



Vaasan yliopisto
UNIVERSITY OF VAASA

OSUVA Open
Science

This is a self-archived – parallel published version of this article in the publication archive of the University of Vaasa. It might differ from the original.

The Impact of Blockchain on Future Business Models within the Renewable Energy Sector

Author(s): Juszczuk, Oskar

Title: The Impact of Blockchain on Future Business Models within the Renewable Energy Sector

Year: 2023

Version: Publisher's PDF

Copyright © 2023. Published by AHFE Open Access.

Please cite the original version:

Juszczuk, O. (2023). The Impact of Blockchain on Future Business Models within the Renewable Energy Sector. In Ahram, T. & Taiar, R. (eds), *Human Interaction & Emerging Technologies (IHET 2023): Artificial Intelligence & Future Applications*, 714-724. AHFE international, 111. AHFE Open Access.
<http://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1004078>

The Impact of Blockchain on Future Business Models Within the Renewable Energy Sector

Oskar Juszczyk

School of Technology and Innovations, University of Vaasa, Finland

ABSTRACT

Blockchains, or distributed ledgers, are innovative information and communication technology (ICT) solutions that are emerging within various sectors and industries across the globe. This distributed ledger technology (DLT) is already widespread in certain sectors, mainly in the banking industry, often through corresponding banking, or syndicating and peer-to-peer (P2P) loans. Outstandingly, blockchain technology has the capability to enhance the transparency and authenticity of transactional processes throughout the whole supply chain. Another significant benefit that Blockchain technology provides, mainly coming from its transparent and decentralized nature, is the capability to decrease the information asymmetries among the collaborating partners. Through e.g. the digitalization of transactional mechanisms, decentralization of authority, Internet of Things (IoT) and asset management enabling as well as smart contracting, the improvement of the business's day-to-day operations is firmly forecasted. Importantly, the digitalization of the energy and other sectors will cause major alterations in current structures, and thus, it will require business model innovation. It is claimed that the decentralized nature of blockchain, mainly due to a reduction of middlemen could revolutionize current market structures and supply chains. Importantly, blockchain application is systematically growing across different industries, for instance in healthcare, voting systems, manufacturing, supply chain management, or luxury goods. It has also gained the attention of the energy industry, where digitalization is already visible in solutions such as smart meters and smart grids, electric e-mobility, vehicle-to-grid (V2G), energy cryptocurrencies and tokens, etc. This has resulted in the introduction of a novel concept of the Internet of Energy (IoE) in the academic literature. This literature analysis serves to determine the impact of blockchain on the imminent business models based on the renewable energy sector. The outcomes of this curiosity study provide numerous theoretical and managerial implications that can foster the widespread blockchain technology diffusion in global energy systems.

Keywords: Blockchain, Technology diffusion, Digital innovation, Business models, Energy prosumers, Renewable energy, Supply chain management

INTRODUCTION

Emerging technologies are more often than not disruptive innovations that drive socioeconomic development. However, they usually require transformative adaptation from the business, technological, and regulatory

environments. Nowadays, due to e.g. digitalization, globalization, and growing shares of the middle and higher-middle class, there are increasing levels of energy demand. Fortunately, the global share of renewable energy sources is constantly growing, reaching record-breaking levels. Energy companies tend to increasingly follow the triple-bottom-line approach of societal, environmental, and economic value creation (Elkington, 1998), and governments perceive the long-lasting benefits of implementing renewables, i.e. energy security, sustainable development, independence from energy imports, or proficient use of indigenous resources. Additionally, numerous international policies include not only legally-binding requirements for limiting fossil fuels but also various incentives – financial, image-creating, socioeconomic, etc. (Juszczak et al., 2022). However, renewables are often weather-dependent, which causes challenges with their variability, dependability, and grid management. Moreover, with the increased number of transactions, there is a need for a tool that could leverage them in a transparent, secure, and automated fashion.

