LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Validation of viscoelastic coagulation tests during cardiopulmonary bypass: comment

C. SOLOMON, * † K. FICKENSCHER, * L. ORMONDE ‡ and M. RANUCCI§

*CSL Behring, Marburg, Germany; †Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative Medicine and General Intensive Care, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria; ‡Department of Anaesthesiology, Santa Maria University Hospital, Lisbon, Portugal; and §Department of Cardiothoracic, Vascular Anesthesia and Intensive Care, IRCCS Policlinico San Donato, Milan, Italy

To cite this article: Solomon C, Fickenscher K, Ormonde L, Ranucci M. Validation of viscoelastic coagulation tests during cardiopulmonary bypass: comment. J Thromb Haemost 2015; 13: 2279–81.

See also Ortmann E, Rubino A, Altemimi B, Collier T, Besser MW, Klein AA. Validation of viscoelastic coagulation tests during cardiopulmonary bypass. J Thromb Haemost 2015; **13**: 1207–16 and Ortmann E, Klein AA, Besser MW. Validation of viscoelastic coagulation tests during cardiopulmonary bypass: reply. This issue, pp 2282.

We read with interest the publication by Ortmann et al. regarding the validation of viscoelastic coagulation tests during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) [1]. This study is a valuable addition to the existing body of evidence on this topic, highlighting the need for accurate coagulation testing to guide hemostatic therapy in cardiac surgery. The study found that although thrombelastography (TEG) and thromboelastometry (ROTEM) fibrin-based test results taken towards the end of CPB are clinically comparable to those after heparin reversal, this was not the case for Clauss assay results [1]. In fact, fibrinogen concentration measurements taken during CPB were lower by a mean of 1.2 g L^{-1} . The authors proposed that this difference was due to heparin present in blood samples taken during CPB, as Gertler et al. have shown that the Clauss assay is affected by heparin concentrations > 2 IU mL⁻¹ [2]. It would be worth exploring this hypothesis further by confirming the heparin sensitivity of the Clauss reagents used. The package insert for the reagent brand used by Ortmann et al. (HemosIL Fibrinogen-C XL, Instrumentation Laboratory, Milan, Italy) states it is unaffected by heparin up to 1 UmL^{-1} , indicating that the presence of heparin may have affected

Correspondence: Cristina Solomon, Medical Affairs Acquired Bleeding Disorders, CSL Behring GmbH, Emil-von-Behring-Strasse 76, 35041 Marburg, Germany. Tel.: +49 6421 39 5813; fax: +49 6421 39 4146. E-mail: Solomon.Cristina@googlemail.com

DOI: 10.1111/jth.13122

Received 13 August 2015 Manuscript handled by: F. R. Rosendaal Final decision: F. R. Rosendaal, 21 August 2015

the Clauss assay results. A recent study carried out by Erdoes et al. concluded there was no significant difference between on-CPB and post-CPB results for Clauss fibrinogen [3]. This study used reagent 9 (Table 1), which is reported to be unaffected by heparin levels < 2 IU mL⁻¹. Similar results have been observed by Sato et al. using one of the STA reagents (numbers 10-12, Table 1); these are also reportedly unaffected by heparin levels < 2 IU mL⁻¹ [4]. In a study of aortic surgery, Solomon et al. performed the Clauss assay using Dade Thrombin Reagent (number 3, Table 1), which has a reported heparin sensitivity threshold of 0.6 IU mL $^{-1}$; on-CPB values were similar to those after heparin neutralization [5,6]. A multicentric comparison of Clauss fibrinogen values measured in sets of cardiac surgery plasma samples that were distributed to six laboratories has also been performed [7]. Eight different combinations of coagulometers, Clauss reagents and calibrators were used in the study, seven of which provided on-CPB results that were comparable with those after heparin neutralization [7]. A significant difference between the two time-points was apparent with reagent 9 but not with reagent 3; this result is surprising considering the higher heparin sensitivity threshold with reagent 9 vs. reagent 3 (< 2 IU mL⁻¹) vs. < 0.6 IU mL⁻¹, respectively; Table 1). The mean antifactor Xa activity was 2.8 U mL^{-1} and the mean anti-factor IIa activity was 2.1 U mL⁻¹, with maximum values of 4.6 U mL⁻¹ and 2.7 U mL⁻¹, respectively [8]. The mismatch between heparin sensitivities reported in package inserts and those observed in practice demonstrates a need for real-world validation studies. Should one decide to perform the Clauss fibrinogen assay while the patient is still on CPB (because of the long turnaround time) [6,9], it seems important not only to select a brand with low reported heparin sensitivity, but also to confirm the sensitivity level by in-house validation.

