



University of Groningen

Deep sedation for pulsed field ablation by electrophysiology staff

Mulder, Bart A.; Defaye, Pascal; Boersma, Lucas V.A.

Published in: Europace

DOI:

10.1093/europace/euad234

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database

Citation for published version (APA):

Mulder, B. A., Defaye, P., & Boersma, L. V. A. (2023). Deep sedation for pulsed field ablation by electrophysiology staff: can and should we do it? *Europace*, *25*(9), Article euad234. https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/euad234

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverneamendment.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): http://www.rug.nl/research/portal. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Download date: 24-06-2024

Deep sedation for pulsed field ablation by electrophysiology staff: can and should we do it?

Bart A. Mulder (1) 1*, Pascal Defaye (1) 2, and Lucas V. A. Boersma (1) 3,4

¹Department of Cardiology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, P.O. Box 30.001, Hanzeplein 1, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands; ²Department of Cardiology, University Hospital and Grenoble Alpes University, Bd de la Chantourne, 8043 Grenoble, France; ³Department of Cardiology, Sint Antonius Hospital, Koekoekslaan 1, 3435 CM, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands; and ⁴Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam UMC, Meibergdreef 9, 1105 AZ, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Online publish-ahead-of-print 29 July 2023

Pulsed field ablation (PFA) is a new, non-thermal ablation modality for pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) in patients with atrial fibrillation (AF). 1-4 A recent registry demonstrates an encouraging single-procedure success rate with a good safety profile and short procedure times in a realworld AF patient population.³ Also, it appears that there are less energy-related side-effects with PFA.^{2,3,5} Procedures in this European registry were performed either under general anaesthesia or deep sedation using a continuous propofol infusion.³ This may limit the use of this technique in centres where general anaesthesia or deep sedation with propofol is not available or may not be administered by an electrophysiology staff. Therefore, other sedation techniques with e.g. midazolam or dexmedetomidine may be used to facilitate the increasing use of PFA for AF. A recent EHRA survey showed that 66% of patients undergo PVI with conscious/deep sedation (unknown which sedation techniques were used), 24% with general anaesthesia, and 10% with local anaesthesia only. Implying, that more than 90% of patients (and/or operators) choose a form of sedation for PVI.⁶ Another worldwide survey showed that concerning the legal requirements for deep sedation, 59.6% stated that the presence of an anaesthesiologist was necessary, 16.8% centres stated that a specially trained nurse could perform deep sedation without the presence of anaesthesiologist, 11.8% responded that the presence of a second physician in the electrophysiology allowed them to perform deep sedation without an anaesthesiologist, and only 11.8% answered that the electrophysiologist could perform deep sedation by himself without additional personnel. Besides legal requirements, the sedative effects of propofol and midazolam/dexmedetomidine are different. Propofol is shown to have a relatively low cumulative need for positive pressure ventilation and intubation. 8 Midazolam, on the other hand, is known for inadequate sedation. Dexmedetomidine can also be used and has been shown to provide (in combination with remifentanil) deeper sedation, less respiratory depression, better analgesia, and higher procedural satisfaction for electrophysiologists during PVI as compared to midazolam (in combination with remifentanil). All these studies have been performed before PFA was introduced; as PFA needs deeper sedation than during cryoballoon or radiofrequency ablation data on other sedation strategies are needed.^{2,3} However, with some PFA techniques, muscle spasms appear to be more limited; implying that

deepness of sedation might be tailored according to the PFA system used.

In this issue of Europace, two research letters from two different Italian groups are presented by Grimaldi et al. 10 and lacopino et al. 11 using sedation strategies based on midazolam or dexmedetomidine. Grimaldi et al.¹⁰ showed that using a strategy based on midazolam (in combination with other drugs) before entering the electrophysiology lab and dexmedetomidine and remifentanil inside the electrophysiology lab was safe to use with excellent patient satisfaction (Table 1). There is a disclaimer given and that is that all staff was trained in management of cardiac sedation and advanced life support and an anaesthetic consultant was available onsite. In the paper by Jacopino et al., the sedation technique was also based on midazolam, however, an anaesthesiologist was available in the room. The sedation was structured in three main steps as follows: preparation to femoral puncture, femoral puncture to trans-septal puncture, and ablation of the pulmonary veins (Table 1). 11 Different to the paper by Grimaldi et al. was that lacopino used ketamine (5 min) before the first PFA applications (3rd step). In total, patients were sedated less than an hour, as it was safe and effective sedation and both patient and operator were satisfied. Primary reason for both papers not to use propofol is the risk for respiratory depression and it is also for that reason that many European countries only allow anaesthesiologist to use propofol (and the same holds for ketamine, dexmetomidine, and remifentanil) to ensure patient safety during procedures. However, there is no uniformity on this aspect in Europe.

