



# Surgical treatment and overall survival in patients with right-sided obstructing colon cancer—a nationwide retrospective cohort study

Jeske R. E. Boeding<sup>1,2</sup> · Marloes A. G. Elferink<sup>3</sup> · Pieter J. Tanis<sup>2,4</sup> · Johannes H. W. de Wilt<sup>5</sup> · Paul D. Gobardhan<sup>1</sup> · Cornelis Verhoef<sup>2</sup> · Jennifer M. J. Schreinemakers<sup>1</sup>

Accepted: 22 September 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

**Purpose** The aim of this study was to compare baseline characteristics, 90-day mortality and overall survival (OS) between patients with obstructing and non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer at a national level.

**Methods** All patients who underwent resection for right-sided colon cancer between January 2015 and December 2016 were selected from the Netherlands Cancer Registry and stratified for obstruction. Primary outcome was 5-year OS after excluding 90-day mortality as assessed by the Kaplan-Meier and multivariable Cox regression analysis.

**Results** A total of 525 patients (7%) with obstructing and 6891 patients (93%) with non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer were included. Patients with right-sided obstructing colon cancer (OCC) were older and had more often transverse tumour location, and the pathological T and N stage was more advanced than in those without obstruction ( $p < 0.001$ ). The 90-day mortality in patients with right-sided OCC was higher compared to that in patients with non-obstructing colon cancer: 10% versus 3%, respectively ( $p < 0.001$ ). The 5-year OS of those surviving 90 days postoperatively was 42% in patients with OCC versus 73% in patients with non-obstructing colon cancer, respectively ( $p < 0.001$ ). Worse 5-year OS was found in patients with right-sided OCC for all stages. Obstruction was an independent risk factor for decreased OS in right-sided colon cancer (HR 1.79, 95% CI 1.57–2.03).

**Conclusion** In addition to increased risk of postoperative mortality, a stage-independent worse 5-year OS after excluding 90-day mortality was found in patients with right-sided OCC compared to patients without obstruction.

**Keywords** Obstruction · Colon cancer · Survival · Treatment · Mortality · Right-sided

## Introduction

Emergency resection with primary anastomosis is still the mostly performed surgical option in patients with right-sided obstructing colon cancer (OCC) [1–3]. However, evidence

for emergency resection with primary anastomosis is mainly based on everyday clinical practice and guideline recommendations are based on low quality evidence [4–6].

The current literature for right-sided OCC shows high morbidity and mortality rates after emergency surgery, compared to elective surgery in patient with non-obstructing colon cancer [7–9]. Alternative (staged) treatment options have been proposed over the years, such as stent placement and decompressing ileostomy followed by tumour resection [10–14]. These alternative treatment options, avoiding emergency resection in patients with obstruction, have mainly been analysed for left-sided OCC [4, 15, 16]. For right-sided OCC, studies comparing different surgical and non-surgical treatment options are scarce [10, 17].

The main focus in the literature on OCC has been on short-term outcomes. Although emergency setting has been reported to be associated with worse overall survival (OS), the independent contribution of obstruction to long-term prognosis has been less well analysed [18, 19]. Identified

✉ Jeske R. E. Boeding  
jeskeboeding@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, Amphia Hospital, Breda, The Netherlands  
<sup>2</sup> Department of Surgical Oncology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, The Netherlands  
<sup>3</sup> Department of Research & Development, Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation, Utrecht, The Netherlands  
<sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
<sup>5</sup> Department of Surgery, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

differences in OS between obstructing and non-obstructing tumours might predominantly be caused by the increased mortality after emergency treatment, while it is unclear whether obstruction is still a prognostic factor if analysing survival beyond the 90-day postoperative period.

The aim of this study was to compare baseline characteristics, 90-day mortality, and 5-year OS after excluding 90-day mortality, between patients with obstructing and non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer patients at a national level. In addition we aim to determine whether obstruction is an independent predictor for OS in patients with right-sided colon cancer.

## Materials and methods

### Study design and population

This is a nationwide retrospective cohort study containing all patients, diagnosed with right-sided colon cancer between January 2015 and December 2016, who were treated with surgical resection. The data were collected from the Netherlands Cancer Registry (NCR). The NCR comprises data on all newly diagnosed malignancies and is hosted by the Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL). Topography and morphology are coded using the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology [20]. Data were obtained after approval of the study protocol by the Dutch PLCRC (Prospective National ('Landelijk') Colorectal Cancer Cohort) review board.

