





Hermes results on 3D imaging of the nucleon

Luciano L. Pappalardo University of Ferrara - INFN

So popular, yet so misterious...

Protons, neutrons:

- Building blocks of ordinary matter
- Account for mass of visible Universe
- Discovered about 100 years ago
- > 50 year of DIS experiments
- ...but internal structure still largely unknown!
 - contributions from sea quark & gluons spin ?
 - transverse motion of partons?
 - spin-orbit correlations ?
 - role of OAM ?

- ...

Describing the internal structure of nucleons is one of the most formidable challenges of hadron physics and QCD!



npQCD, confinement,...

basic properties from first principles?

- mass
- radius
- charge
- spin
- mag. moment
- •••

So popular, yet so misterious...

Protons, neutrons:

- Building blocks of ordinary matter
- Account for mass of visible Universe
- Discovered about 100 years ago
- > 50 year of DIS experiments
- …but internal structure still largely unknown!
 - contributions from sea quark & gluons spin ?
 - transverse motion of partons ?
 - spin-orbit correlations ?
 - role of OAM ?

- ...

Describing the internal structure of nucleons is one of the most formidable challenges of hadron physics and QCD!

<u>Final goal</u>: understanding the full quantum phase-space distribution of partons





basic properties from first principles?

- mass
- radius
- charge
- spin
- mag. moment
- •••



represents the maximal knowledge of the partonic structure of nucleons
equivalent to knowing the complete wave function of partons inside the nucleon!
...but cannot be measured directly!



TMDs: 3D description in longitudinal (x) and transverse (k_{\perp}) mom.







Transverse degrees of freedom are responsible for a rich phenomenolgy!



Transverse degrees of freedom are responsible for a rich phenomenolgy!

Transverse momentum and transverse location of partons are correlated with:

• The longitudinal momentum



Transverse degrees of freedom are responsible for a rich phenomenolgy!

Transverse momentum and transverse location of partons are correlated with:

- The longitudinal momentum
- the spin orientation of the parent hadron



B. Pasquini, C. Lorce arXiv:1304.1479

B. Pasquini, F. Yuan arXiv:1001.5398



TMDs: 3D description in longitudinal (x) and transverse (k_{\perp}) mom.



Transverse degrees of freedom are responsible for a rich phenomenolgy!

Transverse momentum and transverse location of partons are correlated with:

- The longitudinal momentum
- the spin orientation of the parent hadron
- the spin orientation of the parton itself





-0.4 - 0.2 0.0 0.2 0. k_x (GeV) 0.2 0.

B. Pasquini, C. Lorce arXiv:1304.1479

B. Pasquini, F. Yuan arXiv:1001.5398



TMDs: 3D description in longitudinal (x) and transverse (k_{\perp}) mom.



Transverse degrees of freedom are responsible for a rich phenomenolgy!

Transverse momentum and transverse location of partons are correlated with:

- The longitudinal momentum
- the spin orientation of the parent hadron
- the spin orientation of the parton itself
- and are flavour dependent!



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

transv. pol. quarks in



TMDs: 3D description in longitudinal (x) and transverse (k_{\perp}) mom.







TMDs: 3D description in longitudinal (x) and transverse (k_{\perp}) mom.







- 8 leading-twist TMDs
- describe spin-orbit correlations
- sensitive to quark OAM!
- ...but how can we extract these objects?

The main ingredients from theory



- Factorization: proved for SIDIS & DY (milestone!) \rightarrow allows interpretation of cross-section
- Universality: essential to interpret underlying physics in different processes;
 - can be tested by comparing TMDs from different processes
 - predicted sign change for T-odd TMDs in SIDIS/DY awaits first experimental check!
- **TMD Evolution:** different schemes/implementations now available;
 - Hard to apply to SIDIS data (low energy) where non-perturbative behaviour is dominant
 - can be tested by comparing results from experiments at different energies: $\langle Q^2 \rangle_{Hermes,Compass,JLab12} \sim 2 - 5 \ GeV^2$; $\langle Q^2 \rangle_{BesIII} \sim 15 GeV^2$; $\langle Q^2 \rangle_{Belle/Babar} \sim 100 GeV^2$
- Lattice QCD: recent results on Transversirt, Sivers, B-M, worm-gear, tensor charge, etc

The main ingredients from phenomenology



- **Phenomenological models**: L-C constituent quark models, spectator models, χQSM , etc.
- Sofisticated global analyses of SIDIS and e^+e^- data (multi-D) based on TMD-evolution
- Careful error propagation and advanced statistical tools
- Deconvolution of PDF & FF: educated guess on k_{\perp} distribution, $P_{h\perp}$ /Bessel-weighting
- Knowledge of higher-twist contributions is crucial to interpret leading-twist observables
- Separation between CFR & TFR (Fracture Functions, Berger criterion, x_F , ...)

