International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology (2013), 16, 575–582. © CINP 2012 doi:10.1017/S1461145712000181

Histaminergic ligands injected into the nucleus basalis magnocellularis differentially affect fear conditioning consolidation

OCINP

Fernando Benetti¹, Elisabetta Baldi¹, Corrado Bucherelli¹, Patrizio Blandina² and Maria Beatrice Passani²

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiologiche, Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni, Firenze, Italy

² Dipartimento di Farmacologia Preclinica e Clinica, Università di Firenze, Viale Pieraccini, Firenze, Italy

Abstract

The role of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis (NBM) in fear conditioning encoding is well established. In the present report, we investigate the involvement of the NBM histaminergic system in consolidating fear memories. The NBM was injected bilaterally with ligands of histaminergic receptors immediately after contextual fear conditioning. Histaminergic compounds, either alone or in combination, were stereotaxically administered to different groups of adult male Wistar rats and memory was assessed as conditioned freezing duration 72 h after administration. This protocol prevents interference with NBM function during either acquisition or retrieval phases, hence restricting the effect of pharmacological manipulations to fear memory consolidation. The results presented here demonstrate that post-training H₃ receptors (H₃R) blockade with the antagonist/inverse agonist thioperamide or activation with immepip in the NBM potentiates or decreases, respectively, freezing response at retrieval. Thioperamide induced memory enhancement seems to depend on H_2R , but not H_1R activation, as the H_2R antagonist zolantidine blocked the effect of thioperamide, whereas the H1R antagonist pyrilamine was ineffective. Furthermore, the H₂R agonist ampthamine improved fear memory expression independently of the H₃R agonist effect. Our results indicate that activation of post-synaptic H₂R within the NBM by endogenous histamine is responsible for the potentiated expression of fear responses. The results are discussed in terms of activation of H_3 auto- and heteroreceptors within the NBM and the differential effect of H_3R ligands on fear memory consolidation in distinct brain regions.

Received 14 September 2011; Reviewed 24 November 2011; Revised 24 January 2012; Accepted 11 February 2012; First published online 15 March 2012

Key words: Contextual fear memory, H_2 receptor ligands, H_3 receptor ligands, histamine, memory consolidation.

Introduction

We recently provided evidence that the functional integrity of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis (NBM) during memory processing is necessary to consolidate fear memories (Baldi *et al.* 2008). In addition to a population of γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic neurones, the NBM contains a large number of cholinergic neurons that provide the main cholinergic afferents to the cortex and amygdala (Mesulam *et al.* 1983), organized in distinct pathways (Heckers *et al.* 1994). The

Tel.: +39 055 4271324 *Fax*: +39 055 4271280 *Email*: beatrice.passani@unifi.it integrity of the NBM is necessary for general learning and memory mechanisms, as shown by permanent electrolytic or excitotoxic lesions (Vale-Martínez *et al.* 2002). Irreversible lesions of NBM cholinergic neurones indicated that these neurones may be important in mediating selective aspects of aversive states with a strong component of anxiety (Knox & Berntson, 2006; Power & McGaugh, 2002).

The use of reversible inactivation or infusions of ligands selective for neurotransmitter systems of interest makes it possible to block brain areas at any stage of memory formation and to understand some of the regulatory mechanisms underlying cognitive processes. For example, reversible inactivation with tetrodotoxin showed that NBM integrity is necessary at different post-acquisition time-points for the

Address for correspondence: Dr M. B. Passani, Dipartimento di Farmacologia Preclinica e Clinica, Viale Pieraccini 6, 50139 Firenze, Italy.

consolidation of either contextual or acoustic fear memories (Baldi et al. 2007).

