Microreview

Nitric oxide signalling functions in plant–pathogen interactions

María C. Romero-Puertas,¹ Michele Perazzolli,^{1,2} Elisa D. Zago¹ and Massimo Delledonne^{1*}

¹Dipartimento Scientifico e Tecnologico, Università degli Studi di Verona, Strada le Grazie, 15. 37134 Verona, Italy. ²Università Cattolica S. Cuore, Via Emilia Parmense, 84. 29100 Piacenza, Italy.

Summary

Nitric oxide (NO) is a highly reactive molecule that rapidly diffuses and permeates cell membranes. During the last few years NO has been detected in several plant species, and the increasing number of reports on its function in plants have implicated NO as a key molecular signal that participates in the regulation of several physiological processes; in particular, it has a significant role in plant resistance to pathogens by triggering resistance-associated cell death and by contributing to the local and systemic induction of defence genes. NO stimulates signal transduction pathways through protein kinases, cytosolic Ca²⁺ mobilization and protein modification (i.e. nitrosylation and nitration). In this review we will examine the synthesis of NO, its effects, functions and signalling giving rise to the hypersensitive response and systemic acquired resistance during plant-pathogen interactions.

Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is a bioactive molecule that exerts a number of diverse signal functions in phylogenetically distant species (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001). It is a free radical that can either gain or lose an electron to energetically more favourable structures, namely the nitrosonium cation (NO⁺) and the nitroxyl radical (NO⁻). Because of its unique chemistry, which permits both its stability and reactivity, NO and its exchangeable redox-

activated forms are now recognized as intra- and intercellular signalling molecules (Durner *et al.*, 1998). The free radical of NO has a half-life of a few seconds and rapidly reacts with O_2 to form nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) that degrades to nitrite and nitrate in aqueous solutions (Neill *et al.*, 2003). However, this gaseous free radical rapidly diffuses across biological membranes and can play a part in cell-to-cell signalling in brief periods of time (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001). In addition, NO can react with the free radical superoxide (O_2^-) to form the reactive molecule peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻). Moreover, NO also reacts rapidly with proteins, especially with reactive amino acids such as cysteine and tyrosine, as well as with various receptors and transcription factors (Stamler *et al.*, 2001).

Both cytotoxic and cyto-protecting/stimulating properties of NO have been described in plants (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001). High levels of NO are associated with cell death and DNA fragmentation in Taxus cultures (Pedroso et al., 2000). Concentrations of NO greater than 10 µM inhibit the expansion of leaves, change thylakoid viscosity and impair photosynthetic electron transport in pea (Leshem et al., 1998). Exposure to NO has also been shown to reduce photosynthesis in oat and alfalfa leaves (Hill and Bennet, 1970) and to inhibit respiration in carrot cell suspensions (Zottini et al., 2002). NO stimulates seed germination in different species and determines increases in chlorophyll levels of Arabidopsis and lettuce seedlings grown in the dark. Hypocotyl and internode elongations, which are inhibited in processes mediated by light, are also affected by NO (see Beligni and Lamattina, 2001). NO mediates the abscisic acidinduced stomatal closure in varoious species (Desikan et al., 2002; Garcia-Mata and Lamattina, 2002), the auxin response leading to root organogenesis in cucumber (Pagnussat et al., 2003) and plays a central role in determining lateral root development in tomato (Correa-Aragunde et al., 2004). Moreover, low NO concentrations increase the rate of leaf expansion in pea (Leshem and Haramaty, 1996). A decrease in NO levels has also been associated with fruit maturation and flower senescence, suggesting its involvement in the modulation of these

Received 8 April 2004; revised 20 May 2004; accepted 24 May 2004. *For correspondence. E-mail massimo.delledonne@univr.it; Tel. (+39) 045 8027962; Fax (+39) 045 8027929.

physiological processes as well (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001).

Exposures to low levels of NO improve the response of plants under diverse types of stresses. Indeed, pretreatment with an NO donor enhanced tolerance to drought in wheat seedlings (Garcia-Mata and Lamattina, 2002) and induced salt resistance by increasing the K⁺ to Na⁺ ratio in calluses of reed plants (Zhao et al., 2004). Additionally, NO can strongly protect tomato plants from methylviologen damage by scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001) confirming its anti-oxidant function. Similar effects have been observed in barley aleurone cells where the ROS-dependent giberellin-induced programmed cell death (PCD) in the presence of NO donors is delayed (Beligni et al., 2002). Furthermore, NO production has been observed in response to several abiotic stressors such as high temperature, osmotic stress or UV-B (Beligni and Lamattina, 2001; Gould et al., 2003). In spite of its involvement in these diverse processes, NO could not be considered as a universal plant stress response as its production has not been observed following mechanical or light stress up to 400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Gould *et al.*, 2003).

Nitric oxide has also been implicated in disease resistance to avirulent pathogens attack. After pathogen recognition, a complex signal transduction system triggers defence responses based on accumulation of ROS and NO (Fig. 1; Levine *et al.*, 1994; Delledonne *et al.*, 1998). In this review we will evaluate different components of NO production and functions during plant-pathogen interaction, including the binomial rate NO/ROS to induce cell death and NO targets as pieces of its signalling pathways.