It is rather evident that the transition towards the Industry 4.0 would not be available with the obsolete, centralized, and inefficient energy systems of today. These numerous issues could be addressed through the widespread implementation of blockchain technology, which provides a distributed ledger that stores and manages transaction data across the network participants involved in those processes. It is also called distributed ledger technology (DLT) or Internet of Value, and its central feature is the decentralized nature of authority, which eliminates middlemen from transactional processes. This allows to perform such transactions in a more secure, transparent, auditable, efficient, and automated way. Blockchain has been first introduced in 2008 as a platform to support Bitcoin cryptocurrency (Nakamoto, 2008), however, its implementation goes way beyond the area of finance. Despite being a relatively novel technology, its successful adoption can be observed across various sectors of the economy, e.g. medicine, public (voting, taxes), insurance, industrial, luxury goods, supply chain management, or energy (Bürer et al., 2019). Moreover, through the application of smart contracts, transactions are executed between the network participants more efficiently based on standardized, previously established rules. As a result, blockchains can bring substantial added value to transaction data, which nowadays are a central feature of every business operation around the world (Tiscini et al., 2020). However, their practical implementation could not be appropriately examined yet due to e.g. infancy stage of technological development, lack of legal compliance, low levels of technological know-how, or a limited number of well-developed use cases (Juszczak & Shahzad, 2022).

This article aims to bridge this gap by unveiling major opportunities coming from blockchain adoption within the renewable energy technologies (RETs) industry with a particular emphasis on its impact on current business models. As blockchain is a highly disruptive technology, it is firmly claimed in the literature that its implementation would require business model innovation (BMI) (Nowiński & Kozma, 2017; Morkunas, Paschen & Boon, 2019; Shahzad, 2020; Tiscini et al., 2020; Taherdoost & Madanchian, 2023). To thoroughly examine this phenomenon, the following sections of this article

will discuss the concepts of business models, and business model innovation, including sustainable business model innovation, to examine the possible impact of blockchain utilization on different components of business models within the RETs industry.

Business Models – Sustainable Innovation Requirement

A plethora of academic literature scrutinized the concept of the business model, agreeing that on a holistic level, it is a conceptual tool explaining how companies do business (Zott et al., 2011). In brief, it is a given organization's system of processing inputs using its business activities into numerous outputs through creating, capturing, and delivering original value within a certain period of time (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010). Interestingly, it is claimed that every company performing operation in a competitive environment has its own business model, even if it's not explicitly recognized and stated (Chesbrough, 2007). Initially, three major components of the business model have been dominant in the literature, that were naturally following the original definition: 1) value delivery/proposition: the value embedded in the company's core product; that is offered to its stakeholders 2) value creation: how value is generated and delivered 3) value capture: how value is monetized and distributed (e.g. Teece (2010), Tongur & Engwall (2014)). Another conceptualization distinguishes five key business model elements: 1) value proposition, 2) customer interface, 3) internal organization/key resources, 4) external value chain, and 5) profit equation/revenue streams (Zott et al., 2011; Huijben et al., 2016). According to a seminal study by Osterwalder and Pigneur (2010), business models typically comprise nine following elements concerning a given company's customers, infrastructure, offer, and financial activity: 1) customer segments, 2) value proposition, 3) channels, 4) customer relationships, 5) key resources, 6) key activities, 7) key partnerships, 8) revenue streams, and 9) cost structure. If these elements are accurately mixed and proportioned, they can create and deliver substantial added value. This nine-element model has been named Business Model Canvas and it often serves as a practical tool to evaluate a given company's business model (Morkunas, Paschen & Boon, 2019). However, a holistic conceptual approach will be implemented in this study to examine the potential impact of blockchain technology on the business models of companies representing RET sector.

