



18 **Abstract**

19 The application of cinnamaldehyde essential oil (CEO), which is naturally  
20 antibacterial, has been limited due to its high volatility and insolubility in water. This  
21 issue may be addressed by nanoencapsulation through electrospinning. In this study,  
22 octenylsuccinylated starch (OSS) with different molecular structures blended with  
23 pullulan was developed to load CEO for enhanced antibacterial potential. OSS with a  
24 smaller molecular size and a higher degree of substitution (DS) is beneficial for the  
25 electrospinning of OSS/PUL into high-quality nanofiber mats. The electrospinning  
26 process of OSS/PUL aqueous dopes is mainly influenced by moderate apparent  
27 viscosity, increased conductivity, and reduced surface tension. CEO loading through  
28 physical adsorption significantly changed the fiber microstructure and displayed  
29 antibacterial effects against *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), *Escherichia coli* (*E.*  
30 *coli*), and *Aspergillus flavus* (*A. flavus*) for electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats. This  
31 study demonstrates that electrospinning starch-based nanofiber mats with loading of  
32 essential oils could hold a potential application in active food packaging and wound  
33 dressing.

34 **Keywords:** Octenylsuccinylated starch; Electrospinning; Cinnamon essential oil;  
35 Nanofiber mat; Electrospinnability; Antimicrobial.

## 36 **1. Introduction**

37 Many pathogenic microorganisms have developed antibiotic resistance, mainly  
38 due to the overuse of antibiotics. Therefore, it is very important to find antibacterial  
39 active substances that can replace those antibiotics (Maliszewska & Czapka, 2022).  
40 Given the concerns over food safety and environmental impact, natural, highly effective  
41 and non-toxic antibacterial substances are preferred to use (Sung et al., 2013). Essential  
42 oils from plants are a type of natural active substance possessing non-toxic,  
43 biocompatible, antioxidant and antibacterial properties (Dai et al., 2023). These oils are  
44 generally recognized as Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) by the U.S. Food and  
45 Drug Administration (FDA), indicating that they can be directly used in the food  
46 industry (Wen et al., 2016).

47 Cinnamon essential oil (CEO) is a frequently used natural essential oil that is  
48 obtained by distilling cinnamon leaves to produce a clear oil, and its main ingredient is  
49 cinnamon aldehyde (Barbosa, Yudice, Mitra, & Rosa, 2021; D'agostino, Tesse,  
50 Frippiat, Machouart, & Debourgogne, 2019). The bacteriostatic mechanisms of  
51 cinnamon essential oil has been extensively studied (Vasconcelos, Croda, &  
52 Simionatto, 2018), including perturbing the cell membrane and altering the lipid profile  
53 of the cell membrane (Burt & Reinders, 2003; Wendakoon & Sakaguchi, 1995), the  
54 inhibition of motility and biofilm formation (Venkitanarayanan, 2011), the inhibition of  
55 quorum sensing (a bacteria intercellular communication system) (Brackman et al.,  
56 2011), and others. However, due to its high volatility, insolubility in water, and peculiar  
57 odor, it is often necessary to encapsulate CEO using nanotechnology to improve its  
58 water-dispersibility and storage stability, mask the peculiar odor, and achieved  
59 controlled release (Lin, Dai, & Cui, 2017). Compared with poly (butylene adipate-*co*-  
60 terephthalate) capsules (da Silva Barbosa et al., 2021) and cellulose  
61 nanocrystals/chitosan composite films (Liu et al., 2022), electrospinning represents one  
62 of the most promising encapsulation methods due to its convenience and  
63 inexpensiveness.

64 Electrospinning is an emerging nanotechnology that utilize electrostatic force to  
65 stretch polymer fluid, thereby reducing the diameter of polymer fibers (Reneker &

66 Chun, 1996). Compared with other nanotechnologies, electrospinning allows for easy  
67 control in the preparation of continuous and uniform nanofibers, and the resulting  
68 nanofibers are characterized by the large specific surface area and extreme porosity,  
69 making them particularly suitable for the encapsulation and controlled release of  
70 bioactive substances (Lim, Mendes, & Chronakis, 2019). Numerous studies have  
71 shown that electrospinning is an effective platform for improving the storage stability  
72 and biological activity of essential oils (Ansarifar & Moradinezhad, 2022; Cui, Yuan,  
73 Li, & Lin, 2017; Ghasemi, Miri, Najafi, Tavakoli, & Hadadi, 2022; Ribeiro-Santos et  
74 al., 2017; Tampau, González-Martínez, & Chiralt, 2018). Therefore, the use of  
75 electrospinning for preparing nanofibers shows great potential for applications in food  
76 antimicrobial or active packaging (Wang et al., 2023).

77 Biopolymers such as alginate, cellulose, chitosan and starch can serve as raw  
78 materials for electrospinning (Angel, Li, Yan, & Kong, 2022). Among them, starch can  
79 act as a wall material to encapsulate bioactive molecules with high loading capacity and  
80 efficiency using electrospinning, producing nanocapsules or nanofibers with controlled  
81 diameters and lengths (Dierings de Souza, Kringel, Guerra Dias, & da Rosa Zavareze,  
82 2021). Starch, as one of the most abundant, renewable polysaccharide resources on  
83 Earth, is composed of  $\alpha$ -1,4-linked and  $\alpha$ -1,6-linked glucose units and its  
84 macromolecules can be divided into amylose and amylopectin, wherein amylose or  
85 amylopectin content in starch plays a vital role in determining its distinct physical and  
86 chemical properties (Wei et al., 2022; Zhong et al., 2020; Zhong, Qu, et al., 2022).  
87 Previous research showed that a high amylose content promotes the formation of  
88 nanofibers through electrospinning, since amylose is more likely to form molecular  
89 entanglement required by fibers (Cao et al., 2022; Fonseca et al., 2019; Zhong, Tai, et  
90 al., 2022). In contrast, amylopectin, due to its branches and bulkiness, is  
91 counterproductive to electrospinning (Kong & Ziegler, 2012). Therefore, normal native  
92 starch, which contains 70%-80% amylopectin, is insoluble in cold water and requires  
93 the use of organic solvents, such as formic acid or dimethyl sulfoxide, for complete  
94 dissolution, which limited the application of electrospinning fibers in the food industry  
95 (Kong & Ziegler, 2014; Lancuški, Vasilyev, Putaux, & Zussman, 2015).

96 Chemically modified starches such as octenyl succinic anhydride (OSA)  
97 modified starch, also known as octenylsuccinylated starch (OSS), originates from OSA  
98 modification combined with the degradation of waxy starch using acid or enzymes  
99 methods (Dierings de Souza et al, 2021). Compared with native starch, OSS can easily  
100 form molecular entanglements that promote fiber formation in aqueous solutions (Liu  
101 et al., 2021; Sweedman, Schäfer, & Gilbert, 2014; Tizzotti, Sweedman, Schäfer, &  
102 Gilbert, 2013). Furthermore, blending OSS with linear polymers such as pullulan (PUL,  
103 a type of linear polysaccharide consisting of maltotriose units) (Viswanathan et al,  
104 2006) in an aqueous solution could lead to the formation of nanofibers using  
105 electrospinning, which are safe, “green”, and non-toxic (Li, Kong, & Ziegler, 2021;  
106 Liang & Gao, 2023; Liang, Pan, & Gao, 2021). According to a previous report,  
107 OSS/PUL blended aqueous solutions represent a feasible option for “green”  
108 electrospinning, which could be used to adsorb the odors of oyster peptides (Li et al.,  
109 2022).

110 Although an OSS/PUL system used for “green” electrospinning has been  
111 explored in our previous study (Li et al., 2021), there is still uncertainty regarding the  
112 electrospinnability of OSS and its relationship with molecular structure. Additionally,  
113 and the loading evaluation of CEO into the above-mentioned electrospun starch-based  
114 nanofibers remains uncharted territory. We hypothesize that the ability to blend  
115 electrospinnable OSS with PUL is linked to their molecular structure (molecular size  
116 and linear structure), which could further affect their CEO loading capacity and  
117 antibacterial potential. Therefore, this study selected six OSS varieties with different  
118 molecular structures to use as raw materials for electrospinning with PUL. The study  
119 investigated their blending electrospinnability map and performed structural  
120 characterizations using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), <sup>1</sup>H nuclear magnetic  
121 resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and  
122 texture profile analysis to evaluate their antibacterial potential against *Staphylococcus*  
123 *aureus* (*S.aureus*), *Escherichia coli* (*E.coli*) and *Aspergillus flavus* (*A.flavus*) with CEO  
124 loading, which could provide new insights into electrospun starch-based nanofiber  
125 mats.