All patients with histologically proven right-sided colon carcinoma (e.g. caecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, transverse colon proximal to the splenic flexure (C18.0–C18.2–C18.3–C18.4), aged 18 years or older who underwent surgical resection were included. After patient selection by IKNL, an anonymised database was made available for analyses. Exclusion criteria were presentation with bowel perforation, two synchronous primary colon tumours treated by two surgical resections, missing information about the presence or absence of obstruction or bowel perforation.

### Data extraction and definitions

The following data were collected: patient characteristics (age, sex, American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) score) tumour characteristics (clinical and pathological tumour stage, primary tumour location, obstruction (ileus), tumour morphology), diagnostic characteristics (complete imaging of the colon preoperatively), and surgical characteristics (urgency of surgery, resection type, completeness of resection, per- or postoperative stoma creation).

Tumour-Node-Metastasis (TNM) staging model, 7<sup>th</sup> edition (TNM 7), was used [21]. Tumour stage was classified following Union for International Cancer Control (UICC). Stage was derived from the pathological TNM (pTNM) information. If the pTNM was missing the clinical TNM (cTNM) was used to determine the tumour stage. Stage IV disease was defined as the detection of metastases before the start of treatment or during surgical exploration. The urgency of surgical intervention was defined as follows: acute surgery (surgery scheduled less than 12 h in advance), urgent surgery (surgery planned at least 12 h in advance, no elective surgery), elective surgery or staged surgery (including bridging strategy using stent placement or decompressing stoma). Emergency surgery included both acute and urgent surgery. Completeness of resection was defined as resection with a resection margin > 1 mm. In case of incomplete resection, distinction was made between microscopic incomplete resection (resection margin ≤ 1 mm) and macroscopic incomplete resection (the surgeon indicates that tumour was left behind).

Survival time could be calculated due to annual linkage with data from the Municipal Personal Records Database, containing information on vital status and date of death from all Dutch inhabitants. Follow-up time was calculated as the time between resection and death or last time of follow-up (1st February 2022). Postoperative mortality was defined as death within 90 days after surgery. In case of postoperative mortality, patients were excluded for OS analysis. Sub-analyses of OS were performed for stage I–II, stage III and stage IV disease. Among patients with obstruction, sub-analyses of OS were performed comparing bridge to elective resection and emergency resection.

### Outcome parameters

The primary outcome measure was 5-year OS in the population surviving 90 days postoperatively. Additional study outcome of this study included 90-day mortality.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics Program version 25 and R-studio version 1.4.1717. Baseline characteristics were evaluated using descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were described as median with interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables were described as counts and percentages. Fisher's exact test or the  $\chi^2$  test was used for data analysis of categorical variables. Kaplan–Meier curves were constructed to estimate 5-year OS of patients with or without obstruction and compared

using the log-rank test. The Independent-Samples Median test was performed to determine statistical differences between median follow-up time of both groups. Uni- and multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression models were fitted for OS to determine whether obstruction was an independent predictor. Results from the proportional hazard regression analyses were reported as Hazard Ratio (HR) with corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical significance level was set at an  $\alpha$  of 0.05.

## Ethical standard

The Medical Research Ethics Committees United (MEC-U) was consulted for ethical approval. They confirmed that, for this study (reference number W20.135), the medical research involving Human Subject Act (WMO) does not apply.

## Results

In total 7416 patients with right-sided colon cancer treated with surgical resection were included for analysis, of whom 525 patients (7%) presented with signs of obstruction (Fig. 1). The median age of all patients was 71 years (IQR 65–78), and the tumour was most often located in the caecum ( $n=2754$ , 37%) (Table 1).

## Obstructing versus non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer

Patients with obstruction were significantly older compared to patients with non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer ( $p=0.046$ ). In right-sided OCC, the primary tumour location was most often found in the caecum. Non-obstructing colon cancer was more often found in the ascending colon, compared to OCC which was found more often in the transverse colon ( $p<0.001$ ). In case of OCC, patients had higher pT and pN stage compared to patients with non-obstructing colon cancer ( $p<0.001$ ). Along with a higher pT and pN stage, stage IV disease was found in 29% of the patients compared to 8% in patients with non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer ( $p<0.001$ ) (Table 1).

## Management

Acute/urgent resection was performed in 88% of the patients with right-sided OCC, compared to 2% in patients with non-obstructing colon cancer ( $p<0.001$ ). Staged resection was performed in 26 patients with OCC (5%). Diverting ileostomy was created more often (25% versus 7%,  $p<0.001$ ), and the completeness of resection was significantly lower in patients with OCC (90% versus 97%,  $p<0.001$ ). Postoperative mortality was significantly higher in the OCC group (10% versus 3%,  $p<0.001$ ) (Table 2).




