University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology

Entomology, Department of

7-16-2023

Measuring Bee Effects on Seed Traits of Hybrid Sunflower

Gary J. Brewer

Kentaro Miwa

Kathy Hanford

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub

Part of the Entomology Commons

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.





Article Measuring Bee Effects on Seed Traits of Hybrid Sunflower

Gary J. Brewer ^{1,*}, Kentaro Miwa ¹ and Kathryn Hanford ²

- ¹ Department of Entomology, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA
- ² Department of Statistics, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE 68588, USA; kathy.hanford@unl.edu
- * Correspondence: gbrewer2@unl.edu

Abstract: In hybrid sunflower, bee pollination can improve productivity, but the contribution of bees to productivity may be over or underestimated. To estimate bee effects (seed trait gains from exposure to bees during anthesis), single capitula are commonly covered with a porous material to exclude bees. However, depending on the exclosure porosity, estimates of the magnitude of bee effects will vary. In two studies, porosity size and bee effect gains in two sunflower types were tested. In the exclosure study, Delnet exclosures severely reduced seed set and exclosures with larger porosities and had smaller and similar effects. However, since a few small bees penetrated the largest porosity size tested, exclosures with porosity sizes <7 mm are recommended. With an exclosure porosity of 5×5 mm, the estimated bee effect contribution to the yield was 323 kg per hectare. Effects of exclosures on seed traits were similar in the oilseed and confectionary hybrids tested. Insecticide use did not affect seed traits but did lower insect damage to seeds. Bees from three families, mostly Apidae, were collected while foraging on sunflower. In summary, we recommend the use of exclosures with porosities of about 3 to 5 mm to avoid over or underestimating bee effects. And we recommend holistic insect management for sunflower cropping systems that balances the benefits of bee effects on seed traits with management of pest insects.

Keywords: pollination ecology; exclusion cages; yield; seed set; integrated pest management



Citation: Brewer, G.J.; Miwa, K.; Hanford, K. Measuring Bee Effects on Seed Traits of Hybrid Sunflower. *Plants* **2023**, *12*, 2662. https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants12142662

Academic Editors: Fajun Chen and Kleber Del-Claro

Received: 4 June 2023 Revised: 10 July 2023 Accepted: 14 July 2023 Published: 16 July 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The sunflower, *Helianthus annuus* L., (Asterales: Asteraceae) is an important annual crop in the United States and globally [1]. Sunflowers are attractive to various insects, including pest and beneficial species. Numerous bees forage sunflowers during anthesis [2] and are important in sunflower pollination. Although wild sunflower is self-incompatible [3,4], sunflower hybrids have been bred to be self-compatible [5] and can set seed without insect cross-pollination [6,7].

To better understand the pollination ecology of hybrid sunflower, a variety of approaches, mainly bee exclusion methods, have been used to investigate bee effects, the result of bee cross-pollination on seed variables. Moreti et al. [8] used multi-plant cages and single capitula (sunflower head) bag exclosure techniques to control bee access to flowering sunflower and found that seed number and weight were increased by bee access. Vishwakarma and Ghaatak [9] found that multi-plant cage studies with honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L., Hymenoptera: Apidae) produced the highest yield compared to other pollination systems. Most studies performed using exclusion techniques found that seed productivity was increased when honey bees or wild bees had access to sunflower heads [8,10–14]. In a landscape study, Bartual et al. [15] found that sunflower seed set was increased when surrounding areas had an abundance of honey bee hives and early flowering crops. Seed oil concentration, a yield component important to growers of oilseed sunflower, has also been reported to increase when sunflowers were pollinated by bees [15–19].

However, other studies have reported none or variable bee effects with sunflower hybrids open to bees. Astiz et al. [20] tested two oilseed sunflower hybrids using a bag technique and did not observe yield improvement associated with pollinators, and inferred

that the test hybrids had high self-compatibility. In another bag exclusion study, Mallinger and Prasifka [21] investigated bee effects on the yield of confection sunflower hybrids and found that only five of the fifteen hybrids tested had yield gains when open to bees. The variation in bee visitation rates and degree of self-fertility they observed may partly explain why only some hybrids expressed a yield gain with bee exposure. Similarly, a 50-hybrid study found that most but not all hybrids tested had increases in seed set, seed weight, and seed oil percentage when open to bee pollination [22].

Besides the value bees bring to sunflower production, bees also benefit from the interaction, as sunflower can be a valuable forage crop. Honey bees are the only managed bees used in sunflower [23] and can be the main pollinator found in commercial sunflower fields [24,25]. However, in other studies, wild bees were more abundant than honey bees on sunflower [21].