The NBM receives histaminergic afferents from the tuberomamillary nucleus (TMN) in the posterior hypothalamus, the only source of histaminergic projections (Panula et al. 1984). The histaminergic system constitutes a major, wake-promoting system (Lin et al. 2011) and is mainly responsible for cortical activation and cognitive activities during wakefulness (Anaclet et al. 2009). The specificity of action of histamine on cognitive processes depends on the localization of histaminergic receptor subtypes, the brain region and the nature of the cognitive task involved and the activation of specific intracellular pathways (Passani et al. 2007; Passani & Blandina, 2011). Local administration of H₃R antagonists, such as thioperamide (Giannoni et al. 2009) or GSK-189254 (Giannoni et al. 2010), augments histamine release within the NBM presumably by blocking H₃ autoreceptors. Activation of histaminergic H₁ receptor (H₁R), but not H₂R, in the NBM depolarizes cholinergic neurons (Khateb et al. 1995) and increases acetylcholine (Ach) output in the cortex of freely moving rats (Cecchi et al. 2001). It seems, therefore, that H₂R in the NBM do not contribute to the neuromodulation of cholinergic corticopetal projections. These findings are apparently in agreement with reports that blockade of H1R with chlorepheniramine within the NBM impairs behavioural tasks that presumably engage the cortical cholinergic system (Privou et al. 1999). Chlorpheniramine, however, lacks specificity at the high doses used by Privou et al. as it shows anti-muscarinic properties (Kubo et al. 1987) and is a potent serotonin and noradrenaline uptake inhibitor (Carlsson & Lindqvist, 1969). These results, therefore, are not conclusive.

In the present study, we evaluated the effects of histaminergic compounds injected in the NBM on the formation of emotional memories. Our aim was to dissect out the components that may affect fear memories consolidation. Using selective agonists and antagonists of the H_1 , H_2 and H_3 receptors we established the relevance of the histaminergic system in controlling selective pathways originating in the NBM that are involved in fear memory consolidation.

Method

Animals

Adult male Wistar rats were individually housed in a room with a natural light/dark cycle and constant temperature $(20 \pm 1 \,^{\circ}C)$ and had free access to food and water throughout the experiments. Animals

used in this study were cared for in accordance with the guidelines of the European Community recommendations (86/606/CEE) and were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Università di Firenze.

Behavioural experiments

Contextual fear conditioning was induced in a Skinner box module $(29 \times 31 \times 26 \text{ cm}, \text{Modular Operant Cage})$; Coulbourn Instruments Inc., USA), equipped with a grid floor connected to a shock-delivery apparatus (Modular Operant Cage/Grid Floor Shocker E13-08; Coulbourn Instruments) and placed in an acoustically insulated room at 20±1 °C, as in previous experiments (Baldi et al. 2007). The number of the electric shocks and the inter-shock interval duration was predetermined by a stimulus programming device (Scatola di comando Arco 2340, Italy). Illumination inside the room was 60 lux. The rat was left undisturbed for 3 min and subsequently seven 1-s, 1-mA electric footshocks were administered at 30-s intervals. The footshock intensity was chosen according to previous published data from our laboratory (Cangioli et al. 2002; Giovannini et al. 2003). This is a strong enough footshock to guarantee retention at 72 h postacquisition without inducing generalization (Baldi et al. 2004). The rat was removed 2 min after the end of the stimulation, therefore spending a total time of 8 min inside the conditioning apparatus.

