

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

SARS-CoV-2 infection following booster vaccination

Citation for published version:

Antonelli, M, Penfold, RS, Canas, LDS, Sudre, C, Rjoob, K, Murray, B, Molteni, E, Kerfoot, E, Cheetham, N, Pujol, JC, Polidori, L, May, A, Wolf, J, Modat, M, Spector, T, Hammers, A, Ourselin, S & Steves, C 2023, 'SARS-CoV-2 infection following booster vaccination: Illness and symptom profile in a prospective, observational community-based case-control study', *Journal of Infection*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2023.08.009

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.jinf.2023.08.009

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Journal of Infection

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Infection



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jinf

SARS-CoV-2 infection following booster vaccination: Illness and symptom profile in a prospective, observational community-based case-control study

Michela Antonelli ^{a,1}, Rose S. Penfold ^{b,c,1}, Liane Dos Santos Canas ^a, Carole Sudre ^{a,e,f}, Khaled Rjoob ^f, Ben Murray ^a, Erika Molteni ^a, Eric Kerfoot ^a, Nathan Cheetham ^c, Juan Capdevila Pujol ^g, Lorenzo Polidori ^g, Anna May ^g, Jonathan Wolf ^g, Marc Modat ^a, Tim Spector ^c, Alexander Hammers ^{a,h}, Sebastien Ourselin ^{a,2}, Claire Steves ^{c,d,*,2}

^a School of Biomedical Engineering & Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

^b Ageing and Health Research Group, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

^c Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, UK

^d Department of Ageing and Health, Guys and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

^e MRC Unit for Lifelong Health and Ageing at UCL, University College London, London, UK

^f Centre for Medical Image Computing, University College London, London, UK

^gZoe Global, London, UK

h King's College London & Guy's and St Thomas' PET Centre, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 22 August 2023 Available online xxxx

Keywords: COVID-19 Vaccination Omicron Infection Hospitalisation Break-through infection Long COVID Booster vaccination Disease severity

SUMMARY

Background: Booster COVID-19 vaccines have shown efficacy in clinical trials and effectiveness in real-world data against symptomatic and severe illness. However, some people still become infected with SARS-CoV-2 following a third (booster) vaccination. This study describes the characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 illness following a third vaccination and assesses the risk of progression to symptomatic disease in SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals with time since vaccination.

Methods: This prospective, community-based, case-control study used data from UK-based, adult (\geq 18 years) users of the COVID Symptom Study mobile application, self-reporting a first positive COVID-19 test between June 1, 2021 and April 1, 2022. To describe the characteristics of SARS-CoV-2 illness following a third vaccination, we selected cases and controls who had received a third and second dose of monovalent vaccination against COVID-19, respectively, and reported a first positive SARS-CoV-2 test at least 7 days after most recent vaccination. Cases and controls were matched (1:1) based on age, sex, BMI, time between first vaccination and infection, and week of testing. We used logistic regression models (adjusted for age, sex, BMI, level of social deprivation and frailty) to analyse associations of disease severity, overall disease duration, and individual symptoms with booster vaccination status. To assess for potential waning of vaccine effectiveness, we compared disease severity, duration, and symptom profiles of individuals infected between 3 and 4, 4–5, and 5–6 months, for both third and second dose. All analyses were stratified by time period, based on the predominant SARS-CoV-2 variant at time of infection (Delta: June 1, 2021–27 Nov, 2021; Omicron: 20 Dec, 2021-Apr 1, 2022).

Findings: During the study period, 50,162 (Delta period) and 162,041 (Omicron) participants reported a positive SARS-CoV-2 test. During the Delta period, infection following three vaccination doses was associated with lower odds of long COVID (symptoms≥ 4 weeks) (OR=0.83, Cl[0.50–1.36], p < 0.0001), hospitalisation (OR=0.55, Cl[0.39–0.75], p < 0.0001) and severe symptoms (OR=0.36, Cl[0.27–0.49], p < 0.0001), and higher odds of asymptomatic infection (OR=3.45, Cl[2.86–4.16], p < 0.0001), compared to infection following only two vaccination doses. During the Omicron period, infection following three vaccination

* Correspondence to: Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, St Thomas' Campus, Lambeth Palace Road, London, SE1 7EH, UK. *E-mail address*: Claire.j.steves@kcl.ac.uk (C. Steves).

¹ Joint 1st authorship.

² Joint last authorship.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2023.08.009

0163-4453/© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The British Infection Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article as: M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al., SARS-CoV-2 infection following booster vaccination: Illness and symptom profile in a prospective, observational community-based case-control study, Journal of Infection, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2023.08.009

Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

doses was associated with lower odds of severe symptoms (OR=0.48, CI[0.42–0.55], p < 0.0001). During the Delta period, infected individuals were less likely to report almost all individual symptoms after a third vaccination. During the Omicron period, individuals were less likely to report most symptoms after a third vaccination, except for upper respiratory symptoms e.g. sneezing (OR=1.40, CI[1.18–1.35], p < 0.0001), runny nose (OR=1.26, CI[1.18–1.35], p < 0.0001), sore throat (OR=1.17, CI[1.10–1.25], p < 0.0001), and hoarse voice (OR=1.13, CI[1.06–1.21], p < 0.0001), which were more likely to be reported. There was evidence of reduced vaccine effectiveness during both Delta and Omicron periods in those infected more than 3 months after their most recent vaccination, with increased reporting of severe symptoms, long duration illness, and most individual symptoms.

Interpretation: This study suggests that a third dose of monovalent vaccine may reduce symptoms, severity and duration of SARS-CoV-2 infection following vaccination. For Omicron variants, the third vaccination appears to reduce overall symptom burden but may increase upper respiratory symptoms, potentially due to immunological priming. There is evidence of waning vaccine effectiveness against progression to symptomatic and severe disease and long COVID after three months. Our findings support ongoing booster vaccination promotion amongst individuals at high risk from COVID-19, to reduce severe symptoms and duration of illness, and health system burden. Disseminating knowledge on expected symptoms following booster vaccination may encourage vaccine uptake.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of The British Infection Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Booster vaccination remains a key strategy in response to the ongoing challenges posed by COVID-19. National UK government guidance at the time of writing suggests that a seasonal booster should be offered to certain persons, including older adults and those in defined clinical risk groups.¹ The aim of the national vaccination programme remains to reduce severe disease including hospitalisation and mortality and to prevent overburden of the National Health Service.

