



Policy Brief: Waste Management

DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10020855>

Document Version

Final published version

[Link to publication record in Manchester Research Explorer](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kortsen, K., O'Hare, P., Arp, H. P., Nøklebye, E., & Shaver, M. (2023). *Policy Brief: Waste Management*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10020855>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on Manchester Research Explorer is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Proof version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Explorer are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Takedown policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please refer to the University of Manchester's Takedown Procedures [<http://man.ac.uk/04Y6Bo>] or contact uml.scholarlycommunications@manchester.ac.uk providing relevant details, so we can investigate your claim.



Corresponding authors: Kristoffer Kortsen (University of Manchester, UK), Patrick O'Hare (University of St Andrews, UK).
kristoffer.kortsen@manchester.ac.uk; po35@st-andrews.ac.uk

As of 2015, approximately 6.3 billion metric tons (Mt) of plastic waste had been generated, around 12% of which had been incinerated, 79% accumulated in landfills or the natural environment, and just 9% recycled.¹ The OECD estimates that under a business-as-usual scenario, global plastic waste will grow to over 1 billion Mt annually by 2060.² Reducing production and consumption of plastics should be prioritised, but comprehensive waste management must also be ensured for plastics at their end-of-life. A cohesive design, use, and post-use management strategy will be needed. Potential outcomes for plastic waste must be coordinated in a safe, environmentally sound, and circular system to keep plastics in their highest value state for as long as possible. This requires complementary forms of recycling, enabled by plastics designed for circularity, including its chemical composition, with improved collection and sorting for appropriate treatment. This policy brief draws on scientific evidence to set out the principles needed in an environmentally sound plastic waste management and recycling system.

Waste Management

- Reduce, Reuse, Recycle (3R) and Zero Waste Hierarchy principles prioritise reducing production/consumption of materials, then re-using objects, followed by improving recycling efforts. All three are needed in concert.²⁻⁶
- There is no 'one size fits all' recycling system for waste plastics. The various potential end-of-life fates will have different environmental, economic, health, and climate impacts and energy demands.⁷
- Safe, sustainable, and essential plastic waste management must be based on a hierarchy of end-of-life choices, aiming to maximise circularity. The negative impacts of landfill, incineration, and waste-to-energy fates are incompatible with sustainability goals and must be avoided wherever possible.^{5,8-11}
- Export of plastic waste as recyclate, primarily from high-income to low-income countries, is widespread, due to lower labour costs and health, safety and environment standards in receiving countries.¹² While the 2019 Basel Convention plastic waste amendments intended to prohibit such exports, many will continue.¹³ This poses environmental/socio-economic risks through pollution, mismanagement, and transport.^{14,15}
- Undisclosed or unclear composition of waste negatively affects waste management outcomes. Segregated plastic waste is often collected but not recycled due to cross material/polymer contamination and insufficient sorting.^{2,4,16}
- Some bio-based plastics have a lower carbon footprint than fossil fuel-based plastics, but end-of-life treatment options can be unclear, unsuitable, unsustainable, unsafe, or even negatively affect existing recycling systems.¹⁷⁻²⁰

Waste collection and sorting

- Regardless of treatment or disposal route, consistent collection of segregated waste is needed to minimise pollution and mismanagement. To tackle sources of pollution, priority should be given to communities, particularly in low and middle-income countries, that are underserved or unserved in waste collection.²¹
- Sorting for reuse is the most direct way to ensure circularity and is preferred over recycling. Packaging design, (eco)labelling regulations, and pricing/deposit schemes can be used to enable reuse systems.²²
- Waste pickers play a key role in the collection and sorting process, recovering up to 60% of plastic waste recycled globally. They are a key player in low to middle-income countries as well as in some more developed nations.^{23,24}
- High-quality sorting will always be required for safer and more sustainable recycling.²⁵ Contamination and mixing of plastic types, especially in household waste, are significant barriers to value retention that must be minimised.²⁶
- Multi-materials and chemicals, including POPs and additives, reduce the recyclability and sortability of plastics.^{27,28}
- Recycling of food-grade material is challenging due to the dangers of contamination and inconsistent sorting.^{26,27}
- Emerging technologies, such as digital watermarking, AI sorting, or solvent washing could reduce contamination and improve sorting outcomes but require more evidence to show they work at scale.²⁹⁻³²

