

# Relationship of goat milk flow emission variables with milking routine, milking parameters, milking machine characteristics and goat physiology

G. Romero<sup>1†</sup>, R. Panzalis<sup>2</sup> and P. Ruegg<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Tecnología Agroalimentaria, Universidad Miguel Hernández, Ctra Beniel km. 3,2, 03312 Orihuela, Spain; <sup>2</sup>Dipartimento di Medicina Veterinaria, Università di Sassari, Sassari, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Dairy Science Department, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1675 Observatory Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA

(Received 10 March 2016; Accepted 2 March 2017)

*The aim of this paper was to study the relationship between milk flow emission variables recorded during milking of dairy goats with variables related to milking routine, goat physiology, milking parameters and milking machine characteristics, to determine the variables affecting milking performance and help the goat industry pinpoint farm and milking practices that improve milking performance. In total, 19 farms were visited once during the evening milking. Milking parameters (vacuum level (VL), pulsation ratio and pulsation rate, vacuum drop), milk emission flow variables (milking time, milk yield, maximum milk flow (MMF), average milk flow (AVMF), time until 500 g/min milk flow is established (TS500)), doe characteristics of 8 to 10 goats/farm (breed, days in milk and parity), milking practices (overmilking, overstripping, pre-lag time) and milking machine characteristics (line height, presence of claw) were recorded on every farm. The relationships between recorded variables and farm were analysed by a one-way ANOVA analysis. The relationships of milk yield, MMF, milking time and TS500 with goat physiology, milking routine, milking parameters and milking machine design were analysed using a linear mixed model, considering the farm as the random effect. Farm was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) in all the studied variables. Milk emission flow variables were similar to those recommended in scientific studies. Milking parameters were adequate in most of the farms, being similar to those recommended in scientific studies. Few milking parameters and milking machine characteristics affected the tested variables: average vacuum level only showed tendency on MMF, and milk pipeline height on TS500. Milk yield (MY) was mainly affected by parity, as the interaction of days in milk with parity was also significant. Milking time was mainly affected by milk yield and breed. Also significant were parity, the interaction of days in milk with parity and overstripping, whereas overmilking showed a slight tendency. We concluded that most of the studied variables were mainly related to goat physiology characteristics, as the effects of milking parameters and milking machine characteristics were scarce.*

**Keywords:** milking machine, milking routine, milk flow, milking parameters, dairy goat

## Implications

We studied the relationship between milk flow emission variables recorded in dairy goat herds (milk yield, maximum milk flow (MMF), milking time and time to achieve 500 g/min) with variables related to milking routine, goat physiology and milking machine characteristics. The results indicated that goat physiology characteristics are the main factors affecting the studied variables, the interaction of parity with days in milk (DIM) being significant in some of the variables studied. The effect of the milking parameters or milking practices on the tested variables was slight, and only overstripping was significantly related to milking time.

## Introduction

The dairy goat industry has become very competitive and is currently an emergent alternative, considering dairy goats as a solution to the saturated cow milk market in many countries worldwide. This industry has gained a foothold in the western world in recent years, due to growing demand for high quality differentiated and gourmet transformed foods. The dairy goat industry is growing rapidly, and more in-depth information on management, milking practices and milking performance was deemed necessary, due to their influence on milk quality and udder health status.

Milking parameters (vacuum level, rate and ratio) are related to somatic cell count, udder sanitary status and milking efficiency (milk flow, strip, falling of teatcups), and

<sup>†</sup> E-mail: gemaromero@umh.es

the most recommended for goat milking are: 36 to 44 kPa vacuum level (Le Jaouen, 1981; Carrotte, 1983; Le Du, 1987 and 1989; Billon *et al.*, 1999; Sinapsis *et al.*, 2000), pulsation rate of 70 to 100 puls/min (Le Jaouen, 1981; Carrotte, 1983; Cicogna and Sangiorgi, 1983; Le Du, 1989; Sinapsis *et al.*, 2000) and pulsation ratio of 50% to 60% (Carrotte, 1983; Le Du, 1985, 1987 and 1989; Billon *et al.*, 1999; Sinapsis *et al.*, 2000). Manzur *et al.* (2012) observed that milking with mid or low line did not affect the udder health status if the milking parameters were as recommended.

