



Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences

http://www.jebas.org

ISSN No. 2320 - 8694

Assessment of bacterial diversity in the chicken litter: A potent risk to environmental health

Sunil Kumar^{1, 2*}, Razique Anwer³, Neera Mehra⁴, Tamanna Devi², Mukesh Yadav², Nirmala Sehrawat², Anil Kumar Sharma²

¹Department of Microbiology, Kampala International University, Western Campus, Ishaka City, UGANDA
²Department of Biotechnology, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be) University, Mullana (Ambala City), Haryana, INDIA
³Department of Pathology, College of Medicine, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA
⁴Department of Zoology, Swami Shraddhanand College, University of Delhi, Alipur, Delhi, INDIA

Received – March 07, 2023; Revision – May 31, 2023; Accepted – August 07, 2023 Available Online – August 31, 2023

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2023.11(4).640.649

KEYWORDS

Antibiotics

Antimicrobial-Resistance Genes (ARGs)

Chicken Litters

Manure

Soil

ABSTRACT

Using chicken litter as an organic fertilizer on land is the most common, cheapest and environmentally safest way to manage the latter generated swiftly from the poultry industry. Raw chicken litter has been applied to field soils where various vegetables are cropped to increase yield or productivity. However, the chicken litter frequently come in contact with different environments, such as water, soil, microbes and vegetation. When chickens defecate, their litters, in a few countries, are particularly reused for the next flock, potentially causing cross-contamination. Due to various contact points in the environment, a high probability of bacterial transmission is predicted, which could lead to infection spread in animals and humans. Consumption of contaminated water, food, and meat could lead to the transmission of deadly infections. Microbes in the chicken litter also affect the grazing animals while feeding on fields duly applied with chicken litter as manure. The maximum permissible limits (MPLs) in the chicken litter for land application should not exceed 10^{6} - 10^{8} CFU/g for Coliform bacteria. Antibiotics are regularly mixed in the diet or drinking water of chicken grown in marketable poultry farms for treating bacterial diseases. Rampant usage of antimicrobials also results in resistant bacteria's survival in animal excreta. Herein, we surveyed the literature to identify the major bacterial genus harboured in the fields applied with chicken manure to increase soil fertility. Our detailed survey identified different bacterial pathogens from chicken litter samples from different investigations. Most studies showed the prevalence of Campylobacter, Salmonella, Enterococcus, E. coli, Bacillus, Comamonas, Proteus and Citrobacter,

* Corresponding author

E-mail: sunilhr10h@gmail.com, kumar.sunil@kiu.ac.ug (Sunil Kumar)

Peer review under responsibility of Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences.

Production and Hosting by Horizon Publisher India [HPI] (http://www.horizonpublisherindia.in/). All rights reserved. All the articles published by Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License Based on a work at www.jebas.org.



including many other bacterial species in the chicken litter samples. This article suggested that chicken litter does not meet the standard parameters for direct application as organic fertilizer in the fields. Before being applied to the ground, chicken litter should be treated to lessen the danger of polluting crops or water supplies by reducing the prevalence of harmful bacteria carrying antibiotic-resistance genes.

1 Introduction

Poultry litter may have various environmental health issues when applied to agricultural land. Despite its role in improving soil fertility, it serves as a pipeline for transmitting bacterial species to the environment carrying different antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) (Gurmessa et al. 2020; Kubasova et al. 2022). Antibiotics are used in chicken farming for treating infections caused by various microbes, including bacteria, fungi and protozoans and as growth promoters (Amarsy et al. 2021; Muhammad et al. 2022). Bacterial pathogens also carry ARGs and multidrug-resistant (MDR) genes in the digestive tract (food pipe to the gut) of farm animals and afterwards in their waste (IFT 2006; Subirats et al. 2020). Livestock manure/litter is the major origin of ARGs in the soil ecosystem in agricultural fields (Peng et al. 2017). Chander et al. (2007) stated that antibiotic resistance is one of the leading human health challenges, and it seems that the world is worryingly close to going back to the time before antibiotics. Due to the growing demand for meat products and eggs, poultry is one of the top-growing agro-based industries worldwide (Bolan et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2022).

