



**Omnichannel Management Capabilities in International Marketing: The Effects of Word of Mouth on Customer Engagement and Customer Equity**

Journal:	<i>International Marketing Review</i>
Manuscript ID	IMR-09-2022-0203.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	International Dynamic Marketing Capabilites, Omnichannel Marketing, Positive WOM, Customer Engagement, Customer Equity



## Omnichannel Management Capabilities in International Marketing: The Effects of Word of Mouth on Customer Engagement and Customer Equity

### Abstract

**Purpose:** The main purpose of this study is to fill the research gap on how B2B global service firms integrate dynamic capabilities within their omnichannel management to influence positive word of mouth (WOM), customer engagement and customer equity.

**Design:** Drawing on the dynamic capability and WOM theories, a model has been developed that defines the subjects of the empirical test. The paper reports on data collected from 312 service-oriented global firms in Australia, through a cross-sectional survey. Data was analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM).

**Findings:** The findings suggest that content management (i.e., information consistency, source trustworthiness and endorsement) and concerns management (i.e., privacy, security and recovery) capabilities are the two significant antecedents of positive WOM within a B2B omnichannel setting in international marketing. The findings also confirm the key mediating role of customer engagement between positive WOM and customer equity.

**Originality:** The findings extend dynamic capability theory in the context of international marketing by linking WOM, customer engagement, and customer equity. The findings add further theoretical rigour by establishing the nomological chain between positive WOM and customer equity, in which, customer engagement plays a key mediating role.

**Keywords** International Dynamic Marketing Capabilities, Omnichannel Marketing, Positive WOM, Customer Engagement, Customer Equity.

**Paper type:** Research paper

## 1.0 Introduction

Over the last decade, the landscape of international marketing has been affected in an unprecedented way due to the changes in the global environment; these include the advent of cutting edge technology, the speed of globalization, the emergence of new markets, and COVID-19 pandemic (Dey *et al.*, 2020; Gnizy, 2019; Spyropoulou *et al.*, 2018; Acosta *et al.*, 2018). To survive and succeed, firms always need to respond to these changes, innovate and adapt while adopting dynamic capabilities. Furthermore, the firms, irrespective of size and industry, constantly need to re-evaluate and redevelop their international marketing capabilities, which are dynamic in nature (Morgan *et al.*, 2018). For example, the US-China trade war and pandemic induced global supply chain disruptions enforced companies across the world to resort to domestic supplies (Dey *et al.* 2022; Shih 2020). Concurrently, the rise of online retailers such as Amazon and Alibaba have led to the closure of many brick-and-mortar retailers, triggering manufacturers to readjust their strategies while engaging with export markets (Seth, 2020).

Seamless business operations require firms to achieve multichannel integration or cross-channel retailing (Bendoly *et al.*, 2005; Cao and Li, 2015) and, recently, omnichannel retailing (Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2013; Rigby, 2011; Verhoef *et al.*, 2015). Omni means “all”, denoting all the available channels a firm utilizes (Rigby, 2011). Omnichannel marketing, the notion of integration between channels to provide a consistent service experience for customers, is central to the channel management for B2B companies in recent years (Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2013; Hossain *et al.*, 2020; Lee *et al.*, 2019). In order to match up with customers’ interactions with various channels/touchpoints, firms need to be agile in their retailing and distribution approach by adopting dynamic capabilities through an integration of both offline and digital channels (Bernon *et al.*, 2016). Dynamic capabilities are defined as an organisation’s abilities

1  
2  
3 to integrate, create, reconfigure and leverage internal and external competencies to cope with  
4 the dynamic business environment (Ambrosini and Bowman, 2009). Despite, the importance  
5 of an integrated and dynamic approach to channel management, most companies manage their  
6 channels in silos by operating digital and physical channels separately, which may lead to  
7 customer dissatisfaction and frustration (Cui *et al.*, 2021; Eyuboglu *et al.*, 2017).

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 As a result, the potential of generating outcomes ,such as positive WOM, by leveraging the  
17 synergy between omnichannel retailing and dynamic capabilities has not been fully utilized  
18 (Akter *et al.*, 2021; Cui *et al.*, 2021; Sheth, 2021; Verhoef, 2021). Negative WOM may be  
19 caused by a failure to integrate all these channels to deliver a connected and seamless customer  
20 experience leading to adverse effects on customer engagement and customer equity. Whilst  
21 customer engagement (CE) refers to the ongoing interaction between the company and  
22 customers, customer equity refers to a long-term relationship between the two parties (Wang  
23 *et al.*, 2016). However, there is a paucity of research on how to manage WOM across channels  
24 in industrial markets despite its critical impact on CE and customer equity (Iankova *et al.*,  
25 2019). Furthermore, the current literature provides tenuous understanding of this important  
26 phenomenon in the case of global service business operations. Drawing on this knowledge gap,  
27 this paper puts seeks to address the following research questions:

28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45 ***RQ: How do service-oriented global firms integrate dynamic capabilities in their***  
46 ***omnichannel to influence positive word of mouth, customer engagement, and customer***  
47 ***equity?***  
48  
49  
50

51  
52 To address the research questions, this study applies dynamic capability theory (DCT) to  
53 conceptualize omnichannel management as a dynamic channel management capability.  
54 Theoretically, this research identifies the omnichannel management capability as the dynamic  
55 capabilities in the international B2B context, which influences CE and customer equity  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (Verbeke, 2022; Zahra *et al.*, 2022). The validated research model also illuminates the role of  
4  
5 CE as a mediating variable to link between positive WOM and customer equity within the  
6  
7 international B2B context. Practically, the findings provide actionable insights into industrial  
8  
9 marketers on how to engage business customers across channels to enhance equity in a foreign  
10  
11 market.  
12  
13

14  
15 The paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we discuss the literature review identifying  
16  
17 omnichannel management as a dynamic capability and examine its antecedents and its effects.  
18  
19 In section 3, we introduce our conceptual model with hypothetical relationships. In section 4,  
20  
21 we present our methods and findings of both the measurement and structural models. Finally,  
22  
23 we discuss the theoretical and practical implications of our research findings with limitations  
24  
25 and future research directions.  
26  
27  
28  
29

## 30 **2.0 Literature Review**

### 31 *2.1 Omnichannel management*

32  
33  
34 Over the years, the disruptive change in customers' decision-making process and preference  
35  
36 for distribution channels has been significantly influenced by the exponential rise of the  
37  
38 Internet, smartphones, and other mobile devices (Shankar *et al.*, 2010). As a result, customers  
39  
40 expect and receive an enhanced and seamless shopping experience in terms of channel scope  
41  
42 (increasing number of channels and touchpoints) and focus (the overall customer brand  
43  
44 experience) (Picot-Coupey *et al.*, 2016). This has propelled the research in the distribution  
45  
46 channel to progress toward multichannel integration or cross-channel retailing (Bendoly *et al.*,  
47  
48 2005; Cao and Li, 2015) and, recently, omnichannel retailing (Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2013; Rigby,  
49  
50 2011; Verhoef *et al.*, 2015).  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Omnichannel or multichannel integration is not just confined to the simultaneous use of multiple channels. The integration of all available channels bears major significance as it diminishes the distinction between online and offline channels and whether it is in a B2C or B2B context (Lazaris and Vrechopoulos, 2014; Lawrence *et al.*, 2019; Brynjolfsson *et al.*, 2013). Rigby (2011, p. 67) pioneered the term Omnichannel Retailing to address the integrated shopping experience through the rapid proliferation of digital retailing while defining the concept as “*an integrated sales experience that melds the advantage of physical stores with the information-rich experience of online shopping*”. In a similar vein, Verhoef *et al.* (2015, p. 176) defined omnichannel management as “*the synergetic management of the numerous available channels and customer touchpoints, in such a way that the customer experience across channels and the performance over channels are optimized*”. The extent of Omnichannel extends to a global market as well, as evident by the examples of Nike, Decathlon (Kluge *et al.*, 2021). To facilitate integration of multiple distribution channels in the global market few dynamic marketing capabilities play an important role, such as market adaptation, marketing orientation, networking, and adaptation (Ortiz *et al.*, 2021).

### ***2.1.1. Omnichannel in B2B sector***

The growth and experience of omnichannel in B2C have inspired the B2B sector (Forrester Research, 2014). In the context of B2C, the multichannel and omnichannel retailing environment has been a very common phenomenon both in academic and practitioner literature. However, there has been a limited understanding in B2B service operations in international markets due to the lack of agility in managing content (e.g., information, trust) and concerns (e.g., customer privacy and security) (Hossain *et al.*, 2020; Gallino and Rooderkerk, 2020; Lawrence *et al.*, 2019). For example, B2B service providers often struggle in integrating the online and offline channels to provide customized services (e.g., dynamic pricing) to

1  
2  
3 targeted customers. This may be caused by the uncertain nature of both offline and online  
4 channels in services management (Grewal *et al.* 2015; Mantrala and Albers 2012). Although  
5 B2B organizations deploy various resources to manage this uncertainty and negative WOM,  
6 there is scant literature on the seamless integration of channels in the context of international  
7 marketing (Hossain *et al.*, 2020; Iankova *et al.*, 2019).  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### 18 ***2.1.2. Omnichannel management capability: A dynamic capability perspective in B2B sector***

19  
20  
21

22 In order to address the research question of this study, we have identified omnichannel  
23 management capability as a dynamic marketing capability (DMC) as it is a key factor for the  
24 integration of channels in an international context (Ortiz *et al.*, 2021; Helfat *et al.*, 2009; Teece  
25 *et al.*, 1997). For example, Ferraris *et al.* (2020) identify the role of microfoundations as the  
26 antecedents of dynamic capabilities and strategic agility in emerging markets. Similarly,  
27 Chaudhary *et al.* (2022) explicate the role of dynamic channel management and digitalization  
28 as the drivers of dynamic servitization in international markets. For fast, nimble and adaptive  
29 channel management, DMCs emphasize strategic changes in the firms' marketing assets to  
30 achieve sustained market advantage and expansion, and overall performance (Barrales - Molina  
31 *et al.*, 2014; Mitreġa, 2019; Schilke *et al.*, 2018; Mitreġa *et al.*, 2021; Hoque *et al.*, 2022).  
32 DMCs enable firms to develop a dynamic resource mix to respond to the challenges of  
33 heterogeneous international markets (Buccieri *et al.*, 2020; Mitreġa *et al.*, 2021).  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 For successful operation in international markets, firms need to have an international DMC  
52 (IDMC) in every area of marketing operations, including service provision channels, to address  
53 the changing nature of the global environment through the reconfiguration of resources  
54 (Eisenhardt and Martin, 2000; Peteraf *et al.*, 2013; Schilke *et al.*, 2018). IDMCs refer to the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 firms' abilities to understand international customers' expressed and latent needs so that the  
4  
5 firms can create, deploy, and upgrade organizationally embedded and return-generating  
6  
7 resources in pursuit of positive WOM (Luo, 2000; Mitrega, 2019). This is an important factor  
8  
9 with regard to the integration of multiple channels to provide a seamless service experience to  
10  
11 the customers and enhance customer equity in a global context. In the context of international  
12  
13 marketing, omnichannel management, as a dynamic marketing capability has received very  
14  
15 limited research attention (Hossain *et al.*, 2020). Specifically, there are very few studies that  
16  
17 have capitalized on DCT to address content and concerns across channels (Wilson and Daniel  
18  
19 2007; Daniel and Wilson, 2003; Eriksson *et al.*, 2022; Solem *et al.*, 2022).  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 Content management capability refers to information consistency, source trustworthiness, and  
27  
28 endorsement in international markets (Hossain *et al.*, 2020). From the service providers' point  
29  
30 of view, while designing a marketing system in an international market that comprises multiple  
31  
32 channels, it has to be ensured that the customers receive the same information and service  
33  
34 experience across different channels (Wu and Chang, 2016). Similar to B2C sellers, B2B  
35  
36 sellers also want to integrate channels that ensure consistency and trustworthiness to the  
37  
38 customers. Providing a reliable, consistent, and accurate service experience through  
39  
40 omnichannel distribution would increase customers' satisfaction and their trust in the sellers  
41  
42 (Madaleno *et al.*, 2007).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 As a result, satisfied customers would endorse and recommend services to other customers,  
50  
51 which will enhance the trusted beliefs of the community members' decisions. Customer  
52  
53 endorsement instills confidence and trust in other customers (Lexmark, 2016; Quach *et al.*,  
54  
55 2020). For example, Southwest Airlines, in the B2C context, has relied on trustworthy and  
56  
57 consistent information about its promise as a no-frills, low-cost airline to build customer trust  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 over time (Pulido *et al.*, 2014). In the context of B2B, VIP.com, a leading e-commerce  
4 marketplace in China, delivers a satisfactory omnichannel experience both for its retailers and  
5 customers. Using a geo-location push notification tool, the organization provides reliable,  
6  
7 timely, and consistent information to the customers when a retailer posts a marketing offer  
8  
9 (<https://blog.hubspot.com>). From the customers' point of view, managing the customer-  
10  
11 generated-content (developed and shared by a satisfied customer) is often seen as more reliable  
12  
13 than firm-generated content for both B2C and B2B contexts (Dickinger, 2011; Colicev *et al.*,  
14  
15 2019; Chih *et al.*, 2020).  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 Concerns management capability refers to customer privacy, customer security, and service  
25 recovery in international markets (Hossain *et al.*, 2020). The success of managing the  
26 omnichannel also stems from a firm's capability to manage concerns and issues, such as  
27 providing a secured channel of the transaction, offering protection of private information and  
28 managing communication lines to allow customers to conveniently and efficiently raise their  
29 service recovery issues to the firm (Hossain *et al.*, 2020). In an omnichannel context, firms  
30 need to develop the capability so that customers feel safe and secure about the usage of their  
31 confidential and private information before, during, and after the communication and  
32 transaction. While designing an effective and efficient delivery channel, the protection of  
33 customers' personal information bears critical importance since customers' personalized data  
34 have become quite abundant in international markets (Cortez and Johnston, 2017; Trim and  
35 Lee, 2019; Wedel and Kannan, 2016). For example, customer privacy in international  
36 marketing refers to the level of protection of personal information incorporated within all  
37 distribution channels, whereas security refers to the safe use of different channels of the  
38 organization (Montoya-Weiss *et al.*, 2003). The failure to deliver promised service and a lack  
39 of subsequent recovery mechanism may result in customer defection, lost opportunities, and  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 negative word of mouth (Hollebeek, 2018). Omnichannel enables customers to conveniently  
4 reach out to the service provider whenever and wherever a failure happens (Rosenmayer,  
5 2018).  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11 Overall, the nuances within the omnichannel management capability with regard to the content  
12 and concerns management capabilities are evolving and they necessitate in-depth  
13 understanding. Specifically, conceptualizing and validating the roles of these capabilities in  
14 international marketing is both a theoretical and practical challenge in a high velocity and  
15 competitive market, which inhibits the functions of ordinary capabilities (Magistretti *et al.*,  
16 2021). Therefore, distinguishing the dynamic nature of content and concerns management  
17 capabilities will deepen and broaden our understanding of the effectiveness of omnichannel  
18 management capabilities in international marketing (Hossain *et al.*, 2020).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