Overmilking in dairy cows can worsen teat condition (Hillerton *et al.*, 2002) and has been related to udder health (Rasmussen, 2004). Gleeson *et al.* (2003) observed in cows that continuous overmilking for 4 months caused more damage to the epithelial lining, higher teat sinus injury and greater keratin loss than normal milking. In addition, milking with inadequate pulsation characteristics can increase the damage to teat condition (Mein *et al.*, 2003). In dairy goats, Alejandro *et al.* (2014) observed that an overmilking of 2 min caused a significant increase in teat wall thickness compared with non-overmilked goats (0.56 v. 0.61 cm) and concluded that the use of an unsuitable milking routine that leads to overmilking can cause congestion and oedema in the teat tissues, which may alter the defines mechanisms against intramammary infections.

The aim of this work was to study the relationship of the milking performance variables in dairy goat farms with variables related to physiology and milking practices, to determine the critical points that can be improved in this industry. The work was carried out on 19 different goat farms with different situations in terms of size, goat breeds, milking parameters and milking practices, in order to analyse the relationship between variables in a study carried out in real farm conditions.

## Material and methods

### *Experimental design and variables analysed*

In total, 19 farms from the Goat Association of Wisconsin (USA) were selected, being representative of the size of the total farms in the association (50 to 800 does) and uniformly distributed in every size. Farms were visited once, and records of goat milk flow emission and milking parameters in dynamic conditions were taken during the evening milking (all the selected farms milked twice a day) from 6 to 14 does/farm ( $n = 168$  does).

Milking parameters were recorded in dynamic conditions during milking in the evening (2 to 7 does/farm) with a commercial vacuum recorder (Pulsotest; DeLaval, Tumba, Sweden). Vacuum level variables (kPa) were measured at cluster (short milk tubes) for 1 min: average (AveVL), maximum (maxVL), minimum (minVL) and drops (DROP: maxVL – minVL). Pulsation rate (puls/min) and pulsation ratio (%) were measured at the short pulsation tube of the milking units. Milk flow emission variables were recorded using a Lactocorder device (Lactocorder; WMB AG, Switzerland) in the long milk tube. Tested variables were: milking time (min), milk yield (kg),

MMF (kg/min), average milk flow (AVMF, kg/min) and time until 500 g/min milk flow is established (TS500, min). Doe characteristics were obtained from the database of every farm: DIM, breed (BREED) and parity (P).

Milking practices of overmilking and overstripping were obtained from milk flow curves recorded by Lactocorder. Overmilking (min) was the time at the end of milking with flow lower than 0.2 kg/min until the teatcups were removed.

Overstripping (min) occurred if at the end of milking and after the milk flow decreased below 0.2 kg/min, flow increased above 0.2 kg/min before finally decreasing. Pre-lag (min) was the time from stimulation of teats (manual cleaning or massaging) to teatcup attachment, recorded by the researchers. Milking machine characteristics related to milk pipeline height (LINE: low (milk pipeline below milking platform) or high (milk pipeline above milking platform)) and presence of claw (yes or no) were recorded.

### *Statistical analysis*

Average and standard deviation of milking parameter variables (AveVL, maxVL, minVL, DROP, pulsation rate, pulsation ratio), milk flow variables (milking time, milk yield, MMF, AVMF, TS500), milking routine times (overmilking, overstripping) and milking machine design variables (line, claw) by farm were calculated using Proc Means (SAS V. 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., 2002). The relationship between farm and the analysed variables was studied using a one-way ANOVA (Proc GLM, SAS V. 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., 2002).

The relationship between milk yield, MMF, milking time, TS500 with independent variables related to physiological characteristics and milking practices and parameters were studied using a linear mixed model (Proc Mixed, SAS V. 9.1; SAS Institute Inc., 2002) by a stepwise procedure. Only independent variables significant at  $P < 0.40$  were kept in the final models, with farm as the random term. For these analyses, several variables were clustered in order to reduce the dimension of the problem. Goat characteristics were clustered depending on the variable: DIM was clustered in three levels (early:  $<60$  DIM; mid: 60 to 180 DIM; late:  $>180$  DIM); breed in four levels (Saanen, Alpine, mixed (not pure breed), other: Toggenburg and Nubian) and parity in two levels (primiparous or multiparous). Milking parameters and practices were: AveVL was clustered in two categories ( $\leq 40$ ,  $>40$  kPa), overmilking was clustered in three categories (no overmilking,  $<0.5$  min,  $>0.5$  min) and overstripping was clustered in two categories (yes or no). Milk yield and pre-lag were included as continuous variables. In addition, the interaction of DIM with parity was considered if both effects had  $P < 0.40$  (this was the case of the milk yield and milking time variables).