A diversity of wild bee species forage sunflower to collect pollen and nectar [2]. Bombini and Anthophorini bees (Family Apidae) are commonly found in commercial sunflower in North Dakota [25]. Insects other than bees also pollinate sunflowers [26–29]. Although wind is not considered a major factor in sunflower pollination [26,30], in some studies wind pollination has been estimated to contribute from 20 to 27% of seed set [31,32].

Insect seed predator guilds also directly affect sunflower seed productivity by eating or killing seeds [33,34]. In North Dakota and Nebraska USA, where our studies were conducted, the major seed predators that co-occur with bees on flowering sunflower are the red sunflower seed weevil (*Smicronyx fulvus* LeConte, Coleoptera: Curculionidae), the sunflower moth (*Homoeosoma electellum* (Hulst), Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), and the banded sunflower moth (*Cochylis hospes* Walsingham (Lepidoptera: Cochylidae) [24].

Cultural and biological controls can be used to manage insect seed predators in sunflower, but insecticides are used almost exclusively and are effective when used appropriately [24,35,36]. To manage seed insects, insecticides are applied during anthesis [36]. A potential outcome of insecticide use can be a decline in bee population levels and the reduction or loss of the benefits of bee cross-pollination, i.e., bee effects [37,38].

Because honey bees and wild bees co-occur on sunflower during anthesis and because previous work has documented that bee populations can increase commercial sunflower yield, accurate assessment of bee effects in various locations and production systems is needed. The objective of this study was to compare exclosure material porosity to improve accuracy in estimation of bee effects. Anticipated outcomes include more accurate estimates of bee effects and the development of sunflower production guidelines that include estimation of bee effect gains and promoting protection and conservation of bee populations.

2. Results

2.1. Insects

Honey bees and endemic populations of wild bees and seed feeding predators were present in both studies. Banded sunflower moth and red sunflower seed weevil were the major seed feeding insects in the exclosure study, and in the hybrid comparison study, sunflower moths were abundant and small numbers of red sunflower seed weevils were present.

Bees from three families were collected foraging on capitula with most species in the family Apidae (Table 1). Representative voucher specimens of the bees collected were deposited in the University of Nebraska State Museum.

Families	Species
Andrenidae	Protandrena spp.
Apidae	Apis mellifera L. Bombus griseocollis (DeGeer) Bombus impatiens Cresson Bombus pensylvanicus (DeGeer) Diadasia enavata (Cresson) Melissodes agilis Cresson Melissodes bimaculata (Lepeletier) Melissodes coreopsis Robertson Melissodes trinodis Robertson Svastra obliqua (Say) Trinoche aguar
Halictidae	Agapostemon spp. Dieunomia spp. Halictus ligatus

Table 1. Bee families and species identifications of specimens collected by hand from individual capitula in test plots.

2.2. Bee Exclusion Study

Mean number of seeds per capitula increased as access to bees increased. Similarly, yield (grams/capitula) increased significantly with increasing bee access (Table 2). Delnet[®] pollination bags with the smallest porosity size of the exclosures tested and the most restricted bee access resulted in the largest reductions in seed set and yield.

Table 2. Effect of single plant bee exclosures with porosities providing variable bee access to florets during anthesis on mean (SE) of sunflower seed traits and bee effect gains per capitula and ANOVA statistics.

Exclosure Treatment	Porosity (mm)	Degree of Bee Access ¹	N ²	Seed Set	Yield (Grams)	<u>Bee Effect Gai</u> Seed Set	<u>ns ³</u> Yield
Delnet [®]	0.0-0.14	Excluded	10	826 (51) c	57.1 (3.6) b	542 (70)	18.1 (5.0)
Mesh-1	5×5	None seen	7	1136 (61) b	66.6 (4.3) ab	232 (78)	8.6 (5.5)
Mesh-2	10 imes 7	Limited	10	1090 (51) b	76.1 (3.6) a	278 (70)	-0.9(5.0)
None	-	Unlimited	11	1368 (48) a	75.2 (3.5) a	-	-
F				19.97	6.04		
df				3.34	3.34		
р				< 0.0001	0.0021		

Means in a column with different letters are significantly different at p = 0.05 alpha level, based on Tukey's multiple comparison adjustment. ¹ Bee access to sunflower capitula during anthesis. None seen—no bees observed accessing florets through the mesh material. Limited—a few small bees observed inside the exclosure. ² N—number of harvested replicates per treatment. ³ Bee Effect Gains. Means of Unlimited bee access—means from exclosures (SE of the difference).

The bee effect gains for the Mesh-1 exclosure were increases of 232 seeds (1368 minus 1136) and 8.6 g (75.2 minus 66.6) per capitula. Using the bee effect yield gain of 8.6 g from the Mesh-1 exclosure and assuming a plant population of approximately 37,500 per hectare, the yield increase would be 323 kg per hectare. At market prices of 0.49 to 0.53 USD per kg, bee effect gains would be valued from 158 to 170 USD per hectare.