## 33 **2.2 Effects of Omnichannel Management Capability in B2B environment**

### 34 **2.2.1 Positive Word of Mouth**

35  
36  
37 In this research, WOM refers to information communication about the characteristics of  
38 services between different parties that are independent of the service provider via any channel  
39 (Anderson, 1998; Silverman, 2001). WOM information could be either positive or negative,  
40 while the golden rule of WOM marketing is to maximize the positive WOM and minimize the  
41 negative WOM (Allsop *et al.*, 2007; Hennig-Thurau *et al.*, 2004). In a B2B service setting,  
42 positive WOM plays a vital role in facilitating the development and extension of the business  
43 and building the service brand reputation (Molinari *et al.*, 2008). To minimize the risks inherent  
44 in the intangible nature of service, customers tend to seek and evaluate information before  
45 making a purchase, particularly from non-company-controlled sources (e.g., WOM) (Roy *et*  
46 *al.*, 2019; Walsh *et al.*, 2009). WOM is therefore seen as an important information source,  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 while positive WOM reduces the uncertainties and risks involved in service provision (Manes  
4 and Tchetchik, 2018). In B2B marketing management, relationships and bonds between  
5  
6 and Tchetchik, 2018). In B2B marketing management, relationships and bonds between  
7  
8 business partners help B2B firms to develop trustworthy networks (Lacey and Morgan, 2009)  
9  
10 In the modern marketplace, WOM communication takes place via omnichannel (Lee *et al.*,  
11  
12 2019).

13  
14 More precisely, WOM information is being communicated in the face-to-face setting and  
15  
16 through various digital channels, such as professional social networking sites and review sites  
17  
18 (Iankova *et al.*, 2019). For B2B service providers, it is important to improve the effectiveness  
19  
20 of omnichannel management (Kingshott *et al.*, 2020). Aliedan (2021) highlights that WOM  
21  
22 plays an irreplaceable role for B2B customers who participate in the international market and  
23  
24 facilitates their internationalization because WOM helps B2B organizations to better  
25  
26 understand market trends and industry competitiveness as well as rivals' methods and  
27  
28 strategies, policies and regulatory frameworks, and business and social networks.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 Therefore, the positive WOM in an omnichannel environment could be dependent on how well  
36  
37 a service provider uses omnichannel to showcase their capabilities to inform their customers  
38  
39 (i.e., content) and to solve the customers' concerns (i.e., concerns). Statistically the size of the  
40  
41 B2B market is much larger than B2C market ([www.statista.com](http://www.statista.com)). However, unlike the B2C  
42  
43 market, the members of B2B are reluctant to share their trade issues beyond close community.  
44  
45 In case of the internationalized B2B market, this community becomes relatively small.  
46  
47 Therefore, sharing positive WOM about the service provider allows customers to recognize  
48  
49 and reflect on their overall capabilities in satisfying customers' needs. Such recognition and  
50  
51 reflection encourage customers to further engage with the same service provider and develop  
52  
53 favorable perceptions about them (Aliedan, 2021; Youssef *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, WOM plays  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 an important role in sustaining the effective use of omnichannel in B2B service firms,  
4 particularly when serving internationalized business customers.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 13 14 15 **2.2.2 Customer Engagement**

16 Since the paradigmatic shift in marketing philosophy in the early 1990s (Berry and  
17 Parasuraman, 1991), which led to the increased seriousness of the relationship orientation over  
18 the traditional transaction-based approach, a number of conceptual areas have proliferated to  
19 theorize how organizations can develop, nurture, and sustain their interaction and ensuing  
20 business relations (Hollebeek, 2011; Kumar *et al.*, 2010). Customer engagement is a multi-  
21 dimensional concept comprising of cognitive, emotional, behavioral, and social aspects (Islam  
22 and Rahman, 2016). With the advent of Web 2.0 technology and the consequent surge in social  
23 media, the importance and the relevance of customer engagement in marketing have increased  
24 (Carlson *et al.*, 2018).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37  
38  
39 In international marketing, customer engagement has gained traction in the last decade due to  
40 its strong link with cultural and organizational contexts (Srivastava and Sivaramakrishnan,  
41 2022). Both B2C and B2B interactions in cross-cultural contexts may have variable  
42 implications, and accordingly, the impacts of organizational tactics such as customer loyalty  
43 programs on customer engagement (CE) outcomes are likely to be different (Nguyen *et al.*,  
44 2014). Different international market environments exhibit unique characteristics in terms of  
45 culture, living standards, regulations, purchase behavior, etc., therefore shaping cross-market  
46 CE differentially (Burgess and Steenkamp, 2006). Furthermore, firms' dynamic marketing  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 abilities to cultivate CE may differ depending on the prevailing institutional or infrastructural  
4 conditions (Hollebeek *et al.*, 2021; Mitrega, 2019).  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Emanating from consumer trust theory (Morgan and Hunt, 1994), the concept of CE is rooted  
11 in commitment and trust and the ensuing relationship-building ethos (Pansari and Kumar,  
12 2017), which again may vary depending upon cultural contexts. The core essence of  
13 engagement is imbued by sustained interactions between firms and their customers that define,  
14 refine, and reinforce product and service ideas which eventually contribute to firms'  
15 positioning and profitability. Customer engagement is defined as a psychological state resulting  
16 from interactive and co-creative customer experiences with a firm or its brands (Alexander *et*  
17 *al.*, 2018; Jakkola and Alexander, 2014; Brodie *et al.*, 2011).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 Although there is some ambiguity about whether customer engagement is a psychological state  
31 or behavioral outcome (Alvarez-Milan, *et al.*, 2018), a strong body of literature regards this  
32 concept as a behavioral manifestation, driven by collaborative interaction and resource sharing  
33 between firms and their customers (Babu *et al.*, 2020; Gopalkrishna *et al.*, 2019). Following  
34 Hollebeek *et al.* (2016), this paper conceptualizes customer engagement from an interactive  
35 perspective that enables the authors to assess and posit the concept within the realm of the  
36 service ecosystem and differentiate the concept from more subjective issues such as customer  
37 and brand experiences.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50  
51 A growing body of literature (Pansari and Kumar, 2017; Hollebeek *et al.*, 2016) highlights the  
52 association between customer engagement and the co-creation of value. The exchange of  
53 operant and operand resources is a crucial component of co-creative interaction between a firm  
54 and its customers. As such, interactive experience and the co-creation of value provide the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 conceptual foundation of customer engagement (Brodie and Hollebeek, 2011). Kumar *et al.*  
4 (2019) suggest that trust plays a salient role in the build-up to value-driven and co-creative  
5 customer experience that shapes the nature of customer engagement. While trust and  
6 commitment constitute the impetus for engagement, the nature and mode of engagement are  
7 often defined by interaction, which again can be of a multifarious nature. While B2C  
8 interaction is strategically designed and can be controlled by a firm, customer-to-customer  
9 (C2C) interaction is considered a challenging mode that offers limited scope for controlling  
10 and maneuvering by a firm. As such, the role of C2C engagement, such as WOM, warrants  
11 conceptual scaffolding and empirical investigation. It is argued that digital technology-  
12 mediated B2C interaction is a game-changer in B2B contexts (Hollebeek, 2019; Hardwick and  
13 Anderson, 2019). However, there is a paucity of scholarly works that articulate the nomological  
14 interrelationship between customer engagement and WOM and its antecedents such as trust,  
15 endorsement, privacy, and security, particularly in the context of cross-national and cross-  
16 cultural marketing.

### 2.2.3 Customer Equity


17 Customer equity is defined as the sum total of the discounted LTVs (life time value) of a firm's  
18 entire customer group (Kim and Ko, 2012; Kumar and George, 2007; Lemon *et al.*, 2001).  
19 Customer equity is important for firms to develop a more holistic understanding of and strategic  
20 perspective toward customer value, brand equity, and customer-relationship management  
21 (Lemon *et al.*, 2001). Customer equity is measured by discounting the costs associated with  
22 customer acquisition, retention, and cross-selling from the customer LTV (Leone *et al.*, 2006).  
23 Kumar and Shah (2009) argued that customer equity is an aggregated measure of profitability,  
24 as it discounts the implicit costs associated with the customer LTV. With the increasingly  
25 customer-centric marketing approach that was undertaken due to market orientation (Narvar  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 and Slater, 1990) and customer value (Bolton and Drew, 1991), customer equity started to gain  
4  
5 importance in marketing scholarship (Rust *et al.*, 2006) because it is considered a key criterion  
6  
7 for evaluating the core competence of companies.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Persson and Ryals (2010) determined that customer assets are the relationships that a firm has  
13  
14 with its customers, where customer equity is the value of those customer assets. Customer  
15  
16 equity is a useful tool for a firm to analyze how and to what extent they can make optimum use  
17  
18 of the factors that they can control to enhance customer LTV. Kumar and George (2007)  
19  
20 suggest a range of drivers for customer equity, including the acquisition, maintenance, and  
21  
22 retention of customers. Customer equity drivers include value, brand, and relationship equity  
23  
24 (Ou and Verhoef, 2017). Hence, a steady and improved relationship with customers can lead  
25  
26 to customer equity (Zhang *et al.*, 2016).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

### 33 **3. Conceptual Model**

34  
35 This study proposes the research model in Figure 1 using DC, WOM, customer engagement  
36  
37 and customer equity theories. It identifies content management capability and concerns  
38  
39 management capability as the second-order dynamic capabilities, influencing the outcome  
40  
41 constructs. Content management capability is reflected in information consistency, source  
42  
43 trustworthiness and endorsement and concerns management capability is reflected in privacy,  
44  
45 security and service recovery. The study proposes customer engagement as the key mediator  
46  
47 between positive WOM and customer equity.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 1: Research Model**

### 3.1 Content management capability and positive WOM

St Amant (2008) pointed out that international businesses particularly value an organization's content management capability, which consists of information consistency, source trustworthiness and customer endorsement. Information consistency refers to the consistency of information provided by a service provider across various channels (Sousa and Voss, 2006). Content consistency allows customers to receive standardized information about the service provider and their services in either online or physical channels. Inconsistency in information across channels leads to customers' confusion and doubts about the service provider (Saghiri *et al.*, 2017). In contrast, providing consistent information through channels enables customers to have more faith in the content being communicated and to develop more substantial confidence in the firm (Quach *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, content consistency across channels helps smooth customers' information search process and build customer confidence, thereby



1  
2  
3 resulting in more supportive WOM for the focal firm (Butkouskaya *et al.*, 2021; Šerić *et al.*,  
4  
5 2020).  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Source trustworthiness refers to experts who have an authenticity that significantly influences  
11 receivers' attitudes (López and Sicilia, 2014). It reflects the perception of the message  
12 recipients as to whether the information source is credible and persuasive (Chaiken, 1980;  
13 Pornpitakpan, 2004). While a message is being communicated, the source trustworthiness  
14 enhances the diagnosticity of the message and eases the recipients' information processing.  
15 Specifically, a trustworthy source enables a message to stand out and adds value to its  
16 persuasiveness (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2020). Through the lens of dynamic capabilities, in  
17 omnichannel communication, providing relevant and useful content from credible sources  
18 could win customers' positive WOM (Felin and Powell, 2016; Hossein *et al.*, 2020).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Customer endorsement refers to the message provided by the customers as the enhancement of  
34 trusted beliefs for other customers' decisions (Chih *et al.*, 2020). In omnichannel  
35 communication, the content could be either firm-generated or customer-generated (Demmers  
36 *et al.*, 2020). Extant evidence suggests that customer-generated contents are often seen as more  
37 reliable than firm-generated ones (e.g., Dickinger, 2011; Colicev *et al.*, 2019). Contents shared  
38 by a satisfied customer as an endorser who has experience with the service provider are  
39 valuable to potential customers, and they subsequently generate trust in the event of a lack of  
40 first-hand information and/or experience (Chih *et al.*, 2020). Customer endorsement, reflecting  
41 the firms' capability of managing customer-generated content, contributes to the development  
42 of an omnichannel persuasive environment and adds informative value to the content, which  
43 in turn, motivates customers to share positive WOM for the firm (See-To and Ho, 2014).  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In sum, providing consistent information, identifying trustworthy sources, and having customer  
4 endorsement in the omnichannel environment demonstrate the firm's capabilities in  
5 influencing positive WOM. Therefore, we position content management capability as a higher-  
6 order construct capturing information consistency, source trustworthiness, and customer  
7 endorsement as subdimensions. Considering the emphasis international businesses place on  
8 organizational content management capabilities and the significant role played by WOM in the  
9 international business community (Aliedan, 2021; St Amant, 2008), we postulate the  
10 following:  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **Hypothesis 1: Content management capability positively influences WOM.**

