Universiteit
Leiden
The Netherlands

Rigidified and Hydrophilic DOTA-like Lanthanoid Ligands: Design, Synthesis, and Dynamic Properties<br>Miao, Q.; Dekkers, M.W.A.; Sai Sankar Gupta, K.B.; Overhand, M.; Dasgupta, R.; Ubbink, M.

## Citation

Miao, Q., Dekkers, M. W. A., Sai Sankar Gupta, K. B., Overhand, M., Dasgupta, R., \& Ubbink, M. (2023). Rigidified and Hydrophilic DOTA-like Lanthanoid Ligands: Design, Synthesis, and Dynamic Properties. Inorganic Chemistry, 62(9), 3776-3787. doi:10.1021/acs.inorgchem.2c03768

| Version: | Publisher's Version |
| :--- | :--- |
| License: | Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license |
| Downloaded from: | https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3643070 |

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

# Rigidified and Hydrophilic DOTA-like Lanthanoid Ligands: Design, Synthesis, and Dynamic Properties 

Qing Miao, René Dekkers, Karthick Babu Sai Sankar Gupta, Mark Overhand, Rubin Dasgupta,* and Marcellus Ubbink*



Cite This: Inorg. Chem. 2023, 62, 3776-3787


Read Online

| ACCESS \| 亗 Metrics \& More Article Recommendations | (s) Supporting Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


#### Abstract

Limiting the dynamics of paramagnetic tags is crucial for the accuracy of the structural information derived from paramagnetic nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments. A hydrophilic rigid $2,2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}$-( $1,4,7,10$-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetrayl)tetraacetic acid (DOTA)-like lanthanoid complex was designed and synthesized following a strategy that allows the incorporation of two sets of two adjacent substituents. This resulted in a $C_{2}$ symmetric hydrophilic and rigid macrocyclic ring, featuring four chiral hydroxyl-methylene substituents. NMR spectroscopy was used to investigate the conformational dynamics of the novel macrocycle upon complexation with europium and  compared to DOTA and its derivatives. The twisted square antiprismatic and square antiprismatic conformers coexist, but the former is favored, which is different from DOTA. Twodimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ exchange spectroscopy shows that ring flipping of the cyclen-ring is suppressed due to the presence of the four chiral equatorial hydroxyl-methylene substituents at proximate positions. The reorientation of the pendant arms causes conformational exchange between two conformers. The reorientation of the coordination arms is slower when the ring flipping is suppressed. This indicates that these complexes are suitable scaffolds to develop rigid probes for paramagnetic NMR of proteins. Due to their hydrophilic nature, it is anticipated that they are less likely to cause protein precipitation than their more hydrophobic counterparts.


## - INTRODUCTION

Due to their physiochemical properties, trivalent lanthanoid ion complexes are widely used in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as contrast agents, in nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy as resonance shift or line-broadening agents, and as luminescent probes. ${ }^{1-3}$ DOTA ( $2,2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}$ -(1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetrayl)tetraacetic acid) and its derivatives are among the most applied lanthanoid chelators, valued for their high metal binding affinity and the stability of the complexes. ${ }^{4,5}$ The crystal structures of DOTA lanthanoid complexes show that the four nitrogen atoms of the cyclen ring and four oxygen atoms of the pendant arms are involved in metal ion coordination to form a nearly perfect square antiprism. ${ }^{6-8}$ The coordinating nitrogen and oxygen atoms are defined as the N and O planes, respectively. In DOTA lanthanoid complexes, the metal ion is wedged in between these two planes. It was demonstrated that the relative torsion of the two planes yields two distinct coordination geometries of the complexes, referred to as square antiprismatic (SAP) and twisted square antiprismatic (TSAP). ${ }^{6-8}$ The TSAP has a torsion angle of about $25^{\circ}$, while the SAP has a torsion angle of about $-39^{\circ}$, thus forming a smaller metal coordination cavity and a more compact structure. ${ }^{9-11}$ NMR studies showed that the ratio of these two conformers of DOTA and its derivatives is
dependent on the nature of the lanthanoid ion and the structure of the ligands. ${ }^{12,13}$ These conformers are in exchange on a timescale of $10-100 \mathrm{~ms} .^{9,14,15}$ Exchange is caused by two structural changes, a coherent rotation of the pendant arms and a coherent flip of the ethylene groups in the cyclen ring. Consequently, lanthanoid ion DOTA complexes adopt four conformers, two mirror images of SAP and two of TSAP. When the complex is part of a protein tag, these conformers gives rise to multiple resonances for the same nuclei in proteins, thereby hampering application of paramagnetic NMR probes in protein structure and dynamics characterization. ${ }^{16}$ In paramagnetic relaxation dispersion NMR spectroscopy, the exchange between the conformers leads to undesired line-broadening effects that interfere with the analysis of protein dynamics. ${ }^{17-21}$

In addition to conformational exchange, the dynamics of the water in the ninth coordination of the lanthanoid is relevant. The exchange rate of this coordinated water is an important factor for
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Chart 1. (A) Structure of DOTA-like Rigid Ligands $s^{24,30,31}$ and (B) Structures of Lanthanoid Complexes of HMDOTA, DO2ADipyNox, ${ }^{32-34}$ and DOTA ${ }^{15,35}$ Studied in This Work ${ }^{a}$
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${ }^{a}$ The asterisks in the structure of $\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~S}-S S S S-N B-D O T M A$ indicate the asymmetric carbons. Inversion of stereochemistry depicts H4S-RRRR-NBDOTMA.