No bees were observed when accessing florets through the Delnet[®] or Mesh-1 exclosures during visual observations. However, a few small bees were able to penetrate the Mesh-2 exclosures.

2.3. Hybrid Study

Because of the high sunflower moth populations in the hybrid study, plants treated with insecticide were sprayed three times a week throughout the flowering period. Insecticide use did not cause observable effects on bee visitation, as bees were present on heads the day after application. Mesh bags were largely effective in excluding bees, although, some small bees, such as *Halictus* spp., were occasionally found inside the mesh bags. Insects smaller than bees were not excluded by the mesh bags.

Exclosure use reduced seed set and yield for both hybrids. However, insecticide use was less consistent and improved seed set only for the oilseed hybrid. Insecticide use did reduce insect injury to a small extent for both hybrids. Yield per capitula was highest when exclosures were not used and was unaffected by insecticide use. However, yield adjusted to a per area basis (cm²) was increased by insecticide use (Table 3) suggesting that yield per capitula area may be a more sensitive measure than yield per capitula.

Table 3. Main and interaction effects of bee exclosure and insecticide treatments on LSmeans of seed traits per capitula in a 2008 Nebraska, USA study of two sunflower hybrids and ANOVA *p*-values.

					Insect					
Ex	I	Set ²	(g)	(cm ²)	/(cm ²)	Oil %	Injury ³			
Main Effects		Mycogen 838	A (confection hy	brid)						
No		1074 a	126 a	350	3.1 a	28.3 a	11.a			
Yes		945 b	114 b	362	2.6 b	25.0 b	20.0 b			
	No	975	119	357	2.7 b	28.2 b	17.1 b			
	Yes	1044	121	355	2.9 a	25.2 a	13.9 a			
Main Effect SEM		33.4	4.5	11.1	0.05	0.40	0.87			
Interaction Eff	ects									
No	Yes	1113	130	352	3.2	26.6	9.0			
No	No	1035	123	348	3.0	30.1	13.0			
Yes	Yes	976	111	358	2.7	23.8	18.9			
Yes	No	914	116	365	2.5	26.3	21.2			
Interaction SE	М	45.9	5.9	15.1	0.07	0.46	1.17			
Sources of Var	iation <i>p</i> -values	<i>p</i> -values	<i>p</i> -values							
Exclosure		0.0067	0.0251	0.4397	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Insecticde		0.1276	0.7968	0.9076	0.0015	< 0.0001	0.0078			
$\mathrm{Ex} \times \mathrm{I}$		0.8618	0.2542	0.7493	0.8514	0.1658	0.4608			
Main Effects		Seeds 2000 Blazer (oilseed hybrid)								
No		2182 a	129 a	367	5.9 a	40.7 a	15.2 a			
Yes		1598 b	106 b	346	4.6 b	37.6 b	28.4 b			
	No	1761 b	116	361	4.8 b	38.8	28.3 b			
	Yes	2019 a	120	352	5.7 a	39.5	15.3 a			
Main Effect SEM		82.8	4.2	11.0	0.15	0.46	1.19			
Interaction Effects										
No	Yes	2273	132	368	6.2	41.3	10.6 a			
No	No	2091	127	366	5.7	40.2	19.7 b			
Yes	Yes	1765	108	336	5.2	37.7	20.0 b			
Yes	No	1432	105	356	4.0	37.5	36.9 c			
Interaction SEM		116.8	5.7	15.5	0.21	0.52	1.66			
Sources of Variation		<i>p</i> -values								
Exclosure		< 0.0001	0.0002	0.1824	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001			
Insecticde		0.0341	0.4949	0.5499	0.0002	0.0573	< 0.0001			
$E\mathbf{x} imes \mathbf{I}$		0.5235	0.8898	0.4733	0.1105	0.2278	0.0229			

¹ Treatments Used. Ex—Exclosure, I—Insecticide. Refer to Table 4 for details. ² Seed Set—seeds per capitula.

³ Insect Injury—percent of seed per capitula with insect feeding injury. LSMeans within a column and for exposure

groups lacking a common letter differ ($p \le 0.05$). LSMeans without following letters are not significantly different. Numerator df = 1, error df = 32.

Table 4. Hybrid study insect exposure groups (treatments), protocol combinations, and study objectives in the Nebraska hybrid study.

Exposure Group	<u>Treatments Used ¹</u> Exclosure	Insecticide ²	Pollination and Other Objectives
Bees	No	Yes	Bee and wind pollination allowed. Reduced seed predator and other insect numbers.
No Bees	Yes	No	No bee pollination. Self-pollination and pollination by small insects and wind allowed. Seed predators present.
All	No	No	Normal, no exclusions. Pollination from all sources. Seed predators uninhibited.
None	Yes	Yes	Self and wind pollination. Reduced seed predation.

