

ECOGRAPHY

Research

Network-scale effects of invasive species on spatially-structured amphibian populations

Raoul Manenti, Mattia Falaschi, Daniele Delle Monache, Silvio Marta and Gentile Francesco Ficetola

R. Manenti (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6071-8194>) ✉ (raoulmanenti@gmail.com), M. Falaschi, S. Marta and G. F. Ficetola, Dept of Environmental Science and Policy, Univ. of Milan, Milano, Italy. – D. Delle Monache, Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra e dell'Ambiente, Univ. degli Studi di Pavia, Pavia, Italy.

Ecography

42: 1–9, 2019

doi: 10.1111/ecog.04571

Subject Editor: Dominique Gravel

Editor-in-Chief: Miguel Araújo

Accepted 19 September 2019



Understanding the factors affecting the dynamics of spatially-structured populations (SSP) is a central topic of conservation and landscape ecology. Invasive alien species are increasingly important drivers of the dynamics of native species. However, the impacts of invasives are often assessed at the patch scale, while their effects on SSP dynamics are rarely considered. We used long-term abundance data to test whether the impact of invasive crayfish on subpopulations can also affect the whole SSP dynamics, through their influence on source populations. From 2010 to 2018, we surveyed a network of 58 ponds and recorded the abundance of Italian agile frog clutches, the occurrence of an invasive crayfish, and environmental features. Using Bayesian hierarchical models, we assessed relationships between frog abundance in ponds and a) environmental features; b) connectivity within the SSP; c) occurrence of invasive species at both the patch- and the SSP-levels. If spatial relationships between ponds were overlooked, we did not detect effects of crayfish presence on frog abundance or trends. When we jointly considered habitat, subpopulation and SSP features, processes acting at all these levels affected frog abundance. At the subpopulation scale, frog abundance in a year was related to habitat features, but was unrelated to crayfish occurrence at that site during the previous year. However, when we considered the SSP level, we found a strong negative relationship between frog abundance in a given site and crayfish frequency in surrounding wetlands during the previous year. Hence, SSP-level analyses can identify effects that would remain unnoticed when focussing on single patches. Invasive species can affect population dynamics even in not invaded patches, through the degradation of subpopulation networks. Patch-scale assessments of the impact of invasive species can thus be insufficient: predicting the long-term interplay between invasive and native populations requires landscape-level approaches accounting for the complexity of spatial interactions.

Keywords: landscape features, long-term analysis, metapopulation dynamics, microhabitat, *Procambarus clarkii*, *Rana latastei*



www.ecography.org

Introduction

The idea that population processes heavily depend on spatial connections has revolutionized our understanding of population dynamics. Levins (1969) first posited that

© 2019 The Authors. Ecography published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Nordic Society Oikos
This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the colonisation – extinction dynamics within networks of subpopulations are affected by their migration pattern (metapopulations dynamics). In turn, migration is influenced by the spatial configuration of patches, thus the metapopulation concept has boosted research on the effects of fragmentation on the demography of populations, leading to models that help to predict the distribution patterns and dynamics of species in real landscapes (Hanski 1998, De Roissart et al. 2015). In most ecosystems, the variation of patch-scale characteristics has significant effects on local demographic rates (Hanski 1998), still the dynamics of subpopulations living in discrete habitats are also determined by processes acting at the landscape level, such as connectivity and dispersal (Friedenberg 2003, Semlitsch 2008, De Roissart et al. 2015). This can lead to source-sink dynamics, where the viability of a subpopulation often depends by the immigration/emigration from nearby populations, and some patches cannot sustain local populations without immigration from connected patches (Hale et al. 2015, Seward et al. 2019). For instance, sites close to populations with high net reproductive rate (which act as sources) can have more positive population dynamics than expected solely on the basis of their in-site recruitment (Hanski 1998, Figuerola and Green 2002, Hanski and Gaggiotti 2004).

These ideas have prompted research on the effects of spatial relationships within spatially-structured populations (SSP) (Fortin and Agrawal 2005, Revilla and Wiegand 2008). Spatially-structured populations are groups of local populations connected by the exchange of dispersing individuals and comprise a continuum range of categories classically considered within the metapopulation framework, such as patchy populations, metapopulations and source-sink networks (Revilla and Wiegand 2008, Cayuela et al. 2019). Immigration and connectivity are key determinants of population processes at multiple scales. Therefore, the analysis of SSP and the importance of their spatial connections have been a central theme in conservation biology and landscape management (Saunders 1991, Sadeh 2012, Oliver and Morecroft 2014). SSP analyses have greatly helped to assess the consequences of habitat fragmentation and degradation, and to evaluate the role of the matrix between habitat patches (Blixt et al. 2015, Davies-Mostert et al. 2015, Miller et al. 2015, Hamer 2018). However, habitat availability and fragmentation are not the only processes influencing the dynamics of SSP. First, there is increasing recognition of a major role of density-dependent factors, which can influence multiple parameters such as survival, emigration and subpopulation dynamics (Cayuela et al. 2019). Furthermore, all the environmental factors affecting fitness in subpopulations can impact the dynamics of nearby patches; the SSP approach can thus be successfully applied to a broad range of environmental stressors. For instance, the SSP concepts can help to understand and mitigate the impacts of climate change on populations (Oliver and Morecroft 2014) and could also allow better assessing the impact of invasive alien species (IAS).