Chicken excreta or litter is the waste created in huge amounts all over the globe (Aires 2009; Dornelas et al. 2023). Poultry litter remains a serious concern, and it is comprised of excrement, feathers, stray feed, dietary products and support matrix, which can differ as per the national and local conditions, like sawdust, shavings, nut shell, rice husk and others (Sanchuki et al. 2011). The chicken litter contains different pathogenic or non-pathogenic microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, pathogenic protozoa, helminthes, and viruses (Figure 1). Besides carrying pathogenic microoranisms harboring antimicrobials resistance genes, chicken litter also possesses growth hormones, sex hormones like estrogen, testosterone and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, heavy metals and pesticides (Deng et al. 2020). Traditionally, Antibiotics are used in broiler feed on typical or conventional farms to assist in rejuvenating growth and upgrade feed efficiency (Threlfall et al. 2000). Castanon noted that, as a result of recent developments in the study of MDR microbes, the U.S. government has stopped using antibiotics as a preventive measure, whilst the E.U. has banned their usage in poultry feed and as the growth promoters (Castanon 2007). Recently, microbial energy generation systems, i.e., bioelectrochemical systems (BESs), have been used as



Figure 1 Different types of pathogenic or non-pathogenic microorganisms in the chicken litter

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org emerging sustainable technology with wide applications like wastewater treatment, heavy metal removal and biofuel production (Apollon et al. 2022). Such BESs systems can be proven helpful for managing chicken litter samples.

Antibiotic-resistant microbes spread into human and animal populations through a variety of routes like irrigation water, natural water, portable water and various eatables such as fruits, vegetables and other items (Kumar et al. 2021a; Kumar et al. 2021b; Kumar et al. 2021c; Kumar et al. 2021d; Kumar et al. 2022). Such resistant bacteria are passed in faeces, which can invade other organisms and share extrachromosomal genetic material called plasmids with neighbouring bacteria (Castanon 2007; Dhanarani et al. 2009; Olofinsae et al. 2022). Nsofor et al. (2021) gave systematic data that antibiotic use approaches are hardly followed in Nigeria, and antimicrobials are broadly utilized in the poultry industry without any check. Another study demonstrated that over 83% of poultry ranchers use tetracyclines, 50% use tylosin, 40% use gentamicin and under 30% use enrofloxacin, furazolidone, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, penicillin, ampicillin and streptomycin (Dhanarani et al. 2009). Okonko et al. (2010) demonstrated that tetracycline is generally utilized in African poultry feeds, amongst other accessible antimicrobials. A recent study showed that poultry are of specific interest given their revealed linkage to vancomycin-resistant Enterococci diseases in humans (Fatoba et al. 2022). Antibiotic ratio is a significant element affecting ARGs (Bucher et al. 2020). After applying excrement or chicken litter as manure to fields, bacteria transmit from the animal's digestive tract to the environment carrying ARGs, influencing the environment and health of grazing animals in such areas (Subirats et al. 2020). To address the growing demand without a veterinarian's prescription, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved the use of antimicrobial agents as livestock feed supplements in 1951 (Rothrock et al. 2016). The present study aimed to survey the detailed literature for identifying the major bacterial genera that harboured in the chicken litter before being applied to the fields without treatment to increase soil fertility.

2 Bacterial Pathogens Found in Poultry Waste

Bolan et al. (2010) reported that microbial population density in poultry excreta or waste could outstrip 10^{10} cells per g of litter, and gram-positive bacteria composed almost 90% of the microbial diversity. According to the available research, *Salmonella spp* and *Campylobacter spp* are those poultry bacteria that mainly cause human foodborne illnesses (Hafez 2005).