Nonetheless, to thoroughly address this phenomenon, there is a need to consider various sustainability-related aspects. Especially nowadays, when climate change and its adverse impact on the natural environment is influencing the quality of everyday life of societies across the globe, it is important to evaluate the necessity of sustainable business model innovation (SBMI) within modern companies. Sustainable business models (SBMs) differ from traditional BMs by carefully reflecting societal and environmental aspects of the daily operations of a given enterprise and thus can be determined as a source of a competitive advantage contributing to the sustainable development of the company and society, which creates a superior customer value (Geissdoerfer et al., 2018). SBMs, by emphasizing the role of sustainable development and the natural environment according to the concept of a

triple-bottom-line, consider nature and society as stakeholders and often implement environmentally-friendly practices into a given firm's culture, vision, and mission (Bocken et al., 2014; Joyce & Paquin, 2016). Such practices can bring not only economic profits but also measurable, substantial societal and ecological value to a company and thus SBMs can be a source of a sustainability-based competitive advantage (Porter & Kramer, 2011). Through the observation of 20 case studies involving blockchain, Calandra et al. (2022) discovered four research clusters related to (1) smart energy management, (2) climate change, (3) waste management, and (4) sustainable production. Furthermore, new business initiatives show that Blockchain can reduce costs by promoting SBMs strictly linked with the United Nations agenda and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Therefore, it seems reasonable for companies to innovate their current business models through the lens of sustainability. It is even more evident within the energy and renewable energy sector, where the societal and political pressure to limit greenhouse gas emissions is visibly strong around the world.

Blockchain Technology as a Potential Game-Changer

There are numerous studies suggesting blockchain as a prosperous technology that could bring multifarious benefits to the companies and industries implementing it. Blockchain, also known as distributed ledger technology (DLT) or Internet of Value is a technology that allows digital information circulation in a collective database that encompasses a continuously mounting log of transactions together with their chronological order. In other words, it is a ledger that may contain digital transactions, data records, and executables that are shared among blockchain-participating agents (Nakamoto, 2008; Andoni, 2019). Blockchains distinguish themselves from other extant information systems by four key features: non-localization (decentralization), security, provability, and smart execution (Teufel, 2020). This highly disruptive technology is the outcome of a decade's efforts from "*an elite group of computer scientists, cryptographers, and mathematicians*" (Tucker, 2019).

The usual process within blockchains is designed as follows. Initially, an agent generates a new transaction to be involved in the blockchain. This newly formed transaction is distributed within the network for validation and audit. Once this new transaction is approved by the superiority of nodes based on pre-determined and multilaterally established rules, this action can be shifted to the chain as a new block. A record of that transaction is stored in distinct nodes to ensure the safety of the whole system. Meanwhile, the smart contract, as a vital element of blockchain, enables trustworthy transactions to be completed without third parties' involvement (Cong & He, 2019; Saberi et al., 2019). Figure 1 demonstrates this procedure.

Primarily, blockchain's central feature is decentralization, which brings many opportunities to revolutionize current market structures and supply chains. By excluding intermediaries from transactional processes, blockchains transfer the authority towards local partnerships, which creates more trust, higher auditability, and safety, and also strengthens and extends supply chains (Morkunas, Paschen & Boon, 2019). Decentralization brings

more information legitimacy and integrity, as dominant, centralized, human-dependent information systems are prone to the risk of hacking, malfunction, or even fraud (Teufel et al., 2020). In blockchain-based systems, information and data are transparent, verifiable, and easily accessible to authorized users, whose anonymity can be ensured through, *inter alia*, cryptographic systems (Wang et al., 2019). Each new record has to be certified, verified, and validated by every network participant through consensus mechanisms such as proof-of-stake, proof-of-work, or other highly advanced and automated mechanisms (Lashkari & Musilek, 2021). Furthermore, through the application of smart contracts, blockchains could help companies to lessen informational asymmetry as well as generate wealth and customer surplus by providing greater outreach and competition, although it should be noted that issuing information through consensus formation might cause grander complicity (Cong & He, 2019). Importantly, blockchain, as a highly innovative technology, can enable and be supported by novel, digital solutions (such as the Internet of Things, ICT, or smart execution) that could drive a transition toward Industry 4.0 in a fast-paced, automated, and sustainable way (Hafeez, Juszczuk & Takala, 2021). In spite of the limited amount of use cases yet, it is believed that blockchains could contribute to circular economy practices, renewable energy market development, and sustainable development (Andoni et al., 2019; Upadhyay et al., 2021).




