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#### Abstract

This paper presents a coding approach for achiev－ ing omnidirectional transmission of certain common signals in massive multi－input multi－output（MIMO）networks such that the received power at any direction in a cell remains constant for any given distance．Specifically，two－dimensional（2D）Golay complementary array set（GCAS）can be used to design the massive MIMO precoding matrix so as to achieve omnidirectional transmission due to its complementary autocorrelation property． In this paper，novel constructions of new 2D GCASs with arbitrary array lengths are proposed．Our key idea is to carefully truncate the columns of certain larger arrays generated by 2D generalized Boolean functions．Finally，the power radiation patterns and numerical results are provided to verify the om－ nidirectional property of the GCAS－based precoding．The error performances of the proposed precoding scheme are presented to validate its superiority over the existing alternatives．


Index Terms－Generalized Boolean function（GBF），Golay complementary array pair（GCAP），Golay complementary array set（GCAS），omnidirectional precoding（OP），uniform rectangu－ lar array（URA）．

## I．Introduction

Complementary pairs／sets of sequences have attracted a sustained research interest owing to their zero aperiodic corre－ lation sums properties．To be specific，a Golay complementary pair（GCP）refers to a pair of equal－length sequences whose summation of aperiodic autocorrelations is zero except at the zero time－shift［1］．Such a concept was extended to Golay complementary set（GCS）with constituent sequences of more than 2 by Tseng and Liu in［2］．Furthermore，a

[^0]maximum collection of GCSs is called a set of complete complementary code（CCC）［3］if any two different GCSs have zero aperiodic cross－correlation sums for all time－shifts． In the literature，GCSs and CCCs have been widely used for radar sensing［4］，channel estimation［5］，precoding for massive multi－input multi－output（MIMO）［6］，peak－to－average power ratio（PAPR）reduction in orthogonal frequency division multiplexing（OFDM）［7］－［13］，interference－free multicarrier code division multiple access［14］－［17］，and many other applications［18］，［19］．

Recently，there is a surge of research attention to study two－ dimensional（2D）Golay complementary array sets（GCASs） ［18］－［23］，each having zero aperiodic autocorrelation sums property for two directions of shifts（compared to conventional GCSs and CCCs with time－shifts only）．An important appli－ cation of the 2D GCASs is for omnidirectional transmission in MIMO communication systems with a uniform rectangular array（URA）configuration［20］，［21］．In massive MIMO systems，some common messages（e．g．，reference signals，syn－ chronization signals，control signals，etc．）need to be power－ uniformly broadcasted to all the angles within the whole cell． In this paper，we consider space－time block code（STBC）for the harvesting of the diversity gain．At the base station（BS）， the STBC encoded symbols are assigned to several streams and then mapped onto the antenna arrays in URA by certain 2D GCASs assisted precoding matrices to achieve uniform power radiation at any angle．
On the other hand，since a large number of antennas are considered in massive MIMO systems，a huge pilot overhead may be needed to acquire the channel state information（CSI）． As pointed out in［22］，this can be alleviated by omnidirec－ tional precoding（OP）based transmission．For uniform linear arrays（ULAs），Zadoff－Chu（ZC）sequences were adopted to satisfy the requirements of the omnidirectional property． However，［22］only considered the omnidirectional transmis－ sion in certain directions．Later in［6］，GCSs and CCCs based OP matrices were proposed to meet the requirement of omnidirectional transmission across all directions．

In［20］，［21］，［23］，［24］，2D GCASs were employed for precoding matrices in URAs by applying interleaving and Kronecker－product to existing 1D sequences or 2D arrays．As a result，the array sizes of 2D GCASs are only feasible for certain lengths．A construction of 2D GCASs of array size $p^{n} \times p^{m}$ was proposed in［25］by using permutation ployno－
mials (PPs) functions and 2-level autocorrelation sequences, where $p$ is a prime number, $m, n$ are two positive integers, and $p, m, n>0$. Furthermore, a unifying construction framework for 2D GCASs was developed in [26] by a multivariate polynomial matrix from certain seed para-unitary (PU) matrices. In [27], [28], Pai and Chen proposed direct constructions of 2D Golay complementary array pairs (GCAPs) and GCASs with array size $2^{n} \times 2^{m}$ from 2D generliazed Boolean functions (GBFs) [29] where $n, m$ are integers and $n, m \geq 2$. 2D GCAP can be regarded as a case of 2D GCAS when the set size is equal to 2. Moreover, Pai et al. [30] proposed a direct construction of 2D CCCs with array size $2^{n} \times 2^{m}$, which have ideal autocorrelations and cross-correlations. Later, Liu et al. [31] proposed a construction of GCASs with array size $p^{n} \times p^{m}$ by using 2D multivariable functions, where $p$ is a prime number, $n, m$ are integers, and $n, m \geq 2$. Based on [27], [32] developed a direct construction of GCASs with set size 4 and array size $2^{n} \times\left(2^{m-1}+2^{v}\right)$ by using 2 D GBFs, where $n, m, v$ are positive number with $n, m \geq 2$, and $0 \leq v \leq m-1$.

The aforementioned research efforts are generally driven by the need of highly flexible array sizes of 2D GCASs. Motivated by this, we aim for generating new GCASs with arbitrary array lengths. The main contributions of this work are summarized as follows.

1) We present direct constructions of 2D GCASs with more flexible array sizes based on 2D GBFs. Our key idea is to properly truncate certain columns of larger arrays generated from 2D GBFs. Thus, our constructed GCASs can be applied to URAs with various array sizes. Numerical results indicate that the proposed 2D GCASs are good candidates for precoding matrices to attain omnidirectional transmission.
2) We compare the parameters of existing 2D GCASs with our proposed ones in Table I. It can be observed that by setting proper values of $d_{\alpha}$ 's and $v$, the array size of the proposed GCASs reduces to the form $2^{n} \times 2^{m}$ which is the same as the array sizes of GCASs from [27], [28], [30]. Besides, our proposed GCASs can include the GCASs provided in [32] as a special case. Note that the proposed GCASs can be directly generated from 2D GBFs without the requirements of any specific sequences or tedious sequence operations.
The remainder of this paper is defined as follows. Section II discusses notations, definitions, system models, and the omnidirectional transmission in MIMO systems. Section III describes our proposed constructions of 2D GCASs. Section IV shows the power radiation pattern and bit error rate (BER) performance based on our proposed 2D GCASs precoding. Finally, Section V presents the conclusion.