Fig. 1 Flowchart of inclusion

**Table 1** Tumour characteristics of obstructing versus non-obstructing colon cancer

	Non-obstructing colon cancer (n = 6891)	Obstructing colon cancer (n = 525)
Year of diagnosis		
2015	3382 (49)	301 (57)
2016	3509 (51)	224 (43)
Sex		
Male	321 (47)	262 (50)
Female	3680 (53)	263 (50)
Age (median, IQR)	71 (65–78)	73 (65–81)
Primary tumour location		
Caecum	2572 (37)	182 (35)
Ascending colon	2504 (36)	136 (26)
Hepatic flexure	774 (11)	72 (14)
Transverse colon	1041 (15)	135 (26)
Pathological T stage		
(y)pT0	8 (0)	1 (0)
(y)pT1	647 (9)	–
(y)pT2	1284 (19)	18 (3)
(y)pT3	3978 (58)	308 (59)
(y)pT4	951 (14)	197 (38)
pTx	23 (0)	1 (0)
Pathological N stage		
(y)pN0	4461 (65)	183 (35)
(y)pN1	1491 (22)	155 (30)
(y)pN2	929 (13)	186 (35)
pNx	10 (0)	1 (0)
Tumour stage (UICC)		
Stage I	1656 (24)	13 (2)
Stage II	2678 (39)	155 (30)
Stage III	1973 (29)	206 (39)
Stage IV	560 (8)	150 (29)
Stage X	24 (0)	1 (0)

## Overall survival

After excluding patients who died within 90 days, a total of 7146 patients were included for OS analyses. Median follow-up time for the complete group was 5.6 years (IQR 4.0–6.2). Five-year OS showed a significant difference between obstructing (42%) and non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer (73%) ( $p < 0.001$ ). Stratified analyses showed a worse OS in patients with obstructing colon cancer compared to patients with non-obstructing colon cancer for all stages: stage I–II disease 68% versus 81%, stage III disease 43% versus 67%, stage IV disease 9% versus 23% ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 2a–d). After correction for sex, age, tumour location, pT stage, pN stage, pM

stage, and completeness of the resection, obstruction was found to be independently associated with decreased OS in right-sided colon cancer (HR 1.79, 95% CI 1.57–2.03) (Table 3).

## Staged resection

A bridge to surgery strategy using either stent or stoma was performed in 26 patients with right-sided OCC (5%). These patients were treated with preoperative stent ( $n = 3$ ) or stoma ( $n = 22$ ) for initial colonic decompression. No 90-day mortality was found after staged tumour resection following initial stent or stoma, compared to 11% in the emergency resection group ( $p = 0.095$ ) (Table 4). Comparison of overall survival after exclusion of postoperative 90-day mortality revealed no significant differences between patients treated with elective resection, staged resection or emergency resection ( $p = 0.498$ ) (Fig. 3/Table 4).

## Discussion

Right-sided OCC was associated with increased 90-day mortality compared to patients without symptoms of obstruction. In addition, after exclusion of patients who died within 90 days, the 5-year OS was also significantly lower in patients with right-sided OCC compared to patients without signs of obstruction. This difference was found in a stratified analysis for all different tumour stages (stage I–II, III and IV). Symptoms of obstruction at the time of diagnosis were independently associated with lower OS in patients with right-sided colon cancer after correction for risk factors such as age, sex, tumour location, pT stage, pN stage, pM stage, and completeness of the resection.

For OCC, high postoperative mortality and morbidity rates have been described previously [22–25]. Emergency surgery for colon cancer has been associated with a significantly increased hospital mortality rate compared to patients without emergency intervention in large population-based studies [26, 27]. Mortality rates after emergency surgery in these studies (8.4% and 10%) were comparable with our results. Alternative treatment strategies to avoid emergency resection, such as stent placement or decompressing stoma, have been mainly investigated in left-sided OCC [4, 15, 16, 28–33]. For right-sided OCC, emergency resection with primary anastomosis or diverting ileostomy is still common surgical practice [1–3, 34]. However, recent studies have shown high morbidity and mortality rates after emergency surgery for right-sided OCC, compared to elective surgery in patient without obstruction, which has been confirmed in this study [7–9, 26, 27, 35].