The main ingredients from experiments



Limit defined by luminosity

- High luminosity \rightarrow high statistical precision \rightarrow multi-dimensional analysis
- Large and uniform acceptance → Wide kinematic coverage, access both CFR and TFR
- Excellent tracking \rightarrow Precision measurement of $P_{h\perp} \rightarrow$ sensitivity to intrinsic k_{\perp}
- Excellent hadron PID \rightarrow quark flavour tagging
- High beam and target polarization, small target dilution \rightarrow large asymmetries
- Reliable MC → Systematics well under control

The HERMES experiment at HERA (1995-2007)



Selected TMDs results

Transversity







3D projections allow to constrain global fits in a more profound way!

- also available vs. z (in bins of x and $P_{h\perp}$) and vs. $P_{h\perp}$ (in bins of x and z)
- also availbable for other hadron types















Sivers function









Flavor sensitivity reveals unexpected features:

 K^+ amplitude larger than $\pi^+ !!$

$$\pi^{+} = \left| u \overline{d} \right\rangle, \quad K^{+} = \left| u \overline{s} \right\rangle \quad \rightarrow$$

different role of sea quarks ?



Higher-twist contrib. for Kaons







Sub-leading twist terms (1)

$$\frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx\,dy\,d\phi_{S}\,dz\,d\phi\,dP_{h\perp}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha^{2} \quad y^{2}}{xyQ^{2} \, 2\,(1-\epsilon)} \left(1+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} \\ +\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos\left(\phi\right)F_{UU}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon\cos\left(2\phi\right)F_{UU}^{\cos\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + \quad \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\sin\left(\phi\right)F_{LU}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)}\right] \\ + \quad S_{L} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\sin\left(\phi\right)F_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon\sin\left(2\phi\right)F_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + \quad S_{L} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos\left(\phi\right)F_{LL}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)}\right] \\ + \quad S_{T} \left[\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\left(F_{UT,T}^{\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right) \\ + \quad \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sin\left(\phi_{S}\right)F_{UT}^{\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \\ + \quad S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{UT}^{\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \\ + \quad S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{UT}^{\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos\left(\phi_{S}\right)F_{UT}^{\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{UT}^{\cos\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \right\}$$

Subleading-twist $sin(\phi_S)$


Subleading-twist $sin(\phi_S)$



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Subleading-twist $sin(\phi_S)$



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Sub-leading twist terms (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx \, dy \, d\phi_{S} \, dz \, d\phi \, dP_{h\perp}^{2}} &= \frac{\alpha^{2} \quad y^{2}}{xyQ^{2} \, 2 \, (1-\epsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right) \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[F_{\mathrm{UU,T}} + \epsilon F_{\mathrm{UU,L}} + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1+\epsilon\right)} \cos\left(\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon \cos\left(2\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\cos\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1+\epsilon\right)} \sin\left(\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon \sin\left(2\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\sin\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + S_{L} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1+\epsilon\right)} \sin\left(\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon \sin\left(2\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + S_{L} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} F_{\mathrm{LL}} + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1-\epsilon\right)} \cos\left(\phi\right) F_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)}\right] \\ + S_{T} \left[\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right) \left(F_{\mathrm{UT,T}}^{\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} + \epsilon F_{\mathrm{UT,L}}^{\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right) \\ + \epsilon \sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right) F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)} + \epsilon \sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right) F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1+\epsilon\right)} \sin\left(\phi_{S}\right) F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin\left(\phi,S\right)} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1+\epsilon\right)} \sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right) F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1-\epsilon\right)} \cos\left(\phi_{S}\right) F_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon \left(1-\epsilon\right)} \cos\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right) F_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Sensitive to f_1 , Boer-Mulders + higher-twist DF and FF

$$F_{LU}^{\sin\phi_h} = \frac{2M}{Q} \mathcal{C} \left[-\frac{\hat{h} \cdot k_T}{M_h} \left(xe H_1^{\perp} + \frac{M_h}{M} f_1 \frac{\tilde{G}^{\perp}}{z} \right) + \frac{\hat{h} \cdot p_T}{M} \left(xg^{\perp} D_1 + \frac{M_h}{M} h_1^{\perp} \frac{\tilde{E}}{z} \right) \right]$$