## II. Preliminaries and Definitions

## A. Notations

Throughout this paper, we present the notations in the following:

- $(\boldsymbol{a})_{i}$ refers to the $i$-th element of the vector $\boldsymbol{a}$.
- $(\boldsymbol{A})_{i, j}$ denotes the $(i, j)$-th element of the array $\boldsymbol{A}$.
- $(\cdot)^{H}$ refers to the conjugate transpose.
- $\operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{A})$ refers to the column vector composed of the main diagonal of $\boldsymbol{A}$.
- $(\cdot)^{*}$ refers to the complex conjugation of an element.
- $(\cdot)^{T}$ refers to the transpose.
- $\operatorname{vec}(\cdot)$ express stacking one column of the matrix into one another column.
- 1 is a vector whose elements are all 1 .
- Let $\xi=e^{2 \pi \sqrt{-1} / q}$.
- Throughout this paper, $q$ is an even number.

Let $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ be two arrays of size $L_{1} \times L_{2}$. Then $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ can be stated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{g, i}\right), \boldsymbol{Y}=\left(Y_{g, i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=0,1, \cdots, L_{1}-1$ and $i=0,1, \cdots, L_{2}-1$.
Definition 1: Given two arrays $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ of size $L_{1} \times L_{2}$, the $2 D$ aperiodic cross-correlation function (AACF) is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho\left(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{g=0}^{L_{1}-1-u_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{L_{2}-1-u_{2}} Y_{g+u_{1}, i+u_{2}} X_{g, i}^{*}, 0 \leq u_{1}<L_{1}, \\
0 \leq u_{2}<L_{2} ; \\
\sum_{g=0}^{L_{1}-1-u_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{L_{2}-1-u_{2}} Y_{g+u_{1}, i} X_{g, i-u_{2}}^{*}, 0<u_{1}<L_{1}, \\
-L_{2}<u_{2}<0 ; \\
\sum_{g=0}^{L_{1}-1-u_{1}} \sum_{L_{2}-1-u_{2}}^{\sum_{i=0}} Y_{g, i} X_{g-u_{1}, i-u_{2}}^{*},-L_{1}<u_{1}<0, \\
-L_{2}<u_{2}<0 ; \\
L_{1}-1+u_{1} L_{2}-1-u_{2} \\
\sum_{g=0}^{\sum_{i=0}} Y_{g, i+u_{2}} X_{g-u_{1}, i}^{*},-L_{1}<u_{1}<0 \\
0<u_{2}<L_{2}
\end{array}\right. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

When $\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{Y}$, then it is called $2 D$ aperiodic autocorrelation function (AACF) and denoted by $\rho\left(\boldsymbol{X} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. If taking $L_{1}=$ 1, two 2D arrays $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}$ are degraded as a 1-D sequence $\boldsymbol{X}=X_{i}$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, L_{2}-1$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}=Y_{i}$ for $i=$ $0,1, \cdots, L_{2}-1$, respectively. Then the 1-D AACF of 1-D sequence $\boldsymbol{X}$ is related by

$$
\rho(\boldsymbol{X} ; u)= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=0}^{L_{2}-1-u} X_{i+u} X_{i}^{*}, & 0 \leq u \leq L_{2}-1  \tag{3}\\ \sum_{i=0}^{L_{2}-1+u} X_{i} X_{i-u}^{*}, & -L_{2}+1 \leq u<0\end{cases}
$$

In this paper, $q$-PSK modulation is employed. Thus, $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ denote $q$-ary arrays and (1) is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{X}=\left(X_{g, i}\right)=\left(\xi^{x_{g, i}}\right)=\xi^{\boldsymbol{x}} \\
& \boldsymbol{Y}=\left(Y_{g, i}\right)=\left(\xi^{y_{g, i}}\right)=\xi^{\boldsymbol{y}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{g, i}\right), \boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{g, i}\right)$, and $x_{g, i}, y_{g, i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}=$ $\{0,1, \cdots, q-1\}$ for $0 \leq g<L_{1}, 0 \leq i<L_{2}$.

Definition 2: Let the array set $G=\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{0}, \boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{X}_{N-1}\right\}$ where each array in set $G$ is of size $L_{1} \times L_{2}$. If the array set $G$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \rho\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{k} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
N L_{1} L_{2}, & u_{1}=u_{2}=0  \tag{5}\\
0, & u_{1} \neq 0 \text { or } u_{2} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

TABLE I
A Comparison Of Constructions For 2D GCASs

| Construction | Parameters | Approaches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [26, Th. 5] | $\left(N, N^{n}, N^{m}\right), N, n, m>0$ | Seed PU matrices |
| [26, Th. 7] | $\left(2^{k}, 2^{k n}, 2^{k m}\right), n, m, k>0$ |  |
| [25, Th. 4] | ( $p, p^{n}, p^{m}$ ), prime $p, n, m>0$ | PPs and 2-level autocorrelation sequences |
| [25, Th. 6] | $\left(p^{k}, p^{k n}, p^{k m}\right)$, prime $p, k, n, m>0$ |  |
| [31, Th. 1] | $\left(p_{1}^{k_{1}} p_{2}^{k_{2}}, p_{1}^{n}, p_{2}^{m}\right)$, primes $p_{1}, p_{2}$ | 2D multivariable functions |
| [31, Th. 2] | ( $\left.p^{k}, p^{n}, p^{m}\right)$, prime $p, n+m \geq k>0$ |  |
| [27], [28], [30] | $\left(2^{k}, 2^{n}, 2^{m}\right), n, m \geq k>0$, and $k>0$ | 2D GBFs |
| [32] | $\left(4,2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+2^{v}\right), n, m \geq 2$, and $k>0$ |  |
| Th. 1 | $\begin{gathered} \left(2^{k+1}, 2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} d_{\alpha} 2^{m-k+\alpha-1}+d_{0} 2^{v}\right) \\ k<m, 0 \leq v \leq m-k, d_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Th. 2 | $\begin{gathered} \left(2^{k+1}, 2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} d_{\alpha} 2^{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)-1}+d_{0} 2^{v}\right), \\ k<m, 0 \leq v \leq m-k, d_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |

the set $G$ is called the Golay complementary array set of set size $N$ denoted by $\left(N, L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$-GCAS where $L_{2}$ is defined as the length of the GCAS. When $N=2$, the 2D GCAS $G$ is reduced to a 2D Golay complementary array pair (GCAP).

