

University of Groningen



Does mechanical dyssynchrony in addition to QRS area ensure sustained response to cardiac resynchronization therapy?

Wouters, Philippe C; van Everdingen, Wouter M; Vernooy, Kevin; Geelhoed, Bastiaan; Allaart, Cornelis P; Rienstra, Michiel; Maass, Alexander H; Vos, Marc A; Prinzen, Frits W; Meine, Mathias *Published in:* European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging

DOI: 10.1093/ehjci/jeab264

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date: 2022

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Wouters, P. C., van Everdingen, W. M., Vernooy, K., Geelhoed, B., Allaart, C. P., Rienstra, M., Maass, A. H., Vos, M. A., Prinzen, F. W., Meine, M., & Cramer, M. J. (2022). Does mechanical dyssynchrony in addition to QRS area ensure sustained response to cardiac resynchronization therapy? *European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging*, *23*(12), 1628–1635. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jeab264

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Does mechanical dyssynchrony in addition to QRS area ensure sustained response to cardiac resynchronization therapy?

Philippe C. Wouters () ¹*, Wouter M. van Everdingen () ¹, Kevin Vernooy () ^{2,3}, Bastiaan Geelhoed⁴, Cornelis P. Allaart () ⁵, Michiel Rienstra () ⁴, Alexander H. Maass () ⁴, Marc A. Vos⁶, Frits W. Prinzen () ⁷, Mathias Meine () ¹, and Maarten J. Cramer () ¹

¹Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands; ²Department of Cardiology, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University Medical Centre+ (MUMC+), 6229 HX Maastricht, The Netherlands; ³Department of Cardiology, Radboud University Medical Center, 6525 GA Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ⁴Department of Cardiology, Thoraxcentre, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, 9713 GZ Groningen, The Netherlands; ⁵Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Location VU University Medical Center, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁶Department of Medical Physiology, University of Utrecht, 3584 CM Utrecht, The Netherlands; and ⁷Department of Physiology, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, 6229 ER Masstricht, The Netherlands

Received 29 July 2021; editorial decision 21 November 2021; accepted 23 November 2021; online publish-ahead-of-print 6 December 2021

Aims	Judicious patient selection for cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) may further enhance treatment response. Progress has been made by using improved markers of electrical dyssynchrony and mechanical discoordination, using QRS_{AREA} , and systolic rebound stretch of the septum (SRSsept) or systolic stretch index (SSI), respectively. To date, the relation between these measurements has not yet been investigated.
Methods and results	A total of 240 CRT patients were prospectively enrolled from six centres. Patients underwent standard 12-lead electrocardiography, and echocardiography, at baseline, 6-month, and 12-month follow-up. QRS _{AREA} was derived using vectorcardiography, and SRSsept and SSI were measured using strain-analysis. Reverse remodelling was measured as the relative decrease in left ventricular end-systolic volume, indexed to body surface area (Δ LVESVi). Sustained response was defined as \geq 15% decrease in LVESVi, at both 6- and 12-month follow-up. QRS _{AREA} and SRSsept were both strong, multivariable adjusted, variables associated with reverse remodelling. SRSsept was associated with response, but only in patients with QRS _{AREA} \geq 120 μ Vs (AUC = 0.727 vs. 0.443). Combined presence of SRSsept \geq 2.5% and QRS _{AREA} \geq 120 μ Vs significantly increased reverse remodelling compared with high QRS _{AREA} alone (Δ LVESVi 38 ± 21% vs. 22 ± 21%). As a result, 92% of left bundle branch block (LBBB)-patients with combined electrical and mechanical dysfunction were 'sustained' volumetric responders, as opposed to 51% with high QRS _{AREA} alone.
Conclusion	Parameters of mechanical dyssynchrony are better associated with response in the presence of a clear underlying electrical substrate. Combined presence of high SRSsept and QRS _{AREA} , but not high QRS _{AREA} alone, ensures a sustained response after CRT in LBBB patients.

