

# PRIME DIVISORS OF THE NUMBER OF RATIONAL POINTS ON ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION

YU-RU LIU

## ABSTRACT

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve. For a prime  $p$  of good reduction, let  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  be the set of rational points defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Denote by  $\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p))$  the number of distinct prime divisors of  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . For an elliptic curve with complex multiplication, the normal order of  $\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p))$  is shown to be  $\log \log p$ . The normal order of the number of distinct prime factors of the exponent of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is also studied.

## 1. Introduction

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $\omega(n)$  to be the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ . The Turán theorem is concerned with the second moment of  $\omega(n)$  (see [14]); it states that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} (\omega(n) - \log \log x)^2 \ll x \log \log x.$$

This result implies a theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan [6], namely that

$$\#\{n \leq x \mid |\omega(n) - \log \log n| > \epsilon \log \log n\} = o(x).$$

In other words, the normal order of  $\omega(n)$  is  $\log \log n$ .

Instead of all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we consider only the set of primes. Since  $\omega(p) = 1$  for each prime  $p$ , the normal order of  $\omega(p)$  is not  $\log \log p$ . However, an analogue of the Turán theorem holds for  $\omega(p-1)$ . It was proved by Erdős [5] in 1935 that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} (\omega(p-1) - \log \log x)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log \log x,$$

where  $\pi(x)$  is the number of primes  $p \leq x$ . An immediate corollary of the Erdős theorem is that the normal order of  $\omega(p-1)$  is  $\log \log p$ .

Another ‘prime analogue’ of the Turán theorem which can be described as ‘non-abelian’ was discovered by Murty and Murty [12] in 1984. Assuming that the GRH (that is, the Riemann hypothesis for all Dedekind zeta functions of number fields) holds, they proved that

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \tau(p) \neq 0}} (\omega(\tau(p)) - \log \log x)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log \log x,$$

where  $\tau(p)$  is the Ramanujan  $\tau$ -function. Thus (conditionally) the normal order of  $\omega(\tau(p))$  is  $\log \log p$ . Their method is indeed applicable to a wider class of functions arising as Fourier coefficients of modular forms.

---

Received 3 March 2004, revised 12 August 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 11N37, 11G20.

Research partially supported by an NSERC discovery grant.

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For a prime  $p$  of good reduction, we denote by  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  the set of rational points defined over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It was proved by Miri and Murty [11] that if  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication (non-CM), assuming the GRH, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p: \text{good reduction}}} (\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) - \log \log x)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log \log x.$$

The same result was also obtained independently by the author in her Ph.D. thesis; see [8].

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the case of elliptic curves with complex multiplication (CM). We prove that the same result holds unconditionally.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a CM elliptic curve. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p: \text{good reduction}}} (\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) - \log \log x)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log \log x.$$

This theorem is the first ‘non-abelian’ prime analogue of the Turán theorem that can be proved unconditionally. The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 1.1.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *If  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  is a CM elliptic curve, then for a prime  $p$  of good reduction, the normal order of  $\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p))$  is  $\log \log p$ .*

It is well known that the group of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is isomorphic to

$$E(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/f_p\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{Z}/m_p\mathbb{Z}),$$

for unique integers  $f_p$  and  $m_p$  with  $m_p \mid f_p$ . The number  $f_p$  is called the *exponent* of  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and is the largest possible order of points on  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Since  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = f_p \cdot m_p$  and  $m_p \mid f_p$ , we have

$$\omega(f_p) = \omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)).$$

Hence, as a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and the result of Miri and Murty [11], the next statement holds.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve. We have (assuming that the GRH holds if  $E$  is non-CM)*

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p: \text{good reduction}}} (\omega(f_p) - \log \log x)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log \log x.$$

As usual, Theorem 1.3 implies a prime analogue of the Hardy–Ramanujan theorem, as follows.