Surgery and intra-NBM drug administration

Rats were anaesthetized immediately after the training session with nembutal (50 mg/kg i.p.) and bilateral injections took place within the following 20 min. Animals were restrained in the stereotaxic apparatus and insertion of the injection needle was performed according to the coordinates: anterior-posterior -1.5 mm, lateral ± 2.8 mm, dorsoventral 7.2 mm from bregma (Paxinos & Watson, 1998). Drugs were dissolved in physiological saline and injected bilaterally into the NBM in nine different groups of rats and they received the following solutions: physiological solution; H₃R antagonists/inverse agonist thioperamide (0.3 μ M); H₃R agonist immepip (1 μ M); H₁R antagonist pyrilamine $(0.9 \,\mu\text{M})$; H₂R antagonist zolantidine $(0.1 \,\mu\text{M})$; thioperamide + pyrilamine; thioperamide + zolantidine; H_2R agonist ampthamine (10 μ M); ampthamine+immepip. The concentrations chosen were within the range of selectivity for the various compounds and/or according to their efficacy in microdialysis or behavioural experiments (Calcutt et al. 1988; Cangioli et al. 2002; Cecchi et al. 2001; Passani *et al.* 2001). The injection needle had an outside diameter of 0.3 mm and was connected with a short piece of polyethylene tubing to a Hamilton syringe fixed to an electrode holder. Solutions (0.4 μ l per side) were injected over a 1–2 min period and the needle was left in place for another min before withdrawal. After surgery, rats were returned to their home cages. During the following 3 d before testing, the animals displayed a normal behaviour that did not differ from that of controls. A group of rats was fear conditioned without receiving Nembutal anaesthesia. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals used.

Intra-NBM injected animals recovered from surgery and general anaesthesia within 24 h and in the following 48 h did not show any abnormal behaviour.

Freezing measurement

Seventy-two hours after conditioning, rats were again placed inside the conditioning apparatus in the soundproof room and left undisturbed for 6 min. The rats' behaviour was recorded by means of a closed circuit television system by an experimenter unaware of the animal's treatment. Freezing (immobility) was defined as the complete absence of somatic motility, with the exception of respiratory movements (Sacchetti et al. 1999). Measurements were performed with a stopwatch by personnel who did not know to which experimental group each animal belonged. Total cumulated freezing time (i.e. total seconds spent freezing during each 3 min period) was calculated and results expressed as percentage of freezing time. All behavioural tests were performed between 10:00 and 12:00 hours to avoid interference with the circadian rhythm (Kamin, 1957).

Rat locomotor and exploratory activity

After measuring conditioned freezing, rats were gently placed on a round table with a diameter of 1.5 m and their behaviour observed for 3 min according to Giovannini *et al.* (2003). Data from rats showing abnormal locomotor and exploratory activities, grooming, yawning and rearing, were not included (four rats out of 94). Non-quantitative criteria were used to exclude rats. An experienced observer estimated whether rats' behaviour in the open field was within the norm for animals of that age.

Histology

At the end of the experiments, rats were deeply anaesthetised with Nembutal, the brains were removed and stored in 10% formalin for 10 d. Brain sections



Fig. 1. (*a*) The photomicrograph shows an example of the bilateral needle tracks in the nucleus basalis magnocellularis (NBM) of the rat brain. Arrows point to the regions of perfusion. (*b*) Schematic drawing of a rat brain coronal section showing sites of injection within the NBM.

were cut with a freezing microtome and Nissl-stained to verify injection sites. Only animals with correct placement of the injection needles within the boundaries of the NBM were used for data analysis (Fig. 1).

Data analysis

For each session, data were expressed as seconds spent freezing within the 6 min of testing. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Neuman–Keuls *post-hoc* test were used based on the only source of variation, i.e. pharmacological treatment. Results of each set of experiments were analysed independently. For all statistical tests, p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Post-acquisition injections of H₃R ligands in the NBM modulate contextual fear memory consolidation. Rats of all experimental groups did not show differences in spontaneous locomotor and explorative behaviour in the initial 3 min of exploration during acquisition training. Control rats that did not receive Nembutal



 $100 - \frac{100}{50} + \frac{1}{50} + \frac$

Fig. 2. Post-acquisition injections of H₃R ligands in the nucleus basalis magnocellularis (NBM) affect contextual fear memory consolidation. Thioperamide (Thio; $0.3 \,\mu$ M), immepip (Imm; $1 \,\mu$ M) or saline (Sal) were injected bilaterally in the NBM immediately after contextual fear conditioning. Control (Con) rats were not anaesthetized and did not receive intra-NBM injections. All animals were tested for fear retention 72 h after conditioning. Bars represent mean values \pm S.E.M. *** p < 0.001 *vs.* Con; one-way analysis of variance and Newman–Keuls *post-hoc* analysis.