2.1 Campylobacter spp.

Campylobacter spp plays a vital role in foodborne diseases and is the leading cause of zoonotic enteric infections across the globe (Li et al. 2022). Diseases caused by Campylobacter in humans are primarily transmitted through the food chain (Giaouris 2023). In the case of a known poultry house infection, there is no confirmation of horizontal or vertical transfer from one flock to another. Microorganisms, on the other hand, can be found in the guts of dead birds. As a result, environmental horizontal transmission appears to be the primary mode of Campylobacter infection in chickens (Dawson et al. 2023). Various slaughter techniques, such as shipping, de-feathering, and evisceration, increase the external Campylobacter load per bird (Hafez et al. 2014). Recently, the role of chicken litter in the ecology of Campylobacter has been revealed by Valeris-Chacin et al. (2022). They examined the association between litter microbiome and Campylobacter throughout the broiler production cycle. Another study suggested that management practices and environmental factors affected Campylobacter in the litter, which was linked with the number of flocks grown over broiler houses, litter, and pH (Oladeinde et al. 2023). The rampant rise of antibiotic-resistant Campylobacter demands advancing antibiotic-alternative methods to curb infections in humans and poultry. Recently, the ability of E. coli Nissle 1917 (EcN) was assessed to reduce C. jejuni colonization in chickens (Helmy et al. 2022). The effect of EcN on the intestinal morphology, immune responses, and gut microbes of chickens was measured. Another study was performed to address the existence of Campylobacter in chicken litter, which was reused for consecutive flocks (Rauber Wurfel et al. 2019). C. jejuni strain was traced as the leading one in the sentinel broilers and in the other environmental samples, suggesting a common and constant source of contamination within the flocks.

2.2 Salmonella spp.

Salmonella is found in a diversified range of foods but is most commonly found in animal products, particularly pig and poultry (Hugas and Beloeil 2014; Wojcicki et al. 2022). S. typhimurium is spread by infected meat, eggs, and dung, among other things (Asefa Kebede and Duga 2022; Igbinosa et al. 2023). When applied to croplands via animal manure, this bacterium, particularly those with antibiotic resistance, poses a major environmental and human health hazard that should be monitored closely (Jeamsripong et al. 2023; Malik et al. 2021). Australia's study showed contamination of 100% of broiler litter samples with Salmonella when reused as Organic Fertilizer on land (Kyakuwaire et al. 2019). Chicken litter is also found contaminated with a vast array of heavy metals and antibiotics in this study. Competitive exclusion factors are temperature and pH, which are crucial in influencing the level of pathogens in chicken litter. Salmonella enterica serovar Heidelberg (S.H.) has shown a high bacterial fitness over others, which survived for 14 days in the reused litter. The microcosm, incubation duration, and microcosm plus Heidelberg strain combination significantly affected the ß diversity of the litter microbiome, including Salmonella (Bucher et

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

al. 2020). Little is known about how the horizontal gene transfer is affected by commensal microbes for antimicrobial resistance. Recently, a study showed that commensal bacteria contribute to reducing the horizontal gene transfer of antibiotic resistance to *Salmonella* (Oladeinde et al. 2022). Authors in this study used shotgun metagenomics and 16S rRNA gene sequencing to demonstrate that chicks were not at a high risk of being colonized by *Salmonella* Heidelberg strains when grown on reused litter.

2.3 Enterococcus spp.

Multi-drug-resistant bacteria such as vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) have enhanced nosocomial infections in humans (Rafey et al. 2022; Simonetti et al. 2018). Enterococci are Gram-positive bacteria that are natural habitats of humans and animals' gastrointestinal tracts with a broad range of species such as E. casseliflavus, E. faecalis, E. faecium, E. gallinarum, E. durans, E. munditi, E. avium and E. hirae (Zhou et al. 2020). Due to their frequent occurrence in human and animal faeces and prolonged environmental survival, Enterococcus spp. has developed into a widespread indicator of faecal contamination in the environment (WHO 2018; Dzelalija et al. 2023). Despite being regarded as commensal in humans, several Enterococcus species have been found as high-ranking (second only to staphylococci) agents responsible for nosocomial infections in humans (Haslam and St. Geme 2018). The presence of Enterococci is the marker of faecal contamination. A comparative examination of genome sequences revealed that E. faecium was found in fertilized soil, which is up to seven weeks older after manure application, as well as in exhaled dust (Rajendiran et al. 2022). Previously, comparable enterococci retention in manure-fertilized soil has been described. Genome sequencing of bacterial species had not been used before to track down the source of faecal contamination (Hodgson et al. 2016). A study from South Africa showed novel enterococci strains of antibiotic-resistant Enterococcus spp. by genomic analysis, which witnessed the transmission of plasmid-borne three AMR genes from chicken litter to agricultural land in South Africa (Fatoba et al. 2022). As per Pubmed search only seven studies has reported the incidence of Enterococcus spp. in the last five years, which suggested the need of more investigation for this bacteria in the chicken litter.