### 22 **3.2 Concerns Management Capability and Positive WOM**

23  
24 In addition to providing relevant and useful content, addressing customer concerns through  
25 omnichannel could facilitate customers' positive WOM (Hennig-Thurur *et al.*, 2004). Çebi and  
26 Bayraktar (2003) also highlighted that when selecting service suppliers, companies that run  
27 international businesses pay particular attention to the supplier's problem-solving capability.  
28 Here, concern management manifests through addressing the following sub-dimensions:  
29 privacy, security, and service recovery.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 Privacy refers to the protection of customers' personal information on multiple channels  
43 (Hossain *et al.*, 2020). When communication, service delivery, and customer support are  
44 provided through multiple channels, the service providers collect an immense amount of  
45 personal and financial information about the customers (Montoya-Weiss *et al.*, 2003). In  
46 contrast, when they are sure their privacy is protected, customers are more likely to praise the  
47 firm through WOM (Schoenbachler and Gordon, 2002).  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Security refers to the safe use of different channels of the firm (Parasuraman *et al.*, 2005).  
4  
5 Security goes beyond a specific channel, and it is essential in multichannel usage (Montoya-  
6  
7 Weiss *et al.*, 2003). The provision of a secure environment boosts customers' confidence and  
8  
9 trust, thereby increasing the customers' positive WOM sharing (Liu *et al.*, 2021).  
10  
11  
12  
13

14  
15 Service recovery refers to offering open lines of communication that allow customers to  
16  
17 conveniently and efficiently raise their service-related issues with the firm (Hosseini *et al.*,  
18  
19 2020). After a service failure, service providers tend to make efforts to restore the service  
20  
21 standards and re-establish customer satisfaction (McColl-Kennedy and Sparks, 2003).  
22  
23 Successful service recovery could overturn customers' negative perceptions of the service  
24  
25 provider and potentially stimulate positive WOM (Hart *et al.*, 1990). Meanwhile, service  
26  
27 recovery offers opportunities for a service provider to gather customer feedback, thereby  
28  
29 further improving the service quality (Liu *et al.*, 2019). Accepting customer feedback with an  
30  
31 open mind reflects a firm's integrity and professionalism, which is often appreciated and  
32  
33 praised by customers (Nasr *et al.*, 2004). Additionally, providing convenient and efficient  
34  
35 service recovery systems through multiple channels allows customers to easily reach out to the  
36  
37 service provider whenever and wherever a failure happens. This also eases customers' concerns  
38  
39 about whom to contact and where to find them when things go wrong and to facilitate  
40  
41 customers' positive WOM about the service provider (Roy, 2018).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 From a perspective of international dynamic capabilities, to stimulate customers' positive  
50  
51 WOM through addressing customers' concerns via all channels, a service firm should develop  
52  
53 its capabilities in protecting customer privacy, providing a secure environment, and ensuring  
54  
55 access to customer support (Landroque *et al.*, 2011; Mathur, 2019). Concern-solving  
56  
57 capability is particularly valued by firms operating internationally and is specifically required  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 in efficient omnichannel service management; it motivates customers to develop a positive  
4 WOM about the focal international firm (Çebi and Bayraktar, 2003; Hossain *et al.*, 2020). As  
5  
6 such, proposing a higher-order concerns management construct consisting of privacy, security,  
7  
8 and service recovery, this research hypothesizes the following:  
9  
10

11  
12 **Hypothesis 2: Concerns management capability positively influences positive WOM.**  
13  
14

### 15 16 17 **3.3 Positive word of mouth, customer engagement, and customer equity** 18

19 Consumer engagement refers to the intensity of customer participation with a firm's products  
20 or services and the firm's different activities, which is initiated by the firm or the customer  
21  
22 (Vivek *et al.*, 2012). Hollebeek (2011) coined the term "customer brand engagement" and  
23  
24 refers to the state of the consumer's mind, which is brand-related and context dependent and is  
25  
26 characterized by emotional, cognitive, and behavioral activities in terms of interaction with  
27  
28 brands. Vivek *et al.* (2012) conceptualize four major components of customer engagement.  
29  
30 Among these four components, customer influencer value (CIV) is related to the influence of  
31  
32 WOM. CIV is based on how a customer's behavior can influence other customers in terms of  
33  
34 increasing acquisition, retention, and share of wallet through WOM of existing customers.  
35  
36 Vivek *et al.* (2012) argued that each time a customer voluntarily engages in WOM about the  
37  
38 firm and its products, they influence CIV and, in turn, positively affect customer engagement  
39  
40 towards the firm. Several other articles have shown the role of customer engagement and its  
41  
42 relation to triggering positive WOM persuasion behaviors (Chan *et al.*, 2014; Christofi *et al.*,  
43  
44 2018). Hence, the relation between omnichannel capabilities, positive WOM, and customer  
45  
46 engagement in the B2B context is an important avenue to explore. Based on the above  
47  
48 discussion, the following hypothesis is postulated:  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55  
56  
57 **H3: Positive WOM influences customer engagement in the B2B context.**  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Customer equity is related to value creation resulting from profit, costs, cash flow, and  
4 customer relationships (Wang *et al.*, 2016). To capture the idea of customer equity, the existing  
5 research proposes three drivers: value equity, brand equity, and relationship equity (Lemon *et*  
6 *al.*, 2001; Leone *et al.*, 2006; Rust *et al.*, 2001; Rust *et al.*, 2004). Value equity, similar to  
7 customer perception of value, is a customer's estimation of the service's usefulness centered on  
8 an evaluation of what is offered relative to what is given (Rust *et al.*, 2004; Zeithaml, 1988).  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19 Brand equity refers to customers' personal and intangible evaluation of a brand and has been  
20 discussed in much of the service quality literature (Ailawadi and Farris, 2017; Picot-Coupey *et*  
21 *al.*, 2016; Verhoef *et al.*, 2015). Finally, relationship equity refers to customers' evaluation of  
22 their affiliation with the company (Hennig-Thurau and Klee, 1997). Relationship equity results  
23 in the propensity of a consumer to return to the brand regardless of rational and irrational brand  
24 evaluations (Vogel *et al.*, 2008).  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34  
35 Drivers of customer equity have been conceptualized as important properties within  
36 omnichannel management (Hossain *et al.*, 2017; Keller, 2010). Besides, several studies have  
37 shown the relation between positive WOM and customer equity (Kim and Ko, 2012;  
38 Villanueva *et al.*, 2008). Villanueva *et al.* (2008) argued that a company's long-term success  
39 depends mainly on customers' contribution to overall customer equity. Marketing-induced  
40 customers add more short-term value, but WOM customers add nearly twice as much long-  
41 term value to the firm and, in the process, WOM makes a significant contribution to customer  
42 equity. Using social media marketing tactics, Kim and Ko (2012) showed that there is a  
43 significantly positive effect of WOM marketing on value equity, relationship equity, and brand  
44 equity for luxury goods. Hence, the relation between omnichannel capabilities, positive WOM,  
45 and customer equity in the B2B context needs to be explored. Thus, we posit the following:  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 **H4: Customer engagement influences customer equity and its drivers (value equity,**  
4 **relationship equity and brand equity) in the B2B context.**  
5  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 **H5: Positive word of mouth influences customer equity and its drivers (value equity,**  
11 **relationship equity and brand equity) in the B2B context.**  
12  
13  
14

#### 15 16 17 **4.0 Methodology** 18

##### 19 20 **4.1 Measures and Instruments** 21

22 The study applied a cross-sectional online survey using a professional market research firm to  
23 collect data from 312 respondents from a panel of service-oriented global firms in Australia.  
24 The respondents were a global service business. Past studies on omnichannel marketing,  
25 WOM, customer engagement, and customer equity were used to fit the research context. Based  
26 on past scales, the study measures content management using information consistency (Lee  
27 and Kim, 2010; Oh and Teo, 2010; Wu and Chang, 2016; Hossain *et al.*, 2020),  
28 trustworthiness (Chih *et al.*, 2020; Kim *et al.*, 2010) and endorsement (Chih *et al.*, 2020; Lim  
29 *et al.*, 2006), concerns management using privacy (Akter *et al.*, 2013; Kim *et al.*, 2012;  
30 Parasuraman *et al.*, 2005), security (Bansal and Zahedi, 2014), and recovery (Smith *et al.*,  
31 2009) as hierarchical constructs (Wetzels *et al.*, 2009). Adapting past scales, the study also  
32 measures positive WOM (Yi and Gong, 2013) as an antecedent of customer engagement  
33 (Guesalaga, 2016; Mu, 2015; Park *et al.*, 2010) and customer equity consisting of value equity  
34 (Sweeney and Soutar, 2001), brand equity (Vogel *et al.*, 2008), and relationship equity (Rust  
35 *et al.*, 2004), which are provided in Appendix-1. To measure the constructs, the study used a  
36 seven-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (7).  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 4.2 Pre-test, Pilot Test and Data Collection

The study collected survey data in 2020 from Australia under an omnichannel research project.

The population represents service-oriented global firms, as customers, of Commonwealth Bank Australia (CBA), who used a mobile app, website and physical branch of the bank in the previous three months. At the pre-test phase, the survey was conducted over 50 random samples to ensure rigor in designing the scale, specifically in terms of scale points, layout, format and phrasing. Accordingly, the main survey instruments were designed. The questionnaire was sent to a panel of 1,049 B2B customers of CBA in September 2020, and 312 of them completed the survey. The sample included a range of Australian small and medium enterprises that have a global customer-base. Respondents' demographic profile represents diverse groups, as illustrated in Table 1.

**Table 1 Respondents' demographic profile**

Gender		Age		Annual income/revenue (in AUD)	
Male	39.74%	Under 18 years old		Under \$19,999	7.95%
Female	60.26%	18 - 24	15.23%	\$20,000 – \$39,999	13.25%
		25 - 34	27.48%	\$40,000 – \$59,999	12.91%
		35 - 44	23.51%	\$60,000 – \$79,999	12.58%
		45 - 54	13.91%	\$80,000 – \$99,999	14.23%
		55-64	12.58%	\$100,000-\$149,999	22.19%
		Over 64 years old	7.28%	\$150,000+	16.89%
State			Experience in export business in years		
New South Wales			30%	1-3	12%
Victoria			27%	4-5	27%
Queensland			16%	6-10	45%
Western Australia			12%	More than 10	16%
South Australia			12%		
Tasmania			2%		
Northern Territory			1%		
Type of Business					
Consultancy			15%		
Content development			14%		
Logistics handling			11%		
Customer service management			20%		
IT services			21%		

Graphic designing (Web and Offline)	9%
Others	10%

### 4.3 Data Analysis and evaluation of Measurement model

The study used SPSS AMOS (version 25.0) to analyze the data. The study argues that content management capability, concerns management capability and customer equity are the higher-order constructs. Thus, latent construct scores were calculated for their respective first-order constructs, that is, information consistency, source trustworthiness, endorsement, privacy, security, recovery, value equity, brand equity and relationship equity. In addition, the study calculated the latent scores of positive WOM and customer engagement. At first, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was conducted to test the constructs' reliability and validity. The maximum likelihood and Promax rotation method resulted in eleven first-order factors based on the eigen value ( $\lambda > 1$ ), accounting for 67.45% of the cumulative variance.

Results from confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), performed on AMOS, confirmed the overall measurement model fit indices CMIN/DF= 1.88 ( $\chi^2=732.88$ ,  $df=390$ );  $p=0.00$  CFI= 0.95; TLI= 0.94; GFI= 0.91; RMSEA= 0.048; SRMR= 0.062. Table 2 shows that individual item reliability was satisfactory, as their respective loadings were greater than 0.65 ( $p<0.001$ ). The study calculated that all the CAs were greater than 0.70 and the CRs were larger than 0.80. As part of convergent validity, the study estimated the average variance extracted (AVE) values, which are higher than 0.50, confirming convergent validity (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). With regard to the control variables, firm size (Weights, 0.771, t-value = 1.689), and Channel Type (Weights, 0.356, t-value = 1.282), we calculated the variance inflation factors (VIF), which shows evidence of non-significant collinearity as VIF is less than 5.0 (firm size = 1.081 and Channel type = 1.090).



To establish discriminant validity, several approaches were adopted (Chin, 1998; Hair *et al.*, 2012). First, the analysis of patterns of item-to-item correlations, item-to-total correlation, and alpha-if-item-deleted indicate no visible issues to report (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988). Second, as can be seen in Table 4, applying the criterion suggested by Fornell and Larcker (1981), the study estimated between-construct correlations which demonstrated that each construct's AVE was greater than the square of its largest correlation with any construct (Hair *et al.*, 2014). Third, we checked the cross-loadings of first-order constructs, which indicated satisfactory results (Chin, 1998). Finally, we applied the HTMT criterion followed by Henseler *et al.* (2015), and all the values were less than 0.90.