Subleading-twist $sin(\phi)$ BSA



Subleading-twist $sin(\phi)$ BSA



Subleading-twist sin(ϕ) BSA



Mapping the phase-space of the nucleon



Mapping the phase-space of the nucleon



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

- Cleanest probe of GPDs
- Theoretical accuracy at NNLO
- GPDs are accessed through convolution integrals with hard scattering amplitudes (CFFs)
- Experimental observables are: azimuthal asymmetries, cross-secrion



Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

- Cleanest probe of GPDs
- Theoretical accuracy at NNLO
- GPDs are accessed through convolution integrals with hard scattering amplitudes (CFFs)
- Experimental observables are: azimuthal asymmetries, cross-secrion



At Hermes $|T_{DVCS}|^2 \ll |T_{BH}|^2 \Rightarrow$ DVCS amplitudes mainly accessed through Interference terms

- Beam-Charge asymmetry $\sigma(e^+, \phi) - \sigma(e^-, \phi) \propto Re[F_1\mathcal{H}]$
- Beam-Spin Asymmetry $\sigma(\overrightarrow{e}, \phi) - \sigma(\overleftarrow{e}, \phi) \propto Im[F_1\mathcal{H}]$
- Longitudinal Target-Spin Asymmetry $\sigma(\overrightarrow{\vec{P}}, \phi) - \sigma(\overleftarrow{\vec{P}}, \phi) \propto Im[F_1 \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}]$
- Longitudinal Double-Spin Asymmetry $\sigma(\vec{\vec{P}}, \vec{e}, \phi) - \sigma(\vec{\vec{P}}, \overleftarrow{e}, \phi) \propto Re[F_1 \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}]$
- Transverse Target-Spin Asymmetry $\sigma(\phi, \phi_S) - \sigma(\phi, \phi_S + \pi) \propto Im[F_2 \mathcal{H} - F_1 \mathcal{E}]$
- Transverse Double-Spin Asymmetry $\sigma(\overrightarrow{e}, \phi, \phi_S) - \sigma(\overleftarrow{e}, \phi, \phi_S + \pi) \propto Re[F_2 \mathcal{H} - F_1 \mathcal{E}]$

L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Beam-Charge & Beam-Helicity Asymmetries $\rightarrow H$



Beam-Charge & Beam-Helicity Asymmetries \rightarrow *H*



Transverse Target-Spin Asymmetries -



 \widetilde{E}

E

Ĥ

Transverse Target-Spin Asymmetries -



E

 \widetilde{H}

 \widetilde{E}

Longitudinal Target-Spin Asymmetries



Airapetian et. al..Nucl. Phys. B 842 (2011)



VGG: Model calculation M.Vanderhaeghen, P. Guichon, M. Guidal Phys..Rev.D (1999) 094017 Prog. Nucl. Phys, 47 (2001) 401

Longitud. target spin asymmetry

- Non-zero sin ϕ amplitude on both H and D targets
- Results on H and D targets compatible within uncertainties
- Results on deuteron neither support nor disfavor large contribution from the neutron

Longitudinal Target-Spin Asymmetries



Airapetian et. al..Nucl. Phys. B 842 (2011)



VGG: Model calculation M.Vanderhaeghen, P. Guichon, M. Guidal Phys..Rev.D (1999) 094017 Prog. Nucl. Phys, 47 (2001) 401

Longitud. target spin asymmetry

- Non-zero sin ϕ amplitude on both H and D targets
- Results on H and D targets compatible within uncertainties
- Results on deuteron neither support nor disfavor large contribution from the neutron

Longitud. double spin asymmetry

- $\sim 2\sigma$ discrepancy for $\cos(0\phi)$ where D results are ~ 0
- D results slightly positive for cos(φ)
- In general no significant evidence of coherent scattering on d
- Process dominated by scattering on p

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)