**Table 2** Surgical characteristics of obstructing versus non-obstructing colon cancer

	Non-obstructing colon cancer (n = 6891)	Obstructing colon cancer (n = 525)	p value
ASA classification			<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
ASA I	842 (12)	44 (8)	
ASA II	3889 (56)	227 (43)	
ASA III	1675 (24)	132 (25)	
ASA IV	94 (1)	14 (3)	
ASA V	–	–	
**Missing	391 (6)	108 (21)	
Urgency of surgery			<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
Acute surgery	77 (1)	262 (50)	
Urgent surgery	99 (1)	201 (38)	
Elective surgery	6715 (97)	36 (7)	
Staged surgery	–	26 (5)	
Stent as bridge to surgery	–	3 (1)	
Stoma as bridge to surgery	–	22 (4)	
**Missing	–	–	
Ileostomy			<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
None	6435 (93)	372 (71)	
Preoperative	12 (0)	22 (4)	
Peri-/postoperative	444 (7)	131 (25)	
Resection			<b>0.001</b>
(Extended) right hemicolectomy	6051 (88)	432 (82)	
Transverse resection	268 (4)	29 (6)	
(Extended) left hemicolectomy	281 (4)	31 (6)	
Subtotal colectomy	139 (2)	8 (2)	
Ileocecal resection	69 (1)	14 (3)	
Multisegment resection	60 (1)	9 (2)	
Other	23 (0)	2 (0)	
Completeness of resection			<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
Complete margins (R0)	6687 (97)	470 (90)	
Incomplete margins (microscopic, R1)	100 (2)	26 (5)	
Incomplete margins (macroscopic, R2)	43 (1)	16 (3)	
**Missing	54 (1)	13 (2)	
Chemotherapy			<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
No chemotherapy	5198 (75)	310 (59)	
Only neo-adjuvant chemotherapy	80 (1)	4 (1)	
Only adjuvant chemotherapy	1583 (23)	206 (39)	
Neo- and adjuvant chemotherapy	30 (0)	5 (1)	
90-day mortality	214 (3)	52 (10)	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>


Values in bold indicate statistical significance

NA not applicable

Even though bridging strategies using stent or stoma have been described for right-sided OCC, these are not widely implemented [2, 5, 11–13, 15, 29]. The present nationwide study confirms that bridging strategies are rarely used in daily practice for right-sided OCC, in contrast to left-sided OCC [12, 36, 37]. However, staged surgery with a bridging strategy using stent or decompressing stoma has shown improvement of short-term postoperative outcome in patients with OCC [1,

3, 12, 17, 38]. In this study, none of the 26 patients receiving bridge to surgery before resection died within 90 days after resection compared to 49 patients (11%) who underwent emergency resection. After excluding patients who died within 90 days in the non-obstructing group 5-year OS was comparable. Although numbers are small with restricted statistical power in the present study, postponing surgery to optimise the preoperative clinical condition might improve

**Fig. 2 a–d** Long-term overall survival obstructing versus non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer ( $t_0$  = date of surgery, postoperative mortality within 90 days was excluded for OS analyses)




short-term outcomes with less postoperative mortality in patients with right-sided OCC and needs further studies to demonstrate the value of this strategy.

The amount of patients treated with emergency surgery for right-sided OCC was slightly different compared to an earlier nationwide study by Amelung et al. This study

reported that emergency resection was performed in 95.4% of the patients with right-sided OCC, compared to 88.2% in the present study [1]. Difference in electively treated patients between both studies might be explained by the definition of obstruction, while different databases were used for both studies. In the study of Amelung et al., data

Fig. 2 (continued)



was obtained by the Dutch ColoRectal Audit (DCRA) which is filled in by surgeons, compared to IKNL data which is obtained by independent employees. Partial obstruction with a stricture found during colonoscopy might be registered as obstruction even though patients did not experience symptoms of obstruction. Another explanation for the

difference in patients treated without emergency resection between both studies may be the use of new treatment strategies. This study showed that 36 patients in the obstruction group were treated electively without the use of stent or decompressing stoma. Clinical management in these patients may have been a relatively new treatment strategy



**Table 3** Uni- and multivariable analysis for overall survival in all patients treated surgically for right-sided colon cancer in the Netherlands (Cox proportional hazard regression)

		Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis	
		HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Sex	Male	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	<b>Female</b>	<b>0.884 (0.816–0.958)</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>0.869 (0.800–0.943)</b>	<b>0.001</b>
Age		<b>1.041 (1.036–1.045)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>1.052 (1.047–1.057)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
Tumour location	Caecum	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	Ascending colon	0.912 (0.830–1.002)	0.055	0.994 (0.903–1.093)	0.897
	Hepatic flexure	1.052 (0.923–1.199)	0.444	<b>1.188 (1.040–1.357)</b>	<b>0.011</b>
	<b>Transverse colon</b>	<b>0.884 (0.781–0.999)</b>	<b>0.049</b>	0.939 (0.827–1.065)	0.326
pT	pT1-2	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	<b>pT3-4</b>	<b>2.250 (2.016–2.511)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>1.366 (1.215–1.535)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
pN	pN0	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	<b>pN+</b>	<b>2.516 (2.321–2.727)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>1.834 (1.675–2.007)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
M	M0	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	<b>M+</b>	<b>6.091 (5.517–6.724)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>4.760 (4.254–5.326)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
Completeness of resection	R0	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	<b>R1/2</b>	<b>3.502 (2.873–4.268)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>1.651 (1.347–2.023)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
Obstruction	No	1 (reference)		1 (reference)	
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>2.787 (2.465–3.152)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>1.786 (1.569–2.034)</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>