* Corresponding author. Tel: +31 88 75 743 75. E-mail: p.wouters@umcutrecht.nl

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

Graphical Abstract



Combined assessment of SRSsept and high QRS_{AREA} significantly improves the association with 6-month response, when compared with SRSsept alone (A, B). The amount of SRSsept is positively associated with response after 6 months, but only in patients with high QRS_{AREA} (C). Simultaneous presence of both high QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept, indicative of coupled electrical and mechanical delay, greatly enhances the extent of reverse remodelling after CRT (D). *P < 0.05.

Keywords

cardiac resynchronization therapy • echocardiography • heart failure • strain imaging • QRS area

Introduction

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) alleviates symptoms and greatly reduces morbidity and mortality in patients with dyssynchronous heart failure. Although CRT in general is highly effective, response is variable, and some patients experience no clinical benefit or sometimes even deleterious effects of CRT outcome.¹ It is therefore that judicious selection of patients is of great importance.

Patient selection criteria dictate that heart failure patients are deemed eligible for CRT based on the presence of sufficient electrical substrate, characterized by left bundle branch block (LBBB) QRS-morphology and a prolonged QRS-duration.² Unfortunately, various definitions of LBBB morphology exist, and defining QRS-morphology is hampered by significant inter-observer disagreement.³ Improvements have been made using QRS_{AREA}, which has a stronger association with survival and volumetric response after CRT,

independently of QRS morphology.^{4,5} Since QRS_{AREA} can be easily retrieved from a standard ECG, it is readily implementable in every-day practice.

Because, by itself, an electrical substrate does not 'necessarily' contribute to significant deterioration of left ventricular (LV) function, measuring mechanical discoordination can be beneficial as well.⁶ The extent of mechanical impairment can be reflected by the amount of stretching that occurs during systole [i.e. systolic rebound stretch of the septum (SRSsept)], also referred to as 'wasted work'^{7–9} (*Figure 1*). Strain-imaging can therefore be used as a tool to quantify the severity of LV systolic impairment that can be attributed to the underlying electrical conduction delay, and by extent determine ones probability to respond to CRT.

Although the importance of QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept has been demonstrated separately, both have yet to be integrated into a single model.^{5,10} It is presently unknown whether measuring mechanical





discoordination is still of added value in patients with a clear electrical substrate when defined by QRS_{AREA} .⁴ In addition, research often solely focuses on response after 6 months, without evaluating whether CRT-induced reverse remodelling, and by extent clinical benefit, is sustained. To this purpose, this study set out to investigate whether the presence of high QRS_{AREA} with accompanying LV discoordination results in more pronounced 6- and 12-month LV reverse remodelling, when compared with high QRS_{AREA} alone. We hypothesize that the presence of 'combined' electrical and mechanical dysfunction are of added benefit, especially in predicting a lasting CRT-response.

Methods

Study design

This study reports a predefined subanalysis of the prospective multicentre Markers and Predictors of Response (MARC) study, which reported 6-month outcome only.⁵ The MARC study was primarily designed to investigate various markers for response in patients with de novo implantation of a CRT device (clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01519908). The study was initiated and executed by six centres within the framework of the Center for Translational Molecular Medicine (CTMM), project COHFAR (grant 01C-203). All ECGs and echocardiograms were analysed by a core laboratory, blinded to both clinical patient history and volumetric response (University Medical Center of Utrecht, The Netherlands). Data underlying this article are under management of the statistical core laboratory (University Medical Center of Groningen, The Netherlands). This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the review boards of all participating centres. All patients provided written informed consent.

Study participants

Patients were deemed eligible upon adhering to European and American guideline criteria for CRT at the time of inclusion (February 2012 to November 2013). Patients in sinus rhythm, LV ejection fraction (LVEF) < 35%, and LBBB \geq 130 ms or non-LBBB \geq 150 ms were included. In addition, patients had New York Heart Association (NYHA) class II or III heart failure symptoms, despite receiving optimal medical therapy. Exclusion criteria included renal insufficiency (<30 mL/min/1.73 m²), previous resynchronization or anti-bradycardia pacing therapy, right bundle branch block, recent myocardial infarction, permanent atrial fibrillation or flutter, and permanent second or third degree atrioventricular block.