> Beam-charge and beam-spin asymmetry PRL 87 (2001) 182001 PRD 75 (2007) 011103 JHEP 11 (2009) 083 JHEP 07 (2012) 032, JHEP 10 (2012) 042 Nucl. Phys. B 829 (2010) 1 > Transverse target-spin asymmetry JHEP 06 (2008) 066 > Transverse double-spin asymmetry Phys. Lett. B 704 (2011) 15 > Longitudinal target spin asymmetry JHEP 06 (2010) 019 > Longitudinal target & double spin asymmetry

Nucl. Phys. B 842 (2011) 265

Deeply Virtual Meson Production (DVMP)



Complete set of SDMEs for ρ^0 , ω , ϕ on H and D targets

- → 15 SDMEs → unpolarised target
- SDMEs → longitudinally polarised beam
- → 30 SMDEs → transversely polarised target

grouped according to the different spin transitions between γ^* and VM



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Conclusions

- > The 3D imaging of the nucleon is a joung, fashinating and fast evolving field!
- High-precision data from present and future experiments will allow to push forwards our understanding of the nucleon structure

Conclusions

- > The 3D imaging of the nucleon is a joung, fashinating and fast evolving field!
- High-precision data from present and future experiments will allow to push forwards our understanding of the nucleon structure
- > HERMES, as a pioneer experiment, has played a key exploratory role in this field!



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015



Other TMDs results

$$\frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx \, dy \, d\phi_{S} \, dz \, d\phi \, dP_{h_{\perp}}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha^{2} \quad y^{2}}{xyQ^{2} \, 2 \, (1-\epsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right)$$

$$\left\{ \frac{\left[F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \cos\left(\phi\right) F_{UU}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon \cos\left(2\phi\right) F_{UU}^{\cos\left(2\phi\right)}\right] + \alpha \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin\left(\phi\right) F_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)}\right] + \epsilon \cos\left(2\phi\right) F_{UL}^{\cos\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \right\}$$

$$+ \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin\left(\phi\right) F_{UL}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)} + \epsilon \sin\left(2\phi\right) F_{UL}^{\sin\left(2\phi\right)}\right] + S_{L} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos\left(\phi\right) F_{UT}^{\cos\left(\phi\phi\right)}\right]$$

$$+ S_{T} \left[\sin\left(\phi - \phi_{S}\right) \left[F_{UT}^{\sin\left(\phi\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} + \epsilon F_{UT}^{\sin\left(\phi\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right) + \epsilon \sin\left(\phi\phi-\phi_{S}\right) F_{UT}^{\sin\left(\phi\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin\left(2\phi - \phi_{S}\right) F_{UT}^{\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right]$$

$$+ S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} \cos\left(\phi - \phi_{S}\right) F_{UT}^{\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos\left(\phi\right) SF_{UT}^{\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx \, dy \, d\phi_{S} \, dz \, d\phi \, dP_{h\perp}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha^{2} \quad y^{2}}{xyQ^{2} \, 2(1-\epsilon)} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} + \epsilon \cos(2\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)}\right] \\ + \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)}\right] \\ + S_{L} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)}\right] \\ + S_{L} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{LL}^{\cos(\phi)}\right] \\ + S_{T} \left[\sin(\phi - \phi_{S}) \left[F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S})} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S})}\right] \\ + \epsilon \sin(\phi + \phi_{S}) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_{S})} + \epsilon \sin(3\phi - \phi_{S}) F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi - \phi_{S})} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)} \sin(2\phi - \phi_{S}) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S})}\right] \\ + S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S}) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi - \phi_{S})} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi - \phi_{S})} \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)} \cos(\phi - \phi_{S}) F_{LT}^{\sin(2\phi - \phi_{S})}\right] \right\}$$





The cos2 ϕ amplitudes $\propto h_1^{\perp}(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^{\perp}(z, k_T^2)$



- Amplitudes are significant \rightarrow evidence of BM effect
- similar results for H & D $\rightarrow h_1^{\perp,u} \approx h_1^{\perp,d}$
- Opposite sign for $\pi^+/\pi^ \rightarrow$ opposite signs of fav/unfav Collins FF

The cos2 ϕ amplitudes $\propto h_1^{\perp}(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^{\perp}(z, k_T^2)$



The cos ϕ amplitudes $\propto +\frac{1}{Q}[h_1^{\perp} \otimes H_1^{\perp} + f_1 \otimes D_1 \dots]$



- Significant and of same sign
 → Chan effect weekly flavor
 dependent?

