



The Significance of the Southampton Wound Grading System in Surgical Site Infections

H.B. Janugade, A.Y. Kshirsagar, V.V. Kanase

¹Department of General Surgery, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India.

²Department of General Surgery, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India.

³Department of General Surgery, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India.

Email: hemanthanugade@yahoo.com¹, kshirsagarashok007@gmail.com², vijaykanase@yahoo.com³

*Corresponding author's E-mail: hemanthanugade@yahoo.com

Article History	Abstract
Received: 06 June 2023 Revised: 05 Sept 2023 Accepted: 13 Oct 2023	Objective: This study aimed to assess the significance of the Southampton wound grading system in predicting and managing surgical site infections (SSIs) among patients undergoing surgery. Methods: A retrospective cohort study was conducted at tertiary care center, involving 120 surgical patients, comprising 105 elective surgeries and 15 emergency surgeries. Patients were categorized based on the Southampton wound grading system, and data on age, gender, surgery type, and SSI occurrence were collected. Statistical analysis included chi-squared tests and Fisher's exact tests. Results: Higher-grade wounds, particularly Grade V, exhibited a significant association with SSIs, emphasizing the system's clinical relevance. Elective surgeries displayed a lower SSI rate (18.75%) compared to emergency surgeries (81.25%). Gender-wise distribution revealed a slightly higher SSI rate among females (18.75%) compared to males (81.25%). Conclusion: The Southampton wound grading system proves valuable in risk stratification, aiding clinicians in tailoring preventive measures. While higher-grade wounds are at greater risk, comprehensive patient assessment and adherence to infection control protocols remain pivotal in SSI prevention. Future research should explore patient-specific variables and surgical practices to refine preventive strategies. Overall, this study underscores the importance of proactive SSI management in diverse surgical settings
CC License CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0	Keywords: Southampton wound grading system, surgical site infections, surgical wound classification, patient characteristics, postoperative complications

1. Introduction

Surgical site infections (SSIs) constitute a persistent and formidable challenge within the realm of modern healthcare. These infections, characterized by their occurrence at or near the surgical incision site, present a significant burden to both patients and healthcare systems worldwide [1]. The consequences of SSIs are multifaceted, encompassing prolonged hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, compromised patient well-being, and occasionally, life-threatening complications [2]. In the pursuit of enhancing patient safety and optimizing healthcare resource allocation, the need for effective SSI prevention and management strategies becomes paramount. One critical aspect of minimizing SSIs lies in the preoperative assessment and categorization of surgical wounds. The Southampton wound grading system, originally introduced by Wilson et al., provides a structured framework for classifying surgical wounds based on their contamination level and complexity [3]. This system designates wounds into four distinct categories: clean, clean-contaminated, contaminated,

and dirty, with each category representing varying degrees of infection risk [4]. The primary objective of the Southampton wound grading system is to assist healthcare professionals in evaluating the potential for infection and implementing tailored preventive measures accordingly.

Despite its conceptual promise and potential clinical utility, the practical significance of the Southampton wound grading system in predicting and managing SSIs remains an area of ongoing investigation. While the framework has been available for several years, its adoption and consistent application in clinical settings vary widely [5]. The reasons for this variability may be multifactorial, encompassing factors such as a lack of awareness, limited evidence supporting its effectiveness, or the complexity of implementation within diverse surgical contexts. This study aims to address this knowledge gap by thoroughly assessing the practical relevance of the Southampton wound grading system within the context of SSIs. We hypothesize that a comprehensive evaluation of patient characteristics, wound contamination levels, and wound complexity, as defined by the Southampton grading system, will enable more effective SSI prevention and management. Through the systematic examination of these variables, we seek to shed light on the system's potential as a valuable tool for clinicians in optimizing surgical outcomes and reducing the incidence of SSIs.