¹ Treatments used to create Exposure Group, bee exclosure and insecticide application. ² Pyrethroid (esfenvalerate).

Seed oil percentage, an important quality trait for oilseed sunflower was highest when bees had access to the sunflower florets, i.e., exclosures were not used. Despite multiple insecticide applications, insecticide use did not result in large decreases in insect damage to seeds, and exclosures increased insect injury to seed (Table 3).

Interaction effects were not significant, except for seed damage to the oilseed hybrid. However, for both hybrids, the interaction of no exclosure use (i.e., bees had access to florets), and insecticide applied numerically, increased seed set and reduced seed damage (Table 3). For hybrid Seeds 2000 Blazer, the oilseed hybrid, seed oil percentages were highest in the two exposure groups without exclosures (bee access allowed). For the other seed traits, notable interaction effects were not observed.

3. Discussion

3.1. Bee Exclusion Study

Wild sunflowers are dependent on insect pollination to set seed [3,4] but hybrid sunflower, as discussed earlier in this paper, has been bred to be self-compatible [6,7]. However, despite hybrid's self-compatibility, there is evidence that sunflower yield and other traits can be improved by the presence of bees [8,10–13], even though some reports show little or no benefit [20,21]. To understand how bees increase sunflower production, yield and other traits, potential bee effect gains need to be accurately determined.

Bee exclusion experiments are a type of cage study, and they risk biasing the results with confounding factors [39,40]. Luck et al. [41] urged that data from cage trials should be used with caution as they may not represent true experimental results [42]. Typically, bee effect studies use materials with sufficient porosity to allow transpiration and excess moisture to escape and that is small enough to prevent bees from accessing the sunflower florets. However, the porosity of the materials used is often not reported. This study reports on cage effects found in single capitula, bee exclusion studies in sunflower.

Seed set in hybrid sunflower results from a combination of inherent self-pollination, cross-pollination by bees and other insects, and by some wind pollination. Bee effect studies aim to remove the contribution of bee pollination and measure all other pollination sources. Trait differences (seed set, yield, etc.) between open and bee excluded capitula are the contribution bees make to the seed traits, i.e., bee effects.

Attempts to measure bee effects with exclosures with very small opening (porosity) sizes will exclude almost all potential insect cross-pollination and prevent wind pollination, thus, overestimating bee effects. However, if the exclosure material's porosity is too large, pollination by other insects and by wind will appropriately be included but some bee

pollination may also occur, thus bee effects could be underestimated. Ideally the exclosure material will prevent bee pollination, and allow pollination from smaller insects and wind pollination to occur.

To measure bee effects while allowing pollination by other sources, we recommend using single capitula mesh exclosures with openings of about 3×3 to $5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ in size. Exclosures in that size range were observed to prevent bee access to the sunflower florets and had sufficient porosity to allow small insects to pass through and to allow wind pollination to occur.

3.2. Hybrid Study

The hybrid study used exclusion cages of moderate porosity $(3 \text{ mm} \times 3 \text{ mm})$ to determine bee effects in an oilseed and a confectionary sunflower hybrid. Our results showed seed trait gains from cross-pollination and, similar to other studies, highlighted differences in how individual hybrids respond to the presence of bees during the anthesis period. We also note that sunflower responses to the concurrent presence of bee and seed predator guilds, with respect to sunflower seed traits, are not a simple gain or loss response but are, in fact, a complex interaction that needs further study.

Insecticide application did not provide the reduction in insect damaged seed, as was anticipated. The poor insecticide efficacy may have occurred because insecticides were applied to individual plants, not the whole plot. Thus, the general population of moths would largely escape treatment and be available to oviposit on the treated plants, and the resulting larvae would then increase the levels of insect injured seeds. Interestingly, exclosures increased insect injury to seed, perhaps by inhibiting natural enemy effectiveness.

Although sunflower hybrids have been bred to be self-compatible, bee effects can improve yield parameters, and production systems should be designed to promote bee activity in sunflower fields. Wild bees are efficient sunflower pollinators [43–45] but their populations are in decline [46,47] and may often be too low to ensure vigorous cross-pollination. Managed honey bees can be used to supplement pollination by wild bees, but honey bee pollination does not fully compensate for loss of wild bee diversity and abundance [48]. However, studies have shown that interactions between wild bees and honey bees increase overall pollination services in sunflower [43,49] and suggest a potential managed-wild bee pollination strategy for sunflower. Comprehensive pollination strategies being developed or promoted for soybean [50], oilseed rape [51], and field hedgerows [52] can serve as models for similar sunflower crop management strategies.