Invasive species are a frequent disturbance factor for patchily-distributed native species, as they can affect the fitness of native species both directly (e.g. through predation and competition) and indirectly (e.g. habitat modifications) (Nentwig 2007, Vilà et al. 2010). IAS influencing the net reproductive rate within subpopulations are expected to ultimately affect the flow of dispersers that can emigrate to nearby populations (Hejda et al. 2009). Assessing the impact of IAS is a major task for ecologists and conservationists, still, the effects of alien species on the overall dynamics of SSP are rarely considered. In fact, even though several studies recognize that invasive species often exist within SSP, the impacts of IAS are generally measured (and consequently managed) only at the subpopulation level. Pond-breeding amphibians are excellent models to infer the effects of alien species on native SSP: their populations are structured into discrete patches where reproduction occurs (here, a pond=a patch) and connected by the migration of post-metamorphic individuals (Marsh and Trenham 2001, Smith and Green 2005, Cayuela et al. 2019). This ‘ponds-as-patches’ view has some limitations because in some cases nearby ponds are connected by a very high rate of dispersal (Smith and Green 2005); nevertheless, the SSP concepts remain essential to understanding the dynamics of amphibian populations. The analysis of factors acting jointly at the patch and landscape scales might indeed provide key insights on the processes regulating population persistence and allows planning robust management strategies.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of invasive species at the SSP level, assessing whether IAS affect the dynamics of subpopulations through their influence on potential source populations. To achieve this task, we evaluated the long-term effects of the invasive crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* on the threatened Italian agile frog *Rana latastei*, considering negative impacts at both the subpopulation and the network levels. Despite multiple evidence of heavy predation pressure of the crayfish on amphibian populations (Cruz et al. 2006, Ficetola et al. 2011a), only a part of the studies detected a clear negative relationship between crayfish presence and amphibian occurrence, and some even suggested long-term coexistence between some amphibian species and the crayfish (Bélouard et al. 2019). Most of these works are snapshot studies describing the distribution of the alien crayfish and native amphibians in a single year across patches. However, species distribution is the results of complex dynamics occurring in the long-term and at the landscape scale. Understanding the impact of IAS on the distribution of native amphibians thus requires long-term information on the abundance of both native and invasive species. Unfortunately, such data are rare (Strayer 2012, Ficetola et al. 2018, Denoël et al. 2019). Here we take advantage of long-term data on the threatened Italian agile frog to test whether the impact of IAS on subpopulations has effects on the whole SSP dynamics. If the impact of crayfish on population dynamics mostly occurs at the subpopulation level, we predict a negative relationship between subpopulation size (i.e. the number of breeding females in a wetland, hereby abundance or subpopulation size) and the

crayfish presence at the patch level. Conversely, if crayfish impact occurs over the whole SSP, we predict that the sub-population size is strongly determined by the crayfish presence in nearby wetlands. Our study provides evidence that the occurrence of IAS in a landscape may affect local population size even in not invaded patches. This highlights the importance of incorporating SSP dynamics in the assessment of the impact of invasive species.

Material and methods

Study system

We focused on the effects of the invasive American red swamp crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*, on the threatened Italian agile frog *Rana latastei*. The Italian agile frog is a brown frog, endemic to the Po river lowland (northern Italy) and adjacent areas. This frog is a forest specialist; it is an explosive breeder and each female produces a single egg mass (~1000 eggs per clutch; Ambrogio and Mezzadri 2018) during late winter – early spring. Clutches are globular and are deposited onto submerged woods and tree roots within 1 m of the water surface (Lanza et al. 2007), therefore, they are well correlated to the number of breeding females (Ficetola et al. 2010). Previous studies have demonstrated that Italian agile frogs live in complex networks of spatially-structured populations and that wetlands at distances < 1500 m have connected population dynamics (Ficetola and De Bernardi 2004, Stankovic and Pobolisaj 2013). After metamorphosis, froglets disperse into the landscape, sexual maturity occurs usually at one year and young adults can colonize new wetlands. Maximum lifespan is four years, and adults generally show high site fidelity (i.e. after the first breeding season they continue to breed in the same pond, Glandt 1986, Berven and Grudzen 1990, Bernini et al. 2000, Guarino et al. 2003, Smith and Green 2005). The average age of breeding females is 1.7 yr, and just 50% of females survive until two years of age (Guarino et al. 2003). Given the very strong annual mortality, it is unlikely that individuals skip one breeding season, as this would mean a high risk of dying without breeding. Therefore, the number of breeding females likely corresponds to adult female population size.

Since the early 2000s, wetlands of the Po lowland have been invaded by the American red swamp crawfish. This invasive crayfish exerts a heavy predation pressure on the larvae of *R. latastei* and other amphibians, strongly reducing their breeding success (Ficetola et al. 2011a). The crayfish invasion is favoured by the colonization of permanent, large ponds where it can form numerous and stable populations. Hence, only part of wetlands in the landscape is colonized by the crayfish, thus determining spatially heterogeneous impact across amphibian subpopulations (Siesa et al. 2011).