Scheme 1. (a) MeOH, DMP, $p$-TsOH, Cyclohexane, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 96 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 1 $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 6 h ; (e) MsCl, Hünig's Base, DCM, rt, 3 h ; (f) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMSO, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) Pd/C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, rt, 24 h ; (h) Bromo Acetyl Bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$; (i) 7, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{ACN}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$; and (j) Red-Al, Toluene, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$

the efficiency of an MRI contrast agent. ${ }^{1,22-25}$ It has been reported that the water exchange rate of the TSAP conformer is about two orders of magnitude faster than that of SAP, making it the preferred conformation for MRI contrast agents. ${ }^{23-27}$ In contrast, for the application as a luminescent probe, the absence of this water molecule is crucial as it can decrease the excitation lifetime of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III}) .{ }^{28}$ For the application of the complex in paramagnetic NMR spectroscopy on proteins, which is the focus of this study, the water dynamics is not relevant because it is fast on the applicable NMR timescale, determined by the lanthanoid-induced pseudocontact shifts. ${ }^{29}$
Many efforts have been reported to decrease the number of conformers in DOTA complexes, among which rigidification is the most efficient and frequently employed. The incorporation of bulky groups or chiral carbons on the pendant arms, macrocyclic ring, or both has been previously reported. ${ }^{24,36-45}$ The modification or replacement of the acetate pendant arms has been applied more frequently than the incorporation of specific substituents on the tetraaza ring. The combined modification of pendant arms and ring substituents can result in a highly rigidified DOTA-like ligand. Bulkier group on the ligand however can hinder the lanthanoid ion coordination. By introducing a chiral carbon with a $p$-nitrobenzyl substituent to the tetraaza ring of DOTMA (Chart 1A, H4S-RRRR/SSSS-NBDOTMA), Woods et al. reported successful locking the coordination geometry of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})$ complexes. ${ }^{24}$ Depending on
the chirality of the methyl substituents on the pendant arms, the complexes form either the SAP or TSAP conformer. ${ }^{24}$ In further work, the influence of the chirality of the $p$-nitrobenzyl substitution on the stability and conformational behavior of the complex was studied. ${ }^{26,46-48}$ Various cyclen derivatives have been synthesized that contain substituents on each of the four ethylenediamine moieties, resulting in a complex with $C_{4}$ symmetry. ${ }^{30,40,44}$ Among them, tetramethylated cyclen (4MDOTA, Chart 1A) was reported to increase rigidity, ${ }^{31}$ although even with additional chiral methyl modifications on the arms (4MDOTMA, Chart 1A), two isomers are still observed. ${ }^{49,50}$ In recent work, the methyl substituents on the ring were replaced with various other alkyl groups ( $\mathrm{R}=$ ethyl, benzyl, isobutyl, hydroxyethyl, and butylamine), but no substituents on the pendant arms were included. ${ }^{40}$ It has been reported that the ratio of the two conformers observed for these derivatives depends on the size of the substituents. ${ }^{40}$ The incorporation of alkyl substituents rendered the abovementioned DOTA derivatives more hydrophobic, which enhances the chance of protein precipitation when used as a probe for paramagnetic NMR.

Here, we report the design and synthesis of a rigidified and hydrophilic DOTA-like lanthanoid ligand, along with its conformational analysis using NMR spectroscopy. We designed a $C_{2}$ symmetric chiral cyclen-like ring with two pairs of hydroxylmethylene substituents, HMDOTA (Chart 1B). Paramagnetic

Scheme 2. (a) t-Butyl Bromoacetate, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) Pd/C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) TFA/DCM (4:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; and (d) $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pH}=\mathbf{8}, \mathrm{rt}$
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Figure 1. Schematic representation of DOTA lanthanoid complex conformation exchange (left) and the effect of the ring flip and arm reorientation exchange processes on different protons (right). The cyclen ring is shown as a square in solid lines; nitrogen, oxygen, and carbon atoms of DOTA are shown as blue, red, and black spheres, respectively; and the metal ion is shown as a brown sphere.
tags that allow protein attachment via two linkers have been reported before. ${ }^{16,32,33,51-56}$ To avoid different conformers upon attachment, the $C_{2}$ symmetry of the molecule is a requirement. To investigate the influence of these substituents on the ring flip, the regular pendant arms (tetraacetic acid) were used. Exchange spectroscopy (EXSY) experiments demonstrated that the tetraaza ring of Ln-HMDOTA is rigid. Two exchanging conformers are observed, which are attributed to the reorientation of the pendant arms. The rate of exchange between the conformers is slower for the lanthanoid complex of HMDOTA than for those of the DOTA derivative DO2ADipyNox (Chart 1B) and DOTA.

## - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design and Synthesis. In our design, it was anticipated that the introduction of two substituents on adjacent carbons of the cyclen-ring system with the appropriate stereochemistry relative to each other would position them both equatorial upon complexation with a metal. To ensure $C_{2}$ symmetry, a second set of substituents on the opposite side of the cyclen-ring system was incorporated. The hydroxymethyl group was selected to increase the hydrophilicity of the complexes. A previously reported cyclization method was applied with improvements. ${ }^{44,57}$ L-(+)-Tartaric acid was modified via standard procedures to obtain the derivatives 7 and 8 , amenable to cyclization (Scheme 1). To decrease side product formation due to intermolecular reactions of this key step, the base, the concentration, and the temperature of the cyclization reaction were optimized.

The chance of intermolecular reactions was reduced by performing the cyclization at a low concentration. It was found that concentrations below $0.03 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ did not result in a further increase in the yield of the desired cyclized product. According to the liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis, the main side product was the over-alkylated product formed between cyclized compound 9 and compound 8 (Scheme S1). The effects of temperature and acid scavenger were evaluated as shown in Table S1. When the reaction was performed at room temperature with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ as the base the lowest yield for both products was obtained, while at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ most cyclized compound was produced. In comparison with the other acid scavengers, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ is a weak base, thus it may be that both alkylation reactions are slow at room temperature, whereas at high temperature the intramolecular $N$-alkylation is preferred over the intermolecular alkylation of the secondary amine group. Therefore, the cyclization was performed at a concentration of $0.03 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, at a temperature of $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and using $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ as the base. The acquired cyclic diamide 9 was treated with the reagent Red-Al to reduce the two amide groups to amines. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was peralkylated with tert-butyl bromoacetate in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at room temperature (Scheme 2). Removal of the protective groups provided HMDOTA, which was used to prepare the metal complexes.

Chloride salts of lanthanoids were used for the preparation of all metal complexes. For HMDOTA, the formation of the complexes was performed in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at pH 8 and room temperature for 12 h . The $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})$ loaded HMDOTA has similar NMR spectra at different temperatures and pD values $(\mathrm{pD}=\mathrm{pH}+0.4) 2.4$ and 12.4, as shown in Figure S1. For


Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of Eu (III) and Yb (III) loaded HMDOTA, DO2A-DipyNox, and DOTA recorded at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $14.1 \mathrm{~T}(600.130 \mathrm{MHz}$ ). The relative integrals of the peaks for the SAP and TSAP isomers are given in brackets.