- Clear rise with z for $\pi^+ \& \pi^-$ and $P_{h\perp}$ for π^+

- Different $P_{h\perp}$ dependence \rightarrow contrib. of flavor dependent effects (e.g. BM) for π^- ?

The cos ϕ amplitudes $\propto +\frac{1}{Q}[h_1^{\perp} \otimes H_1^{\perp} + f_1 \otimes D_1 \dots]$



Worm-gear g^{\perp}_{1T}



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx \, dy \, d\phi_{S} \, dz \, d\phi \, d\mathbf{P}_{h\perp}^{2}} &= \frac{\alpha^{2}}{xyQ^{2}} \frac{y^{2}}{2\left(1-\epsilon\right)} \left(1+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right) \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[F_{\mathrm{UU},\mathrm{T}}+\epsilon F_{\mathrm{UU},\mathrm{L}}\right.\\ &+\sqrt{2\epsilon\left(1+\epsilon\right)}\cos\left(\phi\right)F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)}+\epsilon\cos\left(2\phi\right)F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\cos\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + &\lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon\right)}\sin\left(\phi\right)F_{\mathrm{LU}}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)}\right] \\ + &S_{L} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon\left(1+\epsilon\right)}\sin\left(\phi\right)F_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin\left(\phi\right)}+\epsilon\sin\left(2\phi\right)F_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin\left(2\phi\right)}\right] \\ + &S_{L} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}F_{\mathrm{LL}}+\sqrt{2\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon\right)}\cos\left(\phi\right)F_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\cos\left(\phi\right)}\right] \\ + &S_{T} \left[\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\left(F_{\mathrm{UT},\mathrm{T}}^{\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}+\epsilon\sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right)\right.\\ &+\epsilon\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)}+\epsilon\sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \\ &+\sqrt{2\epsilon\left(1+\epsilon\right)}\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \\ + &S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \\ + &S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)} \\ &+\sqrt{2\epsilon\left(1-\epsilon\right)}\cos\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)F_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)}\right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)} = \mathcal{C}\left[\frac{\hat{h} \cdot p_T}{M}g_{1T}D_1\right]$$

Describes the probability to find longitudinally polarized quarks in a transversely polarized nucleon!

- requires interference between wave funct. components that differ by 1 unit of OAM
- > Can be accessed in LT DSAs





Probing g_{1T}^{\perp} through Double Spin Asymmetries $F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)} = \mathcal{C} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_T}{M} g_{1T} D_1 \right]$ $F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S} = \frac{2M}{O} \mathcal{C} \left\{ -\left(xg_T D_1 + \frac{M_h}{M}h_1 \frac{E}{z}\right) \right\}$ $+\frac{k_T \cdot p_T}{2MM_t} \left[\left(x e_T H_1^{\perp} - \frac{M_h}{M} g_{1T} \frac{\dot{D}^{\perp}}{z} \right) + \left(x e_T^{\perp} H_1^{\perp} + \frac{M_h}{M} f_{1T}^{\perp} \frac{G^{\perp}}{z} \right) \right] \right\}$ $F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_h - \phi_S)} = \frac{2M}{O} \mathcal{C} \left\{ -\frac{2(h \cdot p_T)^2 - p_T^2}{2M^2} \left(xg_T^{\perp} D_1 + \frac{M_h}{M} h_{1T}^{\perp} \frac{E}{z} \right) \right\}$ $+\frac{2\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\right)\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{T}\right)-\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{T}}{2MM_{h}}\left[\left(xe_{T}H_{1}^{\perp}-\frac{M_{h}}{M}g_{1T}\frac{\tilde{D}^{\perp}}{z}\right)\right]$ $-\left(xe_T^{\perp}H_1^{\perp} + \frac{M_h}{M}f_{1T}^{\perp}\frac{G^{\perp}}{\gamma}\right)\right]\Big\}$

The simplest way to probe worm-gear g_{1T}^{\perp} is through the $\cos(\phi - \phi_s)$ Fourier component