Study area, surveys and environmental parameters

From 2010 to 2018, we regularly surveyed a network of 58 freshwater sites (mostly ponds) in the northern Lambro

River basin (Lombardy, NW Italy; Supplementary material Appendix 1 Fig. A1). The average distance between ponds was 1227 m (SD=752). The first detection of the invasive crayfish in this network dates back to 2008. Forty sites were monitored every year, and all sites were monitored at least every second year (average \pm SD 7.27 ± 0.9 monitoring seasons per site). Each monitoring season consisted of five surveys between the end of February and May. Three surveys were performed by night and two by day. During surveys, we counted the number of frog clutches; the maximum number of detected clutches was taken as an indicator of adult population size (Ficetola et al. 2010). Furthermore, we used visual encounter surveys to assess crayfish occurrence. Per-visit detection probability of the crayfish is very high during night surveys (> 90%), thus the number and timing of our surveys allowed to assess crayfish occurrence with high confidence (Manenti et al. 2019a). We did not perform an exhaustive assessment of the occurrence of native predators such as fish or dragonfly larvae, which requires specific techniques. Nevertheless, previous studies showed that fish occurrence does not have strong impact on the distribution of *R. latastei* breeding sites (Ficetola et al. 2011a).

We recorded two wetland features known to affect wetland use by the Italian agile frog for reproduction: surface area and sun exposure. Light regime strongly influences wetland suitability for tadpoles (Halverson et al. 2003), and *R. latastei* is a specialist of shaded wetlands (Ficetola et al. 2011a). During the central hours of the day, we measured the percentage of aquatic site surface covered by shade according to the following rank scale: 1) shade covering < 10% of the surface; 2) shade covering between 10 and 30% of the surface; 3) shade covering 30–60% of the surface; 4) shade covering 60–90% of the surface; 5) shade covering > 90% of the surface. Furthermore, adult frogs live in forest environments, and are strongly associated with wetlands with a high forest cover in a radius of ~400 m (Ficetola et al. 2009, 2011a), thus we measured forest cover within 400 m from each pond, using the 2012 vector map of the Lombardy region (<<http://www.cartografia.regione.lombardia.it>>; ground resolution: 3 m). Finally, for each pond, we considered two variables representing the processes occurring in nearby populations, to take into account the factors acting at the SSP level: surrounding clutch incidence and surrounding crayfish incidence. First, to take into account factors affecting population dynamics via migration, we considered the spatial connections between each pond and all surrounding ponds (Moilanen and Nieminen 2002). The connectivity S of a patch i with clutches in nearby ponds (hereafter: surrounding clutch incidence) was calculated using an incidence function model (Moilanen and Nieminen 2002)

$$S_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \exp(-\alpha d_{ij}) N_j \quad (1)$$

where d is the distance between the focal patch i and each of the remaining patches j , N is the number of laid clutches observed during the previous year in the j -th pond and

$\alpha = 1/1500$ m, i.e. the distance at which subpopulations are known to be connected by migrants (Moilanen and Nieminen 2002, Ficetola and De Bernardi 2004). Second, we calculated the incidence of *P. clarkii* in surrounding wetlands, weighted by distance as in Eq. 1 (hereafter: surrounding crayfish incidence). For both clutch and crayfish incidence, we only considered wetlands where the frog bred at least once during the study period, to avoid unsuitable sites.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed on all sites for which in at least one season we found frog egg-clutches ($n = 25$). We assessed the factors determining the dynamics of subpopulations along the study period across wetlands, taking into account processes occurring both at the subpopulation level and within the SSP network. In so doing, we fitted hierarchical N -mixture models to the abundances observed across subpopulations (Hostetler and Chandler 2015, Mathieu-Begne et al. 2019). These models allow taking into account the imperfect observation of abundances, and include a population dynamics process describing the change of abundance through time (De Valpine and Hastings 2002, Mathieu-Begne et al. 2019). We modelled both patch-scale and SSP dynamics following a Ricker-logistic model, which is a model of population dynamics combining an exponential growth model with density-dependent effects (Hostetler and Chandler 2015). The abundance at the first year of sampling was considered to be only affected by wetland features and forest cover, assuming a negative binomial distribution. When modelling population dynamics, we considered that the expected abundance $E(N_{i,t})$ at locality i and year t can be represented by:

$$\log(E(N_{i,t})) = \log(N_{i,t-1}) + \rho - \eta \times N_{i,t-1} + \beta_1 \times \text{var}_1 + \beta_2 \times \text{var}_2 \dots + \beta_n \times \text{var}_n \quad (2)$$

where ρ is a fixed effect representing the intrinsic growth rate of the metapopulation and η represents the strength of density dependence (Hostetler and Chandler 2015, Mathieu-Begne et al. 2019). $\text{var}_1, \text{var}_2, \dots, \text{var}_n$ are independent variables potentially affecting population dynamics.

In a first step (patch-level analysis) we considered as independent variables crayfish occurrence in the patch at year $t - 1$, and the three variables describing the environmental features of breeding sites and the surrounding landscape: wetland surface area, sun exposure, and forest cover within 400 m from the wetland. In a second step (SSP-level analysis), we considered the same variables of the patch-level analysis, plus two variables representing the role of processes acting at the SSP level: the number of clutches laid in nearby wetlands (surrounding clutch incidence), and the occurrence of *P. clarkii* in surrounding wetlands (surrounding crayfish incidence). For both processes acting at the subpopulation and SSP levels, we assumed that subpopulation size in a given year can be affected by crayfish occurrence and clutch abundance during

the previous year, because *R. latastei* generally reaches sexual maturity one year after metamorphosis and then shows site fidelity (Guarino et al. 2003). The density dependent component was only considered if population size was > 0 . Given that detection probability is generally < 1 , we also included the observation process in the model, using the Royle (2004) binomial model:

$$Y_{i,t} \sim \text{Bin}(N_{i,t}, p) \quad (3)$$

where $Y_{i,t}$ is the number of frog clutches observed at pond i in year t , and p is the detection probability of frogs (Hostetler and Chandler 2015). We also included two random effects representing stochastic differences between ponds and years, drawn from normal distributions. For all the parameters, we used noninformative priors. Specifically, for regression coefficients, growth rate and density dependent effects we used a vague normal prior with mean = 0 and variance = 100; for the standard deviations of the random effects we used a half-Cauchy prior (Gelman 2006). A uniform prior bounded between 0 and 1 was used for detection probability, and a uniform distribution, bounded between 0 and 50, was used as prior for the overdispersion parameter of the negative binomial distribution. See Mathieu-Begne et al. (2019) for additional details on the metapopulation model. Before running analyses, pond area, surrounding clutch and surrounding crayfish incidence were log-transformed to reduce skewness and improve normality. We used a Bayesian approach to obtain the posterior distribution of parameters, implemented in JAGS 4.3 (Plummer 2003) using the R package R2jags (Yu-Sung and Masanao 2015). We run three MCMC chains, each with 300 000 iterations and a thinning rate of 100; we discarded the first 30 000 iterations as a burnin. Gelman and Rubin diagnostics were ≤ 1.1 for all parameters, indicating convergence (Kéry 2010). We used deviance information criterion (DIC) to compare the patch- and SSP-level models. DIC is a measure of model complexity and fit that is particularly useful for the comparison of Bayesian models; models with lowest DIC values are generally assumed to have a better performance (Spiegelhalter et al. 2002). All data and scripts are available in Supplementary material Appendix 2.

Results

During the nine years of study, we detected at least one breeding *R. latastei* in 25 sites out of the 58 monitored ones (average \pm SD number of clutches for each site/year = 6.2 ± 25.8). Despite some fluctuations, the total abundance across all the wetlands did not significantly change through the study period (Spearman's correlation between total number of clutches and year: $r_s = 0.367$, $p = 0.336$; Supplementary material Appendix 1 Fig. A2).

Considering the whole study area, the invasive crayfish increased its occurrence from 13 sites in 2010, to 21 sites in 2018. Within the 25 sites where we detected breeding *R.*

Table 1. Patch-level analysis of the effects of habitat features and of invasive crayfish on the dynamics of Italian agile frog's populations.

Variables	Median	95% CI	
Environmental features at the patch scale			
Sun exposure	-0.139	-0.275	-0.007
Pond area	-0.306	-0.716	-0.03
Wood cover within 400 m	-0.048	-0.370	0.187
Crayfish occurrence in the patch*	0.129	-0.053	0.353
Additional parameters			
p (detection probability)	0.032	0.010	0.119
ρ (intrinsic growth rate)	-0.036	-0.500	0.440
η (strength of density dependence)	0.000	0.000	0.001

*During the previous year.

latastei, the crayfish increased its occupancy from 10 sites in 2010 to 16 sites in 2018 (Supplementary material Appendix 1 Fig. A1). When we analysed subpopulation size only considering the patch variables, frog abundance was negatively related to sun exposure and pond area, while we did not detect any significant impact of the crayfish (Table 1).

When we modelled subpopulation size as a function of both patch and SSP variables, hierarchical models showed that frog abundance was jointly determined by habitat features and by processes acting at the SSP scale. First, the analysis confirmed the importance of habitat features (Table 2; Fig. 1), with the

Table 2. Multi-scale analysis of the effects of habitat features, features acting at the subpopulation level and features acting at the level of spatially-structured population (SPP) on the abundance of Italian agile frogs.

Variables	Median	95% CI	
Habitat features			
Sun exposure	-0.219	-0.395	-0.077
Pond area	0.072	-0.399	0.556
Wood cover within 400 m	-0.423	-0.938	0.048
Subpopulation features			
Crayfish occurrence in the patch*	-0.03	-0.353	0.270
SPP features			
Surrounding clutch incidence (in nearby ponds)*	1.215	0.886	0.156
Crayfish incidence in surrounding ponds*	-2.314	-2.777	-1.842
Additional parameters			
p (detection probability)	0.063	0.018	0.147
ρ (intrinsic growth rate of the metapopulation)	-0.171	-2.092	1.190
η (strength of density dependence)	0.000	-0.001	0.000

*During the previous year.

highest abundances in the most shaded wetlands; for pond area, 95% intervals overlapped zero. At the subpopulation scale, the number of clutches laid in a year was unrelated to the occurrence of crayfish at that site. Conversely, at the SSP level, we found a strong and negative relationship between frog abundance in a given patch and crayfish incidence in the surrounding landscape, and a positive relationship between frog abundance and the abundance of clutches in surrounding patches (Table 2; Fig. 1). The SSP-level model showed a much lower DIC value than the patch-level one (SSP model: DIC = 1566.6; patch model: DIC = 1786.5).

Discussion

Long-term analyses of population networks are a powerful approach to understand the consequences of IAS, as they allow to tease apart processes occurring at the patch and at the whole SSP scales. When subpopulations are connected, the impact of IAS can extend beyond the simple patch-level effects, affecting subpopulation size even in not invaded sites. Our study focused on the Italian agile frog, a threatened amphibian that has been extensively studied before the onset of the crayfish invasion. This frog typically breeds in permanent ponds within lowland forests (Ambrogio and Mezzadri 2018), and such wetlands are also suitable for the invasive crayfish (Siesa et al. 2011, Manenti et al. 2014, Manenti et al. 2019a). It is widely recognized that the invasive crayfish heavily preys on amphibian larvae, alters wetland food webs and can be responsible for local populations extinctions (Cruz et al. 2006, Ficetola et al. 2011a, 2012, Grillas et al. 2018), still our patch-level analyses did not detect a significant effect of crayfish occurrence on adult frog abundance within focal ponds (Table 1). This result mirrors previous patch-level analyses, which failed at detecting negative relationships between crayfish occurrence and local occupancy of several native amphibians (Ficetola et al. 2011a, Rodriguez-Perez et al. 2014, Bélouard et al. 2019). Such a lack of negative relationships suggested possible coexistence between the invasive species and some amphibians (Rodriguez-Perez et al. 2014, Bélouard et al. 2019) and the same conclusion could have been drawn from our study, had we limited analyses at the patch level.