**Table 2: Measurement Model: Assessment of First-Order, Reflective Model**

Reflective Constructs	Items	Loadings	CA	CR	AVE
Information consistency (INFO)	INFO1	0.775	0.883	0.892	0.732
	INFO2	0.766			
	INFO3	0.801			
	INFO4	0.795			
Source trustworthiness (TRUS)	TRUS1	0.792	0.895	0.893	0.761
	TRUS2	0.751			
	TRUS3	0.773			
	TRUS4	0.843			
Endorsement (ENDO)	ENDO1	0.779	0.891	0.893	0.793
	ENDO2	0.878			
	ENDO3	0.861			
Privacy (PRIV)	PRIV1	0.812	0.871	0.890	0.821
	PRIV2	0.821			
	PRIV3	0.751			
Security (SECU)	SECU1	0.791	0.931	0.914	0.817
	SECU2	0.772			
	SECU3	0.810			
	SECU4	0.824			
Recovery (RECO)	RECO1	0.791	0.905	0.913	0.794
	RECO2	0.822			
	RECO3	0.812			

	RECO4	0.775			
Positive WOM (PWOM)	PWOM1	0.731	0.929	0.891	0.894
	PWOM2	0.771			
	PWOM3	0.811			
	PWOM4	0.789			
Customer engagement (ENGA)	ENGA1	0.758	0.884	0.892	0.798
	ENGA2	0.828			
	ENGA3	0.851			
	ENGA4	0.812			
Value equity (VAEQ)	VAEQ1	0.732	0.871	0.871	0.742
	VAEQ2	0.752			
	VAEQ3	0.812			
	VAEQ4	0.776			
Brand equity (BREQ)	BREQ1	0.689	0.819	0.821	0.702
	BREQ2	0.812			
	BREQ3	0.755			
	BREQ4	0.836			
Relationship equity (REEQ)	REEQ1	0.713	0.843	0.801	0.721
	REEQ2	0.762			
	REEQ3	0.714			
	REEQ4	0.675			

The study addressed CMV, first, by establishing a psychological separation between omnichannel dimensions (content and concerns validity) and outcome constructs (i.e., positive WOM, engagement, and equity) in order to identify causality. For that, the common latent factor (CLF) method was employed in AMOS, as suggested by Niros *et al.* (2022), which compares an unconstrained common method factor (CMF) model to a zero-constrained one. The comparison with the chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test of the standardized regression weights between the models was found to be significant and indicated significant shared variance among the factors. Second, from a questionnaire design perspective, measurement scales were operationalized to reduce spurious responses by checking attention checkers, limited answer options, confusing items etc. Third, from a statistical perspective, we applied the marker variable technique (Lindell and Whitney, 2001), which produced a non-significant correlation

( $r=0.041$ ,  $p>0.05$ ) between the marker variable and the constructs. Since we collected data from a research panel, non-response bias was investigated using a paired t-test between the first and last 40% of responses, and no significant differences were seen (Stanko, *et al.*, 2012). In addition, results of multiple t-tests showed that the responses were invariant irrespective of the respondents' various demographic factors. The study established content management capability (information consistency, source trustworthiness, endorsement), concerns management capability (privacy, security, recovery), and customer equity (value equity, brand equity and relationship equity) as the second-order constructs, as presented in Table 3 with the overall model fit indices CMIN/DF= 1.94 ( $\chi^2=343.95$ ,  $df=178$ );  $p=0.00$  CFI= 0.96; TLI= 0.95; GFI= 0.92; RMSEA= 0.058; SRMR= 0.07 (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988).

**Table 3 Second-order Measurement model**

Second-order constructs	1 <sup>st</sup> -2 <sup>nd</sup> order reflective relationships	$\beta$	Standard deviation	t-value
Content management capability (CONT)	Information consistency (INFO)	0.882	0.019	51.76
	Source trustworthiness (TRUS)	0.782	0.023	37.27
	Endorsement (ENDO)	0.792	0.021	33.53
Concerns management capability (CONC)	Privacy (PRIV)	0.842	0.017	58.32
	Security (SECU)	0.810	0.012	79.45
	Recovery (RECO)	0.725	0.035	15.34
Customer equity (EQUI)	Value equity (VAEQ)	0.771	0.024	38.29
	Brand equity (BREQ)	0.810	0.015	68.81
	Relationship equity (REEQ)	0.822	0.015	65.03

Note: 2<sup>nd</sup>-order CONT (4+4+3=11 items), CONC (3+4+4=11 items) and EQUI (4+4+4=12 items).

#### 4.6 Structural Model

After confirming the adequacy of the measurement model through reliability (i.e., loadings), convergent validity (i.e., CA, CR and AVE), and discriminant validity (i.e., cross-loadings, Fornell and Larcker criterion, and HTMT), the study estimated the statistical parameters of the structural model (please see Figure 2 and Table 5). At this stage, the study calculated the beta coefficients and their corresponding significance level,  $R^2$ , and predictive validity to test the hypotheses and the overall nomological chain. The model shows an acceptable fit of the proposed structural model with  $CMIN/DF= 1.94$  ( $\chi^2=467.32$ ,  $df=241$ ),  $p=0.00$ ,  $CFI= 0.95$ ;  $TLI= 0.95$ ;  $GFI= 0.91$ ;  $RMSEA= 0.057$ ;  $SRMR= 0.07$ .

The results show that the impact of content management capability on positive WOM (CONT-PWOM) is significant as the standardized path coefficient is 0.49 ( $p<0.001$ ,  $t=8.213$ ). Similarly, the influence of concerns management capability on positive WOM (CONC-PWOM) is significant, with a path coefficient of 0.24 ( $p<0.001$ ,  $t=4.012$ ). Thus, the findings support H1 and H2. The study also estimates the impact of PWOM on customer engagement and customer equity, which are significant, as the path coefficients are 0.681 for PWOM-ENGA ( $p<0.001$ ,  $t=21.90$ ), 0.294 for ENGA-EQUI ( $p<0.001$ ,  $t=4.935$ ), and 0.49 for PWOM-EQUI ( $p<0.001$ ,  $t=8.124$ ) links respectively. Thus, the findings support H3, H4, and H5 (see Table 5).

Table 4: Correlations and AVEs\*

	INFO	TRUS	ENDO	PRIV	SECU	RECO	PWOM	ENGA	VAEQ	BREQ	REEQ
INFO	<b>0.814</b>										
TRUS	0.413	<b>0.871</b>									
ENDO	0.503	0.512	<b>0.841</b>								
PRIV	0.481	0.481	0.382	<b>0.873</b>							
SECU	0.521	0.426	0.441	0.481	<b>0.893</b>						
RECO	0.486	0.410	0.472	0.472	0.501	<b>0.922</b>					
PWOM	0.452	0.431	0.417	0.501	0.391	0.436	<b>0.913</b>				
ENGA	0.482	0.461	0.541	0.512	0.481	0.491	0.550	<b>0.904</b>			
VAEQ	0.443	0.516	0.423	0.453	0.515	0.521	0.431	0.460	<b>0.862</b>		
BREQ	0.571	0.412	0.531	0.501	0.441	0.460	0.541	0.471	0.475	<b>0.852</b>	
REEQ	0.452	0.447	0.461	0.431	0.482	0.534	0.439	0.546	0.439	0.465	<b>0.839</b>

\*Square root of AVE on the diagonals.




Figure 2: Structural model.

Table 5: Results of the Structural Model

Hypotheses	Main Model	Path coefficients	Standard error	t-statistic
H1	CONT → PWOM	0.493	0.062	8.213
H2	CONC → PWOM	0.242	0.059	4.012
H3	PWOM → ENGA	0.681	0.029	21.90
H4	ENGA → EQUI	0.294	0.061	4.935
H5	PWOM → EQUI	0.487	0.052	8.124
H6	PWOM → ENGA → EQUI	0.238	0.048	4.921

The study also estimates the indirect effect between PWOM-ENGA-EQUI following the recommendations of several scholars (e.g., Preacher and Hayes, 2008; Hayes, Preacher, Myers, Bucy and Holbert, 2011). The mediating effect of ENGA is supported as the product of path coefficients between PWOM-ENGA and ENGA-EQUI is 0.238, which is significant

( $p < 0.001$ ,  $t = 4.921$ ). Thus, the results support H6. The study also calculates the effects of two control variables - firm size and channel type - on the ultimate outcome construct (i.e., customer equity), which are not significant.

## 5 Discussion

This study confirms content management capability and concerns management capabilities as the dynamic, omnichannel management capabilities that enhance positive WOM, customer engagement, and customer equity in the global service delivery context. The findings based on 312 global service firms of Australia confirming information consistency ( $\beta = 0.882$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), source trustworthiness ( $\beta = 0.782$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), and endorsement ( $\beta = 0.792$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ) as the significant subdimensions of content management capability, whereas customer privacy ( $\beta = 0.842$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), customer security ( $\beta = 0.810$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), and service recovery ( $\beta = 0.725$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ) are confirmed as the critical subdimensions of concerns management capability. Although the findings provide support for all the subdimensions, the results identify that information consistency and privacy explain relatively more variances than the other subdimensions. Similarly, value equity ( $\beta = 0.771$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), brand equity ( $\beta = 0.810$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ), and relationship equity ( $\beta = 0.822$ ,  $p < 0.000$ ) are significant subdimensions of customer equity, and among them, relationship equity explains most of the variance. The analysis of the structural model shows that content management capability ( $\beta = 0.493$ ) has relatively more influence on positive WOM than concerns management capability ( $\beta = 0.242$ ), and both antecedents jointly explain 48% of the variance. The findings also show that positive WOM ( $\beta = 0.680$ ) explains 51% of the customer engagement variance, and both positive WOM ( $\beta = 0.490$ ) and customer engagement ( $\beta = 0.290$ ) explain 66% of the variance of customer equity. In this nomological chain, customer engagement has emerged as a partial mediator

1  
2  
3 between positive WOM and customer equity, explaining 29% ( $a*b/a*b+c$ ) of the variance of  
4 customer equity (Akter, D'Ambra, and Ray, 2011).  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 **5.1 Theoretical Implications**

11  
12 The findings of our study have significant theoretical implications within the field of  
13 international marketing and B2B omnichannel management extending dynamic capabilities,  
14 and WOM theories.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20  
21 First, the empirical findings of this study explored omnichannel management as an IDMC for  
22 service-oriented global firms and established its link with customer-based performance  
23 outcomes (WOM, customer engagement and customer equity). Although scholars  
24 acknowledge that omnichannel service management can positively influence customers across  
25 channels (e.g., Akter *et al.*, 2021; Cui *et al.*, 2021; Gölgeci *et al.*, 2019; Grossmann *et al.*, 2019;  
26 Sheth 2021; Verhoef *et al.*, 2015; Verhoef, 2021), there is limited knowledge about specific  
27 channel management capabilities and their overall effects on customer engagement and  
28 customer equity, particularly in the global service delivery context.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 Second, this research has identified omnichannel management capability as a dynamic  
43 capability (Helfat *et al.*, 2009; Teece *et al.*, 1997; Scuotto *et al.*, 2022 ). Only a few studies  
44 have shown the relation between DCT and omnichannel transformation to capture the WOM  
45 dynamics (Wilson and Daniel, 2007; Daniel and Wilson, 2003; Hossain *et al.*, 2020).  
46 Furthermore, this is one of the pioneering studies which have recognized omnichannel  
47 management as an IDMC, particularly in the global service delivery context, while enhancing  
48 its application in international context (Verbeke, 2022; Zahra *et al.*, 2022). Thus, the findings  
49 of our study extend the existing knowledge of IDMC and DCT by adopting content  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 management capability and concerns management capability as a firm's dynamic capabilities  
4 to establish positive WOM.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Third, the findings show that trustworthy, consistent and customer-endorsed (generated)  
11 content showcase a firm's content management capability while service recovery accessibility,  
12 privacy, and security of the system enhance the concerns management capabilities of the firm.  
13  
14 These dynamic channel management capabilities enable a B2B firm to sense, shape, seize and  
15 reconfigure service opportunities in global market and respond to them through various WOM  
16 initiatives (Teece, 2007). As such, the dynamic integration of these channels allows firms to  
17 provide a seamless service experience, creates positive WOM, and influences customer  
18 engagement and equity through the omnichannel environment within a global service delivery  
19 context. As indicated by Morgan *et al.* (2018), international marketing capabilities are  
20 generally dynamic in nature; therefore, the study applies DCT to conventional customer-based  
21 outcomes in the context of global service firms. This is one of the pioneer studies that have  
22 capitalized on strategic capability (e.g., distribution channels' integration and agility) to  
23 manage the dynamism of the global service market environment to generate customer-based  
24 performance outcomes.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 Fourth, the findings advance dynamic capabilities theory in international marketing by linking  
46 it with omnichannel service management and WOM concepts within the B2B environment  
47 (Chich *et al.*, 2020; Cui *et al.*, 2021; Grossmann *et al.*, 2019; Hossain *et al.*, 2020). Specifically,  
48 our findings show the ripple effects of omnichannel service management capabilities on  
49 positive WOM through information consistency, source trustworthiness, endorsement, privacy,  
50 security and service recovery. These findings extend our existing WOM knowledge (e.g., Lee  
51 *et al.*, 2019; Iankova *et al.*, 2019; Kingshott *et al.*, 2020) in the context of global firms'  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 omnichannel service environment. Although CE has emerged as a critical marketing outcome  
4 in the digital economy (Carlson *et al.*, 2018; Hollebeek *et al.*, 2019; Kumar *et al.*, 2019; Meire  
5 *et al.*, 2019), its relationship with positive WOM in an omnichannel environment received  
6 inadequate empirical attention. Moreover, in the context of global service business, there is  
7 very limited research on the relationship between CE and WOM in an omnichannel  
8 environment. Thus, our findings extend the CE literature by highlighting the importance of  
9 consistent interactions, trustworthy offerings, retention advocacy, and an ongoing commitment  
10 to alleviating concerns with the assurance of privacy and security of information.  
11  
12

13  
14  
15 Finally, the findings illuminate theoretical rigor by framing customer equity as an essential  
16 outcome of positive WOM and CE in the service oriented international marketing context. The  
17 findings on customer equity show how to enhance the aggregated measure of profitability  
18 through value, brand, and relationship dimensions through a global firm's omnichannel  
19 environment. These findings extend the current understanding of customer equity by framing  
20 it as the outcome of positive WOM (Kim and Ko, 2012; Villanueva *et al.*, 2008) through the  
21 influence of increased acquisition, retention, and share of wallet in the omnichannel setting.  
22  
23 The findings of this research validate CE as a mediator between positive WOM and customer  
24 equity, which adds valuable knowledge to the global B2B channel management literature.  
25 Specifically, the findings advance our theoretical knowledge on the role of engagement across  
26 in-store, mobile, and online channels through various emotional, cognitive, and behavioral  
27 interactions to enhance customer equity. These findings extend the theory of customer equity  
28 (Kumar and George, 2007; Lemon *et al.*, 2001; Ou and Verhoef, 2017; Zhang *et al.*, 2016)  
29 through influence of positive WOM and CE in global omnichannel environment.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## 5.2 Managerial Contribution

The findings of this study offer a systematic insight into the impact of omnichannel management capabilities adopted by B2B marketers in generating WOM to enhance CE and equity. An integrated channel system is one of the crucial aspects of omnichannel management. In addition to traditional B2C organizations, omnichannel management has become an essential avenue for B2B managers to exploit, even in the context of the international market (Alonso-Garcia, Pablo-Martí and Nunez-Barriopedro, 2021a; 2012b). Numerous academic and industry research from Forrester, Accenture, Forbes, and Dun and Bradstreet and so on are based on omnichannel management and the importance of omnichannel engagement within B2B management (i.e., Dun and Bradstreet, 2019; Forrester Research Inc., 2015; Lievense, 2019; Walker, 2014). This research addresses the pressing issue in current managerial practice through the following managerial implications:

First, this paper suggests that both content management and concerns management capabilities should be regarded as the IDMC for the service-oriented global firms. To succeed in this fast and competitive environment, managers need to alter channel configurations, which is a higher-level orchestration of ordinary capabilities to achieve integration within channels. B2B managers need to demonstrate the capabilities to manage trustworthy, consistent, and customer-endorsed (generated) content while also managing various concerns, such as service failure and the security of the system.