Several efficacy studies have demonstrated increased immunogenicity against SARS-CoV-2, including Omicron variants, following a booster vaccine.^{2–6} These in vitro findings are supported by real-world effectiveness studies, which have shown a lower risk of both asymptomatic and symptomatic infection with Omicron variants following booster vaccination.⁷⁸ Lower infection rates may translate to lower healthcare utilisation, and a study across nine US states demonstrated that bivalent vaccines administered after at least 2 monovalent vaccine doses reduced COVID-19 related emergency attendances during the Omicron period.⁹

Nonetheless, some people still become infected with SARS-CoV-2 following three or more vaccine doses. A study of over one million older adults from US Veteran Health Administration facilities conducted across Delta and Omicron-predominant periods reported an incidence of breakthrough COVID-19 of 125.0 per 10, 000 persons following a booster vaccine, although notably the incidence of hospitalisation or death was reported as low (8.9 per 10, 000 persons).¹⁰ To our knowledge, no studies have analysed the symptom profile of individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 following three or more vaccine doses and there is little evidence on whether a third vaccine dose reduces the risk of prolonged symptoms ("long COVID"). In a previous study using data from over one million COVID Symptom Study participants, we described the characteristics of illness following the primary COVID-19 vaccination course, compared to illness in unvaccinated individuals.¹¹ Vaccination was associated with a reduction in severe illness, and reduction in long-duration symptoms following the second vaccine dose. Almost all individual symptoms were less frequently reported in individuals infected post-vaccination, who were also more likely to be asymptomatic. The exception was sneezing, which was more common in infected individuals after the first vaccine dose. It remains unclear whether a third vaccine confers further protective effects against severe, symptomatic, and long duration disease.

Furthermore, accumulating evidence suggests a waning of vaccine effectiveness against symptomatic and severe illness with time

since vaccination. Real-world population studies have suggested that efficacy of the primary vaccination course against severe illness (including hospitalisation and death) may wane from around six months following second vaccination.^{12,13} There is evidence that a booster may restore the protective effects of vaccination, with reductions in rates of infection, severe disease and hospitalisation which persist for several months following booster vaccination.^{14–18} In a retrospective case control study of > 30,000 participants aged over 65 years, Patalon et al. observed a significant waning in the relative protection of the BNT162b2 booster vaccine against the Omicron variant, from 53.4% one month after vaccination to 16.5% three months after vaccination.¹⁹ The authors suggested that a further booster dose may be needed to restore immunity in older adults. However, little is known about whether there is a waning of vaccine effectiveness against long duration illness (long COVID). This is important both for individual prognostication and to forecast longer-term healthcare utilisation.

Elucidating disease severity, duration and symptom profiles in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 after booster vaccination has clinical importance, facilitating identification of groups to target for ongoing vaccination promotion efforts and intervention, and to forecast medical resource requirements.

This study aimed to:

- 1. Evaluate markers of illness severity and duration and assess symptom profile in individuals reporting SARS-CoV-2 infection after their third ("booster") vaccination dose, compared to those reporting infection following two vaccine doses;
- 2. Investigate any change in the effectiveness of vaccination over time in reducing severity, symptoms and duration of illness related to SARS-CoV-2 infection following both booster and second vaccine doses.

Methods

Study design and participants

This prospective, community-based, case-control study used data from UK-based, adult (\geq 18 years) participants of the COVID Symptom Study logged through a free smartphone app developed by Zoe (London, UK) and King's College London (London, UK). The app was launched in the UK on March 24, 2020.²⁰ At registration, each participant reported baseline demographic information (e.g., age, sex, ethnicity, whether healthcare worker), geographic location, and information on health risk factors including comorbidities, lifestyle,

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

frailty, and visits to hospital. Participants were encouraged to selfreport any pre-specified symptoms daily, providing prospective, longitudinal information on incident symptoms. All users were prompted to record any COVID-19 testing results (whether tests were provided via the app or from other sources), and any COVID-19 vaccine(s) and subsequent symptoms.

Inclusion criteria for the study population were: 1) age \geq 18 years; 2) living in the UK; 3) second or third vaccine dose received after June 1, 2021; 4) a positive reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) or lateral flow antigen test (LFAT) reported at least seven days after vaccination (in the case of multiple positive test results, only the first was selected). We excluded frontline healthcare workers (3.2% 7493/234,951 users) due to differences in vaccination schedule and propensity to test as a result of occupational exposure to SARS-CoV-2.

We considered only positive tests reported after June 1, 2021, when the Delta variant was predominant in the UK (prevalence > 70% of reported infections) and up to April 1, 2022, when the UK government stopped providing coronavirus tests free-of-charge to the general public. Each included participant was at least seven days post-vaccination and had at least 12 weeks of symptom reporting following the positive test. The data census for symptom reporting was June 24, 2022, to allow each user at least 12 weeks of reporting.

To analyse the effect of booster vaccination on post-vaccination infection we compared disease severity, duration, and individual symptoms in users reporting a positive SARS-CoV-2 test at least seven days after a third vaccine dose (cases) to those reporting a positive test at least seven days after a second dose (controls). Controls were matched 1:1 with cases by a Euclidean-based algorithm based on age, sex, Body Mass Index (BMI), time elapsed between the first vaccination and the infection, and test week, a method used in our previously published study.^{11,21}

The following were assessed as indicators of disease severity: (i) self-reported hospitalisation; (ii) reported acute, functionally limiting ("severe") symptoms (two or more of fever, shortness of breath, and severe fatigue), and (iii) asymptomatic infection. For assessment of disease duration, we analysed associations of booster vaccination with reported symptoms lasting both \geq 4 weeks, and \geq 12 weeks. In analyses of symptom duration, we considered only users who logged using the app at least once per week for 4 weeks or longer after reporting a positive COVID-19 test.