Nitric oxide synthesis in plants

In animals, biosynthesis of NO is primarily catalysed by the enzyme nitric oxide synthase (NOS) that oxidizes Larginine to L-citrulline and NO. Three isoforms of NOS have been identified: neuronal NOS (nNOS) and endothelial NOS (eNOS), which are referred to as constitutive NOSs, and inducible NOS (iNOS) that is expressed in macrophages and other cell types in response to inflammatory agents and cytokines (Wendehenne et al., 2001). Non-enzymatic production of NO from nitrite in an acidic/ reducing environment has also been demonstrated in humans (Weitzberg and Lundberg, 1998). In plants, NO can be synthesized either by an inorganic nitrogen pathway or by enzymatic catalysis. Slow and spontaneous liberation of NO occurs from nitrite at neutral pH (Yamasaki, 2000). Acid pH and reducing agents such as ascorbate and phenolics, which are abundant in plants, can also accelerate the rate of NO production (Yamasaki, 2000; Berthke et al., 2004). Recently, Berthke and colleagues have demonstrated synthesis of NO via the nonenzymatic reduction of apoplastic nitrite in seeds (Berthke et al., 2004), although it is unlikely that this route of production of NO is significant in response to pathogen attack as the apoplastic pH is likely to be too high (Bolwell et al., 2002).

In plants, the first enzyme found to be implicated in NO synthesis was nitrate reductase (NR). This protein has a fundamental role in nitrogen assimilation and produces NO when photosynthetic activity is absent or inhibited and when nitrite, the substrate for NR-dependent NO synthesis, can be accumulated (Yamasaki, 2000). As NR is involved in many physiological responses (see Neill *et al.*,

Fig. 1. Representation of NO signalling functions during the HR. Grey arrows represent potential NO functions and synthesis; blue arrows represent experimental supported results.

CHS, chalcone synthase; C4H, cinnamic acid-4-hydroxylase; CA, cinnamic acid; Ca²⁺, calcium influx; cADPR, cyclic ADP ribose; cGMP, cyclic GMP; GPX, glutathione peroxidase; GSNO, S-nitroso-L-glutathione; GST, glutathione S-transferase; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; HR, hypersensitive response; JA, jasmonic acid; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NO, nitric oxide; NOS, nitric oxide synthase; ONOC⁻, peroxynitrite; PAL, phenylalanine ammonia lyase; PHE, phenylalanine; PR, pathogenesis-related proteins; SA, salicylic acid; SAR, systemic acquired resistance; SOD, superoxide dismutase.





2003), it has been viewed as a candidate for NO production during plant-pathogen interactions. Yamamoto *et al.* (2003) reported that fungal infection of potato tubers causes a transient increase of the NR transcript. However, no significant differences in NO accumulation have been observed in response to infection with avirulent pathogens between wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants and the double mutant *nia1/nia2* that has no NR activity (Zhang *et al.*, 2003).

During the last few years, several groups have provided evidence for the existence of NOS-like activity in plants. NOS-like activities, detected by the oxidation of arginine to citrulline or by electron paramagnetic resonance, have been identified in several plant species such as Mucuna hassioo, Lupinus albus, tobacco, pea, maize and soybean (see Neill et al., 2003). In addition, inhibition of NO production by mammalian NOS inhibitors has been observed in pea, soybean, tobacco and Taxus brevifolia (see Wendehenne et al., 2001). Furthermore, confirmatory results have been obtained from immunological and immunocytochemical analysis using antibodies raised against mammalian NOS isoforms (Modolo et al., 2002). Nonetheless, the existence of a plant NOS has been object of discussion because mammalian NOS antibodies may recognize many plant proteins that are unrelated to NOS (Butt et al., 2003) and because no gene with high sequence similarity to known mammalian NOS was found in the genome of Arabidopsis (Neill et al., 2003).

A pathogen-inducible NOS (iNOS) has been recently identified in tobacco and Arabidopsis (Chandok et al., 2003). Purification of NOS-like activity from tobacco demonstrated that the protein is ≈120 kDa and is a variant of the P protein (varP) of the glycine decarboxylase complex (Chandok et al., 2003). In fact, in addition to mammalian inhibitors, the P protein inhibitors carboxymethoxylamine (CM) and aminoacetonitrile (AA) suppresse the ability of tobacco iNOS to synthesize NO (Chandok et al., 2003). Although plant and mammalian iNOS have almost no homology, sequence analysis identified several motifs that may have similar functions. Plant iNOS has similar kinetic properties to its animal counterpart and also requires tetrahydrobiopterin (H₄B), FAD, NADPH, Ca²⁺, calmodulin (CaM) for activity in vitro (Chandok et al., 2003). Moreover, it also contains a pyridoxal phosphate (PP) binding domain that probably participates in NO synthesis as CM inhibits the function of P protein by reacting with PP (Chandok et al., 2003). The activity of iNOS is strongly induced both in resistant tobacco after infiltration of leaves with tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) and in Arabidopsisresistant plants infected with turnip crinkle virus (TCV) (Chandok et al., 2003). Preliminary studies have indicated a dramatic rise in varP mRNA levels in TCV-infected resistant Arabidopsis plants (Chandok et al., 2003). The rapid NO production measured in epidermal cells after treatment with the elicitor cryptogein (Foissner *et al.*, 2000) as well as the requirement of Ca^{2+} influx and protein phosphorylation during NOS-dependent NO accumulation in ginseng cells treated with oligogalacturonic acid (Hu *et al.*, 2003) suggest that plant iNOS could also be post-translationally regulated or that another, possibly constitutive, plant NOS could be post-translationally regulated in order to produce NO in a rapid manner.

The existence of different isoforms of NOS in animals suggests that plants may also possess more than one isoform. This hypothesis was recently confirmed by the identification of a gene in *Arabidopsis* that encodes a protein with sequence similarity to another protein that has been implicated in NO synthesis in snail *Helix pomatia* (Guo *et al.*, 2003). The protein shows NOS activity that is dependent on NADPH, CaM, Ca²⁺ and independent on BH₄, FAD, FMN and haem. This gene plays a vital role in plant growth, fertility, stomatal movements and hormone signalling (Guo *et al.*, 2003), while its possible role in pathogen response has not been investigated.