after contextual conditioning showed levels of freezing comparable to rats anaesthetized and infused with saline in the NBM ($58\pm4\%$ vs. $56\pm4\%$; n=10 and n = 12, respectively; Fig. 2). The effects of post-training bilateral injections of H₃R ligands in the NBM are shown in Fig. 2. One-way ANOVA for freezing time revealed an overall significant difference between groups ($F_{3,45} = 17.90$; p < 0.001). The H₃R antagonist/ inverse agonist thioperamide increased freezing time compared to non-anaesthetized and saline-injected rats (73 \pm 3%; *n*=13; *p*<0.001), whereas the H₃R agonist immepip significantly decreased freezing time $(38\pm2\%; n=11; p<0.001)$. As non-anaesthetized (controls) and saline-injected rats displayed the same freezing levels, further comparisons were carried out against the saline-treated group only.

Blockade of H₂R in the NBM prevents thioperamide-induced modulation of fear memory consolidation. The effect of thioperamide post-acquisition injections in the NBM on freezing time was prevented by co-administration of the H₂R antagonist zolantidine (48±8%; n=12), but not of the H₁R antagonist pyrilamine (72±4%; n=10; Fig. 3). One-way ANOVA for freezing time revealed an overall difference between groups ($F_{5,65}=5.558$; p<0.001) with significant differences (Newman–Keuls multiple comparison tests) between controls and thioperamide (p<0.05) and pyrilamine+thioperamide (p<0.05). Also, rats treated with thioperamide differed significantly from

Fig. 3. H₂R but not H₁R activation in the nucleus basalis magnocellularis (NBM) improves memory consolidation of fear memory. Compounds were injected bilaterally in the NBM immediately after contextual fear conditioning. Rats were tested for fear retention 72 h after conditioning. The H₂R antagonist zolantidine blocks the H₃R antagonist-mediated memory impairment. Thioperamide (Thio; 0.3 μ M); pyrilamine (Pyr; 0.9 μ M); zolantidine (Zol; 0.1 μ M). * p <0.05 *vs.* saline (Sal); ^{##} p <0.05 Thio *vs.* Thio+Zol; one-way analysis of variance and Newman–Keuls *post-hoc* analysis.

rats treated with thioperamide + zolantidine (p < 0.01). Post-acquisition perfusion of the NBM with either zolantidine (n = 10) or pyrilamine (n = 10) alone did not significantly change the freezing time (Fig. 3).

H₂R activation in the NBM improves memory consolidation of fear memory. We then tested the effect of post-acquisition H₂R activation in the NBM on freezing time at retention. As shown in Fig. 4, the H₂R agonist ampthamine increased the freezing time at testing to $75\pm5\%$ (n=15; p<0.01). This effect was not blocked by co-administration of ampthamine with the H₃R agonist immepip ($74\pm4\%$ s; n=9), which significantly inhibits consolidation when given alone (Fig. 4). One-way ANOVA for freezing time showed an overall difference between groups ($F_{2,36}=7.568$; p<0.01) with significant differences (Newman–Keuls multiple comparison tests) between controls and both amthamine (p<0.01) and amthamine + immepip (p<0.01).