Post-use plastics treatment routes

Mechanical recycling

- Mechanical recycling (including processes such as grinding, washing, separating, drying, re-granulating, and compounding) is currently the only widely adopted, large-scale recycling method for plastics.³³

Scientists' Coalition Policy Brief: Waste Management

- It is the environmentally favoured recycling route for most plastics when properly sorted, but environmental impact is dependent on polymer type, contamination, and degradation from repeated recycling.^{7,30,34,35}
- Recycling products into longer life applications can be beneficial when this reduces dependence on virgin feedstock or diverts products from landfill and incineration but can also result in secondary pollution (e.g. through the release of microplastics, an emerging concern as a by-product of mechanical recycling).³⁶
- Designing products for recycling is essential to improve mechanical recycling rates and avoid additives or applications that can negatively affect recycling outcomes and human and planetary health.²⁷

Chemical recycling

- Chemical recycling is an umbrella term covering several technologies with different environmental consequences. Safe and sustainable **Plastic-to-plastic** chemical recycling can complement mechanical recycling and contribute positively to a sustainable plastics waste management system. It should not be a primary end-of-life goal but be a recovery option for materials which are not suitable for mechanical recycling.^{11,37-39} **Plastic-to-fuel** chemical recycling equates to delayed incineration of fossil fuels, producing excessive greenhouse gases and pollution. It is not circular and less environmental harm occurs through residual waste energy recovery in cement kilns.^{5,11,39} **Plastic-to-energy**, from pyrolysis or energy-from-waste systems, is equivalent to burning fossil fuels, is more polluting than electricity from coal, and must be avoided within a safe and sustainable system.^{11,39}
- Chemical recycling requires high-purity waste streams and is sensitive to contamination. Mixed plastics chemical recycling is energy-intensive, polluting, and environmentally unfavourable.^{5,33}
- Depolymerisation of polymers to monomers is mainly suitable for polymers with C-X bonds in the chain, such as polyesters, polycarbonates, or polyamides. It is not suitable for polyolefins that only contain C-C bonds.³⁵
- Polyolefins are converted to plastic precursors or other chemicals through thermal decomposition under energy-intensive, extreme conditions, often at very low efficiency.¹¹ Green technological progress is needed before this can become a viable backup to other recycling methods.³⁹⁻⁴¹
- Decomposition to plastic precursors and decomposition to fuel are technologically identical, opening the door to hidden plastic-to-fuel systems, which are incompatible with the Zero Waste Hierarchy.^{7,11,39,42,43}
- Biological recycling, the degradation of plastic waste by enzymes or microbes, has the potential to contribute to a sustainable waste management system, but a lack of technological readiness and safety criteria is a hurdle.^{42,44,45}

Landfilling

- Landfilling remains a common waste disposal method for plastics, particularly for unrecyclable and contaminated plastics and where no segregated collection systems are in place.⁴⁶
- Landfills can be placed on a spectrum between sanitary landfills (lined, covered daily, methane and leachates are captured) and unsanitary landfills (unlined, uncovered, susceptible to fire). Unsanitary landfills and open-burning endanger people who live and work near where material is being openly burned, often in low and middle-income countries
- Unlined active and former landfills are a significant source of plastic and microplastic pollution.⁴⁷
- The closure of open dumps can amplify the socio-economic precarity of workers whose livelihoods depend on the waste in open landfills.⁴⁸ Plans to upgrade or close open landfills should consider the knowledge and expertise of waste pickers in a participatory process towards safe and sustainable reuse and recycling within a just transition.⁴⁹

Open-burning

- Up to 1 billion Mt of solid waste is openly burned worldwide each year.⁵⁰ Open-burning of plastic waste produces greenhouse gases and a range of potentially toxic emissions, including microplastics, which endanger people who live and work near where material is being openly burned, often in low and middle-income countries.⁵¹
- Waste might be burnt because communities lack other disposal methods, to prevent landfills reaching capacity, or to extract valuable materials such as metals. While this is clearly the least desirable disposal route, research shows that prohibition is insufficient without complementary measures and technology transfer on mutually agreed terms that offer communities and local authorities alternative, safe, and sustainable ways of disposing of plastic waste.⁵¹

How can the plastics treaty address waste management and recycling?