Study protocol

Each patient received a CRT device, programmed at implant to DDDmode with sensed atrioventricular delay 90 ms, paced atrioventricular delay 130 ms; and interventricular delay 0 ms. Optimization of AV and/or VV delay was performed according to local protocols. A 12-lead digital ECG and echocardiograms were obtained, at baseline, 6- and 12-month follow-up. LVEF and cardiac dimensions were calculated using Simpson's modified biplane method.¹¹ The primary study endpoint was LV end-systolic volume reduction, indexed to body surface area using the Du Bois formula (Δ LVESVi).¹² Since body size is associated with reverse remodelling, indexation was performed to allow for superior, standardized, and inter-individual comparison.¹¹ Echocardiographic response was defined as follows: non-response, LVESVi < 15%; response, LVESVi </td>

Electrocardiographic data

Standard 12-lead ECGs were analysed by the ECG core laboratory in order to calculate QRS_{AREA}, QRS duration, and define LBBB morphology. ECGs were semi-automatically recoded into vectorcardiograms, each consisting of three orthogonal leads (X, Y, and Z), using the Kors conversion matrix in custom made Matlab software (MathWorks Inc.) (*Figure 1*).

The three orthogonal leads from the vectorcardiogram together form a 3D-vector loop, from which QRS_{AREA} was calculated as $(X_{area}^2 + Y_{area}^2 + Z_{area}^2)^{1/2}$. Presence of LBBB was determined retrospectively according to morphological features from to the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/Heart Rhythm Society (AHA/ACC/HRS).

Mechanical dyssynchrony and discoordination

Speckle-tracking echocardiography was performed on GE and Philips equipment. A focused view of the septum and conventional apical 4chamber view were acquired. Onset of QRS-complex and closure time of the aortic valve, using Pulsed-wave Doppler images of the LV outflow tract, were used to define systole. Images were traced alongside the endocardial border of the septum and LV lateral wall (LVlw), excluding the apex. Analysis was performed on vendor-independent software (TomTec Cardiac Performance Analysis, TomTec Imaging Systems GmbH, Unterschleissheim, Germany). SRSsept and systolic stretch index (SSI) were calculated as indices of mechanical discoordination. For SRSsept, tracings in the 'focused' septal view were used whenever possible (61% of patients).

SRSsept was defined as the sum of stretch that occurred in the septum following prematurely terminated shortening, during systole (*Figure 1*).⁷ SSI was subsequently calculated by adding the amount of prestretch that occurred in the LVlw to SRSsept.¹³ Contemporary, timing-based, markers of inter- and intraventricular were assessed as well. Interventricular mechanical delay (IVMD) was measured as the difference between left and right ventricular pre-ejection intervals, using pulsed wave Doppler. Apical rocking and septal flash were assessed visually, defined as a short rocking motion of the apex and rapid short inward motion of the septum, respectively.¹⁴

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were performed in SPSS version 25 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous data were expressed using mean ± standard deviation (normally distributed variables) or as median, inter-quartile range (non-normally distributed variables). Categorical data were described by an absolute number of occurrences and associated frequency (%). Data of two subgroups were compared using a *t*-test or Mann–Whitney *U* test, dependent on normality of the data. In the case of multiple subgroups, a one-way ANOVA was used with Bonferroni *post hoc* test where applicable. Fisher's χ^2 test was used for categorical data.

To test the association between discoordination and QRS_{AREA} at baseline and LVESVi-reduction at follow-up, univariate and multivariate adjusted linear regression analyses were performed with correction for potential confounders. Confounders were selected based on parameters that showed an association with Δ LVESVi in univariate analysis with P < 0.1. Variables that were added to the final model using backward selection were sex, age, ischaemic cardiomyopathy, LBBB morphology, QRS duration, QRS_{AREA}, apical rocking, septal flash, IVMD, SRSsept, and SSI. Assumptions of multivariable linear regression were checked for the existence of non-linearity, heteroskedasticity, and multicollinearity by graphical analyses and correlations tests. Normality of residuals was tested by a Q–Q plot.