## 126 **2. Materials and methods**

### 127 **2.1. Materials**

128 OSS products originated from potato starches, namely CF03 (OSS1) and  
129 CM1120 (OSS2), were kindly supplied by Kartoffelmelcentralen (KMC, Denmark).  
130 OSS3, OSS4, OSS5, and OSS6 originated from waxy maize starches were prepared in  
131 Fuyang Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Shandong, China) according to our previous method  
132 (Zhao et al., 2018). PUL, with a molecular weight of  $1.35 \times 10^5$  g/mol was purchased  
133 from Kangnaxin Biotechnology (Shandong, China). CEO ( $\geq 75\%$  cinnamaldehyde) was  
134 purchased from Xin Essence Flavor Co., Ltd. The other reagents are of analytical grade.  
135

### 136 **2.2. Molecular characterization of OSS**

137 Molecular structures of OSS samples were characterized using high-performance  
138 size-exclusion chromatography (Liu et al., 2021) and  $^1\text{H}$  nuclear magnetic resonance  
139 (NMR) spectra according to our previous study (Liu et al., 2021). This process enables  
140 the acquisition of characteristic parameters, including the molecular size, molecular  
141 weight ( $M_w$ ), and degree of substitution (DS) of OSS, could be obtained. The details  
142 can be seen in the supplementary materials.  
143

143

### 144 **2.3 Dope preparation and characterization**

145 Different proportions of OSS (0-120%, w/v) and PUL (0-20%, w/v) were  
146 dispersed in ultrapure water, and the mixture was then heated in a boiling water bath to  
147 prepare a spinning solution according to a previous report (Li et al., 2021). Before  
148 electrospinning, their apparent viscosity, surface tension, and conductivity were  
149 measured. To test their apparent viscosity, a stress-controlled rheometer (MCR302,  
150 Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria) with a parallel steel plate geometry with a diameter  
151 of 50 mm and a gap of 1.0 mm was used. Flow curves of apparent viscosity versus shear  
152 rate were obtained at 25 °C and shear rates of 0.1-100  $\text{s}^{-1}$  (Cao et al., 2022). Surface  
153 tension was measured by the interfacial tensiometer (New Boundary Scientific  
154 Instrument Co., LTD., Ningbo, China) using the suspended drop method (Berry,  
155 Neeson, Dagastine, Chan, & Tabor, 2015). Conductivity was measured by a

156 conductivity meter (Mettler Toledo Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). More  
157 details are shown in the supplementary materials.

158

## 159 **2.4 Electrospinning**

160 The above spinning solution was loaded into a 5 mL syringe (Yuegang Medical  
161 Equipment Co., Ltd., Changzhou, China), and 23-gauge blunt needles (Nano Apparatus  
162 Technology Co., Ltd., Changsha, China) were used as the spinneret. The  
163 electrospinning parameters were based on a previous study with some modifications  
164 (Li et al., 2022). The parameters were set at 18 kV voltage, 15 cm collecting distance,  
165 and 0.4 mL/h feed speed. Nanofibers were generated by electrospinning equipment  
166 (JDF05, Nano Apparatus Technology Co., Ltd., Changsha, China) at a temperature of  
167 28 °C and 25% relative humidity, with the assistance of air conditioning and a  
168 dehumidifier. Nanofiber mats are collected in an electrically grounded drum rotating at  
169 50 rpm for 12 h and subsequently stored in a dryer at 25 °C for further analysis.

170

## 171 **2.5 Structural characterization of nanofiber mats**

### 172 **2.5.1 Microstructure**

173 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, TM-3000, Japan) was used to investigate  
174 the morphology of nanofibers, which were fixed and gold-sputtered (Tian et al., 2022).  
175 The nanofiber mats were sectioned into small pieces and mounted on a conductive  
176 adhesive. They were then sputter-coated with gold using an ion-sputtering device. The  
177 morphology of the nanofibers was imaged using a scanning electron microscope at a  
178 magnification of 10,000×. Their fiber diameter distribution and porosity were analyzed  
179 by Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) according to  
180 a previous study (Li et al., 2022).

### 181 **2.5.2 FT-IR analysis**

182 The samples were analyzed using an ATR-FTIR facility (PE SP2, Perkin-Elmer,  
183 America) with a wavenumber range of 4000–800 cm<sup>-1</sup> and a resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Li  
184 et al., 2022).

185

### 186 **2.5.3 Mechanical strength**

187 The mechanical properties of the samples were tested using a texture analyzer  
188 (TA.XT plus, Stable Micro Systems, UK), with a testing velocity of 0.5 mm/s. The  
189 nanofiber mats were cut into strips (5 × 2 cm, thickness of 0.2 mm) using a paper cutter.  
190 The stress-strain curves obtained were used to analyze their elastic modulus, tensile  
191 strength, and elongation at the break (Zhang, Wang, Li, Zhang, & Weiss, 2021).

192

### 193 **2.6 Loading of CEO into nanofiber mats**

194 The nanofiber mats were cut into round sheets with a diameter of 20 mm, using  
195 a hole punch, weighed, and then soaked in CEO for 3 min. Then, the excess CEO was  
196 filtered out with a vacuum pump (Haoqing Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), and  
197 re-weighed until reaching a constant value.

198

### 199 **2.7 Characterization of CEO-loaded nanofiber mats**

#### 200 **2.7.1 Microstructure**

201 The methodology outlined in section 2.5.1 was used with SEM images captured  
202 at a magnification of 10,000 ×.

203

#### 204 **2.7.2 CEO loading rate**

205 The mass of the nanofiber mats loaded with CEO was measured, and the loading  
206 rate of CEO was calculated (Li et al., 2018) using the following formula:

$$207 \text{ CEO loading rate (g/g)} = (m_t - m_0) / m_0 \quad (1)$$

208 wherein  $m_0$  (g) is the original mass of nanofiber mats, and  $m_t$  (g) is the mass of the  
209 nanofiber mat after CEO loading for 3 min.

210

#### 211 **2.7.3 Antimicrobial evaluation**

212 The antibacterial effectiveness of the nanofiber mats with CEO loading was  
213 evaluated using the inhibition zone method (Zhou, Abdel-Samie, Li, Cui, & Lin, 2020).

214 The microorganisms used in the test were *Escherichia coli* (Gram-negative),  
215 *Staphylococcus aureus* (Gram-positive), and *Aspergillus flavus* (fungus), which were



216 activated in Trypticase Soy Broth at 37 °C for 12 h prior to testing. The circular  
217 nanofiber mat, with a diameter of 20 mm, was sterilized under an ultraviolet lamp for  
218 1 h before conducting the inhibition zone method. A bacterial suspension of 100 µL  
219 ( $1 \times 10^8$  CFU/mL) was then coated on the corresponding agar plate. Next, the nanofiber  
220 mat was placed on the surface of the plate, and the dish was cultured upright at 37 °C  
221 for 24 h. Finally, the diameter of the antibacterial zone was measured to evaluate the  
222 mat's antibacterial activity.

223

## 224 **2.8 Statistical analysis**

225 Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. SPSS 16.0 (IBM, Inc.,  
226 Armonk, NY) was used for significance analysis, and one-way analysis of variance  
227 (ANOVA) with Duncan's multiple range test ( $P < 0.05$ ) was used for data analysis.

228

## 229 **3. Results and discussion**

### 230 **3.1 Molecular structure of OSS**

231 The SEC weight distributions  $w(\log R_h)$  of OSS as a function of hydrodynamic  
232 radius are presented in Figure 1A. In this study, OSS1 and OSS2 were OSA-modified  
233 potato starch, while OSS3, OSS4, OSS5, and OSS6 were OSA-modified waxy maize  
234 starch with different molecular sizes (Chen, Xie, Zhao, Qiao, & Liu, 2017; Liu et al.,  
235 2021; Zhao et al., 2018). There are two main peaks in OSS1 and OSS2, with  $R_h$  values  
236 of 11.5 nm and 10 nm observed for the first peak and 47.09 nm and 38.81 nm for the  
237 second peak, respectively, highlighting the differences in molecular structure between  
238 amylose and amylopectin (Tian et al., 2022). Notably, OSS2 exhibited a shoulder peak  
239 at  $R_h=22.82$  nm, possibly due to limited alkali degradation of potato starch (Tian et al.,  
240 2022). OSS3, OSS4, OSS5 and OSS6 displayed a predominant peak in SEC, likely  
241 stemming from waxy starch; however, OSS from waxy starch was subjected to acid or  
242 enzyme degradation to different extents to obtain suitable sizes of OSS3-OSS6 (Han,  
243 Zhang, Li, Li, & Wu, 2019). The average  $R_h$  values of these starches, namely OSS3,  
244 OSS4, OSS5, and OSS6, were 49.71 nm, 3.72 nm, 3.74 nm, and 11.94 nm, respectively.  
245 The average molecular weights of the OSS samples were ranked in the following order:

246 OSS3 > OSS1 > OSS2 > OSS6 > OSS4 > OSS5. The detailed molecular size and  
247 molecular weight results of OSS can be seen in Table S1.