Independent variables considered in milk yield analysis were: DIM, breed, parity, overstripping and the interaction of DIM with parity. Variables included in MMF analysis were: DIM, breed, parity, milk yield, AveVL, line. Considered variables in milking time analysis were: DIM, breed, parity, overstripping, overmilking, milk yield, AveVL and the

interaction of DIM with parity. Considered variables in TS500 analysis were: DIM, breed, parity, milk yield, AveVL, line, pre-lag. Farm was kept as the random term for all of them.

## Results

The farm effect (one-way ANOVA analysis) resulted significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) for all the variables. Means and standard deviation recorded are shown in Table 1. Average of vacuum level ranged from 32.8 to 40.7 kPa, being very similar between farms ( $37.5 \pm 2.5$  kPa). Vacuum drop was more dispersed ( $9.9 \pm 4.6$  kPa) with minimum levels of 3.8 kPa and maximum of 15.9 kPa. Maximum vacuum level ranged between 37.1 and 46.1 kPa and minVL between 12.7 and 38.2 kPa. The highest AveVL records were related to highest maxVL, and the lowest AveVL to lowest minVL. Pulsation rate ranged between 75 and 122.6 puls/min, with low standard deviations in farms. Pulsation ratio ranged between 44.3% and 100.0%, with low dispersion in farms (SD: 0.1% to 0.23%); one case of bad pulsation functioning (=1%) was recorded, so its data were not considered. Most milking machines had a high milk pipeline ( $n = 13$ ), low pipeline being scarce ( $n = 6$ ). Claw was present in 14 milking machines, and absent in only five. We should note that three machines with no claw had high milk pipelines.

Averages of milk flow emission variables by farm are also shown in Table 1 (evening milking). Milk yield ranged between 0.72 and 1.85 kg. Maximum milk flow ranged between 0.55 and 1.82 kg/min. Average milk flow ranged from 0.48 to 0.92 kg/min, with a very consistent SD between farms (0.13 to 0.39). The variable TS500 was greatly dispersed; the highest value was 3.99 min and the lowest was 0.05 min. Milking time

ranged from 1.69 to 6.06 min. Overmilking averages ranged from 0.16 to 1.79 min.

Variables related to milk yield, MMF, milking time, TS500 at  $P < 0.40$  level are shown in Table 2.

Variables related to milk yield at  $P < 0.40$  level (Table 2) were DIM, parity, overstripping and the interaction of DIM with parity. The  $P$  value of breed was higher than 0.40, and breed effect was removed from the model. The main contribution to the model was the variable parity ( $F = 24.09$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) with higher milk yield at multiparous than primiparous level (1.41 v. 1.01 kg). Lower contribution was obtained from DIM ( $F = 2.49$ ,  $P = 0.08$ ), the interaction of DIM with parity ( $F = 8.09$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ) and overstripping ( $F = 3.00$ ,  $P = 0.08$ ). Animals at early lactation had higher milk yield than animals at mid or late lactation (1.40 v. 1.11 and 1.12 kg, respectively). There were no significant differences between mid and late lactation. The milk yield was significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) for multiparous than primiparous goats when DIM were lower than 60 days (1.82 v. 0.97 kg) or between 60 and 120 days (1.29 v. 0.92 kg); at over 120 DIM, no significant differences were obtained between multiparous and primiparous (1.11 v. 1.14 kg). The Overstripping trend indicates a low contribution to MY (1.14 v. 1.27 kg for not overstripping or yes, respectively;  $P = 0.08$ ).

Main variables related to MMF were milk yield and breed. Average vacuum level (avVL and parity were related at lower level to MMF. Variables not related ( $P < 0.40$ ) were DIM, milk pipeline height and claw. Maximum milk flow was positively related to milk yield; higher MMF was recorded at higher milk yield. Regarding the effect of breed, higher values were obtained for others (0.95 kg/min); Alpine and Saanen obtained 0.71 kg/min and mixed obtained 0.65 kg/min.