Second, as current business customers are using several channels to complete a purchase, managers need to build an integrated information technology (IT) system which can integrate various channels to manage international customers' transaction data. However, in the current day omnichannel situation, since customers have the opportunity to use several channels during

1  
2  
3 a purchase, data should be collected from multiple channels, which is beyond one CRM system.  
4  
5 According to Zhang *et al.* (2010), traditional data collection and analysis is still centered around  
6  
7 the individual channel. Hence, firms need to develop the ability to collect transaction data  
8  
9 across channels and analyze customer related performance outcomes from an omnichannel  
10  
11 perspective.  
12  
13

14  
15  
16  
17 Third, managers need to ensure the privacy and security of its channels to enhance trust within  
18  
19 their clients irrespective of their geographical location. Strategies like two-factor  
20  
21 authentication, installing physical security systems for physical channels, password protection,  
22  
23 and overall, a secure multichannel system will ensure the privacy, security and recovery aspects  
24  
25 of omnichannel usage. This is very common for large organizations due to their availability of  
26  
27 resources; however, for the SMEs, this may require additional resources and an implementation  
28  
29 plan.  
30  
31  
32  
33

34  
35 Finally, managers need to ensure that their channels are easy to use and provide a consistent  
36  
37 and seamless service experience to all their clients located in international markets. Making  
38  
39 customers aware of all the features and benefits of using different channels and periodically  
40  
41 improving channel performance should also be practiced. This will enable the business's client's  
42  
43 endorsement of the firm and its multichannel capabilities. The endorsement will increase  
44  
45 business volume and overall trust in other customers.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

### 51 **5.3 Limitations and Future Research Directions**

52  
53 This study focused on service-oriented global B2B firms of Australia in modeling the effects  
54  
55 of content management capability and concerns management capability, as IDMCs, on positive  
56  
57 WOM in the omnichannel environment. One of the critical avenues for research extension is  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 to explore how these omnichannel management capabilities and positive WOM influence firm  
4 performance and competitive advantages in other industries. For example, platforms such as  
5 Amazon, Alibaba, Facebook, and Tencent have a large number of business customers using  
6 multiple channels to sell to and serve end customers. Future studies can overcome the  
7 limitations of this study about its contextual and single industry (SME) limitations. The data  
8 were collected from the service-oriented SMEs of Australia where the respondents only gave  
9 their response about banking related services. Future studies can extend our research in this  
10 context to measure the effects of omnichannel management capabilities on positive WOM,  
11 engagement, and equity. Data were collected from Australia using a cross-sectional study; thus,  
12 future studies can collect data from other emerging/developed economies to provide  
13 comparison and generalized findings. Although the study has identified two IDMCs as  
14 significant antecedents of positive WOM, a rigorous qualitative study in other contexts can  
15 present new constructs in an omnichannel environment.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

## 34 **6 Conclusion**

35  
36 This study addresses the research question on integrating dynamic omni-channel management  
37 capabilities to influence positive word of mouth, customer engagement, and customer equity.  
38 The novelty of the findings are illuminated through content management capability (i.e.,  
39 information consistency, source trustworthiness and endorsement) and concerns management  
40 capability (i.e., privacy, security and recovery) to influence positive WOM in international  
41 marketing. In addition, the findings confirm the nomological chain between positive WOM-  
42 customer engagement-customer equity in which engagement was identified as a significant  
43 partial mediator in this context. These findings extend dynamic capabilities and WOM theory  
44 in the omnichannel context and guide managers to ensure the seamless integration of content  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

and to address concerns for better customer engagement and equity in the dynamic international marketing environment.

## References

- Accenture (2014). "State of B2B Procurement Study: Uncovering the Shifting Landscape in B2B Commerce", (accessed September 22, 2021), [available at [https://www.accenture.com/t20150624t211502\\_w\\_us-en/acnmedia/accenture/conversion-assets/dotcom/documents/global/pdf/industries\\_15/accenture-b2b-procurement-study.pdf](https://www.accenture.com/t20150624t211502_w_us-en/acnmedia/accenture/conversion-assets/dotcom/documents/global/pdf/industries_15/accenture-b2b-procurement-study.pdf)]
- Acosta, A. S., Crespo, Á. H., and Agudo, J. C. (2018), "Effect of market orientation, network capability and entrepreneurial orientation on international performance of small and medium enterprises (SMEs)", *International Business Review*, Vol. 27 No. 6, pp. 1128-1140.
- Ailawadi, K. L., and Farris, P. W. (2017)., "Managing multi- and omni-channel distribution: Metrics and research directions.", *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 93 No. 1, pp120-135. DOI: 10.1016/j.jretai.2016.12.003
- Akter, S., D'Ambra, J., Ray, P., and Hani, U. (2013), "Modelling the impact of mHealth service quality on satisfaction, continuance and quality of life", *Behaviour & Information Technology*, Vol. 32 No. 12, pp 1225-1241.
- Akter, S., Hossain, TMT and Strong, C. (2021), "What omnichannel really means?", *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 29 No. 7, pp 567-573, DOI: 10.1080/0965254X.2021.1937284
- Alexander, B., and Cano, M. B. (2020), "Store of the future: towards a (re) invention and (re) imagination of physical store space in an omnichannel context", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol 55, 101913.
- Alexander, M.J., Jaakkola, E. and Hollebeek, L. (2018), "Zooming out: actor engagement beyond the dyadic", *Journal of Service Marketing*, Vol. 29 No. 3, pp 333-351.
- Aliedan, M. (2021), "Bridging the learning divide: the role of institutional WOM in stimulating emerging countries' SMEs internationalization". *Review of International Business and Strategy*. Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 228-245.
- Allsop, D.T., Bassett, B.R. and Hoskins, J.A. (2007). Word-of-mouth research: principles and applications. *Journal of Advertising Research*, Vol. 47 No. 4, pp 398-411.
- Alonso-Garcia, J., Pablo-Martí, F. and Nunez-Barriopedro, E., (2021a), "Omnichannel Management in B2B. Complexity-based model. Empirical evidence from a panel of experts based on Fuzzy Cognitive Maps". *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 95, pp.99-113.
- Alonso-Garcia, J., Pablo-Martí, F. and Nunez-Barriopedro, E., (2021b), "Omnichannel Management in a B2B context: Concept, research agenda and bibliometric

- review". *International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, Vol. 12 No. 1, p.37.
- Alvarez-Milan, A., Felix, R., Rauschnabel, P.A. and Hinsch, (2018). Strategic customer engagement marketing: A decision making framework. *Journal of Business Research*. Vol. 92, pp 61-70.
- Ambrosini, V. and Bowman, C. (2009), "What are dynamic capabilities and are they a useful construct in strategic management?". *International journal of management reviews*, Vol. 11, No.1, pp.29-49.
- Anderson, E. W. (1998). Customer satisfaction and word of mouth. *Journal of service research*, Vol. 1 No. 1, pp 5-17.
- Babu, M. M., Dey, B. L., Rahman, M., Roy, S. K., Alwi, S. F. S., and Kamal, M. M. (2020). Value co-creation through social innovation: A study of sustainable strategic alliance in telecommunication and financial services sectors in Bangladesh. *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 89, pp13-27.
- Bansal, G., and Zahedi, F. M. (2014). Trust-discount tradeoff in three contexts: frugality moderating privacy and security concerns. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*, Vol. 55 No. 1, pp 13-29.
- Barrales-Molina, V., Martínez-López, F.J. and Gázquez-Abad, J.C., 2014. Dynamic marketing capabilities: Toward an integrative framework. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, Vol. 16 No. 4, pp 397-416.
- Bendoly, E., Blocher, J. D., Bretthauer, K. M., Krishnan, S., and Venkataramanan, M. A. (2005). Online/in-store integration and customer retention. *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 7 No. 4, pp 313-327.
- Benitez, J., Henseler, J., Castillo, A., and Schuberth, F. (2020). How to perform and report an impactful analysis using partial least squares: Guidelines for confirmatory and explanatory IS research. *Information & Management*, Vol. 57 No. 2, pp 103168. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.05.003>
- Bernon, M., Cullen, J. and Gorst, J. (2016), "Online retail returns management: Integration within an omni-channel distribution context", *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, Vol. 46 No. 6/7, pp. 584-605.
- Berry, L. and Parasuraman, A. (1991), *Marketing Services*, The Free Press, New York, NY.
- Bolton, R. and Drew, J. (1991), "A Longitudinal Analysis of the Impact of Service Changes on Customer Attitude", *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 55, pp. 1-9.
- Brodie, R., and Hollebeck, L. (2011), "Advancing and consolidating knowledge about customer engagement", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 283-284
- Brodie, R.J., Hollebeck, L., Juric, B. and Ilic, A. (2011), "Customer Engagement: Conceptual Domain, Fundamental Propositions, and Implications for Research", *Journal of Service Research*. Vol. 14 No. 3, pp. 1-20.
- Brynjolfsson, E., Hu, Y. J., and Rahman, M. S. (2013), "Competing in the age of omnichannel retailing", *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Vol. 54 No. 4, pp. 23.
- Buccieri, D., Javalgi, R. G., and Cavusgil, E. (2020), "International new venture performance: Role of international entrepreneurial culture, ambidextrous

