GUIDE TO UNDERSTANDING

VISUAL PROGRAMMING FOR STRUCTURAL ASSESSMENT OF OUT-OF-PLANE **MECHANISMS IN HISTORIC MASONRY STRUCTURES**



	(m) - 1				
	-		1004		5 68 65 65 65 65
		Z			





Sector Sector Sector		
<u></u>		
	100 00 100 000 000	
	6260 (C. 10) (
	<u></u>	

Visual programming for structural assessment of out-of-plane mechanisms in historic masonry structures

GUIDE TO UNDERSTANDING

This document is a support to approach the **visual scripting for structural assessment of historic masonry structures**. The guide will show the practical aspects of the methods theoretically developed in a paper recently published by Funari et al. (2020) in the Journal of Building Engineering. The visual script is openly available from the University of Dundee data archive at https://doi.org/10.15132/10000153, together with a a benchmark case study.

The script is making use of the following grasshopper's components: <u>https://www.food4rhino.com/app/octopus</u> <u>https://www.food4rhino.com/app/nelder-mead-optimisation-eoc</u>

These need to be downloaded and installed by the users.



SYNOPTICAL DIAGRAM OF THE SCRIPT

What is the role of different clusters?







GREEN CLUSTER



Definition of the friction resistance on each sidewalls

PINK CLUSTER

Identification of the sidewalls involved in the collapse mechanism



RED **CLUSTER** Control Surface "CS" generation



CYAN CLUSTER

Definition of the failure surfaces (macro-block definition) and genetic panorama setting



ORANGE **CLUSTER**

Solution of the constrained optimization problem by using genetic algorithm



VIOLET CLUSTER

Computation of the horizontal acceleration needed for the activation of the local mechanism of collapse according to the Italian code (NTC 2018)

YELLOW CLUSTER

frictional resistance

Calculation of the inertial forces acting on the macro-block













RED CLUSTER CONTROL SURFACE GENERATION

Provide the path to the *.text file containing the results of the pushover analysis (a sample file is provided) [a].

The visual script generates the Control Surface [b].









PINK CLUSTER IDENTIFICATION OF THE SIDEWALLS INVOLVING IN THE COLLAPSE MECHANISM

Each wall is associated with a pink box which performs a local slope analysis [a.1]. These define the range of the indexes of the control points associated with the wall (in agreement with the pushover analysis results file numbering) [a. 2].

The user identifies the wall exhibiting the maximum slope. Figure [b] shows that higher values of the slope are obtained in correspondence of walls W0, W8 and W5.













CYAN CLUSTER DEFINITION OF THE FAILURE SURFACES

W0, W8 and W5 are selected and analyzed separately in order to define the cutting planes [a].

In the same cluster, some sliders are defining the genetic panorama [b].













GREEN CLUSTER NUMERICAL DEFINITION OF THE FRICTION RESISTANCE **ON EACH SIDEWALLS**

In this box, the visual code evaluates the friction resistance that the sidewalls are able to provide [a] (Casapulla et al., 2014).

The user can conveniently modify the value of the friction coefficient by using a slider [b].







YELLOW CLUSTER CALCULATION OF THE INERTIAL FORCES

This cluster compute the inertial forces that A involve into the structural system [a].

Each wall embedded in the mechanism is associated with a box [b.1]. The user has to draw a line defining the floor or roof supports and introduce the weight per unit length [b.2]. The output of the cluster is the total force acting on each macro-block as well as the distance of its point of application from the overturning axis.

BLUE CLUSTERS COMPUTATION OF THE KINEMATIC COLLAPSE MULTIPLIER

This cluster provides the evaluation of the kinematic multiplier by considering both hypothesis nil and maximum frictional resistance.

The blue boxes is devoted to compute the Ioad multiplier that generates the activation of Use the mechanism by adopting the procedure developed by Casapulla et al. [a].

In parallel the kinematic multiplier under the hypothesis of nil friction resistance is calculated by considering the same macro block geometry [b].

JAM

ORANGE CLUSTERS SOLUTION OF THE CONSTRAINED **OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM BY USING GENETIC ALGORITHM**

In its core component, the scrip finds the minimum kinematic multiplier by solving the following constrain minimization problem:

> minimize : α_0 subject to : $\sum_{h=0}^{n} F_{h}^{i} = 0$

The octopus component minimize the acceleration for the activation of the mechanism, which guarantees the horizontal equilibrium (first fitness function), by modifying the failure surface of the masonry structure in the 3D space and, consequently, the geometry of the macro-block considered for the equilibrium (second fitness function).

The solution process is also performed by using Nelder-Mead Optimisation (NMO) component, which uses a local search-based optimisation algorithm.

VIOLET CLUSTER COMPUTATION OF THE HORIZONTAL ACCELERATION NEEDED FOR THE ACTIVATION OF THE LOCAL COLLAPSE MECHANISM

Based on the results obtained in the previous step, this cluster allow to perform the code checks according to the Italian code (NTC2018).

The horizontal acceleration that produces the activation of the local mechanism is represented in the picture.

References:

- mechanisms in historic masonry structures. Journal of Building Engineering.
- Coulomb friction. Meccanica, 2014. 49(7): p. 1653-1678.
- D. Rutten, Galapagos: On the logic and limitations of generic solvers. Architectural Design, 2013. 83(2): p. 132-135.
- engineering-problem, 2018.

• M.F. Funari, S. Spadea, P. Lonetti, F. Fabbrocino and R. Luciano, Visual programming for structural assessment of out-of-plane

• C. Casapulla et al., 3D macro and micro-block models for limit analysis of out-of-plane loaded masonry walls with non-associative

• S. Gregson, Nelder-Mead Optimisation (EOC), <u>https://www.eocengineers.com/en/news/digital-design-group-tackles-classic-</u>

