University of Colorado Law Review

Volume 84 Issue 1 Annual John Paul Stevens Lecture

Article 10

Winter 2013

Remarks of the Honorable Timothy E. Wirth: Symposium in Honor of David H. Getches

Timothy E. Wirth

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/lawreview

Part of the Natural Resources Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Timothy E. Wirth, *Remarks of the Honorable Timothy E. Wirth: Symposium in Honor of David H. Getches*, 84 U. COLO. L. REV. 229 (2013). Available at: https://scholar.law.colorado.edu/lawreview/vol84/iss1/10

This Comment is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Colorado Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Colorado Law Review by an authorized editor of Colorado Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact lauren.seney@colorado.edu.

REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE TIMOTHY E. WIRTH: SYMPOSIUM IN HONOR OF DAVID H. GETCHES

TIMOTHY E. WIRTH*

Good morning and thank you all for coming. I feel privileged to be here today to join you in reflecting on the life and work of David Getches, one of the real treasures of the legal profession, of environmental history, of this University, and [of] our broader community.

David was my friend—we ran rivers together, talked a lot of politics, and he flattered me by inviting me many times to meet with his faculty and students. He recruited my son, Christopher—one of his students—to be his assistant on the little book, *Water Law in a Nutshell*, which has a proud spot in our library.

We all feel cheated by David's early departure—I think of punctual David; he was always on time. It is still a jolt to think of the late David Getches. I would have valued his counsel—as [always], we would have sat on river banks trying to puzzle through what had gone wrong in our country and what we could do about it. David and I—and many of you here today were of a generation keenly aware of the opportunities we had been given and our responsibilities to [ensure] that future generations [have] [those] opportunities as well.

Like John Leshy,¹ I would like to frame my remarks in a generational context. Many [of my] thoughts echo John's good talk. We were products of a previous generation that made huge investments in our public spaces:

^{*} Former U.S. Senator (1987–1992) and U.S. Representative (1975–1986) from Colorado. He is President of the United Nations Foundation, founded by R. E. "Ted" Turner. The Foundation connects people, ideas, and resources with the United Nations to address key global problems. The Foundation has major campaigns in the areas of energy and climate change, population and women's empowerment, and children's health. This talk was delivered at the University of Colorado Law School symposium A Life of Contributions for All Time: Symposium in Honor of David H. Getches on April 27, 2012.

^{1.} John D. Leshy, Reflections on Social Change and Law Reform, 84 U. COLO. L. REV. 221 (2012).

- our great public universities;
- remarkable advances in the public health;
- public parks and public libraries;
- a vast public transportation system, open to everyone; and
- public electrification, public broadcasting, and a public interest standard to govern the public's airwaves.

The public invested in the Marshall Plan, rebuilt our international financial institutions, and built the United Nations. For our lucky generation, these investments were governed by a broadly shared sense of public responsibility and the public interest. An unprecedented number of Americans benefited [from the] deep sense of possibility and optimism.

David, and much of his generation, built another American structure on this public legacy and developed a new layer of common, public institutions:

- the Civil Rights Movement, in all of its ramifications;
- women's access and equality;
- the voice of the consumer; and
- the environmental awakening (perhaps most profound right here).

David was dedicated to making our country more economically, socially, and environmentally just. He believed that it was important to break down barriers to equal opportunity in the United States. He worked throughout his life to help ensure that all Americans had access to the educational experiences that underlie individual and societal progress. He valued wilderness and the natural world and wanted to make sure that future generations could enjoy the same experiences he had climbing the mountains of Colorado and running the rivers of the west.

Based on these values, David fought and won the $Boldt^2$ decision that gave Native Americans in Washington access to salmon fisheries. He founded and ran the Native American Rights Fund. His knowledge and work ethic made him a preeminent resource in the creation of wilderness areas and the establishment of water rights within them. He helped

^{2.} United States v. Washington, 384 F. Supp. 312 (W.D. Wash. 1974), aff'd and remanded, 520 F.2d 676 (9th Cir. 1975).

2013]

broker the agreement that recognized the water rights of the Southern Ute and Mountain Ute Tribes in southwest Colorado. He created the Natural Resources Law Center here. And, as Dean, he rebuilt this law school—as is reflected not only in this building but also in the diverse and dynamic scholars he recruited.