Our findings include a recommendation of using single plant exclosures with porosities of about 3 to 5 mm in diameter to best measure bee effects. We also emphasize the need for sunflower production systems that conserve and protect bee populations. Sunflower cropping systems that include robust bee conservation and protection practices will benefit from bee effects with increased sunflower seed productivity, and beekeepers will benefit by access to abundant foraging to increase honey production.

During the flowering period, sunflower insect management should integrate bee conservation and protection plans to enhance bee effect productivity gains that are balanced with efforts to reduce losses from insect seed predators. Additionally, sunflower integrated pest management programs need to evolve to incorporate bee effect gain values into dynamic economic thresholds. It is also clear that bee, seed predator, and sunflower interactions affecting productivity are complex and need continued investigation.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Foraging Bee Collections

Bees were collected by hand at 0900, 1200 and 1500 h 3 times per week during the flowering period to obtain a representative survey of bees foraging on sunflower. Specimens were identified to genus and to species (where possible) using keys and descriptions from several sources [53–57] and were then compared with specimens in the University of Nebraska State Museum to confirm identifications.

4.2. Seed Handling and Trait Assessments

At plant stage R9 (physiological maturity) [58], plants of each experimental unit (capitula) were hand-harvested and dried, and then hand-threshed and cleaned to remove debris and unfilled seeds (pericarps lacking a developed embryo). The remaining filled seeds were used to measure the following seed traits (response variables) on a per capitula basis in one or both of the field studies.

- Seed Set—filled seeds (rounded from side-to-side) with an obvious developing embryo internal to the pericarp (hull).
- Yield—grams of filled seeds.
- Capitula Area—calculated as area of a circle from two radial measures at right angles across the capitula.
- Yield per cm²—yield per area of capitula.
- Seed Oil—seed oil percentage was determined by a nuclear magnetic resonance analyzer, according to published methods [59].
- Insect Injury—proportion of filled seeds with evidence of insect feeding were determined according to Peng and Brewer [60].
- Bee Effect Gains were the difference of the None exclosure treatment minus the values of the exclosure treatments.

4.3. Bee Exclusion Study

Bag exclosures, providing various levels of access by bees, other insects, and windblown pollen to sunflower capitula from very limited to full access, were tested to determine effects on seed traits. Three porosity levels of single plant exclosures were established by securing bags with increasing pore or mesh sizes over sunflower capitula. Bag types were Delnet[®] pollination bags (DelStar Technologies Inc., Middletown, DE, USA, pore size 0.1 to 0.14 mm) and two types of plastic, mesh grocery bags with openings of about 5 mm × 5 mm and 10 mm × 7 mm. The meshes excluded most bees but allowed seed feeding insects to pass through, and increased airflow and wind pollination compared to Delnet[®] bags. Checks were capitula without an exclosure. Exclosures were installed on plants just prior to the start of anthesis and remained on the plants until anthesis ceased, typically about seven days.

Sixty plants at early floral bud stage were selected and tagged (15 randomly assigned per treatment) on one side of a commercial oilseed sunflower field near Kindred, North Dakota, USA. However, based on previous experience, we anticipated losses of replicates from causes such as breakage, capitula injury from abiotic and biotic causes, and uneven plant growth. At harvest, replicates per treatment ranged from 7 to 11 capitula.

4.4. Hybrid Study

Combinations of a bee exclusion bag (mesh opening 3 mm diameter) and insecticides were used to control the presence of bees and seed predators on sunflower capitula. See Table 4 for the combination treatment details. Treatments were randomly assigned to healthy plants, i.e., plants without visible damage or atypical phenotypes. Exclosures were placed on plants according to the methods in the Exclosure Study.

Testing was conducted at the University of Nebraska, Eastern Nebraska Research and Extension Center, NE, USA. Confection hybrid Mycogen 838A (Mycogen, Indianapolis, IN, USA), and Seeds 2000 Blazer, a NuSun oilseed variety (Seeds 2000, Breckenridge, MN, USA), were planted in 12 20 m rows. The test hybrids were selected as typical confection and oil seed hybrids without any prior knowledge of their responses to bee pollination.

The four insect exposure treatments specified in Table 4 were applied to plants in four central rows of the twelve row plots for both hybrid plots. Treatments were randomly assigned to four plants in a row. Thus, 16 plants per row were selected to receive treatment. Following the anthesis period, paper bags were placed on all test capitula to limit bird feeding on seeds. Exclosures were applied as in the Exclusion Study.

Several applications of a pyrethroid (esfenvalerate, 0.0033%, Ortho[®] Bug-B-Gon[®] Max[®] Garden & Landscape Insect Killer, ORTHO Group, Marysville, OH, USA) insecticide were applied throughout anthesis to remove seed feeding insects. Applications of the pyrethroid insecticides were made late in the afternoon to minimize direct application to bees. Pyrethroids are considered to have insect repellent activity, but bee populations did not seem to be diminished one day after treatment (Brewer, personal observation).