Discussion

Post-training injections of H₃R ligands in the NBM modulate the expression of fear memory in opposite fashions, as low concentrations of the H₃R antagonist thioperamide increased, whereas the H₃R agonist immepip impaired freezing time at testing. It is interesting to note that, pre-acquisition, intra-NBM injections of thioperamide also has pro-cognitive effects in



Fig. 4. The H₂R agonist ampthamine improves fear memory independently of H₃R agonist effects. Ampthamine (Amtha; 10 μ M); immepip (Imm; 1 μ M). Bars represent mean values \pm S.E.M. ** *p* < 0.01 *vs.* saline (Sal); one-way analysis of variance and Newman–Keuls *post-hoc* analysis.

a two-trial, delayed, place-recognition task (Orsetti *et al.* 2002). The potentiation of freezing response observed with intra-NBM injections of thioperamide seems to depend on H_2R activation, as zolantidine blocked the effect of thioperamide, whereas the H_1R antagonist pyrilamine was ineffective. Furthermore, intra-NBM injections of the H_2R agonist ampthamine improved freezing response. This effect was independent of H_3R activation with immepip. Consolidation of fear memory *per se* was not affected by blockade of either H_1R or H_2R within the NMB, as rats that received intra-NBM injections of either pyrilamine (H_1R antagonist) or zolantidine (H_2R antagonist) showed freezing behaviour at testing similar to controls that received saline.

The H₃R acts both as an autoreceptor that limits histamine synthesis and its release from histaminergic endings and as a heteroceptor that moderates the release of other neurotransmitters, including ACh, dopamine, GABA, 5-hydroxytryptamine and peptides (Haas et al. 2008). The most conservative explanation of the results presented here predicts that blockade of H₃ autoreceptors augments histamine release within the NBM as previously observed (Giannoni et al. 2009, 2010) and that histamine in turn activates postsynaptic H₂R responsible for the potentiated expression of fear response. Indeed, activation of H₃R within the NBM that presumably decreased histamine output did not block the pro-cognitive effect of the H₂R agonist ampthamine (Fig. 4). The contribution of H₃ heteroreceptors on axonal endings or neuronal somata, however, cannot be excluded. For example, blockade or activation of H₃ heteroreceptors may directly modulate neurotransmission within the NBM independently of histamine release. This possibility may contribute to the amnesic effect of the H₃R agonist immepip. The NBM also contains GABAergic interneurones that are involved in the consolidation process of inhibitory avoidance learning (Morón *et al.* 2002). However, the presence of histaminergic receptors on GABAergic neurones and their potential contribution to modulating contextual fear memory is not known at present.

Our observations suggest that activation of H₂R within the NBM is not essential for the consolidation or expression of fear memories under our experimental conditions. But when histamine output within the NBM is perturbed, H₂R activation is necessary for increased fear response. The histaminergic modulation of NBM neurones, hence, may become critical under specific circumstances, such as during emotionally relevant experiences. Indeed, augmented histamine release in the central nervous system is classically associated with increased alertness (Lin, 2000). Stress determines a transient increase of histamine release in the rat frontal cortex (Westerink et al. 2002), whereas motivated behaviours such as food searching augments histamine output from the TMN of the rat (Valdés et al. 2010). The present results demonstrate that post-training blockade of H₃R or activation of H₂R in the NBM provides a mechanism for augmenting conditioned fear response expression.

The present data, together with previous observations from our laboratory, strongly suggest a physiological role for the differential regulation, in a region-specific manner, of histamine and of neurotransmitters that are recognized as major modulators of cognitive processing and motivated behaviours. Histaminergic ligands modulate fear conditioning consolidation in opposite ways when locally administered in different brain regions that control fear memory. For example, post-training injections of H₃R antagonists/inverse agonists in the basolateral amygdala (BLA) decreases the freezing time of trained rats compared to saline-injected controls (Bucherelli et al. 2006; Passani et al. 2001), whereas intra-BLA administration of H₃R agonists augments the freezing time (Cangioli et al. 2002). In our opinion, the controversial role of histamine can be partially reconciled with the observation that H₃R antagonists directly administered to the BLA at the same doses that inhibit fear memory modulate the cholinergic tone, required for fear memory consolidation (McGaugh & Izquierdo, 2000), in the same bimodal fashion as they modify expression of fear memories. In the hippocampus, on the other hand, H₂R and H₃R activation improves the expression of

fear memory by phosphorylating ERK (Giovannini *et al.* 2003), which is required for consolidation of associative memories (Schafe *et al.* 2000). Hence, the specificity of action of histaminergic ligands depends on architectural constraints that separate groups of transmitters in particular brain structures modulating the expression of specific behaviours and on the activation of specific intracellular pathways.