His life's work demonstrated that the law, the legislature, and the courts can be made to work for the disadvantaged, the disenfranchised, and the environment. But, as David—ever the cheerful optimist—often pointed out, this work was getting harder all the time. [For example]:

- our environment is more threatened than ever, with accurate measurements that tell this important and depressing story;
- battles for equal opportunity have had mixed results at best, with income indices for minorities, immigration law, and even the rights of women now under broad assault; and
- the gap between rich and poor has grown dramatically; while our historic investments in public institutions have frayed for one America, the other America lives within a gated community, with access to quality schools, good health care, and real economic opportunity.

David would be wrapping his head around these huge challenges, urging his faculty to join, and leading his students in a set of new commitments for what is right for America. [David would ask the following questions]:

- What is the role of corporations in governance? Is there an antidote to their enormous concentration of economic and political power?; and
- How should financial institutions be regulated? They were once considered akin to public utilities, and now seem to have become vehicles for private gain. Is this right, and if not, what is the solution?

As John Leshy described so well, the Executive [branch] and Congress are under attack, so too are the courts.³ Who else

^{3.} Leshy, *supra* note 1.

can adjudicate questions of the common good, and will they maintain their more progressive role?

Work used to be defined in pretty much the same way, and so were incomes. But modern specialization has led to great disparities. Is capitalism too efficient?

Under what condition might our country, and the world, accept a climate treaty? What building blocks must first be in place, and what new legal regimes will be required?

Finally, and perhaps most important[ly], how might we deal with the tangled and destructive campaign finance system? From the earliest days of the Republic, special interests have attempted to purchase politicians and political outcomes. What is different today is the size and pervasiveness of the money involved, the growing veil of secrecy surrounding political money, and the apparent naiveté or indifference of our highest court to this powerful, corrupting, and stultifying political cancer. As Justice Stevens wrote in his dissent to *Citizens United*, "A democracy cannot function effectively when its constituent members believe laws are being bought and sold."⁴

These are some of the major issues of today and tomorrow. As [Professor Sarah Krakoff] wrote in her invitation to this symposium, David lived several lives in one. His scholarship was as far reaching as his curiosity and grew to assume new issues and to try to understand new challenges. As he opened up Indian law, forged some order from the chaos of water law, codified new approaches to natural resource law, and even tried to streamline and reform the Department of the Interior, David demonstrated his enormous capacity, his ethical standards for how we should operate, and his moral code for right and wrong.

He had built his school, grown his faculty, and pointed the enterprise in the direction he had calibrated. I am certain that [now] he would [turn] his attention to the great emerging challenges of today and to ways in which the law could contribute. His cheerful leadership would have helped to sketch new definitions of the public good.

We are left behind to do that work without him. I suspect that we all know what he would [urge] us to do. So let us get with it before the country slips away. Time is short and there is so much to do. Thank you.

^{4.} Citizens United v. Fed. Election Comm'n, 558 U.S. 310 (2010).

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO LAW REVIEW

. .

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO LAW REVIEW

.

. .

.

