#### Page 1 of 25

First report of Pseudomonas grapevine bunch rot caused by Pseudomonas syringae pv 1 2 syringae D. Gerin<sup>1</sup>, C. Cariddi<sup>1</sup>, R.M. De Miccolis Angelini<sup>12</sup>, C. Rotolo<sup>1</sup>, C. Dongiovanni<sup>3</sup>, F. 3 Faretra<sup>1-2</sup> and S. Pollastro<sup>1-2</sup> 4 <sup>1</sup>Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences and <sup>2</sup>Selge Network, University of Bari Aldo 5 Moro, Via Giovanni Amendola, 165/A, 70126 Bari, Italy 6 <sup>3</sup>Centro di Ricerca, Sperimentazione e Formazione in Agricoltura "Basile Caramia", via 7 Cisternino 281, Locorotondo (BA) 8 9 10 Corresponding author: francesco.faretra@uniba.it 11 Key words 12 LOPAT test, GATTa tests, Red Globe, Geotrichum candidum, Bacillus megaterium 13

14 syringomycin, syringopeptin, *16S rDNA, gyrB, rpoB, rpoD* genes

15

## 16 Abstract

Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (Pss) a Gammaproteobacterium belonging to 17 18 genomospecies 2 within the *P. syringae* complex, is distributed worldwide and is responsible for bacterial canker on more than 100 different hosts, including the grapevine. Pseudomonas 19 syringae pv. syringae induces necrotic lesions in the leaf blades, veins, petioles, shoots, 20 rachis and tendrils on grapevine cultivars in different areas. Recently, Pss has been associated 21 with severe economic losses in different grape cultivars in Australia where it causes 22 inflorescence rot. In mid- to late-summer 2017, symptoms of berry rots differing from those 23 caused by the common berry rots agents were observed in different 'Red Globe' vineyards of 24 Apulia (southern Italy). As proven by fulfilment of Koch's postulates, these symptoms were 25 caused by a bacterium which, according to the results of biochemical, physiological, 26 27 nutritional, antimicrobial activity, pathogenicity tests and sequencing of 16S rDNA, gyrB, 28 rpoB and rpoD genes, was identified as Pss. This is the first report of Pseudomonas 29 grapevine bunch rot.

30

## 31 Introduction

*Pseudomonas syringae (Ps)* is probably the most extensively studied bacterial plant pathogen.
 It occurs worldwide, comprises strains isolated from more than 200 cultivated and wild plants
 (Mohr et al., 2008) and is responsible for symptoms ranging from foliar spots to blights,

stripes, and cankers. On the basis of visual symptoms (Bull et al., 2010), host range (Baltrus 35 36 et al., 2011), biochemical, physiological and nutritional tests (Lelliott et al., 1966), taxonomic analysis (Young, 2010) and molecular typing, the Ps complex is nowadays subdivided into 37 57 pathovars (Bull et al., 2010). In addition, genome-wide comparative analysis between 38 pathovars has expanded the information on bacterial evolution and host specificity (Feil et al., 39 40 2005, Marcelletti et al., 2011). Pyoverdin production is generally the first step in the identification of plant pathogenic Pseudomonas spp. (Bultreys and Kaluzna, 2010; Gilbert et 41 al., 2009; Lelliott and Stead, 1987; Whitelaw-Weckert et al., 2011). Levan, oxidase, potato 42 43 soft rot, arginine dehydrolase, tobacco leaf hypersensitivity reaction (LOPAT tests) and the assays based on gelatin liquefaction, aesculin hydrolase, tyrosinase activity and tartaric acid 44 utilization (GATTa tests) (Gašić et al., 2012; Jones, 1971; Latorre and Jones, 1979; Lelliott et 45 al., 1966) are currently used to discriminate Ps from other fluorescent Pseudomonas species 46 as well as the *P. syringae* pv. syringae (Pss) from the other pathovars. 47

*P. syringae* pv. *syringae* (*Pss*) is a Gammaproteobacterium belonging to genomospecies 2
within the *Ps* complex (Baltrus et al., 2011), has a worldwide distribution
(https://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/45014#B97A5089-5C15-4FA9-B3E4-B61E3DF9A535)

- and is responsible for bacterial canker on a large number of horticultural and woody hosts 51 (Bultreys and Kaluzna, 2010; Golzar an Cother, 2008), including stone fruits (Abbasi et al., 52 2013), apple (Mansvelt and Hattingh, 1989), pear (Moragrega et al., 2003) and olive 53 (Scortichini, 1997). The first report of Pss in grapevine dates to 1968 (Klingner et al., 1976), 54 in the province of Mendoza, Argentina, on cv. Cereza, causing symptoms such as necrotic 55 lesions in leaf blades, veins, petioles, shoots, rachis and tendrils. Long-considered a weak 56 pathogen, more recently Pss was associated with inflorescence rot causing severe economic 57 losses on cvs Sauvignon Blanc, Pinot Noir, Chardonnay and Riesling in Australia (Whitelaw-58 Weckert et al., 2011; Hall et al., 2016) and in Kerch peninsula in Russia (Porotikova et al., 59 60 2017).
- 61

During mid- to late-summer of 2017, previously unreported soft and watery spots on berries were observed in different 'Red Globe' vineyards of Apulia (southern Italy). Similar symptoms were observed also on VRG1, a new grapevine selection currently under trial in two experimental vineyards located in the countryside of Noicattaro (province of Bari, Apulia). This study was aimed to identifying and characterizing the pathogen/s responsible for the symptoms observed by means of molecular, biochemical, pathological and microbiological methods.