## B. Generalized Boolean Functions

A 2D generalized Boolean function (GBF) $f$ in $n+m$ binary variables $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}$,
$x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{m}$, is a function mapping: $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$, where $x_{i}, y_{g} \in\{0,1\}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m$ and $g=1,2, \cdots, n$. A monomial of degree $r$ is given by any product of $r$ distinct variables among $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{m}$. For instance, $x_{1} x_{3} y_{1} y_{2}$ is a monomial of degree 4 . Next, the variables $z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{n+m}$ are defined as

$$
z_{l}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{l} \quad \text { if } 1 \leq l \leq n  \tag{6}\\
x_{l-n} \quad \text { if } n<l \leq m+n
\end{array}\right.
$$

which are useful for our proposed constructions. For a 2D GBF with $n+m$ variables, the $2 \mathrm{D} \mathbb{Z}_{q}$-valued array

$$
\boldsymbol{f}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
f_{0,0} & f_{0,1} & \cdots & f_{0,2^{m}-1}  \tag{7}\\
f_{1,0} & f_{1,1} & \cdots & f_{1,2^{m}-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_{2^{n}-1,0} & f_{2^{n}-1,1} & \cdots & f_{2^{n}-1,2^{m}-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

of size $2^{n} \times 2^{m}$ is given by letting $f_{g, i}=$ $f\left(\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right),\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}\right)\right)$, where $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)$ and $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}\right)$ are binary vector representations of integers $g=\sum_{h=1}^{n} g_{h} 2^{h-1}$ and $i=\sum_{j=1}^{n} i_{j} 2^{j-1}$, respectively.

Example 1: Taking $q=4, n=2$, and $m=3$ for example, the 2 D GBF is given as $f=3 z_{5} z_{4}+z_{2} z_{3}+2 z_{2}$. Then the array $\boldsymbol{f}$ of size $4 \times 8$ corresponding to $f$ can be obtained, i.e.,

$$
\boldsymbol{f}=\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 3  \tag{8}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

The GBF $f$ can be rewritten as $f=3 x_{3} x_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}+2 y_{2}$. In this paper, we consider the array size $\neq 2^{n} \times 2^{m}$. Hence, we define the truncated array $f^{(L)}$ corresponding to the 2D GBF $f$ by ignoring the last $2^{m}-L$ columns of the corresponding array $f$.

Example 2: Following the same notations given in Example 1 , the truncated array $f^{(6)}$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{f}^{(6)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{9}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

For simplicity, we use $\boldsymbol{f}$ to stand for $\boldsymbol{f}^{(L)}$ when $L$ is known.

## C. System Model

Considering downlink transmission from a BS to UEs where each has one single antenna, we suppose that the number of antennas at the BS is $M=L_{1} \times L_{2}$, i.e., the URA consists of $L_{1}$ rows and $L_{2}$ columns. Fig. 1 illustrates the diagram of data downlink transmission. For an $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ URA, the steering matrix $\boldsymbol{A}(\varphi, \theta)$ at the direction $(\varphi, \theta)$ with the $(g, i)$-th entry can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\boldsymbol{A}(\varphi, \theta))_{g, i}=e^{-j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} g d_{y} \sin \varphi \sin \theta-j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} i d_{x} \sin \varphi \cos \theta} \\
\text { for } g=0,1, \ldots, L_{1}-1, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, L_{2}-1 \\
\quad \theta \in[0,2 \pi], \quad \varphi \in[0, \pi / 2] \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $d_{x}$ and $d_{y}$ denote the vertical antenna and horizontal antenna inter-element spacings of the URA, respectively, and $\lambda$ denotes the carrier wavelength. To enhance the spatial diversity and communication reliability, the STBC signal transmission scheme is used. The $N \times M$ STBC is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{S} \triangleq\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{0}(0) & s_{0}(1) & \cdots & s_{0}(M-1)  \tag{11}\\
s_{1}(0) & s_{1}(1) & \cdots & s_{1}(M-1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
s_{N-1}(0) & s_{N-1}(1) & \cdots & s_{N-1}(M-1)
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}
$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ refers to the $N$-by- $M$ complex space and $s_{n}(t)$ denotes the $(n, t)$-th element of the STBC at time instant $t$ for $t=0,1, \cdots, M-1$. We define the precoding matrix $\boldsymbol{W}_{n}$ of size $L_{1} \times L_{2}$. The encoded symbols is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{x}(t) & =\left(x_{0}(t), x_{1}(t), \cdots, x_{L_{1} L_{2}-1}(t)\right)^{T} \\
& =\operatorname{vec}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \boldsymbol{W}_{n} \cdot s_{n}(t)\right), \text { for } t=0,1, \cdots, M-1, \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 1. Diagram of data transmission through STBC encoding and omnidirectional precoding.
which are transmitted by the $L_{1} L_{2}$ antennas of the URA. In the light-of-sight (LOS) channel without multipaths, the received signal at the direction $(\varphi, \theta)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left(\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{A}(\varphi, \theta))^{T} \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{n}\right)\right) \cdot s_{n}(t)+\eta(t) \\
t=0, \ldots, M-1 \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

where $\eta(t)$ is the additive Gaussian white noise (AWGN) with zero mean and variance $\sigma^{2}$ at time instant $t$.

## D. Omnidirectional Precoding Matrices Based on 2D Arrays

In this subsection, we list two necessary requirements for the design of OP matrices. Then, we will connect these two requirements with the conditions of 2 D arrays.

Requirement 1 (R1): Omnidirectional transmission.
We consider the MIMO system with URA. Following (13), the received power $E$ at the angle $(\varphi, \theta)$ is represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left|\left[\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{A}(\varphi, \theta))^{T} \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{n}\right)\right]\right|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, to satisfy the omnidirectional transmission in the whole cell, (14) must be constant for all $\varphi$ and $\theta$.