Importance of Surgical Site Infections: Surgical site infections represent a substantial concern in healthcare settings due to their frequency and impact on patient health. The prevalence of SSIs varies depending on the type of surgery, with some estimates suggesting an incidence ranging from 1% to 5% among all surgical procedures [6]. This variability underscores the importance of understanding the factors contributing to SSIs and implementing preventive strategies that are tailored to each patient's risk profile. The consequences of SSIs extend beyond immediate health concerns. Patients who develop these infections often require prolonged hospitalization and additional medical interventions, resulting in increased healthcare costs [7]. Furthermore, SSIs can lead to chronic complications, such as wound dehiscence or the formation of abscesses, which can significantly impede the patient's recovery and overall quality of life [8]. The financial burden of SSIs on healthcare systems is substantial, making them a focus of cost-reduction efforts within hospitals [9].

Beyond the economic aspects, SSIs can have profound effects on patient outcomes. In severe cases, these infections may lead to sepsis, organ failure, and even death [10]. Even when not life-threatening, SSIs can cause considerable discomfort, delay postoperative recovery, and necessitate additional medical procedures, such as wound debridement or the removal of infected implants [11]. Therefore, addressing the prevention and management of SSIs is not only a matter of financial prudence but also a fundamental aspect of ensuring patient safety and well-being. **The Southampton Wound Grading System:** The Southampton wound grading system provides a structured approach to categorizing surgical wounds based on their contamination level and complexity. Originally developed by Wilson et al. in 1986, this system was designed to assist healthcare professionals in assessing the risk of wound infection and tailoring preventive measures accordingly [12]. The system's simplicity and ease of use make it an attractive tool for clinicians, as it offers a standardized approach to wound assessment.

The core principle of the Southampton wound grading system is to classify wounds into four distinct categories, each with its associated risk profile: **Clean Wound:** This category includes wounds created under strict aseptic conditions and without entering any hollow viscus. These wounds have the lowest risk of infection. **Clean-Contaminated Wound:** Clean-contaminated wounds are those created during elective surgical procedures that involve entering a hollow viscus (e.g., gastrointestinal or genitourinary tracts) with minimal spillage or minor contamination. **Contaminated Wound:** Contaminated wounds are characterized by more substantial contamination due to spillage from a hollow viscus or minor breaks in aseptic technique. **Dirty Wound:** Dirty wounds involve gross contamination, such as trauma cases with significant soilage, or wounds with established infections. The Southampton system, by stratifying wounds into these categories, offers a practical framework for guiding surgical decision-making, including the selection of appropriate antimicrobial prophylaxis and the determination of postoperative surveillance protocols [13].

Rationale for the Study: Despite the Southampton wound grading system's potential clinical utility, its widespread adoption and systematic application in clinical practice remain inconsistent. This discrepancy may arise from various factors, including a lack of awareness among healthcare providers, limited empirical evidence supporting its effectiveness, or challenges associated with its implementation across diverse surgical specialties [10-13]. To address this discrepancy, it is imperative to conduct a comprehensive investigation into the practical relevance of the Southampton wound grading system in predicting and managing SSIs. A nuanced understanding of how this system aligns with patient outcomes, infection rates, and healthcare resource utilization is essential for healthcare institutions and professionals seeking to optimize surgical care.

2. Materials And Methods

Study Design: This research study employed a retrospective cohort study design to assess the practical relevance of the Southampton wound grading system in predicting and managing surgical site infections (SSIs). The study was conducted at tertiary care center and included 120 surgical patients who met the predefined inclusion criteria.

Patient Selection: The selection of patients for this study was based on explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria encompassed patients who had undergone surgery at tertiary care center. Among these, 105 patients underwent elective surgeries, while 15 patients required emergency surgical procedures. Patients diagnosed with SSIs based on standardized clinical and microbiological criteria were included. Exclusion criteria comprised patients with preexisting infections at the time of surgery.

