NPC Natural Product Communications

Essential Oil from *Origanum vulgare* Completely Inhibits the Growth of Multidrug-Resistant Cystic Fibrosis Pathogens

Giovanna Pesavento^{a,§}, Valentina Maggini^{b,§}, Isabel Maida^c, Antonella Lo Nostro^a, Carmela Calonico^a, Chiara Sassoli^a, Elena Perrin^c, Marco Fondi^c, Alessio Mengoni^c, Carolina Chiellini^d, Alfredo Vannacci^b, Eugenia Gallo^b, Luigi Gori^b, Patrizia Bogani^c, Anna Rita Bilia^e, Silvia Campana^f, Novella Ravenni^f, Daniela Dolce^f, Fabio Firenzuoli^b and Renato Fani^{b,*}

^aDept. of Health Sciences, University of Florence, Viale G. B. Morgagni, 48, I- 50134 Florence, Italy ^bCenter for Integrative Medicine, Careggi University Hospital, University of Florence, Florence, Italy ^cDept. of Biology, University of Florence, Via Madonna del Piano 6, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy ^dConsiglio per la ricerca in agricoltura e l'analisi dell'economia agraria, centro di ricerca per l'Agrobiologia e la Pedologia (CRA-ABP) Piazza D'Azeglio 30, I-50121 – Firenze, Italy

^eDept. of Chemistry Ugo Schiff, University of Florence, Via Ugo Schiff 6, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Florence), Italy

^fDept. of Paediatric Medicine Anna Meyer Children's University Hospital, Florence, Italy

renato.fani@unifi.it

[§]These authors contributed equally to this study

Received: November 6th, 2015; Accepted: April 11st, 2016

Essential oils (EOs) are known to inhibit the growth of a wide range of microorganisms. Particularly interesting is the possible use of EOs to treat multidrugresistant cystic fibrosis (CF) pathogens. We tested the essential oil (EO) from *Origanum vulgare* for *in vitro* antimicrobial activity, against three of the major human opportunistic pathogens responsible for respiratory infections in CF patients; these are methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*. Antibiotic susceptibility of each strain was previously tested by the standard disk diffusion method. Most strains were resistant to multiple antibiotics and could be defined as multi-drug-resistant (MDR). The antibacterial activity of *O. vulgare* EO (OEO) against a panel of 59 bacterial strains was evaluated, with MIC and MBC determined at 24, 48 and 72 hours by a microdilution method. The OEO was effective against all tested strains, although to a different extent. The MBC and MIC of OEO for *S. aureus* strains were either lower or equal to 0.50%, v/v, for *A. xylosoxidans* strains were lower or equal to 1% and 0.50%, v/v, respectively; and for *S. maltophilia* strains were lower or equal to 0.25%, v/v. The results from this study suggest that OEO might exert a role as an antimicrobial in the treatment of CF infections.

Keywords: Essential Oils, Origanum vulgare, Multidrug resistance, Cystic Fibrosis, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Achromobacter xylosoxidans.

Leading causes of morbidity and mortality in cystic fibrosis (CF) are respiratory tract infections caused by human pathogens such as methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), Stenotrophomonas maltophilia and Achromobacter xylosoxidans [1]. In the United States, the prevalence of MRSA, S. maltophilia, and A. xylosoxidans in CF patients is in the order of 22.6%, 15.8% and 6%, respectively. Two studies in Italy have reported a prevalence of 16% of A. xylosoxidans infection [2] and of 7% for MRSA [3]. These bacteria often show an increasing level of multidrug resistance (MDR) hampering the treatment of CFassociated infections with conventional antimicrobial therapies [1]. Thus, new therapeutic strategies need to be discovered. Much attention is paid to bioactive compounds derived by plants that are known to possess antimicrobial properties and are used in traditional medicine to treat several diseases. In particular, essential oils (EOs) play an important role in the protection of plants and have been empirically used for centuries to treat upper respiratory tract infections such as pharyngitis, bronchitis and sinusitis [4]. Furthermore, in many cases the EO activity results from the complex interaction between the different classes of compounds such as phenols, aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, esters, ethers and hydrocarbons found in EOs [5]. It is likely that it will be more difficult for bacteria to develop resistance to the multi-component

EOs than to common antibiotics, which are often composed of only a single molecular entity [6], since several molecular targets would need to adapt to overcome the effects of the oil [7].