248 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR was used to determine the degree of substitution (DS) of modified  
249 starch. In this work, OSS showed the signal of the CH<sub>3</sub> group at around 0.85 ppm in the  
250 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, representing the successful grafting of hydrophobic OS groups into  
251 the starch skeleton (Wang, Fu, Tang, Huang, & Zhang, 2017). As shown in Table S1,  
252 the DS of OSS increased in the order of OSS1 (0.48%) < OSS3 (1.47%) < OSS2  
253 (2.64%) < OSS5 (2.96%) < OSS4 (3.19%) < OSS6 (4.29%). The DS values were  
254 influenced by the average number of octenyl succinate (OS) derivatives per glucose  
255 unit along the starch backbone (Sweedman, Tizzotti, Schäfer, & Gilbert, 2013). The  
256 different DS values of OSS1 and OSS2 could result from the different surface holes  
257 formed by the different varieties of potato starch, which, in turn, influence the surface  
258 area for chemical reactions (Wang et al., 2013). Other factors that could affect the DS  
259 include the chemical synthesis conditions, such as reaction time (2-4 h) and temperature  
260 (30-40°C) (Sweedman et al., 2013).

261

### 262 **3.2 Electrospinnability of OSS with PUL**

263 The linear structure and good water solubility of PUL provides significant utility  
264 for electrospinning, and its use in combination with other polymers can improve  
265 electrospinnability by changing their surface tension, apparent viscosity, and  
266 conductivity (Li et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022; Liang & Gao, 2023). For determining the  
267 appropriate processing parameters for electrospinning, the electrospinnability map of  
268 OSS/PUL aqueous dopes was plotted in Figure 2. Generally, OSS is hard to form fiber  
269 in an aqueous solution using common electrospinning parameters, but its  
270 electrospinnability could be improved by the addition of PUL, which has a unique linear  
271 molecular structure that aids in forming fibers without the use of toxic or corrosive  
272 organic solvents (Li et al., 2021; Li et al., 2022). A narrow concentration range of 0-  
273 14% (w/v) of some commercial OSS products from Ingredion and PUL with molecular  
274 weights of  $1-2 \times 10^5$  g/mol was used before (Li et al., 2021); however, the limited OSS  
275 concentrations and unclear OSS molecular structures are not helpful for obtaining a

276 complete electrospinnability map to understand their electrospinning behavior. With the  
277 permission from solubility, a wider range of OSS concentrations was used in this work  
278 for obtaining the whole electrospinnability map. The concentration of PUL used in this  
279 work stayed between 0 and 20% (w/v), with 20% being the minimum concentration  
280 required for successful electrospinning, as determined via a pilot study, to produce  
281 bead-free nanofibers. From Figure 2, “unable to form fiber mat” (no fibers), “fiber mat  
282 with droplets” (usually with beaded fibers), and “good fiber mat without droplets”  
283 (usually with bead-free fibers) were expressed using the colors of red, blue and green,  
284 respectively. The typical SEM images of these different fiber mats electrospun from the  
285 OSS4/PUL aqueous dope with varying polymer concentrations were further exhibited  
286 in Figure 3 as a model.

287 The electrospinnability maps of OSS1/PUL, OSS2/PUL, and OSS3/PUL were  
288 shown in Figure 2A, 2B and 2C, respectively. These OSS/PUL aqueous dopes were  
289 unable to form good nanofiber mats with 2-20% PUL addition and 4-24% OSS  
290 concentrations due to the occurrence of a large number of droplets during the  
291 electrospinning process, which may be attributed to the relatively high surface tension  
292 and high apparent viscosity (Kong & Ziegler, 2012; Poudel et al., 2020) (see Table S2).

293 From the electrospinnability map of OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, and OSS6/PUL (see  
294 Figure 2D, 2E and 2F), good fiber mats could be formed when the concentrations of  
295 OSS and/or PUL were increased to certain values. When the concentration range of  
296 OSS4, OSS5, and OSS6 was set to 0-120% (w/v), and the PUL concentration was set  
297 to be at least 6% (w/v), a continuous and stable jet could be formed leading to good  
298 fiber mats. Fiber mats with droplets could be produced with a lower concentration of  
299 OSS (4%) and PUL (4%), consistent with those reported by Li et al. (2021). The  
300 electrospinnable areas of OSS/PUL aqueous dopes follows the order of OSS4/PUL >  
301 OSS5/PUL > OSS6/PUL. Among these OSS samples, OSS4 presented a wider  
302 electrospinnability area, which should result from combined effects of e.g. molecular  
303 size, average molecular weight, and DS, making it more suitable for industrial  
304 production.

305 In order to further understand the fiber formation mechanism of OSS/PUL

306 aqueous dopes by electrospinning, Figure 3 shows the SEM images of electrospun  
307 OSS4/PUL nanofibers across varying polymer concentrations. As the concentrations of  
308 OSS4 (20-100%, w/v) and PUL (2-20%, w/v) increased, the status of fiber was changed  
309 from no fibers (Figure 3-D1) to beaded fibers (Figure 3-D2), then to uniform and  
310 continuous bead-free fibers (Figure 3-D3). This result also indicate that a relatively  
311 small addition of PUL played a crucial role in establishing sufficient molecular  
312 entanglement for electrospinning (Li et al., 2021). The presence of PUL significantly  
313 decreased the number of beads, with the minimum required concentration of PUL in  
314 the aqueous dopes decreasing as the concentration of OSS increased (Li et al., 2021;  
315 Wang & Ziegler, 2019). The electrospinnability map reveals that achieving successful  
316 electrospinning for 16%, 20% and 40% (w/v) aqueous OSS dopes requires a minimum  
317 addition of 10%, 8%, and 6% (w/v) PUL, respectively. However, excessive OSS  
318 concentration, even with PUL added, can result in the ejection of beaded fibers from  
319 the nozzle, leading to the formation of poor fibers.

320

### 321 **3.3 Electrospinning dope properties**

322 The electrospinning process of polymer solutions relied on a combination of  
323 factors, including molecular structure and entanglement, and the properties of the  
324 dopes, such as apparent viscosity, surface tension, and conductivity (Duan et al., 2006;  
325 Yu, Fridrikh, & Rutledge, 2006). In order to explain the effect of different OSS  
326 components on the electrospinnability of OSS/PUL aqueous dopes, a fixed OSS  
327 concentration of 20% (w/v) and a fixed PUL concentration of 10% (w/v) were selected,  
328 allowing the characterization of their dope properties.

329 Among the crucial parameters for electrospinning, rheological properties of  
330 polymer dopes hold significant importance (Kong & Ziegler, 2012). The apparent  
331 viscosity of OSS/PUL aqueous dopes was measured at different shear rates ranging  
332 from 0.1 to 100 s<sup>-1</sup>, as depicted in Figure 4A and presented in Table S2. In Figure 4A,  
333 the OSS1/PUL aqueous dope exhibited notably higher apparent viscosity, with the flow  
334 curve indicating non-Newtonian behavior (apparent viscosity decreased with the  
335 increasing shear rate) at low shear rates (<100 s<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, the OSS2/PUL and

336 OSS3/PUL aqueous dopes showed this shear-thinning behavior, albeit less pronounced  
337 than OSS1/PUL. On the contrary, the OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, and OSS6/PUL aqueous  
338 dopes all showed Newtonian behavior. OSS/PUL aqueous dopes, which are suitable for  
339 fiber formation by electrospinning, were found to meet the following conditions: being  
340 not or weakly shear thinning at shear rates below  $100\text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is similar to the  
341 conclusions reported in a previous study (Stijnman, Bodnar, & Hans Tromp, 2011).

342 Another critical factor for successful electrospinning is the conductivity of  
343 electrospinning dopes, affected by the conductivity of solvents, polymers, and additives  
344 (e.g. salts) (Bhardwaj & Kundu, 2010). The conductivity of OSS/PUL aqueous dopes  
345 is shown in Figure 4B and Table S2. Increased conductivity has been shown to  
346 effectively suppress bead formation during the electrospinning of fibers (Fong, Chun,  
347 & Reneker, 1999). This principle holds true in our study, where the conductivity of the  
348 OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL and OSS6/PUL aqueous dopes surpasses that of the other three  
349 formulations, which may be due to the higher moles of OSA group with a negative  
350 charge, contributing to the enhanced electrospinnability of the OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL  
351 and OSS6/PUL aqueous dopes.

352 The surface tension of polymer dopes acts as a counterforce to the stretching  
353 effect induced by applied voltage (Kutzli, Gibis, Baier, & Weiss, 2019; Mitchell, 2015).  
354 Overcoming surface tension is crucial for the successful formation of fibers through  
355 electrospinning. Figure 4C shows the time-dependence of surface tension for OSS/PUL  
356 aqueous dopes. The curves illustrate that the surface tension of these aqueous dopes  
357 decreased with increasing time. At the 3000 s mark, the surface tension followed the  
358 order of OSS2/PUL, OSS1/PUL, OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, OSS3/PUL, and OSS6/PUL,  
359 mainly influenced by the types of polymers and solvents used (Angel, Li, Yan, & Kong,  
360 2022). Higher surface tension in polymer dopes often results in bead formation during  
361 electrospinning (Cao et al., 2022). Conversely, reducing surface tension can enhance  
362 polymer jet stability through chain entanglement, effectively preventing bead formation  
363 and leading to an increased diameter of the fibers (Lim et al., 2019).