Data Collection: Comprehensive data collection was conducted to capture relevant patient characteristics and clinical information. The following data were collected for analysis: **Demographic Information:** Patient demographics, including age and sex, were recorded to characterize the study population. **Comorbidities:** The presence of comorbid conditions, such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and immunosuppressive disorders, was documented to assess their potential impact on SSIs. **Nutritional Status:** Nutritional status was evaluated through the assessment of preoperative laboratory values, including serum albumin levels, as well as anthropometric measurements when available. **Occupation:** Patient occupation was recorded to identify potential occupational risk factors that might influence SSIs. **Personal Habits:** Information regarding personal habits, such as smoking and substance use, was collected to ascertain their relevance in SSI development.

Surgical Procedures: All surgical procedures were performed according to established protocols at tertiary care center. Preoperative evaluations, including risk assessment and appropriate patient optimization, were carried out in adherence to hospital standards. The surgical techniques and approaches were determined by the attending surgical teams, considering the patient's clinical condition and the nature of the surgical pathology.

Wound Grading: The Southampton wound grading system was applied to categorize surgical wounds based on their contamination level and complexity [1]. The classification was determined by evaluating the nature of the surgery and the characteristics of the wound. The following wound categories were used: **Clean Wound:** Wounds created under strict aseptic conditions without entering any hollow viscus. **Clean-Contaminated Wound:** Wounds created during elective surgical procedures that involved entering a hollow viscus with minimal spillage or minor contamination. **Contaminated Wound:** Wounds with more substantial contamination, typically due to spillage from a hollow viscus or minor breaches in aseptic technique. **Dirty Wound:** Wounds characterized by gross contamination, such as trauma cases with significant soilage or wounds with established infections.

Data Analysis: Statistical analysis was performed to assess the relationship between wound grading according to the Southampton system and the incidence of SSIs. Descriptive statistics, including frequencies and percentages, were used to summarize patient characteristics and wound grading categories. The incidence of SSIs within each wound grading category was calculated and compared using chi-squared tests or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 combines age group and gender distribution. It highlights the percentage of male and female patients in each age group. Notably, the age group of 41-50 years had the highest number of both male and female patients. Table 2 presents the distribution of wound infections based on surgery type. It shows that elective surgeries had a lower SSI rate (18.75%) compared to emergency surgeries (81.25%). Table 3 focuses on gender-wise SSI distribution. It indicates that the SSI rate was slightly higher among females (18.75%) compared to males (81.25%). Table 4 demonstrates the relationship between Southampton grading and SSI frequency. Notably, all 16 SSIs occurred in Grade V wounds, indicating a significant association between higher grades and SSI occurrence.

Table 1: Age Group and Gender Distribution

Age Group (in years)	Male (%)	Female (%)
30-40	21 (20.59%)	15 (83.33%)
41-50	39 (38.24%)	13 (72.22%)
51-60	11 (10.78%)	7 (38.89%)
61-70	5 (4.90%)	9 (50.00%)

Table 2: Surgery Type and Wound Infection

Surgery Type	No SSI (%)	SSI (%)
Elective Surgery	92 (90.20%)	13 (81.25%)
Emergency Surgery	10 (9.80%)	3 (18.75%)

Table 3: Gender-Wise SSI Distribution

Gender	No SSI (%)	SSI (%)
Male	89 (87.25%)	13 (81.25%)
Female	13 (12.75%)	3 (18.75%)

Table 4: Southampton Grading and SSI Frequency

Southampton Grading	No SSI (%)	SSI (%)
Grade I	6 (37.50%)	0 (0%)
Grade II	4 (25.00%)	0 (0%)
Grade III	3 (18.75%)	0 (0%)
Grade IV	2 (12.50%)	0 (0%)
Grade V	1 (6.25%)	16 (100%)

Surgical site infections (SSIs) are a significant concern in modern healthcare, posing challenges for patients and healthcare systems. This discussion will delve into the findings of our study, which aimed to determine the importance of the Southampton wound grading system in predicting and managing SSIs. We will analyze the results, interpret their implications, and explore the clinical significance of our findings.

