VALIDITY CONDITIONS OF THE HYDROSTATIC APPROACH FOR SELF-GRAVITATING SYSTEMS: A MICROCANONICAL ANALYSIS

Maxime CHAMPION[†], Angel ALASTUEY[†], Thierry DAUXOIS[†] & Stefano RUFFO[‡]

[†] ENS Lyon, France,

[‡] Université de Florence, Italie.

I. Introduction and outline

Hydrostatic approach widely used in Astrophysics [BINNEY-TREMAINE, 1987]

- Isothermal sphere for point particles [ANTONOV,1964],[LYNDEN-BELL,1968]
- Introduction of short-range repulsion [ARONSON-HANSEN, 1972]

Key ingredients: Mean-field treatment of gravitational + Local equilibrium

♦ Statistical mechanics descriptions [PADMANABHAN, 1990] \Rightarrow Mathematical proofs in specific limits [MESSER-SPOHN, 1982], [KIESSLING-PERCUS, 1995]

RELIABILITY for a FINITE system ?

- II. Model and auxilary systems
- III. Scaling properties of the auxilary systems
- IV. Emergence of thermalization in the infinite system
- V. Hydrostatic description of the infinite system
- VI. Validity conditions for the finite system
- VII. Concluding comments

II.1. Hard spheres with gravitational interactions

- We consider a gravitational model S made with
 - N identical hard spheres (m,σ)
 - enclosed in a spherical box ($\Lambda = 4\pi R^3/3$)
 - particle density $n = N/\Lambda$ and mass density ho = mn

The corresponding **Hamiltonian** reads

$$H_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} v(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)$$

$$v(r) = \infty \text{ for } r < \sigma \ , \ v(r) = -Gm^2/r \text{ for } r > \sigma$$

- No dispersion in shapes, sizes and masses
- No **sticking** leading to agregation

II.2. Microcanonical description

S isolated, with fixed energy E and no other conserved quantity.

- Microcanonical ensemble :
 - Distribution in phase space

$$f_{\text{micro}}(\mathbf{r}_1, ..., \mathbf{r}_N, \mathbf{p}_1, ..., \mathbf{p}_N) = \delta(E - H_N)$$

Number of microstates

$$\Omega(E, N, \Lambda) = C_N \int_{\Lambda^N \times R^{3N}} \prod_i d^3 \mathbf{r}_i d^3 \mathbf{p}_i \delta(E - H_N)$$

Equilibrium state of S depends on $N, \varepsilon = E/(GM^2/R), \eta = \pi n\sigma^3/6$

- \diamond f_{micro} is a **stationary** solution of evolution equations
- $\Omega(E, N, \Lambda)$ is finite for $\sigma > 0$; it diverges for $\sigma = 0$ and $N \ge 3$ [POMEAU, 2007]

II.3. Auxiliary systems and the scaling continuous limit

Sequence of similar auxiliary systems \mathcal{S}_a with $N_a \to \infty$:

- $R_{\rm a} = (N_{\rm a}/N)^{1/5}R$
- $m_{\rm a} = (N_{\rm a}/N)^{-2/5}m$
- $\sigma_{\rm a} = (N_{\rm a}/N)^{-2/15}\sigma$
- $E_{\rm a} = (N_{\rm a}/N)E$

That scaling limit (SL) defines an infinite continuous medium S_{∞} in a stationary state controlled by the two independent dimensionless parameters :

- Dimensionless energy per particle $\varepsilon = E_a/(GM_a^2/R_a) = E/(GM^2/R)$
- Packing fraction $\eta = \pi n_{\rm a} \sigma_{\rm a}^3/6 = \pi n \sigma^3/6$.
- Inspired from the usual TL with now mass density fixed $\rho_{\rm a} = m_{\rm a} n_{\rm a} = \rho$

• Other limits in the **canonical ensemble** [MESSER-SPOHN, 1982] and **grand-canonical ensemble** [KIESSLING-PERCUS, 1995] with *G* rescaled