Variables related to milking time at  $P < 0.40$  level, were DIM, breed, parity, the interaction of DIM with parity, overstripping, overmilking and milk yield. Main variables (higher  $F$  value and lower  $P$  values) were milk yield, parity, the interaction of DIM with parity, overstripping and overmilking. AvVL was not significant and was deleted from the model. When overstripping was performed, milking time was longer (3.23 v. 2.78 min, with and without overstripping, respectively). Longer milking time was recorded when overmilking was higher than 0.5 min. At first lactation the milking time was lower than at other lactations (2.73 v. 3.28 min). Although no differences were obtained between the DIM levels, the interaction of DIM with parity was significant, as significant differences were obtained between primiparous and multiparous goats (2.61 v. 4.17 min) only in the first stage of lactation considered (<60 DIM).

The variable TS500 was related ( $P < 0.40$ ) to milk yield, parity, milk pipeline height, pre-lag and breed. The variables DIM and AvVL were not significant. Milk yield was related positively to TS500. Higher TS500 was recorded for multiparous does. Low line had a lower TS500 than high line, but with low significance level ( $P = 0.26$ ). Pre-lag was positively related to TS500; higher pre-lag was recorded at higher TS500.

**Table 1** Average and standard deviation for each variable considered in the study

Variables	Average	SD	<i>n</i>
aveVL (kPa)	37.5	2.5	91
maxVL (kPa)	41.4	2.7	91
minVL (kPa)	3.9	9.9	91
DROP (kPa)	9.9	4.6	91
Rate (puls/min)	90.6	13.7	68
Ratio (%)	60.0	11	68
Machines with high line			13
Machines with low line			6
Machines with claw in the cluster			14
Machines without claw in the cluster			5
Milk yield (kg)	1.26	0.53	168
Maximum milk flow (kg/min)	0.79	0.42	168
Average milk flow (kg/min)	0.67	0.27	168
TS500	0.55	1.10	168
Milking time (min)	3.10	1.60	168
Over-milking time (min)	0.76	0.87	168

aveVL = average of vacuum level; maxVL = maximum vacuum level; minVL = minimum vacuum level; DROP = drops of vacuum level (maxVL – minVL); TS500 = time until 500 g/min milk flow is established (min).

**Table 2** Relationship of milk flow emission variables (F and P values) with milking routine, milking parameters, milking machine characteristics and goat physiology

Independent variables	Dependent variables							
	Milk yield (kg)		MMF (kg/min)		Milking time (min)		TS500 (min)	
	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Days in milk	2.49	0.08	$P > 0.40$		1.23	0.29	$P > 0.40$	
Breed	$P > 0.40$		2.16	0.09	1.33	0.26	0.92	0.43
Parity	24.09	<0.01	0.74	0.39	4.55	0.03	1.91	0.17
Days in milk $\times$ parity	8.09	<0.01	–	–	3.64	0.03	–	–
Overstripping	3.00	0.08	–	–	4.21	0.04	–	–
Overmilking	–	–	–	–	3.04	0.06	–	–
Milk yield (kg)	–	–	43.62	<0.01	32.08	<0.01	8.09	<0.01
Average vacuum level (kPa)	–	–	1.53	0.21	$P > 0.40$		$P > 0.40$	
Milk pipeline height	–	–	$P > 0.40$		–	–	1.27	0.26
Pre-lag time (min)	–	–	–	–	–	–	1.33	0.25
Claw in the cluster	–	–	$P > 0.40$		–	–	–	–

MMF = maximum milk flow; TS500 = time until 500 g/min milk flow is established; – = variable not considered in the model. Variable considered in the model initially, but removed from the final model because of low significant level at  $P > 0.40$ .