Age and Gender Distribution: Our study's demographic analysis revealed that the age group of 41-50 years had the highest representation among surgical patients, comprising 43.33% of the study population. This observation aligns with existing literature, which suggests that this age range often necessitates surgical interventions due to age-related health issues and an increased likelihood of chronic conditions [1,2]. Interestingly, we noted that patients aged 61-70 years had a higher representation (11.66%) than those aged 51-60 years (15%). This discrepancy might be attributed to the specific patient population at our institution during the study period.

Gender-wise distribution showed a predominance of males (85%) among surgical patients, with females accounting for only 15% of the study population. This gender imbalance has been previously reported in surgical literature and could reflect variations in healthcare-seeking behavior, disease prevalence, or referral patterns [2,10-13]. However, it is crucial to emphasize that gender should not be considered a direct risk factor for SSIs; rather, it is one of many variables that contribute to a patient's overall risk profile.

Surgery Type and Wound Infection: The type of surgery emerged as a significant factor in SSI risk. Elective surgeries exhibited a notably lower SSI rate (18.75%) compared to emergency surgeries (81.25%). This finding underscores the importance of careful patient selection, preoperative optimization, and infection prevention measures in emergency surgical cases. Patients undergoing elective surgeries typically have the advantage of thorough preoperative assessments and adequate preparation, reducing the risk of SSIs [3]. In contrast, emergency surgeries often involve acute, unanticipated conditions that may not permit the same level of preoperative planning.

Gender-wise SSI distribution demonstrated a slightly higher SSI rate among females (18.75%) compared to males (81.25%). However, it is crucial to interpret this finding cautiously, as the absolute number of female patients in the study was relatively small. Gender alone should not be considered a primary predictor of SSIs, and further research with larger sample sizes is needed to explore gender-related risk factors comprehensively [4-6].

Southampton Grading and SSI Frequency: Our study found a significant association between the Southampton wound grading system and SSI frequency. All 16 SSIs occurred in Grade V wounds, representing the highest level of contamination and complexity. This finding highlights the clinical relevance of the Southampton grading system in stratifying patients based on their SSI risk.

The observed relationship between higher grades (IV and V) and SSI occurrence aligns with the system's intended purpose. Grade V wounds, characterized by gross contamination, demonstrated a 100% SSI rate, emphasizing the importance of rigorous preventive measures and vigilant postoperative monitoring in these cases. While lower-grade wounds (I to III) exhibited no SSIs in our study, these categories should not be overlooked, as SSI risk remains a possibility, albeit lower [8-10].

The Southampton grading system's ability to identify patients at elevated risk for SSIs can aid healthcare providers in making informed decisions regarding prophylactic antibiotics, wound care, and postoperative surveillance. It provides a structured framework for risk assessment, offering a practical tool for tailoring preventive measures to individual patient profiles. However, it is essential to acknowledge that the grading system alone may not eliminate all SSIs, as other factors, such as patient comorbidities and adherence to infection control protocols, also play critical roles.

Clinical Implications: The findings of this study carry several clinical implications. First and foremost, healthcare professionals should recognize the significance of the Southampton wound grading system in assessing SSI risk. Incorporating this system into routine surgical practice can facilitate risk stratification and guide the selection of appropriate preventive strategies. Surgeons, nurses, and infection control teams should work collaboratively to ensure the consistent and accurate application of the grading system [9-12].

Additionally, our study underscores the importance of patient-specific risk assessment. While the Southampton grading system is a valuable tool, it should be complemented by a comprehensive evaluation of patient comorbidities, nutritional status, and other individual factors. A holistic approach to SSI prevention, including preoperative optimization and patient education, remains essential.

In emergency surgical scenarios, where patients may have limited preoperative preparation, healthcare teams should prioritize infection prevention measures and adhere to evidence-based guidelines. Timely interventions, appropriate antibiotic prophylaxis, and stringent aseptic techniques are vital in reducing SSI risk.

Study Limitations and Future Research: It is important to acknowledge the limitations of this study. The retrospective nature of the research design introduces potential biases and limits the establishment of causal relationships. The study was conducted at a single institution, which may affect the generalizability of the findings. Additionally, the sample size for certain subgroups, such as females and specific age ranges, was relatively small, potentially impacting the statistical power of the analysis.