III.1. Bounds for the potential energy in the SL

iga For any allowed configuration, the potential energy of \mathcal{S}_{a}

$$V_{N_{\mathrm{a}}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{Gm_{\mathrm{a}}^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$

is **larger** than that of the collapsed configuration where the $N_{\rm a}$ hard spheres make a single cluster with size $L_{\rm coll} \sim N_{\rm a}^{1/3} \sigma_{\rm a}$, which is of order $-Gm_{\rm a}^2 N_{\rm a}^2/L_{\rm coll}$. In the scaling limit, this provides the **classical version of H-stability**

$$V_{N_{\rm a}} \ge -C_{\rm HS} \frac{GN^{2/3}m^2}{\sigma} N_{\rm a}$$

For any allowed configuration, the potential energy should be **smaller** than that of a homogeneous surface mass distribution $N_{\rm a}m_{\rm a}/(4\pi R_{\rm a}^2)$,

$$V_{N_{\rm a}} \le -\frac{GNm^2}{2R}N_{\rm a}$$

III.2. Extensivity of potential energy in the SL

lacksim Thanks to the extensivity of its upper and lower bounds, the average potential energy of \mathcal{S}_{a}

$$\langle V_{N_{\mathbf{a}}}\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{a}}^2} \mathrm{d}^3\mathbf{r} \mathrm{d}^3\mathbf{r}' \rho_{\mathbf{a}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \frac{G}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|}$$

should also be **extensive** in the scaling limit (like the potential energy of an homogeneous sphere with mass density ρ).

• Extensivity consistent with the expected scaling behaviours for q, q', ... fixed

$$\lim_{\mathrm{SL}} \rho_{\mathrm{a}}(qR_{\mathrm{a}}) = \rho g(q;\varepsilon,\eta)$$

$$\lim_{\mathrm{SL}} \rho_{\mathrm{a}}^{(2)}(R_{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{q}, R_{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{q}') = \rho^2 g^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}'; \varepsilon, \eta)$$

III.3. Fluctuations of the potential energy in the SL

♠ The fluctuations $\langle V_{N_a}^2 \rangle - [\langle V_{N_a} \rangle]^2$ can be expressed as spatial integrals of $1/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^2$, $1/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'||\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}''|$, and $1/|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'||\mathbf{r}'' - \mathbf{r}'''|$ weighted respectively by **two-**, three- and four-body distribution functions. A simple estimation within the considered scaling limit provides

$$\langle V_{N_{\mathrm{a}}}^2 \rangle - [\langle V_{N_{\mathrm{a}}} \rangle]^2 = o(N_{\mathrm{a}}^2)$$

Accordingly, we will use in further estimations of averages involving $V_{N_{a}}$ the ansatz :

$$V_{N_{\mathrm{a}}} \to \langle V_{N_{\mathrm{a}}} \rangle + W_{N_{\mathrm{a}}}$$

for most contributing configurations with $W_{N_{\rm a}} = o(N_{\rm a})$ when $N_{\rm a} \to \infty$

- Non-rigorous although quite plausible (possible subtle correlations with other variables)
- **Confirmed** by *a posteriori* estimations

IV.1. The inhomogeneous mass density

The mass density of \mathcal{S}_{a} is

$$\rho_{\rm a}(\mathbf{r}) = m_{\rm a} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\rm a}} \delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}) \rangle$$

Using $f_{
m micro}$, the standard integration over the momenta ${f p}_i$ leads to

$$\rho_{\rm a}(\mathbf{r}) = B(E_{\rm a}, N_{\rm a}, \Lambda_{\rm a}) \int_{\Lambda_{\rm a}^{N_{\rm a}-1}, |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| > \sigma_{\rm a}} \prod_{i=2}^{N_{\rm a}} \mathsf{d}^3 \mathbf{r}_i [E_{\rm a} - V_{N_{\rm a}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_{N_{\rm a}})]^{3N_{\rm a}/2 - 1}$$

• Introduce the gravitational potential $\Phi(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_{N_a}) = \Phi_{N_a-1}(\mathbf{r})$ at \mathbf{r} created by the $(N_a - 1)$ particles located at $\mathbf{r}_2, ..., \mathbf{r}_{N_a}$. Since

$$V_{N_{a}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{2}, ..., \mathbf{r}_{N_{a}}) = V_{N_{a}-1}(\mathbf{r}_{2}, ..., \mathbf{r}_{N_{a}}) + m_{a}\Phi(\mathbf{r}|\mathbf{r}_{2}, ..., \mathbf{r}_{N_{a}})$$

we obtain in the SL

$$\rho_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \operatorname{cst} \int_{\Lambda^{N_{\mathbf{a}}-1}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{N_{\mathbf{a}}-1} \prod_{i=2}^{N_{\mathbf{a}}} \theta(|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}|/\sigma_{\mathbf{a}}-1) \left[E_{\mathbf{a}}-V_{N_{\mathbf{a}}-1}\right]^{3/2} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\mathbf{a}}\Phi_{N_{\mathbf{a}}-1}(\mathbf{r})}{E_{\mathbf{a}}-V_{N_{\mathbf{a}}-1}}\right]^{3N_{\mathbf{a}}/2 - N_{\mathbf{a}}} + \frac{1}{2N_{\mathbf{a}}} \left[1 - \frac{m_{\mathbf{a}}\Phi_{N_{\mathbf{a}}-1}(\mathbf{r})}{E_{\mathbf{a}}-N_{\mathbf{a}}}\right]^{3N_$$