Figure 3. (A) Mixing time dependence of signal intensities of diagonal and cross peaks from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ EXSY of the resonances $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}(\mathrm{SAP}), \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}(\mathrm{TSAP})$, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{SAP})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}(T S A P)$. Each conformer is color coded and is shown in the legends on the graph. The data are shown as filled circles and the fit to eq 7 is shown as the solid black line. The errors in the data are estimated from the noise level. The reduced $\chi^{2}$ of the fit is 0.97 . (B) Monte-Carlo distribution and correlations between each fit parameter and the constrained longitudinal relaxation rate $R_{1}\left(38 \pm 1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The histogram distribution is shown for each fitted parameter. The dotted line is the best-fit value of the fit parameters. The color bar shows the density of the Monte-Carlo samples.
comparison, lanthanoid complexes of DOTA (commercially purchased) and a previously reported DOTA derivate (DO2ADipyNox ${ }^{34},{ }^{45}$ ) were prepared as well. A detailed description of the synthesis is given in the Materials and Methods.

Conformational Dynamics. The change in the torsion of the N - and O-planes represents a conformational exchange of the DOTA complex, due to the reorientations of the pendant arms and the flip of the cyclen ring. The coordination of the carboxylic pendant arms can rotate either clockwise ( $\Lambda$ ) or
anticlockwise $(\Delta)$ and the tetraaza macrocyclic ring can adopt $\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda$ or $\delta \delta \delta \delta$ conformations. This results in four conformations present as two mirror-image pairs: $\Delta(\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda)$ and $\Lambda(\delta \delta \delta \delta)$ in one, $\Lambda(\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda)$ and $\Delta(\delta \delta \delta \delta)$ in another (Figure 1). The $\Delta(\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda)$ and $\Lambda(\delta \delta \delta \delta)$ are the SAP conformers, whereas the $\Lambda(\lambda \lambda \lambda \lambda)$ and $\Delta(\delta \delta \delta \delta)$ are the TSAP conformers. ${ }^{10,11}$ These two geometries differ in their magnetic properties if the bound metal ion is paramagnetic, enabling the conformers to be distinguished by NMR spectroscopy. The $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra of Eu(III)-DOTA and

Table 1. Rate Constants for Ring Flip and Arm Rotation in Eu(III)-DOTA-like Compounds ${ }^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

| compound | motion | isomer | rate constants ( $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) | exchange rate $^{\text {b }}$ (293 K) $\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | exchange rate ( 278 K ) ( $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOTA | arm rotation | SAP - TSAP | $48 \pm 4$ | 239 | $31,{ }^{e} 78{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
|  |  | TSAP $\rightarrow$ SAP | $191 \pm 13$ |  |  |
|  | ring flip | SAP - TSAP | $24 \pm 2$ | 119 | $63^{\text {e }}, 35^{f}$ |
|  |  | TSAP $\rightarrow$ SAP | $95 \pm 6$ |  |  |
|  | arm rotation + ring flip | SAP $\sim$ SAP | $16^{\text {c }}$ | 32 | 7, ${ }^{\text {c,e }} 23^{f}$ |
|  |  | TSAP - TSAP | $63^{\text {c }}$ | 127 | $35^{\text {c,e }}$ |
| DO2A-DipyNox | arm rotation + ring flip | $\mathrm{SAP} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{SAP}$ | 88 | $177 \pm 2$ |  |
| HMDOTA | arm rotation | SAP $\rightarrow$ TSAP | $37^{d}$ | $44 \pm 6$ |  |
|  |  | TSAP $\sim$ SAP | $7{ }^{d}$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The error is the $1 \sigma$ value from the Monte-Carlo simulation and is given for the experimentally determined rate constants or exchange rates. ${ }^{b} k_{\text {ex }}=$ $k_{\text {forward }}+k_{\text {backward }}{ }^{c}$ Calculated with eqs 4 and $5 .{ }^{d}$ Based on $p_{\mathrm{TSAP}}=0.84, p_{\mathrm{SAP}}=0.16 .{ }^{e} \mathrm{Calculated}$ with rate constants from ref $58 .{ }^{f}$ Reported in ref 61 .


Figure 4. Global fit to eq 12 for the exchanging pairs of ac1 $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{ac} 2$, ax, a $1 \rightleftharpoons$ eq,al, ax, a $2 \rightleftharpoons$ eq,a2, and pyl $\rightleftharpoons$ py 2 in Eu(III)-DO2A-DipyNox. The residuals of the fit are shown below the curves. The data points are represented as red circles with the error bars estimated from the noise level. The fit is shown as the blue solid line.
$\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$-DOTA (Figure 2) are in agreement with previous reports. ${ }^{11,58}$ Two sets of six resonances each were observed for $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})$-DOTA and Yb (III)-DOTA. These two sets correspond to the resonances of the SAP and TSAP conformers. ${ }^{24,25,40,58}$ The mirror image conformers have identical NMR spectra. The relative intensities of the SAP and TSAP resonances in the 1D ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra indicate that the SAP conformer is dominant in the DOTA complexes.
To study the exchange process between the conformers, EXSY experiments ${ }^{59,60}$ were recorded with mixing times varied from 0 to 56 ms . It has been reported that exchange occurs in DOTA with the rate of about $100 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ between the four conformers (Figures 1 and S2)., ${ }^{9,14,15}$ Due to the large shift induced by the paramagnetic metal ion, the chemical shift difference (expressed in $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) for a nucleus in the two conformers is much larger than $100 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, so the exchange is slow on the NMR timescale, yielding separate signals for the different conformers. Exchange on the millisecond timescale between the four conformers is evident from the presence of cross-peaks in the EXSY spectrum. The diagonal and cross-peak intensities in the EXSY spectra are dependent on the mixing times and can be fitted to estimate the exchange rates between conformers. Note that the EXSY experiment can also reveal an exchange between the mirror-image conformers. Though the 1D spectra of these conformers are identical, the nuclei that cause the given resonances interchange when transiting between the mirror images, for example, an axial proton becomes the equivalent equatorial proton and vice versa (see the right panel in Figure 1). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ EXSY spectrum of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})-D O T A$ shows the presence of all four exchanging conformers (Figure S2). The resonances used for each of the conformers to estimate the exchange rate are from $H_{a x, a}(S A P), H_{a x, a}$ (TSAP), $H_{e q, a}(S A P)$,
and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {eq,a }}$ (TSAP), as shown in Figure S2 (the subscript a is removed for the conformational dynamics analysis). The exchange nature of the cross-peaks (rather than NOE) was confirmed by the temperature dependence of the cross-peak intensities (Figure S2D). The exchange model is restrained by the fact that the four states represent two pairs of mirrored molecules, resulting in a single pair of forward and backward rate constants for arm rotation and a single pair for ring flip. Furthermore, the equilibrium constants of both processes are the same and can be derived from the 1D NMR spectra (Figure 2, Table S2). These restraints result in only two degrees of freedom for the fitting of the rate constants. Additionally, the longitudinal relaxation rate $\left(R_{1}\right)$ was estimated to be $38 \pm 1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for all four signals using an inversion recovery experiment (Figure S2E) and was constrained between 36 and $39 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the Bloch-McConnell (BM) matrix fitting. The exchange rates and the errors estimated from the Monte-Carlo simulation (Figure 3) are summarized in Table 1. Previously, the exchange rates in the $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{DOTA}$ were measured at 278 K (Table 1). Here, the experiment was done at 293 K , explaining the higher exchange rates. In one report, the exchange rate for the arm rotation was about half that of the ring flip, ${ }^{58}$ whereas in the other it is about double, ${ }^{61}$ suggesting that in one report the processes were annotated wrongly. Our data show arm rotation is faster than ring flipping, in line with the latter report.