Requirement 2 (R2): Equal average power on each antenna.
To enhance the efficiency of the power amplifier, the average transmission power on all $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ antennas is required to be equal. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{W}=\left(\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{0}\right), \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{1}\right), \cdots, \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{N-1}\right)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the array size of $\boldsymbol{W}$ is $L_{1} L_{2} \times N$. Hence, (12) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{X}=(\boldsymbol{x}(0), \boldsymbol{x}(1), \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}(M-1))=\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{S} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{s}(t)$ be the $t$-th column of $\boldsymbol{S}$. Throughout this paper, we assume $\mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{s}(t) \boldsymbol{s}(t)^{H}\right]=\boldsymbol{I}_{N}$. The transmitted signal on the $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$-th antenna is $(\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{s})_{l_{2} L_{1}+l_{1}}$. The average power on the $\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$-th antenna can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|(\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{s})_{l_{2} L_{1}+l_{1}}\right|^{2}\right] & =\left(\boldsymbol{W} \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{s}(t) \boldsymbol{s}(t)^{H}\right] \boldsymbol{W}^{H}\right)_{l_{2} L_{1}+l_{1}, l_{2} L_{1}+l_{1}} \\
& =\left(\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{W}^{H}\right)_{l_{2} L_{1}+l_{1}, l_{2} L_{1}+l_{1}} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the condition to guarantee equal power on each antenna is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{W}^{H}\right)=N \mathbf{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will derive two sufficient conditions on the precoding matrices to fulfill requirements R1 and R2.

Lemma 1: [21] For an $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ URA, if the precoding matrices $\boldsymbol{W}_{0}, \boldsymbol{W}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{W}_{N-1}$ of size $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ form an ( $N, L_{1}, L_{2}$ )-GCAS, then the omnidirectional transmission is achieved.

Lemma 2: For an $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ URA, if the precoding matrices $\boldsymbol{W}_{0}, \boldsymbol{W}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{W}_{N-1}$ of size $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ are unimodular, then the average power on each antenna is equal.

Proof: In order to meet the requirement for equal average power on each antenna, the precoding matrix $\boldsymbol{W}$ must satisfy (18). We let $\boldsymbol{w}_{i}=\operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{i}\right)$, for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$. Then, $\operatorname{diag}\left(\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{W}^{H}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)_{0}\right|^{2}, \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)_{1}\right|^{2}, \cdots, \sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)_{L_{1} L_{2}-1}\right|^{2}\right)^{T} \\
& =N \mathbf{1} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

since we have $\left|\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{i}\right)_{n}\right|^{2}=1$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, N-1$ and $n=0,1, \cdots, L_{1} L_{2}-1$. According to (18), the requirement (R2) is fulfilled.

In the sequel, the design of $O P$ matrices $\boldsymbol{W}_{0}, \boldsymbol{W}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{W}_{N-1}$ are based on Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. That is, our goal is to construct unimodular GCASs with flexible sizes.

## III. GCASs With Flexible Array Size

In this section, two constructions of 2D GCASs with arbitrary array lengths based on 2D GBFs will be proposed. By recalling the function mapping in (6), we present our first theorem in the following.

Theorem 1: For any integers $q, m, n \geq 2$, and $k<m, v$ is an integer satisfies $0 \leq v \leq m-k$ and let $\pi$ be a permutation of $\{1,2, \cdots m+n-k\}$ satisfying $\left\{z_{\pi(1)}, z_{\pi(2)}, \cdots, z_{\pi(v+n)}\right\}=$ $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \cdots, z_{v+n}\right\}$. The 2D generalized Boolean function can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{q}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m+n-k-1} z_{\pi(l)} z_{\pi(l+1)}\right)+\sum_{s=1}^{m+n} p_{s} z_{s}+p_{0} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. The array set

$$
\begin{align*}
& G= \\
& \left\{\boldsymbol{f}+\frac{q}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} \lambda_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{z}_{m+n-k+\alpha}+\frac{q}{2} \lambda_{k+1} \boldsymbol{z}_{\pi(1)}: \lambda_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\}\right\}_{(21)} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

is a $q$-ary $\left(2^{k+1}, 2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} d_{\alpha} 2^{m-k+\alpha-1}+d_{0} 2^{v}\right)$ GCAS where $d_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\}$.

Proof: Please see the proof in Appendix A.


Fig. 2. The summation of autocorrelations of constituent arrays in the GCAS in Example 3.

Remark 1: The parameter $2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} d_{\alpha} 2^{m-k+\alpha-1}+$ $d_{0} 2^{v}$ of the proposed GCASs in Theorem 1 can be any arbitrary length since $m, k, v$ are flexible and $d_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\}$. Besides, by setting $v=m-k$ and $d_{\alpha}=1$ for $\alpha=0,1 \ldots, k-1$ in Theorem 1, the constructed $\left(2^{k+1}, 2^{n}, 2^{m}\right)$-GCASs have the same array sizes of GCASs from [28].

Example 3: Taking $q=2, m=6, n=2, k=1$, and $v=0$, we let $\pi=(1,2,3,4,5,6,7)$. The generalized Boolean function is $f=z_{1} z_{2}+z_{2} z_{3}+z_{3} z_{4}+z_{4} z_{5}+z_{5} z_{6}+z_{6} z_{7}=$ $x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3} x_{4}+x_{4} x_{5}+y_{1} y_{2}+y_{2} x_{1}$ by setting $p_{k}=0$
for $k=0,1, \ldots, m+n$. The array set $G=\left\{\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{f}+\boldsymbol{x}_{8}, \boldsymbol{f}+\right.$ $\left.\boldsymbol{y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{f}+\boldsymbol{x}_{8}+\boldsymbol{y}_{1}\right\}$ is a GCAS of size 4 and the array size is $4 \times 33$. We let $G=\left\{\boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{c}_{2}, \boldsymbol{c}_{3}\right\}$ and list the constituent arrays in Table II as given in Appendix C. Fig. 2 shows the AACF sum of set $G$ is zero at shift $u_{1} \neq 0$ or $u_{2} \neq 0$. Thus, we can find that array set $G$ is a $(4,4,33)$-GCAS.

Next, we introduce a lemma which illustrates a construction of $\left(4,2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+2^{v}\right)$-GCAS from 2D GBFs.