Based on the presence of sufficient baseline electrical substrate (i.e. high QRS_{AREA}) and/or concomitant discoordination (i.e. high SRSsept), the study population was divided into subgroups. To this end, optimal cut-off values were determined on the basis off highest sensitivity and specificity for discrimination of responders (\geq 15% LVESVi-reduction) from non-responders, using the Youden index.

Results

A total of 240 patients were prospectively included, of whom paired LVESVi measurements at both 6 and 12 months were available in 200. Participants were predominantly male (62%) with a mean age of 66 and an average QRS duration of about 180 ms (Supplementary data online, *Table S1*). The majority of patients was NYHA II (63%), with ischaemic cardiomyopathy (ICM) in 42% of cases. Overall, LVESV_i decreased by $22 \pm 24\%$ (75 \pm 31 mL/m² vs. 58 \pm 31 mL/m²), with 61% of patients being a volumetric responder.

Sustained vs. non-sustained remodelling

A total of 114 patients (57%) demonstrated sustained remodelling. Following initial non-response at 6 months, 19 patients demonstrated delayed reverse remodelling after 12 months (Δ LVESVi 23±13%; P < 0.001) (*Figure 2*). Conversely, 12 delayed non-responders demonstrated initial reverse remodelling, which was not sustained at 12-month follow-up (Δ LVESVi -30±22%; P < 0.001). Reliability of LVESV measurements was excellent, with intra- and interclass correlation coefficients of 0.994 and 0.988, respectively (P < 0.001).

Mechanical discoordination and reverse remodelling

Differences in various baseline characteristics on the basis of low QRS_{AREA} and high QRS_{AREA} with and without concomitant mechanical discoordination, are summarized in Supplementary data online, *Table S2*. A total of 11 variables were selected for univariate linear regression analysis (*Table 1*). Significant multivariable adjusted associations with Δ LVESVi, after both 6 and 12 months, were revealed for QRS_{AREA} (β = 0.283 and β = 0.473) and SRSsept (β = 0.177 and β = 0.211), respectively. Other echocardiographic predictors were only significant at either 6 months (IVMD; β = 0.180) or 12 months (apical rocking; β = 0.189). When comparing SSI and SRSsept, only the latter proved to be associated with reverse remodelling after multivariate adjustment. Intra-observer reliability for SRSsept was high with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.89 (*P* < 0.001).¹⁰



Figure 2 Changes in left ventricular end-systolic volume over the course of 6- and 12-month follow-up periods. *P < 0.05 between 6 and 12 months.

	Univariate, 6M		Multivariate, 6M		Multivariate, 12M	
	β	P-value	В	P-value	β	P-value
Male sex, n (%)	-0.168	0.014				
Age (years)	-0.169	0.013	-0.150	0.022	-0.225	0.001
ICM, n (%)	-0.320	<0.001				
LBBB, n (%) (ESC)	0.250	<0.001	0.137	0.069		
QRS duration (ms)	0.128	0.072	-0.177	0.031	-0.225	0.008
QRS_{AREA} (μVs)	0.437	<0.001	0.283	0.002	0.473	<0.001
Apical rocking, n (%)	0.313	<0.001	0.125	0.081	0.189	0.007
Septal flash, n (%)	0.233	0.001				
IVMD (ms)	0.369	<0.001	0.180	0.020		
SRSsept (%)	0.372	<0.001	0.177	0.014	0.211	0.003
SSI (%)	0.394	<0.001				

Tuble I Onivariace and material accuracy sis for V and T2 month reduction in EVED	Table I	Univariate and	multivariate ar	alysis for 6	5- and 12-r	month reductio	n in LVESVi
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------	----------------	-----------------	--------------	-------------	----------------	-------------

 β , standardized regression coefficient (represents the number of standard deviations that the outcome will change as a result of one standard deviation change in the predictor); 6M, 6-month follow-up; 12M, 12-month follow-up; ICM, ischaemic cardiomyopathy; LBBB, left bundle branch block; IVMD, interventricular mechanical delay; SRSsept, systolic rebound stretch of the septum; SSI, systolic stretch index.