#### 69

## 70 Materials and methods

71 Sample collection and isolation

72 Isolations were made from 20 symptomatic and 20 asymptomatic berries sampled from at least 10 different bunches in each of three different vineyards of table grape 'Red Globe' and 73 one stand of 'VRG1', a new grape selection under study. Berries were rinsed with tap water, 74 75 surface-sterilized with a sodium hypochlorite solution (1% available chloride) for 3 min and rinsed four times with sterile distilled water. Sterilized tissues from the rot area were 76 77 aseptically cut into 5x5 mm pieces, finely minced in a few drops of sterile distilled water, streaked on 5% sucrose-nutrient-agar (SNA) medium and incubated in the dark at 25°C for 78 up to 48 h. The "levan type" colonies, the only ones isolated exclusively from symptomatic 79 berries, were subcultured onto SNA to obtain pure colonies These were streaked on King's 80 medium B (King et al., 1954) and fluorescence was observed under UV light (254 nm) after 81 48 h at 25°C. Pure colonies were stored in nutrient agar (NA) slant tubes at 4°C and in 20% 82 glycerol at -80°C for further use. Colonies grown for 48 h onto NA slant tubes were used for 83 biochemical and pathogenic assays. 84

85

## 86 Biochemical and nutritional tests

The selected bacterial isolates DiSSPA Pss 20, DiSSPA Pss 21, DiSSPA Pss 22 and 87 DiSSPA Pss 23, showing "levan type" colonies, representative of the populations present in 88 the four sampled vineyards, and the type strain of Pss NCPPB 281 were characterized by 89 90 LOPAT test for levan production from sucrose (L); oxidase activity (O); pectolytic activity on potato tubers; arginine dihydrolase (A) and tobacco hypersensitivity (T) (Lelliott and 91 Stead, 1987). The same isolates were also subjected to GATTa tests consisting of gelatine 92 hydrolysis (G); aesculin hydrolysis (A); tyrosinase activity (T) and tartrate utilization (Ta) 93 94 (Latorre and Jones, 1979). Their capability in 2-keto gluconate production, nitrate reduction, acid production from sucrose, arbutin hydrolysis and utilization of inositol, sorbitol, 95 96 erythritol, L(-)lactate and D(-)tartrate as carbon sources was ascertained according to Lelliott and Stead (1987) and Schaad et al. (2001). 97

98

### 99 Molecular assay

100 Genomic DNA was extracted from a 24-h LB culture according to the protocol described by 101 Marmur (1961) and analysed for the partial sequences of four core genes (*16S rDNA*, *gyrB*, *rpoB* and *rpoD*). The primer pairs for the target sequences are listed in Table 1. The isolates
 DiSSPA\_Pss\_20 DiSSPA\_Pss\_21, DiSSPA\_Pss\_22, DiSSPA\_Pss\_23 and NCPPB 281 were
 used in the experiment.

105

PCR reaction mixture contained 5 µL of 10x LA Tag buffer, 5 µL of 25 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 8 µL of 106 10 mM dNTPs mixture, 200 nM of each primer (Table 1), 0.5 µL of Takara LA Tag, 3 µL of 107 template DNA (50 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) and ultrapure water up to 50  $\mu$ L. Amplifications were carried out 108 in a MyCycler Thermocycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) using the following PCR 109 110 conditions: 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 58°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by final extension to 72°C for 10 min. All PCR-products were 111 purified by using PCR Kleen Purification Spin Columns (Bio-Rad, CA) and custom-112 sequenced (Genewiz, Takeley, UK), in both directions (16S rDNA, 900 bp) or in single 113 direction for the others (gyrB: 500 bp; rpoB: 844 bp and rpoD: 431 bp). 114

115

Amplicons of the 16S rDNA sequences of all the isolates used (DiSSPA Pss 20-23) 116 (GeneBank Accession No. MK156155, MK156156, MK156157 and MK156158, in the order) 117 were previously aligned in both directions and self-compared using the SeqMan Pro software 118 119 (DNASTAR Madison, USA), before their comparison with sequences deposited in GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Ientification was completed by alignment and self-comparison of 120 the gyrB (GeneBank Accession No. MK165100, DiSSPA Pss 20; MK165101, 121 DiSSPA Pss 21; MK165102 DiSSPA Pss 22; and MK165103, DiSSPA Pss 23), rpoB 122 (MK165104, DiSSPA Pss 20; MK165105, DiSSPA Pss 21; MK165106, DiSSPA Pss 22; 123 MK165107, DiSSPA PSS 23) and rpoD (MK165108, DiSSPA PSS 20; MK165109, 124 DiSSPA Pss 21; MK165110, DiSSPA Pss 22, MK165111 DiSSPA Pss23) sequenced 125 genes with those from GeneBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). The 16S rDNA, gyrB, rpoB and 126 rpoD gene sequences of Pseudomonas sp. (Table 2), directly downloaded from "The 127 Pseudomonas Genome Databas" (www.pseudomonas.com), were aligned and trimmed with 128 SeqMan Pro Software (DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, WI, USA) in order to obtain concatenated 129 sequences. Phylogenetic analyses using 16S rDNA-gyrB-rpoB-rpoD concatenated sequences 130 and rpoD sequences were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013) using the UPGMA 131 method. Similarly, the Pss isolated from grape were compared using ropB sequences, 132 because the only ones available in GenBank. 133

134

135 *Antimicrobial activity* 

The syringomycin and syringopeptin production of DiSSPA Pss 20, DiSSPA Pss 21, 136 DiSSPA Pss 22 and DiSSPA Pss 23 and NCPPB 281 was assayed according to Gross and 137 DeVay (1977). Aliquots (5 µl) of bacterial suspension (about 10<sup>8</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>) were spotted onto 138 potato dextrose agar (PDA) and maintained at25°C. After four days, plates were oversprayed 139 either with a dense arthrospore suspension of the fungus Geotrichum candidum, known for its 140 sensitivity to syringomycin and insensitivity to syringopeptin (Grgurina et al., 1996; 141 Lavernicocca et al., 1997), or with a suspension of *Bacillus megaterium*, a bacterium highly 142 sensitive to syringopeptin and less sensitive to syringomycin (Lavermicocca et al. 1997). For 143 each test three replicated plates were used. The suspension of G. candidum and B. 144 megaterium were obtained from colonies grown on PDA for four days at 25°C. Inhibition 145 was recorded after 48 h at 25°C in the dark. 146

147

## 148 *Pathogenicity and host range tests*

All *Pss* isolates were tested for their ability to elicit a hypersensitivity reaction by infiltration 149 in Samsun tobacco leaves. The pathogenicity of isolates DiSSPA Pss 20, DiSSPA Pss 21, 150 DiSSPA Pss 22 and DiSSPA Pss 23 was proven by inoculating detached table grape 151 berries, unripe green lemon fruits, and lilac leaves (Lelliott and Stead, 1987; Klement, 1990). 152 153 Two-day-old bacterial cells grown on SNA at 25°C were suspended in sterile distilled water and adjusted to an appropriate final concentration as detailed below. Detached berries, fresh 154 155 lemons and lilac leaves were also inoculated with the type strain NCPPB 281 and sterile distilled water as positive and negative controls, respectively. 156

157

Ten surface-sterilized (NaClO solution 1% for 3 min) berries of four different table grape cultivars ('Red Globe', 'Sweet Globe', 'VRG1' and 'VRG2', two new selections currently under evaluation) were prick-inoculated with a hypodermic needle, placing a droplet of bacterial suspension (10<sup>s</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>) at the inoculation site. Inoculated berries were maintained at high humidity on moist filter paper in a sterile sealed plastic container at room temperature till to 20 days.