It has been reported that the introduction of pyridine- N -oxide arms (DO2A-DipyNox) reduces the number of observable conformers, ${ }^{33,34}$ which was confirmed by the $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of this compound (Figures 2 and S3). Six pairs of resonances (excluding the peaks of the pyridine- N -oxide ring) are observed corresponding to two SAP mirror images that are in chemical exchange. This suggests that in $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})-\mathrm{DO} 2 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{DipyNox}$


Figure 5. Global fit to eq 12 to estimate the exchange rate for the exchanging pairs between TSAP and SAP conformers for protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{cH}_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ac} 1}$, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ac} 2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ac} 3}$ in Eu (III)-HMDOTA. The residuals of the fit are shown below the curves. The data points are represented as red circles with the error bars estimated from the noise level. The fit is shown as a blue solid line. Monte-Carlo simulation of the global fit is also shown. The color bar shows the samples of the MC simulation. The dotted gray lines represent the best-fit value. The histograms show the distribution of the values for exchange rate and population. Best fit parameters and the errors from the MC simulation are reported.
reorientation of the pendant arms and flipping of the cyclen ring occurs in a concerted fashion with the intermediate TSAP form being very lowly populated, similar to what was reported for CLaNP5 (Caged Lanthanoids NMR probe \# 5). ${ }^{19}$ The longitudinal relaxation rate $\left(R_{1}\right)$ for the protons marked as ac1, ac2, ax,a1, ax,a2, eq,a1, eq,a2, py1, and py2, was determined to be $34 \pm 1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Figure S4). Surprisingly, the relaxation rate is the same for the different hydrogens. Since the $R_{1}$ is the same for all the protons and the resonance pattern suggests a two-state exchange process, eq 12 can be used to estimate the exchange rate from the ratio of peak integrals of diagonal and cross-peaks with respect to mixing time in the EXSY experiment. ${ }^{60}$ The exchange rate after globally fitting the exchange pairs of acl $\leftrightarrow$ ac2, ax,a1 $\leftrightarrow$ eq,a1, ax,a $2 \leftrightarrow e q, a 2$, and $p y 1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{py} 2$ was estimated to be $177 \pm 2 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ using a least-square fitting algorithm with population fixed to $50 \%$ since both the SAP conformers are mirror images (Figure 4, Table 1).
For $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})$-HMDOTA, it is found that TSAP is the major conformer, contrary to $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})$-DOTA, for which the SAP conformer is dominant (Figure 2). Similar results were found for $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ loaded HMDOTA and DOTA. The ratio of the relative integrals between SAP and TSAP shows that the SAP conformer in Yb (III) loaded HMDOTA is $\sim 50 \%$ less populated than the Eu (III) loaded complex (Figure 2 and Table S2). This difference in the ratio indicates that the population of the minor conformer may depend on the ionic radius, as well as on the compound, as observed before. ${ }^{62}$ The EXSY spectrum of Eu(III)-HMDOTA shows the presence of one set of exchange cross-peaks corresponding to chemical exchange between SAP and TSAP conformers due to arm rotation (Figure S5). The exchange
process was characterized analogously to that of DO2ADipyNox. It was observed that the exchanging pairs have the same $R_{1}$ relaxation rates, however, two $R_{1}$ rates are observed, dependent on the proton position. The hydrogens $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{cH}_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{a}}$ in both TSAP and SAP conformation yield $R_{1}=56.5 \pm 0.5$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, while for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ac}, 1}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ac}, 2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ac}, 3}, R_{1}=28 \pm 1 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ was obtained (Figure S6). The exchange rate was estimated using eq $12^{60}$ yielding $44 \pm 6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with populations of $16 \pm 3$ and $84 \pm 3 \%$ for SAP and TSAP conformers, respectively (Table 1). The errors were estimated from the Monte-Carlo simulation (Figure 5). These observations show that the hydroxymethyl substituents reduce the exchange rate for arm rotation significantly compared to that in DOTA.

## CONCLUSIONS

A synthetic strategy was described that allows the synthesis of a novel functionalized DOTA derivative with substituents on the tetraaza ring at adjacent positions. In our design, we anticipated that the introduction of two substituents on adjacent carbons of the cyclen-ring system with the appropriate stereochemistry relative to each other would, upon chelation, position them both equatorial in the 12 -membered heterocyclic ring. To enhance this effect and ensure $C_{2}$ symmetry, a second set of substituents on the opposite side of the ring system was incorporated. Because of the hydroxymethyl substituents, this DOTA derivative is expected to be more hydrophilic. Figure 6 shows a model of $\operatorname{Ln}$ (III)-HMDOTA, in which it can be seen that the cyclen ring is bulkier due to the substituents but also that the hydroxy groups add polarity to the more hydrophobic side of the complex, below the N-plane. Both SAP and TSAP conformers


Figure 6. Model of the coordination of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$-HMDOTA as deduced from NMR studies and molecular conformational distribution calculations. Carbons are shown in gray, nitrogen in blue, oxygen in red, hydrogens in white, and $\operatorname{Ln}$ (III) in mint, respectively.
exist, with a higher abundance of TSAP after ligation to mediumor small-size lanthanoids. EXSY experiments indicate that conformational exchange is limited to the rotation of the flexible acetate arms. The rate of exchange is reduced by a factor of 5 compared to arm rotation in DOTA. For application in paramagnetic relaxation NMR, it is necessary to rigidify the complex further, for which modification of the pendant arms is a logical next step. For example, the introduction of chiral centers or replacing the acetic acid arms with pyridine-oxide arms will be interesting to analyze. The results with DO2A-DipyNox indicate that the latter group promotes the stability of one conformer over the other. Such a compound could find application in structural and dynamics studies of proteins.