364

365 **3.4 Characterization of OSS/PUL nanofiber mats**

### 366 **3.4.1 Morphology**

367 The morphology (beads and fiber diameter) of nanofiber mats (with droplets  
368 having a diameter  $\geq 1 \mu\text{m}$ ) can be observed using SEM and a digital camera (Cao et  
369 al., 2022), respectively. The appearance of electrospun nanofiber mats and the SEM  
370 images of OSS/PUL nanofiber mats are shown in Figure 5. No fiber and nanofiber mats  
371 were observed for the OSS1/PUL aqueous dope. The OSS2/PUL and OSS3/PUL  
372 aqueous dopes could be electrospun into short and discontinuous nanofibers, wherein  
373 their higher viscosity produced massive droplets on the surface of the nanofiber mat  
374 (see Figure 5A). Additionally, some beads also appeared among the fibers (see Figure  
375 5B). The average fiber diameter values, without beads, for the electrospun OSS3/PUL  
376 and OSS2/PUL fiber mats were 242 nm and 205 nm, respectively. In contrast, the  
377 OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL and OSS6/PUL aqueous dopes were conducive to the formation  
378 of nanofiber mats with continuous, uniform, and bead-free morphologies, as evident in  
379 the SEM images (see Figure 5B). Their average fiber diameters measured 211 nm, 244  
380 nm, and 230 nm (see table S3), similar to the results reported in a previous study (Li et  
381 al., 2021). Therefore, the electrospun OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, and OSS6/PUL  
382 nanofiber mats were selected for further characterization.

383

### 384 **3.4.2 FTIR analysis**

385 ATR-FTIR analysis serve as a valuable tool to discern and examine the chemical  
386 characteristics of the surface structure in polymer materials (Liu et al., 2013; Liu, Gao,  
387 Sangwan, Yu, & Tong, 2014). The FTIR spectra for OSS (OSS4, OSS5, and OSS6),  
388 PUL, OSS/PUL nanofiber mats, and CEO-loaded nanofiber mats are displayed in  
389 Figure 6. The spectrum for the pure PUL exhibited the stretching vibration of O-H  
390 bonds at ca.  $3345 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , accompanied by the stretching vibrations of  $-\text{CH}_2-$ , O-C-O,  
391  $\alpha$ -(1, 6)-glycosidic, and  $\alpha$ -(1, 4)-glycosidic bonds, at ca.  $2926 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , ca.  $1642 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , ca.  
392  $931 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and ca.  $755 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively. For OSS, the bands at  $3436\text{-}3428 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be  
393 assigned to O-H bending, while those at  $2931\text{-}2927 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  represents C-H stretching  
394 vibrations. The wavenumber range between  $1200 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  can be associated  
395 with the stretching of C-O, C-C, and C-O-H and the bending of C-O-H (Zhang et al.,

396 2013). Notably, peaks at ca.1733–1727  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 1569  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicate the existence of  
397 OS groups (Li et al., 2022).

398 OSS/PUL nanofiber mats exhibited a broad band at 3359-3352  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , with the  
399 peak at ca. 3346  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  shifting to a lower wavenumber, indicating that intermolecular  
400 hydrogen bonds were formed between OSS and PUL (Li et al., 2022). The characteristic  
401 bands of CEO were observed at ca.1670  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and ca.1625  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , signifying the presence  
402 of carbonyl group (C=O) and benzene ring, respectively. Additionally, the band at 1120  
403  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the C–O–H stretching of other trace phenolic compounds in CEO  
404 (Correa-Pacheco et al., 2020). From Figure 6, the characteristic absorption peaks of  
405 CEO were also observed in the three OSS/PUL-CEO nanofiber mats, confirming the  
406 successful incorporation of CEO into the electrospun nanofiber mats.

407

### 408 **3.4.2 Mechanical properties**

409 Evaluating the mechanical properties of electrospun nanofiber mats is crucial for  
410 assessing their suitability for potential industrial applications, considering factors such  
411 as durability and integrity (Yildirim-Yalcin, Tornuk, & Toker, 2022). Their tensile  
412 strength, elastic modulus, and elongation at break could be obtained from the strain-  
413 stress curve of OSS/PUL aqueous mixtures (see Figure 7). The tensile strength is the  
414 maximum stress that a fiber mat can withstand while being stretched before breaking,  
415 a factor reliant on interactions between the fiber mat constituents (Ghiasi, Golmakani,  
416 Eskandari, & Hosseini, 2020).

417 The nanofiber mat electrospun from the OSS4/PUL aqueous dope showed the  
418 higher tensile strength of 1.71 MPa, surpassing OSS5/PUL and OSS6/PUL (1.13 MPa  
419 and 1.42 MPa, respectively) (see Figure 7B). Elastic modulus is a measure of the  
420 material stiffness (Yao, Bastiaansen, & Peijs, 2014). The OSS4/PUL nanofiber mat had  
421 the maximum elastic modulus (38.06 MPa), indicating that this fiber mat was more  
422 resistant to deformation, while the OSS5/PUL nanofiber mat had the minimum elastic  
423 modulus, meaning that it was more flexible and ductile.

424 Elongation at break, which reflects the ability to withstand applied stress  
425 breakage, depends on interactions within nanofiber mats (Choi et al., 2022). The

426 elongation at break of the electrospun OSS6/PUL nanofiber mat was 5.33%, slightly  
427 higher than that of OSS4/PUL and OSS5/PUL. This phenomenon may be related to the  
428  $R_h$  of OSS, wherein OSS6 possessed the highest  $R_h$  resulting in the greatest elongation  
429 at break among the above three OSS. Similar to starch films, the mechanical properties  
430 of electrospun starch-based nanofiber mats were significantly influenced by the  
431 inherent structure of starch itself.

432

433

### 434 **3.5 Formation mechanism of electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats**

435 In this study, OSS with different molecular structures were chosen as model  
436 materials to explore the structure-driven formation mechanism of electrospun  
437 OSS/PUL nanofiber mats (Figure 8). OSS exhibited greater water solubility than native  
438 starch, making the production of electrospun starch-based nanofibers more  
439 environmentally friendly and efficient. The aqueous dope of pure OSS could not  
440 generate well-formed nanofibers through electrospinning. However, the addition of  
441 even a small amount of PUL could significantly enhance nanofiber formation by  
442 facilitating molecular entanglement with OSS in an aqueous medium (Li et al., 2021).  
443 In addition, the generation of starch-based nanofibers is closely related to the molecular  
444 weight distribution of amylose and amylopectin of OSS.

445 For OSS1, OSS2, and OSS3 with high average molecular weight and larger  
446 molecular size, when the OSS concentration is high enough, only a small amount of  
447 OSS can interact with PUL to achieve the sufficient molecular entanglement, while the  
448 remaining OSS molecules tend to self-assemble, forming a dense gel network. This  
449 process increases the apparent viscosity, negatively impacting the electrospinning  
450 process (Härdelin, Perzon, Hagström, Walkenström, & Gatenholm, 2013; Kutzli, Gibis,  
451 Baier, & Weiss, 2018; Vasilyev, Vilensky, & Zussman, 2019).

452 Surface tension and conductivity of polymer dopes play equal vital roles in  
453 electrospinning. A reduction in surface tension is advantageous for successful  
454 electrospinning, and the corresponding polymer dopes must also exhibit sufficient  
455 conductivity. The inability of OSS1/PUL to form fibers may, in part, be attributed to



456 insufficient conductivity, preventing the stable formation of a Taylor cone even under a  
457 high-voltage electric field, thus hindering fiber production. Therefore, not all OSS  
458 variants are suitable for electrospinning, highlighting the importance of selecting OSS  
459 with an appropriate molecular structure for successful electrospinning in conjunction  
460 with PUL in an aqueous medium.

461 In addition, electrospun fiber mats possess a three-dimensional network  
462 structure resulting from the intertwining of nanofibers, showing an excellent adsorption  
463 potential of CEO as a novel porous material. On a macroscopic scale, the physical  
464 adsorption process of electrospun fiber mats is affected by factors such as specific  
465 surface area, pore structure, surface properties, and adsorbate properties (de Souza et  
466 al., 2021). On a microscopic level, it is mainly governed by van der Waals forces,  
467 microporous filling, and capillary condensation (Ghasemi et al., 2022).

468

### 469 **3.6 Characterization of CEO-loaded nanofiber mats**

#### 470 **3.6.1 Microstructure**

471 Figure 9 shows the SEM images of the electrospun OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, and  
472 OSS6/PUL nanofiber mats after CEO loading. The coalescence of overlapping or  
473 intersecting fibers led to a web-like 3D structure in all the above three electrospun  
474 starch-based fiber mats. Additionally, their average fiber diameter increased from 199  
475 nm to 299 nm, 190 nm to 378 nm, and 209 nm to 496 nm for the electrospun OSS4/PUL,  
476 OSS5/PUL and OSS6/PUL nanofiber mats, respectively, upon CEO loading. These  
477 results are similar to a previous study on the electrospinning of OSS/PUL with limited  
478 polymer concentrations (Li et al., 2021), indicating that CEO can be effectively  
479 entrapped within the nanofibers, filling their pores.