For symptom profile analysis, symptoms reported between 3 days before and up to 14 days after the positive test date were considered, reflecting the acute phase of the disease. This window was used because it might have taken up to 3 days to request a RT-PCR test and receive a result following symptom onset, and symptoms can occur up to 14 days following SARS-CoV-2 exposure.^{11,22} The full list of symptoms that can be reported using the app is shown in Supplementary Table 1. Symptoms were only included if they had a reported prevalence of more than 5% in the study population.

To assess for any waning of VE, we considered as a reference group participants infected within 3 months, and compared their disease severity, duration, and symptom profiles with participants infected between 3 and 4, 4 and 5, and 5 and 6 months following vaccination, for both third and second vaccine doses. We chose as the reference group individuals with Time Since Vaccination (TSV) < 3 months as antibody levels have been demonstrated to significantly reduce by 3 months after 3rd vaccination.^{23,24} Cases and controls were matched through a Euclidean-based algorithm based on age, sex, BMI, and test week.^{11,21}

We stratified all analyses into two time periods according to when Delta and Omicron variants of COVID-19 were predominant (>70%): 1st June 2021–27th November 2021 (Delta) and 20th December 2021–1st April 2022 (Omicron).

Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

Statistical analyses

Data were extracted and preprocessed using ExeTera13, a Python library developed at KCL, and openly available on GitHub.²⁵ Statistical analyses used Python 3.7 and the packages numpy v1.19.2, pandas v1.1.3, scipy 1.5.2, and statsmodels v0.12.1.

Disease severity, duration and symptom profile following booster versus second vaccine dose

To assess the effect of booster vaccination on disease severity, duration and symptom profile, we used univariate logistic regression models adjusted for age, sex, BMI, Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD), and frailty. IMD is an area-based measure of relative deprivation. Frailty was assessed by the PRISMA7 questionnaire embedded in the app and classified as a binary variable (PRISMA7 \geq 3 = frail; PRISMA7 < 3 = not frail).^{26,27} For disease severity, we considered as outcomes the odds of self-reported: 1) hospitalisation; 2) reporting acute, functionally limiting symptoms, defined as two or more of: fever, shortness of breath, and fatigue during the first 14 days, and 3) reporting no symptoms (asymptomatic). For duration, we considered as outcomes the presence of symptoms reported for \geq 4 weeks and \geq 12 weeks (post-COVID-19 syndrome). For symptom profile analysis, the outcome was the presence of each individual symptom.

Waning of vaccine effect

To assess for potential waning of VE against symptomatic or severe infection, we used the univariate logistic regression models as described above, comparing disease severity, duration and symptom profiles of individuals testing positive within 3 months of the most recent vaccination (reference group) to profiles of individuals infected between 3 and 4, 4–5, and 5–6 months.

Ethical approval

All app users provided informed consent for data usage for COVID-19-related research. In the UK, the app and study were approved by King's College London's (KCL) ethics committee (REMAS no. 18210, reference LRS-19/20–18210).

Role of the funding source

Funders had no role in the design, analysis, or interpretation of the data. Zoe Global, funded in part by the Department of Health and Social Care, made the app available for data collection as a not-forprofit endeavour.

Results

During the Delta and Omicron periods, 50,162 and 162,041 users reported a first positive test for SARS-CoV-2, respectively. Of these, 1910 (Delta period) and 154,057 (Omicron period) participants reported a positive test at least 7 days after the third vaccination dose, and 48,252 (Delta period) and 7984 (Omicron period) reported a first positive test at least 7 days after the second vaccination. These differences are explained by the timing of Omicron relative to the booster vaccination programme. Table 1 shows the demographic characteristics of cases and controls after 1:1 matching. During both periods, the majority of users were female (around 60%) and lived in areas with a greater level of social deprivation. For the Omicron period, controls were significantly younger (p < 0.0001), and there was a significant difference in the number of people with frailty (higher amongst controls). There was no significant difference in the

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

Table 1

Demographics and comorbidities of cases and controls for disease severity, duration and symptom profile analysis.