© 2015 The Authors. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

2280 Letters to the Editor

Table 1 Heparin sensitivity of different brands of Clauss assays

	Assay reagents	Manufacturer	Heparin levels at which results are unaffected*
1.	Fibrinogen kit	BioMed Diagnostics, White City, OR, USA	$< 0.4 \text{ IU mL}^{-1}$
2.	FIBROQUANT System Pack	The Tulip Group, Goa, India	$< 0.4 \text{ IU mL}^{-1}$
3.	Dade Thrombin Reagent	Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics (formerly Dade	$< 0.4 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$ (LMWH)
		Behring), Marburg, Germany	$< 0.6 \text{ U mL}^{-1} (\text{UFH})$
4.	Fibrinogen Assay Kit	Helena Laboratories, Beaumont, TX, USA	$< 0.6 \text{ USP mL}^{-1}$
5.	MDA Fibriquik	Tcoag (formerly bioMérieux), Bray, Ireland	$< 0.6 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
6.	HemosIL Fibrinogen-C XL	Instrumentation Laboratory, Milan, Italy	$< 1 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
7.	Fibrinogen (Clauss method)	InterMedical, Grassobbio, Italy	$< 1 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
8.	HemosIL Q.F.A. Thrombin (Bovine) Kit	Instrumentation Laboratory, Milan, Italy	$< 2 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
9.	Multifibren U	Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany	$< 2 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
10.	STA Fib 2	Diagnostica Stago S.A.S., Asnières sur Seine, France	$< 2 \text{ IU mL}^{-1}$
11.	STA Fib 5	Diagnostica Stago S.A.S., Asnières sur Seine, France	$< 2 \text{ IU mL}^{-1}$ (LMWH and UFH)
12.	STA Liquid Fib	Diagnostica Stago S.A.S., Asnières sur Seine, France	$< 2 \text{ IU mL}^{-1}$ (LMWH and UFH)
13.	Fibrinogen Reagent Kit	Technoclone GmbH, Vienna, Austria	$< 2 \text{ IU mL}^{-1} \text{ (UFH)}$
14.	TriniCLOT Fibrinogen Kit	Tcoag, Bray, Ireland	$< 3 \text{ USP U mL}^{-1}$
15.	TEClot	TECO, Niederbayern, Germany	$< 5 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
16.	Biopool Fibrinogen Assay Kit	Trinity Biotech, Bray, Ireland	$< 5 \text{ U mL}^{-1}$
17.	Fibrotek FIB Fibrinogen Assay Kit	r ² Diagnostics Inc., South Bend, IN, USA	Therapeutic levels do not significantly interfere with test results
18.	Pacific Hemostasis Fibrinogen Assay Set	Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA	Therapeutic levels do not significantly interfere with test results
19.	Fibrinogen Clauss	BioSystems S.A., Barcelona, Spain	Unspecified/no information provided
20.	DiaFibrinogen	DiaMed, Morat, Switzerland	Unspecified/no information provided
21.	Dia-FIB	Diagon Ltd, Budapest, Hungary	Unspecified/no information provided
22.	Sclavo Fibrinogen Kit	Sclavo Diagnostics, Sovicille, Italy	Unspecified/no information provided