164

Lemons were surface-sterilized by dipping into 2% NaClO solution for 2 min, then rinsed with sterile distilled water. Four punctures were made on the fruits (1 mm wide, 3 mm deep), with a sterile hypodermic needle, then 20 µl of bacterial suspension (10<sup>6</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>) were placed on each lesion (Lelliot and Stead, 1987). Four lemons for each condition were used. Inoculated fruits were placed on moist filter paper in a sterile sealed plastic box andincubated at 25°C for a week.

#### 171

Detached lilac leaves were wounded, according to Ivanović et al. (2017), with a sterile hypodermic needle by pricking at 3-4 points the leaf midrib and areas on the wing of the petiole of fully expanded leaves and placing a 10  $\mu$ l drop of bacterial suspension (10° CFU ml· ). Inoculated leaves and petioles were placed in sterile plastic bags and maintained ten days at room temperature (never exceeding 21°C) and 70–80% humidity. Reactions were assessed 7 and 10 days post inoculation (dpi). All the experiments were repeated twice.

178

179

180

### 181 **RESULTS**

Unexpected and new soft and watery spots on berries (Fig. 1 a) evolving in necrotic spots 182 were observed on bunches of cv Red Globe and VCG1. The season in which these symptoms 183 appeared was particularly humid during blossoming and then hot with broad night/day thermal 184 excursions. Only "levan type" bacterial colonies were isolated from all symptomatic grape 185 186 berries. All isolates were Gram-negative and on King-B medium produced a green to blue diffusible pigment fluorescent under UV light, as the NCPPB 281 type strain. Data on 187 biochemical, physiological and nutritional characterization as well as data on antimicrobial 188 activity and pathogenicity are summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 1. The four representative 189 grape berry isolates were positive for levan, negative for oxidase, potato soft rot, and arginine 190 dihydrolase and elicited a hypersensitive reaction on tobacco leaves. They, furthermore, 191 produced gelatin and aesculin hydrolysis but not tyrosinase, and, unlike the NCPPB281 type 192 strain, were able to utilize L(+)-tartrate (GATTa<sup>±</sup>). Unlike NCPPB 281type strain, the grape 193 isolates did not utilize L-lactic acid. All isolates, however, hydrolyzed arbutin, produced 194 acidity from sucrose, did not reduce nitrates or produce 2-ketogluconate, and were able to use 195 sorbitol, inositol and erythritol, but not D-tartrate. 196

197

The antimicrobial activity was assayed *vs B. megaterium* and *G. candidum* on PDA. The grape *Pseudomonas* isolates strongly inhibited *B. megaterium* but were ineffective against *G. candidum*, which indicated the ability to produce syringopeptin but not syringomycin, unlike the NCPPB 281 type strain, which inhibited both microorganisms.

To fulfill identification of the four Pss isolates (DiSSPA Pss 20-23) the partial gene 203 sequences of 16S rDNA, gyrB, rpoB, and rpoD were analyzed and the self-identity between 204 Pss strains was 100%. (e value 0.0, coverage 100%). For all of them, the nucleotide blast 205 analysis of the 16SrDNA, gyrB, rpoB, and rpoD gene sequences showed, in the order, an 206 identity of 99-100% (e-value 0.0, coverage 100%), with different P. syringae pathovars, 99-207 100% (e-value 0.0, coverage 100%) with P. syringae pv. syringae and P. syringae pv. aptata 208 99% (e-value 0.0, coverage 98%) with P. syringae pv. syringae, P. syringae pv. lapsa and P. 209 syringae pv. atrofaciens and 98% (e-value 0.0, coverage 99-100%) with P. syringae pv. 210 211 syringae, P. syringae pv. aptata, P. syringae pv. lapsa and P. syringae pv. atrofaciens. Generally, lower identities values were observed for other *P. syringae* pathovars as well as 212 other Pseudomonas species. 213

Similarly, the phylogenetic analysis confirmed that all the grape berry isolates DiSSPA\_Pss\_20-23 clad with *Pss* strains isolated by grape (*rpoD*) in Australia and different hosts (*16SrDNA-gyrB-rpoB-rpoD* concatenated sequences) (Fig. 2).

All tested isolates were pathogenic to grape. On all VRG1 grape berries, water-soaked lesions and necrosis of tissues were observed around the inoculation site 20 dpi with all the grape isolates DiSSPA\_Pss\_20-23, and NCPPB type strain, even though symptoms were generally less severe than those observed in the field. Instead, on 'VRG2' and 'Sweet Globe', which were symptomless in the field, the symptoms appeared only on 10-20% of the inoculated berries. Re-isolated colonies exhibited the same morphological, biochemical and molecular traits as those used for inoculation.

224

Lemon fruits inoculated with the grape *Pseudomonas* isolates showed within one week black pit lesions, less extensive, but comparable to those observed on fruits inoculated with NCPPB 281 type strain. Lilac leaves reacted to the grape *Pseudomonas* isolates and NCPPB 281 type strain with the production of a water-soaked or darkened area, expanding along the mid vein. No symptoms were observed in lemons and lilac leaves used as controls.

230

## 231 Discussion

A large number of studies on *P. syringae* have been conducted as this bacterium is used as a
model for studying plant–microbe interactions (Alfano and Collmer, 2004; Xin and He, 2013;
Hulin et al., 2018). *Ps* is known to be responsible for a large number of disease emergencies

(Mohr et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2008; Cai et al., 2011; Diallo et al., 2012; Morris et al., 2013; 235 Bartoli et al., 2015a, b). In fact, considering only this century, new diseases caused by Ps 236 have been reported on at least 20 different species of woody plants (Elena et al., 2011; Santini 237 et al., 2013, Lamichhane et al., 2014). In the EPPO region, bacterial canker of kiwifruit 238 caused by P. syringae pv. actinidiae was first detected in Central Italy in 1992 (Scortichini, 239 240 1994) where it remained sporadic and with a low incidence for 15 years, becoming very severe in 2007/2008, particularly in the Lazio region, where it induced heavy economic 241 losses, then moved to other kiwifruit-producing regions in the Mediterranean area. 242

On grapevine, *Ps* has been reported as the cause of extensive damage, including necrosis of leaf tissues, shoots, tendrils and rachises (Klingner et al., 1976; Hall et al., 2002), bark necrosis (Cugusi et al., 1986), generically bacteriosis (Samedov et al., 1988), and recently *Pss* has been ascertained to be responsible for bacterial inflorescence rot in Australia (Whitelaw-Weckert et al., 2011). It was proved to be a motile inhabitant of plant surfaces, soil and water, overwintering in woodnd following grapevine dormancy, it can spread across wet surfaces of emerging shoots, leaves and inflorescences (Whitelaw-Weckert et al., 2011).