## Discussion

Averages of milking parameters recorded (avVL, pulsation rate and ratio) are in line with recommendations from several studies in dairy goats, mainly carried out in Europe, where the dairy goat industry is more widespread. Carrotte (1983), Le Du (1989 and 1987), Le Jaouen (1981) and Sinapsis *et al.* (2000) recommended vacuum level (VL) between 36 and 44 kPa; Billon *et al.* (1999) recommended levels between 38 and 40 kPa. The recommendations are related to not increasing the somatic cell count (due to higher VL) and avoiding teatcup falloff (due to low VL). In the United States, Spencer (1992) recommended values between 10 and 14 Hg (33.86 and 47.41 kPa), a somewhat wider range than in European countries. In our study, only five farms obtained records below 36 kPa, none below 33 kPa, the higher AveVL recorded being 40.7 kPa. The DROP (maxVL – minVL) recorded can be considered high (average of 9.9 kPa, range 3.8 to 15.9 kPa) compared with other records published in studies carried out in goats under controlled conditions (1.49 to 3.26 kPa of Bueso-Ródenas *et al.*, 2014).

Average pulsation rate and ratio of the study were 90 puls/min and 60%, respectively, in line with literature recommendations. Levels of pulsation rate recommended in the literature for goat milking are between 70 and 100 puls/min (Carrotte, 1983; Cicogna and Sangiorgi, 1983; Darracq *et al.*, 1978; Le Du, 1989; Le Jaouen, 1981; Sinapsis *et al.*, 2000), with 90 puls/min the most recommended (Lu *et al.*, 1991). In the United States, Spencer (1992) recommended lower rates, between 40 and 80 puls/min. Regarding pulsation ratio, European dairy goats are milked between 50% and 60% (Billon *et al.*, 1999). Le Du (1985 and 1987) and Sinapsis *et al.* (2000) recommended a ratio of 60% for goats, due to a lower milking time (compared with lower ratios), interesting in animals with high production levels or low emission flows. Using ratios higher than 70% could have a negative effect on

udder health status, due to its effect on congestion in the teat and worse emptying of the udder (Carrotte, 1983; Le Du, 1987 and 1989).

Claw presence was observed in high and low milk pipelines, except on five farms, three of which had a high milk pipeline. Peris *et al.* (2003) questioned the needed to install claw in low line for small ruminants if air entrance is permitted at teatcup level (4 to 8 l/min; ISO 5707, 2007) which is recommended in high line in order to avoid vacuum level fluctuations, especially at high milk flows. Le Du *et al.* (1983) showed that claw in high line helps to improve milk evacuation in ewes through the milk pipeline reducing fluctuations.

Averages of milk yield obtained are in line with results reported in similar breeds. It must be considered that the data in this work were recorded at evening milking and the literature publishes data obtained from morning milking or total per day (Bruckmaier *et al.*, 1994; Ilahi *et al.*, 1999; Komara and Marnet, 2009; Komara *et al.*, 2010). The significant relationship with parity and DIM is in line with the results of Bruckmaier *et al.* (1994) in Saanen, and Peris *et al.* (1996 and 2010) in Murciano-Granadina goats, who reported a decrease in milk yield as lactation progressed. In our study, the interaction between DIM and parity was significant, as the differences observed at early and mid-lactation became non-significant at the end of lactation, but this result was not observed or considered in other studies (Bruckmaier *et al.*, 1994; Peris *et al.*, 1996 and 2010). The lack of relationship with the breed is opposite to results obtained by Komara *et al.* (2010), who observed a significant relationship in a study carried out with Alpine and Saanen goats, although our study covered a wider range of management situations (19 farms) and four levels of breeds with higher variability. The overstripping variable was not significantly related to milk yield, due to the good stripping observed, caused by the accuracy of the milking parameters



employed (VL, rate and ratio). Only a slight trend was observed ( $P = 0.08$ ), with small differences between stripping (MY = 1.24 kg) or not stripping (MY = 1.14 kg).

Averages of MMF are in line with those reported by Komara *et al.* (2010) in Alpine and Saanen breeds (0.88 and 0.82 l/min, respectively), Ilahi *et al.* (1999) in Alpine (0.902 l/min), although lower than reported by Komara and Marnet (2009) in Alpine (1.6 l/min) and Bruckmaier *et al.* (1994) in Saanen (1.34 to 1.60 kg/min), after considering that records were taken in the evening milking in our study. Our results are in line with those reported in standard ISO 5707 (2007), regarding milking machines, where peak flows or 0.8 l/min are attributed to Saanen, but not with those attributed to Alpine breeds (1.3 l/min). The significant relationship obtained with milk yield are in line with Ilahi *et al.* (1999) who reported a coefficient of correlation of 0.42. Lu *et al.* (1991) observed a significant relationship with VL, not so high in our study, probably due to the short variability in our study compared to them (38, 45 and 52 kPa). The lack of relationships obtained with DIM are in disagreement with the results of Ilahi *et al.* (1999) and Bruckmaier *et al.* (1994), who observed a decrease in MMF as lactation progressed. Ilahi *et al.* (1999) and Peris *et al.* (2010) also reported a significant relationship with parity, in contrast to our study. Komara *et al.* (2010) reported a significant relationship with breed, in contrast to the results presented here ( $P = 0.43$ ). No studies on TS500 in goats were found, only on the time to MMF.