Nitric oxide detection during plant-pathogen interaction

Most of the experimental data available on NO detection during plant-pathogen interactions come from studies of infections by biotrophic pathogens (Table 1). Rapid accumulation of NO in response to avirulent bacteria has been observed in soybean and Arabidopsis suspension-cultured cells (Delledonne et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000) as well as in Arabidopsis plants (Zhang et al., 2003). Similarly, a rapid accumulation of NO has been observed in tobacco leaves treated with the fungal elicitor cryptogein (Foissner et al., 2000) and in potato tubers treated with an elicitor from Phytophthora infestans (Yamamoto et al., 2003). Also, direct contact of avirulent crown rust fungus with oat plants induces the production of NO at an early stage in the defence response (Tada et al., 2004). Additionally, an increase in NOS activity correlated with the pathogen resistance response has been observed in resistant tobacco during TMV infection (Durner et al., 1998; Chandok et al., 2003) and in soybean cotyledons challenged with a fungal elicitor (Modolo et al., 2002). Oligogalacturonic acid, an elicitor produced from plant cell wall degradation, stimulates NO accumulation and induces increased NOS activity in ginseng cells (Hu et al., 2003). In contrast, virulent bacteria cause only an extremely modest accumulation of NO in soybean or Arabidopsis cell suspensions (Delledonne et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000). These results suggest that after challenge with avirulent pathogen or elicitor NO accumulates in resistant plants, and establish a direct correlation between disease resistance responses against biotophic pathogens and NO.

Table 1. Evidence of NO production during plant-pathogen interaction in various sy	stems
--	-------

Plant	Pathogen/elicitor	Assay	Reference
Arabidopsis leaves	P. s. m. avrRpm1	NOS inhibitors, indirect method	Delledonne et al. (1998)
Arabidopsis cell suspension	<i>P. s. m.</i> m6	Haemoglobin assay	Clarke et al. (2000)
Arabidopsis leaves	TCV	NOS activity	Chandok <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Arabidopsis leaves	P. s. t. avrB or avrRpt2	DAF detection	Zhang et al. (2003)
Ginseng cells	OGA	NOS activity	Hu <i>et al.</i> (2003)
Oat leaves	Rust fungus	DAF detection	Tada <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Potato tuber disks	HWC	DAF detection in protein extract	Yamamoto et al. (2003)
Soybean cell suspension	P. s. g.	Haemoglobin assay	Delledonne et al. (1998)
Soybean cell suspension	P. s. g. avrA	Haemoglobin assay	Delledonne et al. (1998)
Soybean cotyledons	Dpm	NOS activity	Modolo et al. (2002)
Tobacco leaves	TMV	NOS activity	Durner et al. (1998)
Tobacco epidermal section	Crypt	DAF detection	Foissner et al. (2000)
Tobacco leaves	TMV	NOS activity	Chandok et al. (2003)

Crypt, cryptogein fungal elicitor from *Phytophthora cryptogea*; Dpm, fungal elicitor from *Diaporthe phaseolorum meridionalis*; HWC, hyphal wall component, fungal elicitor from *Phytophthora infestans*; OGA, oligogalacturonic acid, an elicitor from plant cell wall; *P. s. g., Pseudomonas siringae glicinea*; *P. s. g. avrA*, *Pseudomonas siringae glicinea* carrying the *avrA* avirulence gene; *P. s. m. avrRpm1*, *Pseudomonas siringae maculicola* carrying the *avrA* preudomonas siringae maculicola carrying the *avrAppm1* avirulence gene; *P. s. m. avrRpm1*, *Pseudomonas siringae maculicola* carrying the *avrAppm1* avirulence gene; *P. s. m. avrRpm1*, *Pseudomonas siringae maculicola* carrying the *avrRpm1* avirulence gene; *P. s. m. avrRpm1*, *Pseudomonas siringae maculicola* carrying the *avrRpm1* avirulence gene; *P. s. t. avrB* or *avrRpt2*, *Pseudomonas siringae tomato* carrying either *avrB* or *avrRpt2* avirulence genes; rust fungus, avirulent crown rust fungus *Puccinia coronata avenae*; TCV, turnip crinkle virus; TMV, tobacco mosaic virus.

Only a small amount of contradictory data are available regarding the production of NO during the defence response associated with necrotrophic pathogens or herbivorous insects. For example, wounding tomato leaves does not cause an increase in NO production (Orozco-Cardenas and Ryan, 2002), while significant amounts of NO are produced after wounding sweet potato or Arabidopsis plants (Jih et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2004). In addition, it has been shown that NO activates early wounding-related genes in Arabidopsis plants, although it does not appear to be a key player in this response as the induction of these genes after wounding is not affected by NO scavengers (Huang et al., 2004). Moreover, NO can function as a negative regulator of some woundrelated signals as NO donors either inhibit or delay the expression of wound-dependent genes such as proteinase inhibitors in tomato or ipomoelin (IPO) in sweet potato and reduces the production of wound-induced H_2O_2 (Orozco-Cardenas and Ryan, 2002; Jih et al., 2003). Further analyses are still needed to clarify the involvement of NO in defence to necrotrophic pathogens and insect herbivory.