As proven by the fulfilment of Koch's postulates, this is the first report of Pseudomonas bunch rot of grapevine, caused by *P. syringae* pv *syringae*, according to the results of biochemical, physiological, nutritional, antimicrobial activity, pathogenicity tests, and sequencing of *16S rDNA*, *gyrB*, *rpoB* and *rpoD* genes. Likely, Pseudomonas bunch rot was favored by seasonal weather that was particularly humid during blossoming and then hot with broad night/day thermal excursions.

According to the LOPAT test, the four representative grape berry isolates proved to belong to the LOPAT group Ia, *sensu* Lelliott et al. (1966), which includes *P. syringae* pathovars. They, unlike the NCPPB281 type strain and the Pss strains, were able to utilize L(+)-tartrate (GATTa±) but did not utilize L-lactic acid. The LOPAT and GATTa testing schemes were developed to separate *Pss* from other *Pseudomonas* species and *Ps* pathovars, but it can occur that these did not match for all (Scheck et al., 1997).

The differences of *16S rDNA* sequences are always very small, and although are effective for the identification of genera, they may be not enough to distinguish strains of the same genus (Yamamoto and Harayama, 1995). Thus, three additional gene sequences for the isolate DNA were examined according to previous works on *Pseudomonas* pathovars identification (Sawada et al., 2009; Whitelaw-Weckert et al., 2011). Recently genome-wide analyses of the effector- and toxin-encoding genes were used to examine the phylogenetics and evolution of pathogenicity amongst diverse strains of *Ps* causing bacterial canker of cherry (*Prunus*) *avium*), including pathovars *P. syringae* pv. *morsprunorum* races 1 and 2, *Pss* and *P. syringae* pv. *avii*. Phylogenetic analyses of the Italian grape berry *Pss* strains revealed that all
were claded and interspersed amongst strains from other host species, providing an example
of convergent evolution of pathogenicity (Hulin et al 2018).

In pathogenicity tests, 'Red globe' and the new grapevine selection 'VRG1' proved to be 273 much more susceptible than 'Sweet Globe' and 'VRG2'. Likewise, the grapevine bacterial 274 strains differed slightly from the NCPPB 281 type strain. Pss 20 was a high syringopeptin 275 producer but in the experimental condition adopted it was unable to produce syringomycin, 276 result in accordance with Lotorre and Jones (1979). Syringomycins and syringopeptins are 277 two classes of necrosis-inducing lipodepsipeptide toxins characterizing Pss (Scholz-278 Schroeder et al., 2001), are produced in infected plant tissues (Fogliano et al., 1999, Grgurina 279 et al., 1997), and they play roles as virulence factors in plant diseases (Scholz-Schroeder et 280 al., 2001). Although both elicit necrotic symptoms in host tissues and are highly phytotoxic, 281 syringomycin was 30 times more active in antifungal activity assays and was also shown to 282 reduce stomata apertures in leaves of Vicia faba (Mott and Takemoto, 1989). However, it has 283 been shown that not all strains produce such compounds (Gross and De Vay, 1977; Zeller et 284 al., 1997; Scortichini et al., 2003). Different authors, instead, showed that syringopeptin is 285 286 more phytotoxic than syringomycin (Iacobellis et al. 1992a, Scholz-Schroeder et al., 2001; Dalla Serra et al. 1999; Hutchison and Gross, 1997), and Ps pathovars are known to produce 287 a wide spectrum of secondary metabolites exhibiting phytotoxic capabilities (Bender et al. 288 1999; Gross 1991). Thus, the pathogenic contribution of a phytotoxin depends on the specific 289 290 host-pathogen interaction. In addition to their phytotoxic effects, these lipopeptides have prominent antibiotic properties exhibiting differential antifungal and antibacterial activity 291 against a variety of microorganisms (Iacobellis et al. 1992a, b; Lavermicocca et al. 1997). 292 They are strongly inhibitory to gram-positive bacteria, particularly Bacillus spp. 293 294 (Lavermicocca et al. 1997). The use of biocontrol agents in the management of bunch rots is increasing (Rotolo et al., 2017). As many of them are lipopetide producers, it can be 295 supposed that, in some way, they can increase the virulence and/or the fitness of Pss. This 296 concern is heightened by changes in climate and commercial networks that could intensify 297 emerging epidemics of plant disease in areas well known for their crop vocation. The 298 characterization of the metabolites associated with the bunch rot PSS type will be improved, 299 and studies on the relationship between grapevine cultivars and *Ps* pathovars are under study. 300

## 302 Acknowledgements

This research was partially carried out in the framework of the Projects: "Laboratory network for the selection, characterization and conservation of germplasm and for preventing the spread of economically-relevant and quarantine pests (SELGE) No. 14", founded by the Apulia Region, PO FESR 2007–2013—Axis I, Line of intervention 1.2., Action 1.2.1; and 'Epidemiology, genetics of plant pathogens and development of molecular diagnostic methods' granted by the University of Bari. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### 310 **References**

Abbasi, V., Rahimian, H., Tajick-Ghanbari, and M. A. 2013. Genetic variability of Iranian

312 strains of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* causing bacterial canker disease of stone fruits.

Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 135:225-235. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-012-0095-1.

314

Alfano, J. R. and Collmer, A. 2004. Type III secretion system effector proteins: Double
agents in bacterial disease and plant defense. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 42:385-414.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.42.040103.110731.

318

Baltrus, D. A., Nishimura, M. T., Romanchuk, A., Chang, J. H., Mukhtar, M. S., Cherkis, K.,
Roach, J., Grant, S. R., Jones, C. D., and Dangl, J. L. 2011. Dynamic evolution of
pathogenicity revealed by sequencing and comparative genomics of 19 *Pseudomonas syringae* isolates. PLoS Pathog. 7:e1002132. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002132.