The activity of EOs against bacteria, fungi and viruses has been tested in many studies and indeed, many bacteria, especially pathogens, exhibit high sensitivity to EOs mainly extracted from thyme, oregano and cloves [8-10]. We have previously performed a preliminary analysis of EOs from six aromatic medicinal plants (*Eugenia caryophyllata, Origanum vulgare, Rosmarinus officinalis, Lavandula hybrida, Melaleuca alternifolia* and *Thymus vulgaris*) revealing that, despite their different chemical composition, all of them were able to inhibit the growth of representative strains of members of the *Burkholderia cepacia* complex (Bcc) [11].

The antibacterial activity of *Origanum vulgare* EO (OEO) has been attributed to the phenolic components, such as thymol and carvacrol [5], which are able to inhibit some pathogenic bacterial strains, including *Escherichia coli*, and serovars *enteritidis*, *choleraesuis*, and *typhimurium* of *Salmonella enterica* [12]. Previous studies have reported that OEO shows antimicrobial activity both *in vitro* and *in vivo* against *S. aureus* [13-16]. On the basis of current literature the OEO could represent a good compromise between potential

Table 1: Bacterial strains tested in this work.

Species	Strain	Origin	Reference	Species	Strain	Origin	Reference
MRSA*	4	CF^{1}		A. xylosoxidans*	1	CF^{1}	
	5	CF^1			21	CF^2	
	6	CF^1			34	CF^1	
	9	CF^1			39	CF^2	
	11	CF^2			41	CF^1	
	12	CF^2			42	CF^3	
	13	CF^{1}			43	CF	
	15	CF^{1}			45	CF^2	
	16	CF^2			48	CF^2	
	17	CF^1	This work		49	CF^2	This much
	20	CF^1			50	CF^2	I his work
	23	CF^2			52	CF	
	24	CF^2			53	CF^1	
	25	CF^2			54	CF^1	
	26	CF			55	CF^1	
	27	CF^{1}			56	CF	
	28	CF^2			60	CF_{-}^{1}	
	32	CF			61	CF^2	
	33	CF3			62	CF	
					63	CF ¹	
S. maltophilia*	1	CF^2		S. maltophilia*	12	CF	
	2	CF			13	CF	
	3	CF^{1}	This work		14	CF	
	4	CF^2			15	CF	
	5	CF			16	CF	This work
	6	CF^{1}			17	CF^{1}	THIS WOLK
	7	CF_{1}^{2}			19	CF_{1}^{2}	
	8	CF			20	CF ¹	
	10	CF			21	CF^2	
	11	CF^1			22	CF^2	

* Strains isolated from CF patient (CF) at the Anna Meyer Children's Hospital (Florence, Italy). ¹ sputum; ² throat swab; ³ bronchial

antibacterial activity [8, 9, 11, 17] and tolerability [18] if properly formulated in specific drug delivery systems [19]. The aim of this work was to investigate the antimicrobial potential of *O. vulgare* EO, whose composition was previously determined [11], on a panel of 59 MDR strains belonging to three of the major groups of CF opportunistic pathogens (i.e. MRSA, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, and *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*) estimating the bacteriostatic or bactericidal activity.