The authors from both papers should be congratulated for their important contribution to the field. There are, however, based on both papers, some important limitations to consider before implementing a midazolam- or dexmedetomidine-based sedation. In the paper by Grimaldi et al., mean BMI was 26. As a BMI above 30 is shown to be associated with requirement of non-invasive ventilation or endotracheal intubation, this is something to take into consideration when planning a sedation strategy. Whether or not it is safe to perform this midazolam strategy by non-anaesthesiologists in these patients with a higher BMI, e.g. > 30, is therefore unknown. Mean BMI was higher (29) in the paper by lacopino, however, in this study, an anaesthesiologist was present in the room. During PFA procedures, sedation should

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the Editors of Europace or of the European Society of Cardiology

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +31 050 3611327; fax: +31 50 3614391. E-mail address: b.a.mulder@umcg.nl

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

2 Editorial

 Table 1 Comparison of both strategies

	Grimaldi et <i>al.</i> (<i>n</i> = 29)	lacopino et <i>al</i> . (n = 65)
Pre-electrophysiology lab/pre-femoral puncture	Midazolam 2 mg Ondansetron 4 mg Dexamethasone 4 mg	Midazolam 2 mg Fentanyl 1 μg/kg
Femoral puncture to trans-septal puncture PVI	Remifentanil 0.5 ng/mL dexmedetomidine 1 µg/kg/h	Fentanyl 0.5–1.0 µg/kg Midazolam 1–2 mg Ketamine 1.5–2 mg/kg
Anaesthesiologists Adverse reaction	On site None	Atropine 1 mg In the room One patient switched to propofol
PFA system	Multi-Channel PFA Generator (PFA Generator; Biosense Webster, Inc.; Irvine, CA)	FARAPULSE (Boston Scientific, MN, USA
Pulse type	Short-duration, high-voltage <i>bipolar</i> biphasic pulses	Biphasic waveform on a microsecond scale

PFA, pulsed field ablation; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation.

be as deep as possible keeping the balance between deep enough sedation vs. prolonged periods of apnoeas might be particularly challenging for non-anaesthesiologists and might also not be in the comfort zone of a team without anaesthesiologists. Also, the patients in both papers appear to be younger (Grimaldi mean age was 55 and lacopino 59), as compared to recent all-comers in the EU-PORIA registry (mean age 66). While this may not be a severe limitation, it appears that most patients in both papers were not only of younger age but also had less comorbidities. Offering a midazolam/dexmedetomidine-based deep sedation strategy might therefore be particular interesting in those patients. Whether or not older patients have more co-morbidities (e.g. heart failure with reduced ejection fraction), this strategy might also be applicable has to be seen. Furthermore, both studies have a relatively small sample (29 and 65 patients) size with no (historical) control group and did not make use of randomization. This would be a field of future research as randomized trials comparing midazolam vs. propofol for PVI are sparse. Only in 2007, a prospective randomized trial randomized 120 patients to two sedation strategies, propofol infused deep sedation vs. midazolam in combination with fentanyl for conscious sedation. Two patients in the propofol group required positive pressure ventilation for desaturation compared to one patient in a midazolam group. 13 Another interesting aspect will be a tailored sedation approach for PFA procedures. As procedure times are shorter than cryoballoon or radiofrequency, there might be an opportunity for a very short, very deep sedation protocol for PFA procedures. This might also depend on

the type of PFA that is being used. Another aspect that is worth noting is that in both studies, only PVI was performed. With PFA, we now observe a shift in additional lesions (posterior wall isolation, mitral line, and roof line) that may need different or deeper sedation than during a PVI only approach. ^{2,3,14}

What should be the next step before implementing this in clinical practice? Moving the needle forward in this field needs an adequately powered randomized trial comparing propofol vs. midazolam- or dexmedetomidine-based deep sedation strategy in patients undergoing PVI with PFA. Endpoints for this trial should not only include safety of the procedure (hypoxia or need for positive pressure ventilation/intubation) but also patient and electrophysiological staff satisfaction. Also, the additional value of an anaesthesiologist in or outside the room in more difficult cases should be investigated in more detail before this should be implanted to a larger scale.