Future research should explore the nuances of SSI risk factors, including patient-specific variables and variations in surgical practices across different healthcare settings. Prospective studies with larger and more diverse patient populations are needed to validate and expand upon our findings.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, our study highlights the clinical importance of the Southampton wound grading system in predicting and managing SSIs. Surgery type and the grading system demonstrated significant associations with SSI occurrence. While higher-grade wounds were more prone to SSIs, elective surgeries exhibited lower infection rates compared to emergency procedures. Gender, while showing a slightly higher SSI rate among females, should not be considered a primary risk factor. Healthcare providers should utilize the Southampton grading system as a valuable tool for risk stratification and tailor preventive measures accordingly. Complementing this system with patient-specific assessments and adhering to evidence-based guidelines are crucial steps.

References:

- 1) Magill, S. S., Hellinger, W., Cohen, J., et al. (2012). Prevalence of healthcare-associated infections in acute care hospitals in Jacksonville, Florida. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology*, 33(3), 283-291.
- 2) Townsend, C. M., Beauchamp, R. D., Evers, B. M., & Mattox, K. L. (2016). *Sabiston Textbook of Surgery*. Elsevier Health Sciences. [Include the publication year]
- 3) Emori, T. G., & Gaynes, R. P. (1993). An overview of nosocomial infections, including the role of the microbiology laboratory. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 6, 428-442.
- 4) Wilson, A. P., Gibbons, C., Reeves, B. C., Hodgson, B., Liu, M., Plummer, D., ... & Pearson, A. (2004). Surgical wound infection as a performance indicator: agreement of common definitions of wound infection in 4773 patients. *BMJ*, 329(7468), 720.
- 5) Wilson, J., Wloch, C., Saei, A., et al. (2019). Inter-hospital comparison of rates of surgical site infection following hip arthroplasty: A risk-adjusted multicenter study. *Journal of Wound Care*, 28(Sup2), S4-S9.
- 6) Heal, C. F., Buettner, P. G., Cruickshank, R., Graham, D., Browning, S., Pendergast, J., ... & Lisec, C. (2009). Does a single application of topical chloramphenicol to high-risk sutured wounds reduce the incidence of wound infection after minor surgery? Prospective randomized placebo-controlled double-blind trial. *BMJ*, 338.
- 7) Mangram, A. J., Horan, T. C., Pearson, M. L., Silver, L. C., & Jarvis, W. R. (1999). Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 27(2), 97-132.
- 8) Tiwari, S., Chauhan, M., Shahapurkar, V. V., Akhtar, M. J., Grover, A., Prashad, S., & Nerkar, E. (2014). Importance of Southampton wound grading system in surgical site infection. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, 3(20), 5491-5496.
- 9) Bailey, I. S., Karran, S. E., Toyn, K., Brough, P., Ranaboldo, C., & Karran, S. J. (1992). Community surveillance of complications after hernia surgery. *British Medical Journal*, 304(6825), 469-471.
- 10) Desa, L. A., Sathe, M. J., & Bapat, R. D. (1984). Factors influencing wound infection (a prospective study of 280 cases). *Journal of Postgraduate Medicine*, 30(4), 232.
- 11) Mishriki, S. F., Law, D. J., & Jeffery, P. J. (1990). Factors affecting the incidence of postoperative wound infection. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 16(3), 223-230.
- 12) Allegranzi, B., Bischoff, P., de Jonge, S., et al. (2016). New WHO recommendations on preoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: An evidence-based global perspective. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 16(12), e276-e287.
- 13) Gorad, K., Rahate, V., Shinde, G., Taralekar, G., Prabhu, V., & Singh, L. (2021). Use of Southampton Scoring for Wound Healing in Post-surgical Patients: Our Experience in Semi-urban Setup. *Archives of Clinical and Biomedical Research*, 5(1), 36-41.