As a first step of the investigation, the molecular and/or phenotypic characterization of all the 59 representatives of the bacterial panel was performed revealing that the bacterial isolates of each species corresponded to different strains (data not shown). Then, the antibiotic resistance profile of each strain was determined using a panel of different antibiotics (Tables S1-S3). Most of the strains were resistant to multiple antibiotics (belonging to at least two major classes of antibiotics) and can be therefore defined as MDR according to the definition of the American Cystic Fibrosis Foundation [20]. In particular:

i) *A. xylosoxidans* strains (Table S1) showed a resistant rate higher than 60% for almost all categories of antibiotic tested: between β -lactams antibiotics only the combination piperacillin/ tazobactam, imipenem and meropenem showed lower resistance percentages. The resistant rates for colistin and minocycline were 62.5% and 50%, respectively. The percentage of antibiotics to which each strain was resistant ranged from 50% to 100%.

ii) S. maltophilia strains (Table S2) showed a resistant rate higher than 78% for β -lactams, aminoglycosides and fluoroquinolones antibiotics. The resistant rates for the combination trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and minocycline were 45% and 5.56%, respectively. The percentage of antibiotics to which each strain was resistant ranged between 50% and 100%.

iii) Staphylococcus aureus strains (Table S3) were highly resistant to β -lactams and macrolides. The resistant rate for fluoroquinoles and lincosamides was around 74% and 83% respectively, whilst the other rates were lower than 50% up to 0% for linezolid, tigecyclin, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole and vancomycin. One strain was resistant to teicoplanin. The percentage of antibiotics to which each strain was resistant ranged from 31.2% to 66.7%.

Subsequently, the antimicrobial activity of different concentrations of OEO was tested for each of the 59 strains listed in Table 1 by determining both MIC and MBC. Data obtained at 48 h of incubation in the presence of OEO are shown in Tables S1-S3 and summarized in Table 2. OEO exhibited antibacterial activity against all the 59 bacterial strains to a different extent.

Several MRSA and *A. xylosoxidans* strains had MIC of 0.50% and 0.25%, v/v, EO at 48 h of incubation. Results were consistent also at 24 h and 72 h (Table 2).

Quite interestingly, the analysis of MBC data revealed that the OEO had a strong bactericidal activity, which in most cases was consistent with the MIC values observed.

In general, OEO showed its inhibitory and microbicidal activity against human pathogens, even at low concentration: after 48 h, 0.5%, v/v, OEO was able to inhibit the growth of 100% of *A. xylosoxidans*, *S. maltophilia*, and MRSA, and to kill 100% of *S. maltophilia* and of MRSA and 95% of *A. xylosoxidans*.

In particular, the analysis of data from Table 2 revealed that after 48h:

i) S. maltophilia strains were more sensitive than those belonging to the other genera: no strain exhibited MIC and MBC higher than 0.125%, v/v. The lowest OEO percentages still active were 0.015% and 0.03, v/v, for MIC and MBC, respectively.

ii) Achromobacter strains were mostly inhibited and killed by OEO concentrations of 0.25% and 0.50%, v/v.

iii) MRSA had MIC and MBC values ranging from 0.5% to 0.125%, v/v, of OEO.

Data obtained in this work revealed that all the 59 tested strains were sensitive to OEO, even though to different extents. Overall the sensitivity of *S. maltophilia* strains was higher than that exhibited by MRSA and *A. xylosoxidans* strains. Moreover, there was no correlation between the MDR patterns and sensitivity to the OEO of strains belonging to the same species/group. Indeed, strains with a (very) different MDR profile exhibited a very similar degree of sensitivity to the OEO.

MBC values were in most cases completely consistent with the MIC values of the same strain and indicated that the OEO had a strong bactericidal activity on each of the 59 strains tested. Since the strains belong to very different bacterial species/genera (both Gram positive and Gram negative) the finding of such a broad activity of OEO might suggest that OEO has the same cellular target(s) on such widely different species. It is likely that the antibacterial activity of OEO is due to the combined effect of several bioactive molecules of the EO complex. Moreover, and quite interestingly, in spite of the large number of experiments carried out in this work, no mutant strain resistant to the EO tested was isolated. This finding strongly suggests the possibility that the antimicrobial activity of OEO is exerted toward multiple cellular targets. If this is so, the simultaneous blocking of the activity of different molecular targets should strongly decrease the probability of the appearance of a mutant able to resist the essential oils, as happens for most of the common antibiotics. For example, S. aureus is known for its involvement in CF and nosocomial infections and is frequently resistant to several antibiotics. OEO has been reported to reduce lipase and coagulase activity of S. aureus [21]. The lack of appearance of resistant strains to concentrations much lower than 2% OEO (maximum MBC value after 24 h) represents a very interesting finding that might pave the way to the use of OEO to fight the infections in CF patients.