		Cases: users testing positive after 3rd dose			Matched controls		
		Total	18-59 years	60+ years	Total	18–59 years	60+ years
	Delta	1910	633	1277	1910	633	1277
	Omicron	7984	6214	1770	7641	5961	1680
Baseline characteristics							
Female n(%)/	Delta	1089 (57.0)	459 (72.5)	630 (49.3)	1110 (58.2)⁼	477 (70.5)=	634 (51.4)=
Male n(%)		821 (43.0)	174 (27.5)	647 (50.7)	799 (41.8)	156 (29.5)	643 (48.6)
	Omicron	4850 (60.7)	3836 (61.7)	1014 (57.3)	4646 (58.2)*	3623 (58.3)	1023 (57.8)=
		3134 (39.3)	2378 (38.3)	756 (42.7)	2995 (41.8)	2338 (42.7)*	657 (42.2)
Age (SD)	Delta	64.0 (12.8)	49.2 (7.9)	71.4 (7.0)	63.7 (12.9)=	48.5 (7.7)⁼	71.2 (6.9)*
	Omicron	45.5 (16.3)	38.9 (11.3)	68.9 (7.0)	44.3 (17.7)*	37.3 (13.0)*	68.9 (7.0)=
BMI (SD)	Delta	26.8 (6.5)	27.1 (6.8)	26.7 (6.3)	26.8 (6.4)=	27.1 (6.7)	26.6 (6.3)*
	Omicron	25.6 (6.3)	25.3 (6.2)	26.5 (6.4)	25.3 (6.6)*	24.9 (6.6)*	26.4 (6.5)=
Comorbidities							
Cancer n (%)	Delta	59 (3.1)	8 (1.3)	51 (4.0)	42 (2.2)=	5 (0.8)=	37 (2.9)=
	Omicron	55 (0.7)	18 (0.3)	37 (2.1)	47 (0.6)=	10 (0.2)=	37 (2.1)⁼
Diabetes n (%)	Delta	87 (4.6)	21 (3.3)	66 (5.2)	109 (5.7)=	25 (3.9)=	84 (6.6)=
	Omicron	125 (1.6)	54 (0.9)	71 (4.0)	123 (1.5)=	43 (0.7)=	80 (4.5)=
Lung disease n (%)	Delta	241 (12.6)	88 (13.9)	153 (12.0)	208 (10.9)	80 (12.6)=	128 (10.0)
	Omicron	644 (8.1)	493 (7.9)	151 (8.5)	587 (7.4)=	428 (6.9)*	159 (9.0)
Heart disease n (%)	Delta	137 (7.2)	13 (2.1)	124 (9.7)	133 (7.0)	6 (0.9)=	127 (9.9)
	Omicron	142 (1.8)	27 (0.4)	115 (6.5)	150 (1.9)	31 (0.5)	119 (6.7)
Kidney disease n (%)	Delta	25 (1.3)	6 (0.9)	19 (1.5)	28 (1.5)⁼	7 (1.1)⁼	21 (1.6)=
	Omicron	42 (0.5)	22 (0.4)	20 (1.1)	41 (0.5)=	12 (0.2)=	29 (1.6)
Asthma n (%)	Delta	286 (15.0)	117 (18.5)	169 (13.2)	250 (13.1)⁼	98 (15.5)⁼	152 (11.9)⁼
	Omicron	951 (11.9)	763 (12.3)	188 (10.6)	917 (11.5)	706 (11.4)⁼	211 (11.9)
Frailty n (%)	Delta	156 (8.2)	34 (5.4)	122 (9.6)	136 (7.1)⁼	20 (3.2)=	116 (9.1)
	Omicron	193 (2.4)	82 (1.3)	111 (6.3)	279 (3.5)*	125 (2.0)*	154 (8.7)*
Presence of at least one comorbidity	Delta	556 (29.1)	165 (26.1)	391 (30.6)	531 (27.8)	141 (22.3)	390 (30.5)
	Omicron	1282 (16.1)	881 (14.2)	401 (22.7)	1255 (15.7)	820 (13.2)=	435 (24.6)=
Level of Social Deprivation							
IMD [1–3] n (%)	Delta	182 (9.5)	75 (11.8)	107 (8.4)	176 (9.2)=	77 (12.2)=	99 (7.8)=
	Omicron	1003 (12.6)	854 (13.7)	149 (8.4)	1177 (14.7)*	974 (15.7)*	203 (11.5)*
IMD [4–7] n (%)	Delta	697 (36.5)	247 (39.0)	450 (35.2)	762 (39.9)*	265 (41.9)=	497 (38.9)=
	Omicron	3131 (39.2)	2439 (39.3)	692 (39.1)	2965 (37.1)*	2309 (37.2)*	656 (37.1)=
IMD [8–10] n (%)	Delta	938 (49.1)	275 (43.4)	663 (51.9)	851 (44.6)*	253 (40.0)=	598 (46.8)*
	Omicron	3397 (42.5)	2558 (41.2)	839 (47.4)	3253 (40.7)*	2450 (39.4)*	803 (45.4)"

BMI=Body mass index; SD=Standard deviation; IMD=Index of Multiple Deprivation; IMD[1-3] indicates high social deprivation, IMD[4-7] intermediate, IMD[8-10] low; age is in years; comorbidity status=at least one comorbidity; for age and BMI the mean and standard deviation are provided, and for categorical variables the absolute value and percentages (%).*/= Indicates statistically significant/nostatistically significant difference when compared to the control population (Fisher's p < 0.05).

prevalence of individual comorbidities or at least one comorbidity between the two groups.

Disease severity, duration and symptom profile following booster versus second vaccine dose

Before matching, during both Delta and Omicron periods, there was a lower proportion of individuals hospitalised, reporting severe symptoms (two or more of shortness of breath, fever and severe fatigue), and with duration of symptoms ≥ 12 weeks amongst individuals infected after 3 doses versus after 2 doses. During the Delta period, there was a substantially higher proportion of asymptomatic infections and a lower proportion of individuals with long COVID amongst cases versus controls. During the Omicron period, the proportion of individuals with asymptomatic infections and long COVID was similar amongst cases and controls, although a significantly higher proportion reported severe symptoms (Fig. 1).

In univariate matched case-control analyses adjusted for age, BMI, sex, frailty, and IMD, during the Delta period, there was a lower likelihood of self-reported hospitalisation (OR=0.55, CI [0.39–0.75], p-value < 0.0001), severe symptoms (OR=0.36, CI [0.27–0.49], p-value < 0.0001) and long illness duration (\geq 4 weeks) (OR=0.56, CI[0.44–0.70], p-value < 0.0001), and a higher likelihood of asymptomatic infection (OR=3.45, CI[2.86–4.16], p-value < 0.0001) in cases versus controls (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table 2). During the Omicron period, there were lower odds of severe symptoms, (OR=0.48 CI[0.42–0.55], p-value < 0.0001), but no difference in other indicators of disease severity in infection following



Fig. 1. Proportion (%) of positive individuals in each time period with each severity outcome, stratified by dose and predominant variant.

a third vaccine dose (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 3). The same results were obtained when the analysis was stratified by age group (Supplementary Fig. 1).

During both periods, the most prevalent symptoms (reported by more than 50% of infected participants) were runny nose, headache, fatigue, and sneezing. During the Delta period, the proportion of infected individuals reporting almost all individual symptoms following a third vaccine was lower than following the second dose. During the Omicron period, the proportion of individuals reporting most symptoms was lower following a third vaccine, except for runny nose, sneezing, sore throat, and hoarse voice, which were more frequently reported (Fig. 3).