 $2 \left< \cos(\phi - \phi_S) \right>_{L^{\perp}}^{\pi}$

L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015





Pretzelosity

$F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_h-\phi_S)} = \mathcal{C}\left[\right]$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{T}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{T}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\right)+\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\right)-4\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\cdot\boldsymbol{p}_{T}\right)^{2}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}_{T}\right)$	$\left[h_{1T}^{\perp}H_{1}^{\perp}\right]$
	$2M^2M_h$	

$$\frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx\,dy\,d\phi_{S}\,dz\,d\phi\,dP_{h\perp}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha^{2} \ y^{2}}{xyQ^{2} 2(1-\epsilon)} \left(1+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos(\phi)F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} + \epsilon\cos(2\phi)F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)}\right] \\ + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sin(\phi)F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon\cos(2\phi)F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)}\right] \\ + \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\sin(\phi)F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon\sin(2\phi)F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)}\right] \\ + S_{L} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}F_{LL} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos(\phi)F_{LL}^{\cos(\phi)}\right] \\ + S_{T} \left[\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\left\{F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})} + \epsilon\sin(2\phi-\phi_{S})\right\} \\ + \epsilon\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})} + \epsilon\sin(3\phi-\phi_{S})F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})}\right] \\ + S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos(\phi-\phi_{S})F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})}F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_{S})}\right] \\ + S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos(\phi-\phi_{S})F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos(\phi-\phi_{S})}F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_{S})}\right] \\ + S_{T} \lambda_{l} \left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}\cos(\phi-\phi_{S})F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_{S})} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos(\phi-\phi_{S})F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi-\phi_{S})}\right] \\ \end{array} \right]$$
The sin($3\phi - \phi_s$) amplitude $\propto h_{1T}^{\perp}(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^{\perp}(z, k_T^2)$



All amplitudes consistent with zero

...suppressed by two powers of $P_{h\perp}$ w.r.t. Collins and Sivers amplitudes

L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Worm-gear h^{\perp}_{1L}

$$\frac{d\sigma^{h}}{dx\,dy\,d\phi_{S}\,dz\,d\phi\,d\mathbf{P}_{h\perp}^{2}} = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{xyQ^{2}2(1-\epsilon)} \left(1+\frac{\gamma^{2}}{2x}\right)$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \left[F_{\mathrm{UU,T}}+\epsilon F_{\mathrm{UU,L}}\right]\\ +\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\cos(\phi)F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\cos(\phi)}+\epsilon\cos(2\phi)F_{\mathrm{UU}}^{\cos(2\phi)}\right]\\ + \lambda_{l}\left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\sin(\phi)F_{\mathrm{LU}}^{\sin(\phi)}\right]\\ + S_{L}\left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\sin(\phi)F_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin(\phi)}+\epsilon\sin(2\phi)F_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin(2\phi)}\right]\\ + S_{L}\lambda_{l}\left[\sqrt{1-\epsilon^{2}}F_{\mathrm{LL}}+\sqrt{2\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}\cos(\phi)F_{\mathrm{LL}}^{\cos(\phi)}\right]\\ + S_{T}\left[\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\left(F_{\mathrm{UT,T}}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})}+\epsilon F_{\mathrm{UT,L}}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})}\right)\right.\\ +\epsilon\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin(\phi+\phi_{S})}+\epsilon\sin(3\phi-\phi_{S})F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin(3\phi-\phi_{S})}\\ +\sqrt{2\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}\sin(\phi_{S})F_{\mathrm{UT}}^{\sin(\phi,\phi,s)}\right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} \cos (\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos (\phi - \phi_S)} \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{2\epsilon (1 - \epsilon)} \cos (\phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos (\phi_S)} \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{2\epsilon (1 - \epsilon)} \cos (2\phi - \phi_S) F_{LT}^{\cos (2\phi - \phi_S)} \right] \right\}$$

$$F_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h} = \mathcal{C} \left[-\frac{2\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}_T\right)\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{h}} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_T\right) - \boldsymbol{k}_T \cdot \boldsymbol{p}_T}{MM_h} h_{1L}^{\perp} H_1^{\perp} \right]$$

Describes the probability to find transversely polarized quarks in a longitudinally polarized nucleon



Fragmentation Functions				
1		quark		
C.	5	U	L	Т
h	U	D_1 \bigcirc		H_1^{\perp} $()$ - $(]$

The sin(2ϕ) amplitude $\propto h_{1L}^{\perp}(x, p_T^2) \otimes H_1^{\perp}(z, k_T^2)$

 $e \vec{d} \rightarrow e \pi X$ 0.06 0.04 0.02 A^{sin2¢} UL o 0 -0.02 -0.04 $e \vec{d} \rightarrow e K^{\dagger} X$ 0.06 ----- K* 0.04 A^{sin2¢} UL o 0.02 0 -0.02 -0.04 0.3 0.1 0.2 0