Nitric oxide and hypersensitive response

A widespread feature of plant disease resistance is the hypersensitive response (HR), which is characterized by the formation of necrotic lesions at the infection site that function to restrict pathogen infection and spread (Lamb and Dixon, 1997). One of the earliest events in the HR is the rapid accumulation of ROS (Keller *et al.*, 1998) and NO (Delledonne *et al.*, 1998; Durner *et al.*, 1998). A peak of NO concomitant with the oxidative burst has been detected in soybean and *Arabidopsis* suspension-cultured

cells about 6 h after challenge with an avirulent pathogen (Delledonne et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000) while NO production has been detected at 3 or 5 h after infiltration of Arabidopsis leaves depending on the avirulence gene (Zhang et al., 2003). Additionally, a peak of NOS activity has been observed between 4 and 6 h after treatment, depending on the experimental condition, in tobacco plants infected with TMV and in soybean cotyledons challenged with fungal elicitor (Durner et al., 1998; Modolo et al., 2002; Chandok et al., 2003). Treatment with purified elicitors usually causes a faster plant response, as already observed for ROS accumulation (Kieffer et al., 2000) because of the time necessary for direct or indirect release of the elicitor during whole pathogen treatment. Indeed, NO accumulation was found to occur within just 3 min in cryptogein-elicited tobacco leaves (Foissner et al., 2000).

The simultaneous increase of NO and ROS activate a hypersensitive cell death in soybean and tobacco cell suspensions, while the independent increase of only one component of this binary system has little effect on induction of cell death (Delledonne et al., 1998; de Pinto et al., 2002). Instead, the role of NO as an intercellular signal that triggers cell death in adjacent cells has been recently proposed for Arabidopsis leaves infected with two different Pseudomonas avirulent strains as well. The kinetics of accumulation of NO and progression of the HR suggest the involvement of NO in cell-to-cell spreading of HR rather than in triggering cell death (Zhang et al., 2003). Moreover, cytological observations have shown that either administration of NO donors or alteration of H₂O₂ levels has no effect on the elicitation of the HR in infected cells in oat plants, although both molecules are required for the onset of cell death in adjacent cells (Tada et al., 2004).

In many biological systems, the cytotoxic effects of NO and ROS derive from the diffusion-limited reaction of NO with O_2^- to form the peroxynitrite anion ONOO⁻, which then interacts with several cellular components (Koppenol et al., 1992). It has been shown that urate, an ONOOscavenger, decreases lesion formation in Arabidopsis leaves challenged with avirulent Pseudomonas. However, urate did not exert any protective effects against damage originating from NO + H₂O₂ (Alamillo and Garcia-Olmedo, 2001). Nonetheless, this result should be interpreted with caution as in plants urate oxidase catalyses the oxidation of uric acid in the presence of O₂ to form allantoin and H₂O₂ (Fraisse et al., 2002). Furthermore, it has been shown that when soybean cell suspensions are exposed to a wide range of concentrations of ONOO-, cellular viability remains unaltered (Delledonne et al., 2001). Although ONOO⁻ does not appear to be an essential intermediate of NO-induced cell death, it is expected to have important physiological and signalling functions in plants, as reported for animal cells (Beckman et al., 1990).

Exactly how NO cooperates with H₂O₂ to trigger hypersensitive cell death is still the object of extensive investigation. In vitro studies have suggested that a reaction between gaseous NO and H₂O₂ produces either singlet oxygen or hydroxyl radicals (Noronha-Dutra et al., 1993). Alternatively, the toxicity of NO/H₂O₂ may result from the production of a potent oxidant formed via a trace metal, H₂O₂ and an NO-dependent process (Farias-Eisner et al., 1996). However, experimental evidence indicates that NO can induce cell death by triggering an active process in which proteases appear to play a crucial role. Cystatinsensitive proteases have been found to be critical regulators for HR cell death in a soybean model system (Belenghi et al., 2003). A gene encoding the cysteine protease RD21 was found to be induced by NO in Arabidopsis (Polverari et al., 2003) and overexpression of a cysteine protease inhibitor was found to block cell death activated either by avirulent pathogens or by nitrosative stress in Arabidopsis and tobacco plants (Belenghi et al., 2003). Finally, caspase-specific protein fragmentation has recently been revealed during the HR in tobacco plants infected with TMV (Chichkova et al., 2004), and Ac-YVAD-CMK, an irreversible inhibitor of mammalian caspase-1, was shown to block NO-induced cell death (Clarke et al., 2000).

The observation that cell death during the HR is under control of a balanced accumulation of NO and H_2O_2 has physiological consequences. The formation of ROS is an inevitable event in normal cell metabolism and excesses of ROS accumulate during exposure to various stress (Mittler, 2002). The emission of NO from plants occurs under stress situations as well as under normal growth conditions and is linked to the accumulation of NO₂ (Klepper, 1990). Based on these observations, it may be hypothesized that ONOO⁻ is continuously formed in healthy cells. Consequently, plant cells may have developed specific mechanisms to overcome the toxicity of ONOO⁻, and may have adopted different, still unknown, NO/ROS signals for triggering cell death during the HR.

Nitric oxide-dependent signalling functions during the hypersensitive response

Nitric oxide functions together with ROS in triggering hypersensitive cell death, but it is also involved in other defence functions complementary to and independent of ROS. The analysis of gene expression profiles in NOtreated Arabidopsis leaves shows that NO can influence the transcriptional activity of a wide set of genes (Polverari et al., 2003). Expression levels of the defence-related genes phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL), the first enzyme of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis pathway, and pathogenesis-related protein PR-1, a well-defined marker of plant disease resistance whose expression during the defence response generally lags behind that of PAL, rise following administration of NO donors or recombinant mammalian NOS (Durner et al., 1998; de Pinto et al., 2002). Moreover, inhibition of NOS activity markedly reduces the accumulation of transcripts encoding PAL and chalcone synthase, the first enzyme of the branch specific for flavonoids and isoflavonoid-derived antibiotics (Delledonne et al., 1998). There is evidence that signal transduction pathway inducing the production of phytoalexin stimulated by NO exists in higher plant cells (Noritake et al., 1996). Indeed, the response of soybean cotyledons to elicitors from Diaporthe phaseolorum f. sp. meridionalis implies that NO production via an NOS-like enzyme triggers the biosynthesis of anti-microbial flavonoids (Modolo et al., 2002). Additionally, a transcriptional increase in cinnamate-4-hydroxylase (C4H) has been observed in Arabidopsis following infiltration with an NO donor in cell death-inducing conditions (Polverari et al., 2003). C4H is considered a key enzyme in the synthesis of phenolic compounds related to disease resistance. This result provides substantial support to the notion that defence responses related to the phenylpropanoid pathway are induced by NO in plants.