Fig. 2. Odds ratio of asymptomatic infection, duration of symptoms \geq 4 weeks, duration of symptoms \geq 12 weeks, severe condition (two out of three among severe shortness of breath, fatigue, and fever), and hospitalisation in app participants following booster vaccination vs 2nd dose, adjusted by age, BMI, sex, frailty, and IMD, stratified by variant.

In the univariate matched case-control analysis of symptoms, adjusted for age, BMI, sex, frailty, and IMD, during the Delta period there was a significantly lower likelihood of all individual self-reported symptoms, with ORs ranging from 0.36 and 0.75 (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Table 4). In contrast, during the Omicron period, 18 out of 28 symptoms were significantly less frequently self-reported in individuals vaccinated with 3rd dose. Upper respiratory symptoms were significantly more likely to be self-reported during the Omicron period in individuals vaccinated with 3rd dose (runny nose: OR=1.26, CI [1.18–1.69], p < 0.0001; sore throat: OR=1.17 CI[1.10–1.25, p < 0.0001; sneezing OR=1.40, CI[1.32–1.50], p < 0.0001; hoarse voice: OR=1.13, CI= [1.06–1.21], p < 0.000) (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Table 5). Results were consistent when stratified by age group (see Supplementary Fig. 2).

Waning of vaccine effect

During the Delta period, there was a significantly higher odds of symptoms lasting 4 or more weeks (from 1.17 to 1.40) and a decrease in

the odds of asymptomatic infection (from 0.83 to 0.65) with increasing TSV. There was no difference in symptom severity with TSV > 4 months (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Table 6). For the Omicron period, there was a clear waning of VE against severe symptoms beyond 6 months (increase in OR of severe symptoms from 1.29 to 1.61), while for asymptomatic infection and illness duration \geq 4 weeks there was only a significant waning of VE up to 5 months (decrease in OR from 0.71 to 0.56 for asymptomatic infection and increase from 1.28 and 1.35 for symptoms \geq 4 weeks). There were no significant associations with other indicators of disease severity (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Table 7).

Regarding symptom profile, during both Delta and Omicron periods, there was a clear waning of VE for almost all individual symptoms (Fig. 6, Supplementary Tables 8 and 9). This was more evident during the Omicron period, although during this period no further waning of VE was observed when compared to 4 < =TSV < =5 months and 5 < =TSV < =6 months.

For both analyses, the same results were obtained when stratified by age group (see Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4).



Fig. 3. Heat map of proportion of infected participants (symptomatic and asymptomatic) reporting each symptom following 2nd and 3rd vaccination doses, stratified by variant.

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Fig. 4. Odds Ratio of individual symptoms in individuals vaccinated with the third dose versus individuals vaccinated with the second dose adjusted by age, BMI, sex, frailty, and IMD for the (a) Delta period and (b) Omicron period.

Discussion

We present data on 155,967 and 56,236 community-based adults in the UK with reported test-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection after a third (booster) or second vaccination, respectively. During the period when Delta was the predominant COVID-19 variant in the UK, a third vaccination conferred reductions in disease severity and duration, and a higher proportion of infected individuals reporting asymptomatic illness. The observed protective effect of booster vaccination against long COVID is supported by findings from our previous study of over one million COVID Symptom Study participants, where long duration illness was reduced following a second vaccination dose, at a time when the Delta variant was predominant in the UK.¹¹ In the current study, protective effects of booster vaccination were also apparent during the Omicron period, with a significant reduction in acute, functionally limiting symptoms, although not in hospitalisation rate. The latter finding contrasts with a finding from a recent US-based study, which reported that booster vaccines were effective in reducing COVID-19-associated Emergency Department and Ur-Care encounters and hospitalisations amongst imgent munocompetent adults, during a period when Omicron sublineages accounted for the majority of sequenced viral genomes in the US.⁹ Notably, the latter study looked at effects of bivalent mRNA vaccines, which were not approved as booster vaccines in the UK until August 2022.

This study adds to existing evidence on the symptom profile of infection following three or more vaccinations. During the period when Delta was the most prevalent variant, following a third vaccination dose, infected individuals were less likely to report almost all individual symptoms, compared with those infected following only two vaccine doses. This is supported by findings from our previous study using COVID Symptom Study app data, which demonstrated lower rates of individual symptom reporting in vaccinated versus unvaccinated individuals.¹¹ Also in keeping with our findings, a study of 1199 US essential and frontline workers demonstrated that recent vaccination with three mRNA vaccine doses was associated with attenuated symptoms and duration of illness.²⁸ In the current study, during the Omicron period there was a reduction in symptoms commonly reported during the earlier stages of pandemic, such as fever and cough, as well as fatigue and headache and a reduction in fever and chills following third dose vaccination was also observed in the study of US frontline workers.²⁸ This is important since these symptoms have previously been shown to be predictive of more severe disease.²⁹

During the Omicron period, there was increased reporting of certain "upper respiratory" symptoms in infected individuals following a third dose, including sneezing, runny nose, and hoarse voice. This is consistent with findings from our previous study, which found a higher reporting of sneezing following a second vaccine dose.¹¹ This observation is also supported by a recent nationwide study, which found higher reporting of certain symptoms including runny nose and sore throat in fully vaccinated versus unvaccinated individuals,³⁰ as well as findings from a pre-print Japanese registry-based observational study which found that upper respiratory tract symptom burden was increased following vaccination.³¹ In that study, vaccination mitigated overall systemic symptoms and risk of severe disease, in keeping with our findings. One potential explanation for this could be "immune priming". Vaccines work by priming the immune system, meaning that should the pathogen be encountered naturally, the immune system is able to react more quickly and effectively. Although upper respiratory symptoms have seldom been described in any studies looking at immune priming following vaccination, they are well documented in inflammatory conditions such as allergic rhinitis.³¹

During both Delta and Omicron periods, there was a trend towards waning of VE, with increased symptom reporting with time