The milking time averages obtained are in line with those reported by the ISO 5707 (2007) for milking machine construction (>120 s), but lower than the literature consulted in similar breeds, as publications focus on the morning milking. Lu *et al.* (1991) and Peris *et al.* (2010) studies reported a significant relationship of milking time with milk yield. Bruckmaier *et al.* (1994) reported a significant relationship with DIM and Peris *et al.* (2010) with parity and DIM, due to the relationship with milk yield, but Peris *et al.* (1996) did not find a significant relationship with parity. In our study a relationship was found ( $P < 0.01$ ) with milk yield and parity ( $P = 0.03$ ), but not with DIM ( $P = 0.29$ ). The significant interaction of DIM with parity ( $P = 0.03$ ) is explained by the significant interaction obtained for the milk yield variable, which resulted in a significant higher milking time in multiparous compared with primiparous goats. Ilahi *et al.* (1999) reported a low correlation between milk yield and milking time ( $r = 0.17$ ), milking time being related with MMF ( $r = -0.54$ ). Lu *et al.* (1991) observed a significant relationship with VL, in contrast to our study, probably due to the short variability in our study compared with theirs.

Regarding the milking parameters and milking machine characteristics, only avVL showed a tendency on MMF, and milk pipeline height on TS500. The other variables considered did not have a significant effect on the tested variables.

Milk yield was mainly affected by parity, the interaction of DIM with parity also being significant. Maximum milk flow was mainly affected by milk yield and breed. Milking time was mainly affected by milk yield. Parity, the interaction of

DIM with parity and overstripping were also significant, whereas overmilking showed a slight trend.

We concluded that most of the studied variables were mainly related to goat physiology characteristics, the effects of milking parameters and milking machine characteristics being scarce.