Disagreement between electrical substrate and mechanical dyssynchrony

The optimal cut-off value for QRS_{AREA} (AUC = 0.674; P < 0.001) and SRSsept (AUC = 0.652; P = 0.001) were 120 µVs and 2.5%, respectively (Supplementary data online, *Figure S1A*). However, baseline QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept were poorly related to each other (R = 0.358; P-value < 0.001) (Supplementary data online, *Figure S1B*). Of all patients, 9% had isolated high SRSsept, whereas high QRS_{AREA} without concomitant SRSsept was found in 26% of cases (Cohen's kappa = 0.318). When combining these two cut-off values with age and apical rocking,¹⁰ multivariate logistic regression analysis demonstrated good associations with 6-month response (AUC = 0.757; P < 0.001) and sustained response (AUC = 0.774; P < 0.001) (Supplementary data online, *Table S3*).

The importance of combined electromechanical dysfunction

Baseline SRSsept was increasingly associated with Δ LVESVi, but only in patients with QRS_{AREA} \geq 120 µVs (AUC = 0.727 vs. 0.443; *P*-between = 0.001) (*Graphical Abstract A* –*C*; Supplementary data online, *Table S4*). Assessment of QRS_{AREA} in addition to SRSsept significantly improved the association with 6-month response, when compared with assessment of SRSsept alone (AUC = 0.727 vs. AUC = 0. 652; *P* < 0.05) (*Graphical Abstract B*). This association was near-identical for patient with and without ICM (Δ AUC = 0.008; NS). In patients with high QRS_{AREA}, simultaneous presence of high SRSsept resulted in significantly more 6-month reverse remodelling than in patients with QRS_{AREA} \geq 120 µVs alone (Δ LVESVi 38±21% vs. 22±21%; *P* = 0.001) (*Graphical Abstract D*).

Only in patients with both high QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept, reverse remodelling was continued significantly between 6- and 12-month follow-up (Δ LVESVi 6 ± 23%; *P* = 0.028) (*Graphical Abstract D*). The presence of SRSsept consistently enhanced response in patients with QRS_{AREA} ≥ 120 µVs, even in patients with very high baseline



Figure 3 Influence of either the presence (green) or the absence (red) of high SRSsept for various quartiles of baseline electrical dyssynchrony.

QRS_{AREA} (\geq 155 µVs) (*Figure 3*). Moreover, 90% of patients with both high QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept (n = 59) were volumetric responders, as opposed to only 54% of patients with only QRS_{AREA} \geq 120 µVs (n = 48). Lastly, 68% of patients with both elevated QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept were classified as super-responder, as opposed to 40% of patients with high QRS_{AREA} alone.

Sustained remodelling and varying pattern of dyssynchrony

Using the ESC or AHA criteria for LBBB, 31% and 69% of patients were classified as non-LBBB, respectively. The additive benefit of SRSsept in non-LBBB was significant only when using strict AHA criteria (*Figure 4*). In LBBB patients however, simultaneous presence of high SRSsept ensured sustained remodelling when compared with high QRS_{AREA} alone, both according to ESC (n = 49 vs. n = 39) and AHA (n = 23 vs. n = 19) criteria.



Figure 4 The presence of mechanical discoordination in patients with high QRS_{AREA} ensures sustained reverse remodelling in patients with LBBB (green) according to ESC (upper panel) or AHA (lower panel) criteria. *P < 0.001 compared with both categories; [†]P = 0.001 compared with QRS_{AREA} < 120 µVs.

Discussion

The most pertinent finding of the present prospective multicentre study is that both SRSsept and QRS_{AREA} are associated with sustained reverse remodelling after multivariable adjustment. More specifically, the identification of SRSsept $\geq 2.5\%$, rather than QRS_{AREA} alone, appears to be especially of added value in achieving 'sustained' remodelling in LBBB patients with high QRS_{AREA}.