Waste management is intertwined with all proposed obligations of the plastics treaty. A clear push for sustainable design of plastic products as well as reuse and recycling infrastructure is needed to minimise unsafe, unsustainable, and non-essential production and resulting pollution while enabling a safer and more sustainable circular economy for plastics. An overreliance on a single technology must be avoided and a range of systems are needed to cope with the plastics problem, prioritising the reduction of plastic production and consumption of plastics while redesigning for circularity. This requires localised solutions and support for local capacity building. The safety and unintended consequences of post-use plastics and waste management systems should also be considered when negotiating the plastics treaty.

Contributors

This briefing was prepared by members of the Scientists' Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty

Please cite this as: Scientists' Coalition for an Effective Plastics Treaty (2023) Waste Management. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10020855

Authors: Kristoffer Kortsen (co-chair) (University of Manchester, UK), Patrick O'Hare (co-chair) (University of St Andrews, UK), Hans Peter Arp (NTNU, Norway), Emmy Nøklebye (Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Norway), Michael P. Shaver (University of Manchester, UK)

Reviewers: Daniel Akrofi (University of Lincoln, UK), Erika Iveth Cedillo-González (Università degli Studi di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy), Marie-France Dignac (INRAE, France), Jean-François Ghiglione (CNRS, France), Karin Kvale (GNS Science, New Zealand), Morag Nixon (University of Nottingham, UK), Gauri Pathak (Aarhus University, Denmark), Andrew N. Rollinson (Blushful Earth, UK), Jeffrey Seay (University of Kentucky, USA), Vilde Kloster Snekkevik (Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Norway), Peter J. Stoett (Ontario Tech University, Canada), Neil Tangri (Global Alliance for Incinerator Alternatives, USA), Tony R. Walker (Dalhousie University, Canada)



References

- 1 Geyer, R. *et al.* Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances* **3**, e1700782, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782> (2017).
- 2 OECD. Global Plastics Outlook: Economic Drivers, Environmental Impacts and Policy Options. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1787/aa1edf33-en> (Paris, 2022).
- 3 Sakai, S.-i. *et al.* International comparative study of 3R and waste management policy developments. *Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management* **13**, 86-102, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10163-011-0009-x> (2011).
- 4 Ellen MacArthur Foundation. *Plastics and the circular economy*, <<https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/plastics-and-the-circular-economy-deep-dive>> (2023).
- 5 Bachmann, M. *et al.* Towards circular plastics within planetary boundaries. *Nature Sustainability* **6**, 599-610, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-01054-9> (2023).
- 6 Simon, J. M. A zero waste hierarchy for Europe, <<https://zerowasteurope.eu/2019/05/a-zero-waste-hierarchy-for-europe/>> (2019).
- 7 Ragaert, K. *et al.* Mechanical and chemical recycling of solid plastic waste. *Waste Management* **69**, 24-58, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.07.044> (2017).
- 8 Stegmann, P. *et al.* Plastic futures and their CO2 emissions. *Nature* **612**, 272-276, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05422-5> (2022).
- 9 Zheng, J. & Suh, S. Strategies to reduce the global carbon footprint of plastics. *Nature Climate Change* **9**, 374-378, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0459-z> (2019).
- 10 Kortsen, K. *et al.* A plastics hierarchy of fates: sustainable choices for a circular future. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14664*, doi:<https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.14664v1> (2023).
- 11 Meys, R. *et al.* Towards a circular economy for plastic packaging wastes – the environmental potential of chemical recycling. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **162**, 105010, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105010> (2020).
- 12 Gregson, N. & Crang, M. From Waste to Resource: The Trade in Wastes and Global Recycling Economies. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources* **40**, 151-176, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102014-021105> (2015).
- 13 Raubenheimer, K. & McIlgorm, A. Can the Basel and Stockholm Conventions provide a global framework to reduce the impact of marine plastic litter? *Marine Policy* **96**, 285-290, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2018.01.013> (2018).
- 14 Wen, Z. *et al.* China's plastic import ban increases prospects of environmental impact mitigation of plastic waste trade flow worldwide. *Nature Communications* **12**, 425, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20741-9> (2021).
- 15 Antonopoulos, I. *et al.* Recycling of post-consumer plastic packaging waste in the EU: Recovery rates, material flows, and barriers. *Waste Management* **126**, 694-705, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.04.002> (2021).
- 16 European Commission & Directorate-General for Communication. Circular economy action plan : for a cleaner and more competitive Europe. doi:<https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2779/05068> (Publications Office of the European Union, 2020).
- 17 Rosenboom, J.-G. *et al.* Bioplastics for a circular economy. *Nature Reviews Materials* **7**, 117-137, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-021-00407-8> (2022).
- 18 Rossi, V. *et al.* Life cycle assessment of end-of-life options for two biodegradable packaging materials: sound application of the European waste hierarchy. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **86**, 132-145, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.08.049> (2015).