However, the demography of a large number of species (including many amphibians) is best described considering the spatial connections between (sub)populations, as immigration and emigration are major determinants of local abundance. Our SSP-level analysis revealed that the subpopulation size was jointly affected by processes acting at both the local and the network level (Fig. 1). Local effects were important also in our study system in term of habitat features. In fact, abundance was higher in shaded ponds within forest, confirming the key role of habitat variables for Italian agile frogs (Ficetola et al. 2009, 2011a). Furthermore, local abundance was strongly related to the abundance of clutches in surrounding patches (Fig. 1), highlighting the importance of immigration from nearby ponds. Immigration is possible




Figure 1. Importance of habitat features, features acting at the subpopulation levels and features acting at the level of spatially-structured population (SSP), on the abundance of Italian agile frogs: posterior distribution of parameters from a hierarchical SSP model. Continuous lines represent 95% CIs; shaded areas represent 80% CIs. Crayfish presence = *Procambarus clarkii* occurrence in the wetland during the previous year; surrounding clutch incidence: incidence of *R. latastei* clutches in nearby ponds during previous year; surrounding crayfish incidence: incidence of *P. clarkii* in nearby ponds during the previous year.

for both froglets and for adults breeding in nearby ponds. Nevertheless, amphibians often show fidelity to breeding sites, as adults generally continue to breed in the same wetland year after year (Wells 2007). Moreover, the short lifespan of the Italian agile frog suggests a primary role of the immigration by froglets, and highlights that breeding sites can be attractive for immigrant frogs metamorphosed in surrounding patches, regardless to red swamp crayfish occurrence. Adult Italian agile frogs are probably unable to detect the crayfish cues when selecting breeding sites, therefore they continue to breed in invaded wetlands (Ficetola et al. 2011a). Explosive breeders in particular, such as agile frogs, can be unable to detect predatory crayfish because females remain in breeding ponds only for a few hours. Furthermore, even if the crayfish preys on frog tadpoles and can reduce breeding success (Cruz et al. 2008, Ficetola et al. 2011a, Milligan et al. 2017), some pond features may allow the coexistence between amphibians and the crayfish (Bélouard et al. 2019). Aquatic vegetation and other shelters often favour amphibian larvae escape from predators (Teplitsky et al. 2005, Manenti et al. 2017), and the survival of tadpoles is generally density-dependent (Vonesh and De la Cruz 2002), thus some tadpoles can be able to reach metamorphosis even in invaded ponds.

When we shift to the SSP perspective, the clear relationship between frog abundance and crayfish occurrence in nearby populations suggests that IAS can impact the whole SSP, with effects that can remain unnoticed when not considering the

complex network within which each subpopulation persists. After metamorphosis, froglets move to their terrestrial habitat (forests) where they remain until sexual maturity and then move toward potential breeding sites, which are sometimes different from birth sites. In our study, the negative effect of the crayfish was only detectable when considering its occurrence over the whole SSP. This confirms the importance of recruitment from nearby ponds for the dynamics of subpopulations and shows that factors influencing reproduction at potential source sites have strong effects on all the connected patches (Seward et al. 2019). When dealing with a predator IAS invading new habitats, one may hypothesise that the new predator just replaces the other sources of mortality that already occurred for native species. The red swamp crayfish effectively reduces the density and diversity of aquatic insects preying on tadpoles (Ficetola et al. 2012). However, the direct predation pressure exerted by the crayfish on amphibian tadpoles is stronger than the one exerted by the whole community of native predators in uninvaded ponds, suggesting that the reduction of predatory insects is not enough to compensate for the negative impacts of the crayfish (Ficetola et al. 2012).

The state-space model also allowed to estimate important parameters, such as density dependence values and detection probability of frogs (Table 1). The density dependence parameter was very close to zero, suggesting very weak density dependence. However, it should be remarked that the

total population size of this threatened frog was very small considering the size of study area (roughly 500 ha), therefore frog density likely is much lower than the carrying capacity commonly observed in amphibians (Santini et al. 2018) generally found densities of 100–> 1000 individuals ha⁻¹). The estimated detection probability of frogs was low, suggesting that a substantial number of clutches remained undetected, still the observed detection probability matched the finding of other studies using N-mixture models and capture–mark–recapture to estimate the detection probability of amphibians (Lampo et al. 2012, Brown et al. 2013, Courtois et al. 2013). Contrary to our expectations, we found a weak negative relationship between frog abundance and forest cover. However, in our dataset the largest pond were outside the forest, and the negative correlation between pond area and forest cover ($r = -0.63$) probably complicates the identifiability of the effect of these environmental features.