Enhanced identification of electrical substrate using QRS_{AREA}

Despite an average QRS-duration of 180 ms, 39% of patients were non-responders. As such, current guideline criteria for an electrical substrate are incapable of ensuring a volumetric response. QRS_{AREA} is derived objectively from the ECG, reflects LV activation delay, and is inversely associated with scar.^{15,16} QRS_{AREA} may as such be preferred above the more subjective QRS morphology.³ The subjectivity of LBBB morphology is further underscored by our results, since the added benefit of SRSsept in non-LBBB patients was dependent on the definition used. Also, reduction of QRS_{AREA} more strongly predicts response to CRT than QRS duration or LBBB morphology.⁴ In particular, QRS_{AREA} was independently associated with both allcause mortality and echocardiographic response.⁴ QRS_{AREA} therefore better reflects the electrical substrate amenable to resynchronization than its traditional counterparts, especially in patients with non-LBBB morphology, who would otherwise be deemed less suitable candidates for CRT.^{4,17} It is currently unknown to which degree high levels of QRS_{AREA} can be found in patients with QRS duration <130 ms, and whether these patients are likely to respond to CRT.

Indices of mechanical discoordination in CRT

Although apical rocking is an easy visual assessment, it is no quantifiable measure, subjectively assessed, and has limited inter-observer reproducibility.¹⁸ In contrast to both IVMD and apical rocking, SRSsept was consistently of added value to elevated QRS_{AREA}, both at 6- and 12-month follow-up. Since a 'reduction' of SRSsept, but not IVMD, is associated with reverse remodelling, SRSsept is also more likely to reflect the amenable mechanical substrate to CRT.¹⁹

Discoordination-imaging in CRT patients aims to capture the contradictory contraction pattern that occurs during systole, and thereby quantify the extent by which LBBB causes LV dysfunction.¹⁹ Because regional septal dysfunction is a major contributor to deteriorated LV function in CRT patients, SRSsept indirectly reflects LV discoordination as a whole.²⁰ Using a concept similar to our approach,^{7,10,19} myocardial work elegantly combines strain-imaging with a single non-invasive estimate of LV pressure.^{20,21} Wasted myocardial work thereby essentially represents a measure of paradoxical systolic stretching, 'indexed' to blood pressure.

Aalen et al.¹⁸ demonstrated higher predictive power of septal-to-LVIw work difference when compared with SSI in predicting reverse remodelling (AUC = 0.77 vs. 0.73). In contrast to our work, simultaneous assessment of MRI-derived septal viability was used instead of QRS_{AREA}. Importantly however, MRI-derived septal viability was incorporated only into the analysis of myocardial work, whereas this was neglected with respect to SSI. In another recent study from Gorcsan et al.,¹³ similar or superior outcomes were reported using SSI in \sim 500 patients, when compared with myocardial work.¹⁸ To date, no direct comparison between either methods has been conducted investigating clinical endpoints. Regardless, SRSsept has previously been thoroughly investigated and should be considered a robust parameter with good intra-observer reliability.^{7,8,10} Future studies, integrating electrical substrate assessment with both, septal dysfunction and septal viability, may demonstrate further improvement in response prediction.

Combined electrical and mechanical dysfunction ensures CRT response

Previous work already emphasized that no parameter, aimed at characterizing LV mechanical inefficacy, should be interpreted on its own, without also evaluating the underlying electrical substrate. In particular, lack of sufficient electrical dyssynchrony (i.e. QRS-duration < 130 ms) generally precludes benefit from CRT, regardless of the presence of mechanical dyssynchrony.²² Conversely, also in patients with QRS-duration \geq 130 ms, non-electrical substrates such as (septal) scarring and myocardial stiffness may affect mechanical dysynchrony, which is unlikely to be corrected by CRT.^{9,18}

Our findings are therefore in agreement with this work, since we were unable to demonstrate additional benefit of SRSsept in patients with relatively low levels of baseline electrical dyssynchrony. However, over two-thirds of all patients with both elevated QRS_{AREA} and SRSsept were classified as super-responders, with only one in ten patients becoming volumetric non-responders. In addition, over 90% of LBBB patients were sustained remodellers.

Clinical implications for strain-analysis

The identification of potential super-responders and sustained remodellers, as a surrogate marker of stable disease remission and subsequent sustained prognostic benefits,¹² may be useful in the process of deciding which patients are eligible to receive CRT without an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator.²³ More appropriate discrimination between CRT with and without implantable cardioverter-

defibrillator is especially valuable in low-to-middle income countries who maintain lower cost-effectiveness thresholds, thereby increasing referral and implant rates.