Figure 1: Key steps in the blockchain. (Adapted from Juszczuk & Shahzad, 2022.)

Despite having many prosperous benefits, blockchains are not without flaws. First of all, there is a strictly technological issue of scalability and speed of transactions, which are limited accordingly to the ever-growing numbers of input to process (Zhou et al., 2020). According to the widely recognized phenomenon of blockchain trilemma, current DLT systems can ensure only two of their three features at once: either 1) ultimate blocks decentralization, 2) security of the system and its resilience to cyberattacks, or 3) system scalability, understood as technical capability of processing an ever-increasing number of transactions within a given time (Di Silvestre et al., 2020). Another significant bottleneck is the lack of legal and regulatory compliance that would support the wider implementation of blockchains globally. Major concerns of politicians and legal authorities come from the

unknown and unresolved legal status of smart contracts as well as potential threats coming from cyberattacks and personal data leakage. Therefore, more focused effort is required to enhance the widespread diffusion of blockchains through technical improvements, education, and awareness-raising actions, as well as bottom-up initiatives that would put societal pressure on governments to introduce supportive regulatory frameworks for blockchain. This, in turn, causes a relatively low or even infancy stage of technological development of blockchains and a limited number of use cases across industries, which significantly hampers the swift and rapid establishment of solutions to these numerous problems, and in consequence, more extensive adoption of blockchain technology.

BLOCKCHAIN FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY AND THE IMPACT ON BUSINESS MODELS

Blockchain technology has gathered considerable attention across various industries. In the case of the energy market, blockchains have already contributed to the emerging concept called the Internet of Energy (IoE) (Miglani et al., 2020) that enables transparent, decentralized energy prosumer networks, including energy trading platforms (Hwang et al., 2017). There have been several successful applications of blockchain in the energy industry, where improvements provided by this technology fostered the energy transition and circular economy initiatives through e.g. novel solutions for electric e-mobility, energy democratization, P2P energy trading platforms, demand-response mechanisms, smart metering, smart grid management, automation of green certificates issuance and carbon trading, etc. (Andoni et al., 2019; Teufel et al., 2020; Juszczuk & Shahzad, 2022). In essence, as Wang and Su (2020) emphasized, blockchain can provide three major benefits for the energy sector, which are 1) decentralized energy trading and energy supply, 2) effective, automated control of energy and storage flows through smart contracts, and 3) secure record of all the business activities in the energy industry. Figure 2 highlights the possible contributions of blockchain to the development of the renewable energy industry.

- 
- 1) Smart metering/billing and security;
 - 2) decentralised energy trading;
 - 3) cryptocurrencies, energy tokens and investments;
 - 4) green certificates and carbon trading;
 - 5) Smart grid management;
 - 6) IoT, automation and asset management;
 - 7) electric transportation;
 - 8) circular economy.

Figure 2: Highlighted applications of blockchain within the RET industry. (Adapted from Juszczuk and Shahzad, 2022).

Next, the exemplifications of various applications of blockchain are discussed through the lens of their potential impact on future business models of RET companies. A holistic conceptual approach towards value generation, proposition, and capture serves to scrutinize the influence of blockchain on business models.