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve, and  $p$  a prime of good reduction. We find (assuming that the GRH holds if  $E$  is non-CM) that the normal order of  $\omega(f_p)$  is  $\log \log p$ .*

2. Preliminaries

The most important ingredients in our proof are theorems of Bombieri and Vinogradov [1, 3, 15] and Wilson [16]. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$\pi(x, a, m) = \#\{p \leq x \mid p: \text{prime, } p \equiv a \pmod m\}.$$

We have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1** (Bombieri and Vinogradov [1, 3, 15]). *For any positive constant  $A$ , there exists a positive constant  $B$  such that*

$$\sum_{m \leq Z} \max_{(a,m)=1} \max_{y \leq x} \left| \pi(y, a, m) - \frac{\text{li } y}{\phi(m)} \right| \ll x(\log x)^{-A},$$

where  $Z = x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B}$  and  $\phi(m)$  is the Euler  $\phi$ -function.

An analogue of the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem in algebraic number fields has been proved by Wilson. Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be a number field of degree  $n_L$  with  $r_1$  real embeddings. Let  $\mathcal{O}_L$  be its ring of integers with the class number  $h$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  be ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_L$  and  $N(\mathfrak{m}) = |\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m}|$ . Define

$$\pi(x, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}) = \#\{N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x \mid \mathfrak{p}: \text{prime ideal, } \mathfrak{p} \sim \mathfrak{a} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}\},$$

where ‘ $\sim$ ’ denotes an equivalence relation for ideals, following Landau [7]. The order of the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -ideal class group  $h(\mathfrak{m})$  is equal to

$$h(\mathfrak{m}) = \frac{h2^{r_1}\phi(\mathfrak{m})}{T(\mathfrak{m})},$$

where  $\phi(\mathfrak{m})$  is the number of invertible residue classes (of elements in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ ) mod  $\mathfrak{m}$  (that is,  $\phi(\mathfrak{m}) = |(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{m})^*|$ ) and  $T(\mathfrak{m})$  is the number of residue classes mod  $\mathfrak{m}$  containing a unit. We have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2** (Wilson [16]). *For any positive constant  $A$ , there exists a positive constant  $B$  such that*

$$\sum_{N(\mathfrak{m}) \leq Z} \max_{(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m})=1} \max_{y \leq x} \frac{1}{T(\mathfrak{m})} \left| \pi(y, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}) - \frac{\text{li } y}{h(\mathfrak{m})} \right| \ll x(\log x)^{-A},$$

where  $Z = x^{1/(n_L+1)}(\log x)^{-B}$ .

We also need a result of Mertens, in connection with Dirichlet’s work on primes in an arithmetic progression.

**THEOREM 2.3** (Mertens [10]; see also [3, Chapter 7]).

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod m}} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \log \log x + O(1).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by a quadratic imaginary field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring

of integers of  $K$ . For a prime  $p$  of good reduction,  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the set of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points of  $E$ . We use the notation  $\sum'$  for the sum over primes of good reduction.

We consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum'_{p \leq x} (\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) - \log \log x)^2 \\ &= \sum'_{p \leq x} \omega^2(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) - 2 \log \log x \sum'_{p \leq x} \omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) + (\log \log x)^2 \sum'_{p \leq x} 1. \end{aligned}$$

The third term above is

$$\pi(x)(\log \log x)^2 + O((\log \log x)^2).$$

Let  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  (a choice of  $\delta$  will be made later). The sum in the second term can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum'_{p \leq x} \omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) &= \sum'_{p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ l \leq x^\delta}} 1 + \sum'_{p \leq x} \sum_{\substack{l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ l > x^\delta}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x \\ l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1 + O(\pi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds since  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) \leq (p + 2\sqrt{p} + 1) \leq 3x$ .