323

Bartoli, C., Lamichhane, J. R., Berge, O., Guilbaud, C., Varvaro, L., Balestra, G. M.,
Vinatzer, B. A., and Morris, C. E. 2015a. A framework to gauge the epidemic potential of
plant pathogens in environmental reservoirs: the example of kiwifruit canker. Mol. Plant.
Pathol. 16:137-149. https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12167.

328

Bartoli, C., Carrere, S., Lamichhane, J. R., Varvaro, L., and Morris, C. E. 2015b. Wholegenome sequencing of 10 *Pseudomonas syringae* strains representing different host range spectra. Genome Announc. 3(2):e00379-15. 10.1128/genomeA.00379-15.

Bender, C. L., Alarcón-Chaidez, F., and Gross, D. C. 1999. *Pseudomonas syringae*phytotoxins: Mode of action, regulation, and biosynthesis by peptide and polyketide
synthetases. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 63:266-292.

336

- Bull, C. T., De Boer, S. H., Denny, T. P., Firrao, G., Fischer-Le Saux, M., Saddler, G. S.,
  Scortichini, M., Stead, D. E., and Takikawa, Y. 2010. Comprehensive list of names of plant
  pathogenic bacteria, 1980-2007. J. Plant. Pathol. 92: 551–592.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.4454/jpp.v92i3.302.
- 341
- Bultreys, A., and Kaluzna, M. 2010. Bacterial cankers caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* on stone fruit species with special emphasis on the pathovars *syringae* and *morsprunourm* race 1 and race 2. J. Plant. Pathol., 92:S1.21-S1.33. 10.4454/jpp.v92i1sup.2503.
- 345
- Cai, R., Lewis, J., Yan, S., Liu, H., Clarke, C. R., Campanile, F., Almeida, N. F., Studholme,
  D. J., Lindeberg, M., Schneider, D., Zaccardelli, M., Setubal, J. C., Morales-Lizcano, N. P.,
  Bernal, A., Coaker, G., Baker, C., Bender, C. L., Leman, S., and Vinatzer, B. A. 2011. The
  Plant Pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* is genetically monomorphic and under
  strong selection to evade tomato immunity. PLoS Pathog. 7(8): e1002130.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002130.
- 352
- Cugusi, M., Garau, R., Prota, U., and Dore, M., 1986. A bark necrosis of grapevine caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* V. Hall, in Sardinia. J. Phytopathol. 116:176-85.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0434.1986.tb00908.x.
- 356
- Dalla Serra, M., Fagiuoli, G., Nordera, P., Bernhart, I., Della Volpe, C., Di Giorgio, D.,
  Ballio, A., and Menestrina, G. 1999. The interaction of lipodepsipeptide toxins from *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* with biological and model membranes: a comparison of
  syringotoxin, syringomycin, and two syringopeptins. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 12:391400. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.1999.12.5.391.
- 362

Diallo, D. M., Monteil, C. L., Vinatzer, B. A., Clarke, C. R., Glaux, C., Guilbaud, C.,
Desbiez, C., and Morris, C. E. 2012. *Pseudomonas syringae* naturally lacking the canonical
type III secretion system are ubiquitous in nonagricultural habitats, are phylogenetically
diverse and can be pathogenic. Int. Soc. Microb. Ecol. 6:1325-1335. 10.1038/ismej.2011.202.

- Elena, S. F., Bedhomme, S., Carrasco, P., Cuevas, J. M., de la Iglesia, F., Lafforgue, G., 368 Lalić, J., Prosper, A., Tromas, N., and Zwart, M. P. 2011. The evolutionary genetics of 369 RNA Microbe 370 emerging plant viruses. Mol. Plant Interact. 24:287-293. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-09-10-0214. 371
- 372
- Feil, H., Feil, W. S., Chain, P., Larimer, F., DiBartolo, G., Copeland, A., Lykidis, A., Trong,
  S., Nolan, M., Goltsman, E., Thiel, J., Malfatti, S., Loper, J. E., Lapidus, A., Detter, J. C.,
  Land, M., Richardson, P. M., Kyrpides, N. C., Ivanova, N., and Lindow, S. E. 2005.
  Comparison of the complete genome sequences of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*B728a and pv. *tomato* DC3000. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102(31):11064-9.
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504930102.
- 379
- Fogliano, V., Gallo, M., Vinale, F., Ritieni, A., Randazzo, G., Greco, M., Lops, R., Graniti,
  A. 1999. Immunological detection of syringopeptins produced by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv
  lachrymans. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 55:255-261.
  https://doi.org/10.1006/pmpp.1999.0227.
- 384
- Gašić, K., Prokić, A., Ivanović, M., Kuzmanović, N. and Obradović, A. 2012. Differentiation
  of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars originating from stone fruits. Pestic. Phytomed.
  27(3):219-229. 10.2298/PIF1203219G.
- 388
- Gilbert, V., Legros, F., Maraite, H., and Bultreys, A. 2009. Genetic analyses of *Pseudomonas syringae* isolates from belgian fruit orchards reveal genetic variability and isolate-host
  relationships within the pathovar *syringae*, and help identify both races of the pathovar *morsprunorum*. Eur. J. Plant. Pathol. 124:199-218. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-008-9406y.
- 394
- Golzar, H. and Cother, E. J. 2008. First report of bacterial necrosis of mango caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* in Australia. Australian Plant Disease Notes 3(1):107109. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03211259.
- 398
- Grgurina, I., Gross, D. C., Iacobellis, N. S., Lavermicocca, P., Takemoto, J. Y., and
  Benincasa, M. 1996. Phytotoxin production by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*:

401 Syringopeptin production by syr mutants defective in biosynthesis or secretion of
402 syringomycin. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 138:35-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378403 1097(96)00078-X.

404

Grgurina, I., Iacobellis, N.S., Ippolito, C., Curci, R., 1997. Detection of syringomycin in plant
tissues infected with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*, In K. Rudolph, T. J. Burr, J. W.
Mansfield, D. Stead, A. Vivian, and J. von Kietzell (ed.), *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars
and related pathogens. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. p. 188191.

410

Gross, D. C. 1991. Molecular and genetic analysis of toxin production by pathovars of *Pseudomonas syringae*. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 29:247-278.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.py.29.090191.001335.

414

Gross, D. C. and De Vay, J. E. 1977. Population dynamics and pathogenicity of *Pseudomonas syringae* in maize and cowpea in relation to the in vitro production of
syringomycin. Phytopathology 67:474-483.