Patients with mortality  $\leq 90$  days were excluded for this overall survival analysis. Values in bold indicate statistical significance

based on tube decompression. In case of an insufficient ileocecal valve, decompression may be accomplished by nasogastric tube placement. Nutrition can be supplemented by total parenteral feeding or low residual enteral feeding (in case of some bowel movement), followed by postponed semi-elective resection. This treatment strategy has not been described widely. However, postponing emergency surgery without using stent or stoma may have been more frequently applied in the present study compared to Amelung et al., who included patients that were treated between 2009 and 2013. Postponing surgery without decompressing stoma or the use of stents has recently been described by Fahim et al. [39]. This study showed that obstruction treatment prevented emergency surgery, seeming to be a safe and efficient alternative to emergency surgery.

Five-year OS, after excluding patients who died within 90 days, was lower in patients with right-sided OCC, compared to patients without obstruction (42% versus 73%), which is comparable with earlier studies [3, 36, 40]. The difference between both groups may be explained by higher surgical stress response combined with worse clinical condition, leading to more postoperative complications compared to elective resection, which in turn leads to longer recovery time [8, 41, 42]. Increased surgical stress response and postoperative complications have both been shown to be associated with worse OS [43, 44]. Furthermore, prolonged

recovery in stage III disease may lead to delayed start or even cancellation of adjuvant chemotherapy. Delaying start of adjuvant chemotherapy beyond eight weeks has been significantly associated with worse OS [45]. However, worse survival in case of obstruction was also found in stage I–II disease, not needing adjuvant chemotherapy. The decreased OS found in case of right-sided OCC has been described previously [3]. One study of the French Surgical Association reported a 5-year OS for both left- and right-sided OCC of 43% after excluding postoperative mortality, which is comparable with the present study [2, 3].

The present study has some limitations. This is a retrospective study, analysing patients receiving surgical treatment for right-sided OCC. Patients with stage IV disease, receiving palliative systemic therapy or best supportive care were not included in this study. Therefore, OS in stage IV disease might have been overestimated for both obstructing and non-obstructing right-sided colon cancer. Secondly, different factors influencing mortality and OS in patients with colon cancer, in particular OCC, could not be investigated, e.g. preoperative health status of the patients (BMI, SNAQ score, weight loss), pre- and postoperative complications, bowel decompression strategies during surgery, and postoperative morbidity. Finally, data on recurrences were not available for the total study population, and therefore, the disease-free survival could not be analysed.




**Table 4** Treatment strategies in right-sided obstructing colon cancer

	Emergency surgery (n = 463)	Staged surgery (n = 26)	Elective surgery (n = 36)
<b>Clinical T stage</b>			
<i>cT0</i>	1 (0)	–	1 (3)
<i>cT1</i>	1 (0)	–	1 (3)
<i>cT2</i>	14 (3)	3 (12)	3 (8)
<i>cT3</i>	88 (19)	7 (27)	7 (19)
<i>cT4</i>	62 (13)	6 (23)	2 (3)
<i>cTx</i>	297 (64)	10 (38)	22 (61)
<b>Clinical N stage</b>			
<i>cN0</i>	236 (51)	12 (46)	21 (58)
<i>cN1</i>	111 (24)	7 (27)	6 (17)
<i>cN2</i>	53 (11)	6 (23)	4 (11)
<i>cNx</i>	63 (14)	1 (4)	5 (14)
<b>Clinical M stage</b>			
<i>cM0</i>	334 (72)	16 (62)	29 (81)
<i>cM1</i>	129 (28)	10 (38)	7 (19)
<b>Pathological T stage</b>			
<i>(y)pT0</i>	–	1 (4)	–
<i>(y)pT1</i>	–	–	–
<i>(y)pT2</i>	14 (3)	1 (4)	3 (8)
<i>(y)pT3</i>	268 (57)	16 (62)	24 (67)
<i>(y)pT4</i>	180 (39)	8 (31)	9 (25)
<i>(y)pTx</i>	1 (0)	–	–
<b>Pathological N stage</b>			
<i>pN0</i>	160 (35)	8 (31)	15 (41)
<i>pN1</i>	135 (29)	9 (35)	11 (31)
<i>pN2</i>	167 (36)	9 (35)	10 (28)
<i>pNx</i>	1 (0)	–	–
<b>Pathological M stage</b>			
<i>pM0</i>	26 (6)	–	–
<i>pM1</i>	40 (9)	8 (31)	5 (14)
<i>pMx</i>	397 (85)	18 (69)	31 (86)
<b>Tumour stage (UICC)</b>			
<i>Stage I</i>	10 (2)	–	3 (8)
<i>Stage II</i>	142 (31)	8 (31)	12 (33)
<i>Stage III</i>	244 (53)	10 (38)	16 (44)
<i>Stage IV</i>	66 (14)	8 (31)	5 (14)
<i>Stage X</i>	1 (0)	–	–
<b>Chemotherapy</b>			
<i>No chemotherapy</i>	281 (61)	11 (42)	18 (50)
<i>Only neo-adjuvant chemotherapy</i>	0	4 (15)	0
<i>Only adjuvant chemotherapy</i>	181 (39)	8 (31)	17 (47)
<i>Neo- and adjuvant chemotherapy</i>	1 (0)	3 (12)	1 (3)
90-day mortality <sup>a</sup>	49 (11)	0 (0)	3 (8)