The NBM contains mainly cholinergic neurones that project to the cortex and amygdala (Heckers et al. 1994; Mesulam et al. 1983). NBM corticopetal neurones affect the psychological process by modulating cortical activity (Baxter & Chiba, 1999) and immunotoxin lesions of these neurones suggest that cholinergic input to the neocortex may be involved in attentional processes (Sarter & Bruno, 1997). The same lesion protocol, however, does not produce a deficit in inhibitory avoidance retention (Power et al. 2002; Wenk et al. 1994), neither induces general deficit in anxiety-like states in the elevated plus-maze nor open field (Knox & Berntson, 2006; Pizzo et al. 2002). The activity of NBM cholinergic neurones projecting to the cortex are modulated by endogenous histamine through H₁R (Cecchi et al. 2001) and the results reported here suggest that thioperamide-induced fear memory improvement does not depend on the activation of the NBM-cortical cholinergic pathway under histaminergic H1R control. Indeed, the H1R antagonist pyrilamine does not affect thioperamide-induced increase of fear conditioning retention.

Lesions of the cholinergic projections from the NBM to the BLA produce a pronounced consolidation deficit of the aversive inhibitory avoidance task, but spare spatial memory (Power & McGaugh, 2002). The same protocol impairs working memory performance in the double Y-maze, but does not affect reference memory (Mallet et al. 1995). In conclusion, our results strongly suggest that activation of post-synaptic H₂R within the NBM by endogenous histamine is responsible for the potentiated expression of fear responses. We therefore speculate that the amygdalopetal cholinergic pathway that originates in the NBM is controlled, at least in part, by H₂R activation. To investigate this suggestion, future research may test the hypothesis of a segregation of H1R and H2R expression on different NBM cell populations.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by Compagnia di San Paolo (I), MIUR-PRIN 2007 (I) (Grant 2007WRJNMX_002), Universitá di Firenze (I) (Grant 519ATEN276).

Statement of Interest

None.

References

- Anaclet C, Parmentier R, Ouk K, Guidon G, et al. (2009). Orexin/hypocretin and histamine: distinct roles in the control of wakefulness demonstrated using knock-out mouse models. *Journal of Neuroscience* **29**, 14423–14448.
- Baldi E, Ambrogi Lorenzini C, Bucherelli C (2004). Footshock intensity and generalization in contextual and auditory-cued fear conditioning in the rat. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* 81, 162–166.

Baldi E, Mariottini C, Bucherelli C (2007). The role of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis in fear conditioning consolidation in the rat. *Learning and Memory* 14, 855–860.