480

#### 481 **3.6.2 CEO loading rate**

482 Table 1 shows the CEO loading rates of the electrospun OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL,  
483 and OSS6/PUL nanofiber mats were 2.64 g/g, 2.73 g/g, and 3.02 g/g, respectively. The  
484 effective CEO loading can be attributed to the hydrophobicity of OSS and the high  
485 porosity of electrospun nanofiber mats (El-Samak et al., 2020; Sweedman et al., 2013).

486 Specifically, the hydrophobicity of OSS is positively correlated with its DS. OSS6, with  
487 the highest DS and greatest hydrophobicity, demonstrated the highest CEO loading rate.  
488 Furthermore, after CEO loading, the OSS6 nanofiber mat showed the most significant  
489 change in porosity from 53.69% to 6.15%, and their average fiber diameter increased  
490 from 209 nm to 496 nm, further confirming that the DS of OSS influenced the CEO  
491 loading rate of the resulting electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats.

492

### 493 **3.6.3 Antibacterial activity**

494 The antimicrobial activity of the electrospun OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, and  
495 OSS6/PUL nanofiber mats after CEO loading was investigated using *S. aureus*, *E. coil*,  
496 and *A. Flavus* as test microorganisms, as shown in Figure 10. All the CEO-loaded fiber  
497 mats effectively inhibited the growth of these three microorganisms. Notably, in this  
498 study, the diameter of inhibition zones for electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats loaded  
499 with CEO against *S. aureus* was higher than those against *E. coil*, implying that these  
500 electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats loaded with CEO had better antimicrobial  
501 activity against Gram-positive bacteria than Gram-negative bacteria. This difference  
502 may be attributed to variances in cell wall structure. Gram-positive bacteria typically  
503 have cell walls that allow hydrophobic molecules to easily penetrate, enabling them to  
504 exert their effects both on the cell wall and within the cytoplasm (Nazzaro, Fratianni,  
505 De Martino, Coppola, & De Feo, 2013; Nikaido, 1994; Trombetta et al., 2005).

506 Although OSS6/PUL exhibited the highest CEO loading capacity, there was no  
507 significant difference in antimicrobial activity among these three OSS/PUL-CEO fiber  
508 mats (see Table 1). This result may be related to the saturation point of CEO released  
509 from OSS/PUL-CEO fiber mats, resulting in no significant difference in antimicrobial  
510 activity (Van de Vel, Sampers, & Raes, 2017). However, OSS6/PUL demonstrated the  
511 most potent antifungal activity, followed by OSS5/PUL, suggesting that the antifungal  
512 ability of the OSS/PUL-CEO fiber mats increased with the CEO loading. This finding  
513 is consistent with a previous study, which showed that the fungal inhibition effect of  
514 essential oils is directly proportional to their concentration (Niu et al., 2022). The  
515 differential inhibition of fungi and bacteria by the OSS/PUL-CEO fiber mats may be

516 attributed to the superficial growth of *A. flavus*, making it more susceptible to the  
517 volatile compounds released by CEO (Reyes-Jurado et al., 2020).

518

#### 519 **4. Conclusion**

520 In this study, electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats were successfully produced  
521 using six different OSS variants with different molecular structures, alongside PUL, as  
522 the raw materials, and water as the only solvent. Among these, OSS1, OSS2, and OSS3,  
523 characterized by larger average molecular weights and lower DS values, exhibited  
524 suboptimal electrospinning outcomes. OSS4, OSS5, and OSS6 possessing smaller  
525 average molecular weights and higher DS values, yielded well-formed nanofiber mats  
526 via electrospinning.

527 For OSS/PUL aqueous dopes, several factors were found to be crucial: 1) The  
528 apparent viscosity at a shear rate of  $100 \text{ s}^{-1}$  should not be excessively high; 2) High  
529 conductivity is conducive to electrospinning; and 3) Surface tension, while influential,  
530 is not the sole determining factor. In addition, these dope properties further affected the  
531 appearance, SEM micro-morphology, fiber diameter distribution, and mechanical  
532 properties of electrospun OSS/PUL nanofiber mats.

533 Furthermore, the CEO-loaded nanofiber mats showed different degrees of  
534 inhibition against *S.aureus*, *E.coli*, and *A.flavus*, with notable efficacy against  
535 *Staphylococcus aureus*. These findings highlights the essential role of PUL as an  
536 electrospinning aid and its concentration in facilitating molecular entanglement of OSS  
537 in the dope. This work is beneficial for the development of starch electrospinning and  
538 its application in active food packaging.

539

#### 540 **Acknowledgement**

541 This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China  
542 (32372476), the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education  
543 Institutions (PAPD), and the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of  
544 Jiangsu Province (KYCX22\_1706). Dr Songnan Li would like to acknowledge the  
545 funding support from the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province

546 (BK20220585), the “Shuangchuang” Doctor Talent Support Program of Jiangsu  
547 Province (JSSCBS20221323), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation  
548 (2022M712692), the Yangzhou Key R&D Plan (Social Development) Project  
549 (YZ2022076), and the Yangzhou “Lvyangjinfeng” Talent Support Program  
550 (YZLYJFJH2021YXBS172).

551

## 552 **References**

## 553 **References**

554 Angel, N., Li, S., Yan, F., & Kong, L. (2022). Recent advances in electrospinning of  
555 nanofibers from bio-based carbohydrate polymers and their applications. *Trends*  
556 *in Food Science & Technology*, *120*, 308-324.  
557 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.01.003>

558 Ansarifar, E., & Moradinezhad, F. (2022). Encapsulation of thyme essential oil using  
559 electrospun zein fiber for strawberry preservation. *Chemical and Biological*  
560 *Technologies in Agriculture*, *9*(1), 2. doi:10.1186/s40538-021-00267-y

561 Barbosa, R. F. d. S., Yudice, E. D. C., Mitra, S. K., & Rosa, D. d. S. (2021).  
562 Characterization of Rosewood and Cinnamon Cassia essential oil polymeric  
563 capsules: Stability, loading efficiency, release rate and antimicrobial properties.  
564 *Food Control*, *121*. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2020.107605

565 Berry, J. D., Neeson, M. J., Dagastine, R. R., Chan, D. Y. C., & Tabor, R. F. (2015).  
566 Measurement of surface and interfacial tension using pendant drop tensiometry.  
567 *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, *454*, 226-237.  
568 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2015.05.012>

569 Bhardwaj, N., & Kundu, S. C. (2010). Electrospinning: A fascinating fiber fabrication  
570 technique. *Biotechnology Advances*, *28*(3), 325-347.  
571 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.01.004>

572 Brackman, G., Celen, S., Hillaert, U., Van Calenbergh, S., Cos, P., Maes, L., . . . Coenye,  
573 T. (2011). Structure-Activity Relationship of Cinnamaldehyde Analogs as  
574 Inhibitors of AI-2 Based Quorum Sensing and Their Effect on Virulence of  
575 *Vibrio* spp. *PLOS ONE*, *6*(1), e16084. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0016084

576 Burt, S. A., & Reinders, R. D. (2003). Antibacterial activity of selected plant essential  
577 oils against Escherichia coli O157:H7. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 36(3),  
578 162-167. doi:10.1046/j.1472-765X.2003.01285.x %J Letters in Applied  
579 Microbiology

580 Cao, P., Wu, G., Yao, Z., Wang, Z., Li, E., Yu, S., . . . Li, S. (2022). Effects of amylose  
581 and amylopectin molecular structures on starch electrospinning. *Carbohydrate*  
582 *Polymers*, 296, 119959. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.119959>

583 Chen, P., Xie, F., Zhao, L., Qiao, Q., & Liu, X. (2017). Effect of acid hydrolysis on the  
584 multi-scale structure change of starch with different amylose content. *Food*  
585 *Hydrocolloids*, 69, 359-368. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.03.003>

586 Choi, I., Shin, D., Lyu, J. S., Lee, J.-S., Song, H.-g., Chung, M.-N., & Han, J. (2022).  
587 Physicochemical properties and solubility of sweet potato starch-based edible  
588 films. *Food Packaging and Shelf Life*, 33, 100867.  
589 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2022.100867>

590 Correa-Pacheco, Z. N., Black-Solís, J. D., Ortega-Gudiño, P., Sabino-Gutiérrez, M. A.,  
591 Benítez-Jiménez, J. J., Barajas-Cervantes, A., . . . Hurtado-Colmenares, L. B.  
592 (2020). Preparation and Characterization of Bio-Based PLA/PBAT and  
593 Cinnamon Essential Oil Polymer Fibers and Life-Cycle Assessment from  
594 Hydrolytic Degradation. *12*(1), 38.