We now estimate the quantity

$$\sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x \\ l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1.$$

We divide the primes  $p$  into two cases:  $p$  is *supersingular* (ss), or  $p$  is *ordinary* (ord). Notice that  $p$  is supersingular if and only if  $p$  is ramified or inert in  $K$ ; see [4]. Since there are only finitely many primes ramified in  $K$ , it suffices to consider only primes that are inert in  $K$ . This corresponds to the case where the Legendre symbol  $(\frac{-D}{p}) = -1$  if  $p$  is odd [9]. Moreover,  $p$  is a supersingular prime if and only if  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$ ; see [13]. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r_l} \in (\mathbb{Z}/lD\mathbb{Z})^*$  be such that  $a_i \equiv -1 \pmod{l}$  and  $(\frac{-D}{a_i}) = -1$ . Applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ ss} \\ l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1 &= \sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{r_l} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a_i \pmod{lD}}} 1 + O(x^\delta) \\ &= \sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{r_l} \pi(x, a_i, lD) + O(x^\delta) \\ &= \sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \frac{r_l}{\phi(lD)} \text{li } x + O(x(\log x)^{-A}), \end{aligned}$$

for any positive constant  $A$ , provided that  $\delta < 1/2$ . Notice that  $r_l/\phi(lD) = 1/2(l - 1)$ . We have

$$\sum_{l \leq x^\delta} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ ss} \\ l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1 = \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) \log \log x + O(\pi(x)).$$

Now we consider ordinary primes  $p$  of good reduction. Let  $\pi_p$  and  $\bar{\pi}_p$  be roots of  $x^2 - a_p x + p$ , where  $a_p = (p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p))$ . We have [2, Lemma 5.1.2]

$$\mathbb{Q}(\pi_p) = K.$$

Since there are only finitely many primes  $l$  ramified in  $K$ , we consider  $l$  in only the following two cases:  $l$  is inert or  $l$  is split. We consider first the primes  $l$  that are inert in  $K$ . Let  $(l)$  be the ideal  $l\mathcal{O}_K$ . Since

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = (\pi_p - 1)(\bar{\pi}_p - 1),$$

$l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  implies that  $\pi_p \equiv 1 \pmod{(l)}$ . Notice see there are at most six units in  $K$ . By Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{l \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ inert}}} \sum'_{p \leq x, \text{ ord } l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)} 1 &\ll \sum_{\substack{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(l) = l^2 \leq x^{2\delta} \\ l: \text{ inert}}} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ ord} \\ (\pi_p) \sim (1) \pmod{l}}} 1 \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(l) = l^2 \leq x^{2\delta} \\ l: \text{ inert}}} \frac{\text{li } x}{h((l))} + O(x(\log x)^{-A}), \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $2\delta < \frac{1}{3}$ . Since  $K$  has class number 1 and  $r_1 = 0$ , we have

$$h((l)) \geq \frac{\phi(l^2)}{6}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{l \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ inert}}} \sum'_{p \leq x, \text{ ord } l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)} 1 \ll \pi(x).$$

Now we consider

$$\sum_{\substack{l \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ split}}} \sum'_{p \leq x, \text{ ord } l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)} 1.$$

For  $l$  split, we write  $(l) = \mathfrak{l}_1 \mathfrak{l}_2$ . Hence  $l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  implies that

$$\pi_p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}_1} \quad \text{or} \quad \pi_p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}_2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{l \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ split}}} \sum'_{p \leq x, \text{ ord } l \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)} 1 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(l) \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ split}}} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ ord} \\ \pi_p \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(l) \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ split}}} \frac{1}{T(l)} \sum'_{\substack{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}((\pi_p)) = p \leq x, \text{ ord} \\ (\pi_p) \sim (1) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}}} 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(l) \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{ split}}} \frac{1}{\phi(l)} \text{li } x + O(x(\log x)^{-A}). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Theorem 2.2, provided that  $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$ .