- **Baldi E, Mariottini C, Bucherelli C** (2008). Differential roles of the basolateral amygdala and nucleus basalis magnocellularis during post-reactivation contextual fear conditioning reconsolidation in rats. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* **90**, 604–609.
- Baxter MG, Chiba AA (1999). Cognitive functions of the basal forebrain. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* 9, 178–183.
- Bucherelli C, Baldi E, Mariottini C, Passani MB, Blandina C (2006). Aversive memory reactivation engages in the amygdala only some neurotransmitters involved in consolidation. *Learning and Memory* **13**, 426–430.
- **Calcutt CR, Ganellin CR, Griffiths R, Leigh BK, et al.** (1988). Zolantidine (SK&F 95282) is a potent selective brainpenetrating histamine H₂-receptor antagonist. *British Journal of Pharmacology* **93**, 69–78.
- **Cangioli I, Baldi E, Mannaioni PF, Bucherelli C,** *et al.* (2002). Activation of histaminergic H₃ receptors in the rat basolateral amygdala improves expression of fear memory and enhances acetylcholine release. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **16**, 521–528.
- **Carlsson A, Lindqvist M** (1969). Central and peripheral monoaminergic membrane-pump blockade by some addictive analgesics and antihistamines. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* **21**, 460–464.
- **Cecchi M, Passani MB, Bacciottini L, Mannaioni PF,** *et al.* (2001). Cortical acetylcholine release elicited by stimulation of histamine H₁ receptors in the nucleus basalis magnocellularis: a dual probe microdialysis study in the freely moving rat. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **13**, 68–78.
- Giannoni P, Medhurst AD, Passani MB, Giovannini MG, et al. (2010). Regional differential effects of the novel histamine H₃ receptor antagonist 6-[(3-cyclobutyl-2,3,4,5tetrahydro-1H-3-benzazepin-7-yl)oxy]-N-methyl-3pyridinecarboxamide hydrochloride (GSK189254) on histamine release in the central nervous system of freely moving rats. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics* **332**, 164–172.
- Giannoni P, Passani MB, Nosi D, Chazot PL, et al. (2009). Heterogeneity of histaminergic neurons in the

tuberomammillary nucleus of the rat. *European Journal of Neuroscience* **29**, 2363–2374.

Giovannini MG, Efoudebe M, Passani MB, Baldi E, et al. (2003). Improvement in fear memory by histamine-elicited ERK2 activation in hippocampal CA3 cells. *Journal of Neuroscience* 23, 9016–9023.

Haas HL, Sergeeva OA, Selbach O (2008). Histamine in the nervous system. *Physiological Reviews* 88, 1183–1241.

Heckers S, Ohtake T, Wiley RG, Lappi DA, et al. (1994). Complete and selective cholinergic denervation of rat neocortex and hippocampus but not amygdala by an immunotoxin against the p75 NGF receptor. *Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 1271–1289.

Kamin LJ (1957). The retention of an incomplete learned avoidance response. *Journal of Comparative Physiology and Psychology* 50, 457–460.

Khateb A, Fort P, Pegna A, Jones BE, et al. (1995). Cholinergic nucleus basalis neurons are excited by histamine *in vitro*. *Neuroscience* **69**, 495–506.

Knox D, Berntson GG (2006). Effects of nucleus basalis magnocellularis cholinergic lesion on fear-like and anxiety-like behavior. *Behavioural Neuroscience* **120**, 307–312.

Kubo N, Shirakawa O, Kuno T, Tanaka C (1987). Antimuscarinic effects of antihistamines: quantitative evaluation by receptor-binding assay. *Japanese Journal of Pharmacology* **43**, 277–282.

Lin J (2000). Brain structures and mechanisms involved in the control of cortical activation and wakefulness, with emphasis on the posterior hypothalamus and histaminergic neurons. *Sleep Medicine Reviews* 4, 471–503.

Lin JS, Anaclet C, Sergeeva OA, Haas HL (2011). The waking brain: an update. *Cellular and Molecular Life Science* 1, 2499–2512.

McGaugh JL, Izquierdo I (2000). The contribution of pharmacology to research on the mechanisms of memory formation. *Trends in Pharmacological Science* **21**, 208–210.

Mallet PE, Beninger RJ, Flesher SN, Jhamandas K, et al. (1995). Nucleus basalis lesions: implication of basoamygdaloid cholinergic pathways in memory. *Brain Research Bulletin* **36**, 51–56.

Mesulam MM, Mufson EJ, Wainer BH, Levey AI (1983). Central cholinergic pathways in the rat: an overview based on an alternative nomenclature (Ch1–Ch6). *Neuroscience* **10**, 1185–1201.