<sup>a</sup>Mortality (90 days) after definite tumour resection

**Fig. 3** Overall survival in obstructing right-sided colon cancer staged versus non-staged treatment. Log-rank:  $p = 0.498$



## Conclusion

In summary, right-sided OCC was treated with emergency resection in the majority of Dutch patients and led to relatively high postoperative mortality. In addition, a stage-independent worse 5-year OS after excluding 90-day mortality was found in patients with right-sided OCC compared to patients without obstruction. Staged treatment may have the advantage to facilitate elective surgery and provides a chance to optimise the patients' medical condition. Larger numbers of patients are needed to demonstrate the value of staged treatment in right-sided OCC significantly.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00384-023-04541-3>.

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank the registration team of the Netherlands Comprehensive Cancer Organisation (IKNL) for the collection of data for the Netherlands Cancer Registry.

**Author contribution** Jeske Boeding: Conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing - Original Draft, Marloes Elferink: Data curation, formal analysis, methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Pieter Tanis: Writing - Review & Editing, Johannes de Wilt: Writing - Review & Editing, Paul Gobardhan: Conceptualization, methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Cornelis Verhoef: Conceptualization, formal analysis, methodology, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision, Jennifer Schreinemakers: Conceptualization, formal analysis, methodology, writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision.

**Funding** No funding was obtained for this study.

**Data availability** Data can be requested by the corresponding author.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Amelung FJ, Consten ECJ, Siersema PD, Tanis PJ (2016) A population-based analysis of three treatment modalities for malignant obstruction of the proximal colon: acute resection versus stent or stoma as a bridge to surgery. *Ann Surg Oncol* 23(11):3660–3668
- Mege D, Manceau G, Beyer L, Bridoux V, Lakkis Z, Venara A et al (2019) Right-sided vs. left-sided obstructing colonic cancer: results of a multicenter study of the French Surgical Association in 2325 patients and literature review. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 34(6):1021–32
- Mege D, Manceau G, Beyer-Berjot L, Bridoux V, Lakkis Z, Venara A et al (2018) Surgical management of obstructive right-sided colon cancer at a national level results of a multicenter study of the French Surgical Association in 776 patients. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 44(10):1522–1531
- Pisano M, Zorcolo L, Merli C, Cimbanassi S, Poiasina E, Ceresoli M et al (2018) 2017 WSES guidelines on colon and rectal cancer emergencies: obstruction and perforation. *World J Emerg Surg* 13:36
- van Hooft JE, Veld JV, Arnold D, Beets-Tan RGH, Everett S, Götz M et al (2020) Self-expandable metal stents for obstructing colonic and extracolonic cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline - Update 2020. *Endoscopy* 52(5):389–407
- Vogel JD, Felder SI, Bhama AR, Hawkins AT, Langenfeld SJ, Shaffer VO et al (2022) The American Society of Colon and