## - MATERIALS AND METHODS

All the chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Merk, Fisher Scientific, or VWR and used as received. DOTA was purchased from MacrocyclicsTM. DO2A-DipyNox is adopted from our previous studies, ${ }^{32,33}$ and was synthesized by Dr. Liu (present address: Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei City, Taiwan). Solvents were purchased from Honeywell, BIOSOLVE or Aldrich and stored over 3 $\AA$ molecular sieves before use. Traces of water from reagents were removed by co-evaporation with toluene in reactions that required anhydrous conditions. Flash chromatography was performed on Screening Devices silica gel $60(40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ and C18-reversed phase silica gel (fully endcapped, $40-63 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). LC-MS analysis was performed on a Surveyor HPLC system (Thermo Finnigan) equipped with a C18 column (Gemini, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle size, Phenomenex), coupled to an LCQ Advantage Max (Thermo Finnigan) ion-trap spectrometer (ESI + ). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed on a silica gel (F 1500 LS 254 Schleicher and Schuell, Dassel, Germany), which was visualized by UV and/or ninhydrin, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. Reactions were monitored by $\mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}$ analysis and TLC analysis. Waters preparative HPLC system, equipped with a Waters C18-Xbridge $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ OBD $\left(30 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}\right)$ column, was used for purification, and the applied buffers were $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \%$ TFA ) and ACN. High-resolution mass spectrometry analysis was performed with an LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan), equipped with an electron spray ion source in a positive mode (source voltage 3.5 kV , sheath gas flow $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, and capillary temperature $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with resolution $R=60,000$ at $m / z 400$ (mass range $m / z=150-2000$ ) and dioctyl phthalate ( $m / z=391.284$ ) as a "lock mass." NMR spectra were
recorded on a Bruker AV-400 ( $400.130 / 100.613 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), AV-500 ( $500.130 / 125.758 \mathrm{MHz}$ ), or AV-HDIII-600 ( $600.130 / 150.903 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm relative to the residual signal of the deuterated solvent.

Metal Complex Preparation. Compound HMDOTA ( $3 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature and the pD value was adjusted to around 8 by dropwise addition of 1 M NaOD . An equal amount of $\mathrm{LnCl}_{3} \cdot n \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to this solution, and the pD value was adjusted to 8 again adding 1 M NaOD dropwise. After the reaction mixture was continuously stirred at room temperature for 12 h , the thus obtained lanthanide complex solutions were directly used for NMR measurements. The same procedure was used for the preparation of the Ln-DOTA and Ln-DO2A-DipyNox complexes.

NMR Spectroscopy. All the EXSY and $1 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 600 MHz NMR spectrometer equipped with cryoprobe TCI $600 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{\& F} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}-05$ Z-gradient at 293 K unless otherwise specified. For EXSY experiments, the mixing time ranged from 0 to 56 ms . The recovery delay in the inversion recovery experiment ranged from 0.1 to 300 ms with an interscan delay set to 200 ms . Data were processed and analyzed using a Bruker Topspin 3.6.3, Igor Pro 6.3.6, and an inhouse Python 3.9 script.

BM Numerical Fitting of the EXSY. The BM numerical method was used to fit the integral volume of the diagonal and the cross-peaks with respect to the mixing time for $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{III})$-DOTA. The four-state model is shown in Figure 1. For simplicity, the following definition is used for the derivation of the rate equations: $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}(\mathrm{SAP}), \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ (TSAP), $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{SAP})$, and $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ (TSAP) (Figure S2A, note that the subscript " a " is removed). Here, $\mathrm{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{D} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}$ are in exchange due to arm rotation, while $\mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{D}$ are in exchange due to ring flip, as shown in Figure 1. Additionally, two SAP or TSAP conformers are mirror images, hence $k_{\mathrm{AB}}=k_{\mathrm{CD}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{BC}}=k_{\mathrm{DA}}$, and analogously for the back-rates. The exchange rates for ring flip and arm rotation can be different. The equilibrium constant $K_{\text {eq }}$ (TSAP/SAP) between the SAP and TSAP conformers can be used to reduce the parameter space for fitting via the following relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{AD}}=k_{\mathrm{DA}} \times K_{\mathrm{eq}} ; k_{\mathrm{CB}}=k_{\mathrm{BC}} \times K_{\mathrm{eq}} ; k_{\mathrm{DC}}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{CD}}}{K_{\mathrm{eq}}} ; k_{\mathrm{BA}}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{AB}}}{K_{\mathrm{eq}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the rate constants that describe the conversion from a conformer into its mirror image ( $k_{\mathrm{AC}}, k_{\mathrm{CA}}, k_{\mathrm{DB}}$, and $k_{\mathrm{BD}}$ ), there are two pathways for each.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{~A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{D} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C} \text { for } \mathrm{A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{~B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{~B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{~A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{D} \text { for } \mathrm{B} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{D} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$k_{\mathrm{BD}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{AC}}$ can be represented as the linear combination of the probability from each of the pathway in eq 2

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{\mathrm{BCD}}=k_{\mathrm{BC}}\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{BC}}}{k_{\mathrm{BC}}+k_{\mathrm{BA}}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{CD}}}{k_{\mathrm{CD}}+k_{\mathrm{CB}}}\right) \\
F_{\mathrm{BAD}}=k_{\mathrm{BA}}\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{BA}}}{k_{\mathrm{BC}}+k_{\mathrm{BA}}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{AD}}}{k_{\mathrm{AD}}+k_{\mathrm{AB}}}\right) \\
k_{\mathrm{BD}}=F_{\mathrm{BCD}}+F_{\mathrm{BAD}} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{\mathrm{ABC}}=k_{\mathrm{AB}}\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{AB}}}{k_{\mathrm{AB}}+k_{\mathrm{AD}}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{BC}}}{k_{\mathrm{BC}}+k_{\mathrm{BA}}}\right) \\
F_{\mathrm{ADC}}=k_{\mathrm{AD}}\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{AD}}}{k_{\mathrm{AB}}+k_{\mathrm{AD}}}\right)\left(\frac{k_{\mathrm{DC}}}{k_{\mathrm{DC}}+k_{\mathrm{DA}}}\right) \\
k_{\mathrm{AC}}=F_{\mathrm{ABC}}+F_{\mathrm{ADC}} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The rate constants $k_{\mathrm{CA}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{DB}}$ can be obtained in the similar way. These relationships between the rate constants result in only two freefloating fit parameters $k_{\mathrm{CD}}$ and $k_{\mathrm{DA}}$ and the $R_{1}$ relaxation rate that is
restrained between the range estimated from the inversion recovery experiment (Figure S2E). The above-mentioned rate constants were used to build a BM matrix for the four-state exchange as shown below.