The correct identification of the scale at which IAS impact native species has strong management consequences. Had we only considered the patch level, we might conclude that the management of alien crayfish is less important than the conservation of vegetation cover around breeding sites, since the negative role of the invasive species was only evident when considering the processes affecting the whole network of subpopulations. If we focus on subpopulations processes, our results indeed stress the importance of maintaining shaded ponds within forests. However, the emigration/immigration processes are pivotal for the long-term persistence of population networks (Cayuela et al. 2019). Current recruitment at non-invaded ponds seems to be enough to maintain the SSP (Supplementary material Appendix 1 Fig. A2), but extinction thresholds often occur in the relationship between habitat loss and species extinction at the landscape scale. Assessing in a further study migration or colonisation/extinction rates in the SSP framework of our study area could help to determine if such thresholds really occur. Emigration from source patches can allow species persistence until a given level of habitat loss, but the risk of extinction abruptly rises when the frequency of unsuitable landscape reaches a threshold value (Fahrig 2003, Ficetola and Denoel 2009). IAS could cause a similar process: in our study system, the frequency of invaded ponds is steadily growing (Supplementary material Appendix 1 Fig. A2), and the whole SSP could collapse if the number of source ponds will decrease too much. Multiscale management, with actions at both the pond- and the landscape-level, is essential in these circumstances. Even if the eradication of the crayfish from the whole landscape is not feasible, actions targeted on a subset of ponds (e.g. manipulation of the hydroperiod; Ficetola et al. 2011b) could limit crayfish frequency, thus allowing the persistence of a sufficient number of source ponds and the maintenance of the whole SPP. Furthermore, the structure of landscapes in which patches are embedded is pivotal, and actions of IAS eradication and control may only have limited effectiveness if directed toward single patches, without considering their spatial connections (Morel-Journel et al. 2019). More studies are needed

to understand if also alien crayfish population densities are important, beyond just presence/absence information; assessing crayfish density can be challenging and to compare multiple wetlands at the SSP amphibian level, specific protocols need to be tested.

There is growing evidence that predators can have an impact even beyond the boundaries of their distribution, affecting the immigration/emigration dynamics of their prey even in predator-free patches (Resetarits 2018, Trekels and Vanschoenwinkel 2019). For instance, some amphibians avoid ponds invaded by alien predators, and this has cascading consequences at the landscape scale, with modification of emigrations dynamics, strong changes in local densities and fitness decrease due to density-dependence (Winandy et al. 2017). Furthermore, experiments on mosquitos showed that oviposition decreases in safe patches near risky patches, while it increases in risky patches if they are close to predator-free patches (Trekels and Vanschoenwinkel 2019). The relationships between factors acting at multiple (local, regional) spatial scales can be particularly complex, underlying the importance of a multi-scale approach to understand spatial variation of species distribution and fitness (Denoël and Lehmann 2006, Trekels and Vanschoenwinkel 2019). Our results clearly show that the effects of IAS may affect population dynamics even in not invaded patches through their degradation of the whole SPP. Patch-scale assessments of the impact of invasive species are thus insufficient to understand their actual effects, and predicting the long-term interplay between invasive and native populations would require a landscape-level approach which also considers the complexity of spatial interactions.

Data deposition

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: <<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.80gb5mkmx>> (Manenti et al. 2019b).

Acknowledgements – We are grateful to Arturo Binda, Monica Dassi, Alessandro Brambilla and Beatrice Querci for help during field surveys. The comments of two anonymous reviewers allowed us to improve a previous version of the manuscript.

Author contributions – GFF and RM conceived the study. RM and GFF collected the data, GFF, DDM, MF and SM performed the analyses, RM, GFF, MF and SM wrote the paper.

References

- Ambrogio, A. and Mezzadri, S. 2018. Brown frogs of Italy. – Gavia Edizioni.
- Bélouard, N. et al. 2019. Variable effects of an invasive species on the reproduction and distribution of native species in pond networks. – *Freshwater Biol.* 64: 544–554.
- Bernini, F. et al. 2000. Nuove metodologie di cattura e di marcatura negli anuri: prima esperienza su *Rana latastei* e *Rana dalmatina*. – In: *Atti del I congresso Nazionale della Societas Herpetologica Italica*. Torino M.r.S.n, pp. 269–276.