2.4 Escherichia coli

E. coli is a Gram-negative rod-shaped, not spore-forming bacteria. It is found in chicken litter samples harbouring multiple antimicrobial resistance genes (Khong et al. 2022). It could be mobile, i.e. through flagella or some other means, while others may be non-motile or non-flagellated. The bacterial species is a facultative anaerobe that can ferment simple carbohydrates like glucose to end products like produce lactic, acetic, and formic acids; the favourable pH for development is 6.0 to 8.0, but growth

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org can be observed at pH 4.3 and as high as pH 9 to 10 (Mitscherlich and Marth 1984). *E. coli* is an extensive and diversified bacterial group. Most E. coli strains are innocuous, but some strains have developed features, such as the ability to produce toxins, that render them hazardous to humans (Garcia et al. 2010; Woyda et al. 2023).

E. coli may survive in the environment for long periods and multiply in vegetables and other foods. According to the pathogenic mechanism, pathogenic *E. coli* has been divided into six types: (i) Enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), (ii) Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (EPEC), (iii) Enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC), (iv) Enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAggEC), (v) Enteroinvasive *E. coli* (EIEC) and (vi) Attaching and Effacing *E. coli* (A/EEC) (Croxen et al. 2013; WHO 2015).

When chicken litter was used as manure, *E. coli* that were resilient to carbapenems and extended range beta-lactams might have gotten into the ecosystem, and the improper utilization of antibiotics as growth boosters in chicken and poultry diet may be the cause of this (Sebastian et al. 2021). A recent study investigated the antimicrobial resistance profile of *E. coli* isolates of chicken litter samples from pens in a broiler chicken experiment (Khong et al. 2022). This study showed high resistance to tetracycline, cephalothin, streptomycin, ampicillin, sulphonamides, colistin, and imipenem antimicrobials and 22% of *E. coli* isolates were observed with multidrug resistance (MDR) to at least three antimicrobials.

2.5 Bacillus spp.

Bacillus subtilis, *B. pumilus*, and *B. megaterium* are the bacteria generally found in fresh chicken litter but can also be a part of reused or old litter. Typically, these are used as prebiotic-based cleaning products. The results of this study show that treatment with P.B. can accelerate the naturally occurring process of diminishing populations of Enterobacteriaceae, which mainly contain the genus *Escherichia*, hence improving animal health and preventing poultry diseases (De Cesare et al. 2019).

2.6 Comamonas spp.

Four significant species of the genus Comamonas, *C. aquatica*, *C. kerstersii*, *C. terrigena*, and *C. testosteroni*, *are* found in poultry litter. These are the areas where the low-virulence diseases that occasionally affect humans and animals are caused by the cascade cage layers houses' nipple drinking mechanism (Chen et al. 2021).