Lemma 3: [32, Th. 1] For nonnegative integers $m, n$, and $v$ with $0 \leq v<m-1$, let $\pi_{1}$ be a permutation of $\{1,2, \cdots, m-$ $1\}$ and $\pi_{2}$ be a permutation of $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. The 2 D GBF is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & \frac{q}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-2} x_{\pi_{1}(k)} x_{\pi_{1}(k+1)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_{\pi_{2}(k)} y_{\pi_{2}(k+1)}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{\pi_{1}(m-1)} x_{m}+x_{m} y_{\pi_{2}(1)}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} p_{l} x_{l}+\sum_{s=1}^{n} \kappa_{s} y_{s}+p_{0} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{l}, \kappa_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. Then the array set
$G=\left\{\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{f}+\frac{q}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(1)}, \boldsymbol{f}+\frac{q}{2} \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(n)}, \boldsymbol{f}+\frac{q}{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(1)}+\frac{q}{2} \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(n)}\right\}$ is a $\left(4,2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+2^{v}\right)$-GCAS.

Since the set size of the GCAS from Lemma 3 is limited to 4, we propose a general construction of 2D GCASs with more flexible array sizes and set sizes which can include Lemma 3 as a special case.

Theorem 2: For any integers $q, m, n \geq 2$, and $k<m$, $v$ is an integer satisfies $0 \leq v \leq m-k$. Assume that $\pi_{1}$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \cdots m\}$ and $\pi_{2}$ is a permutation of $\{1,2, \cdots n\}$. The 2D generalized Boolean function can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & \frac{q}{2}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{m-k-1} x_{\pi_{1}(l)} x_{\pi_{1}(l+1)}+\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} y_{\pi_{2}(s)} y_{\pi_{2}(s+1)}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{\pi_{1}(m)} y_{\pi_{2}(n)}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \mu_{l} x_{\pi_{1}(l)} x_{\pi_{1}(m)}+\sum_{l=1}^{m} p_{l} x_{k} \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n} \kappa_{s} y_{s}+p_{0} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu_{l}, p_{l}, \kappa_{s}, \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. The array set

$$
\begin{align*}
G=\{\boldsymbol{f} & +\frac{q}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)} \\
& \left.+\frac{q}{2} \lambda_{k} \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(1)}+\frac{q}{2} \lambda_{k+1} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(1)}: \lambda_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

is a $q$-ary $\left(2^{k+1}, 2^{n}, 2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} d_{\alpha} 2^{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)-1}+d_{0} 2^{v}\right)$ GCAS where $d_{\alpha} \in\{0,1\}$ if the following three conditions hold.
(C1) $\left\{\pi_{1}(1), \pi_{1}(2), \cdots, \pi_{1}(v)\right\}=\{1,2, \cdots, v\}$ if $v>0$;
(C2) $\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)<\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha+1)$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq k-1$ where $\pi_{1}(m)=m ;$
(C3) For $1 \leq \alpha \leq k-1$ and $2 \leq \beta \leq m-k$, if $\pi_{1}(\beta)<$ $\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)$, then $\pi_{1}(\beta-1)<\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)$.
Proof: The proof is given in Appendix B.

Remark 2: Taking $\sigma_{2}(l)=\pi_{2}(n-l+1)$ for $l=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)=m-k+\alpha$ for $\alpha=1,2, \ldots, k$ in Theorem 2, (22) can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
f= & \frac{q}{2}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-k-1} x_{\pi_{1}(k)} x_{\pi_{1}(k+1)}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} y_{\sigma_{2}(k)} y_{\sigma_{2}(k+1)}\right. \\
& \left.+x_{m} y_{\sigma_{2}(1)}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \mu_{l} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(l)} \boldsymbol{x}_{m}+\sum_{l=1}^{m} p_{l} x_{l}  \tag{25}\\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n} \kappa_{s} y_{s}+p_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{l}, \kappa_{s} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$. We can find that the result of Lemma 3 is a special case of Theorem 2 by simply setting $k=1$, $\mu_{m-1}=\frac{q}{2}$, and $\mu_{l}=0$ for $l=1, \cdots, m-2$.

Example 4: Taking $q=2, m=5, n=2, k=2$, and $v=0$, we let $\pi_{1}=(1,2,4,3,5)$ and $\pi_{2}=(1,2)$. The generalized Boolean function is $f=x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{4}+y_{1} y_{2}+x_{5} y_{1}$ by setting $p_{l}, \kappa_{s}=0$. The array set $G$ is a GCAS of size 8 when the truncated size $L_{1}=4 L_{2}=21$. We let $G=\left\{\boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{c}_{7}\right\}$ and list the constituent arrays in Table III as provided in Appendix C. Also, their AACF sum is shown as Fig. 3.


Fig. 3. The summation of autocorrelations of constituent arrays in the GCAS in Example 4.

## IV. Simulation Results

In this section, we present the numerical results including the power radiation pattern and BER performance by using our proposed 2D GCASs for massive MIMO systems with URA.

## A. Power Radiation Pattern

According to (13), the power radiation pattern $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left|\left[\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{A}(\varphi, \theta))^{T} \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{n}\right)\right]\right|^{2} \quad$ can be obtained. We first consider the massive MIMO system equipped with a URA of size $4 \times 33$, i.e., $L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=33$. We take the GCAS $G=\left\{\boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{c}_{2}, \boldsymbol{c}_{3}\right\}$ listed in Table II to generate the precoding matrices $\left\{\boldsymbol{W}_{0}, \boldsymbol{W}_{1}, \boldsymbol{W}_{2}, \boldsymbol{W}_{3}\right\}=\left\{(-1)^{\boldsymbol{c}_{0}},(-1)^{\boldsymbol{c}_{1}},(-1)^{\boldsymbol{c}_{2}},(-1)^{\boldsymbol{c}_{3}}\right\}$

(a) GCAS-based precoding.

(b) ZC-based precoding.

(c) Random-matrix-based precoding.

Fig. 4. Power radiation pattern with $4 \times 33$ URA and $4 \times 4$ STBC.
with the omnidirectional property. The power radiation pattern of the GCAS-based scheme with array size $4 \times 33$ is perfectly omnidirectional as illustrated in Fig 4(a).

For the purpose of comparison, we also show the power radiation patterns of the precoding matrices based on ZadoffChu sequences and random-matrices whose elements are randomly generated from " +1 " and " -1 ". The ZC-based precoder consists of four $4 \times 33$ precoding matrices, which are obtained based on a ZC sequence of length 4 and a ZC sequence of length 33 [21]. Fig. 4(b) illustrates the power radiation pattern of the ZC-based precoder. We can find that its power radiation pattern is not omnidirectional. The random-matrix-


Fig. 5. Power radiation pattern with $4 \times 21$ URA and $8 \times 8$ STBC.
based precoder consists of four $4 \times 33$ precoding matrices. The elements in the random-matrix-based precoding matrices are generated by selecting the elements from $\{1,-1\}$ with equal probability. Fig. 4(c) describes the power radiation pattern of the random matrix-based precoder. We can observe that the power radiation pattern is not omnidirectional.