Morón I, Ramírez-Lugo L, Ballesteros MA, Gutiérrez R, *et al.* (2002). Differential effects of bicuculline and muscimol microinjections into the nucleus basalis magnocellularis in taste and place aversive memory formation. *Behavioural Brain Research* **134**, 425–431.

Orsetti M, Ferretti C, Gamalero R, Ghi P (2002). Histamine H₃-receptor blockade in the rat nucleus basalis magnocellularis improves place recognition memory. *Psychopharmacology* **159**, 133–137.

Panula P, Yang HY, Costa E (1984). Histamine-containing neurons in the rat hypothalamus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 81, 2572–2576. Passani MB, Blandina P (2011). Histamine receptors in the CNS as targets for therapeutic intervention. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* 32, 242–249.

Passani MB, Cangioli I, Baldi E, Bucherelli C, et al. (2001). Histamine H₃ receptor-mediated impairment of contextual fear conditioning, and *in-vivo* inhibition of cholinergic transmission in the rat basolateral amygdala. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 14, 1522–1532.

Passani MB, Giannoni P, Bucherelli C, Baldi E, et al. (2007). Histamine in the brain: beyond sleep and memory. *Biochemical Pharmacology* 73, 1113–1122.

Paxinos G, Watson C (1998). The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. New York: Academic Press.

Pizzo DP, Thal LJ, Winkler J (2002). Mnemonic deficits in animals depend upon the degree of cholinergic deficit and task complexity. *Experimental Neurology* 177, 292–305.

Power A, McGaugh J (2002). Phthalic acid amygdalopetal lesion of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis induces reversible memory deficits in rats. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* **77**, 372–388.

Power AE, Thal LJ, McGaugh JL (2002). Lesions of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis induced by 192 IgG-saporin block memory enhancement with posttraining norepinephrine in the basolateral amygdala. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 99, 2315–2319.

Privou C, Li J-S, Hasenøhrl RU, Huston JP (1999). Enhanced learning by posttrial injection of H1- but not H2-histaminergic antagonists into the nucleus basalis magnocelllars region. *Neurobiology of Learning and Memory* 71, 308–324.

Sacchetti B, Lorenzini CA, Baldi E, Tassoni G, et al. (1999). Auditory thalamus, dorsal hippocampus, basolateral amygdala, and perirhinal cortex role in the consolidation of conditioned freezing to context and to acoustic conditioned stimulus in the rat. *Journal of Neuroscience* **19**, 9570–9578.

Sarter M, Bruno JP (1997). Cognitive functions of cortical acetylcholine: toward a unifying hypothesis. *Brain Research Reviews* 23, 28–46.

Schafe GE, Atkins CM, Swank MW, Bauer EP, et al. (2000). Activation of ERK/MAP kinase in the amygdala is required for memory consolidation of Pavlovian fear conditioning. *Journal of Neuroscience* **20**, 8177–8187.

Valdés JL, Sánchez C, Riveros ME, Blandina P, et al. (2010). The histaminergic tuberomammillary nucleus is critical for motivated arousal. European Journal of Neuroscience 31, 2073–2085.

Vale-Martínez A, Guillazo-Blanch G, Martí-Nicolovius M, Nadal R, et al. (2002). Electrolytic and ibotenic acid lesions of the nucleus basalis magnocellularis interrupt long-term retention, but not acquisition of two-way active avoidance, in rats. Experimental Brain Research 142, 52–66.

582 F. Benetti et al.

Wenk GL, Stoehr JD, Quintana G, Mobley S, et al. (1994). Behavioral, biochemical, histological, and electrophysiological effects of 192 IgG-saporin injections into the basal forebrain of rats. *Journal of Neuroscience* **14**, 5986–5995. Westerink BH, Cremers TI, Vries JBD, Liefers H, *et al.* (2002). Evidence for activation of histamine H₃ autoreceptors during handling stress in the prefrontal cortex of the rat. *Synapse* **15**, 238–243.