CONCLUSION

On a general level, the benefits of implementing blockchain can include significant cost savings due to faster transaction times, disintermediation, fewer record-keeping concerning customers due to distributed ledger technology, as well as enhanced data traceability and authentication. Through a decentralized technique that restricts a single central organization from monopolizing information, blockchain could foster the accomplishment of a more objective and equitable consensus. A blockchain-based business model has a decentralized structure, operates in a secure network, and is based on peer-to-peer transactions, which are the three main characteristics of blockchain technology. Adopting blockchains may cause companies to re-evaluate and/or reformulate their existing business models, which could boost their profitability, productivity, and efficiency (Bürer et al., 2019). By using blockchain, companies could significantly improve their forecasting, optimization, scheduling, planning, management, and resource allocation operations (Taherdoost & Madanchian, 2023). Through the application of blockchain, companies can perform sustainable business model innovation that could contribute to improvements in, *inter alia*, environmental management, supply chain and transaction costs reduction, investments and social entrepreneurship, or innovation and intellectual capital management (Calandra et al., 2022). Also, the usage of blockchain could attract new business partners such as information technology companies developing application programming interfaces (APIs) as well as software development kits (SDKs), and sustain transactional algorithms (Morkunas, Paschen & Boon, 2019).

When it comes to energy, and more specifically, RET industry that is decentralized in nature, distributed energy trading offers numerous benefits. First and foremost, **decentralized energy trading** based on peer-to-peer platforms allows customers more direct access to the ‘product’, drastically reduces (or in other words, revolutionizes) energy supply chains by removing the intermediaries, which has a huge potential significantly reduce the cost of this product, delivery time, and improve overall efficiency (Juszczuk & Shahzad, 2022). This all is ensured by **smart contracts**, which automate the verification and execution of energy transactions in a transparent, interoperable, and trustworthy way. Smart contracts can help in **smart grid management and enhancement of Internet of Things (IoT) solutions**, which can result in improvements in supply-demand balancing, adequate and automated billing through smart metering, grid asset management, or delivery system coordination (Mengelkamp et al., 2018). Moreover, customers are encouraged to play a more active role in the energy market, which creates the possibility to become energy prosumers (understood as the energy producers and consumers concurrently), form local energy communities (so-called energy

crowds (Teufel & Teufel, 2014)), and new markets allowing low-volume and limited-cost transactions, which were significantly restricted before. Such **prosumer-based energy markets**, particularly visible in the solar PV sector, can not only offer enhanced grid flexibility but also transparency about energy provenance and quality, which is ensured through the automation of green certificates and carbon trading issuance (Huijben et al., 2016). Such enhancements in societal awareness could lead to more intensified market competition as well as **energy democratization** (Brilliantova & Turner, 2019). Last, decentralized P2P energy trading platforms could form local microgrids, which again can lead to the generation of new revenue streams and the limitation of the cost of the product for end-customers (Andoni et al., 2019; Esmat et al., 2021).

Furthermore, as blockchain is mostly used in the financial sector, **energy cryptocurrencies and tokens** can serve as incentive mechanisms for more sustainable actions and green investments, which has the potential to influence current revenue streams, management of assets, and client interface. Additionally, blockchains seem to have natural applications in the area of **electric e-mobility**, which will require more efficient solutions improving, among others, charging speed and availability, user-friendliness, or on-demand car-sharing platforms. Especially in the field of fast and shared charging infrastructure blockchain has its most promising utilization scenario, as it provides transparency about the charging prices, facilitated payments through blockchain wallets, vehicle security and tamper-proof defense from cyberattacks, or a unique communication and validation universal platform allowing cross-border mobility.




Figure 3: Blockchain-based business models within the RET industry.

Lastly, blockchains can significantly contribute to sustainable and circular economy practices and thus, be among the key drivers of energy transition. Notably, blockchains could have a major impact on sustainable supply chain

management, e.g. through tracking mechanisms allowing to detect the origin of raw materials, location of production, means of storage, or providing transparent information about faulty or subnormal goods or even unethical labor practices (Saber et al., 2019; Shahzad, Juszczuk & Takala, 2022). In manufacturing, blockchains could help in spare parts tracking, inventory monitoring, or shipping automation, which could lead to significant improvements in cost- and time-efficiency of operational and repair activities. In the circular economy, blockchains could act as a social tool to foster waste reduction, reuse, and recycling through their capability of transparent, coordinated, and decentralized traceability of such operations (Upadhyay et al., 2021). This could result in the reconsideration of the concept of value creation and capture by considering a decentralized way of value generation and circulation (Narayan & Tidström, 2020).