Next, we consider the massive MIMO system equipped with a URA of size $4 \times 21$, i.e., $L_{1}=4$ and $L_{2}=$ 21. We use the GCAS $G=\left\{\boldsymbol{c}_{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{c}_{7}\right\}$ listed in Table III for the precoding matrix $\left\{\boldsymbol{W}_{0}, \boldsymbol{W}_{1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{W}_{7}\right\}=$ $\left\{(-1)^{c_{0}},(-1)^{c_{1}}, \cdots,(-1)^{c_{7}}\right\}$. The power radiation pattern of the GCAS-based scheme with array size $4 \times 21$ is described
in Fig. 5(a). The perfect omnidirectional property can be observed. We also see that the power radiation patterns of the ZC-based precoder and the random-matrix precoder shown in Fig. 5(b) and Fig. 5(c) are not omnidirectional. The ZC-based precoding matrices are obtained by a ZC sequence of length 4 and ZC sequence of 21 [21].

## B. Bit Error Rate Performance

In this subsection, we present the BER performance of our proposed 2D GCAS-based schemes. We first consider the massive MIMO system equipped with a URA of size $4 \times 33$. We let $N=4$ and then the $4 \times 4$ orthogonal real STBC be presented as

$$
\boldsymbol{S}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{0} & -s_{1} & -s_{2} & -s_{3}  \tag{26}\\
s_{1} & s_{0} & s_{3} & -s_{2} \\
s_{2} & -s_{3} & s_{0} & s_{1} \\
s_{3} & s_{2} & -s_{1} & s_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}$ are binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulated symbols. According to (13), the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SNR}=\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\left|\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{A}(\varphi, \theta))^{T} \operatorname{vec}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\right]}{\sigma^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}$ is the variance of the AWGN and the maximum likelihood (ML) decoding is employed here. For each realization, the elevation and the azimuth angles are uniformly distributed at random between $[0, \pi / 2]$ and $[0,2 \pi]$, respectively. For comparison, the ZC-based precoder and random-matrix-based precoder are the same as mentioned in Section IV-A. The BER performances of three different schemes are depicted in Fig. 6. We can find that the 2D GCAS-based scheme outperform the others. At BER of $10^{-4}$, there are 1.6 dB and 3.6 dB gains over the ZC -based scheme and the random-matrix-based scheme, respectively.


Fig. 6. BER performance of the different schemes for a $4 \times 33$ URA.

Next, we consider the massive MIMO system equipped with a URA of size $4 \times 21$. We consider $8 \times 8$ STBC and the $8 \times 8$ orthogonal real STBC is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{S}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
s_{0} & s_{1} & s_{2} & s_{3} & s_{4} & s_{5} & s_{6} & s_{7}  \tag{28}\\
-s_{1} & s_{0} & s_{3} & -s_{2} & s_{5} & -s_{4} & -s_{7} & s_{6} \\
-s_{2} & -s_{3} & s_{0} & s_{1} & s_{6} & s_{7} & -s_{4} & -s_{5} \\
-s_{3} & s_{2} & -s_{1} & s_{0} & s_{7} & -s_{6} & s_{5} & -s_{4} \\
-s_{4} & -s_{5} & -s_{6} & -s_{7} & s_{0} & s_{1} & s_{2} & s_{3} \\
-s_{5} & s_{4} & -s_{7} & s_{6} & -s_{1} & s_{0} & -s_{3} & s_{2} \\
-s_{6} & s_{7} & s_{4} & -s_{5} & -s_{2} & s_{3} & s_{0} & -s_{1} \\
-s_{7} & -s_{6} & s_{5} & -s_{4} & -s_{3} & s_{2} & s_{1} & s_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $s_{0}, s_{1}, \cdots, s_{7}$ are BPSK modulated symbols. We also take the ZC-based precoding and random-matrix-based precoding for comparison. The BER performance comparison for these three different schemes is depicted in Fig. 7. At BER of $10^{-4}$, there are 0.2 dB and 1.8 dB gains over the ZC-based scheme and the random-matrix-based scheme, respectively. Besides, the elements of the GCAS-based precoding matrices in the simulation are all binary values, as demonstrated in Tables II and III in Appendix C, whereas the elements of the ZC-based precoding matrices are complex values. Therefore, the computational complexity in precoding based on our proposed GCASs can be reduced. As a result, the 2D GCASs are good candidates as precoding matrices for omnidirectional transmission in massive MIMO systems.


Fig. 7. BER performance of the different schemes for a $4 \times 21$ URA.

## V. Conclusion

In this paper, constructions of 2D GCASs with flexible array sizes have been proposed in Theorems 1 and 2. Our constructions can be obtained directly from 2D GBFs without the aid of special sequences. Besides, our proposed GCASs have flexible array sizes which can fit more antenna configuration. Furthermore, Theorem 2 can include the results in [32] as a special case. Simulation results showed that the omnidirectional transmission can be achieved when the precoding matrices are based on the proposed GCASs. The

BER performance due to their omnidirectional power radiation patterns, the ZC-based scheme and random-matix-based have inferior performances because their power radiation patterns both are not ideally omnidirectional. Although Theorems 1 and 2 can provide direct constructions of 2D GCASs, the first dimension has size $L_{1}$ limited to $2^{n}$. Therefore, the future work includes the extension of constructions of 2D GCASs of which both dimensions have non-power-of-two sizes.

## Appendix A

## Proof of Theorem 1

Proof: Without loss of generality, we consider $L_{1}=2^{n}$ and $L_{2}=2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} 2^{m-k+\alpha-1}+2^{v}$. We need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c \in G} \sum_{g=0}^{L_{1}-1-u_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{L_{2}-1-u_{2}}\left(\xi^{c_{g+u_{1}, i+u_{2}}-c_{g, i}}\right)=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0 \leq u_{1}<2^{n}, 0 \leq u_{2}<2^{m-1}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} 2^{m-k+\alpha-1}+2^{v}$ and $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Then we let $h \stackrel{\alpha=1}{=} g+u_{1}$ and $j=$ $i+u_{2}$ for any integers $g$ and $i$. We also let $\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \cdots, g_{n}\right)$, $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{m}\right),\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{n}\right)$, and $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{m}\right)$ be the binary representations of $g, i, h$, and $j$, respectively. For the ease of presentation, we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{l}= \begin{cases}g_{l} & \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq n ; \\
i_{l-n} & \text { for } n<l \leq n+m ;\end{cases}  \tag{30}\\
& b_{l}= \begin{cases}h_{l} & \text { for } 1 \leq l \leq n ; \\
j_{l-n} & \text { for } n<l \leq n+m ;\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, we consider four cases to show that the above formula holds.