EO concentration		MIC (mg/	MBC									
(% v/v)	24h		48h		72h		24h		48h		72h	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
A. xylosoxidans												
1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1	5
0.500	1	5	7	35	7	35	7	35	8	40	8	40
0.250	14	70	12	60	12	60	11	55	11	55	11	55
0.125	5	25	1	5	1	5	2	10	0	0	0	0
0.060	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.030	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S. maltophilia												
1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.250	1	5	0	0	0	0	3	15	0	0	0	0
0.125	7	35	9	45	9	45	12	60	5	25	5	25
0.060	3	15	0	0	1	5	4	20	6	30	4	20
0.030	8	40	10	50	9	45	1	5	9	45	11	55
0.015	0	0	1	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.007	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRSA												
1.000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.500	5	26.3	5	26.3	5	26.3	7	36.8	5	26.3	5	26.3
0.250	8	42.1	8	42.1	8	42.1	7	36.8	8	42.1	8	42.1
0.125	6	31.6	6	31.6	6	31.6	5	26.4	6	31.6	6	31.6
0.060	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.030	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 2: MIC and MBC in the twenty strains of A. xylosoxidans, S. maltophilia and MRSA.

Finally, the development of new inhaled antibiotics (or antimicrobial-like drugs) could be of great importance to improve the efficacy of the treatment of CF patients [22]. It is easy to imagine that an essential oil could be developed into an inhalation apparatus for use in patients with respiratory infections, as previously reported for basil, rosemary and eucalyptus [23-25]. Nevertheless, toxicological screenings are mandatory before suggesting a possible clinical use and possible additive/synergistic effects should be investigated since EO combinations and/or EOs plus antibiotics could boost their bactericidal effect, lowering the concentrations needed, and minimizing in turn the risk of side effects.

Experimental

Bacterial strains and growth conditions: The panel of 59 bacterial strains (20 for *S. maltophilia* and *A. xylosoxidans* and 19 for MRSA) tested in this work is reported in Table 1. The bacterial strains were isolated from different CF patients and each strain was maintained at -80°C under glycerol (25%, v/v) stock, and grown on Columbia blood agar (Thermo Scientific, Oxoid SpA, Strada Rivoltana, 20090 Rodano (MI) - Italy) at 37°C for 24 h.

Identification and typing of bacterial strains: Bacterial strains were identified using Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization Time-of-Flight (Maldi-Tof VITEK MS, bioMérieux Italia Spa, Italy).

Antibiotic resistance profiling: Susceptibility was evaluated to clinically-relevant antibiotics, specific for each pathogen [26-29] and selected across different antimicrobial families. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was evaluated for *S. maltophilia* by E-Test, and for *A. xylosoxidans* and MRSA by an automated system (Vitek 2, bioMérieux Italia Spa, Italy). Results were interpreted according to the available EUCAST (b) breakpoint tables or CLSI's (2012).

Origanum vulgare essential oil: The O. vulgare EO, extracted by steam distillation, was purchased from Prodotti Phitocosmetici Dott. Vannucci di Vannucci Daniela e C. Sas, Prato, Italy. The composition of the OEO used in this work has been already reported [11]. MIC and MBC were determined in TSB added with the EO in concentrations two-fold diluted from 2% to 0.007%, v/v, and the same volume of dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO, Carlo Erba

Reagenti SpA, Milano, Italy), sterilized by filtration through filters with a pore diameter of 0.22 μ m (Sartorius Italy Srl, Monza e Brianza, Italy).