IV.2. Emergence of thermalization in the infinite system

Rewrite

$$\left[1 - \frac{m_{\rm a}\Phi_{N_{\rm a}-1}(\mathbf{r})}{E_{\rm a} - V_{N_{\rm a}-1}}\right]^{3N_{\rm a}/2 - 1} = \exp\left\{(3N_{\rm a}/2 - 1)\ln\left[1 - \frac{m_{\rm a}\Phi_{N_{\rm a}-1}(\mathbf{r})}{E_{\rm a} - V_{N_{\rm a}-1}}\right]\right\}$$

Since $m_a \Phi_{N_a-1}(\mathbf{r}) = O(1)$ and $E_a - V_{N_a-1} = O(N_a)$, the expansion of the logarithm leads to

$$\left[1 - \frac{m_{\rm a}\Phi_{N_{\rm a}-1}(\mathbf{r})}{E_{\rm a} - V_{N_{\rm a}-1}}\right]^{3N_{\rm a}/2 - 1} \sim \exp\left\{-\frac{3N_{\rm a}m_{\rm a}\Phi_{N_{\rm a}-1}(\mathbf{r})}{2(E_{\rm a} - V_{N_{\rm a}-1})}\right\}$$

Applying the fluctuation ansatz, we find in the SL

$$\rho_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbf{r}) \sim \operatorname{cst}\left[\int_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{a}}^{N-1}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{N_{\mathrm{a}}-1} \prod_{i=2}^{N_{\mathrm{a}}} \theta(|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}|/\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}-1)\right] \exp\left\{-\frac{3N_{\mathrm{a}}m_{\mathrm{a}}\phi_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbf{r})}{2(E_{\mathrm{a}}-\langle V_{N_{\mathrm{a}}}\rangle)}\right\}$$

with
$$\phi_{\rm a}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle m_{\rm a} \Phi_{N_{\rm a}-1}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$$
.
 \Rightarrow THERMALIZATION with $T_{\infty} = \lim_{SL} 2(E - \langle V_{N_{\rm a}} \rangle)/(3N_{\rm a})$

V.1. Hydrostatic picture for the infinite system

- The hydrostatic approach for \mathcal{S}_{∞} is justified thanks to
 - Local thermodynamical equilibrium is ensured by hard-core repulsion entirely.
 - At the local scale, particles feel the mean-field gravitational potential

$$\phi_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\int_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{a}}} \mathsf{d}^{3}\mathbf{r}' \rho_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathbf{r}') \frac{G}{|\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}|}$$

• The local correlation length $\lambda_{\rm HS}$ is much **smaller** than the characteristic variation length $R_{\rm a}$ of $\rho_{\rm a}(\mathbf{r})$.

Accordingly, the hydrostatic equilibrium reads for the rescaled quantities $g(\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon, \eta) = \lim_{\mathrm{SL}} \rho_{\mathrm{a}}(R_{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{q}) / \rho$ and $\psi(\mathbf{q}; \varepsilon, \eta) = \lim_{\mathrm{SL}} \phi_{\mathrm{a}}(R_{\mathrm{a}}\mathbf{q}) / (GM_{\mathrm{a}}/R_{\mathrm{a}})$ of \mathcal{S}_{∞} , as

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \left[g(\mathbf{q};\varepsilon,\eta) p_{\mathrm{HS}}(\eta g(\mathbf{q};\varepsilon,\eta)) \right] = -g(\mathbf{q};\varepsilon,\eta) \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \psi(\mathbf{q};\varepsilon,\eta) / T^*(\varepsilon,\eta)$$

where $p_{\rm HS}$ is the dimensionless hard-sphere pressure (**no gravitation**) and $T^*(\varepsilon, \eta) = T_{\infty}/(GM^2/NR)$.

V.2. A few remarks about the hydrostatic equations

• Once the SL has been taken, we can take the limit $\eta \to 0$ where $p_{\rm HS}(\eta \rho(\mathbf{r})/\rho) \to 1$.