- Rectal Surgeons clinical practice guidelines for the management of colon cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 65(2)
7. Boeding JRE, Ramphal W, Crolla R, Boonman-de Winter LJM, Gobardhan PD, Schreinemakers MJM (2018) Ileus caused by obstructing colorectal cancer-impact on long-term survival. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 33(10):1393–1400
  8. Bakker IS, Snijders HS, Grossmann I, Karsten TM, Havenga K, Wiggers T (2016) High mortality rates after nonelective colon cancer resection: results of a national audit. *Colorectal Dis* 18(6):612–621
  9. Kobayashi H, Miyata H, Gotoh M, Baba H, Kimura W, Kitagawa Y et al (2014) Risk model for right hemicolectomy based on 19,070 Japanese patients in the National Clinical Database. *J Gastroenterol* 49(6):1047–1055
  10. Amelung FJ, Draaisma WA, Consten ECJ, Siersema PD, Ter Borg F (2017) Self-expandable metal stent placement versus emergency resection for malignant proximal colon obstructions. *Surg Endosc* 31(11):4532–4541
  11. Ji WB, Kwak JM, Kang DW, Kwak HD, Um JW, Lee SI et al (2017) Clinical benefits and oncologic equivalence of self-expandable metallic stent insertion for right-sided malignant colonic obstruction. *Surg Endosc* 31(1):153–158
  12. Kye BH, Lee YS, Cho HM, Kim JG, Oh ST, Lee IK et al (2016) Comparison of long-term outcomes between emergency surgery and bridge to surgery for malignant obstruction in right-sided colon cancer: a multicenter retrospective study. *Ann Surg Oncol* 23(6):1867–1874
  13. Sakamoto T, Fujiogi M, Lefor AK, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Yasunaga H (2020) Stent as a bridge to surgery or immediate colectomy for malignant right colonic obstruction: propensity-scored, national database study. *Br J Surg* 107(10):1354–1362
  14. Rosander E, Holm T, Sjövall A, Hjern F, Weibull CE, Nordenvall C (2021) Emergency resection or diverting stoma followed by elective resection in patients with colonic obstruction due to locally advanced cancer: a national cohort study. *Colorectal Dis* 23(9):2387–2398
  15. Amelung FJ, Borstlap WAA, Consten ECJ, Veld JV, van Halsema EE, Bemelman WA et al (2019) Propensity score-matched analysis of oncological outcome between stent as bridge to surgery and emergency resection in patients with malignant left-sided colonic obstruction. *Br J Surg* 106(8):1075–1086
  16. Veld JV, Amelung FJ, Borstlap WAA, van Halsema EE, Consten ECJ, Siersema PD et al (2020) Comparison of decompressing stoma vs stent as a bridge to surgery for left-sided obstructive colonic cancer. *JAMA Surg*
  17. Li B, Cai SL, Lv ZT, Zhou PH, Yao LQ, Shi Q et al (2020) Self-expandable metallic stenting as a bridge to elective surgery versus emergency surgery for acute malignant right-sided colorectal obstruction. *BMC Surg* 20(1):326
  18. Karakayali F, Sevmis S, Unlukaplan M, Ekici Y, Yabanoglu H, Moray G et al (2008) Effect of obstruction on morbidity and mortality in patients with right-sided colon carcinoma: a case-matched study. *Int Surg* 93(6):339–345
  19. Dahdaleh FS, Sherman SK, Poli EC, Vigneswaran J, Polite BN, Sharma MR et al (2018) Obstruction predicts worse long-term outcomes in stage III colon cancer: a secondary analysis of the N0147 trial. *Surgery* 164(6):1223–1229
  20. World Health Organization (2013) International classification of diseases for oncology (ICD-O): World Health Organization
  21. Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MKMK, Wittekind CC, Cancer IUa (2010) TNM classification of malignant tumours / edited by L.H. Sobin, M.K. Gospodarowicz, and Ch. Wittekind. 7th ed. 2009 ed. England: Chichester, West Sussex, UK ; Hoboken, NJ : Wiley-Blackwell 2009
  22. Alves A, Panis Y, Mathieu P, Manton G, Kwiatkowski F, Slim K (2005) Postoperative mortality and morbidity in French patients undergoing colorectal surgery: results of a prospective multicenter study. *Arch Surg* 140
  23. Kolfshoten NE, Wouters MW, Gooiker GA, Eddes EH, Kievit J, Tollenaar RA (2012) Nonelective colon cancer resections in elderly patients: results from the Dutch surgical colorectal audit. *Dig Surg* 29
  24. Sjo OH, Larsen S, Lunde OC, Nesbakken A (2009) Short term outcome after emergency and elective surgery for colon cancer. *Color Dis* 11
  25. Smothers L, Hynan L, Fleming J, Turnage R, Simmang C, Anthony T (2003) Emergency surgery for colon carcinoma. *Dis Colon Rectum* 46
  26. Diers J, Baum P, Matthes H, Germer CT, Wiegering A (2021) Mortality and complication management after surgery for colorectal cancer depending on the DKG minimum amounts for hospital volume. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 47(4):850–857
  27. Diers J, Baum P, Lehmann K, Uttinger K, Baumann N, Pietryga S, Hankir M, Matthes N, Lock JF, Germer CT, Wiegering A (2022) Disproportionately high failure to rescue rates after resection for colorectal cancer in the geriatric patient population - a nationwide study. *Cancer Med* 11(22):4256–4264
  28. Sloothak DA, van den Berg MW, Dijkgraaf MG, Fockens P, Tanis PJ, van Hooft JE et al (2014) Oncological outcome of malignant colonic obstruction in the Dutch Stent-In 2 trial. *Br J Surg* 101(13):1751–1757
  29. van den Berg MW, Sloothak DA, Dijkgraaf MG, van der Zaag ES, Bemelman WA, Tanis PJ et al (2014) Bridge-to-surgery stent placement versus emergency surgery for acute malignant colonic obstruction. *Br J Surg* 101(7):867–873
  30. van Hooft JE, Veld JV, Arnold D, Beets-Tan RGH, Everett S, Gotz M et al (2020) Self-expandable metal stents for obstructing colonic and extracolonic cancer: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline - Update 2020. *Endoscopy* 52(5):389–407
  31. Veld JV, Amelung FJ, Borstlap WAA, Eise van Halsema E, Consten ECJ, Siersema PD et al (2019) Changes in management of left-sided obstructive colon cancer: national practice and guideline implementation. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 17(12):1512–20
  32. Zhang Y, Shi J, Shi B, Song C-Y, Xie W-F, Chen Y-X (2012) Self-expanding metallic stent as a bridge to surgery versus emergency surgery for obstructive colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc* 26(1):110–119
  33. Alcantara M, Serra-Aracil X, Falco J, Mora L, Bombardo J, Navarro S (2011) Prospective, controlled, randomized study of intraoperative colonic lavage versus stent placement in obstructive left-sided colonic cancer. *World J Surg* 35(8):1904–1910
  34. Smithers BM, Theile DE, Cohen JR, Evans EB, Davis NC (1986) Emergency right hemicolectomy in colon carcinoma: a prospective study. *Aust N Z J Surg* 56(10):749–752
  35. Hsu TC (2005) Comparison of one-stage resection and anastomosis of acute complete obstruction of left and right colon. *Am J Surg* 189(4):384–387
  36. Manceau G, Voron T, Mege D, Bridoux V, Lakkis Z, Venara A et al (2019) Prognostic factors and patterns of recurrence after emergency management for obstructing colon cancer: multivariate analysis from a series of 2120 patients. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 404(6):717–729
  37. Veld JV, Amelung FJ, Borstlap WAA, van Halsema EE, Consten ECJ, Dekker JWT et al (2020) Decompressing stoma as a bridge to elective surgery is an effective strategy for left-sided obstructive colon cancer: a national, propensity-score matched study. *Ann Surg* 272(5):738–743
  38. Boeding JRE, Ramphal W, Rijken AM, Crolla R, Verhoef C, Gobardhan PD et al (2020) A systematic review comparing emergency resection and staged treatment for curable obstructing right-sided colon cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*