$$
\mathrm{BM}=-1\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
k_{\mathrm{AB}}+k_{\mathrm{AC}}+k_{\mathrm{AD}}+R_{1} & k_{\mathrm{BA}} & k_{\mathrm{CA}} & k_{\mathrm{DA}}  \tag{5}\\
k_{\mathrm{AB}} & k_{\mathrm{BA}}+k_{\mathrm{BC}}+k_{\mathrm{BD}}+R_{1} & k_{\mathrm{CB}} & k_{\mathrm{DB}} \\
k_{\mathrm{AC}} & k_{\mathrm{BC}} & k_{\mathrm{CA}}+k_{\mathrm{CB}}+k_{\mathrm{CD}}+R_{1} & k_{\mathrm{DC}} \\
k_{\mathrm{AD}} & k_{\mathrm{BD}} & k_{\mathrm{CD}} & k_{\mathrm{DA}}+k_{\mathrm{DB}}+k_{\mathrm{DC}}+R_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The initial intensities of the four state are given as a vector. This is the population of each state at 0 ms mixing time of the EXSY experiment.

$$
I_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
A_{0}  \tag{6}\\
B_{0} \\
C_{0} \\
D_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The matrix is propagated for the given mixing time $\left(\tau_{\text {mix }}\right)$, and differential eq 7 is solved to get the intensity of the diagonal and the cross-peaks after each mixing time. These intensities are fitted to the experimental value using least square fitting ("leastsq") as implemented in the minimizer package of python 3.9. The Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm as implemented in the 'emcee' package of python 3.9 was used for the error estimation of the fit parameters. In total, 64 walkers with 5000 steps were run for the MCMC. In total, 500 samples were discarded from the start of the sampling regime. Plotting of the heat map was done using a thin sample of 50 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau_{\operatorname{mix}}} I=\mathrm{BM} \cdot I_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analytical Solution for a Two-Site Exchange. For a system showing a two-site exchange and with the spin-lattice relaxation rates $\left(R_{1}\right)$ that are the same for both states, the integrals of the cross-peaks and diagonal peaks are given by. ${ }^{60}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{\mathrm{AA}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=I_{\mathrm{BB}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau_{\mathrm{m}} / T_{\mathrm{I}}}\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-k \tau_{\mathrm{m}}}\right) I_{0}  \tag{8}\\
& I_{\mathrm{AB}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=I_{\mathrm{BA}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau_{\mathrm{m}} / T_{\mathrm{I}}}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-k \tau_{\mathrm{m}}}\right) M^{0}  \tag{9}\\
& k=\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathrm{m}}} \ln \frac{r+1}{r-1}  \tag{10}\\
& r=\frac{4 p_{\mathrm{A}} p_{\mathrm{B}}\left(I_{\mathrm{AA}}+I_{\mathrm{BB}}\right)}{\left(I_{\mathrm{AB}}+I_{\mathrm{BA}}\right)-\left(p_{\mathrm{A}}-p_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}} \text { where } p_{\mathrm{A}}+p_{\mathrm{B}}=1  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{I_{\mathrm{AA}}+I_{\mathrm{BB}}}{I_{\mathrm{AB}}+I_{\mathrm{BA}}}=\frac{\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{e}^{k \tau_{\mathrm{m}}}+1\right) /\left(\mathrm{e}^{k \tau_{\mathrm{m}}}-1\right)\right)+\left(2 p_{\mathrm{A}}-1\right)^{2}\right]}{4 p_{\mathrm{A}}\left(1-p_{\mathrm{A}}\right)} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{\mathrm{AA}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{BB}}$ are the integrals of the diagonal peaks; $I_{\mathrm{AB}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{BA}}$ are the integrals of the cross-peaks, $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the mixing time, $k$ is the exchange rate constant, and $p_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $p_{\mathrm{B}}$ are the mole fractions of the two states, respectively. Equation 12 was used to fit the ratio of diagonal and crosspeak integrals for Eu(III)-HMDOTA and Eu(III)-DO2A-DipyNox complexes.

Molecule Conformational Modulation. A 3D structure was obtained by conformational distribution calculation with the molecular mechanic of Merck molecular force field. ${ }^{63}$