Scientists' Coalition Policy Brief: Waste Management

- 19 Purkiss, D. *et al.* The Big Compost Experiment: Using citizen science to assess the impact and effectiveness of biodegradable and compostable plastics in UK home composting. *Frontiers in Sustainability* **3**, doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/frsus.2022.942724> (2022).
- 20 Dedieu, I. *et al.* The thermo-mechanical recyclability potential of biodegradable biopolyesters: Perspectives and limits for food packaging application. *Polymer Testing* **111**, 107620, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polymeresting.2022.107620> (2022).
- 21 UN-Habitat & NIVA. Leaving no one behind – How a global instrument to end plastic pollution can enable a just transition for the people informally collecting and recovering waste. doi:<https://unhabitat.org/leaving-no-one-behind-how-a-global-instrument-to-end-plastic-pollution-can-enable-a-just-transition> (Nairobi/Oslo, 2022).
- 22 Bradley, C. G. & Corsini, L. A literature review and analytical framework of the sustainability of reusable packaging. *Sustainable Production and Consumption* **37**, 126–141, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2023.02.009> (2023).
- 23 Browning, S. *et al.* Addressing the challenges associated with plastic waste disposal and management in developing countries. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering* **32**, 100682, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2021.100682> (2021).
- 24 Lau, W. W. Y. *et al.* Evaluating scenarios toward zero plastic pollution. *Science* **369**, 1455–1461, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9475> (2020).
- 25 Plastic Recyclers Europe. Guidance on quality sorting of plastic packaging; Establishing highly refined packaging waste streams. doi:<https://www.plasticrecyclers.eu/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/pre-packaging-sorting-guidance-june-2019.pdf> (2019).
- 26 Eriksen, M. K. & Astrup, T. F. Characterisation of source-separated, rigid plastic waste and evaluation of recycling initiatives: Effects of product design and source-separation system. *Waste Management* **87**, 161–172, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2019.02.006> (2019).
- 27 United Nations Environment Programme & Secretariat of the Basel Rotterdam and Stockholm Conventions. Chemicals in plastics: a technical report. doi:<https://www.unep.org/resources/report/chemicals-plastics-technical-report> (Geneva, 2023).
- 28 Deeney, M. *et al.* Human health effects of recycling and reusing food sector consumer plastics: A systematic review and meta-analysis of life cycle assessments. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **397**, 136567, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.136567> (2023).
- 29 Roosen, M. *et al.* Tracing the origin of VOCs in post-consumer plastic film bales. *Chemosphere* **324**, 138281, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138281> (2023).
- 30 Lase, I. S. *et al.* Material flow analysis and recycling performance of an improved mechanical recycling process for post-consumer flexible plastics. *Waste Management* **153**, 249–263, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2022.09.002> (2022).
- 31 Ügdüler, S. *et al.* Challenges and opportunities of solvent-based additive extraction methods for plastic recycling. *Waste Management* **104**, 148–182, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.01.003> (2020).
- 32 Mangold, H. & von Vacano, B. The Frontier of Plastics Recycling: Rethinking Waste as a Resource for High-Value Applications. *Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics* **223**, 2100488, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/macp.202100488> (2022).
- 33 Garcia, J. M. & Robertson, M. L. The future of plastics recycling. *Science* **358**, 870–872, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aag0324> (2017).
- 34 Schyns, Z. O. G. & Shaver, M. P. Mechanical Recycling of Packaging Plastics: A Review. *Macromolecular Rapid Communications* **42**, 2000415, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.202000415> (2021).
- 35 Uekert, T. *et al.* Technical, Economic, and Environmental Comparison of Closed-Loop Recycling Technologies for Common Plastics. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* **11**, 965–978, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.2c05497> (2023).
- 36 Suzuki, G. *et al.* Mechanical recycling of plastic waste as a point source of microplastic pollution. *Environmental Pollution* **303**, 119114, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119114> (2022).
- 37 Lase, I. S. *et al.* How much can chemical recycling contribute to plastic waste recycling in Europe? An assessment using material flow analysis modeling. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* **192**, 106916, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.106916> (2023).
- 38 Biessey, P. *et al.* Plastic Waste Utilization via Chemical Recycling: Approaches, Limitations, and the Challenges Ahead. *Chemie Ingenieur Technik* **95**, 1199–1214, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/cite.202300042> (2023).
- 39 Zero Waste International Alliance. *Zero Waste Hierarchy of Highest and Best Use 8.0*, <<https://zwia.org/zwh/>> (2022).
- 40 Chen, J. *et al.* How to Build a Microplastics-Free Environment: Strategies for Microplastics Degradation and Plastics Recycling. *Advanced Science* **9**, 2103764, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1002/adv.202103764> (2022).
- 41 Erkmén, B. *et al.* Can Pyrolysis Oil Be Used as a Feedstock to Close the Gap in the Circular Economy of Polyolefins? *Polymers* **15** (2023). <https://mdpi-res.com/d_attachment/polymers/polymers-15-00859/article_deploy/polymers-15-00859.pdf?version=1675932314>.
- 42 Ellis, L. D. *et al.* Chemical and biological catalysis for plastics recycling and upcycling. *Nature Catalysis* **4**, 539–556, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-021-00648-4> (2021).
- 43 Li, H. *et al.* Expanding Plastics Recycling Technologies: Chemical Aspects, Technology Status and Challenges. *Green Chemistry*, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1039/D2GC02588D> (2022).
- 44 Ru, J. *et al.* Microbial Degradation and Valorization of Plastic Wastes. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **11**, doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00442> (2020).