4.5. Statistical Analysis

SAS Version 9.4 for Windows was used for all data analyses. The GLIMMIX procedure was used for all analysis of variance (ANOVA). An alpha level of 0.05 was used for determining significance. The MEANS procedure was used to determine means and standard errors. For the exclosure insecticide factorial study, the seed traits were analyzed using a linear model with fixed exclosure, insecticide, and exclosure by insecticide effects and the random block effect. If the interaction effect was significant, then a Tukey–Kramer adjustment was used for comparison among the means. For the exclosure study, seed traits were analyzed using a linear model with a fixed exclosure effect. A Tukey–Kramer adjustment was used for comparison among the means.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.J.B. and K.M.; Methodology, G.J.B. and K.M.; Formal analysis, K.H.; Writing—original draft preparation, G.J.B. and K.M.; Writing—review and editing, G.J.B., K.M. and K.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Data is available on request from corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- USDA. ERS—Oil Crops Sector at a Glance. Available online: https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/crops/soybeans-and-oil-crops/ oil-crops-sector-at-a-glance/ (accessed on 23 June 2023).
- 2. Hurd, P.D., Jr.; LeBerge, W.E.; Linsley, E.G. Principal Sunflower Bees of North America with Emphasis on the Southwestern United States (Hymenoptera, Apoidea); Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology: Washington, DC, USA, 1980.
- Heiser, C.B. Variation and Subspeciation in the Common Sunflower, Helianthus Annuus. Am. Midl. Nat. 1954, 51, 287–305. [CrossRef]
- 4. Heiser, C.B.; Smith, D.M.; Clevenger, S.B.; Martin, W.C. The North American Sunflowers (Helianthus). *Mem. Torrey Bot. Club* **1969**, 22, 1–218.
- 5. Seiler, G. Variation in Agronomic and Morphological Characteristics of Several Populations of Wild Annual Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Helia* **1984**, *7*, 29–33.
- Fick, G. Selection for Self-Fertility and Oil Percentage in Development of Sunflower Hybrids. In Proceedings of the 8th International Sunflower Conference International Sunflower Association, Minneapolis, MN, USA, 23–27 July 1978; pp. 418–422.
- Luciano, A.; Kinman, M.L.; Smith, J.D. Heritability of Self-Incompatibility in the Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*). Crop Sci. 1965, 5, 529–532. [CrossRef]
- 8. de Moreti, A.C.C.C.; da Silva, R.M.B.; da Silva, E.C.A.; Alves, M.L.T.M.F.; Otsuk, I.P. Increase in the production of sunflower seeds (*Helianthus annuus*) by the action of pollinating insects. *Sci. Agric.* **1996**, *53*, 280–284. [CrossRef]
- Vishwakarma, R.; Ghatak, S.S. Impact of Foraging Activity of Pollinators Including Honeybees on Seed Yield of Sunflower. *Indian* J. Entomol. 2014, 76, 136–141.
- Freund, D.E.; Furgala, B. Effect of Pollination by Insects on the Seed Set and Yield of Ten Oilseed Sunflower Cultivars. *Am. Bee J.* 1982, 21, 648–652.
- 11. Paiva, G.J.; Terada, Y.; de Toledo, V.d.A.A. Seed Production and Germination of Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) in Three Pollination Systems. *Acta Sci. Anim. Sci.* 2003, *25*, 223–227.
- 12. DeGrandi-Hoffman, G.; Chambers, M. Effects of Honey Bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Foraging on Seed Set in Self-Fertile Sunflowers (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Environ. Entomol.* **2006**, *35*, 1103–1108. [CrossRef]
- 13. Oz, M.; Karasu, A.; Cakmak, I.; Goksoy, A.T.; Turan, Z.M. Effects of Honeybee (Apis Mellifera) Pollination on Seed Set in Hybrid Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *8*, 1037–1043.
- 14. Chambó, E.D.; Garcia, R.C.; de Oliveira, N.T.E.; Duarte-Júnior, J.B. Honey Bee Visitation to Sunflower: Effects on Pollination and Plant Genotype. *Sci. Agric.* 2011, *68*, 647–651. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bartual, A.M.; Bocci, G.; Marini, S.; Moonen, A.C. Local and Landscape Factors Affect Sunflower Pollination in a Mediterranean Agroecosystem. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0203990. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Furgala, B.; Noetzel, D.M.; Robinson, R.G. Observations on the Pollination of Hybrid Sunflowers. *Md. Agric. Exp. Stn. Spec. Publ.* 1979, 1, 45–48.