39. Fahim M, Dijkman LM, Derksen WJM, Bloemen JG, Biesma DH, Smits AB (2021) Prospective multicentre study of a new bowel obstruction treatment in colorectal surgery: reduced morbidity and mortality. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 47(9):2414–2420
40. Boeding JRE, Ramphal W, Crolla RMPH, Boonman-de Winter LJM, Gobardhan PD, Schreinemakers JMJ (2018) Ileus caused by obstructing colorectal cancer—impact on long-term survival. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 33
41. Panis Y, Maggiori L, Caranhac G, Bretagnol F, Vicaut E (2011) Mortality after colorectal cancer surgery: a French survey of more than 84,000 patients. *Ann Surg* 254(5):738–43; discussion 43–4
42. Xu Z, Becerra AZ, Aquina CT, Hensley BJ, Justiniano CF, Boodry C et al (2017) Emergent colectomy is independently associated with decreased long-term overall survival in colon cancer patients. *J Gastrointest Surg* 21(3):543–553
43. Chen Z, Zhang P, Xu Y, Yan J, Liu Z, Lau WB et al (2019) Surgical stress and cancer progression: the twisted tango. *Mol Cancer* 18(1):132
44. Warps AK, Tollenaar RAEM, Tanis PJ, Dekker JWT (2022) Postoperative complications after colorectal cancer surgery and the association with long-term survival. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 48(4):873–882
45. Gao P, Huang X-z, Song Y-x, Sun J-x, Chen X-w, Sun Y et al (2018) Impact of timing of adjuvant chemotherapy on survival in stage III colon cancer: a population-based study. *BMC Cancer* 18(1):234

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.