26	STA	ED STATES ML SERVICE • (All Periodica			ions Except Reque	ster Publications)	
1. Putcation Toe 2 University of Colorado Law Review					adon Mumber	3 Filing Date August 27, 2012	
4. base Progency Supervision Statements				6 Numb	er of Issues Published Annually	8 Annual Subectplian Price US \$37	
7. Complete Mating Address of Known Office of Publication (Not printer) (Street, c				aty. co	FOLUT (4) unity: state, and ZIP+45)	Others \$40 Contact Person Sara Schnittgrund	
320-D Wolf Law Building Boulder Boulder 80309-0						(303) 492-6145	
8 Complete Mating Address of Headquarters of General Business OCce of Public 320-D Wolf Law Building Boulder					Not printer)		
Boulder, Colorado 80309-0401							
S. Fold Harmes and Comparies Matting Advances of Robitmer, Editor, and Managing Editor (De not have admit) Publishing Plannes and comparies analing address) 401 UCCB University (O Colorizado Law Review Review De Notice CO							
320-D Wolf Law Building 80309-0401							
Estar (Name and comparison making accines) 320-D Wolf Law Building Jessica Smith 401 UCB							
University of Colorado Law Review Boulder, CO 80309-0401 Hawaging EGur /Reve and complete macing address) 320-D Wolf Law Building							
Katherine Nelson 401 UCB University of Colorado Law Review Boulder, CO 80309-0401							
10. Owner (Do not have clash. If the packable is owned by a corporation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by the names and addresses of a disciplicity owned to provide or that is a name of the total and owned to be a corporation, give the							
10. Owner (D) not kann stark. If the publication is simple by a corporation, give the nome and address of the corporation menotently followed by the same and addresses of all acclusters contributed handling. If we have been of the table amount of data, if not control by a corporation, give the same and addresses of all acclusters controls. The model by a corporation graduate the same and addresses of all acclusters are addresses for all accounts in the same of the table and tables are table and tables are tables and tables are tables and tables are tables and tables are tables at tables are tables at tables and tables are tables at tab							
					iversity of Colorado Law Review		
					20-D Wolf Law Building 01 UCB		
		·					
Boukler, CO 80309							
11, Known Bore Holding 1 Pe	shok MOR	ters, Mortgagese, and Other Becurity Holders (nt or More of Total Amount of Bonds, Mortgage	Owning or 8. or				
11. Incom Bondholm, Ledogages and Cherl Becury Hotes Coveling or Hoteling (Provident Meter State), Manager, or Other Scantifies, if none, direct box 7. It State Complete Mailing Address							
12. Tax Status (The purpose 30. Mar Mol	Por i	completion by nonprofit organizations authoriza notion, and nonprofit status of this organization urged During Preceding 12 Months	end to mail at m and the even		t ratea) (Check one) tas for federal income tas purpoets	e	
This Not Calculate Design Fractality (2 Months (Rubbler must subsitility spherection of change with this statement) The Calculation Table 14. Issue Date for Carcelion Date Below							
University of Colorado Law Review					Vol. 83, Issues 1-4	83:4	
15 Extent and Nature of Circulation					Average No. Copies Each issue During Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date	
a. Total Number	at	Copies (Net press run)			379	385	
	e1)	Maßed Oxtside-County Paid Subecriptions 8 PS Form 3541 (Include paid distribution abov nel rate, advertise/'s proof copies, and excha copies)	tatad on 19 nomi- Inge		263.75	262	
 D. Peid Circulation (By Mail and Cutation (Dutation (Dutation) 	R)	Mailed In-County Peld Subscriptions Stated o Form 3541 (Include paid distribution showe n rate, edvertiser's proof oppiet, and exchange	on PS iomitta/ i copies)		5.5	6	
	63	Paid Distribution Outside the Mails including Through Dealers and Carriers, Street Vendor Sales, and Other Paid Distribution Outside U	Bales ra, Counter ISPS/3		0	0	
	(4)	Paid Distribution by Other Classes of Mail Tr the USPS (e.g. First-Class Mail®)	rough ·		12	12	
c. Total Peld Distribution (Sum of 150 (1), (2), (3), and (4))					281.25	280	
	(1)	Free or Nominal Rate Outside-County Copies included on P6 Form 3541			0	0	
d. Free or Homenal Reta Distribution (By Mas and Cutside the Mall)	(2)	Pres or Nominal Rate In-County Copies Inch on P8 Form 3341			0	0	
	5	Free or Nominal Rate Copies Maleri et Othe Classes Through the USPS (e.g. First-Class	r Mail)		. 0	0	
	(4)	Free or Nominal Rate Distribution Outside th (Carriers or other means)	ne Maß	•	o	0	
e. Total Free or Nominel Rate Distribution (Sum of 15d (1), (2), (3) and (4))					0	0	
t. Total Distribution (Sum of 15c and 15e)			• • •		281.25	280	
Copes not councillate (see introducing to reasoning and (page 4.))					386.75	377	
Percent Paid (15o divided by 18f times 100)					100	100	
16. Edit@Edit@Egiof Statement of Ownership							
in the <u>84:1</u> selve of Price publication. 17. Significative and Type of Sector Programme, Business Manager, or Owner Dele							
Jessica Smith, EIC						August 27, 2012	
Contry the all information. Looked on this form a true and complete. Lookensand that enjone who bimished base or inspecting information on the form or each origin means or information requested on the form may be added to orthinal sendate (inducing fires and inprocursion) anable of all sendators (generation) of the matter). PB Form 33268, September 2007							

UNITED STATES Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO LAW REVIEW

•