2.7 Proteus spp.

Proteus species comes under the family Enterobacteriaceae of Gram-negative bacilli. According to reports, the bacterium Proteus mirabilis is one of the major causes of human pneumonia (Lysy et

644

al. 1985) and other infection conditions related to lungs (Wu et al. 2006). Further, 90% of Proteus infections are caused by P. mirabilis, typically in individuals with weakened immune systems (Cordoba et al. 2005). This infection can potentially progress endotoxin-induced sepsis, which causes systematic inflammatory response syndrome and has a 50% fatality risk. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that P. mirabilis can infect the CNS (Central Nervous System) (Kassim et al. 2003). The urea hydrolysis mediated by the enzyme urease produced by this bacterium leads to the development of urolithiasis. The hydrolysis causes an increase in pH that results in the precipitation of crystals of Calcium Phosphate (apatite) and magnesium ammonium phosphate (struvite), which obstructs the urinary system (Mobley and Warren 1987). P. mirailis also contributes to the inability of some avian species to reproduce (Cabassi et al. 2004). Additionally, P. mirabilis has been linked to animal kidney and urinary tract infections in earlier studies (Greenberg et al. 2004).

Research on the biochemical responses of human *P. mirabilis* isolates, and the degree of variation in their traits has been extensively published in the literature (Bergey and Holt 1993). Unfortunately, very scant information is available about *P. mirabilis*, which is of animal origin. Finding biochemical similarities between organisms causing illnesses in humans and animals is crucial, mainly when the biochemical trait is also a virulence factor, like the urease enzyme in *P. mirabilis*, which contributes to the development of kidney stones (Li et al. 2002).

2.8 Citrobacter spp.

Citrobacter genus bacteria and *Salmonella* share similarities in their cell surface antigens and biochemical characteristics because of their close kinship. Pillar et al. (2020) confirmed the close association of *Citrobacter* and *Salmonella* by demonstrating that they share 33% common core genes at the genome level. Their shared evolutionary history and genetic exchange can account for this unusually high genotypic resemblance. *Citrobacter* spp could be mistaken for *Salmonella* due to the characteristics mentioned above. It is also crucial to note that it takes an additional day or two to confirm ambiguous identifications, delaying the results and driving up the cost of analysis (Retchless and Lawrence 2010). *Citrobacter* spp was the second most prevalent bacteria to be retrieved and recognized from chicken litter during research, following *E. coli*, out of 149 isolates (Meshref et al. 2021).

2.9 Other bacterial species

Culture-based bacterial identification indicated *Enterococcus* spp. and *Coliforms*, but culture-independent approaches revealed additional bacteria such as *Globicatella sulfidofaciens*, *Corynebacterium ammoniagenes*, *C. urealyticum*, *Clostridium aminovalericum*, *Arthrobacter* spp and *Denitrobacter*

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org Kumar et al.

permanens. When chicken litter DNA samples were utilized as templates for microbial diversity evaluation, other harmful bacterial species such as Clostridia, Staphylococci, and Bordetella spp were also discovered (Meshref et al. 2021). A study from the USA reported the microbial diversity associated with offensive odour modification for the production of fertilizers from chicken litter in the USA (Enticknap et al. 2006). Bacterial strains such as Atopostipessuicloacalis, Aerococcus viridians, C. ammoniagenes, Facklamia sourekii, Brevibacterium avium. Jeotgalicoccus spp, Salinicoccus halodurans. Virgibacillus marismortui, Staphylococcus arlettae, Staphylococcus cohnii and Bacilli hackensackii were found to be prominent in both wet and dry poultry litter samples, out of Staphylococcus, Salinicoccus, Virgibacillus, which Jeotgalicoccus, Facklamia, Brevibacterium and Bacilli were found to be dominant (Wadud et al. 2012).

The impact of fresh or used excrement on the maturation of broiler chicken's immune systems has recently been described, in addition to the relationship between the kind of litter and gut microbiota, demonstrating the intricate relationship between the development of immune cells and the type of litter used for chicken growth (Lee et al. 2011; Torok et al. 2009).

3 Conclusions and Future Prospects

This study showed that multiple bacterial species have been identified from poultry litter because the gut of animals acts like their habitat. Various bacterial species have previously been isolated from chicken litter in many investigations where Enterococcus faecium, Proteus mirabilis, and *Campylobacter jejuni* have been identified as the most common ones. These pathogenic bacteria transmitted to the environment cause several diseases in animals and humans after coming in contact with infected chicken litter. Studies have also proved that some are responsible for transmitting antimicrobial resistance genes in the environment when they come in contact through any means. There is a dire need to periodically perform environmental safety surveys to trace harmful bacteria in the region where chicken litter is regularly applied in the agricultural fields.