Unfortunately, because of previously conflicting results, echocardiographic analysis of mechanical dyssynchrony still holds no place in contemporary practice revolving patient selection for CRT.²⁴ Strainbased parameters of discoordination are however much more promising than timing-based indices, and should be further investigated in randomized trials.^{7,8,10,19} New studies, prospectively investigating discoordination-indices, are therefore highly awaited. Especially given that the negative results from PROSPECT, which was a nonrandomized study, were published well over a decade ago.²⁴

Study limitations

Our findings should be interpreted in the context of limitations inherent to its non-randomized design. However, our results were derived prospectively from a relatively large sample size in a multicentre setting of unselected patients, were reproducible at multiple time points, and therefore robust. Moreover, core laboratory analysis minimized measurement variability for echo and QRS_{AREA}. For SRSsept, optimal image quality of the septum was ensured by acquiring focused septal views with high framerate in only 61% of cases. Also, although various vendors were used for image-acquisition, our use of vendorindependent software limited its influence on our results.²⁵ Conversely, with varying image-quality and different vendors, our study also reflects a real-world situation, and at the same time underscores how the quality of SRSsept may be improved even further. Because no focused LVIw views were acquired for calculation of SSI, no definite conclusions can be drawn with respect to potential noninferiority of SRSsept, relative to SSI.

Conclusion

Our work demonstrates, for the first time, the importance and practicability of the combined assessment of $\mathsf{QRS}_{\mathsf{AREA}}$ and SRSsept in a real-world setting.

Mechanical discoordination, in the presence of an underlying electrical substrate, ensures responsiveness to CRT with high certainty in the majority of patients. Discoordination-imaging may therefore be particularly useful in identifying super-responders and patients who will show sustained disease remission.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at European Heart Journal— Cardiovascular Imaging online.

Funding

This research was performed within the framework of CTMM, the Centre for Translational Molecular Medicine (www.ctmm.nl), project COHFAR (grant 01C-203), and supported by the Dutch Heart Foundation.

Conflict of interest: K.V. has received research grants from Medtronic and Abbott, consultancy agreement with Medtronic, Philips, and Abbott. F.W.P. has received research grants from Medtronic, Abbott, MicroPort CRM, and Biotronik. M.A.V. received grants from Medtronic, AMGEN, and The Dutch Heart Foundation. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this article to disclose.

Data availability

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

References

- Vernooy K, Van Deursen CJM, Strik M, Prinzen FW. Strategies to improve cardiac resynchronization therapy. Nat Rev Cardiol 2014;11:481–93.
- Brignole M, Auricchio A, Baron-Esquivias G, Bordachar P, Boriani G, Breithardt O-A, et al.; European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA). 2013 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: the Task Force on cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Europace 2013;15:1070–118.
- 3. van Stipdonk AMW, Hoogland R, ter Horst I, Kloosterman M, Vanbelle S, Crijns HJGM *et al.* Evaluating electrocardiography-based identification of cardiac resynchronization therapy responders beyond current left bundle branch block definitions. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol* 2020;**6**:193–203.
- Ghossein MA, van Stipdonk AMW, Plesinger F, Kloosterman M, Wouters PC, Salden OAE et al. Reduction in the QRS area after cardiac resynchronization therapy is associated with survival and echocardiographic response. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2021;32:813–22.
- Maass AH, Vernooy K, Wijers SC, van 't Sant J, Cramer MJ, Meine M et al. Refining success of cardiac resynchronization therapy using a simple score predicting the amount of reverse ventricular remodelling: results from the Markers and Response to CRT (MARC) study. *Europace* 2018;20:e1–e10.
- Smiseth OA, Aalen JM. Mechanism of harm from left bundle branch block. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2019;29:335–42.
- De Boeck BWL, Teske AJ, Meine M, Leenders GE, Cramer MJ, Prinzen FW et al. Septal rebound stretch reflects the functional substrate to cardiac resynchronization therapy and predicts volumetric and neurohormonal response. Eur J Heart Fail 2009;11:863–71.
- Leenders GE, De Boeck BWL, Teske AJ, Meine M, Bogaard MD, Prinzen FW et al. Septal rebound stretch is a strong predictor of outcome after cardiac resynchronization therapy. J Card Fail 2012;18:404–12.
- Lumens J, Tayal B, Walmsley J, Delgado-Montero A, Huntjens PR, Schwartzman D et al. Differentiating electromechanical from non-electrical substrates of mechanical discoordination to identify responders to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2015;8:e003744.
- Salden OAE, Zweerink A, Wouters P, Allaart CP, Geelhoed B, De Lange FJ et al. The value of septal rebound stretch analysis for the prediction of volumetric response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2021; 22:37–45.
- 11. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L et al. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the