- Berven, K. A. and Grudzen, T. A. 1990. Dispersal in the wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*): implications for genetic population structure. – *Evolution* 44: 2047–2056.
- Blixt, T. et al. 2015. Clear-cuts in production forests: from matrix to neo-habitat for butterflies. – *Acta Oecol.* 69: 71–77.
- Brown, G. E. et al. 2013. Phenotypically plastic neophobia: a response to variable predation risk. – *Proc. R. Soc. B* 280: 20122712.
- Cayuela, H. et al. 2019. Multiple density-dependent processes shape the dynamics of a spatially structured amphibian population. – *J. Anim. Ecol.* 88: 164–177.
- Courtois, E. A. et al. 2013. Monitoring strategy for eight amphibian species in French Guiana, South America. – *PLoS One* 8: e67486.
- Cruz, M. J. et al. 2006. Effects of an introduced crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*, on the distribution of south-western Iberian amphibians in their breeding habitats. – *Ecography* 29: 329–338.
- Cruz, M. J. et al. 2008. Collapse of the amphibian community of the Paul do Boquilobo Natural Reserve (central Portugal) after the arrival of the exotic American crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*. – *Herpetol. J.* 18: 197–204.
- Davies-Mostert, H. T. et al. 2015. The demography and dynamics of an expanding, managed African wild dog metapopulation. – *Afr. J. Wildl. Res.* 45: 258–273.
- De Roissart, A. et al. 2015. Spatial and spatiotemporal variation in metapopulation structure affects population dynamics in a passively dispersing arthropod. – *J. Anim. Ecol.* 84: 1565–1574.
- De Valpine, P. and Hastings, A. 2002. Fitting population models incorporating process noise and observation error. – *Ecol. Monogr.* 72: 57–76.
- Denoël, M. and Lehmann, A. 2006. Multi-scale effect of landscape processes and habitat quality on newt abundance: implications for conservation. – *Biol. Conserv.* 130: 495–504.
- Denoël, M. et al. 2019. Traditionally managed landscapes do not prevent amphibian decline and the extinction of paedomorphosis. – *Ecol. Monogr.* 89: e01347.
- Fahrig, L. 2003. Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. – *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 34: 487–515.
- Ficetola, G. F. and De Bernardi, F. 2004. Amphibians in a human-dominated landscape: the community structure is related to habitat features and isolation. – *Biol. Conserv.* 119: 219–230.
- Ficetola, G. F. and Denoël, M. 2009. Ecological thresholds: an assessment of methods to identify abrupt changes in species-habitat relationships. – *Ecography* 32: 1075–1084.
- Ficetola, G. F. et al. 2009. Influence of landscape elements in riparian buffers on the conservation of semiaquatic amphibians. – *Conserv. Biol.* 23: 114–123.
- Ficetola, G. F. et al. 2010. Polygyny, census and effective population size in the threatened frog, *Rana latastei*. – *Anim. Conserv.* 13: 82–89.
- Ficetola, G. F. et al. 2011a. Early assessment of the impact of alien species: differential consequences of an invasive crayfish on adult and larval amphibians. – *Divers. Distrib.* 17: 1141–1151.
- Ficetola, G. F. et al. 2011b. Wetland features, amphibian communities and distribution of the alien crayfish, *Procambarus clarkii*. – *Alytes* 29: 75–87.
- Ficetola, G. F. et al. 2012. Complex impact of an invasive crayfish on freshwater food webs. – *Biodivers. Conserv.* 21: 2641–2651.
- Ficetola, G. F. et al. 2018. DNA from lake sediments reveals long-term ecosystem changes after a biological invasion. – *Sci. Adv.* 4: eaar4292.
- Figuerola, J. and Green, A. J. 2002. Dispersal of aquatic organisms by waterbirds: a review of past research and priorities for future studies. – *Freshwater Biol.* 47: 483–494.
- Fortin, M. J. and Agrawal, A. 2005. Landscape ecology comes of age. – *Ecology* 86: 1965–1966.
- Friedenberg, N. A. 2003. Experimental evolution of dispersal in spatiotemporally variable microcosms. – *Ecol. Lett.* 6: 953–959.
- Gelman, A. 2006. Prior distributions for variance parameters in hierarchical models. – *Bayesian Anal.* 1: 515–534.
- Glandt, D. 1986. Die saisonalen Wanderungen der mitteleuropäischen Amphibien. – *Bonn. Zool. Beitr.* 37: 211–228.
- Grillas, C. et al. 2018. Low occurrence of the great crested newt *Triturus cristatus* at the limits of its range: an alarming preliminary study. – *Herp. Bull.* 145: 14–18.
- Guarino, F. M. et al. 2003. A skeletochronological study of growth, longevity, and age at sexual maturity in a population of *Rana latastei* (Amphibia, Anura). – *J. Biosci.* 28: 775–782.
- Hale, R. et al. 2015. Evaluating the metapopulation consequences of ecological traps. – *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282: 20142930.
- Halverson, M. A. et al. 2003. Forest mediated light regime linked to amphibian distribution and performance. – *Oecologia* 134: 360–364.
- Hamer, A. J. 2018. Accessible habitat and wetland structure drive occupancy dynamics of a threatened amphibian across a peri-urban landscape. – *Landscape Urban Plan.* 178: 228–237.
- Hanski, I. 1998. Metapopulation dynamics. – *Nature* 396: 41–49.
- Hanski, I. and Gaggiotti, O. E. 2004. Ecology, genetics and evolution of metapopulations. – Elsevier Academic Press.
- Hejda, M. et al. 2009. Impact of invasive plants on the species richness, diversity and composition of invaded communities. – *J. Ecol.* 97: 393–403.
- Hostetler, J. A. and Chandler, R. B. 2015. Improved state-space models for inference about spatial and temporal variation in abundance from count data. – *Ecology* 96: 1713–1723.
- Kéry, M. 2010. Introduction to WinBUGS for ecologists. – Academic Press.
- Lampo, M. et al. 2012. High turnover rates in remnant populations of the harlequin frog *Atelopus cruciger* (Bufonidae): low risk of extinction? – *Biotropica* 44: 420–426.
- Lanza, B. et al. 2007. Fauna d'Italia, vol. XLII, Amphibia. – Calderini.
- Levins, R. 1969. Some demographic and genetic consequences of environmental heterogeneity for biological control. – *Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 15: 237–240.
- Manenti, R. et al. 2014. *Austroptamobius pallipes* reduction vs. *Procambarus clarkii* spreading: management implications. – *J. Nat. Conserv.* 22: 586–591.
- Manenti, R. et al. 2017. Factors driving semi-aquatic predator occurrence in traditional cattle drinking pools: conservation issues. – *J. Limnol.* 76: 34–40.
- Manenti, R. et al. 2019a. Causes and consequences of crayfish extinction: stream connectivity, habitat changes, alien species and ecosystem services. – *Freshwater Biol.* 64: 284–293.
- Manenti, R. et al. 2019b. Data from: Network-scale effects of invasive species on spatially-structured amphibian populations. – Dryad Digital Repository, <<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.80gb5mkmx>>.
- Marsh, D. M. and Trenham, P. C. 2001. Metapopulations dynamics and amphibian conservation. – *Conserv. Biol.* 15: 40–49.
- Mathieu-Begne, E. et al. 2019. Demographic and genetic collapses in spatially structured populations: insights from a long-term survey in wild fish metapopulations. – *Oikos* 128: 196–207.