This study highlighted the presence of different bacteria in the chicken litter in the other geographical regions. An economically viable solution is highly suggested for waste disposal from chicken houses to produce a natural fertilizer by a suitable treatment procedure, which can be commercially marketed for garden and commercial use. Treatment or modification of chicken litter includes enzymatic treatment and addition of Streptomyces sp. spores during composting, which may lead to achieving maximum benefits. The future directions underscore the efforts towards effectively converting chicken litter into a valuable fertilizer to alleviate the negative environmental effects.

Conflict of interest disclosure

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material

Data is transparent and will be available when required.

Code availability

Custom codes.

Author contributions

Sunil Kumar planned the study. Mukesh Yadav, Nirmala Sehrawat & Tamanna Devi drafted the manuscript. Razique Anwer monitored the content and arranged the references. Razique Anwer, Anil Kumar Sharma & Neera Mehra reviewed the grammar and English check manuscript.

Ethics approval

Not required.

Funding

No funding was obtained for this study.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge the support provided by Prof. Anil Kumar Sharma, Head of the department of Biotechnology, MM Deemed to be University to perform this study.

References

Aires, A. (2009). Biodigestão anaeróbica da cama da cama de frangos de corte com ou sem separação das frações sólida e líquida. Master's thesis, Faculdade de Ciências Agrárias e Veterinárias-UNESP Jaboticabal, Brazil.

Amarsy, R., Jacquier, H., Munier, A.L., et al. (2021). Outbreak of NDM-1-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae in the intensive care unit during the COVID-19 pandemic: Another nightmare. *American Journal of Infection Control*, *49*, 1324-1326. doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2021.07.004

Apollon, W., Iryna, R., Nancy, G.G., et al. (2022). Improvement of zero waste sustainable recovery using microbial energy generation systems: A comprehensive review. *Science of The Total Environment*, 817. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153055.

Asefa Kebede, I., & Duga, T. (2022). Prevalence and Antimicrobial Resistance of Salmonella in Poultry Products in Central Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 2022, 8625636. doi:10.1155/2022/8625636

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org Bergey, D., & Holt, J. (1993). *Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology*. Williams & Wilkins, Baltimore 9th edition.

Bolan, N.S., Szogi, A.A., Chuasavathi, T., et al. (2010). Uses and management of poultry litter. *World's Poultry Science Journal, 66,* 673-698. doi:10.1017/S0043933910000656

Bucher, M.G., Zwirzitz, B., Oladeinde, A., et al. (2020). Reused poultry litter microbiome with competitive exclusion potential against Salmonella Heidelberg. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 49, 869-881. doi:10.1002/jeq2.20081

Cabassi, C.S., Taddei, S., Predari, G., et al. (2004). Bacteriologic findings in ostrich (Struthio camelus) eggs from farms with reproductive failures. *Avian Diseases*, *48*, 716-722. doi:10.1637/7142

Castanon, J.I. (2007). History of the use of antibiotic as growth promoters in European poultry feeds. *Poultry Science*, *86*, 2466-2471. doi:10.3382/ps.2007-00249

Chander, Y., Gupta, S.C., Goyal, S.M., et al. (2007). Antibiotics: Has the magic gone? *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 87, 739-742.