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Fig. 5. Odds ratio of asymptomatic infection, duration of symptoms \geq 4 weeks, severe condition (two out of three among severe shortness of breath, fatigue, and fever), and hospitalisation in individuals vaccinated with second dose during Delta period (a) and third dose during the Omicron period (b) and infected within the first 3 months from vaccination versus individuals vaccinated with the second dose and infected within 3–4, 4–5, and 5–6 months from vaccination adjusted by age, BMI, sex, frailty, and IMD.

since vaccination following both second and third vaccine doses. This observation is supported by in vitro studies measuring antibody levels and immunogenicity against COVID-19 following two or three doses of vaccine and by meta-analyses showing reduced effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and symptomatic COVID-19 over time, although protection against severe disease remained high.^{5,23,33,34} This study adds to existing evidence by suggesting waning of VE against symptomatic illness, and also against long duration illness (symptoms lasting ≥ 4 weeks). Of note, the proportion of infected individuals reporting ongoing symptoms ≥ 12 weeks was much lower than symptoms ≥ 4 weeks, and there were differences in trends in waning of vaccination protection against symptomatic illness with time since vaccination during Omicron between these groups. This supports the suggestion that ongoing symptomatic COVID-19 (4-12 weeks) and post-COVID-19 syndrome (≥12 weeks) may capture different disease states and highlights the need for ongoing standardisation of definitions used for long COVID in clinical practice and research.³¹

Ongoing surveillance of predominant COVID-19 variants and effectiveness of current vaccines against emerging variants remain vital components of the coordinated international response to the ongoing challenges posed by COVID-19. Future research should consider effects of further booster vaccinations (such as the seasonal autumn booster programme) using bivalent vaccines approved in the UK and other comparator settings, on risk of infection, indicators of disease severity, and symptom profile.

Strengths and limitations

This study has several strengths. The mobile application data collection method facilitates collection of daily prospective information on a comprehensive set of symptoms, permitting analysis of both individual symptoms and overall illness duration (although note that necessary data censoring could have underestimated symptom duration for both cases and controls, as some individuals only had 2 weeks of logging after their positive test result). The matching of cases and controls on time since vaccination and timing of the post-vaccination test reduced the potential for bias, although small differences between the groups remained on some matched variables. We acknowledge potential differences in logging by vaccinated individuals or those undertaking regular COVID-19 testing during the study period (for example, if required for work). Access to testing is a potential source of bias, although this was mitigated by only including reported infections up until April 1st, 2022, after which free tests were no longer universally available in the UK.

Our study has some limitations. We were unable to include an unvaccinated control group for comparison, as there were very few unvaccinated individuals enroled at the time of the study. Our findings might not apply at all timepoints post-vaccination, to countries with different recommended vaccination types and schedules, or settings with different proportions of SARS-CoV-2 variants. Additionally, the data were self-reported; recording of comorbidities, test results, and vaccination status might not have been

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Fig. 6. Odds Ratio of individual symptoms in individuals vaccinated with the second dose during the Delta period (a) and third dose during the Omicron period (b) and infected within the first 3 months from vaccination versus individuals vaccinated with the second dose and infected within 3–4, 4–5, and 5–6 months from vaccination adjusted by age, BMI, sex, frailty, and IMD.

completely accurate and there might have been temporal gaps in reporting. We cannot exclude potential bias in self-reporting due to vaccination status - boosted individuals may have been less likely to report severe symptoms if they felt "protected" from the booster vaccination. Users of the COVID Symptom Study app are asked to log daily; therefore, if a participant reports on alternate days, the proportion of missing daily entries is 50%. However, given the typical duration of COVID-19 symptoms, the sampling frequencies in the COVID Symptom Study should have allowed good characterisation of infections. Only participants with at least 12 weeks of symptom reporting following the positive test were included; individuals with ongoing symptoms \geq 12 weeks may have been affected by the long period of reporting required, and the size of the affected population underestimated. We only looked at waning of the protective effects of vaccination up to 6 months, as the number of participants providing data after this period was limited.

Conclusions

This study suggests that a third monovalent vaccine dose reduces disease severity, duration and symptom burden in individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2 following vaccination. Effects were more apparent during the Delta period, although disease severity was reduced during the Omicron period. Upper respiratory symptoms were more prevalent during the Omicron period, possibly due to immunological priming. Vaccine effectiveness waned after 3 months following most recent vaccination (for both third and second doses), with greater illness severity, duration, and symptom burden. Findings support ongoing efforts to promote booster vaccination, to reduce both illness severity amongst individuals at high-risk from COVID-19 and longer-term burden on health systems. Sharing knowledge on common symptoms of infection following booster vaccination is important to encourage informed vaccine uptake. Surveillance of emerging COVID variants and vaccine effectiveness remains vital in the coordinated international response to the ongoing challenges posed by COVID-19.

Funding

This work is supported by UK Department of Health via the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) award to Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London and King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and via a grant to ZOE Global; the Wellcome Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) Centre for Medical Engineering at King's College London (WT 203148/Z/16/Z). Investigators also received support from the Chronic Disease Research Foundation, the Medical Research Council (MRC) [MR/R016372/1], NIHR [COV-LT-0009], British Heart Foundation, the UK Research and Innovation London Medical Imaging & Artificial Intelligence Centre for Value Based Healthcare, the Wellcome Flagship Programme (WT213038/Z/18/Z and Alzheimer's Society (AS-JF-17-011), and the Massachusetts Consortium on Pathogen Readiness (MassCPR). RSP is a fellow on the Multimorbidity Doctoral Training Programme for Health Professionals, which is supported by the Wellcome Trust [223499/Z/ 21/Z].

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: CS has consulted for Zoe Ltd on the design of the Zoe Health Study. TS is a founder of Zoe Ltd.