- 1  
2  
3 innovation, and dynamic marketing capabilities”, *International Business Review*,  
4 Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 101639.  
5
- 6 Burgess, S. M., and Steenkamp, J. B. E. (2006), “Marketing renaissance: How research in  
7 emerging markets advances marketing science and practice”, *International Journal*  
8 *of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 337-356.  
9
- 10 Butkouskaya, V., Llonch-Andreu, J. and Alarcón-del-Amo, M.D.C. (2021), “Inter-country  
11 customer-perspective analysis of strategic antecedents and consequences for post-  
12 purchase behaviour in Integrated Marketing Communications (IMC)”, *Journal of*  
13 *International Consumer Marketing*, Vol 33 No 1, pp.68-83.  
14
- 15 Cao, L., and Li, L. (2015), “The impact of cross-channel integration on retailers’ sales  
16 growth”, *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 91 No. 2, pp. 198-216.  
17
- 18 Carlson, J., Rahman, M., Voola, R. and De Vris, N. (2018), “Customer engagement  
19 behaviours in social media: capturing innovation opportunities”, *Journal of*  
20 *Services Marketing*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 83-94.  
21
- 22 Çebi, F., and Bayraktar, D. (2003), “An integrated approach for supplier  
23 selection”. *Logistics information management*. Vol 16 No 6, pp 395-400  
24
- 25 Chaiken, S. (1980), “Heuristic versus systematic information processing and the use of  
26 source versus message cues in persuasion”, *Journal of personality and social*  
27 *psychology*, Vol. 39 No. 5, pp. 752.  
28
- 29 Chan, T. K. H., Zheng, X., Cheung, C. M. K., Lee, M. K. O., and Lee, Z. W. Y. (2014),  
30 “Antecedents and consequences of customer engagement in online brand  
31 communities”, *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, Vol. 2 No. 2, pp. 81-97.  
32
- 33 Chih, W.-H., Hsu, L.-C., and Ortiz, J. (2020), “The antecedents and consequences of the  
34 perceived positive eWOM review credibility. *Industrial Management & Data*  
35 *Systems*. Vol. 120 No. 6.  
36
- 37 Chin, W. W. (2010). *How to write up and report PLS analyses Handbook of partial least*  
38 *squares* (pp. 655-690): Springer.  
39
- 40 Christofi, M., Vrontis, D., Leonidou, E., and Thrassou, A. (2018), “Customer engagement  
41 through choice in cause-related marketing”, *International Marketing Review*. Vol.  
42 37 No. 4, pp. 621-650  
43
- 44 Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*: Routledge  
45 Academic.  
46
- 47 Colicev, A., Kumar, A., and O'Connor, P. (2019), “Modeling the relationship between firm  
48 and user generated content and the stages of the marketing funnel”, *International*  
49 *Journal of Research in Marketing*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 100-116.  
50
- 51 Cui, T.H., Ghose, A., Halaburda, H., Iyengar, R., Pauwels, K., Sriram, S., Tucker, C. and  
52 Venkataraman, S., (2021), “Informational challenges in omnichannel marketing:  
53 remedies and future research”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 85. No 1. pp.103-120.  
54
- 55 Daniel, E. M., and Wilson, H. N. (2003), “The role of dynamic capabilities in e-business  
56 transformation”, *European Journal of Information Systems*, Vol 12. No 4. pp.282-  
57 296.  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Davcik, N.S., Cardinali, S., Sharma, P. and Cedrola, E., (2021), "Exploring the role of  
4 international R&D activities in the impact of technological and marketing  
5 capabilities on SMEs' performance", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 128,  
6 pp.650-660.  
7
- 8 Demmers, J., Weltevreden, J. W., and van Dolen, W. M. (2020), "Consumer Engagement  
9 with Brand Posts on Social Media in Consecutive Stages of the Customer  
10 Journey", *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, Vol 24. No 1. pp.53-77.  
11
- 12 Dey, BL., Al-Karaghoul, W. and Muhammad, SS. (2020), "Adoption, Adaptation, Use  
13 and Impact of Information Systems during Pandemic Time and Beyond: Research  
14 and Managerial Implications", *Information Systems Management*, Vol. 37, No, 4.  
15 pp. 298 - 302.  
16
- 17 L. Dey, B., Alwi, S.F.S., Babu, M.M., Roy, S.K. and Muhammad, S.S. (2022), "Brexit or  
18 Brand it? The effects of attitude towards Brexit and reshored brands on consumer  
19 purchase intention", *British Journal of Management*, (in press). doi:  
20 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12663>.  
21
- 22 Dickinger, A. (2011), "The trustworthiness of online channels for experience-and goal-  
23 directed search tasks", *Journal of Travel Research*, Vol 50. No 4. pp.378-391.  
24
- 25 Dun and Bradstreet. (2019). *Preparing for an Omnichannel Marketing Strategy*.  
26 [https://www.dnb.co.uk/perspectives/marketing-sales/guide-to-b2b-omnichannel-](https://www.dnb.co.uk/perspectives/marketing-sales/guide-to-b2b-omnichannel-marketing.html)  
27 [marketing.html](https://www.dnb.co.uk/perspectives/marketing-sales/guide-to-b2b-omnichannel-marketing.html)  
28
- 29 Dwivedi, Y. K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D. L., Carlson, J., Filieri, R., Jacobson, J., ... and  
30 Kumar, V. (2020), "Setting the future of digital and social media marketing  
31 research: Perspectives and research propositions", *International Journal of*  
32 *Information Management*, 102168.  
33
- 34 Eriksson, E., Norrman, A. and Kembro, J., (2022), "Understanding the transformation  
35 toward omnichannel logistics in grocery retail: a dynamic capabilities perspective",  
36 *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, Vol. 50 No. 8/9, pp.  
37 1095-1128.  
38
- 39 Eyuboglu, N., Kabadayi, S. and Buja, A. (2017), "Multiple channel complexity:  
40 Conceptualization and measurement", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 65.  
41 pp194-205  
42
- 43 Felin, T., and Powell, T. C. (2016), "Designing organizations for dynamic  
44 capabilities", *California Management Review*, Vol 58. No 4. pp 78-96.  
45
- 46 Fornell, C., and Larcker, D. F. (1981), "Evaluating structural equation models with  
47 unobservable variables and measurement error", *Journal of Marketing Research*,  
48 pp. 39-50.  
49
- 50 Forrester report (2019). US B2B eCommerce Will Hit \$1.8 Trillion By 2023  
51 [https://www.forrester.com/report/US+B2B+eCommerce+Will+Hit+12+Trillion+](https://www.forrester.com/report/US+B2B+eCommerce+Will+Hit+12+Trillion+By+2021/-/E-RES136173#)  
52 [By+2021/-/E-RES136173#](https://www.forrester.com/report/US+B2B+eCommerce+Will+Hit+12+Trillion+By+2021/-/E-RES136173#)  
53
- 54 Forrester Research Inc. (2015). Mastering Omni-Channel B2B Customer Engagement.  
55 [https://www.accenture.com/ acnmedia/Accenture/Conversion-](https://www.accenture.com/acnmedia/Accenture/Conversion-Assets/DotCom/Documents/Global/PDF/Digital_3/Accenture-Mastering-Omni-Channel-B2B-Customer-Engagement-Report.pdf)  
56 [Assets/DotCom/Documents/Global/PDF/Digital\\_3/Accenture-Mastering-Omni-](https://www.accenture.com/acnmedia/Accenture/Conversion-Assets/DotCom/Documents/Global/PDF/Digital_3/Accenture-Mastering-Omni-Channel-B2B-Customer-Engagement-Report.pdf)  
57 [Channel-B2B-Customer-Engagement-Report.pdf](https://www.accenture.com/acnmedia/Accenture/Conversion-Assets/DotCom/Documents/Global/PDF/Digital_3/Accenture-Mastering-Omni-Channel-B2B-Customer-Engagement-Report.pdf)  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Forrester Research, Inc. (2014). *Building the B2B Omni-Channel Commerce Platform of*  
4 *the*  
5 *Future. B2B Buyer Expectations Are Driving Sellers to Deliver Fully Functional*  
6 *OmniChannel Experiences* (A Forrester consulting thought leadership paper  
7 commissioned by Accenture and Hybris, an SAP Company, November 2014,  
8 <https://www.accenture.com/>  
9  
10  
11 Gallino, S., and Rooderkerk, R. (2020), “New Product Development in an Omnichannel  
12 World”, *California Management Review*, Vol 63. No 1. pp 81-98.  
13  
14 Gölgeci, I., Assadina, S., Kuivalainen, O., & Larimo, J. (2019). Emerging-market firms’  
15 dynamic capabilities and international performance: The moderating role of  
16 institutional development and distance. *International Business Review*, 28(6),  
17 101593.  
18  
19 Gnizy, I., (2019), “The role of inter-firm dispersion of international marketing capabilities  
20 in marketing strategy and business outcomes”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol  
21 105. pp.214-226.  
22  
23 Google (2021). *Retail and omnichannel marketing trends*. Available at:  
24 <https://www.thinkwithgoogle.com/intl/en-aunz/collections/retail/>  
25  
26 Gopalkrishna, S., Malthouse, E.C. and Lawrence, J.M. (2019), “Managing customer  
27 engagement at trade shows”, *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 81. pp 99-  
28 114.  
29  
30 Grewal, R., Lilien, G. L., Bharadwaj, S., Jindal, P., Kayande, U., Lusch, R. F., ... and  
31 Spekman, R. (2015), “Business-to-business buying: Challenges and  
32 opportunities”, *Customer needs and Solutions*, Vol 2. No 3. pp 193-208.  
33  
34 Grossmann, M., Brock, C., Hubert, M., & Reimer, T. (2019), “The Relevance of Positive  
35 Word-of-Mouth Effects on the Customer Lifetime Value-A Replication and  
36 Extension in the Context of Start-ups”, *SMR-Journal of Service Management*  
37 *Research*, Vol 3. No 3. pp 148-160.  
38  
39  
40  
41 Hardwick, J. and Anderson, A. R. (2019), “Supplier-customer engagement for  
42 collaborative innovation using video conferencing: A study of SMEs”, *Industrial*  
43 *Marketing Management*, Vol 80. pp 43-57.  
44  
45 Harrison, L., Spillecke, D., Stanley, J. and Tsai, J. (2021), *Omnichannel in B2B sales: The*  
46 *new normal in a year that has been everything but*. McKinsey and company  
47 Report. [https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/growth-marketing-and-](https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/growth-marketing-and-sales/our-insights/omnichannel-in-b2b-sales-the-new-normal-in-a-year-that-has-been-anything-but)  
48 [sales/our-insights/omnichannel-in-b2b-sales-the-new-normal-in-a-year-that-has-](https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/growth-marketing-and-sales/our-insights/omnichannel-in-b2b-sales-the-new-normal-in-a-year-that-has-been-anything-but)  
49 [been-anything-but](https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/growth-marketing-and-sales/our-insights/omnichannel-in-b2b-sales-the-new-normal-in-a-year-that-has-been-anything-but)  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Helfat, C. E., Finkelstein, S., Mitchell, W., Peteraf, M., Singh, H., Teece, D., and Winter,  
4 S. G. (2009), *Dynamic capabilities: Understanding strategic change in*  
5 *organizations*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 6  
7 Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K. P., Walsh, G., and Gremler, D. D. (2004), “Electronic  
8 word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: what motivates consumers to  
9 articulate themselves on the internet?”, *Journal of interactive marketing*, Vol 18.  
10 No 1. pp 38-52.
- 11  
12 Hennig-Thurau, T., and Klee, A. (1997), “The impact of customer satisfaction and  
13 relationship quality on customer retention: A critical reassessment and model  
14 development”, *Psychology & marketing*, Vol 14. No 8. pp 737-764.
- 15  
16 Hofacker, C., Golgeci, I., Pillai, K. G., and Gligor, D. M. (2020), “Digital marketing and  
17 business-to-business relationships: a close look at the interface and a roadmap for  
18 the future”, *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 54. No 6. pp 1161-1179.
- 19  
20 Hollebeek, L. D. (2011), “Demystifying customer brand engagement: Exploring the loyalty  
21 nexus”, *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 27. No7-8. pp 785-807.
- 22  
23 Hollebeek, L. D. (2019), “Developing business customer engagement through social media  
24 engagement-platforms: an integrative SD logic/RBV-informed model”, *Industrial*  
25 *Marketing Management*, Vol 81. pp 89-98.
- 26  
27 Hollebeek, L., and Solem, B. (2017). *The consumer engagement/return on social media*  
28 *engagement interface: Development of a conceptual model*. In B. Rishi, and S.  
29 Bandyopadhyay (Eds.). *Contemporary issues in social media marketing*. London:  
30 Routledge.
- 31  
32 Hollebeek, L. D., Conduit, J., Soutar, G., Sweeney, J., Karpen, I. O., Jarvis, W., and Chen,  
33 T. (2016), “Epilogue to the special issue and reflections on the future of  
34 engagement research”, *Journal of Marketing Management*, Vol 32. No -56. pp  
35 586–594.
- 36  
37 Hollebeek, L., Srivastava, R.K. and Chen, T. (2019), “S-D logic–informed customer  
38 engagement: integrative framework, revised fundamental propositions, and  
39 application to CRM”, *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 47. No 1. pp  
40 161-185.
- 41  
42 Hollebeek, L.D., Hammedi, W., Roy, S.K. and Hewett, K., (2021), Call for Papers| Journal  
43 of International Marketing: Customer Engagement in International Markets.
- 44  
45 Hoque, M.T., Ahammad, M.F., Tzokas, N., Tarba, S. and Nath, P. (2022), "Eyes open and  
46 hands on: market knowledge and marketing capabilities in export  
47 markets", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 431-462
- 48  
49 Hossain, T. M. T., Akter, S., Kattiyapornpong, U., and Dwivedi, Y. (2020),  
50 “Reconceptualizing Integration Quality Dynamics for Omnichannel Marketing”,  
51 *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 87. Pp 225-241. doi:  
52 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.12.006>
- 53  
54 Hossain, T. M. T., Akter, S., Kattiyapornpong, U., and Wamba, S. F. (2017, 8-10  
55 November). *The Impact of Integration Quality on Customer Equity in Data Driven*  
56 *Omnichannel Services Marketing*. Paper presented at the Conference on  
57 ENTERprise Information Systems, Barcelona, Spain.
- 58  
59  
60

<https://www.statista.com/study/44442/in-depth-report-b2b-e-commerce/>

- Iankova, S., Davies, I., Archer-Brown, C., Marder, B., and Yau, A. (2019), "A comparison of social media marketing between B2B, B2C and mixed business models", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 81. pp 169-179.
- Jakkola, E. and Alexander, M. (2014), "The role of customer engagement behaviour in valueco-creation", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol 17. No 3. pp 247-261.
- Jiang, Y. and Wu, M., (2022), "Power structure and pricing in an omnichannel with buy-online-and-pick-up-in-store". *Electronic Commerce Research*, pp.1-25.
- Johnston, T.C. and Hewa, M.A. (1997), "Fixing service failures", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 26. No 5. pp 467-473.
- Keller, K. L. (2010), "Brand Equity Management in a Multichannel, Multimedia Retail Environment", *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, Vol 24. No 2. pp 58-70. doi: 10.1016/j.intmar.2010.03.001
- Kim, A. J., and Ko, E. (2012), "Do social media marketing activities enhance customer equity? An empirical study of luxury fashion brand", *Journal of Business research*, Vol 65. No 10. pp 1480-1486.
- Kim, J. U., Kim, W. J., and Park, S. C. (2010), "Consumer perceptions on web advertisements and motivation factors to purchase in the online shopping", *Computers in human behavior*, Vol 26. No 5. pp 1208-1222.
- Kingshott, R. P., Sharma, P., and Nair, S. R. (2020), "Social and technical chains-of-effects in business-to-business (B2B) service relationships", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 54. No 6. pp 1225-1246.
- Kluge, P., Schmid, M., Silliman, E. and Villepelet, C. (2021), "Omnichannel: It's time for the online tail to wag the retail dog", McKinsey Report,
- Kumar, V. and George, M. (2007), "Measuring and maximizing customer equity: A critical analysis," *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 35, pp 157-171.
- Kumar, V. and Shah, D. (2009), "Expanding the Role of Marketing: From Customer Equity to Market Capitalization," *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 73, pp. 119-136.
- Kumar, V., Aksoy, L., Donkers, V., Venkatesan, R., Wiesel, T. and Tillmanns, S. (2010), "Undervalued or Overvalued Customers: Capturing Total Customer Engagement Value," *Journal of Service Research*, Vol 13. No 3. pp 297-310.
- Kumar, V., Rajan, B., Gupta, S. and Pozza, I.D. (2019), "Customer engagement in service," *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 47, pp. 138-160.
- Lacey, R., and Morgan, R. M. (2009), "Customer advocacy and the impact of B2B loyalty programs," *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, Vol 24. No 1. pp 3-13.
- Landroquez, S. M., Castro, C. B., and Cepeda-Carrión, G. (2011), "Creating dynamic capabilities to increase customer value," *Management Decision*, Vol 49. No 7. pp 1141-1159
- Lawrence, J. M., Crecelius, A. T., Scheer, L. K., and Patil, A. (2019), "Multichannel strategies for managing the profitability of business-to-business customers", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol 56. No 3. pp 479-497.