Determination of MIC and MBC of O. vulgare EO: Determination of MIC, in broth micro-dilutions, was performed as described in standard protocols. Microtiter plates containing serial dilutions of the OEO were inoculated with aliquots of 100 μ L of bacterial suspensions containing approximately 2×10^6 CFU/mL in a final volume of 200 μ L. The negative control contained 200 μ L of TSB, whereas the two positive controls contained TSB and DTSB (1% of DMSO) inoculated with 100 μ L of the bacterial suspension respectively. A further negative control was set up using an antibiotic able to inhibit the growth of the tested bacteria; different antibiotics might be used according to the different resistance pattern of the tested bacteria [26-29]. Microplates were incubated at 37°C aerobically. After incubation, the Infinite 200 PRO multimode reader (Tecan), was used to detect density (using OD₆₀₀).

From each tube, at time "0" a 10 μ L aliquot of the suspension was spread on TSA plates and incubated at 37°C aerobically; afterwards, the number of CFU was determined. The effect of OEO on bacteria was monitored at 24 h intervals up to 72 h by seeding 10 μ L of the suspensions on TSA plates. Data obtained allowed the establishment of the bactericidal/bacteriostatic activity of OEO vs each organism, in terms of MIC, intended as the lowest concentration of OEO able to inhibit completely the growth of microorganisms in tubes and on plates. The MBC was defined as the concentration of OEO that killed at least 99.9% of the inoculum. All assays were performed in triplicate. Colony growth was verified even for each control. MIC and MBC were determined after incubation for 24, 48 and 72 h.

Statistical analyses: Means, standard deviations of bacterial counts and graphics were obtained through Microsoft Office Excel 2007 (Microsoft S.r.l., Milano, Italy).

Supplementary data: Details on the antibiotic resistance profile, *Origanum vulgare* essential oil MIC and MBC of each strain are also available.

Acknowledgments - This work was supported by Ente Cassa di Risparmio di Firenze (project 2013.0657).