Chen, C.M., Wang, M., Li, X.P., et al. (2021). Homology analysis between clinically isolated extraintestinal and enteral *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among neonates. *BMC Microbiology*, *21*, 25. doi:10.1186/s12866-020-02073-2

Cordoba, A., Monterrubio, J., Bueno, I., et al. (2005). [Severe community-acquired pneumonia due to Proteus mirabilis]. *Enfermedades Infecciosas y Microbiología Clínica*, 23, 249-250. doi:10.1157/13073156

Croxen, M.A., Law, R.J., Scholz, R., et al. (2013). Recent advances in understanding enteric pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 26, 822-880. doi:10.1128/ CMR.00022-13

Dawson, P., Buyukyavuz, A., Ionita, C., et al. (2023). Effects of DNA extraction methods on the real time PCR quantification of *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Campylobacter coli*, and *Campylobacter lari* in chicken feces and ceca contents. *Poultry Science*, *102*, 102369. doi:10.1016/j.psj.2022.102369

De Cesare, A., Caselli, E., Lucchi, A., et al. (2019). Impact of a probiotic-based cleaning product on the microbiological profile of broiler litters and chicken caeca microbiota. *Poultry Science*, *98.* doi:10.3382/ps/pez148

Deng, W., Zhang, A., Chen, S., et al. (2020). Heavy metals, antibiotics and nutrients affect the bacterial community and resistance genes in chicken manure composting and fertilized soil.

Kumar et al.

646

Journal of Environmental Management, 257, 109980. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109980

Dhanarani, T.S., Shankar, C., Park, J., et al. (2009). Study on acquisition of bacterial antibiotic resistance determinants in poultry litter. *Poultry Science*, *88*, 1381-1387. doi:10.3382/ps.2008-00327

Dornelas, K.C., Mascarenhas, N.M.H., Dos Santos da Rocha, P.A., et al. (2023). Chicken bed reuse. *Environmental Science Pollution Research International, 30*, 39537-39545. doi:10.1007/s11356-023-25850-8.

Dzelalija, M., Kvesic, M., Novak, A., et al. (2023). Microbiome profiling and characterization of virulent and vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* from treated and untreated wastewater, beach water and clinical sources. *Science of Total Environment*, 858, 159720. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159720

Enticknap, J.J., Nonogaki, H., Place, A.R., et al. (2006). Microbial diversity associated with odor modification for production of fertilizers from chicken litter. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, *72*, 4105-4114. doi:10.1128/AEM.02694-05

Fatoba, D.O., Amoako, D.G., Akebe, A.L.K., et al. (2022). Genomic analysis of antibiotic-resistant Enterococcus spp. reveals novel enterococci strains and the spread of plasmid-borne Tet(M), Tet(L) and Erm(B) genes from chicken litter to agricultural soil in South Africa. *Journal of Environmental Management, 302*, 114101. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114101

Garcia, A., Fox, J.G., & Besser, T.E. (2010). Zoonotic enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli: A One Health perspective. *ILAR Journal*, *51*, 221-232. doi:10.1093/ilar.51.3.221

Giaouris, E. (2023). Relevance and Importance of Biofilms in the Resistance and Spreading of *Campylobacter spp*. within the Food Chain. *Advanced Experimental Medicine and Biology*, *1370*, 77-89. doi:10.1007/5584_2022_749

Greenberg, C.B., Davidson, E.B., Bellmer, D.D., et al. (2004). Evaluation of the tensile strengths of four monofilament absorbable suture materials after immersion in canine urine with or without bacteria. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*, 65, 847-853. doi:10.2460/ajvr.2004.65.847

Gurmessa, B., Pedretti, E.F., Cocco, S., et al. (2020). Manure anaerobic digestion effects and the role of pre- and post-treatments on veterinary antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes removal efficiency. *Science of Total Environment*, *721*, 137532. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137532

Hafez, H. (2005). Governmental regulations and concept behind eradication and control of some important poultry diseases.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org World's Poultry Science Journal, 61, 569-582 doi:10.1079/WPS200571

Hafez, H., Schroth, S., Stadler, A., et al. (2014). Detection of Campylobacter, Salmonella and *E. coli* that produce verotoxin during the growing and processing of turkey flocks. *Archiv für Geflügelkunde*, *65*, 130-136.

Haslam, D., & St. Geme, J.W. (2018). Enterococcus Species: Principles and Practice of Pediatric Infectious Diseases. Elsevier Inc; Amsterdam, The Netherlands: pp. 729–732. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-40181-4.00120-1.