- Miller, S. M. et al. 2015. Fenced and fragmented: conservation value of managed metapopulations. – *PLoS One* 10: e0144605.
- Milligan, W. R. et al. 2017. Predicting the effects of manual crayfish removal on California newt persistence in Santa Monica Mountain streams. – *Ecol. Model.* 352: 139–151.
- Moilanen, A. and Nieminen, M. 2002. Simple connectivity measures in spatial ecology. – *Ecology* 83: 1131–1145.
- Morel-Journel, T. et al. 2019. Its all about connections: hubs and invasion in habitat networks. – *Ecol. Lett.* 22: 313–321.
- Nentwig, W. 2007. *Biological invasions*. – Springer.
- Oliver, T. H. and Morecroft, M. D. 2014. Interactions between climate change and land use change on biodiversity: attribution problems, risks, and opportunities. – *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Clim. Change* 5: 317–335.
- Plummer, M. 2003. JAGS: a program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sampling. – In: Hornik, K. et al. (eds), *Proceedings of the 3rd International workshop on distributed statistical computing (DSC 2003)*. Achim Zeileis Production, pp. 124–125.
- Reseratis, W. J. 2018. Giving predators a wide berth: quantifying behavioral predator shadows in colonizing aquatic beetles. – *Oecologia* 186: 415–424.
- Revilla, E. and Wiegand, T. 2008. Individual movement behavior, matrix heterogeneity, and the dynamics of spatially structured populations. – *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 105: 19120–19125.
- Rodriguez-Perez, H. et al. 2014. Is the exotic red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) a current threat for the Mediterranean tree frog (*Hyla meridionalis*) in the Camargue (southern France)? – *Hydrobiologia* 723: 145–156.
- Royale, J. A. 2004. *N*-mixture models for estimating population size from spatially replicated counts. – *Biometrics* 60: 108–115.
- Sadeh, A. 2012. Kin-selective cannibalism and compensatory performance in larval salamander cohorts inhabiting temporary ponds. – *Evol. Ecol. Res.* 14: 113–123.
- Santini, L. et al. 2018. TetraDENSITY: a database of population density estimates in terrestrial vertebrates. – *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 27: 787–791.
- Saunders, D. A. et al. 1991. Biological consequences of ecosystem fragmentation – a review. – *Conserv. Biol.* 5: 18–32.
- Semlitsch, R. D. 2008. Differentiating migration and dispersal processes for pond-breeding amphibians. – *J Wildl. Manage.* 72: 260–267.
- Seward, A. et al. 2019. Metapopulation dynamics of roseate terns: sources, sinks and implications for conservation management decisions. – *J. Anim. Ecol.* 88: 138–153.
- Siesa, M. E. et al. 2011. Spatial autocorrelation and the analysis of invasion processes from distribution data: a study with the crayfish *Procambarus clarkii*. – *Biol. Invasions* 13: 2147–2160.
- Smith, M. A. and Green, D. M. 2005. Dispersal and the metapopulation paradigm in amphibian ecology and conservation: are all amphibian populations metapopulations? – *Ecography* 28: 110–128.
- Spiegelhalter, D. J. et al. 2002. Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. – *J. R. Stat. Soc.* 64: 583–616.
- Stankovic, D. and Pobilisaj, K. 2013. New data on the distribution of the Italian agile frog *Rana latastei* Boulenger, 1879 in Slovenian Istra. – *Nat. Slov.* 15: 51–55.
- Strayer, D. L. 2012. Eight questions about invasions and ecosystem functioning. – *Ecol. Lett.* 15: 1199–1210.
- Teplitsky, C. et al. 2005. Escape behaviour and ultimate causes of specific induced defences in an anuran tadpole. – *J. Evol. Biol.* 18: 180–190.
- Trekels, H. and Vanschoenwinkel, B. 2019. Both local presence and regional distribution of predator cues modulate prey colonisation in pond landscapes. – *Ecol. Lett.* 22: 89–97.
- Vilà, M. et al. 2010. How well do we understand the impacts of alien species on ecosystem services? A pan-European, cross-taxa assessment. – *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 8: 135–144.
- Vonesh, J. R. and De la Cruz, O. 2002. Complex life cycles and density dependence: assessing the contribution of egg mortality to amphibian declines. – *Oecologia* 133: 325–333.
- Wells, K. D. 2007. *The ecology and behaviour of amphibians*. – Univ. of Chicago Press.
- Winandy, L. et al. 2017. Habitat selection and reproduction of newts in networks of fish and fishless aquatic patches. – *Anim. Behav.* 123: 107–115.
- Yu-Sung, S. and Masanao, Y. 2015. R2jags: using R to run 'JAGS'. – R package ver. 0.5-7, <<https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=R2jags>>.

Supplementary material (Appendix ECOG-04571 at <www.ecography.org/appendix/ecog-04571>). Appendix 1–2.