Nitric oxide signalling often operates in mammalian cells through cyclic GMP (cGMP)- and cyclic ADP ribose (cADPR)-dependent pathways (see Wendehenne *et al.*, 2001), and similar mechanisms also appear to be active in plants (Klessig *et al.*, 2000). The involvement of cGMP-dependent components in NO-dependent defence gene activation is suggested by accumulation of PAL and PR-1 transcripts in tobacco cell suspensions treated with a membrane permeable analogue of cGMP, and by suppression of NO-mediated induction of PAL by several inhibitors of mammalian guanylate cyclase (Durner *et al.*,

1998). cGMP synthesis is also necessary although not sufficient for NO-induced cell death in *Arabidopsis* (Clarke *et al.*, 2000). The involvement of cGMP in several plant signal transduction pathways has also been demonstrated, including those that are NO-dependent (Bowler *et al.*, 1994; Durner *et al.*, 1998). However, the activity of guanylate cyclase from *Arabidopsis* is not affected by NO (Ludidi and Gehring, 2003).

Cyclic ADP ribose has been implicated as another second messenger for NO signalling in animals, acting in a cGMP-dependent signalling cascade to mediate calcium mobilization (Denninger and Marletta, 1999). Treatment with cADPR induces expression of PAL and PR-1 in tobacco (Durner et al., 1998), whereas cADPR antagonists suppress the induction of PR-1 by NO. However, this effect is incomplete, indicating that activation of defence responses by NO may occur through more than one pathway (Klessig et al., 2000). Other intracellular targets for NO in mammalian cells are MAP kinases (MAPKs) (Huwiler and Pfeilschifter, 1999). An MAPK has been found to be activated by NO in Arabidopsis (Clarke et al., 2000), although its function in the induction of genes involved in defence has not been clearly shown. Nevertheless, at least two MAPKs have been reported to function as regulators in the early plant defence response (Cardinale et al., 2000). A number of other kinases, and kinase kinases, are being identified that could represent a complex signalling network leading to resistance, which may at least partially share other responses to a number of different stresses (Nurnberger and Scheel, 2001).

It is recognized that NO and related species can oxidize, nitrate or nitrosylate proteins. Thus, it can modify the thiol groups present in reactive amino acids such a cysteine and tyrosine as well as the transition metal centres of a wide functional spectrum of proteins (Stamler et al., 2001). These modifications are both reversible and specific, allowing cells to flexibly and precisely change protein function in response to environmental signals (Mannick and Schonhoff, 2002). NO-related post-translational modifications operate as a signal in mammalian cells and are used to fight invasion by microbes and tumour cells (Stamler et al., 2001). However, the occurrence of NOdependent post-translational modification of proteins in plants must still be assessed. The identification of the proteins that are susceptible to this modification will undoubtedly help to understand better the functional consequences and the relevance of S-nitrosylation under both physiological and pathophysiological conditions.

Systemic acquired resistance and nitric oxide

Plants react to pathogen invasion by mounting a localized hypersensitive reaction at the site of infection and by establishing systemic acquired resistance (SAR), a longlasting systemic immunity that protects the entire plant from subsequent invasion of a broad range of pathogens (Ryals *et al.*, 1996). Establishment of SAR is associated with the systemic expression of defence gene families encoding pathogenesis-related proteins whose physiological functions have not yet been clarified, despite the fact that many possess anti-microbial activity (Van der Biezen and Jones, 1998).

Salicylic acid (SA) plays an important role during incompatible plant-pathogen interactions in both local and systemic resistance, resulting in the stimulation of the initial oxidative burst and leading to defence gene expression (Shirasu et al., 1997). NO treatment induces SA accumulation and its conjugates in tobacco (Durner et al., 1998). Activation of PR-1 by NO is mediated by SA, because it is blocked in transgenic NahG plants which are unable to accumulate SA (Durner et al., 1998). Moreover, when tobacco plants are treated with NO donors, the lesions caused by TMV on non-treated leaves are reduced in wildtype, but not in NahG plants (Song and Goodman, 2001), whereas treatments with NOS inhibitors or NO scavengers diminish SA-induced SAR (Song and Goodman, 2001). These results indicate that NO plays an important role in the induction of signalling pathway(s) leading to establishment of SAR in tobacco, although its activity is fully dependent on the function of SA.

Although SA is an important molecule required for defence gene induction in uninfected distal tissue, it is not the key signal that activates systemic resistance (Mauch-Mani and Métraux, 1998). Additional molecules, such as lipids and lipid derivatives, have been suggested to be short- and long-distance mobile signals (Maldonado et al., 2002). Recently, an endogenous peptide elicitor released by an aspartic protease has been proposed as portable signal (Xia et al., 2004). Yet another candidate for mobile signalling is nitroso glutathione (GSNO; Durner et al., 1999) that is similar to mammals wherein NO circulates as S-nitroso thiol adducts of proteins, or as low molecular weight S-nitroso thiol. GSNO is believed to act as both an intra- and intercellular NO carrier. In fact, glutathione is a major metabolite in phloem, where the SAR signal is most probably transmitted. It has been shown that GSNO induces systemic resistance against TMV infection in tobacco (Song and Goodman, 2001). In addition, GSNO is a powerful inducer of plant defence genes (Durner et al., 1998) and recently a GSNO-catabolizing enzyme and its encoding gene (GS-FDH) have been characterized (Sakamoto et al., 2002). Moreover, a mutant yeast has been characterized that shows enhanced susceptibility to nitrosative stress (Liu et al., 2001). A similar gene has also been identified in both pea and Arabidopsis (Shafqat et al., 1996; Sakamoto et al., 2002), suggesting that plants may be able to modulate the bioactivity and signalling function of this stabilized form of NO.