LMWH, low-molecular-weight heparin; UFH, unfractionated heparin *Information obtained from package inserts for each assay

Another point to consider is that the Clauss assay, as for any other coagulation factor activity measurement, is not based on a physico-chemical technique and therefore cannot measure the absolute fibrinogen concentration in a sample [10,11]. Instead, it is a chronometric assay based on estimations using a reference standard [12], and there is unavoidable variability in locally prepared and calibrated reference standards [13]. Using the World Health Organization (WHO) Standard [14] could improve the inter-laboratory comparability; however, differences between coagulometers reagents would still make standardization challenging [7]. Consequently, caution is advised when establishing algorithm cut-offs or guidelines for fibrinogen supplementation based on Clauss fibrinogen measurement without in-house validation.

Alternatives to the Clauss assay could be considered, including the Reptilase[®] Time test based on thrombinlike snake-venom that is unaffected by heparin [15], or the fibrin-based assays on ROTEM and TEG, which are designed to counteract heparin, for example by adding polybrene to the reagents, or by using heparinase-coated cups (information taken from assay package inserts). The fibrin-based viscoelastic tests provide a rapid assessment of hemostasis at the point-of-care [16]. Both FIBTEM and Functional Fibrinogen (FF) assays showed clinically comparable results on-CPB and after heparin reversal in a number of investigations, including the present work [1,3,8]. Gertler et al. confirmed in vitro that FIBTEM MCF based on the r ex-tem reagent was not affected by heparin levels ≤ 4 IU mL⁻¹ [2]; the FIBTEM package insert states that spiking up to 5 IU mL⁻¹ of unfractionated heparin to whole blood did not show a significant influence on FIBTEM. However, some reagents are sensitive to heparin, such as FF performed in non-heparinase cups, FF in heparinase cups when the samples have high heparin concentration (equivalent to 400 IU kg⁻¹ bw) [17] or fib-tem S that does not contain a heparin inhibitor (package insert states that spiking approximately 0.2 IU mL^{-1} unfractionated heparin to whole blood has been shown to influence FIBTEM S results).

Although the selection of a Clauss reagent with low heparin sensitivity may enable an accurate assessment of fibrinogen under heparinization, the measurements could still become futile if the fibrinogen level in a patient changes quickly (e.g. due to dynamic bleeding and/or volume resuscitation combined with a long turnaround time of the Clauss measurement). Choosing Clauss and viscoelastic reagents with low heparin sensitivity to assess the fibrinogen concentration and fibrin-based clot strength, respectively, in combination with a fast turnaround time [18,19], may be key to optimizing fibrinogen and fibrin assessment on CPB.

Addendum

All authors read the paper by Ortmann *et al.* This letter to the editor was drafted by C. Solomon, with review and editing by K. Fickenscher, L. Ormonde and M. Ranucci.

Acknowledgements

Editorial assistance was provided by Meridian Health-Comms Ltd, funded by CSL Behring.

Disclosure of Conflict of Interests

C. Solomon is an employee of CSL Behring and previously received speaker honoraria and research support from Tem International and CSL Behring and travel support from Haemoscope Ltd (former manufacturer of TEG[®]). K. Fickenscher is an employee of CSL Behring. M. Ranucci received speaker honoraria and research support from CSL Behring and Grifols, speaker honoraria from Medtronic and Haemoscope, and research support from Tem International.