418

Hall, B. H., McMahon, R. L., Noble, D., Cother, E. J., and McLintock, D. 2002. First report
of *Pseudomonas syringae* on grapevines (*Vitis vinifera*) in South Australia. Australas. Plant
Path. 31:421–422. https://doi.org/10.1071/AP02048.

422

Hall, S. J., Dry, I. B., Blanchard, C. L., and Whitelaw-Weckert, M. A. 2016. Phylogenetic
relationships of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* isolates associated with bacterial
inflorescence rot in grapevine. Plant Dis. 100:607-616. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-150806-RE.

427

Hulin, M. T., Armitage, A. D., Vicente, J. G., Holub, E. B., Baxter, L., Bates, H. J., 428 Mansfield, J. W., Jackson, R. W., and Harrison, R. J. 2018. Comparative genomics of 429 Pseudomonas syringae reveals convergent gene gain and loss associated with specialization 430 onto cherry (Prunus avium). New Phytologist 219: 672–696. 431 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15182. 432

Hutchison, M. L., and Gross, D. C. 1997. Lipopeptide phytotoxins produced by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*: Comparison of the biosurfactant and ion channel-forming activities of
syringopeptin and syringomycin. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 10:347-354.
https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.1997.10.3.347.

438

Iacobellis, N. S., Lavermicocca, P., Grgurina, I., Simmaco, M., and Ballio, A. 1992a.
Phytotoxic properties of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* toxins. Physiol. Mol. Plant

441 Pathol. 40:107-116. https://doi.org/10.1016/0885-5765(92)90038-W.

442

Iacobellis, N. S., Lavermicocca, P., Surico, G., and Durbin, R. D. 1992b. The occurrence and
characterization of a syringomycin- macromolecular complex in cultures of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*. Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 40:91-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/08855765(92)90037-V.

447

Ivanović, Ž., Perović, T., Popović, T., Blagojević, J., Trkulja, N., and Hrnčić, S. 2017.
Characterization of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*, Causal Agent of Citrus Blast of
Mandarin in Montenegro. Plant Pathol. J. 33(1): 21-33. 10.5423/PPJ.OA.08.2016.0161.

451

Jones, A. L. 1971. Bacterial canker of sweet cherry in Michigan. Plant Dis. Rep., 55: 961965.

454

Kaluzna, M., Ferrante, P., Sobiczewski, P., and Scortichini, M. 2010a. Characterization and
genetic diversity of *Pseudomonas syringae* isolates from stone fruits and hazelnut using
repetitive-PCR and MLST. J. Plant Pathol., 92: 781-787.
http://dx.doi.org/10.4454/jpp.v92i3.327.

459

Kaluzna, M., Pulawska, J. and Sobiczewski, P. 2010b. The use of PCR melting profile for
typing of *Pseudomonas syringae* isolates from stone fruit trees. Eur. J. Plant. Pathol., 126:
437-443.

463

King, E. O., Ward, M. K., Raney, D. E. 1954. Two simple media for the demonstration of
pyocyanin and fluorescin. J. Clin. Lab. Med. 44(2):301-307.

Klement, Z., Rudolph, K., and Sands, D. C. 1990. Methods in Phytobacteriology Akademiai,
Kiado, Budapest, p. 568.

469

Klingner, A. E., Palleroni, N. J., and Pontis, R. E. 1976. Isolation of *Pseudomonas syringae*from lesions on *Vitis vinifera*. J. Phytopathol. 86:107–116. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14390434.1976.tb01682.x.

- 473
- Lamichhane, J. R., Varvaro, L., Parisi, L., Audergon, J.-M. and Morris C.E. 2014. Disease
  and frost damage of woody plants caused by *Pseudomonas syringae*: seeing the forest for the
  trees. Adv. Agron. 126:235–295. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800132-5.00004-3.
- 477

Latorre, B. A. and Jones, A. L., 1979. *Pseudomonas morsprunorum*, the cause of bacterial
canker of sour cherry in Michigan and its epiphytic association with *P. syringae*.
Phytopathology 69: 335-339.

481

Lavermicocca, P., Iacobellis, N. S., Simmaco, M., and Graniti, A. 1997. Biological properties
and spectrum of activity of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* toxins. Physiol. Mol. Plant
Pathol. 50:129-140. https://doi.org/10.1006/pmpp.1996.0078.

485

Lelliott, R. A. and Stead D. E., 1987. Methods for the diagnosis of bacterial diseases of
plants. In: Preece TF, ed. Methods in Plant Pathology, Vol. 2. Oxford, UK: Blackwell
Scientific Publications.

489

Lelliott, R. A., Billing, E., and Hayward A. C., 1966. A determinative scheme for the
fluorescent plant pathogenic *Pseudomonas*. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 29:470-489.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1966.tb03499.x.

493

Mansvelt, E. L., and Hattingh, M. J. 1989. Scanning Electron Microscopy of Invasion of
Apple Leaves and Blossoms by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*. Appl. Environ. Microb.
55(2):533-8.

497

Marcelletti, S., Ferrante, P., Petriccione, M., Firrao, G., and Scortichini, M. 2011.
 *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *actinidiae* draft genomes comparison reveal strain-specific

- features involved in adaptation and virulence to Actinidia species. PLoS ONE 6(11):e27297.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027297.
- 502

- Marmur, J. 1961. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from microorganisms. J. Mol. Biol. 3(2), 208-218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(61)80047-8.
- Mohr, T. J., Liu, H., Yan, S., Morris, C. E., Castillo, J. A., Jelenska, J., and Vinatzer, B. A.,
  2008. Naturally occurring nonpathogenic isolates of the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* lack a type III secretion system and effector gene orthologues. J. Bacteriol.
  190(8):2858-70. 10.1128/JB.01757-07.
- 510
- Moragrega, C., Llorente, I., Manceau, C., and Montesinos, E. 2003. Susceptibility of
  European pear cultivars to *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* using immature fruit and
  detached leaf assays. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 109(4):319-326.
  https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023574219069.
- 515
- Morris, C. E., Monteil, C. L., and Berge, O. 2013. The life history of *Pseudomonas syringae*:
  linking agriculture to earth system processes. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 51:85-104.
  https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102402.
- 519
- 520 Mott, K.A., and Takemoto, J.Y. 1989. Syringomycin, a bacterial phytotoxin, closes stomata.
- 521 Plant Physiol. 90:1435-1439. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.90.4.1435
- 522
- 523 Porotikova, E.V., Dmitrenko, U.D., Atapina, E.E., Volkov, Y.A., Risovannaya, V.I.,
- 524 Stranishevskaya, E.P., Gorislavets, S.M., Kamionskaya, A.M., and Vinogradova, S.V., 2017.
- 525 First report of the Bacterial Leaf Spot Caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* on grapevine (Vitis
- 526 *vinifera*). in Russia. Plant Dis. 101:380. https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-16-1040-PDN
- 527
- Rotolo, C., De Miccolis Angelini, R. M., Dongiovanni, C., Pollastro, S., Fumarola, G., Di
  Carolo, M., Perrelli, D., Natale, P., and Faretra, F. 2018. Use of biocontrol agents and
  botanicals in integrated management of *Botrytis cinerea* in table grape vineyards. Pest.
  Manag. Sci. 74:715–725. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4767.
- 532