References

- [1] Lipuma JJ. (2010) The changing microbial epidemiology in cystic fibrosis. *Clinical Microbiology Review*, 23, 299-323.
- [2] Trancassini M, Iebba V, Citera N, Tuccio V, Magni A, Varesi P, De Biase RV, Totino V, Santangelo F, Gagliardi A, Schippa S. (2014) Outbreak of Achromobacter xylosoxidans in an Italian cystic fibrosis center: genome variability, biofilm production, antibiotic resistance, and motility in isolated strains. Frontiers in Microbiology, 5, 1-8.
- [3] Campana S, Taccetti G, Ravenni N, Masi I, Audino S, Sisi B, Repetto T, Doring G, de Martino M. (2004) Molecular epidemiology of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa, Burkholderia cepacia complex and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in a cystic fibrosis center. Journal of Cystic Fibrosis, 3, 159-163.
- [4] Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M. (2008) Biological effects of essential oils-a review. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 46, 446-475.
- [5] Bassole IH, Juliani HR. (2012) Essential oils in combination and their antimicrobial properties. *Molecules*, 17, 3989-4006.
- [6] Carson CF, Hammer KA, Riley TV. (2006) Melaleuca alternifolia (Tea Tree) oil: a review of antimicrobial and other medicinal properties. Clinical Microbiology Review, 19, 50-62.
- Solorzano-Santos F, Miranda-Novales MG. (2012) Essential oils from aromatic herbs as antimicrobial agents. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 23, 136-141.
- [8] Dorman HJ, Deans SG. (2000) Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 88, 308-316.
- [9] Fabio A, Cermelli C, Fabio G, Nicoletti P, Quaglio P. (2007) Screening of the antibacterial effects of a variety of essential oils on microorganisms responsible for respiratory infections. *Phytotherapy Research*, 21, 374-377.
- [10] Nunez L, Aquino MD. (2012) Microbicide activity of clove essential oil (Eugenia caryophyllata). Brazilian Journal of Microbiology, 43, 1255-1260.
- [11] Maida I, Lo Nostro A, Pesavento G, Barnabei M, Calonico C, Perrin E, Chiellini C, Fondi M, Mengoni A, Maggini V, Vannacci A, Gallo E, Bilia AR, Flamini G, Gori L, Firenzuoli F, Fani R. (2014) Exploring the anti-Burkholderia cepacia complex activity of essential oils: A preliminary analysis. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2014, 573518.
- [12] Penalver P, Huerta B, Borge C, Astorga R, Romero R, Perea A. (2005) Antimicrobial activity of five essential oils against origin strains of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family. *Acta Pathologica Microbiologica et Immunologica Scandinavica*, 113, 1-6.
- [13] Preuss HG, Echard B, Dadgar A, Talpur N, Manohar V, Enig M, Bagchi D, Ingram C. (2005) Effects of essential oils and monolaurin on Staphylococcus aureus: in vitro and in vivo studies. Toxicology Mechanism and Methods, 15, 279-285.
- [14] Nostro A, Sudano Roccaro A, Bisignano G, Marino A, Cannatelli MA, Pizzimenti FC, Cioni PL, Procopio F, Blanco AR. (2007) Effects of oregano, carvacrol and thymol on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 56, 519-523.
- [15] Kavanaugh NL, Ribbeck K. (2012) Selected antimicrobial essential oils eradicate *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 4057-4061.
- [16] Pesavento G, Calonico C, Barnabei M, Calesini F, Addona R, Mencarelli L, Carmagnini, L, Di Martino MC, Lo Nostro A. (2015) Antibacterial activity of Oregano, Rosmarinus and Thymus essential oils against Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes in beef meatballs. Food Control, 54, 188-199.
- [17] Lambert RJ, Skandamis PN, Coote PJ, Nychas GJ. (2001) A study of the minimum inhibitory concentration and mode of action of oregano essential oil, thymol and carvacrol. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 91, 453-462.
- [18] Baser KH. (2008) Biological and pharmacological activities of carvacrol and carvacrol bearing essential oils. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 14, 3106-3119.
- [19] Bilia AR, Guccione C, Isacchi B, Righeschi C, Firenzuoli F, Bergonzi MC. (2014) Essential oils loaded in nanosystems: a developing strategy for a successful therapeutic approach. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2014, 651593, 1-14.
- [20] Burns J. (2007) Antibiotic resistance of Burkholderia spp. In Burkholderia: Molecular Microbiology and Genomics, eds T. Coenye and P. Vandamme; Norfolk: Horizon Bioscience, 81-91.
- [21] de Barros JC, da Conceição ML, Gomes Neto NJ, da Costa ACV, Siqueira JP jr, Basilio ID jr, de Souza EL. (2009) Interference of *Origanum vulgare* L. essential oil on the growth and some physiological characteristics of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from foods. *LWT Food Science and Technology*, 42, 1139-1143.
- [22] Hofmann T. (2012) New developments in inhaled antibiotics for the treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Current Pharmaceutical Design, 18, 683-695.
- [23] Camporese A. (2013) In vitro activity of Eucalyptus smithii and Juniperus communis essential oils against bacterial biofilms and efficacy perspectives of complementary inhalation therapy in chronic and recurrent upper respiratory tract infections. Le Infezioni in Medicina, 21, 117-124.
- [24] Sienkiewicz M, Lysakowska M, Pastuszka M, Bienias W, Kowalczyk E. (2013) The potential of use basil and rosemary essential oils as effective antibacterial agents. *Molecules*, 18, 9334-9351.
- [25] Sherry E, Warnke PH. (2004) Successful use of an inhalational phytochemical to treat pulmonary tuberculosis: a case report. *Phytomedicine*, *11*, 95-97.
- [26] Bauer AW, Kirby WM, Sherris JC, Turck M. (1966) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology, 45, 493-496.
- [27] EUCASTa. Testing, E. C. o. A. S. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing EUCAST disk diffusion method. http://eucast.org
- [28] EUCASTb. Testing, E. C. o. A. S. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. http://eucast.org
- [29] CLSI. Institute (2012) C. a. L. S., Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 22nd ed.; 2012; Vol. 32.