Concluding remarks

A number of recent publications have evidenced the broad spectrum of cellular functions modulated by NO in plants. In particular, NO has been shown to play a key role during plant–pathogen interactions by triggering resistanceassociated cell death and inducing defence-related genes. This finding has contributed to increasing the interest in NO and its synthesis and functions. Although different enzymatic sources of NO cannot be excluded, after an extensive search an NOS-like enzyme responsible for NO production during pathogen resistance response has been finally identified. Notwithstanding, many aspects of NO and the downstream mechanisms of its action remain to be elucidated.

Acknowledgements

The authors apologize to the scientists that are not cited because of space limitation. M.C. Romero-Puertas acknowledges a postdoctoral fellowship from the Spanish Government (MECD). M.D. is supported by the EMBO Young Investigator Program.

References

- Alamillo, J.M., and Garcia-Olmedo, F. (2001) Effects of urate, a natural inhibitor of peroxynitrite-mediated toxicity, in the response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to the bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*. *Plant J* **25:** 529–540.
- Beckman, J.S., Beckman, T.W., Chen, J., Marshall, P.A., and Freeman, B.A. (1990) Apparent hydroxyl radical production by peroxynitrite: implications for endothelial injury from nitric oxide and superoxide. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 87: 1620–1624.
- Belenghi, B., Acconcia, F., Trovato, M., Perazzolli, M., Bocedi, A., Polticelli, F., *et al.* (2003) AtCYS1, a cystatin from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, suppresses hypersensitive cell death. *Eur J Biochem* **270**: 1–12.
- Beligni, M.V., Fath, A., Bethke, P.C., Lamattina, L., and Jones, R.L. (2002) Nitric oxide acts as an antioxidant and delays programmed cell death in barley aleurone layers. *Plant Physiol* **129**: 1642–1650.
- Beligni, M.V., and Lamattina, L. (2001) Nitric oxide in plants: the history is just beginning. *Plant Cell Environ* **24:** 267– 278.
- Berthke, P.C., Badger, M.R., and Jones, R.L. (2004) Apoplastic synthesis of nitric oxide by plant tissue. *Plant Cell* **16:** 332–341.
- Bolwell, G.P., Bindschedler, L.V., Blee, K.A., Butt, V.S., Davies, D.R., Gardner, S.L., *et al.* (2002) The apoplastic oxidative burst in response to biotic stress plants: a threecomponent system. *J Exp Bot* **53**: 1367–1376.
- Bowler, C., Van Camp, W., Van Montagu, M., and Inze, D. (1994) Superoxide dismutase in plants. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* **13:** 199–218.
- Butt, Y.K., Lum, J.H., and Lo, S.C. (2003) Proteomic identification of plant proteins probed by mammalian nitric oxide synthase antibodies. *Planta* 216: 762–771.
- Cardinale, F., Jonak, C., Ligterink, W., Niehaus, K., Boller, T., and Hirt, H. (2000) Differential activation of four specific

MAPK pathways by distinct elicitors. *J Biol Chem* **275**: 36734–36740.

- Chandok, M.R., Ytterberg, A.J., van Wijk, K.J., and Klessig, D.F. (2003) The pathogen-inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in plants is a variant of the P protein of the glycine decarboxilase complex. *Cell* **113:** 469–482.
- Chichkova, N.V., Kim, S.H., Titova, E.S., Kalkum, M., Morozov, V.S., Rubtsov, Y.P., *et al.* (2004) A plant caspase-like protease activated during the hypersensitive response. *Plant Cell* **16**: 157–171.
- Clarke, A., Desikan, R., Hurst, R.D., Hancock, J.T., and Neill, S.J. (2000) NO way back: nitric oxide and programmed cell death in *Arabidopsis thaliana* suspension cultures. *Plant J* 24: 667–677.
- Correa-Aragunde, N., Graziano, M., and Lamattina, L. (2004) Nitric oxide plays a central role in determining lateral root development in tomato. *Planta* **218**: 900–905.
- Delledonne, M., Xia, Y., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C. (1998) Nitric oxide functions as a signal in plant disease resistance. *Nature* **394**: 585–588.
- Delledonne, M., Zeier, J., Marocco, A., and Lamb, C. (2001) Signal interactions between nitric oxide and reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **98:** 13454– 13459.
- Denninger, J.W., and Marletta, M.A. (1999) Guanylate cyclase and the (NO)-N-./cGMP signaling pathway. *Biochim Biophys Acta-Bioenerg* **1411:** 334–350.
- Desikan, R., Griffiths, R., Hancock, J., and Neill, S. (2002) A new role for an old enzyme: nitrate reductase-mediated nitric oxide generation is required for abscissic acidinduced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **99:** 16314–16318.
- Durner, J., and Klessig, D.F. (1999) Nitric oxide as a signal in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **2:** 369–374.
- Durner, J., Wendehenne, D., and Klessig, D.F. (1998) Defense gene induction in tobacco by nitric oxide, cyclic GMP, and cyclic ADP-ribose. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 10328–10333.
- Farias-Eisner, R., Chaudhuri, G., Aeberhard, E., and Fukuto, J.M. (1996) The chemistry and tumoricidal activity of nitric oxide/hydrogen peroxide and the implications to cell resistance/susceptibility. *J Biol Chem* **271**: 6144–6151.
- Foissner, I., Wendehenne, D., Langebartels, C., and Durner, J. (2000) *In vivo* imaging of an elicitor-induced nitric oxide burst in tobacco. *Plant J* 23: 817–824.
- Fraisse, L., Bonnet, M.C., de Farcy, J.P., Agut, C., Dersigny, D., and Bayol, A. (2002) A colorimetric 96-well microtiter plate assay for the determination of urate oxidase activity and its kinetic parameters. *Anal Biochem* **309**: 173–179.
- Garcia-Mata, C., and Lamattina, L. (2002) Nitric oxide and abscisic acid cross talk in guard cells. *Plant Physiol* **128**: 790–792.
- Gould, K.S., Lamotte, O., Klinguer, A., Pugin, A., and Wendehenne, D. (2003) Nitric oxide production in tobacco leaf cells: a generalized stress response? *Plant Cell Environ* 26: 1851–1862.
- Guo, F.Q., Okamoto, M., and Crawford, N.M. (2003) Identification of a plant nitric oxide synthase gene involved in hormonal signaling. *Science* **302**: 100–103.
- Hill, A.C., and Bennet, J.H. (1970) Inhibition of apparent photosynthesis by nitrogen oxides. *Atmos Environ* 4: 341– 348.