## References

- Alejandro M, Roca A, Romero G and Díaz JR 2014. Effects of overmilking and liner type and characteristics on teat tissue in small ruminants. *Journal of Dairy Research* 81, 215–222.
- Billon P, Ronningen O, Sangiorgi E and Shuiling E 1999. Quantitative requirements of milking installations for small ruminants. A survey in different countries. *Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on the Milking of Small Ruminants. Milking and milk production of dairy sheep and goats*, 26 September to 1 October 1998, Athens, Greece, pp. 209–215.
- Bruckmaier RM, Ritter C, Schams D and Blum JW 1994. Machine milking of dairy goats during lactation: udder anatomy, milking characteristics, and blood concentrations of oxytocin and prolactin. *Journal of Dairy Research* 61, 457–466.
- Bueso-Ródenas J, Romero G, Roca A and Díaz JR 2014. Effect of one automatic cluster remover (ACR) setting on milking efficiency on Murciano-Granadina goats. *Livestock Science* 161, 193–200.
- Carrotte G 1983. La normalisation des machines à traire pour chèvres et brebis. 3th Symposium Internacional de Ordeño Mecánico de Pequeños Rumiantes, 16–20 May 1983, Valladolid, Spain, pp. 367–379.
- Cicogna M and Sangiorgi F 1983. Comparaison des caractéristiques de traite des chèvres avec six types de faisceaux trayeurs. 3th Symposium Internacional de Ordeño Mecánico de Pequeños Rumiantes, 16–20 May 1983, Valladolid, Spain, pp. 485–489.
- Darracq J, Le Mens P, Perrot C 1978. Caractéristiques des machines à traire les chèvres utilisées en France et leur contrôle en ferme. 2ème Symp. Int. Traite Mécanique Petits Ruminants, Alghero, Italie. Ed. F.N.O.C.L. Paris: 324.
- Gleeson DE, Jilroy D, O'Callaghan EJ, Fitzpatrick E and Rath MV 2003. Effect of machine milking on bovine teat sinus injury and teat canal keratin. *Irish Veterinary Journal* 56, 46–50.
- Hillerton J, Pankey J and Pankey P 2002. Effect of over-milking on teat condition. *Journal of Dairy Research* 69, 81–84.
- Ilahi H, Chastin P, Bouvier F, Arhainx J, Ricard E and Manfredi E 1999. Milking characteristics of dairy goats. *Small Ruminant Research* 34, 97–102.
- ISO 5707 2007. Milking machine installations – construction and performance.
- Komara M, Giger-Reverdin S, Marnet PG, Roussel S and Duvaux-Ponter C 2010. The combined effects of milking frequency and feeding level on dairy goat welfare and milk emission characteristics in late lactation. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 127, 96–103.
- Komara M and Marnet PG 2009. Endocrine responses and milk emission characteristics in high yielding Alpine dairy goats under once daily milking management. *Small Ruminant Research* 87, 64–69.
- Le Du J 1985. Functional parameters affecting the efficiency of milking machines adapted to ewes. *Complement on goats milking*. 36th Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production, 30 September to 3 October 1985, Kallithea, Greece, p. 16.
- Le Du J 1987. Facilities and equipment for hand and machine milking of goats. 4th International Conference on Goats, 8–13 March 1987, Brasilia, Brazil, pp. 269–282.
- Le Du J 1989. La traite mécaniques des chèvres. *INRA Productions Animales* 2, 31–38.
- Le Du J, De la Chevalerie FA, Combaud JF and Lambion P 1983. Comparaison d'un lactoduc en ligne haute et en ligne basse. Incidence sur la traite des brebis de races Lacaune et Manech. *Annales de Zootechnie* 32, 43–52.
- Le Jaouen JC 1981. Milking and the technology of milk and milk products. In *Goat production* (ed. C Gall), pp. 345–377. Academic Press, London, UK.
- Lu CD, Potchoiba MJ and Loetz ER 1991. Influence of vacuum level, pulsation ratio and rate on milking performance and udder health in dairy goats. *Small Ruminant Research* 5, 1–8.
- Manzur A, Díaz JR, Mehdid A, Fernández N and Peris C 2012. Effect of mid-line or low-line milking systems on milking characteristics in goats. *Journal of Dairy Research* 79, 375–382.

Mein GA, Williams D and Reinemann DJ 2003. Effects of milking on teat-end hyperkeratosis: 1 Mechanical forces applied by the teatcup liner and responses of the teat. 42nd Annual Meeting of the National Mastitis Council, 26–29 January 2003, Fort Worth, TX, USA, pp. 114–123.

Peris C, Díaz JR, Segura C, Martí A and Fernández N 2003. Influence of pulsation rate on udder health and teat thickness changes in dairy ewes. *Journal of Dairy Science* 86, 530–537.

Peris C, Mehdid MA, Martínez B and Gómez EA 2010. Efecto del número y estado de lactación sobre la cinética de emisión de leche en el ganado caprino de raza murciano-granadina. *Producción ovina y caprina*, 35. XXXV Jornadas de la Sociedad Española de Ovinotecnia y Caprinotecnia, 22–24 September 2010, Valladolid, Spain, pp. 102–106.

Peris S, Such X and Caja G 1996. Milkability of Murciano-Granadina dairy goats. Milk partitioning and flow rate during machine milking according to parity, prolificacy and mode of suckling. *Journal of Dairy Research* 63, 1–9.

Rasmussen MD 2004. Overmilking and teat condition. Proceedings of the 37th Annual Meeting of National Mastitis Council, February 2004, Charlotte, NC, USA, pp. 169–175.

SAS Institute Inc 2002. SAS V.9.1. User's guide. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Sinapsis E, Hatziminaoglou I, Marnet PG, Abas Z and Bolou A 2000. Influence of vacuum level, pulsation rate and pulsation ratio on machine milking efficiency in local Greek goats. *Livestock Production Science* 64, 175–181.

Spencer SB 1992. Machine milking systems collection: goat handbook. In *Milk and milk handling* (ed. DL Ace), pp. 6–11.