Scientists' Coalition Policy Brief: Waste Management

- 45 Tournier, V. *et al.* An engineered PET depolymerase to break down and recycle plastic bottles. *Nature* **580**, 216–219, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2149-4> (2020).
- 46 Kaza, S. *et al.* What a Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management to 2050. *Urban Development*, doi:<https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/entities/publication/d3f9d45e-115f-559b-b14f-28552410e90a> (2018).
- 47 Wojnowska-Baryła, I. *et al.* Plastic Waste Degradation in Landfill Conditions: The Problem with Microplastics, and Their Direct and Indirect Environmental Effects. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* **19**, doi:<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192013223> (2022).
- 48 O' Hare, P. 'The landfill has always borne fruit': precarity, formalisation and dispossession among Uruguay's waste pickers. *Dialectical Anthropology* **43**, 31–44, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10624-018-9533-6> (2019).
- 49 Schenck, C. J. *et al.* The management of South Africa's landfills and waste pickers on them: Impacting lives and livelihoods. *Development Southern Africa* **36**, 80–98, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0376835X.2018.1483822> (2019).
- 50 Cook, E. & Velis, C. Global Review on Safer End of Engineered Life. doi:<https://doi.org/10.5518/100/58> (2021).
- 51 Velis, C. A. & Cook, E. Mismanagement of Plastic Waste through Open Burning with Emphasis on the Global South: A Systematic Review of Risks to Occupational and Public Health. *Environmental Science & Technology* **55**, 7186–7207, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c08536> (2021).