^{© 2004} Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Cellular Microbiology, 6, 795-803

- Hu, X.Y., Neill, S.J., Cai, W.M., and Tang, Z.C. (2003) Nitric oxide mediates elicitor-induced saponin synthesis in cell cultures of Panax ginseng. *Funct Plant Biol* **30**: 901–907.
- Huang, X., Stettmaier, K., Michel, C., Hutzler, P., Mueller, M.J., and Durner, J. (2004) Nitric oxide is induced by wounding and influences jasmonic acid signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Planta* **218**: 938–946.
- Huwiler, A., and Pfeilschifter, J. (1999) Nitric oxide stimulates the stress-activated protein kinase p38 in rat renal mesangial cells. *J Exp Bot Biol* **202**: 655–660.
- Jih, P.J., Chen, Y.C., and Jeng, S.T. (2003) Involvement of hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide in expression of the ipomoelin gene from sweet potato. *Plant Physiol* **132**: 381– 389.
- Keller, T., Damude, H.G., Werner, D., Doerner, P., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C. (1998) A plant homolog of the neutrophil NADPH oxidase gp91^{phox} subunit gene encodes a plasma membrane protein with Ca²⁺ binding motifs. *Plant Cell* **10:** 255–266.
- Kieffer, F., Lherminier, J., Simon-Plas, F., Nicole, M., Paynot, M., Elmayan, T., and Blein, J.P. (2000) The fungal elicitor cryptogein induces cell wall modifications on tobacco cell suspension. J Exp Bot 51: 1799–1811.
- Klepper, L. (1990) Comparison between Nox evolution mechanisms of wild-type and nr1 mutant soybean leaves. *Plant Physiol* **93:** 26–32.
- Klessig, D.F., Durner, J., Noad, R., Navarre, D.A., Wendehenne, D., Kumar, D., *et al.* (2000) Nitric oxide and salicylic acid signaling in plant defense. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97: 8849–8855.
- Koppenol, W.H., Moreno, J.J., Pryor, W.A., Ischiropoulos, H., and Beckman, J.S. (1992) Peroxynitrite, a cloaked oxidant formed by nitric oxide and superoxide. *Chem Res Toxicol* 5: 834–842.
- Lamb, C., and Dixon, R.A. (1997) The oxidative burst in plant disease resistance. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 48: 251–275.
- Leshem, Y.Y., and Haramaty, E. (1996) The characterization and contrasting effects of nitric oxide free radical in vegetative stress and senescence of *Pisum sativum* foliage. *J Plant Physiol* **148:** 258–263.
- Leshem, Y.Y., Willis, R.B.H., and Ku, V.V.V. (1998) Evidence for the function of the free radical gas – nitric oxide (NO) – as an endogenous maturation and senescence regulating factor in higher plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* **36:** 825– 833.
- Levine, A., Tenhaken, R., Dixon, R., and Lamb, C. (1994) H₂O₂ from the oxidative burst orchestrates the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. *Cell* **79:** 583–593.
- Liu, L., Hausladen, A., Zeng, M., Que, L., Heitman, J., and Stamler, J.S. (2001) A metabolic enzyme for S-nitrosothiol conserved from bacteria to humans. *Nature* **410**: 490–494.
- Ludidi, N., and Gehring, C. (2003) Identification of a novel protein with guanylyl cyclase activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana. J Biol Chem* **278**: 6490–6494.
- Maldonado, A.M., Doerner, P., Dixon, R.A., Lamb, C.J., and Cameron, R.K. (2002) A putative lipid transfer protein involved in systemic resistance signalling in Arabidopsis. *Nature* **419**: 399–403.
- Mannick, J.B., and Schonhoff, C.M. (2002) Nitrosylation: the next phosphorylation? Arch Biochem Biophys 408: 1–6.
- Mauch-Mani, B., and Métraux, J.P. (1998) Salicylic acid and systemic acquired resistance to pathogen attack. *Annal Bot* **82**: 535–540.