Case 1: If $a_{\pi(1)} \neq b_{\pi(1)}$, we can find that $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{c}+$ $(q / 2) \boldsymbol{z}_{\pi(1)}$ for any array $c \in G$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}-c_{h, j}^{\prime}+c_{g, i}^{\prime}=\frac{q}{2}\left(a_{\pi(1)}-b_{\pi(1)}\right) \equiv \frac{q}{2} \quad(\bmod q) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h, j}^{\prime}-c_{g, i}^{\prime}}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: If $a_{m+n-k+\alpha} \neq b_{m+n-k+\alpha}$, we can find that $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}=$ $\boldsymbol{c}+(q / 2) \boldsymbol{z}_{m+n-k+\alpha}$ for any array $\boldsymbol{c} \in G$. Similar to Case 1 , we have $\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h, j}^{\prime}-c_{g, i}^{\prime}}=0$.

Case 3: If $a_{\pi(1)}=b_{\pi(1)}$ and $a_{m+n-k+\alpha}=b_{m+n-k+\alpha}$ for $\alpha=1,2, \cdots, k$. Suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is the largest integer satisfying $a_{m+n-k+\alpha^{\prime}}=b_{m+n-k+\alpha^{\prime}}=0$ for $\alpha^{\prime} \leq k$. Then we assume $\beta$ is the smallest integer which satisfies $a_{\pi(\beta)} \neq b_{\pi(\beta)}$. Let $a^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime}$ be integers distinct from $a$ and $b$, respectively, only in one position $\pi(\beta-1)$. In other words, $a_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime}=1-a_{\pi(\beta-1)}$ and $b_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime}=1-b_{\pi(\beta-1)}$. If $1 \leq \pi(\beta-1) \leq n$, by using the above definition, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{g^{\prime}, i}-c_{g, i} \\
& =\frac{q}{2}\left(a_{\pi(\beta-2)} g_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime}-a_{\pi(\beta-2)} g_{\pi(\beta-1)}+g_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime} a_{\pi(\beta)}\right. \\
& \left.-g_{\pi(\beta-1)} a_{\pi(\beta)}\right)+p_{\pi(\beta-1)} g_{\pi_{2}(\beta-1)}^{\prime}-p_{\pi(\beta-1)} g_{\pi(\beta-1)} \\
& \equiv \frac{q}{2}\left(a_{\pi(\beta-2)}+a_{\pi(\beta)}\right)+p_{\pi(\beta-1)}\left(1-2 g_{\pi(\beta-1)}\right) \quad(\bmod q) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime}=g_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime}$ and $a_{\pi(\beta-1)}=g_{\pi(\beta-1)}$. Since $a_{\pi(\beta-2)}=b_{\pi(\beta-2)}$ and $a_{\pi(\beta-1)}=b_{\pi(\beta-1)}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}-c_{h^{\prime}, j}+c_{g^{\prime}, i} \\
& \equiv \frac{q}{2}\left(a_{\pi(\beta-2)}-b_{\pi(\beta-2)}+a_{\pi(\beta)}-b_{\pi(\beta)}\right)  \tag{34}\\
& \quad+p_{\pi(\beta-1)}\left(2 h_{\pi(\beta-1)}-2 g_{\pi(\beta-1)}\right) \\
& \equiv \frac{q}{2}\left(a_{\pi(\beta)}-b_{\pi(\beta)}\right) \equiv \frac{q}{2} \quad(\bmod q)
\end{align*}
$$

implying $\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}} / \xi^{c_{h^{\prime}, j}-c_{g^{\prime}, i}}=-1$. We can also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h^{\prime}, j}-c_{g^{\prime}, i}}=0 . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n<\pi(\beta-1) \leq n+m$, note that $a_{\pi(\beta-1)}^{\prime}=i_{\pi(\beta-1)-n}^{\prime}$ and $a_{\pi(\beta-1)}=i_{\pi}(\beta-1)-n$ according to (30). Following the similar argument as given above, we can get $\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+$ $\xi^{c_{h, j^{\prime}}-c_{g, i^{\prime}}}=0$.

Case 4: If $a_{\pi(1)}=b_{\pi(1)}$ and $a_{m+n-k+\alpha}=b_{m+n-k+\alpha}=1$ for $\alpha=1,2, \cdots, k$. We assume $\beta$ is the smallest integer such that $a_{\pi(\beta)} \neq b_{\pi(\beta)}$. Since $a_{s}=b_{s}=0$ for $s=v+n+1, v+$ $n+2, \cdots, m+n-k$, we can obtain $\pi(\beta) \leq v+n$ implying $\pi(\beta-1) \leq v+n$. If $1 \leq \pi(\beta-1) \leq n$, by following the similar argument as given above, we have $\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h^{\prime}, j}-c_{g^{\prime}, i}}=0$. If $n<\pi(\beta-1) \leq v+n$, we have $\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h, j^{\prime}}-c_{g, i^{\prime}}}=0$. From Cases 1 to 4 , the theorem can be proved.

## Appendix B <br> Proof of Theorem 2

Proof: Similarly, we consider $L_{1}=2^{n}$ and $L_{2}=2^{m-1}+$ $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} 2^{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)-1}+2^{v}$. Then we would like to prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{\boldsymbol{C}} \rho\left(\boldsymbol{C} ; u_{1}, u_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\boldsymbol{c} \in G} \sum_{g=0}^{L_{1}-1-u_{1}} \sum_{i=0}^{L_{2}-1-u_{2}}\left(\xi^{c_{g+u_{1}, i+u_{2}}-c_{g, i}}\right) \\
& =0 \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0 \leq u_{1}<2^{n}, 0 \leq u_{2}<2^{m-1}+$ $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k-1} 2^{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)-1}+2^{v}$ and $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$. From (4) we can find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{c}=\frac{q}{2} & \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m-k-1} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(l)} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(l+1)}+\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(s)} \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(s+1)}\right. \\
& \left.+\boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(m)} \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(n)}\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m-k} \mu_{l} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(l)} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(m)}+\sum_{l=1}^{m} p_{l} \boldsymbol{x}_{l} \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{n} \kappa_{s} \boldsymbol{y}_{s}+p_{0} \cdot \mathbf{1} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we let $h=g+u_{1}$ and $j=i+u_{2}$ for any integers $g$ and $i$. Next, we discuss seven cases to complete the proof.