- 17. Krause, G.L.; Wilson, W.T. Honey Bee Pollination and Visitation Patterns on Hybrid Oilseed Sunflowers in Central Wyoming (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *J. Kans. Entomol. Soc.* **1981**, *54*, 75–82.
- 18. Langridge, D.F.; Goodman, R.D. Honeybee Pollination of Sunflower Cultivars Hysun 30 and Sunfola. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* **1981**, 21, 435–438. [CrossRef]
- 19. Mahmood, A.N.; Furgala, B. Effect of Pollination by Insects on Seed Oil Percentage of Oilseed Sunflower. *Am. Bee J.* **1983**, *54*, 75–81.
- Astiz, V.; Iriarte, L.; Flemmer, A.; Hernández, L. Self-Compatibility in Modern Hybrids of Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Fruit Set in Open and Self-Pollinated (Bag Isolated) Plants Grown in Two Different Locations. *Helia* 2011, 34, 129–138. [CrossRef]
- Mallinger, R.; Prasifka, J. Benefits of Insect Pollination to Confection Sunflowers Differ across Plant Genotypes. Crop Sci. 2017, 57, 3264–3272. [CrossRef]
- Jyoti, J.; Brewer, G. Effect of Honeybee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Pollination on Sunflower Hybrids. In Proceedings of the 21st Sunflower Research Workshop, Fargo, ND, USA, 14–15 January 1999.
- Parker, F.D. Sunflower Pollination: Abundance, Diversity, and Seasonality of Bees on Male-Sterile and Male-Fertile Cultivars. Environ. Entomol. 1981, 10, 1012–1017. [CrossRef]
- Charlet, L.D.; Brewer, G.J.; Franzmann, B.A. Sunflower Insects. In Sunflower Technology and Production; Agronomy Monographs; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 1997; Volume 35, pp. 183–261. ISBN 978-0-89118-227-6.
- 25. Sosa, M.A. Hymenoptera Pollinators of Sunflower in North Dakota. Master's Thesis, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, USA, 1988.
- 26. Radford, B.J.; Nielsen, R.G.H.; Rhodes, J.W. Agents of Pollination in Sunflower Crops on the Central Darling Downs, Queensland. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* **1979**, *19*, 565–569. [CrossRef]
- 27. du Toit, A.P. The Importance of Certain Insects as Pollinators of Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). *S. Afr. J. Plant Soil* **1990**, *7*, 159–162. [CrossRef]
- du Toit, A.P.; Holm, E. Diversity, Abundance and Behaviour of Diurnal Insects on Flowering Capitula of Commercial Sunflower in the Transvaal. S. Afr. J. Plant Soil 1992, 9, 34–36. [CrossRef]
- 29. Aytekin, A.M.; Çağatay, N. Observations on the Pollination of Sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). Mellifera 2008, 8, 2-7.
- 30. Low, A.; Pistillo, G. The Self Fertility Status of Some Sunflower Cultivars in Australia. Field Crops Res. 1986, 14, 233–245. [CrossRef]
- Putt, E.D. Observations on Morphological Characters and Flowering Processes in the Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Sci. Agric. 1940, 21, 167–179. [CrossRef]
- Perrot, T.; Gaba, S.; Roncoroni, M.; Gautier, J.-L.; Saintilan, A.; Bretagnolle, V. Experimental Quantification of Insect Pollination on Sunflower Yield, Reconciling Plant and Field Scale Estimates. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 2019, 34, 75–84. [CrossRef]
- 33. Janzen, D.H. Herbivores and the Number of Tree Species in Tropical Forests. Am. Nat. 1970, 104, 501–528. [CrossRef]
- 34. Lewis, O.T.; Gripenberg, S. Insect Seed Predators and Environmental Change. J. Appl. Ecol. 2008, 45, 1593–1599. [CrossRef]
- 35. Charlet, L.D.; Busacca, J.D. Insecticidal Control of Banded Sunflower Moth, Cochylis Hospes (Lepidoptera: Cochylidae), Larvae at Different Sunflower Growth Stages and Dates of Planting in North Dakota. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **1986**, *79*, 648–650. [CrossRef]
- Oseto, C.Y.; Burr, W.F. Timing Insecticide Applications for Control of the Red Sunflower Seed Weevil (Coleoptera; Curculionidae) on Cultivated Sunflower. J. Agric. Entomol. 1990, 7, 337–341.
- 37. Jyothi, J.V.A. Factors Affecting the Pollinators, Pollination and Seed Production of Sunflower. J. Entomol. Res. 2004, 28, 565–569.
- Ram, M.; Davari, M. Seed Setting and Filling Problem in Sunflower and Its Management—A Review. Int. J. Agron. Plant Prod. 2011, 2, 33–56.
- 39. Falk, J. Estimating Experimenter-Induced Bias in Field Studies: A Cautionary Tale. Oikos 1974, 25, 374–378. [CrossRef]