- Samedov, A. N., Mogilevskaya, M. I., Karagezov, T. G., Khudaverdieva, S. R., and Aliev, L.
  A. 1988. Detection of the causal agents of grape bacteriosis in Aspheron (Azerbaijan SSR,
  USSR). Izv An Azerb SSR 6:18–23.
- 536
- Santini, A., Ghelardini, L., De Pace, C., Desprez-Loustau, M. L., Capretti, P., Chandelier, A.,
  Cech, T., Chira, D., Diamandis, S., Gaitniekis, T., Hantula, J., Holdenrieder, O., Jankovsky,
  L., Jung, T., Jurc, D., Kirisits, T., Kunca, A., Lygis, V., Malecka, M., Marcais, B., Schmitz,
  S., Schumacher, J., Solheim, H., Solla, A., Szabò, I., Tsopelas, P., Vannini, A., Vettraino, A.
  M., Webber, J., Woodward, S. and Stenlid, J. 2013. Biogeographical patterns and
  determinants of invasion by forest pathogens in Europe. New Phytol. 197:238-250.
  https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04364.x
- 544
- Sawada, H., Suzuki, F., Matsuda, I., and Saitou, N. 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars suggests the horizontal gene transfer of *argK* and the
  evolutionary stability of *hrp* gene cluster. J. Mol. Evol. 49(5): 627-644.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00006584.
- 549
- Schaad, N. W., Jones, J. B., Chun, W. 2001. Laboratory Guide for Identification of Plant
  Pathogenic Bacteria. The American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN., USA.
  https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2001.00635.x.
- 553
- Scheck, H.J., Canfield, M. L., Pscheidt, J. W., and Moore, L. W. 1997. Rapid evaluation of
  pathogenicity in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* with a lilac tissue culture bioassay and
  syringomycin DNA probes. Plant Dis. 81:905-910.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.1997.81.8.905.
- 558
- Scholz-Schroeder, B. K., Hutchison, M. L., Grgurina, I., and Gross, D. C. 2001. The
  contribution of syringopeptin and syringomycin to virulence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* strain B301D on the basis of *sypA* and *syrB1* biosynthesis mutant analysis. Mol.
  Plant Microbe Interactions, 14:336-348. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2001.14.3.336.
- 563
- Scortichini, M. 1997. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* associated with decline in olive
  due to an excess of Magnesium. Informatore Fitopatologico 47:47-50.
- 566

Scortichini, M., Marchesi, U., Dettori, M. T., and Rossi, M. P., 2003.Genetic diversity,
presence of the *syrB* gene, host preference and virulence of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* strains from woody and herbaceous host plants. Plant Pathol. 52:277–286.
https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3059.2003.00860.x.

571

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. 2013. MEGA6: Molecular
Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. and Evol. 30: 2725-2729.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197.

575

Weisburg, W. G., Barns, S. M., Pelletier, D. A., and Lane, D. J. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA
amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol., 173(2):697-703. 10.1128/jb.173.2.697703.1991.

579

- Whitelaw-Weckert, M. A., Whitela, E. S., Rogiers, S. Y., Quirk, L., Clark, A. C., and Huang,
  C. X. 2011. Bacterial inflorescence rot of grapevine caused by *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*. Plant Pathol. 60(2):325-337. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2010.02377.x.
- Xin, X. F. and He, S. Y. 2013. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000: a model pathogen
  for probing disease susceptibility and hormone signaling in plants. Annu Rev Phytopathol.
  51:473-98. 10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102321.
- 587
- Yan, S., Liu, H., Mohr, T. J., Jenrette, J., Chiodini, R., Zaccardelli, M., Setubal, J. C., and
  Vinatzer, B. A. 2008. Role of recombination in the evolution of the model plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000, a very atypical tomato strain. Applied and
  environmental microbiology p. 3171–3181. 10.1128/AEM.00180-08.
- 592
- Young, J. M. 2010. Taxnomoy of *Pseudomonas syringae*. J. Plant Pathol. 92:S1.5-S1.14.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.4454/jpp.v92i1sup.2501.
- 595

Zeller, W., Xie, X.-L., Bereswill, S., Geider, K., 1997. Taxonomy and virulence of bacterial

597 blight (*Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae*) from pome fruit trees. In: Rudolph K, Burr TJ,

598 Mansfield JW, Stead DE, Vivian A, von Kietzell J, eds. *Pseudomonas syringae* pathovars and

related pathogens. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic, 465–469.

## 600 Captation

Fig. 1. (A) Symptoms on Pseudomonas grape bunch rot on 'VRG1' in the field; (B) symtoms on 'VRG1'berries 20 day after inoculation (DAI) with Pss\_20 (up) and water as negative control (down); (C) patogenicity assay on lilac leaves 10 DAI with Pss\_20 (1), NCPPB type strain (2), and water as negative control (3); (D) black pit lesions on lemon fruits 7 DAI with NCPPB type strain (1), DiSSPA Pss 20 (2), and water as negative controls (3, 4).

606

Fig. 2. Phylogeneny of isolates DiSSPA\_Pss\_20-23 with others *Pss* strains and *Ps* pathovars by
using concatenated *16S rDNA*, *gyrB*, *rpoB* and *rpoD* gene sequences (a) and only *rpoD* gene
sequences (b). Dendrograms was generated by the UPGMA method. *P. marginalis*(ICMP11289), *P. cichorii* (JBC1), *P. tolaasii* (2192T), *P. fluorescens* (L228), *P. corrugata*(RM1-1-4) and *P. brassicacearum* (DF41) were used as outgroup. Percentage bootstrap values of
more than 50% (from 1000 replicates) are indicated at the nodes. \*Probably synonyms of *Pss*(Whitelaw-Weckert et al., 2011).