In conclusion, both groups show that when electrophysiology staff is adequately trained, we can safely perform deep sedation with midazolam or dexmedetomidine. Whether we should do it without an anaesthesiologist (in the room) or a fully dedicated team on sedation techniques should be further investigated.

Funding

None declared.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References

- 1. Mulder BA, Luermans JGLM, Hindricks G, Blaauw Y. Innovations and paradigm shifts in atrial fibrillation ablation. *Europace* 2021;**23**:ii23–7.
- Ekanem E, Reddy VY, Schmidt B, Reichlin T, Neven K, Metzner A et al. Multi-national survey on the methods, efficacy, and safety on the post-approval clinical use of pulsed field ablation (MANIFEST-PF). Europace 2022;24:1256–66.
- Schmidt B, Bordignon S, Neven K, Reichlin T, Blaauw Y, Hansen J et al. European realworld outcomes with Pulsed field ablatiOn in patients with symptomatic atRIAI fibrillation: lessons from the multi-centre EU-PORIA registry. Europace 2023;25:euad185.
- Magni FT, Mulder BA, Groenveld HF, Wiesfeld ACP, Tieleman RG, Cox MG et al. Initial experience with pulsed field ablation for atrial fibrillation. Front Cardiovasc Med 2022;9: 959186.
- Verma A, Haines DE, Boersma LV, Sood N, Natale A, Marchlinski FE et al. Pulsed field ablation for the treatment of atrial fibrillation: PULSED AF pivotal trial. Circulation 2023; 147:1422–32.
- Iliodromitis K, Lenarczyk R, Scherr D, Conte G, Farkowski MM, Marin F et al. Patient selection, peri-procedural management, and ablation techniques for catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: an EHRA survey. Europace 2022;25:667–75.
- Garcia R, Waldmann V, Vanduynhoven P, Nesti M, Jansen de Oliveira Figueiredo M, Narayanan K et al. Worldwide sedation strategies for atrial fibrillation ablation: current status and evolution over the last decade. Europace 2021; 23:2039–45.
- Gerstein NS, Young A, Schulman PM, Stecker EC, Jessel PM. Sedation in the electrophysiology laboratory: a multidisciplinary review. J Am Heart Assoc 2016;5:e003629.
- Cho JS, Shim J-K, Na S, Park I, Kwak YL. Improved sedation with dexmedetomidineremifentanil compared with midazolam-remifentanil during catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: a randomized, controlled trial. Europace 2014;16:1000–6.
- Grimaldi M, Quadrini F, Caporusso N, Troisi F, Vitulano N, Delmonte V et al. Deep sedation protocol during atrial fibrillation ablation using a novel variable-loop biphasic pulsed field ablation catheter. Europace 2023:euad222. (EPUB ahead of print: 2023)
- lacopino S, Colella J, Dini D, Mantovani L, Sorrenti PF, Malacrida M et al. Sedation strategies for pulsed-field ablation of atrial fibrillation: focus on deep sedation with intravenous ketamine in spontaneous respiration. Europace 2023:euad230. (EPUB ahead of print 2023)
- Foerschner L, Harfoush N, Thoma M, Spitzbauer L, Popa M, Bourier F et al. Deep sedation with propofol in patients undergoing left atrial ablation procedures—is it safe? Heart Rhythm O2 2022;3:288–94.
- Tang R, Dong J, Zhao W, Liu X, Kang J, Long D et al. Unconscious sedation/analgesia with propofol versus conscious sedation with fentanyl/midazolam for catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation: a prospective, randomized study. Chin Med J (Engl) 2007;120: 2036–8.
- Turagam MK, Neuzil P, Schmidt B, Reichlin T, Neven K, Metzner A et al. Safety and effectiveness of pulsed field ablation to treat atrial fibrillation: one-year outcomes from the MANIFEST-PF registry. Circulation 2023;148:35–46.