Deuterium target

A. Airapetian et al, Phys. Lett. B562 (2003)



A. Airapetian et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000)

Amplitudes consistent with zero for all mesons and for both H and D targets

The subleading-twist $sin(2\phi-\phi_S)$ Fourier component



• sensitive to worm-gear g_{1T}^{\perp} , Pretzelosity and Sivers function:

$$\begin{split} \propto & \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbf{p_T}, \mathbf{k_T}, \mathbf{P_{h\perp}}) \left(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{f_T^{\perp}} \mathbf{D}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{M_h}}{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{h_{1T}^{\perp}} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}}{\mathbf{z}} \right) \\ & - \mathcal{W}_2(\mathbf{p_T}, \mathbf{k_T}, \mathbf{P_{h\perp}}) \left[\left(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{h_T} \mathbf{H_1^{\perp}} + \frac{\mathbf{M_h}}{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{g_{1T}} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{G}^{\perp}}}{\mathbf{z}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{h_T^{\perp}} \mathbf{H_1^{\perp}} - \frac{\mathbf{M_h}}{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{f_{1T}^{\perp}} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{D}^{\perp}}}{\mathbf{z}} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

 \bullet suppressed by one power of $P_{h\perp}$ w.r.t. Collins and Sivers amplitudes

 no significant non-zero signal observed

The sin($2\phi+\phi_S$) Fourier component



• arises solely from longitudinal (w.r.t. virtual photon direction) component of the target spin



- related to $\langle \sin(2\phi) \rangle_{UL}$ Fourier comp: $2 \langle \sin(2\phi + \phi_S) \rangle_{UT}^h \propto \frac{1}{2} \sin(\vartheta_{l\gamma^*}) 2 \langle \sin(2\phi) \rangle_{UL}^h$
- sensitive to worm-gear h_{1L}^{\perp}
- ${\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ suppressed by one power of $P_{h\perp}$ w.r.t. Collins and Sivers amplitudes

 no significant signal observed (except maybe for K+)

L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

$$F_{LU} \sin \phi$$

$$F_{LU} = \frac{2M}{Q} C \left[-\frac{\hbar \cdot k_T}{M_h} \left(xe H_1^{\perp} + \frac{M_h}{M} f_1 \frac{\tilde{G}^{\perp}}{z} \right) + \frac{\hbar \cdot p_T}{M} \left(xg^{\perp} D_1 + \frac{M_h}{M} h_1^{\perp} \frac{\tilde{E}}{z} \right)$$

$$A \text{ Arapetine et al, Phys. Lett. B 648 (2007)}$$

$$A \text{ Arapetine et al, Phys. Lett. B 648 (2007)}$$

$$\left\{ \left[F_{UU,T} + \epsilon F_{UU,L} + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1 + \epsilon)} \cos(\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi)} + \epsilon \cos(2\phi) F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi)} \right]$$

$$+ \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1 + \epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin(2\phi)} \right]$$

$$+ S_L \left[\sqrt{2\epsilon(1 + \epsilon)} \sin(\phi) F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi) F_{UL}^{\sin((2\phi)} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \left[\sin(\phi - \phi_S) \left[F_{UTT}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_S)} + \epsilon F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi + \phi_S)} + \epsilon \sin(2\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin((3\phi - \phi_S))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\sin((\phi + \phi_S))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi)} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_T \lambda_l \left[\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} cos(\phi - \phi_S) F_{UT}^{\cos(\phi(\phi))} \right]$$

$$+ S_$$

L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

The di-hadron SIDIS cross-section



- New tracking, new PID, use of ϕ_R rather than $\phi_{R\perp}$
- Different fitting procedure and function
- Acceptance correction



- independent way to access transversity
- significantly positive amplitudes
- 1st evidence of non zero dihadron FF
- no convolution integral involved
- limited statistical power (v.r.t. 1 hadron)
- signs are consistent for all $\pi\pi$ species
- statistics much more limited for $\pi^\pm\pi^0$
- despite uncertainties may still help to constrain global fits and may assist in u d flavor separation

More on DVCs Exclusive Mesons

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS) Measurements with Recoil Detection

Events with one DIS lepton and one trackless cluster in the calorimeter.
"<u>Unresolved</u>" for associated process

• *Onresolved* for associated process $ep \rightarrow e\Delta^+ \gamma \approx 12\%$

• "<u>Unresolved reference</u>" sample.