- 1  
2  
3 Lee, H-H and Kim, J., (2010), "Investigating dimensionality of multichannel retailer's  
4 cross-channel integration practices and effectiveness: shopping orientation and  
5 loyalty intention", *Journal of Marketing Channels*, Vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 281-312.  
6  
7 Lee, Z.W., Chan, T.K., Chong, A.Y.L. and Thadani, D.R., (2019), "Customer engagement  
8 through omnichannel retailing: The effects of channel integration quality",  
9 *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 77, pp.90-101.  
10  
11 Lemon, K. N., Rust, R. T., and Zeithaml, V. A. (2001), "What drives customer equity",  
12 *Marketing Management*, Vol 10. No 1. pp 20.  
13  
14 Leone, R. P., Rao, V. R., Keller, K. L., Luo, A. M., McAlister, L., and Srivastava, R. (2006),  
15 "Linking brand equity to customer equity", *Journal of service research*, Vol 9. No  
16 2. pp 125-138.  
17  
18 Lexmark (2016). *Customer engagement for trailblazers*. Retrieved from  
19 [http://www.kofax.com/~media/Files/Kofax/Go/customer-engagement-for-](http://www.kofax.com/~media/Files/Kofax/Go/customer-engagement-for-trailblazers-en.pdf)  
20 [trailblazers-en.pdf](http://www.kofax.com/~media/Files/Kofax/Go/customer-engagement-for-trailblazers-en.pdf).  
21  
22 Lievense, H. (2019), *Not sure about omnichannel e-commerce for B2B? 3 compelling*  
23 *arguments*. [https://www.sana-commerce.com/blog/not-sure-omnichannel-b2b-3-](https://www.sana-commerce.com/blog/not-sure-omnichannel-b2b-3-compelling-arguments/)  
24 [compelling-arguments/](https://www.sana-commerce.com/blog/not-sure-omnichannel-b2b-3-compelling-arguments/)  
25  
26 Lim, K. H., Sia, C. L., Lee, M. K. O., and Benbasat, I. (2006), "Do I trust you online, and  
27 if so, will I buy? An empirical study of two trust-building strategies", *Journal of*  
28 *management information systems*, Vol 23. No 2. pp 233-266.  
29  
30 Lindell, M. K., and Whitney, D. J. (2001), "Accounting for common method variance in  
31 cross-sectional research designs", *Journal of applied psychology*, Vol 86. No 1. pp  
32 114.  
33  
34 Liu, H., Jayawardhena, C., Dibb, S., and Ranaweera, C. (2019), "Examining the trade-off  
35 between compensation and promptness in eWOM-triggered service recovery: A  
36 restorative justice perspective", *Tourism Management*, Vol 75, pp. 381-392.  
37  
38 Liu, H., Jayawardhena, C., Osburg, V.S., Yoganathan, V. and Cartwright, S., (2021),  
39 "Social sharing of consumption emotion in electronic word of mouth (eWOM): A  
40 cross-media perspective", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 132, pp.208-220.  
41  
42 López, M., and Sicilia, M. (2014), "Determinants of E-WOM influence: the role of  
43 consumers' internet experience", *Journal of theoretical and applied electronic*  
44 *commerce research*, Vol 9. No 1. pp, 28-43.  
45  
46 Luo, Y. (2000), "Dynamic capabilities in international expansion", *Journal of world*  
47 *business*, Vol 35. No 4. Pp 355-378.  
48  
49 Madaleno, R., Wilson, H. and Palmer, R. (2007), "Determinants of customer satisfaction  
50 in a multi-channel B2B environment", *Total Quality Management and Business*  
51 *Excellence*, Vol 18. No 8. pp 915-925.  
52  
53 Manes, E., and Tchetchik, A. (2018), "The role of electronic word of mouth in reducing  
54 information asymmetry: An empirical investigation of online hotel  
55 booking", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol 85, pp. 185-196.  
56  
57 Mantrala, M. K., and Albers, S. (2012), *The impact of the internet on B2B sales force size*  
58 *and structure*. In Handbook of business-to-business marketing. Edward Elgar  
59 Publishing.  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Mathur, M. (2019). “Where is the security blanket? Developing social media marketing  
4 capability as a shield from perceived cybersecurity risk”, *Journal of Promotion*  
5 *Management*, Vol. 25 No. 2, pp. 200-224.  
6  
7 McColl-Kennedy, J. R., and Sparks, B. A. (2003), “Application of fairness theory to service  
8 failures and service recovery”, *Journal of Service Research*, Vol 5. No 3. pp 251-  
9 266.  
10  
11 Meire, M., Hewett, K., Ballings, M., Kumar, V. and Van del Poel, D. (2019), “The Role of  
12 Marketer-Generated Content in Customer Engagement Marketing”, *Journal of*  
13 *Marketing*, Vol 83. No 6. pp 21-42.  
14  
15 Mitreğa, M., (2019), “Dynamic marketing capability–refining the concept and applying it  
16 to company innovations’, *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, Vol 35  
17 No 2, pp.193-203.  
18  
19 Mitreğa, M., Siemieniako, D., Makkonen, H., Kubacki, K. and Bresciani, S., (2021),  
20 “Versatile capabilities for growth in the context of transforming countries:  
21 Evidence from Polish manufacturing companies”, *Journal of Business Research*,  
22 Vol 134, pp.156-170.  
23  
24 Molinari, L. K., Abratt, R., and Dion, P. (2008), “Satisfaction, quality and value and effects  
25 on repurchase and positive word-of-mouth behavioral intentions in a B2B services  
26 context”, *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol 22. No 5. pp 363-373.  
27  
28 Montoya-Weiss, M. M., Voss, G. B., and Grewal, D. (2003), “Determinants of online  
29 channel use and overall satisfaction with a relational, multichannel service  
30 provider”, *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 31. No 4. pp 448-  
31 458.  
32  
33 Morgan, R. M., and Hunt, S. (1994), “The commitment-trust theory of relationship  
34 marketing”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 58. No 3. pp, 20–38.  
35  
36 Morgan, N.A., Feng, H. and Whitler, K.A., (2018), “Marketing capabilities in international  
37 marketing”, *Journal of International Marketing*, Vol. 26 No. 1, pp.61-95.  
38  
39 Mu, J. (2015), “Marketing capability, organizational adaptation and new product  
40 development performance”, *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol 49, pp 151-  
41 166.  
42  
43 Nam, H. and Kannan, P.K., (2020), “Digital environment in global markets: Cross-cultural  
44 implications for evolving customer journeys”, *Journal of International*  
45 *Marketing*, Vol. 28 No. 1 pp.28-47.  
46  
47 Narver, J. C. and Slater, S.F. (1990). “The Effect of a Market Orientation on Business  
48 Profitability”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol 20, pp20–35.  
49  
50 Nasr, L., Burton, J., Gruber, T., and Kitshoff, J. (2014), “Exploring the impact of customer  
51 feedback on the well-being of service entities: A TSR perspective”, *Journal of*  
52 *Service Management*, Vol 25. No 4. pp 531-555.  
53  
54 Nguyen, B., Chang, K. and Simkin, L., (2014), “Customer engagement planning emerging  
55 from the “individualist-collectivist”-framework: An empirical examination in  
56 China and UK”, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 41-65.  
57  
58 Omnisend (2020). *The 2020 omnichannel statistics report*. Available at:  
59 <https://www.omnisend.com/blog/omnichannel-statistics/>  
60

- 1  
2  
3 García Ortiz, P.A., Calderón García, H., Fayos Gardó, T. and Roa Vivas, N. (2021),  
4 "Dynamic marketing capabilities as drivers of international channel integration: is  
5 this true for Latin American SMEs?", *Qualitative Market Research*, Vol. 24 No. 5,  
6 pp. 653-682.  
7
- 8 Ou, O. Y. and Verhoef, P.C. (2017), "The impact of positive and negative emotions on  
9 loyalty intentions and their interactions with customer equity drivers", *Journal of*  
10 *Business Research*. Vol 80, pp. 106-115.  
11
- 12 Pansari, A. and Kumar, V. (2017), "Customer engagement: the construct, antecedents, and  
13 consequences", *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol 45, pp. 294-311.  
14
- 15 Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A., and Malhotra, A. (2005), "E-S-QUAL: A Multiple-Item  
16 Scale for Assessing Electronic Service Quality", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol  
17 7. No 3. pp 213-233. doi: 10.1177/1094670504271156  
18
- 19 Persson, A., and Ryals, L. (2010). "Customer assets and customer equity: Management and  
20 measurement issues". *Marketing Theory*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp 417-436.  
21
- 22 Peteraf, M., Di Stefano, G. and Verona, G., (2013), "The elephant in the room of dynamic  
23 capabilities: Bringing two diverging conversations together". *Strategic*  
24 *Management Journal*, Vol 34. No 12. pp 1389-1410.  
25
- 26 Pfajfar, G., Shoham, A., Brenčič, M. M., Koufopoulos, D., Katsikeas, C. S., and Mitreğa,  
27 M. (2019), "Power source drivers and performance outcomes of functional and  
28 dysfunctional conflict in exporter–importer relationships". *Industrial Marketing*  
29 *Management*, Vol. 78, pp 213-226.  
30
- 31 Picot-Coupey, K., Huré, E., and Piveteau, L. (2016), "Channel design to enrich customers'  
32 shopping experiences: Synchronizing clicks with bricks in an omni-channel  
33 perspective – the Direct Optic case", *International Journal of Retail & Distribution*  
34 *Management*, Vol 44. No 3. pp 336-368. doi: 10.1108/IJRDM-04-2015-0056  
35
- 36 Pornpitakpan, C. (2004), "The persuasiveness of source credibility: A critical review of  
37 five decades' evidence:", *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, Vol 34. No 2. pp  
38 243-281.  
39
- 40 Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2008), "Asymptotic and resampling strategies for  
41 assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models", *Behavior*  
42 *research methods*, Vol 40. No 3. pp 879-891.  
43
- 44 Quach, S., Barari, M., Moudrý, D. V., and Quach, K. (2020), "Service integration in  
45 omnichannel retailing and its impact on customer experience", *Journal of Retailing*  
46 *and Consumer Services*, 102267.  
47
- 48 Rigby, D. (2011), "The future of shopping", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol 89. No 12. pp  
49 65-76.  
50
- 51 Rippé, C. B., Weisfeld-Spolter, S., Yurova, Y., and Sussan, F. (2015). "Is there a global  
52 multichannel consumer?. *International Marketing Review*", Vol. 32 No 3/4 pp. 329  
53 - 349  
54
- 55 Roy, S. K., Shekhar, V., Lassar, W. M., and Chen, T. (2018), "Customer engagement  
56 behaviors: The role of service convenience, fairness and quality", *Journal of*  
57 *Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol 44, pp. 293-304.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Roy, S., Sreejesh, S., and Bhatia, S. (2019), "Service quality versus service experience: An  
4 empirical examination of the consequential effects in B2B services", *Industrial*  
5 *Marketing Management*, Vol 82, pp. 52-69.  
6  
7 Rust, R. T., Lemon, K. N., and Zeithaml, V. A. (2001). *Driving customer equity: Linking*  
8 *customer lifetime value to strategic marketing decisions*: Marketing Science  
9 Institute, Working Report 01-108  
10  
11 Rust, R. T., Lemon, K. N., and Zeithaml, V. A. (2004), "Return on marketing: Using  
12 customer equity to focus marketing strategy", *Journal of marketing*, Vol 68. No 1.  
13 pp 109-127.  
14  
15 Rust, R.T., Lemon, K.N. and Zeithaml, V.A., (2006), *Measuring customer equity and*  
16 *calculating marketing ROI. Handbook of Marketing Research*, Thousand Oaks,  
17 CA: Sage, pp.588-601.  
18  
19 Scuotto, V., Nespoli, C., Palladino, R. and Safraou, I. (2022), "Building dynamic  
20 capabilities for international marketing knowledge management", *International*  
21 *Marketing Review*, Vol. 39 No. 3, pp. 586-601. [https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-03-](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-03-2021-0108)  
22 [2021-0108](https://doi.org/10.1108/IMR-03-2021-0108)  
23  
24 Saghiri, S., Wilding, R., Mena, C., and Bourlakis, M. (2017), "Toward a three-dimensional  
25 framework for omni-channel", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 77 pp 53-67.  
26  
27 Sashi, C.M. (2012), "Customer engagement, buyer-seller relationship and social media",  
28 *Management Decision*, Vol 50. No 2. pp 253-272.  
29  
30 Schilke, O., Hu, S. and Helfat, C.E., (2018), "Quo vadis, dynamic capabilities? A content-  
31 analytic review of the current state of knowledge and recommendations for future  
32 research". *Academy of management annals*, Vol 12 No. 1, pp.390-439.  
33  
34 Schoenbachler, D.D. and Gordon, G.L. (2002), "Multi-channel shopping: understanding  
35 what drives channel choice", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp.  
36 42-53.  
37  
38 See-To, E. W. K., and Ho, K. K. W. (2014), "Value co-creation and purchase intention in  
39 social network sites: The role of electronic Word-of-Mouth and trust: A theoretical  
40 analysis" *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 31, 182–189.  
41  
42 Šerić, M., Ozretić-Došen, Đ. and Škare, V., (2020), "How can perceived consistency in  
43 marketing communications influence customer-brand relationship  
44 outcomes", *European Management Journal*, Vol. 38 No. 2, pp.335-343.  
45  
46 Shankar, V., Venkatesh, A., Hofacker, C., and Naik, P. (2010), "Mobile marketing in the  
47 retailing environment: current insights and future research avenues", *Journal of*  
48 *interactive marketing*, Vol. 38 No.2. pp. 111-120.  
49  
50 Sheth, J.N. (2021), "Future of brick and mortar retailing: how will it survive and thrive?,"  
51 *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, Vol. 29 No.7. pp 598-607.  
52  
53 Sheth, J.N. (2020), "Borderless media: Rethinking international marketing", *Journal of*  
54 *International Marketing*, Vol. 28, No.1, pp.3-12.  
55  
56 Shih, W.C. (2020), "Global supply chains in a post-pandemic world", *Harvard Business*  
57 *Review*, Vol. 98, No. 5, pp.82-89.  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., and Ringle,  
4 C. M. (2019), "Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using  
5 PLSpredict", *European Journal of Marketing*. Vol. 53 No.11.  
6  
7 Silverman, G. (2011), *Secrets of word-of-mouth marketing: how to trigger exponential*  
8 *sales through runaway word of mouth*. Amacom books.  
9  
10 Smith, J. S., Karwan, K. R., and Markland, R. E. (2009), "An empirical examination of the  
11 structural dimensions of the service recovery system", *Decision Sciences*, Vol. 40  
12 No.1. pp 165-186.  
13  
14 Solem, B.A.A., Fredriksen, J.I. and Sørenbø, Ø., (2022), "Dynamic capabilities in the  
15 realisation of omnichannel retailing". *International Journal of Retail &*  
16 *Distribution Management*, (ahead-of-print).  
17  
18 Sousa, R., and Voss, C. A. (2006), "Service quality in multichannel services employing  
19 virtual channels", *Journal of Service Research*, Vol. 8 No.4. pp 356-371.  
20  
21 Spyropoulou, S., Katsikeas, C. S., Skarmeas, D., and Morgan, N. A. (2018), "Strategic goal  
22 accomplishment in export ventures: The role of capabilities, knowledge, and  
23 environment", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, Vol. 46 No. 1,  
24 pp109–129  
25  
26  
27 Srivastava, M. and Sivaramakrishnan, S. (2022) "A bibliometric analysis of the structure  
28 and trends of customer engagement in the context of international  
29 marketing", *International Marketing Review*, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 836-851.  
30  
31 St Amant, K. (2008), "Content management in an international outsourcing framework: A  
32 perspective for technical communicators". *Content management: Bridging the gap*  
33 *between theory and practice*, pp. 161-179.  
34  
35 Stanko, M. A., Molina-Castillo, F. J., and Munuera-Aleman, J. L. (2012), "Speed to market  
36 for innovative products: blessing or curse?", *Journal of Product Innovation*  
37 *Management*, Vol. 29 No.5. pp 751-765.  
38  
39 Sweeney, J. C., and Soutar, G. N. (2001), "Consumer perceived value: The development  
40 of a multiple item scale", *Journal of retailing*, Vol. 77 No. 2 pp 203-220.  
41  
42 Teece, D. J. (2007), "Explicating dynamic capabilities: the nature and microfoundations of  
43 (sustainable) enterprise performance", *Strategic management journal*, Vol. 28  
44 No.13 pp 1319-1350.  
45  
46 Teece, D. J. (2014), "The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary  
47 capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms", *The Academy of Management*  
48 *Perspectives*, Vol. 28 No.4. pp 328-352.  
49  
50 Teece, D., Peteraf, M., and Leih, S. (2016), "Dynamic Capabilities and Organizational  
51 Agility: Risk, Uncertainty, and Strategy in the Innovation Economy", *California*  
52 *Management Review*, Vol. 58 No.4. pp 13-35. doi: 10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.13  
53  
54 Teece, D.J., Pisano, G. and Shuen, A., (1997), "Dynamic capabilities and strategic  
55 management", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 18, No, 7, pp.509-533.  
56  
57  
58  
59