595 Cui, H., Yuan, L., Li, C., & Lin, L. (2017). Control of Staphylococcus aureus on soya  
596 bean products by D-amino acids/nutmeg essential oil-co-loaded nanofilms.  
597 *52*(11), 2393-2403. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/jifs.13523>

598 D'agostino, M., Tesse, N., Fripiat, J. P., Machouart, M., & Debourgogne, A. J. M.  
599 (2019). Essential oils and their natural active compounds presenting antifungal  
600 properties. *24*(20), 3713.

601 da Silva Barbosa, R. F., Yudice, E. D. C., Mitra, S. K., & dos Santos Rosa, D. (2021).  
602 Characterization of Rosewood and Cinnamon Cassia essential oil polymeric  
603 capsules: Stability, loading efficiency, release rate and antimicrobial properties.  
604 *Food Control*, 121, 107605.

605 Dai, H., Chen, Y., Chen, H., Fu, Y., Ma, L., Wang, H., . . . Zhang, Y. (2023). Gelatin

606 films functionalized by lignocellulose nanocrystals-tannic acid stabilized  
607 Pickering emulsions: Influence of cinnamon essential oil. *Food Chemistry*, 401,  
608 134154. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134154>

609 Dierings de Souza, E. J., Kringel, D. H., Guerra Dias, A. R., & da Rosa Zavareze, E.  
610 (2021). Polysaccharides as wall material for the encapsulation of essential oils  
611 by electrospun technique. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 265, 118068.  
612 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.118068>

613 Duan, B., Yuan, X., Zhu, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, X., Zhang, Y., & Yao, K. (2006). A  
614 nanofibrous composite membrane of PLGA–chitosan/PVA prepared by  
615 electrospinning. *European Polymer Journal*, 42(9), 2013-2022.  
616 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2006.04.021>

617 El-Samak, A. A., Ponnamma, D., Hassan, M. K., Ammar, A., Adham, S., Al-Maadeed,  
618 M. A. A., & Karim, A. (2020). Designing Flexible and Porous Fibrous  
619 Membranes for Oil Water Separation—A Review of Recent Developments.  
620 *Polymer Reviews*, 60(4), 671-716. doi:10.1080/15583724.2020.1714651

621 Fong, H., Chun, I., & Reneker, D. H. (1999). Beaded nanofibers formed during  
622 electrospinning. *Polymer*, 40(16), 4585-4592.  
623 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861\(99\)00068-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-3861(99)00068-3)

624 Ghasemi, M., Miri, M. A., Najafi, M. A., Tavakoli, M., & Hadadi, T. (2022).  
625 Encapsulation of Cumin essential oil in zein electrospun fibers:  
626 Characterization and antibacterial effect. *Journal of Food Measurement and*  
627 *Characterization*, 16(2), 1613-1624. doi:10.1007/s11694-021-01268-z

628 Ghiasi, F., Golmakani, M.-T., Eskandari, M. H., & Hosseini, S. M. H. (2020). A new  
629 approach in the hydrophobic modification of polysaccharide-based edible films  
630 using structured oil nanoparticles. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 154, 112679.  
631 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112679>

632 Han, H., Zhang, H., Li, E., Li, C., & Wu, P. (2019). Structural and functional properties  
633 of OSA-starches made with wide-ranging hydrolysis approaches. *Food*  
634 *Hydrocolloids*, 90, 132-145. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.12.011>

635 Härdelin, L., Perzon, E., Hagström, B., Walkenström, P., & Gatenholm, P. (2013).

636 Influence of molecular weight and rheological behavior on electrospinning  
637 cellulose nanofibers from ionic liquids. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*,  
638 *130*(4), 2303-2310. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.39449>

639 Jha, P. (2021). Functional properties of starch-chitosan blend bionanocomposite films  
640 for food packaging: the influence of amylose-amylopectin ratios. *Journal of*  
641 *Food Science and Technology*, *58*(9), 3368-3378. doi:10.1007/s13197-020-  
642 04908-2

643 Kong, L., & Ziegler, G. R. (2012). Role of Molecular Entanglements in Starch Fiber  
644 Formation by Electrospinning. *Biomacromolecules*, *13*(8), 2247-2253.  
645 doi:10.1021/bm300396j

646 Kong, L., & Ziegler, G. R. (2014). Fabrication of pure starch fibers by electrospinning.  
647 *Food Hydrocolloids*, *36*, 20-25.  
648 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2013.08.021>

649 Kutzli, I., Gibis, M., Baier, S. K., & Weiss, J. (2018). Fabrication and characterization  
650 of food-grade fibers from mixtures of maltodextrin and whey protein isolate  
651 using needleless electrospinning. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, *135*(22),  
652 46328. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/app.46328>

653 Kutzli, I., Gibis, M., Baier, S. K., & Weiss, J. (2019). Electrospinning of whey and soy  
654 protein mixed with maltodextrin – Influence of protein type and ratio on the  
655 production and morphology of fibers. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *93*, 206-214.  
656 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2019.02.028>

657 Lancuški, A., Vasilyev, G., Putaux, J.-L., & Zussman, E. (2015). Rheological Properties  
658 and Electrospinnability of High-Amylose Starch in Formic Acid.  
659 *Biomacromolecules*, *16*(8), 2529-2536. doi:10.1021/acs.biomac.5b00817

660 Li, S., Kong, L., & Ziegler, G. R. (2021). Electrospinning of Octenylsuccinylated  
661 Starch-Pullulan Nanofibers from Aqueous Dispersions. *Carbohydrate Polymers*,  
662 *258*, 116933. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.116933>

663 Li, S., Wang, C., Fu, X., Li, C., He, X., Zhang, B., & Huang, Q. (2018). Encapsulation  
664 of lutein into swelled cornstarch granules: Structure, stability and in vitro  
665 digestion. *Food Chemistry*, *268*, 362-368.

666 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.06.078>

667 Li, Z., Weng, W., Ren, Z., Zhang, Y., Li, S., & Shi, L. (2022). Electrospun  
668 octenylsuccinylated starch-pullulan nanofiber mats: Adsorption for the odor of  
669 oyster peptides and structural characterization. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *133*,  
670 107992. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2022.107992>

671 Liang, Q., & Gao, Q. (2023). Effect of amylose content on the preparation for  
672 carboxymethyl starch/pullulan electrospun nanofibers and their properties as  
673 encapsulants of thymol. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *136*, 108250.  
674 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2022.108250>

675 Liang, Q., Pan, W., & Gao, Q. (2021). Preparation of carboxymethyl starch/polyvinyl-  
676 alcohol electrospun composite nanofibers from a green approach. *International*  
677 *Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, *190*, 601-606.  
678 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2021.09.015>

679 Lim, L.-T., Mendes, A. C., & Chronakis, I. S. (2019). Chapter Five - Electrospinning  
680 and electrospraying technologies for food applications. In L.-T. Lim & M.  
681 Rogers (Eds.), *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* (Vol. 88, pp. 167-234):  
682 Academic Press.

683 Lin, L., Dai, Y., & Cui, H. (2017). Antibacterial poly(ethylene oxide) electrospun  
684 nanofibers containing cinnamon essential oil/beta-cyclodextrin  
685 proteoliposomes. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *178*, 131-140.  
686 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2017.09.043>

687 Liu, J., Song, F., Chen, R., Deng, G., Chao, Y., Yang, Z., . . . Hu, Y. (2022). Effect of  
688 cellulose nanocrystal-stabilized cinnamon essential oil Pickering emulsions on  
689 structure and properties of chitosan composite films. *Carbohydrate Polymers*,  
690 275. doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.118704

691 Liu, X.-x., Wang, Y.-f., Zhang, N.-z., Shanks, R. A., Liu, H.-s., Tong, Z., . . . Yu, L.  
692 (2013). Morphology and phase composition of gelatin-starch blends. *Chinese*  
693 *Journal of Polymer Science*, *32*(1), 108-114. doi:10.1007/s10118-014-1377-1

694 Liu, X., Ding, S., Wu, J., Liu, G., Wei, J., Yang, F., & Liu, X. (2021). Molecular  
695 structures of octenyl succinic anhydride modified starches in relation to their



696 ability to stabilize high internal phase emulsions and oleogels. *Food*  
697 *Hydrocolloids*, 120, 106953.  
698 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106953>

699 Liu, X., Gao, C., Sangwan, P., Yu, L., & Tong, Z. (2014). Accelerating the degradation  
700 of polyolefins through additives and blending. *Journal of Applied Polymer*  
701 *Science*, 131(18). doi:10.1002/app.40750

702 Maliszewska, I., & Czapka, T. J. P. (2022). Electrospun polymer nanofibers with  
703 antimicrobial activity. *14*(9), 1661.

704 Mitchell, G. R. (2015). *Electrospinning: principles, practice and possibilities*: Royal  
705 Society of Chemistry.

706 Nazzaro, F., Fratianni, F., De Martino, L., Coppola, R., & De Feo, V. (2013). Effect of  
707 essential oils on pathogenic bacteria. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*, 6(12), 1451-  
708 1474. doi:10.3390/ph6121451

709 Nikaido, H. (1994). Prevention of Drug Access to Bacterial Targets: Permeability  
710 Barriers and Active Efflux. *264*(5157), 382-388.  
711 doi:doi:10.1126/science.8153625

712 Niu, A., Wu, H., Ma, F., Tan, S., Wang, G., & Qiu, W. (2022). The antifungal activity  
713 of cinnamaldehyde in vapor phase against *Aspergillus niger* isolated from  
714 spoiled paddy. *Lwt*, 159, 113181.