European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2015;28: 1–39.e14.

- Foley PWX, Chalil S, Khadjooi K, Irwin N, Smith REA, Leyva F. Left ventricular reverse remodelling, long-term clinical outcome, and mode of death after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Eur J Heart Fail* 2011;**13**:43–51.
- Gorcsan J, Anderson CP, Tayal B, Sugahara M, Walmsley J, Starling RC et al. Systolic stretch characterizes the electromechanical substrate responsive to cardiac resynchronization therapy. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2019;12:1741–52.
- Stankovic I, Prinz C, Ciarka A, Daraban AM, Kotrc M, Aarones M et al. Relationship of visually assessed apical rocking and septal flash to response and long-term survival following cardiac resynchronization therapy (PREDICT-CRT). *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2016;**17**:262–9.
- Plesinger F, van Stipdonk AMW, Smisek R, Halamek J, Jurak P, Maass AH et al. Fully automated QRS area measurement for predicting response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. J Electrocardiol 2020;63:159–63.
- Engels EB, Mafi-Rad M, van Stipdonk AMW, Vernooy K, Prinzen FW. Why QRS duration should be replaced by better measures of electrical activation to improve patient selection for cardiac resynchronization therapy. J Cardiovasc Transl Res 2016;9:257–65.
- van Stipdonk AMW, Ter Horst I, Kloosterman M, Engels EB, Rienstra M, Crijns HJGM et al. QRS Area is a strong determinant of outcome in cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2018;11:e006497.
- Aalen JM, Donal E, Larsen CK, Duchenne J, Lederlin M, Cvijic M et al. Imaging predictors of response to cardiac resynchronization therapy: left ventricular work asymmetry by echocardiography and septal viability by cardiac magnetic resonance. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:3813–23.
- Wouters PC, Leenders GE, Cramer MJ, Meine M, Prinzen FW, Doevendans PA et al. Acute recoordination rather than functional hemodynamic improvement determines reverse remodelling by cardiac resynchronisation therapy. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2021;37:1903–11.
- Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Remme EW et al. A novel clinical method for quantification of regional left ventricular pressure– strain loop area: a non-invasive index of myocardial work. Eur Heart J 2012;33: 724–33.
- Prinzen FW, Lumens J. Investigating myocardial work as a CRT response predictor is not a waste of work. *Eur Heart J* 2020;41:3824–6.
- Beshai J, Grimm R, Nagueh S, Baker J, Beau S, Greenberg S et al. Cardiacresynchronization therapy in heart failure with narrow QRS complexes. N Engl J Med 2007;357:2461–71.
- Køber L, Thune JJ, Nielsen JC, Haarbo J, Videbæk L, Korup E, et al.; DANISH Investigators. Defibrillator implantation in patients with nonischemic systolic heart failure. N Engl J Med 2016;375:1221–30.
- Chung ES, Leon AR, Tavazzi L, Sun J-P, Nihoyannopoulos P, Merlino J et al. Results of the predictors of response to CRT (PROSPECT) trial. *Circulation* 2008; 117:2608–16.
- Van Everdingen WM, Maass AH, Vernooy K, Meine M, Allaart CP, De Lange FJ et al. Comparison of strain parameters in dyssynchronous heart failure between speckle tracking echocardiography vendor systems. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2017; 15:25.