Synthesis. Dimethyl (4R,5R)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4,5-dicarboxylate (1). 52.33 g of $\mathrm{L}-(+)$-tartaric acid ( 356 mmol ) was dissolved in a mixture of 50 mL of methanol ( $39.6 \mathrm{~g}, 1.24$ mole) and 25 mL of cyclohexane. 2,2-Dimethoxypropane (DMP, $500 \mathrm{~mL}, 425 \mathrm{~g}, 4.08$ mole) and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate $\left(p-\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.846\right.$
g, 4.45 mmole) were added after which the reaction mixture was heated to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC. After 96 h , the mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched adding triethylamine dropwise until the pH of the mixture was approximately 8. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator and purified using silica gel column chromatography (10:90 $\rightarrow 30: 70$ EtOAc: $n$-pent, $\left.R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.65\right) .54 .96 \mathrm{~g}$ of compound $1(254 \mathrm{mmol}$, $72 \%$ yield) was obtained as yellow-colored oil. The spectroscopic data of $\mathbf{1}$ are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{64}$
((4S,5S)-2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane-4,5-diyl)dimethanol (2). A solution of compound $1(31.54 \mathrm{~g}, 145 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(315 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after which $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(16.81 \mathrm{~g}, 444 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in small portions. The reaction mixture was stirred and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another hour after which it was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirring was continued for 8 h . The reaction was cooled in an ice bath and quenched by adding 60 mL of EtOAc. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. Synthesis was continued without further purification of 2. The crude spectroscopic data were in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{65}$
(4S,5S)-4,5-Bis((benzyloxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolane (3). Crude compound $2(24.38 \mathrm{~g}, 150 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 500 mL of DMF and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. A $60 \%$ oil dispersion of NaH ( 18.69 g ) was added in small portions after which the mixture was stirred for 30 min .45 mL of benzyl bromide ( $\mathrm{BnBr}, 64.8 \mathrm{~g}, 379 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was slowly allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 16 h . Quenching the reaction was done by adding 200 mL of demi water. The mixture was partly concentrated under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. The resulting crude reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc and extracted with water ( 5 times, 1600 mL each extraction) and brine. The combined organic layers were dried using $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The obtained crude product was purified using silica gel column chromatography to obtain compound 3 as a colorless oil with quantitative yield ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.8$ in 1:99 EtOAc/n-pent). The spectroscopic data of 3 are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{66}$
(2S,3S)-1,4-Bis(benzyloxy)butane-2,3-diol (4). A 1 M HCl solution in ethanol was prepared $(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ to which compound 3 was added ( $49.9 \mathrm{~g}, 146 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirred. After 6 h , the mixture was neutralized by adding saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ and partly concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification was done using silica gel column chromatography ( $25 \%$ EtOAc/n-pent, $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.09$ ). Compound 4 was obtained as a white solid with a yield of $84.3 \%(37.19 \mathrm{~g}, 123.1 \mathrm{mmol})$. Spectroscopic data of 4 are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{67}$
(2R,3R)-1,4-Bis(benzyloxy)butane-2,3-diyl Dimethanesulfonate (5). 12.0 g of compound $4(40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DCM ( 500 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hünig's base ( $21 \mathrm{~mL}, 121 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise followed by the addition of methanesulfonyl chloride ( 12.25 $\mathrm{mL}, 158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred. After 3 h , the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched by slowly adding $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(225 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM $(1000 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed twice with demi-water (1500 mL ), and once with brine ( 1500 mL ). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash silica gel column chromatography with a $20 \%$ acetone in $n$ pentane eluent (20:80 Ace: $n$-Pent, $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.44$ ) was applied to yield $91 \%$
of compound $5(16.8 \mathrm{~g}, 36.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a white solid. The spectroscopic data of 5 are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{68}$
((((2S,3S)-2,3-Diazidobutane-1,4-diyl)bis(oxy))bis(methylene))dibenzene (6). Compound $5(16 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DMSO $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. Sodium azide $(16 \mathrm{~g}, 246 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in portions after which the reaction mixture was heated for 24 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and diluted with DCM ( 1600 mL ), washed with demi-water (two times 1600 mL ) and brine ( 1600 mL ). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Further purification was acquired with flash silica gel column chromatography $(0: 100 \rightarrow$ $10: 90 \mathrm{EtOAc} / n$-pent, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.53$ for $5: 95$ ). Compound 6 was obtained as a yellow-colored liquid with $95 \%$ yield $(11.71 \mathrm{~g}, 33.25 \mathrm{mmol})$. The spectroscopic data of 6 are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{68}$
(2S,3S)-1,4-Bis(benzyloxy)butane-2,3-diamine (7). Compound 6 $(3 \mathrm{~g}, 8.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with a catalytic amount ( $10 \%$ palladium on carbon) $(610 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture was stirred for 24 h under a hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. A slightly yellow-colored oil was obtained as compound 7 that was used without further purification. The spectroscopic data of 7 are in agreement with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{68}$

N,N'-((2S,3S)-1,4-Bis(benzyloxy)butane-2,3-diyl)bis(2-bromoacetamide) (8). Compound $7(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 8.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in DCM $(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ and combined with 50 mL of a 0.4 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and bromo acetylbromide (2.5 $\mathrm{mL}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly. For 10 h , the mixture was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aqueous layer of the reaction mixture was extracted thrice with DCM $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were all combined and dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtrated, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(0: 100 \rightarrow 10: 90 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM}, R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.46\right.$ for $20: 80$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{DCM})$. Product 8 was obtained as a white solid ( $3.29 \mathrm{~g}, 6.06$ mmol ) with a $70 \%$ yield. Compound $8{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.130 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=3.46-3.55\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right)$, 4.35-4.39 (m, 2H, $\left.2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NH}), 7.20-7.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 2(\mathrm{CH})_{5} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.613 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=51.69\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 68.65\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 73.38$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 127.93-128.52\left(2(\mathrm{CH})_{5} \mathrm{C}\right), 137.42\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{5}\right), 166.06$ (2CONH). HR-MS: $m / z 541.032[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right.$ ] 541.034. FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3711.9,3279.7,2982.1,2864.8,2844.7,2826.1$, 1652.7, 1559.7, 1054.6, 1033.1, 1013.1, 747.0, 698.3. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-42.27(C$ $=7.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).
(5S,6S, 11S, 12S)-5,6,11,12-Tetrakis((benzyloxy)methyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-2,9-dione (9). $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 16.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to 550 mL acetonitrile. Compound $7(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ and compound $8(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to the mixture, which was then heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirred continuously for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtrated and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified with silica gel column chromatography $\left(0: 100 \rightarrow 10: 90 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM}, R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.49 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM} 10: 90\right)$ to yield $30 \%$ of compound $9(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a white solid. Compound 9 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.130 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=3.34-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.60-3.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 4.33-4.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.10 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.57\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 6.59(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{NH})$, 7.28-7.38 (m, 20H, $\left.4(\mathrm{CH})_{5} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.613 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, $293 \mathrm{~K}): \delta=52.68\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 52.95\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 61.80\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $67.22\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 69.80\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 74.35\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 74.68$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 128.99-129.62\left(2(\mathrm{CH})_{5} \mathrm{C}\right), 138.34\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{5}\right), 138.99$ $\left(\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{5}\right), 168.79(2 \mathrm{CONH})$. HR-MS: $m / z 681.366[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd [ $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ ] 681.365. FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3650.3,2982.1,2844.7$, 1652.7, 1054.6, 1033.1, 1013.1, 736.9, 696.9, 668.3. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-24.33^{\circ}(\mathrm{C}=3$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
(2S,3S, 8S, 9S)-2,3,8,9-Tetrakis((benzyloxy)methyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane (10). Compound 9 ( $0.208 \mathrm{~g}, 306 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was coevaporated three times with dried toluene and dissolved in dried THF ( 7.7 mL ). A solution of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in toluene, 10 mL ) was cooled down to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while kept under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The mixture of compound 9 in THF was dropwise carefully added to the $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$
solution, after which the reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while stirred and kept under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. After 3 h , no starting material 9 was observed by LC-MS. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched adding 5 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 5 mL of 3 M KOH solution. The reaction mixture was filtered over celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification was done over a self-packed $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ reversephase silica gel column using a gradient of $0-50 \% \mathrm{ACN}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \%$ TFA). Compound 10 was obtained as a white solid ( $0.183 \mathrm{~g}, 294 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $95 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.130 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~b}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.41-3.67\left(\mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $4.40-4.52\left(\mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 7.30-7.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 4(\mathrm{CH})_{5} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.613 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=42.16\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 65.68$ $\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 74.35\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 129.19-129.59\left(4(\mathrm{CH})_{5} \mathrm{C}\right), 138.63$ $\left(4 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{5}\right)$. HR-MS: $m / z 653.416[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd $\left[\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]$ 653.416. FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3853.5, 3736.2, 3628.9, 2982.1, 2923.4, 2376.8, 1496.8, 1339.4, 1202.0, 1123.3, 1054.6, 1033.1, 1013.1, 741.2. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=$ $-9.09^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=2.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