- Mittler, R. (2002) Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci* **7**: 405–410.
- Modolo, L.V., Cunha, F.Q., Braga, M.R., and Salgado, I. (2002) Nitric oxide synthase-mediated phytoalexin accumulation in soybean cotyledons in response to the *Diaporthe phaseolorum* f. sp. *meridionalis* elicitor. *Plant Physiol* **130**: 1288–1297.
- Neill, S.J., Desikan, R., and Hancock, J.T. (2003) Nitric oxide signalling in plants. *New Phytol* **159**: 11–35.
- Noritake, T., Kawakita, K., and Doke, N. (1996) Nitric oxide induces phytoalexin accumulation in potato tuber tissues. *Plant Cell Physiol* **37:** 113–116.
- Noronha-Dutra, A.A., Epperlein, M.M., and Woolf, N. (1993) Reaction of nitric oxide with hydrogen peroxide to produce potentially cytotoxic singlet oxygen as a model for nitric oxide-mediated killing. *FEBS Lett* **321**: 59–62.
- Nurnberger, T., and Scheel, D. (2001) Signal transmission in the plant immune response. *Trends Plant Sci* 6: 372–379.
- Orozco-Cardenas, M.L., and Ryan, C.A. (2002) Nitric oxide negatively modulates wound signaling in tomato plants. *Plant Physiol* **130:** 487–493.
- Pagnussat, G.C., Lanteri, M.L., and Lamattina, L. (2003) Nitric oxide and cyclic GMP are messengers in the indole acetic acid-induced adventitious rooting process. *Plant Physiol* **132**: 1241–1248.
- Pedroso, M.C., Magalhaes, J.R., and Durzan, D. (2000) A nitric oxide burst precedes apoptosis in angiosperm and gymnosperm callus cells and foliar tissues. *J Exp Bot* **51**: 1027–1036.
- de Pinto, M.C., Tommasi, F., and De Gara, L. (2002) Changes in the antioxidant systems as part of the signaling pathway responsible for the programmed cell death activated by nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species in tobacco bright-yellow 2 cells. *Plant Physiol* **130**: 698–708.
- Polverari, A., Molesini, B., Pezzotti, M., Buonaurio, R., Marte, M., and Delledonne, M. (2003) Nitric oxide-mediated transcriptional changes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **16**: 1094–1105.
- Ryals, J.A., Neuenschwander, U.H., Willits, M.G., Molina, A., Steiner, H.Y., and Hunt, M.D. (1996) Systemic acquired resistance. *Plant Cell* 8: 1809–1819.
- Sakamoto, A., Ueda, M., and Morikawa, H. (2002) *Arabidopsis* glutathione-dependent formaldehyde dehydrogenase is an S-nitrosoglutathione reductase. *FEBS Lett* **515:** 20–24.
- Shafqat, J., El-Ahmad, M., Danielsson, O., Martinez, M.C., Persson, B., Parés, X., and Jornvall, H. (1996) Pea formaldehyde-active class III alcohol dehydrogenase: common derivation of the plant and animal forms but not of the corresponding ethanol-active forms (classes I and P). *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93**: 5595–5599.
- Shirasu, K., Nakajima, H., Rajasekhar, V.K., Dixon, R.A., and Lamb, C. (1997) Salicylic acid potentiates an agonistdependent gain control that amplifies pathogen signals in the activation of defense mechanisms. *Plant Cell* **9:** 261– 270.
- Song, F., and Goodman, R.M. (2001) Activity of nitric oxide is dependent on, but is partially required for function of, salicylic acid in the signaling pathway in tobacco systemic acquired resistance. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **14:** 1458– 1462.
- Stamler, J.S., Lamas, S., and Fang, F.C. (2001) Nitrosylation: the prototypic redox-based signaling mechanism. *Cell* **106**: 675–683.

© 2004 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Cellular Microbiology, 6, 795-803

- Tada, Y., Mori, T., Shinogi, T., Yao, N., Takahashi, S., Betsuyaku, S., *et al.* (2004) Nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species do not elicit hypersensitive cell death but induce apoptosis in the adjacent cells during the defense response of oat. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **17**: 245–253.
- Van der Biezen, E.A., and Jones, J.D. (1998) Plant diseaseresistance proteins and the gene-for-gene concept. *Trends Biochem Sci* 23: 454–456.
- Weitzberg, E., and Lundberg, J.O. (1998) Nonenzymatic nitric oxide production in humans. *Nitric Oxide* **2:** 1–7.
- Wendehenne, D., Pugin, A., Klessig, D.F., and Durner, J. (2001) Nitric oxide: comparative synthesis and signaling in animal and plant cells. *Trends Plant Sci* 6: 177–183.
- Xia, Y., Suzuki, H., Borevitz, J., Blount, J., Guo, Z., Patel, K., *et al.* (2004) An extracellular aspartic protease functions in Arabidopsis disease resistance signaling. *EMBO J* **23**: 980–988.
- Yamamoto, A., Katou, S., Yoshioka, H., Doke, N., and Kawakita, K. (2003) Nitrate reductase, a nitric oxide-producing

enzyme: induction by pathogen signals. *J Gen Plant Pathol* **69:** 218–229.

- Yamasaki, H. (2000) Nitrite-dependent nitric oxide production pathway: implications for involvement of active nitrogen species in photoinhibition *in vivo*. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **355**: 1477–1488.
- Zhang, C., Czymmek, K.J., and Shapiro, A.D. (2003) Nitric oxide does not trigger early programmed cell death events but may contribute to cell-to-cell signaling governing progression of the *Arabidopsis* hypersensitive response. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 16: 962–972.
- Zhao, L., Zhang, F., Guo, J., Yang, Y., Li, B., and Zhang, L. (2004) Nitric oxide functions as a signal in salt resistance in the calluses from two ecotypes of reed. *Plant Physiol* **134:** 849–857.
- Zottini, M., Formentin, E., Scattolin, M., Carimi, F., Lo Schiavo, F., and Terzi, M. (2002) Nitric oxide affects plant mitochondrial functionality *in vivo. FEBS Lett* **515**: 75–78.