715 Poudel, D., Swilley-Sanchez, S., O'keefe, S., Matson, J., Long, T., & Fernández-  
716 Fraguas, C. (2020). Novel Electrospun Pullulan Fibers Incorporating  
717 Hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -Cyclodextrin: Morphology and Relation with Rheological  
718 Properties. *12*(11), 2558.

719 Reneker, D. H., & Chun, I. J. N. (1996). Nanometre diameter fibres of polymer,  
720 produced by electrospinning. *7*(3), 216.

721 Reyes-Jurado, F., Navarro-Cruz, A. R., Ochoa-Velasco, C. E., Palou, E., López-Malo,  
722 A., & Ávila-Sosa, R. (2020). Essential oils in vapor phase as alternative  
723 antimicrobials: A review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition*, 60(10),  
724 1641-1650.

725 Ribeiro-Santos, R., Andrade, M., de Melo, N. R., dos Santos, F. R., Neves, I. d. A., de

726 Carvalho, M. G., & Sanches-Silva, A. (2017). Biological activities and major  
727 components determination in essential oils intended for a biodegradable food  
728 packaging. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 97, 201-210.  
729 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.12.006>

730 Stijnman, A. C., Bodnar, I., & Hans Tromp, R. (2011). Electrospinning of food-grade  
731 polysaccharides. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 25(5), 1393-1398.  
732 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2011.01.005>

733 Sung, S.-Y., Sin, L. T., Tee, T.-T., Bee, S.-T., Rahmat, A. R., Rahman, W. A. W. A., . . .  
734 Vikhraman, M. (2013). Antimicrobial agents for food packaging applications.  
735 *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 33(2), 110-123.  
736 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2013.08.001>

737 Sweedman, M. C., Schäfer, C., & Gilbert, R. G. (2014). Aggregate and emulsion  
738 properties of enzymatically-modified octenylsuccinylated waxy starches.  
739 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 111, 918-927.  
740 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.04.088>

741 Sweedman, M. C., Tizzotti, M. J., Schäfer, C., & Gilbert, R. G. (2013). Structure and  
742 physicochemical properties of octenyl succinic anhydride modified starches: A  
743 review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 92(1), 905-920.  
744 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.09.040>

745 Tampau, A., González-Martínez, C., & Chiralt, A. (2018). Release kinetics and  
746 antimicrobial properties of carvacrol encapsulated in electrospun poly-(ε-  
747 caprolactone) nanofibres. Application in starch multilayer films. *Food*  
748 *Hydrocolloids*, 79, 158-169. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2017.12.021>

749 Tian, Y., Qu, J., Zhou, Q., Ding, L., Cui, Y., Blennow, A., . . . Liu, X. (2022). High  
750 pressure/temperature pasting and gelling of starch related to multilevel  
751 structure-analyzed with RVA 4800. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 295, 119858.  
752 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2022.119858>

753 Tizzotti, M. J., Sweedman, M. C., Schäfer, C., & Gilbert, R. G. (2013). The influence  
754 of macromolecular architecture on the critical aggregation concentration of  
755 large amphiphilic starch derivatives. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 31(2), 365-374.

756 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2012.11.023>

757 Trombetta, D., Castelli, F., Sarpietro, M. G., Venuti, V., Cristani, M., Daniele, C., . . .

758 Bisignano, G. (2005). Mechanisms of antibacterial action of three monoterpenes.

759 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 49(6), 2474-2478. doi:10.1128/AAC.49.6.2474-

760 2478.2005

761 Van de Vel, E., Sampers, I., & Raes, K. (2017). A review on influencing factors on the

762 minimum inhibitory concentration of essential oils. *Critical Reviews in Food*

763 *Science and Nutrition*, 59(3), 357-378. doi:10.1080/10408398.2017.1371112

764 Vasconcelos, N. G., Croda, J., & Simionatto, S. (2018). Antibacterial mechanisms of

765 cinnamon and its constituents: A review. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 120, 198-203.

766 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.04.036>

767 Vasilyev, G., Vilensky, R., & Zussman, E. (2019). The ternary system amylose-

768 amylopectin-formic acid as precursor for electrospun fibers with tunable

769 mechanical properties. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 214, 186-194.

770 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.03.047>

771 Venkitanarayanan, M. A. R. A. K. (2011). Effect of trans-Cinnamaldehyde on Inhibition

772 and Inactivation of Cronobacter Sakazakii Biofilm on Abiotic Surfaces. *Journal*

773 *of Food Protection*, 74(2), 200-208. doi:[https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-10-296)

774 [028X.JFP-10-296](https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-10-296)

775 Viswanathan, G., Murugesan, S., Pushparaj, V., Nalamasu, O., Ajayan, P. M., &

776 Linhardt, R. J. (2006). Preparation of Biopolymer Fibers by Electrospinning

777 from Room Temperature Ionic Liquids. *Biomacromolecules*, 7(2), 415-418.

778 doi:10.1021/bm050837s

779 Wang, C., Fu, X., Tang, C.-H., Huang, Q., & Zhang, B. (2017). Octenylsuccinate starch

780 spherulites as a stabilizer for Pickering emulsions. *Food Chemistry*, 227, 298-

781 304. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.01.092>

782 Wang, C., He, X., Huang, Q., Fu, X., Luo, F., & Li, L. (2013). Distribution of

783 Octenylsuccinic Substituents in Modified A and B Polymorph Starch Granules.

784 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 61(51), 12492-12498.

785 doi:10.1021/jf404162c

- 786 Wang, H., & Ziegler, G. R. (2019). Electrospun nanofiber mats from aqueous starch-  
787 pullulan dispersions: Optimizing dispersion properties for electrospinning.  
788 *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 133, 1168-1174.  
789 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.04.199>
- 790 Wang, J., Ren, F., Yu, J., Copeland, L., & Wang, S. (2021). Octenyl Succinate  
791 Modification of Starch Enhances the Formation of Starch–Lipid Complexes.  
792 *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 69(49), 14938-14950.  
793 doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.1c05816
- 794 Wang, J., Zhao, F., Huang, J., Li, Q., Yang, Q., & Ju, J. (2023). Application of essential  
795 oils as slow-release antimicrobial agents in food preservation: Preparation  
796 strategies, release mechanisms and application cases. *Critical Reviews in Food  
797 Science and Nutrition*, 1-26. doi:10.1080/10408398.2023.2167066
- 798 Wei, F., Ma, N., Haseeb, H. A., Gao, M., Liu, X., & Guo, W. (2022). Insights into  
799 structural and physicochemical properties of maize starch after *Fusarium  
800 verticillioides* infection. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 114,  
801 104819. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104819>
- 802 Wen, P., Zhu, D.-H., Wu, H., Zong, M.-H., Jing, Y.-R., & Han, S.-Y. (2016).  
803 Encapsulation of cinnamon essential oil in electrospun nanofibrous film for  
804 active food packaging. *Food Control*, 59, 366-376.  
805 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.06.005>
- 806 Wendakoon, C. N., & Sakaguchi, M. J. J. o. f. p. (1995). Inhibition of amino acid  
807 decarboxylase activity of *Enterobacter aerogenes* by active components in  
808 spices. 58(3), 280-283.
- 809 Yao, J., Bastiaansen, C. W. M., & Peijs, T. (2014). High Strength and High Modulus  
810 Electrospun Nanofibers. 2(2), 158-186.
- 811 Yildirim-Yalcin, M., Tornuk, F., & Toker, O. S. (2022). Recent advances in the  
812 improvement of carboxymethyl cellulose-based edible films. *Trends in Food  
813 Science & Technology*, 129, 179-193.  
814 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.09.022>
- 815 Yu, J. H., Fridrikh, S. V., & Rutledge, G. C. (2006). The role of elasticity in the

816 formation of electrospun fibers. *Polymer*, 47(13), 4789-4797.  
817 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymer.2006.04.050>

818 Zeng, K., Zhou, J., Cui, Z., Zhou, Y., Shi, C., Wang, X., . . . Drioli, E. (2018). Insight  
819 into fouling behavior of poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) hollow fiber  
820 membranes caused by dextran with different pore size distributions. *Chinese*  
821 *Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 26(2), 268-277.  
822 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjche.2017.04.008>

823 Zhang, C., Wang, P., Li, J., Zhang, H., & Weiss, J. (2021). Characterization of core-  
824 shell nanofibers electrospun from bilayer gelatin/gum Arabic O/W emulsions  
825 crosslinked by genipin. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 119.  
826 doi:10.1016/j.foodhyd.2021.106854

827 Zhang, N., Liu, X., Yu, L., Shanks, R., Petinaks, E., & Liu, H. (2013). Phase  
828 composition and interface of starch-gelatin blends studied by synchrotron FTIR  
829 micro-spectroscopy. *Carbohydr Polym*, 95(2), 649-653.  
830 doi:10.1016/j.carbpol.2013.03.045

831 Zhao, S., Tian, G., Zhao, C., Lu, C., Bao, Y., Liu, X., & Zheng, J. (2018). Emulsifying  
832 stability properties of octenyl succinic anhydride (OSA) modified waxy  
833 starches with different molecular structures. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 85, 248-256.  
834 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2018.07.029>

835 Zhong, Y., Liu, L., Qu, J., Blennow, A., Hansen, A. R., Wu, Y., . . . Liu, X. (2020).  
836 Amylose content and specific fine structures affect lamellar structure and  
837 digestibility of maize starches. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 108, 105994.  
838 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2020.105994>

839 Zhong, Y., Qu, J., Li, Z., Tian, Y., Zhu, F., Blennow, A., & Liu, X. (2022). Rice starch  
840 multi-level structure and functional relationships. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 275,  
841 118777. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.118777>

842 Zhong, Y., Tai, L., Blennow, A., Ding, L., Herburger, K., Qu, J., . . . Liu, X. (2022).  
843 High-amylose starch: Structure, functionality and applications. *Critical Reviews*  
844 *in Food Science and Nutrition*, 1-23.