Figure 3 summarizes the outcomes of this study based on Business Model Canvas (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010).

REFERENCES

- Andoni, M., Robu, V., Flynn, D., Abram, S., Geach, D., Jenkins, D.,... & Peacock, A. (2019). Blockchain technology in the energy sector: A systematic review of challenges and opportunities. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 100, 143–174.
- Bocken, N. M., Short, S. W., Rana, P., & Evans, S. (2014). A literature and practice review to develop sustainable business model archetypes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 65, 42–56.
- Brilliantova, V., & Thurner, T. W. (2019). Blockchain and the future of energy. *Technology in Society*, 57, 38–45.
- Bürer, M. J., de Lapparent, M., Pallotta, V., Capezzali, M., & Carpita, M. (2019). Use cases for blockchain in the energy industry opportunities of emerging business models and related risks. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 137, 106002.
- Calandra, D., Secinaro, S., Massaro, M., Dal Mas, F., & Bagnoli, C. (2022). The link between sustainable business models and Blockchain: A multiple case study approach. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 1-15.
- Casino, F., Dasaklis, T. K., & Patsakis, C. (2019). A systematic literature review of blockchain-based applications: Current status, classification and open issues. *Telematics and informatics*, 36, 55–81.
- Chesbrough, H. (2007). Business model innovation: it's not just about technology anymore. *Strategy and Leadership*, 35(6), 12–17.
- Cong, L. W., & He, Z. (2019). Blockchain disruption and smart contracts. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 32(5), 1754–1797.
- Di Silvestre, M. L., Gallo, P., Guerrero, J. M., Musca, R., Sanseverino, E. R., Sciumè, G.,... & Zizzo, G. (2020). Blockchain for power systems: Current trends and future applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 119, 109585.
- Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: the triple bottom line of 21st-century business. *Environmental Quality Management*, 8(1), 37–51.
- Esmat, A., de Vos, M., Ghiassi-Farrokhfal, Y., Palensky, P., & Epema, D. (2021). A novel decentralized platform for peer-to-peer energy trading market with blockchain technology. *Applied Energy*, 282, 116123.
- Geissdoerfer, M., Vladimirova, D., & Evans, S. (2018). Sustainable business model innovation: A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 198, 401–416.

