Performances of a New Directional Optical Module

> Andrea Bersani INFN Sezione di Genova



A directional Optical Module has been developed in INFN Genova in the framework of KM3net

The DOM can improve the performances of an underwater neutrino telescope

The response of the complete DOM is being measured

A comparison with the standard ANTARES OM is presented



The Directional Optical Module

- The main actor is a 4-anodic Hamamatsu 10" PMT
- A proper light guide provides focusing of the incoming light to the four cathode quadrants
 - Optical gel and high reflectivity mirrors are used
- The PMT is enclosed in a standard
 pressure resistant glass sphere
- A dedicated electronics has been developed
 - Transmits separate and total signal
 - Reduces cross-talk among anodes



The DOM in a Km³ Detector

 The effective area at low energy is expected to increase both in ANTARES and NEMO geometries
 The effect in NEMO is larger due to the higher distance between the towers





How to Build a DOM

Mount the mirrors

Put the mu-metal, the mirror and the light guides in the sphere

Prepare the optical gel

Position the PMT





How to Build a DOM

- Put the PMT in the sphere
- Degas the gel
- Let the gel polymerise
- Install the front-end electronics
- The DOM is done







The DOM Angular Acceptance

The DOM is inserted in a water vessel

Two scintillators give the trigger for each crossing cosmic muon

The OM response is registered



The OM can be oriented w.r.t. The muon tracks



U

The DOM Quantum Efficiency





Angular Acceptance



• The angular acceptance has been measured • At $\theta = o^{\circ}$ all the sectors measure almost the same light



At θ > o^o one sector dominates
 This behaviour is in agreement with the expectations



Montecarlo Comparison



The total signal is fairly reproduced

The directionality is not well reproduced...



Is the photocathode subdivision well defined?



New Montecarlo Comparison



A new definition of the sector has been implemented

A better agreement has been achieved

The disagreement came from a non-perfect quadrant definition



Quantum Efficiency

Q.E. decreases slightly w.r.t. the bare PMT

Light guide effect, compatible with simulations

 Non homogeneous response
 Dynode gain? To be investigated...

Drop at large angles smoother
 than expected
 Gel border effects? To be investigated...





DOM vs. ANTARES OM

Similar behaviour at small angle (< 60°)
Faster drop at large angle for the DOM (due to Liouville's Theorem)





DOM vs. ANTARES OM

- \circledast Q.E. For the ANTARES OM decreases smoothly and drops at ~ 35°
- The DOM is more uniform and Q.E. drops at ~ 60°
- The drop for both the OMs is mainly due to geometry
- The increase in collected light by anode 4, lighting anode 1 at large angle is due to internal reflections



Conclusion

Two complete Directional Optical Modules have been implemented

- The main performances are in fair agreement with the Montecarlo simulations
- Further investigation will be needed on some aspects:
 The not well defined border between the quadrants, which affects the direction sensitivity
 The non uniformity of the response of the different sectors
- These points are probably related to the quality of the prototype PMT

