g^{-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) \right) \right). \tag{8}$$

Noting (1), we also have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i) = g(o_g(\mathbf{x})),$$

and so (8) can be written as

$$g^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} g(o_g(\mathbf{x})) \right) = \tau(o_g(\mathbf{x})).$$

The previous equation means that for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\tau(x) = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(x)\right).$$

Since for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g(x) \in [0, \infty]$ , there exist  $v, v_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{g(v_0)}{g(v)}$ , or equivalently,

$$v_0 = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(v)\right).$$

Therefore we find that for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\tau(x) = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(x)\right) = g^{-1}\left(g(v_0)\frac{g(x)}{g(v)}\right),$$

which means that (7) holds.

**Proof of sufficiency.** Assume that  $v, v_0 \in (0, 1)$ , (6) holds, and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\tau(x)$  is given by (7). Noting that (6) is equivalent to  $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{g(v_0)}{g(v)}$ , using (7), (4) and (1), for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(o_g(\mathbf{x})) &= \tau_{g,v,v_0}(o_g(\mathbf{x})) = g^{-1}\left(g(v_0)\frac{g(o_g(\mathbf{x}))}{g(v)}\right) \\ &= g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (3), the last equation means that (5) holds for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ .  $\square$

The following theorem concerns the idempotency of the composition  $\tau \circ o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , where  $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a strictly increasing and continuous function.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Let  $o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an  $n$ -ary strict  $t$ -norm or strict  $t$ -conorm generated by  $g$  according to (1). Then, for a strictly increasing and continuous function  $\tau : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , the composition  $\tau \circ o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is idempotent if and only if there exist  $v, v_0 \in (0, 1)$  so that (6) holds, and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ , (7) holds as well.

**Proof.** **Proof of necessity.** Assume that  $\tau \circ o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is idempotent. Taking into account (1), the idempotency of  $\tau \circ o_g$  means that for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\tau(o_g(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{n\text{-times}})) = \tau(g^{-1}(ng(x))) = x. \tag{9}$$

Let  $y = g^{-1}(ng(x))$ . Then,  $x$  can be expressed in terms of  $y$  as  $x = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(y)\right)$ , and so the right hand side equation in (9) can be written as

$$\tau(y) = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(y)\right),$$

where  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Again, since  $g(y) \in [0, \infty]$ , there exist  $v, v_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{g(v_0)}{g(v)}$  holds. This implies that (6) holds, and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ , (7) holds as well.

**Proof of sufficiency.** Assume that  $v, v_0 \in (0, 1)$  so that (6) holds, and for any  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\tau(x)$  is given by (7). Let  $M_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a quasi-arithmetic mean generated by  $g$  according to (3). Then, based on Theorem 2, we immediately find that for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ ,  $M_g(\mathbf{x}) = \tau(o_g(\mathbf{x}))$  holds. Therefore, since the quasi-arithmetic mean  $M_g$  is idempotent, we get that  $\tau \circ o_g$  is idempotent as well.  $\square$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorems 2 and 3.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Suppose that  $o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is an  $n$ -ary strict  $t$ -norm or strict  $t$ -conorm generated by  $g$  according to (1). If  $v, v_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$v_0 = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(v)\right),$$

then  $\tau_{g,v,v_0} \circ o_g : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is the quasi-arithmetic mean  $M_g$  given in (3), which is an idempotent  $n$ -ary operator.

Corollary 1 tells us that a tau function with suitably chosen parameter values can be viewed as a transformation that converts an  $n$ -ary strict  $t$ -norm (strict  $t$ -conorm, respectively) into an  $n$ -ary averaging operator, i.e., quasi arithmetic mean.

**Example 1.** Let  $g(x) = -\ln(x)$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . It is well known that  $g$  is an additive generator of the product t-norm, which is a strict t-norm. Since  $g^{-1}(x) = e^{-x}$ ,  $x \in [0, \infty]$ , the  $n$ -ary strict t-norm induced by  $g$  is

$$o_g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)\right) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n (-\ln(x_i))} = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

The tau function with the parameters  $\nu, \nu_0 \in (0, 1)$  induced by  $g$  is

$$\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0}(x) = g^{-1}\left(g(\nu_0)\frac{g(x)}{g(\nu)}\right) = x^{\frac{\ln(\nu_0)}{\ln(\nu)}}.$$

In line with Corollary 1, if  $\nu_0 = g^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}g(\nu)\right)$ , i.e.,  $\frac{\ln(\nu_0)}{\ln(\nu)} = \frac{1}{n}$ , then

$$\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0}(o_g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n}.$$

That is, in this case,  $\tau_{g,\nu,\nu_0} \circ o_g$  is the geometric mean operator.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

### References

- [1] E. Klement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, *Triangular Norms*, Trends in Logic, Springer, Netherlands, 2013.
- [2] M. Grabisch, J.-L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Aggregation functions: means, *Inf. Sci.* 181 (2011) 1–22, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2010.08.043>.
- [3] J. Dombi, O. Csizsár, *Explainable Neural Networks Based on Fuzzy Logic and Multi-Criteria Decision Tools*, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Springer International Publishing, 2021.
- [4] M. Baczynski, B. Jayaram, On the distributivity of fuzzy implications over nilpotent or strict triangular conorms, *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* 17 (2008) 590–603, <https://doi.org/10.1109/TFUZZ.2008.924201>.
- [5] J. Aczél, *Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications*, Academic Press, 1966.
- [6] Z. Daróczy, Z. Páles, The Matkowski–Sutó problem for weighted quasi-arithmetic means, *Acta Math. Hung.* 100 (2003) 237–243, <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025093509984>.
- [7] P.S. Bullen, D.S. Mitrinovic, M. Vasic, *Means and Their Inequalities*, vol. 31, Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [8] J. Dombi, On a certain type of unary operators, in: 2012 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems, IEEE, 2012, pp. 1–7.