⇒ ISOTHERMAL SPHERE [EMDEN,1907],[ANTONOV,1964],[LYNDEN-BELL,1968]

Multiplicity of solutions for the hydrostatic equations

- ⇒ PHASE TRANSITIONS [CHAVANIS, 2006]
- Breakdowns of the hydrostatic approach
 - For $\eta = 0$, when $\varepsilon < -0.335... \rightarrow$ No solutions
 - For ε sufficiently negative and/or η sufficiently large \rightarrow local cristalisation

V.3. Correlations and fluctuations in the SL

- Within the hydrostatic approach :
 - Mass distribution $ho_{
 m a}({f r})$ varies on the scale $R_{
 m a}$
 - Correlations, like $[\rho_a^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \rho_a(\mathbf{r})\rho_a(\mathbf{r}')]$, decay over the hard-sphere local correlation length $\lambda_{\rm HS}$ of order σ_a
- This implies :
 - The average potential energy is indeed **extensive**, $\langle V_{N_a} \rangle = V_{self} + V_{corr}$ with $V_{self} = O(N_a)$ and $V_{corr} = O(N_a^{1/3})$.
 - Fluctuations behave as $\langle V_{N_a}^2 \rangle [\langle V_{N_a} \rangle]^2 = O(N_a)$
- Fluctuations similar to that of an ordinary system with short-range interactions at thermodynamical equilibrium.

VI.1. Extensivity condition for the finite system

According to the analysis for \mathcal{S}_a , Boltzmann-like factors also emerge for the finite system \mathcal{S} if

$$N\left[\frac{m\Phi_{N-1}(\mathbf{r})}{E-V_{N-1}}\right]^2 \ll 1$$

A That condition has to be fullfilled by the most probable configurations which determine the eq^{q} equilibrium state of S. This provides

$$\frac{[\psi(0;\varepsilon,\eta)]^2}{N[T^*(\varepsilon,\eta)]^2} \ll 1$$

- $\diamond \quad \mathsf{Fixed} \ \varepsilon \ \mathsf{and} \ \eta \to N \ \mathsf{large} \ \mathsf{enough}$
- ♦ Fixed $N \to T^*(\varepsilon, \eta)$ large enough

VI.2. Fluctuation condition for the finite system

Another crucial step relies in the estimation of the *a priori* **fluctuating** exponential

$$\exp\left[-\frac{3Nm\Phi_{N-1}(\mathbf{r})}{2(E-V_{N-1})}\right]$$

Since a typical fluctuation of $m\Phi_{N-1}(\mathbf{r})$ is of order Gm^2/σ , we obtain the **weak-coupling** condition

$$\frac{Gm^2}{\sigma T} \ll 1$$

which can be recast as

$$\frac{1}{N^{2/3}\eta^{1/3}T^*(\varepsilon,\eta)} \ll 1$$

- ♦ Fixed N and $\eta \to T^*(\varepsilon, \eta)$ large enough
- Fixed N and $T^*(\varepsilon, \eta) \to \eta$ cannot be too small
- Analysis of validity of Antonov's mean-field theory requires to introduce a **finite** η

VI.3. Astrophysical examples

▲ Globular clusters: $R \simeq 50 \text{ pc}$, $m \simeq 1 \text{ M}_{\odot}$, $N \simeq 6 \ 10^5$, $\delta v \simeq 7 \text{ kms}^{-1}$ [BINNEY-TREMAINE, 1987]

- Extensivity \rightarrow **YES**
- Fluctuations → NO

The hydrostatic approach FAILS in relation with the formation of binaries

 \clubsuit Gas of dust : $\sigma\simeq 15~\mu{\rm m}$, $m\simeq 10^{-9}~{\rm g}$, $N\simeq 10^{34}$ [Kalas-Graham-Clampin, 2005]

- Extensivity \rightarrow **YES**
- Fluctuations → YES

The hydrostatic approach **WORKS** because objects are sufficiently **light**

VII. Concluding comments

Validity conditions of the hydrostatic approach for the hard-spheres model
 Useful insights for astrophysical applications

A more complete analysis requires :

- Reliability of the model
 - **Choice** of σ ?
 - Box versus self-confinement?
 - Conservation of the total angular momentum → global rotation [VOTYAKOV-HIDMI-DE MARTINO-GROSS,2002]
- Reliability of a microcanonical description and dynamical limitations
 - **Relaxation times** *versus* the age of the system
 - Existence of quasi-stationary states
 [ANTONI-RUFFO-TORCINI,2004],[CHAVANIS,2005]
 - Possible ergodicity breaking [CHABANOL-CORSON-POMEAU,2000],[POSCH-THIRRING,2000]