References

- Ortmann E, Rubino A, Altemimi B, Collier T, Besser MW, Klein AA. Validation of viscoelastic coagulation tests during cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Thromb Haemost* 2015; 13: 1207– 16.
- 2 Gertler R, Wiesner G, Tassani-Prell P, Braun SL, Martin K. Are the point-of-care diagnostics MULTIPLATE and ROTEM valid in the setting of high concentrations of heparin and its reversal with protamine? *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2011; **25**: 981–6.
- 3 Erdoes G, Gerster G, Colucci G, Kaiser H, Alberio L, Eberle B. Prediction of post-weaning fibrinogen status during cardiopulmonary bypass: an observational study in 110 patients. *PLoS ONE* 2015; **10**: e0126692.
- 4 Sato H, Yamamoto K, Kakinuma A, Nakata Y, Sawamura S. Accelerated activation of the coagulation pathway during cardiopulmonary bypass in aortic replacement surgery: a prospective observational study. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2015; 10: 84.
- 5 Solomon C, Hagl C, Rahe-Meyer N. Time course of haemostatic effects of fibrinogen concentrate administration in aortic surgery. *Br J Anaesth* 2013; **110**: 947–56.

- 6 Solomon C, Rahe-Meyer N. Reply from the authors. *Br J Anaesth* 2014; **112**: 1121–3.
- 7 Solomon C, Baryshnikova E, Tripodi A, Schlimp CJ, Schochl H, Cadamuro J, Winstedt D, Asmis L, Ranucci M. Fibrinogen measurement in cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass: analysis of repeatability and agreement of Clauss method within and between six different laboratories. *Thromb Haemost* 2014; **112**: 109–17.
- 8 Solomon C, Baryshnikova E, Schlimp CJ, Schochl H, Asmis LM, Ranucci M. FIBTEM PLUS provides an improved thromboelastometry test for measurement of fibrin-based clot quality in cardiac surgery patients. *Anesth Analg* 2013; 117: 1054–62.
- 9 Ormonde L. Plasma fibrinogen measurement during cardiopulmonary bypass: a tool for early guidance of fibrinogen supplementation in cardiovascular surgery? *Br J Anaesth* 2014; 112: 1120–1.
- 10 Raut S, Hubbard AR. International reference standards in coagulation. *Biologicals* 2010; 38: 423–9.
- 11 Mackie J, Lawrie AS, Kitchen S, Gaffney PJ, Howarth D, Lowe GD, Martin J, Purdy G, Rigsby P, Rumley A. A performance evaluation of commercial fibrinogen reference preparations and assays for Clauss and PT-derived fibrinogen. *Thromb Haemost* 2002; 87: 997–1005.
- 12 Stang LJ, Mitchell LG. Fibrinogen. *Methods Mol Biol* 2013; **992**: 181–92.
- 13 Furlan M, Felix R, Escher N, Lammle B. How high is the true fibrinogen content of fibrinogen standards? *Thromb Res* 1989; 56: 583–92.
- 14 Raut S, Hamill M, Daniels S, Heath AB, Subcommittee on Factor XF. Value assignment to the WHO 3rd International Standard for Blood Coagulation Fibrinogen Plasma (09/264): communication from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost 2014; 12: 1754–7.
- 15 Latallo ZS, Teisseyre E. Evaluation of Reptilase R and thrombin clotting time in the presence of fibrinogen degradation products and heparin. *Scand J Haematol Suppl* 1971; **13**: 261– 6.
- 16 Mace H, Lightfoot N, McCluskey S, Selby R, Roy D, Timoumi T, Karkouti K. Validity of thromboelastometry for rapid assessment of fibrinogen levels in heparinized samples during cardiac surgery: A retrospective, single-center observational study. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2015; doi: 10.1053/j.jvca. 2015.04.030. [Epub ahead of print]
- 17 Solomon C, Sorensen B, Hochleitner G, Kashuk J, Ranucci M, Schochl H. Comparison of whole blood fibrin-based clot tests in thrombelastography and thromboelastometry. *Anesth Analg* 2012; **114**: 721–30.
- 18 Chandler WL. Emergency assessment of hemostasis in the bleeding patient. Int J Lab Hematol 2013; 35: 339–43.
- 19 Schlimp CJ, Khadem A, Klotz A, Solomon C, Hochleitner G, Ponschab M, Redl H, Schochl H. Rapid measurement of fibrinogen concentration in whole blood using a steel ball coagulometer. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2015; **78**: 830–6.