- 1  
2  
3 Trim, P. R., and Lee, Y. I. (2019), "The role of B2B marketers in increasing cyber security  
4 awareness and influencing behavioral change", *Industrial Marketing Management*,  
5 Vol. 83, pp 224-238  
6
- 7 Verbeke, A. (2022). Three simple guidelines to make the dynamic capabilities paradigm  
8 actionable in international business research. *Journal of International Business*  
9 *Studies*, 53(4), 573-582.  
10
- 11 Verhoef, P. C. (2021), "Omni-channel retailing: some reflections", *Journal of Strategic*  
12 *Marketing*, Vol. 29 No. 7. pp 608-616, DOI: 10.1080/0965254X.2021.1892163  
13
- 14 Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P., and Inman, J. J. (2015), "From multi-channel retailing to omni-  
15 channel retailing: Introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing",  
16 *Journal of Retailing*, Vol. 91No. 2. pp 174-181.  
17
- 18 Villanueva, J., Yoo, S., and Hanssens, D. M. (2008), "The impact of marketing-induced  
19 versus word-of-mouth customer acquisition on customer equity growth", *Journal*  
20 *of Marketing Research*, Vol. 45 No. 1. pp 48-59.  
21
- 22 Vivek, S. D., Beatty, S. E., and Morgan, R. M. (2012), "Customer engagement: Exploring  
23 customer relationships beyond purchase", *Journal Of Marketing Theory And*  
24 *Practice*, Vol. 20 No. 2. pp 122-146.  
25
- 26 Vogel, V., Evanschitzky, H., and Ramaseshan, B. (2008), "Customer equity drivers and  
27 future sales", *Journal of marketing*, Vol. 72 No. 6. pp 98-108.  
28
- 29 Walker, B. (2014). *For B2B, Omnichannel is not just a buzzword, it means business*. Forbes  
30 Magazine, [https://www.forbes.com/sites/brianwalker/2014/12/11/for-b2b-  
31 omnichannel-is-not-just-a-buzzword-it-means-business/](https://www.forbes.com/sites/brianwalker/2014/12/11/for-b2b-omnichannel-is-not-just-a-buzzword-it-means-business/)  
32
- 33 Walsh, G., Mitchell, V. W., Jackson, P. R., and Beatty, S. E. (2009), "Examining the  
34 antecedents and consequences of corporate reputation: A customer  
35 perspective", *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 20 No. 2. pp 187-203.  
36
- 37 Wang, H., Kim, K. H., Ko, E., and Liu, H. (2016), "Relationship between service quality  
38 and customer equity in traditional markets", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 69  
39 No. 9. pp 3827-3834.  
40
- 41 Wedel, M., and Kannan, P. K. (2016), "Marketing analytics for data-rich environments",  
42 *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 80 No. 6. pp 97-121.  
43
- 44 Wilson, H., and Daniel, E. (2007), "The multi-channel challenge: A dynamic capability  
45 approach", *Industrial Marketing Management*, Vol. 36 No. 1. pp 10-20.  
46 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2006.06.015>.  
47
- 48 Wu, J.F., and Chang, Y. P. (2016), "Multichannel integration quality, online perceived  
49 value and online purchase intention: A perspective of land-based retailers",  
50 *Internet Research*, Vol. 26 No. 5. pp 1228-1248. doi: 10.1108/IntR-04-2014-0111.  
51
- 52 Yi, Y., and Gong, T. (2013), "Customer value co-creation behavior: Scale development  
53 and validation", *Journal of Business research*, Vol. 66 No. 9. pp 1279-1284.  
54
- 55 Youssef, Y. M. A., Johnston, W. J., AbdelHamid, T. A., Dakrory, M. I., and Seddick, M.  
56 G. S. (2018), "A customer engagement framework for a B2B context", *Journal of*  
57 *Business & Industrial Marketing* Vol. 33 No. 1. pp 145-152.  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Zahra, S. A., Petricevic, O., & Luo, Y. (2022). Toward an action-based view of dynamic  
4 capabilities for internationalbusiness. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 1-  
5 18.  
6  
7 Zeithaml, V. A. (1988), “Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value: a means-end  
8 model and synthesis of evidence”, *The Journal of marketing*, 2-22.  
9  
10 Zhang, J., Farris, P. W., Irvin, J. W., Kushwaha, T., Steenburgh, T. J., and Weitz, B. A.  
11 (2010), “Crafting integrated multichannel retailing strategies”, *Journal of*  
12 *Interactive Marketing*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 168–180.  
13  
14 Zhang, R., Li, G., Wang, Z. and Wang H. (2016), “Relationship value based on customer  
15 equity influences on online group buying customer loyalty”, *Journal of Business*  
16 *Research*, Vol 69, pp. 3820-3826.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Appendix 1: Survey Measures

2nd-order constructs	Type	1st-order constructs	Type	Item labels	Items	Sources
Content management capability	Molecular	Information consistency	Reflective	INFO1	CBA provides consistent information of their service features across multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	(Hossain <i>et al.</i> 2020; Lee and Kim 2010; Oh and Teo 2010; Wu and Chang 2016)
				INFO2	CBA provides consistent information of their service fees across multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	
				INFO3	CBA provides consistent information of their promotional offers across multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	
				INFO4	Overall, information across CBA's multiple channels is consistent	
	Source trustworthiness	Reflective		TRUS1	CBA delivers prompt service through its multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	(Chih <i>et al.</i> 2020; Kim <i>et al.</i> 2010)
				TRUS2	CBA delivers accurate service through its multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	
				TRUS3	CBA's multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch) are reliable	
				TRUS4	I trust CBA when availing services through its multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	
	Endorsement	Reflective		ENDO1	In our organization, big data analysts and line people in service systems meet frequently to discuss important issues both formally and informally.	(Chih <i>et al.</i> 2020; Lim <i>et al.</i> 2006)
				ENDO2	In our organization, big data analysts and line people from various service systems frequently attend cross-functional meetings.	
				ENDO3	In our organization, big data analysts and line people in service systems coordinate their efforts harmoniously.	
				ENDO4	In our organization, information is widely shared between big data analysts and line people so that those who make decisions or perform jobs have access to all available know-how in service systems.	
Concerns management capability	Molecular	Customer privacy	Reflective	PRIV1	My personal information across multiple channels of CBA (website, mobile app and physical branch) is protected	(Akter <i>et al.</i> 2013; Kim <i>et al.</i> 2012; Parasuraman
				PRIV2	My personal information across multiple channels of CBA (website, mobile app and physical branch) is not shared with others	

				PRIV3	My financial information across multiple channels of CBA (website, mobile app and physical branch) is not shared with others	<i>et al.</i> 2005)
		Customer security	Reflective	SECU1	I feel totally safe while providing personal/financial information about myself over CBA's multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch):	(Bansal and Zahedi 2014)
				SECU2	The level of my concern that someone would misuse my personal/financial information which I transmit on CBA's multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch) is:	
				SECU3	The level of my concern that CBA's multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch) is not a safe place to transmit personal/financial information is:	
				SECU4	CBA's multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch) have adequate security features	
				SECU5	Overall, I feel secure about using CBA's multiple channels (website, mobile app and physical branch)	
		Service Recovery	Reflective	RECO1	If there is any service problem, I can use multiple channels of CBA to report service failure (e.g., website, telephone, mobile app, in person)	(Smith <i>et al.</i> 2009)
				RECO2	CBA provides the means whereby I can voice my complaints	
				RECO3	I am aware about the channels through which I can report service issues to CBA	
				RECO4	It is easy for me to notify CBA about problems I encounter through any channels	
Positive WOM	NA	NA	Reflective	PWOM1	If asked, I would say positive things about CBA's services to other people	(Yi and Gong 2013)
				PWOM2	If asked, I would recommend CBA's services to other people	
				PWOM3	If asked, I would encourage other people to use CBA's services	
Customer engagement	NA	NA	Reflective	ENGA1	CBA has the ability to provide reliable and timely responses to customers.	(Guesalaga 2016; Mu 2015; Park <i>et al.</i> 2010)
				ENGA2	CBA is able to emphasize on a customer from the customer's point of view.	
				ENGA3	CBA has the ability to connect and care to customers' circumstances sincerely.	
				ENGA4	We are able to immerse in customer reality.	
Customer Equity	Molecular	Brand Equity	Reflective	BREQ1	CBA has a strong brand image	(Vogel <i>et al.</i> 2008)
				BREQ2	CBA has an attractive brand image	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

	ar	Relationship Equity	Reflective	BREQ3	CBA has a unique brand image	(Rust <i>et al.</i> 2004)		
				BREQ4	CBA has a likable brand image			
				REEQ1	The preferential treatment I get from CBA is important to me			
				REEQ2	CBA knows a lot of information about me			
						REEQ3	CBA identifies me as a valuable customer	
						REEQ4	I would like to continue my relationship with CBA	
				Value Equity	Reflective	ENDO1	Using multiple channels of CBA saves my time	(Sweeney and Soutar 2001)
						ENDO2	Using multiple channels of CBA provides me value for money	
						ENDO3	Using multiple channels of CBA provides me convenience	
						ENDO4	Using multiple channels of CBA provides me pleasure	