- Hafeez, S., Juszczyk, O., & Takala, J. (2021). A Roadmap for successful IoT implementation: empirical evidence from the energy industry. *Issues in Information Systems*, 22(1), 92–113. https://doi.org/10.48009/1_iis_2021_92-113
- Huijben, J. C. C. M., Verbong, G. P. J., & Podoyntsyna, K. S. (2016). Mainstreaming solar: Stretching the regulatory regime through business model innovation. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 20, 1–15.
- Hwang, J., Choi, M. I., Lee, T., Jeon, S., Kim, S., Park, S., & Park, S. (2017). Energy prosumer business model using blockchain system to ensure transparency and safety. *Energy Procedia*, 141, 194–198.
- Joyce, A., & Paquin, R. L. (2016). The triple layered business model canvas: A tool to design more sustainable business models. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, 1474–1486.
- Juszczyk, O., Juszczyk, J., Juszczyk, S., & Takala, J. (2022). Barriers for renewable energy technologies diffusion: Empirical Evidence from Finland and Poland. *Energies*, 15(2), 527.
- Juszczyk, O.; Shahzad, K. (2022). Blockchain Technology for Renewable Energy: Principles, Applications and Prospects. *Energies*, 15, 4603.
- Lashkari, B., & Musilek, P. (2021). A comprehensive review of blockchain consensus mechanisms. *IEEE Access*, 9, 43620–43652.
- Mengelkamp, E., Notheisen, B., Beer, C., Dauer, D., & Weinhardt, C. (2018). A blockchain-based smart grid: towards sustainable local energy markets. *Computer Science-Research and Development*, 33(1), 207–214.
- Miglani, A., Kumar, N., Chamola, V., & Zeadally, S. (2020). Blockchain for Internet of Energy management: Review, solutions, and challenges. *Computer Communications*, 151, 395–418.
- Morkunas, V. J., Paschen, J., & Boon, E. (2019). How blockchain technologies impact your business model. *Business Horizons*, 62(3), 295–306.
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: a peer-to-peer electronic cash system. Available from: <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf> (accessed on 15.4.2023).
- Narayan, R., & Tidström, A. (2020). Tokenizing cooperation in a blockchain for a transition to circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 263, 121437.
- Nowiński, W., & Kozma, M. (2017). How can blockchain technology disrupt the existing business models?. *Entrepreneurial Business and Economics Review*, 5(3), 173–188.
- Osterwalder, A., & Pigneur, Y. (2010). *Business model generation: a handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers* (Vol. 1). John Wiley & Sons.
- Porter, M. E. & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, 89(1/2), 62–77.
- Saberi, S., Kouhizadeh, M., Sarkis, J., & Shen, L. (2019). Blockchain technology and its relationships to sustainable supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2117–2135.
- Shahzad, K. (2020). Blockchain and Organizational Characteristics: Towards Business Model Innovation. In: Markopoulos, E., Goonetilleke, R., Ho, A., Luximon, Y. (eds) *Advances in Creativity, Innovation, Entrepreneurship and Communication of Design*. AHFE 2020. *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing*, 1218. Springer, Cham.
- Shahzad, K., Juszczyk, O., & Takala, J. (2022). Innovative and Sustainable Logistics: Main Direction of Development. In *Sustainable Logistics* (pp. 3–27). Routledge.
- Taherdoost, H., & Madanchian, M. (2023). Blockchain-Based New Business Models: A Systematic Review. *Electronics*, 12(6), 1479.

- Teece, D. J. (2010). Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long Range Planning*, 43, 172–194.
- Teufel, B., Sentic, A., & Barmet, M. (2020). Blockchain energy: Blockchain in future energy systems. *Journal of Electronic Science and Technology*, 100011.
- Teufel, S., & Teufel, B. (2014). The crowd energy concept. *Journal of Electronic Science and Technology*, 12(3), 263–269.
- Tiscini, R., Testarmata, S., Ciaburri, M. and Ferrari, E. (2020). The blockchain as a sustainable business model innovation. *Management Decision*, 58(8), 1621–1642.
- Tongur, S., & Engwall, M. (2014). The business model dilemma of technology shifts. *Technovation*, 34(9), 525–535.
- Truby, J. (2018). Decarbonizing Bitcoin: Law and policy choices for reducing the energy consumption of Blockchain technologies and digital currencies. *Energy research & social science*, 44, 399–410.
- Tucker, C. (ed.) *Blockchain: The Insights You Need from Harvard Business Review*, HBR Insights Series, Harvard Business Press, 2019.
- Upadhyay, A., Mukhuty, S., Kumar, V., & Kazancoglu, Y. (2021). Blockchain technology and the circular economy: Implications for sustainability and social responsibility. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 126130.
- Wang, L., Shen, X., Li, J., Shao, J., & Yang, Y. (2019). Cryptographic primitives in blockchains. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 127, 43–58.
- Wang, Q., & Su, M. (2020). Integrating blockchain technology into the energy sector—from theory of blockchain to research and application of energy blockchain. *Computer Science Review*, 37, 100275.
- Zhou, Q., Huang, H., Zheng, Z., & Bian, J. (2020). Solutions to scalability of blockchain: A survey. *Ieee Access*, 8, 16440–16455.
- Zott, C., Amit, R. and Massa, L. (2011). The business model: recent developments and future research. *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 1019–1042.