Since  $(l) = l_1 l_2$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{l \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{split}}} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ord} \\ l_1 l_2 | \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1 &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \sum_{\substack{l \leq x^\delta \\ l: \text{split}}} \frac{1}{\phi(l)} \text{li } x + O(x(\log x)^{-A}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) \log \log x + O(\pi(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from Theorem 2.3, combined with the fact that an odd prime  $l$  splits if and only if the Legendre symbol  $(\frac{-D}{l}) = 1$  (see [4]). Combine all the above calculations. Choosing  $\delta = 1/7$ , we obtain

$$\sum'_{p \leq x} \omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) = \pi(x) \log \log x + O(\pi(x)).$$

Using the same arguments as above, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2 \leq x^\delta \\ l_1 \neq l_2}} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ss} \\ l_1 l_2 | \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1 = \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) (\log \log x)^2 + O(\pi(x) \log \log x)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2 \leq x^\delta \\ l_1 \neq l_2}} \sum'_{\substack{p \leq x, \text{ord} \\ l_1 l_2 | \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)}} 1 = \frac{1}{2} \pi(x) (\log \log x)^2 + O(\pi(x) \log \log x),$$

provided that  $4\delta < \frac{1}{3}$ . Choosing  $\delta = \frac{1}{13}$ , it follows that

$$\sum'_{p \leq x} \omega^2(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) = \pi(x) (\log \log x)^2 + O(\pi(x) \log \log x).$$

Combining all the above results, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p: \text{good reduction}}} (\omega(\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)) - \log \log x)^2 \ll \pi(x) \log \log x.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Acknowledgements.* This paper is part of my PhD thesis [8]. I would like to thank my thesis advisor, Prof. B. Mazur, for many important comments about my work. I would also like to thank Prof. R. Murty for many useful discussions during the completion of this paper. Finally, I wish to express my gratitude to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

### References

1. E. BOMBIERI, ‘On the large sieve’, *Mathematika* 12 (1965) 201–225.
2. A. C. COJOCARU, ‘Cyclicity of elliptic curves modulo  $p$ ’, Ph.D. thesis, Queen’s University, Canada, 2002.
3. H. DAVENPORT, *Multiplicative number theory* (Springer, 2000).
4. M. DEURING, ‘Die typen der Multiplikatorringe elliptischer Funktionenkörper’, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hansischen Univ.* 14 (1941) 197–272.
5. P. ERDŐS, ‘On the normal order of prime factors of  $p-1$  and some related problems concerning Euler’s  $\phi$ -functions’, *Q. J. Math. (Oxford)* 6 (1935) 205–213.
6. G. H. HARDY and S. RAMANUJAN, ‘The normal number of prime factors of a number  $n$ ’, *Quart. J. Pure. Appl. Math.* 48 (1917) 76–97.
7. E. LANDAU, ‘Über Ideale und Primideale in Idealklassen’, *Math. Zeit.* 2 (1918) 52–154.

8. Y.-R. LIU, 'Generalizations of the Turán and the Erdős–Kac theorems', Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard, 2003.
9. D. A. MARCUS, *Number fields* (Springer, 1977) 74–75.
10. F. MERTENS, 'Ein Beitrag zur analytischen Zahlentheorie', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 78 (1874) 46–62.
11. S. A. MIRI and V. K. MURTY, 'An application of sieve methods to elliptic curves', *Progress in Cryptology – INDOCRYPT*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 2247 (Springer, Berlin, 2001) 91–98.
12. M. R. MURTY and V. K. MURTY, 'Prime divisors of Fourier coefficients of modular forms', *Duke Math. J.* 51 (1984) 57–76.
13. J. H. SILVERMAN, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. 106 (Springer, 1986) 179.
14. P. TURÁN, 'On a theorem of Hardy and Ramanujan', *J. London Math. Soc.* 9 (1934) 274–276.
15. A. I. VINOGRADOV, 'On the density hypothesis for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions', *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math.* 29 (1965) 903–934; 30 (1966) 719–720.
16. R. J. WILSON, 'The large sieve in algebraic number fields', *Mathematika* 16 (1969) 189–204.

Yu-Ru Liu  
Department of Pure Mathematics  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, ON  
Canada N2L 3G1

yrliu@math.uwaterloo.ca