614

615

## 1 Table 1. Primer used.

	Primers	Torgot	Referenc
Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Target	es
FD1	CCGAATTCGTCGACAACAGAGTTTGATCCT GGCTCAG	16S ribosomal	Weisburg et al
RD1	CCCGGGATCCAAGCTTAAGGAGGTGATCCA GCC	DNA	1991
Pss_gyr	AAGTATCCGGTGGTTTGCAC	gyrB	
BF			
Pss_gyr	CAGACCTTCCTGCTCGATGT		
BR			
LAPS	TGGCCGAGAACCAGTTCCGCGT	D	Whitelaw -Weckert
LAPS27	CGGCTTCGTCCAGCTTGTTCAG	rроВ	et al., 2011
Pss_rpo	AGAGGCATCCGTGAAGTGAT	rpoD	2011
DF Pss_rpo DR	CATGATGGCGCGTTCCTG		

Species (strain)	Host	Location
Pseudomonas amygdali pv. morsprunorum		
(2341)	Prunus cerasus	Hungary
Pseudomonas brassicacearum (DF41)	Brassica napus	Canada, Manitoba
Pseudomonas cichorii (JBC1)	Glycine max	South Korea
Pseudomonas corrugata (RM1-1-4)	Brassica napus	Austria, Graz
		Ireland, Carlow,
Pseudomonas fluorescens (L228)	Miscanthus giganteus	Oakpark
Pseudomonas marginalis (ICMP 11289)	Actinidia deliciosa	New Zealand
Pseudomonas savastanoi pv. phaseolicola		
(1448A)	Phaseolus vulgaris	Ethiopia
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>actinidiae</i> (ICMP 9617)	Actinidia deliciosa	New Zealand
Pseudomonas syringae pv. aptata		
(ICMP459)	Beta vulgaris	USA
Pseudomonas syringae pv. atrofaciens	č	New Zealand,
(ICMP4394)	Triticum aestivum	Auckland
Pseudomonas syringae pv. cerasicola		
(ICMP17524)	Prunus x yedoensis	Japan
Pseudomonas syringae pv. lapsa (ATCC	•	1
10859)	Triticum aestivum	Unknown
Pseudomonas syringae pv. persicae (NCPPB		
2254)	Prunus persica	France
Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi (PP1)	Pisum sativum	Japan
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (642)	Plantago rugelii	USA, Blacksburg, VA
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (1212)	Pisum sativum	United Kingdom
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (2339)	Prunus avium	Hungary
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (A2)	Pyrus calleryana	Unknown
		USA, Laramie,
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (Alf3)	Medicago sativa	Wyoming
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (B64)	Triticum aestivum	Unknown
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (B301D)	Pyrus communis	United Kingdom
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (B728a)	Phaseolus vulgaris	USA, Wisconsin
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae	_	
(CRAFRU11)	Corylus avellana	Italy
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (DAR		
73915)	Vitis vinifera (leaves)	Australia
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (DAR		Australia
77819)	Vitis vinifera (berry)	Tumbarumba
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (DAR		Australia
77820)	Vitis vinifera (rachis)	Tumbarumba
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (HR-IW		
7924)	Prunus cerasus	United Kingdom
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>syringae</i> (HS191)	Panicum miliaceum	Australia
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae		Australia
(MW844)	Vitis vinifera (rachis)	Tumbarumba
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae	<u> </u>	Australia
(MW953)	Vitis vinifera (shoot)	Tumbarumba

# 1 Table 2. Description of *Pseudomonas* species used in the phylogenetic analyses.

Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae		
(ICMP3023)	Syringa vulgaris	United Kingdom
Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae (SM)	Triticum aestivum	USA
Pseudomonas syringae pv. theae (NCPPB		
2598)	Camellia sinensis	Japan
	Lycopersicon	
<i>Pseudomonas syringae</i> pv. <i>tomato</i> (DC3000)	esculentum	USA
		United Kingdom,
Pseudomonas tolaasii (2192T)	Agaricus bisporus	Reading

- 1 Table 3: Biochemical, pathological, and antimicrobial characters of the bacterial grape
- 2 berry isolates and *Pss* type strain used.

Tests	Grape berry isolates				Pss type
	DiSSPA _Pss_20	DiSSPA _Pss_21	DiSSPA _Pss_22	DiSSPA _Pss_23	strain NCPPB 281*
Gram	-	-	-	-	-
Fluorscence	+	+	+	+	+
Levan (L)	+	+	+	+	+
Oxidase (O)	-	-	-	-	-
Potato soft rot (P)	-	-	-	-	-
Arginine dehydrolase (A)	-	-	-	-	-
Tobacco hypersensitivity (T)	+	+	+	+	+
Gelatin hydrolysis (G)	+	+	+	+	+
Aesculin hydrolysis (A)	+	+	+	+	+
Tyrosinase activity (T)	-	-	-	-	-
L (+)-tartrate (Ta)	+	+	+	+	-
Utilization of					
L-lactate	-	-	-	-	+
D-tartrate	-	-	-	-	-
Sorbitol	+	+	+	+	+
Inositol	+	+	+	+	+
Erythritol	+	+	+	+	+
Arbutin hydrolysis	+	+	+	+	+
Nitrate reduction	-	-	-	-	-
2-ketogluconate production	-	-	-	-	-
Pathogenicity on					
lemon fruit	+	+	+	+	+
lilac leaves	+	+	+	+	+
Inhibition of					
Bacillus megaterium	+	+	+	+	+
Geotrichum candidum	-	-	-	-	+

<sup>3</sup> \*DiSSPA: Dipartimento di Scienze del Suolo, della Pianta e degli Alimenti, Università degli

4 Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, IT; NCPPB: National Collection of Plant Pathogenic Bacteria,

5 Harpenden, U.K.



Fig. 1. (A) Symptoms on Pseudomonas grape bunch rot on 'VRG1' in the field; (B) symtoms on 'VRG1'berries 20 day after inoculation (DAI) with Pss\_20 (up) and water as negative control (down); (C) patogenicity assay on lilac leaves 10 DAI with Pss\_20 (1), NCPPB type strain (2), and water as negative control (3); (D) black pit lesions on lemon fruits 7 DAI with NCPPB type strain (1), DiSSPA\_Pss\_20 (2), and water as negative controls (3, 4).

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