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

Acknowledgements

Zoe Global provided in-kind support for all aspects of building, running and supporting the app and service to all users worldwide. This work is supported by the UK Department of Health via the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) comprehensive Biomedical Research Centre award to Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with King's College London and King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, and a grant to ZOE Globals, as well as by the Wellcome EPSRC Centre for Medical Engineering at King's College London (WT 203148/Z/16/Z). This work was further supported by the UK Research and Innovation London Medical Imaging & Artificial Intelligence Centre for Value-Based Healthcare. Investigators also received support from the Wellcome Trust, Medical Research Council (MRC), British Heart Foundation (BHF), Alzheimer's Society, European Union, NIHR, Chronic Disease Research Foundation (CDRF) and the NIHR-funded BioResource, Clinical Research Facility and Biomedical Research Centre (BRC) based at GSTT NHS Foundation Trust in partnership with KCL. SO was supported by the French government, through the 3IA Côte d'Azur Investments in the Future project managed by the National Research Agency (ANR) with the reference number ANR-19-P3IA-0002. ATC was supported by a Stuart and Suzanne Steele MGH Research Scholar Award and by the Massachusetts Consortium on Pathogen Readiness (MassCPR) and M. Schwartz and L. Schwartz. JM was partially supported by the European Commission Horizon 2020 programme (H2020-MSCA-IF-2015-703787). EM was supported by an MRC Skills Development Fellowship [MR/R016372/1].

Author statement

All authors listed were responsible for aspects of study design, data collection and analysis and writing of the manuscript and meet criteria for authorship. CJS an MA conceived study, and designed the analysis plan. MA conducted data analysis. BM produced the data cleaning script for the app dataset. RSP conducted background literature search and review. MA and CJS verified the underlying data. RSP and MA drafted initial versions of the manuscript. All authors contributed to and reviewed the final submitted manuscript.

Declaration of interests

JW, AM, LP, CH, SS, and JC report being employees of ZOE Global during the conduct of the study. JM reports grants from European Commission and National Institutes of Health, and that he served as a co-investigator on an unrelated nutrition trial sponsored by ZOE Global. ATC reports grants from Massachusetts Consortium on Pathogen Readiness during the conduct of the study, and personal fees from Bayer Pharma, Pfizer, and Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. DAD reports grants from National Institutes of Health (NIH), Massachusetts Consortium on Pathogen Readiness, and American Gastroenterological Association, during the conduct of the study, and that he served as a co-investigator on an unrelated nutrition trial sponsored by ZOE Global. LHN reports grants from the National Institutes of Health, American Gastroenterological Association, and Crohn's and Colitis Foundation. NJC reports support from a NIHR grant during the conduct of the study. CHS reports grants from Alzheimer's Society during the conduct of the study. EM reports a grant from MRC during the conduct of the study. CJS reports grants from CDRF, MRC, and Wellcome Trust, during the conduct of the study. SO reports grants from Wellcome Trust, UK Research and Innovation (UKRI), and CDRF, during the conduct of the study. TDS and CJS report being a consultant for ZOE Global, during the conduct of the study. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2023.08.009.

References

- Department of Health and Social Care. JCVI statement on the COVID-19 vaccination programme for 2023: 8 november 2022; 2023. [Updated 27 january 2023.; cited 2023 April 19]. Available from: https://www.gov.uk/government/ publications/covid-19-vaccination-programme-for-2023-jcvi-interim-advice-8november-2022/jcvi-statement-on-the-covid-19-vaccination-programme-for-2023-8-november-2022.
- Cheetham NJ, Kibble M, Wong A, Silverwood RJ, Knuppel A, Williams DM, et al. Antibody levels following vaccination against SARS-CoV-2: associations with postvaccination infection and risk factors in two UK longitudinal studies. elife 2023;12:e80428.
- Lee J, Lee DG, Jung J, Ryu JH, Shin S, Cho SY, et al. Comprehensive assessment of SARS-CoV-2 antibodies against various antigenic epitopes after naive COVID-19 infection and vaccination (BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 nCoV-19). Front Immunol 2022;13:1038712.
- Cheng SMS, Mok CKP, Leung YWY, Ng SS, Chan KCK, Ko FW, et al. Neutralizing antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant BA.1 following homologous and heterologous CoronaVac or BNT162b2 vaccination. Nat Med 2022;28(3):486–9.
- Zhou R, Liu N, Li X, Peng Q, Yiu CK, Huang H, et al. Three-dose vaccination-induced immune responses protect against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2: a population-based study in Hong Kong. Lancet Reg Health West Pac 2022;32:100660.
- Gruell H, Vanshylla K, Tober-Lau P, Hillus D, Schommers P, Lehmann C, et al. mRNA booster immunization elicits potent neutralizing serum activity against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. Nat Med 2022;28(3):477–80.
- Glatman-Freedman A, Bromberg M, Hershkovitz Y, Sefty H, Kaufman Z, Dichtiar R, et al. Effectiveness of BNT162b2 vaccine booster against SARS-CoV-2 infection and breakthrough complications, Israel. Emerg Infect Dis 2022;28(5):948–56.
- Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Sachdeva R, Gower C, et al. Effectiveness of COVID-19 booster vaccines against COVID-19-related symptoms, hospitalization and death in England. Nat Med 2022;28(4):831–7.
- Tenforde MW, Weber ZA, Natarajan K, Klein NP, Kharbanda AB, Stenehjem E, et al. Early estimates of bivalent mRNA vaccine effectiveness in preventing COVID-19-associated emergency department or urgent care encounters and hospitalizations among immunocompetent adults - VISION Network, Nine States, September-November 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2022;71(5152):1616–24.
- Kelly JD, Leonard S, Hoggatt KJ, Boscardin WJ, Lum EN, Moss-Vazquez TA, et al. Incidence of Severe COVID-19 illness following vaccination and booster with BNT162b2, mRNA-1273, and Ad26.COV2.S vaccines. JAMA 2022;328(14): 1427-37.
- Antonelli M, Penfold RS, Merino J, Sudre CH, Molteni E, Berry S, et al. Risk factors and disease profile of post-vaccination SARS-CoV-2 infection in UK users of the COVID Symptom Study app: a prospective, community-based, nested, case-control study. Lancet Infect Dis 2022;22(1):43–55.
- Horne EMF, Hulme WJ, Keogh RH, Palmer TM, Williamson EJ, Parker EPK, et al. Waning effectiveness of BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 covid-19 vaccines over six months since second dose: OpenSAFELY cohort study using linked electronic health records. BMJ 2022;378:e071249.
- Wei Y, Jia KM, Zhao S, Hung CT, Mok CKP, Poon PKM, et al. Estimation of vaccine effectiveness of CoronaVac and BNT162b2 against severe outcomes over time among patients with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron. JAMA Netw Open 2023;6(2):e2254777.
- Andrews N, Stowe J, Kirsebom F, Toffa S, Rickeard T, Gallagher E, et al. Covid-19 vaccine effectiveness against the Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant. N Engl J Med 2022;386(16):1532–46.
- Menni C, May A, Polidori L, Louca P, Wolf J, Capdevila J, et al. COVID-19 vaccine waning and effectiveness and side-effects of boosters: a prospective community study from the ZOE COVID Study. Lancet Infect Dis 2022;22(7):1002–10.
- 16. Link-Gelles R, Levy ME, Natarajan K, Reese SE, Naleway AL, Grannis SJ, et al. Estimation of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine effectiveness and COVID-19 illness and severity by vaccination status during Omicron BA.4 and BA.5 sublineage periods. JAMA Netw Open 2023;6(3):e232598.
- Cerqueira-Silva T, Shah SA, Robertson C, Sanchez M, Katikireddi SV, de Araujo Oliveira V, et al. Effectiveness of mRNA boosters after homologous primary series with BNT162b2 or ChAdOx1 against symptomatic infection and severe COVID-19 in Brazil and Scotland: a test-negative design case-control study. PLoS Med 2023;20(1):e1004156.
- Brazete C, Brazete J, Alves F, Aguiar A, Gonçalves AM, Cardoso M, et al. COVID-19 vaccines effectiveness against symptomatic disease and severe outcomes, 2021-2022: a test-negative case-control study. Public Health 2023;218:84–91.
- Patalon T, Saciuk Y, Peretz A, Perez G, Lurie Y, Maor Y, et al. Waning effectiveness of the third dose of the BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. Nat Commun 2022;13(1):3203.