845 Zhou, C., Abdel-Samie, M. A., Li, C., Cui, H., & Lin, L. (2020). Active packaging based

846 on swim bladder gelatin/galangal root oil nanofibers: Preparation, properties  
847 and antibacterial application. *Food Packaging and Shelf Life*, 26, 100586.  
848 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fpsl.2020.100586>  
849  
850

851 **Table and Figures**

852 **Table 1** Average fiber diameter, average porosity, CEO loading rate, and antibacterial  
853 zone diameter of OSS/PUL nanofibers loaded with CEO.

854 **Figure 1** (A) SEC and (B) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra for the 6 OSS variants.

855 **Figure 2** Electrospinnability maps of OSS combined with PUL (red: unable to form  
856 fiber mat, blue: fiber mat with droplets, and green: good fiber mat).

857 **Figure 3** SEM images of electrospun OSS4/PUL nanofibers based on different polymer  
858 concentrations.

859 **Figure 4** (A) Apparent viscosity as a function of shear rate, (B) conductivity, and (C)  
860 surface tension as a function of time of OSS/PUL aqueous dopes.

861 **Figure 5** (A) Appearance and (B) SEM images combined with fiber diameter  
862 distribution of OSS/PUL nanofiber mats.

863 **Figure 6** FTIR patterns: (A) OSS4, PUL, OSS4/PUL nanofiber mats, and their CEO-  
864 loaded fiber mat; (B) OSS5, PUL, OSS5/PUL nanofiber mats, and their CEO-loaded  
865 fiber mat; (C) OSS6, PUL, OSS5/PUL nanofiber mats, and their CEO-loaded fiber mats

866 **Figure 7** Mechanical properties of the nanofiber mats from OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL,  
867 and OSS6/PUL: (A) stress-strain curves; (B) elastic modulus; (C) tensile strength; (D)  
868 elongation at break

869 **Figure 8** Schematic representation of the mechanism of electrospinning nanofiber from  
870 OSS/PUL aqueous dopes.

871 **Figure 9** SEM combined with fiber diameter distribution images of CEO-loaded  
872 OSS4/PUL, OSS5/PUL, and OSS6/PUL nanofiber mats.

873 **Figure 10** Antibacterial images (*S. aureus*, *E. coli* and *A. flavus* labeled S, E and A,  
874 respectively) of OSS4/PUL-CEO (S1, E1 A1), OSS5/PUL-CEO (S2, E2 A2), and  
875 OSS6/PUL-CEO (S3, E3 A3) nanofiber mats.

876 **Table S1** Molecular size of OSA starches and pullulan.

877 **Table S2** Apparent viscosity, conductivity and surface tension of OSA starch and  
878 pullulan aqueous dopes.

879 **Table S3** Average fiber diameter and average porosity of OSS/PUL nanofibers

## 1 **1. Materials and Methods**

### 2 **2.2 Molecular characterization of OSS**

#### 3 **2.2.1 SEC**

4 SEM was employed to determine the hydrodynamic radius of OSS molecules using an Agilent 1260 series instrument (Agilent Technologies)  
5 equipped with a refractive index detector (Optilab T-rEX, WYATT Corp., USA). Size separation was achieved using GRAM PreColumn, GRAM  
6 30, and GRAM 3000 analytical columns (Polymer Standard Services, Mainz, Germany) with a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. All samples were fully  
7 dissolved in DMSO with 0.5% LiBr (w/w), thus providing the optimal conditions for separation. A series of pullulan standards (Polymer Standard  
8 Services, Mainz, Germany) with varying molecular sizes were used for the calibration to obtain the relation between the SEC elution volume and  
9 the hydrodynamic volume  $V_h$  (the separation parameter for SEC). The SEC results are presented as  $w(\log V_h)$  plotted against the corresponding  
10 hydrodynamic radius  $R_h$ , following the equation  $V_h = (4/3)\pi R_h^3$ .

#### 11 **2.2.2 NMR**

12  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker 600 MHz (Bruker, Fallanden, Switzerland). All spectra were manually phased and baseline-  
13 corrected. The degree of substitution (DS) for OSS was determined by quantifying the fraction of OS groups through analysis of the proton signal  
14 of methyl protons within the OS group (0.85 ppm).

$$15 \quad DS = \frac{I_{0.85}}{3(I_{\alpha-1,6} + I_{\alpha-1,4} + I_{r-e})}$$

16 Here  $I_{r-e}$  corresponds to the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR integral of the reducing chain ends ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  reducing-end signals at 4.91 and 4.28 ppm, respectively),  $I_{\alpha-1,4}$   
17 and  $I_{\alpha-1,6}$  are the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR integrals of internal (1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- $\alpha$  peaks at approximately 5.11 ppm and (1 $\rightarrow$ 6)- $\alpha$  linkages around 4.75 ppm, respectively.



18

19 **Table S1** Molecular size of OSS and PUL.

<b>Samples</b>	<b>OSS1</b>	<b>OSS2</b>	<b>OSS3</b>	<b>OSS4</b>	<b>OSS5</b>	<b>OSS6</b>	<b>PUL</b>		
$R_h$ (×nm)	11.50 <sup>a</sup>	47.09 <sup>b</sup>	10.00 <sup>a</sup>	38.81 <sup>b</sup>	49.71	3.72	3.74	11.94	n.a.
$M_w$ (×g/mol)	1.17×10 <sup>6</sup>		8.03×10 <sup>5</sup>		1.40×10 <sup>6</sup>	5.09×10 <sup>4</sup>	4.48×10 <sup>4</sup>	2.00×10 <sup>5</sup>	1.35×10 <sup>5</sup>
DS (×10 <sup>-2</sup> )	0.48		2.64		1.47	3.19	2.96	4.29	n.a.

20 <sup>a</sup> AM, amylose content; <sup>b</sup> AP, amylopectin content; OSS: OSA starch; PUL: pullulan; n.a.: not available.

21

22

23

24

25

26 **Table S2** Apparent viscosity, conductivity and surface tension of OSS and PUL aqueous dopes.

<b>Samples</b>	<b>Apparent Viscosity at 100 s<sup>-1</sup> (Pa·s)</b>	<b>Conductivity (uS/cm)</b>	<b>Surface tension (mN/m)</b>
20%OSS1/10%PUL	3.00±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	660.60±1.84 <sup>f</sup>	33.99±0.71 <sup>e</sup>
20%OSS2/10%PUL	1.12±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	761.57±1.76 <sup>e</sup>	30.82±0.59 <sup>f</sup>
20%OSS3/10%PUL	1.30±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	824.83±4.70 <sup>d</sup>	45.36±0.14 <sup>b</sup>
20%OSS4/10%PUL	0.10±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	1077.33±1.53 <sup>c</sup>	38.32±0.36 <sup>c</sup>
20%OSS5/10%PUL	0.14±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	884.20±1.08 <sup>b</sup>	37.05±0.78 <sup>d</sup>
20%OSS6/10%PUL	0.29±0.00 <sup>c</sup>	1168.00±2.00 <sup>a</sup>	50.94±0.66 <sup>a</sup>

27 Note: Values with a different superscript letter in the same column indicate significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

28

29

30 **Table S3** Average fiber diameter and average porosity of OSS/PUL nanofibers

<b>Samples</b>	<b>OSS4/PUL</b>	<b>OSS5/PUL</b>	<b>OSS6/PUL</b>
Average fiber diameter (nm)	211±23 <sup>a</sup>	244±32 <sup>a</sup>	230±26 <sup>a</sup>
Average porosity (%)	55.17±1.84 <sup>a</sup>	54.00±0.91 <sup>a</sup>	53.69±1.65 <sup>a</sup>

31 Note: Values with a different superscript letter in the same row indicate significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

32

33