Tetra-tert-butyl 2,2',2", $2^{\prime \prime \prime}-((2 S, 3 S, 8 S, 9 S)-2,3,8,9-T e t r a k i s-$ ((benzyloxy)methyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10tetrayl)tetraacetate (11). Compound $10(50 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and tertbutyl 2-bromoacetate $(120 \mathrm{mg}, 616 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were dissolved in dried ACN $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(85 \mathrm{mg}, 616 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the solution and stirred at room temperature for 16 h . The solution was filtrated through Celite, concentrated, and purified on silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/acetone 5:1, $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.4$ ) to give compound $11(60 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $80 \%$ ) as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.130 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=$ $1.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, 2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, 2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 2.25-2.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.51-2.58\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.63-2.70(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.84-2.88\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.00-3.05(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOtBu}\right), 3.00-3.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.00-3.10$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.36-3.78\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOtBu}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OBn}\right)$, $3.52-3.56\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OBn}\right), 3.62-3.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOtBu}$ ), $3.71-3.77\left(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OBn}\right), 4.19-4.40$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100.613 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=$ $28.34\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 28.40\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right), 45.84\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 50.52$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 54.14\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOtBu}\right), 54.69\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOtBu}\right), 56.00$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \quad 60.22\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 65.71\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OBn}\right), 67.15$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OBn}\right), 73.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 74.18\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 82.75\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, $82.81\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$, 128.90-129.47 (4 ( CH$\left.)_{5} \mathrm{C}\right), 139.11\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{5}\right)$, $139.15\left(2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CH})_{5}\right), 174.68(2 \mathrm{COOtBu}), 175.45(2 \mathrm{COOtBu}) . \mathrm{HR}-$ MS: $m / z 1109.68[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd $\left[\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{92} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right.$ ] 1109.68. FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3853.5,3736.2,3628.9,2982.1,2923.4,2376.8,1496.8,1339.4$, 1202.0, 1123.3, 1054.6, 1033.1, 1013.1, 741.2. $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}=-8.1^{\circ}(C=1$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).

Tetra-tert-butyl 2,2',2", $2^{\prime \prime \prime}-((2 S, 3 S, 8 S, 9 S)-2,3,8,9-T e t r a k i s-$ (hydroxymethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetrayl)tetraacetate (12). Compound $11(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 3 mL of ethanol. $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \% \mathrm{wt} \mathrm{Pd}$ on carbon, 20 mg$)$ was added at room temperature, after which the atmosphere was changed to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The reaction was stirred and monitored using LC-MS analysis. After 72 h , no starting material was detected on $\mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}$. The mixture was filtrated through Celite and concentrated. The crude product was purified by gel filtration to afford compound $12(0.13 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500.130 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=1.50$ (s, 18H, 2 $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}, 2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right) 2.31-2.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.57-2.62\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.72-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.72-2.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 2.84-2.88(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.01-3.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.08-3.12\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $\left.=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.08-3.17\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.42-3.56(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.64-3.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.70-3.72\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right.$ $\left.=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.94-3.97\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 3.85-3.94(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125.758 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 293 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=28.40$ $\left(2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 28.41\left(2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 45.39\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 50.33\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $53.92\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 54.31\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 57.62\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 57.83$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 58.97\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 62.20\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 82.82(2 \mathrm{C}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 82.84\left(2 \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 174.66(2 \mathrm{COOtBu}), 175.46$ (2COOt $\mathrm{Bu})$. HR-MS: $m / z 749.492[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd $\left[\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right] 749.491$. FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2983.4,2923.4,2866.2,2380.2,2310.8,1555.4,1506.8$, 1456.7, 1054.6, 1033.1, 1013.1. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-9.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
$2,2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}-((2 S, 3 S, 8 S, 9 S)-2,3,8,9$-Tetrakis(hydroxymethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane-1,4,7,10-tetrayl)tetraacetic Acid (HMDOTA). Compound $12(30 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in 1 $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under continuous stirring. After 5 h , no starting material or reaction intermediates were observed in $\mathrm{LC}-\mathrm{MS}$ analysis. The reaction mixture was quenched by adding saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to adjust the pH to neutral (circa 3 mL ). Purification by gel filtration afforded HMDOTA as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600.130 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 293 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=2.69-2.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=14 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right), 2.94-2.96\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=11 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 3.07-3.08(\mathrm{~b}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.22-3.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}, 2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), 3.56-3.59\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), 3.82-4.10$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(150.903 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $293 \mathrm{~K}): \delta=45.47\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 51.16\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 57.22\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 57.38$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 57.89\left(4 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), 58.50\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 64.15$ $\left(2 \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 180.50(\mathrm{COOH}), 180.86(\mathrm{COOH}) . \mathrm{HR}-\mathrm{MS}: m / z$ $525.241[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$, calcd $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12}\right]$ 525.241. FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 2983.5, 2923.3, 2866.2, 2380.2, 2310.8, 1555.4, 1506.8, 1456.7, 1054.6, 1033.1, 1013.1. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}=-4.3^{\circ}(C=1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
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