M. Antonelli, R.S. Penfold, L.D.S. Canas et al.

Journal of Infection xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

- Menni C, Valdes AM, Freidin MB, Sudre CH, Nguyen LH, Drew DA, et al. Real-time tracking of self-reported symptoms to predict potential COVID-19. Nat Med 2020;26(7):1037–40.
- Spiel C, Lapka D, Gradinger P, Zodlhofer EM, Reimann R, Schober B, et al. A Euclidean distance-based matching procedure for nonrandomized comparison studies. Eur Psychol 2008;13(3):180–7.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Symptoms of COVID-19 Updated Oct 26, 2022. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/ symptoms-testing/symptoms.html.
- 23. Cheetham NJ, Kibble M, Wong A, Silverwood RJ, Knuppel A, Williams DM, et al. Antibody levels following vaccination against SARS-CoV-2: associations with postvaccination infection and risk factors. medRxiv 2022;12:e80428.
- 24. Ferdinands JM, Rao S, Dixon BE, Mitchell PK, DeSilva MB, Irving SA, et al. Waning of vaccine effectiveness against moderate and severe covid-19 among adults in the US from the VISION network: test negative, case-control study. BMJ 2022;379:e072141.
- Murray B, Kerfoot E, Chen L, Deng J, Graham MS, Sudre CH. Accessible data curation and analytics for international-scale citizen science datasets. Sci Data 2021;8:297.
- 26. Zazzara MB, Penfold RS, Roberts AL, Lee KA, Dooley H, Sudre CH, et al. Probable delirium is a presenting symptom of COVID-19 in frail, older adults: a cohort study of 322 hospitalised and 535 community-based older adults. Age Ageing 2021;50(1): 40–8.
- Raîche M, Hébert R, Dubois M-F. PRISMA-7: a case-finding tool to identify older adults with moderate to severe disabilities. Arch Gerontol Geriatr 2008;47(1):9–18.

- Thompson MG, Yoon SK, Naleway AL, Meece J, Fabrizio TP, Caban-Martinez AJ, et al. Association of mRNA vaccination with clinical and virologic features of COVID-19 among US essential and frontline workers. JAMA 2022;328(15):1523–33.
- Sudre CH, Lee KA, Lochlainn MN, Varsavsky T, Murray B, Graham MS, et al. Symptom clusters in COVID-19: a potential clinical prediction tool from the COVID Symptom Study app. Sci Adv 2021;7(12).
- Spiliopoulos, Sørensen AIV L, Bager P, Nielsen NM, Hansen JV, Koch A, et al. Postacute symptoms four months after SARS-CoV-2 infection during the Omicron period: a nationwide Danish questionnaire study. medRxiv 2022. 2022.10.12.22280990.
- Nakakubo S, Kishida N, Okuda K, Kamada K, Iwama M, Suzuki M, et al. Associations of COVID-19 Symptoms with Omicron Subvariants BA.2 and BA.5, host status, and clinical outcomes: a registry-based observational study in Sapporo, Japan. medRxiv 2023;7:2023.
- 32. Eifan AO, Durham SR. Pathogenesis of rhinitis. Clin Exp Allergy 2016;46(9): 1139–51.
- 33. Ssentongo P, Ssentongo AE, Voleti N, Groff D, Sun A, Ba DM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccine effectiveness against infection, symptomatic and severe COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Infect Dis 2022;22(1):439.
- 34. Feikin DR, Higdon MM, Abu-Raddad LJ, Andrews N, Araos R, Goldberg Y, et al. Duration of effectiveness of vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease: results of a systematic review and meta-regression. Lancet 2022;399(10328): 924–44.
- Shah W, Heightman M, O'Brien S. UK guidelines for managing long-term effects of COVID-19. Lancet 2021;397(10286):1706.