• "Hypothetical" proton required in the Recoil Detector acceptance.

"<u>Pure Elastic</u>" sample.
Kinematic event fitting technique.
Allows to achieve purity > 99.9 %



Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

Beam-Helicity Asymmetry (Recoil Measurement)



Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)

Associated Process $e^+p \rightarrow e^+\gamma \Delta^+$



L.L. Pappalardo – Light Cone 2015 – INFN-LNF – 21-25 Sept. 2015

Exclusive meson production

pQCD description of the process.

- I) dissociation of the virtual photon into quark-antiquark pair
- II) scattering of a pair on a nucleon
- III) formation of the observed vector meson



UPE GPDs $\widetilde{H}, \widetilde{E}$ NPE GPDs H, E



Cross Section

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dQ^2 dt d\Phi d\cos\theta d\phi} \propto \frac{d\sigma}{dx_B dQ^2 dt} W(x_B, Q^2, t, \Phi, \cos\theta, \phi)$

production and decay angular distribution: W decomposition $W = W_{UU} + P_{\ell}W_{LU} + S_LW_{UL} + P_{\ell}S_LW_{LL} + S_TW_{UT} + P_{\ell}S_TW_{LT}$

parameterization in terms of helicity amplitudes

-Schilling, Wolf (1973) -Diehl (2007)



 ρ^0

SDMEs ρ⁰

$|T_{00}| \sim |T_{11}| \gg |T_{01}| > |T_{10}| \ge |T_{1-1}|$



SDMEs Φ



- Selected hierarchy of NPE helicity amplitudes
- No significant differences between proton

$\gamma^*_L \rightarrow V_L \& \gamma^*_T \rightarrow V_T$ (Class A & B)

- SDMEs are significantly different from zero
- I0-20% difference between ρ and φ SDMEs
- SDMEs are consistent with zero
- SDMEs on deuteron are slightly negative
- No strong indication of violation from SCHC

 Unpolarized and Polarized SDMEs are consistent with zero for both hydrogen and

 Unpolarized and Polarized SDMEs are consistent with zero for both hydrogen and

SDMEs ω



Transverse SDMEs of ρ⁰

• Most of the SDMEs are consistent with zero within 1.5 σ • SDMEs 1.0 and 1.0 n_{0+}^{00} differ form zero by 2.5 σ • Non - zero value for SDME 1.0 n_{0+}^{00} - violation from SCHC • In case of NPE - expected $n_{\mu\mu'}^{\nu\nu'} < n_{\mu\mu'}^{\nu\nu'}$ • Non - zero values for SDMEs 1.0 $n_{0+}^{\mu\nu'} < n_{\mu\mu'}^{\mu\nu'}$



SDME values

Exclusive π^+ **Production**



$$\mathcal{A}_{UT}(\phi,\phi_S) = \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow}}$$

6 azimuthal asymmetry amplitudes are measured
no L/T separation
small overall value for the leading asymmetry amplitude A^{sin(φ-φ_S)}_{UT}
unexpectedly large value for the asymmetry amplitude A^{sin(φ_S)}_{UT}
other amplitudes are consistent with zero
evidence for contribution from transversally polarized photons

Exclusive π^+ Production



Leading amplitude $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)}$ • small asymmetry with possible sign change • $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi-\phi_S)} \propto Im(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}} * \widetilde{\mathcal{H}})$ • theoretical expectation: large negative value Frankfurt et.al. (2001) Belitsky, Muller (2001) • difference could be due the γ^*_T . Goloskokov, Kroll (2009) Bechler, Muller (2009)





Transverse target spin asymmetries for exclusive ω



Fig. 5. The five amplitudes describing the strength of the sine modulations of the cross section for hard exclusive ω -meson production. The full circles show the data in two bins of Q^2 or -t'. The open squares represent the results obtained for the entire kinematic region. The inner error bars represent the statistical uncertainties, while the outer ones indicate the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. The results receive an additional 8.2% scale uncertainty corresponding to the target polarization uncertainty. The solid (dash-dotted) lines show the calculation of the GK model [11,21] for a positive (negative) $\pi\omega$ transition form factor, and the dashed lines are the model results without the pion pole.