- 40. Morin, L.; Reid, A.M.; Sims-Chilton, N.M.; Buckley, Y.M.; Dhileepan, K.; Hastwell, G.T.; Nordblom, T.L.; Raghu, S. Review of Approaches to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Weed Biological Control Agents. *Biol. Control* 2009, *51*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Luck, R.F.; Shepard, B.M.; Kenmore, P. Experimental Methods for Evaluating Arthropod Natural Enemies. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 1988, 33, 367–389. [CrossRef]
- 42. Owensby, C.E. Effect of Cages on Herbage Yield in True Prairie Vegetation. J. Range Manag. 1969, 22, 131–132. [CrossRef]
- 43. Greenleaf, S.S.; Kremen, C. Wild Bees Enhance Honey Bees' Pollination of Hybrid Sunflower. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 13890–13895. [CrossRef]
- Pisanty, G.; Klein, A.-M.; Mandelik, Y. Do Wild Bees Complement Honeybee Pollination of Confection Sunflowers in Israel? *Apidologie* 2014, 45, 235–247. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sardiñas, H.S.; Tom, K.; Ponisio, L.C.; Rominger, A.; Kremen, C. Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) Pollination in California's Central Valley Is Limited by Native Bee Nest Site Location. *Ecol. Appl.* **2016**, *26*, 438–447. [CrossRef]
- Kremen, C.; Williams, N.M.; Thorp, R.W. Crop Pollination from Native Bees at Risk from Agricultural Intensification. *Proc. Natl.* Acad. Sci. USA 2002, 99, 16812–16816. [CrossRef]
- 47. Burkle, L.A.; Marlin, J.C.; Knight, T.M. Plant-Pollinator Interactions over 120 Years: Loss of Species, Co-Occurrence, and Function. *Science* 2013, 339, 1611–1615. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Garibaldi, L.A.; Steffan-Dewenter, I.; Winfree, R.; Aizen, M.A.; Bommarco, R.; Cunningham, S.A.; Kremen, C.; Carvalheiro, L.G.; Harder, L.D.; Afik, O.; et al. Wild Pollinators Enhance Fruit Set of Crops Regardless of Honey Bee Abundance. *Science* 2013, 339, 1608–1611. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Degrandi-Hoffmann, G.; Watkins, J.C. The Influence That Honey Bees and Wild Bees Foraging Together Have on Sunflower Cross-Pollination and Seed Set. *Am. Bee J.* **2000**, *13*, 565–566.
- Garibaldi, L.A.; Schulte, L.A.; Nabaes Jodar, D.N.; Gomez Carella, D.S.; Kremen, C. Time to Integrate Pollinator Science into Soybean Production. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2021, 36, 573–575. [CrossRef]
- 51. Perrot, T.; Bretagnolle, V.; Gaba, S. Environmentally Friendly Landscape Management Improves Oilseed Rape Yields by Increasing Pollinators and Reducing Pests. J. Appl. Ecol. 2022, 59, 1825–1836. [CrossRef]
- 52. Morandin, L.A.; Long, R.F.; Kremen, C. Pest Control and Pollination Cost–Benefit Analysis of Hedgerow Restoration in a Simplified Agricultural Landscape. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **2016**, *109*, 1020–1027. [CrossRef]
- 53. LaBerge, W.E. A Revision of the Bees of the Genus Melissodes in North and Central America. Part I (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Univ. Kans. Sci. Bull.* **1956**, *37*, 911.
- 54. LaBerge, W.E. A Revision of the Bees of the Genus Melissodes in North and Central America. Part III (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Univ. Kans. Sci. Bull.* **1961**, *42*, 283.
- 55. Michener, C. The Bees of the World; Johns Hopkins University Press: Baltimore, MD, USA, 2007.
- 56. Michener, C.D.; McGinley, R.J.; Danforth, B.N. *The Bee Genera of North and Central America (Hymenoptera: Apoidea)*; Smithsonian Institution Press: Washington CD, USA, 1994.
- 57. Discover Life Apoide. Available online: https://www.discoverlife.org/20/q?search=Apoidea (accessed on 12 July 2023).
- 58. Schneiter, A.A.; Miller, J.F. Description of Sunflower Growth Stages. Crop Sci. 1981, 21, 901–903. [CrossRef]
- Granlund, M.; Zimmerman, D.C. Effect of Drying Conditions on Oil Content of Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) Seeds as Determined by Wide-Line Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). Proc. N. D. Acad. Sci. 1975, 27, 128–132.
- 60. Peng, C.; Brewer, G.J. Description of Achene Damage by the Red Sunflower Seed Weevil, the Banded Sunflower Moth, and the Sunflower Moth. *J. Kans. Entomol. Soc.* **1995**, *68*, 263–267.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.