Case 1: Assuming $u_{1}>0, u_{2} \geq 0$, and $g_{\pi_{2}(1)} \neq h_{\pi_{2}(1)}$, we can find an array $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{c}+(q / 2) \boldsymbol{y}_{\pi_{2}(1)} \in G$ for any array $c \in G$. Therefore, we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}-c_{h, j}^{\prime}+c_{g, i}^{\prime}=\frac{q}{2}\left(g_{\pi_{2}(1)}-h_{\pi_{2}(1)}\right) \equiv \frac{q}{2} \quad(\bmod q) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g_{\pi_{2}(1)} \neq h_{\pi_{2}(1)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}} / \xi^{c_{h, j}^{\prime}-c_{g, i}^{\prime}}=\xi^{\frac{q}{2}}=-1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h, j}^{\prime}-c_{g, i}^{\prime}}=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2: If $u_{1}>0, u_{2} \geq 0$, and $g_{\pi_{2}(1)}=h_{\pi_{2}(1)}$. Let $\beta$ be the smallest integer such that $g_{\pi_{2}(\beta)} \neq h_{\pi_{2}(\beta)}$. We define $g^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ are two integers which are distinct from $g$ and $h$ only in one position $\pi_{2}(\beta-1)$, respectively. Then, similar to Case 2 of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{h, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{h^{\prime}, j}-c_{g^{\prime}, i}}=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 3: We suppose $i_{m} \neq j_{m}, u_{1}=0$ and $u_{2}>0$. We let $g^{\prime}$ be an integer distinct from $i$ only in one position, i.e., $g_{\pi_{2}(n)}^{\prime}=1-g_{\pi_{2}(n)}$. Similar to Case 3 of Theorem 1, we have $\xi^{c_{g, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{g^{\prime}, j}-c_{g^{\prime}, i}}=0$.

Case 4: If $u_{1}=0, u_{2}>0$, and $i_{\pi_{1}(1)} \neq j_{\pi_{1}(1)}$ or $i_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)} \neq j_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)}$, we can find an array $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}=$ $\boldsymbol{c}+(q / 2) \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(1)} \in G$ or $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{c}+(q / 2) \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)}$ for any array $\boldsymbol{c} \in G$. Similar to Case 1 , we can obtain $\xi^{c_{g, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{g, j}^{\prime}-c_{g, i}^{\prime}}=0$.

Case 5: Suppose $u_{1}=0, u_{2}>0, i_{\pi_{1}(1)}=j_{\pi_{1}(1)}$, and $i_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)}=j_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)}$ for all $\alpha=1,2, \cdots, k$. Suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}$ is the largest non-negative integer satisfying $i_{\pi_{1}\left(m-k+\alpha^{\prime}\right)}=j_{\pi_{1}\left(m-k+\alpha^{\prime}\right)}=0$. Then we assume $\beta$ is the smallest integer which satisfies $i_{\pi_{1}(\beta)} \neq j_{\pi_{1}(\beta)}$. Here, we have $i_{s}=j_{s}=0$ for $s=\pi_{1}\left(m-k+\alpha^{\prime}\right)+1, \pi_{1}(m-$ $\left.k+\alpha^{\prime}\right)+2, \ldots, m-1$, and $s \neq \pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)$ for $\alpha=$ $\alpha^{\prime}+1, \alpha^{\prime}+2, \ldots, k$. Hence, it implies $\pi_{1}(\beta)<\pi_{1}\left(m-k+\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ and $\pi_{1}(\beta-1)<\pi_{1}\left(m-k+\alpha^{\prime}\right)$ according to the condition (C-3). Let $i^{\prime}$ and $j^{\prime}$ be integers that differ from $i$ and $j$, respectively, in the position $\pi_{1}(\beta-1)$. Similar to Case 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{g, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{g, j^{\prime}}-c_{g, i^{\prime}}}=0 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 6: Suppose $u_{1}=0, u_{2}>0, i_{\pi_{1}(1)}=j_{\pi_{1}(1)}$, and $i_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)}=j_{\pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)}=1$ for all $\alpha=1,2, \cdots, k$. Then we assume $\beta$ is the smallest integer which satisfies $i_{\pi_{1}(\beta)} \neq$ $j_{\pi_{1}(\beta)}$. Since $i_{s}=j_{s}=0$ for $s=v+1, v+2, \cdots, m-k$ and $s \neq \pi_{1}(m-k+\alpha)$ for $\alpha=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, we can obtain $\pi_{1}(\beta) \leq v$ implying $\pi_{1}(\beta-1) \leq v$. Similar to Case 2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{c_{g, j}-c_{g, i}}+\xi^{c_{g, j^{\prime}}-c_{g, i^{\prime}}}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Cases 1 to 6, the theorem can be proved.

## Appendix C Constructed GCASs in Examples 3 and 4

The constructed GCASs in Example 3 and Example 4 are presented in Table II and Table III, respectively.
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TABLE II
The Constructed ( $4,4,33$ )-GCAS in Example 3

| $\boldsymbol{c}_{0}=$ |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 0 | 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}_{1}=$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | ) |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $c_{2}=$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}_{3}=$ |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

TABLE III
The Constructed ( $8,4,21$ )-GCAS in Example 4

| $c_{0}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 1 1 1 0 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\boldsymbol{c}_{1}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 1 1 1 0 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $c_{2}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 1 1 0 1 | 1 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $c_{3}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ | 0 1 0 |  | 1 1 0 1 | 1 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | 1 1 0 1 | 0 0 0 1 | 0 0 1 0 | 1 1 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | 0 0 0 1 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}_{4}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | 0 1 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 1 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 1 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $c_{5}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 1 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $c_{6}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $c_{7}=$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$ | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | 1 0 0 0 | 0 1 0 0 | 0 1 1 1 | 1 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 1 0 0 | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ |  |
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