Helmy, Y.A., Closs, G., Jr., Jung, K., et al. (2022). Effect of Probiotic *E. coli* Nissle 1917 Supplementation on the Growth Performance, Immune Responses, Intestinal Morphology, and Gut Microbes of *Campylobacter jejuni* Infected Chickens. *Infection and Immunity*, *90*, e0033722. doi:10.1128/iai.00337-22

Hodgson, C.J., Oliver, D.M., Fish, R.D., et al. (2016). Seasonal persistence of faecal indicator organisms in soil following dairy slurry application to land by surface broadcasting and shallow injection. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *183*, 325-332. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.08.047

Hugas, M., & Beloeil, P. (2014). Controlling *Salmonella* along the food chain in the European Union - progress over the last ten years. *Euro Surveillance*, *19*, doi:10.2807/1560-7917.es2014.19.19.20804

IFT ERP. (2006). Antimicrobial Resistance: Implications for the Food System: An Expert Report, Funded by the IFT Foundation. *Comprehensive Reviews In Food Science and Food Safety, 5,* 71-137. doi:10.1111/j.1541-4337.2006.00004.x

Igbinosa, I.H., Amolo, C.N., Beshiru, A., et al. (2023). Identification and characterization of MDR virulent Salmonella spp isolated from smallholder poultry production environment in Edo and Delta States, Nigeria. *PLoS One, 18*, e0281329. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0281329

Jeamsripong, S., Kuldee, M., Thaotumpitak, V., et al. (2023) Antimicrobial resistance, Extended-Spectrum beta-Lactamase production and virulence genes in Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli isolates from estuarine environment. *PLoS One*, *18*, e0283359. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0283359

Kassim, Z., Aziz, A.A., Haque, Q.M., et al. (2003). Isolation of Proteus mirabilis from severe neonatal sepsis and central nervous system infection with extensive pneumocephalus. *European Journal of Pediatrics*, *162*, 644-645. doi:10.1007/s00431-003-1240-9 Khong, M.J., Snyder, A.M., Magnaterra, A.K., et al. (2022). Antimicrobial resistance profile of Escherichia coli isolated from poultry litter. *Poultry Science*, *102*, 102305. doi:10.1016/j.psj.2022.102305

Kim, E., Morgan, N.K., Moss, A.F., et al. (2022). Characterization of undigested components throughout the gastrointestinal tract of broiler chickens fed either a wheat- or maize-based diet. *Animal Nutrition*, *8*, 153-159. doi:10.1016/j.aninu.2021.09.011

Kubasova, T., Faldynova, M., Crhanova, M., et al. (2022). Succession, Replacement, and Modification of Chicken Litter Microbiota. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88, e0180922. doi:10.1128/aem.01809-22

Kumar, S., Anwer, R., Yadav, M., Sehrawat, N., et al. (2021a). Molecular Typing and Global Epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Current Pharmacology Reports*, 7, 179–186 doi:10.1007/s40495-021-00264-7

Kumar, S., Anwer, R., Yadav, M., et al. (2021b). Isolation and characterization of acinetobacter baumannii from chicken meat samples in north India. *Asian Journal of Biological and Life Sciences*, *10*, 462-468. doi:10.5530/ajbls.2021.10.61

Kumar, S., Anwer, R., Sehrawat, A., et al. (2021c). Assessment of Bacterial Pathogens in Drinking Water: a Serious Safety Concern. *Current Pharmacology Reports*, *7*, 206-212. doi:10.1007/s40495-021-00263-8

Kumar, S., Anwer, R., Sehrawat, A., et al. (2021d). Isolation and characterization of pathogenic bacteria from drinking water in North India. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, *19*, 12605–12610. doi:10.1007/s13762-021-03774-5

Kumar, S., Yadav, M., Devi, A., et al. (2022). Assessment of Pathogenic Microorganisms Associated with Vegetable Salads. *Asian Journal of Biological and Life Sciences*, *11*, 1-7 doi:10.5530/ajbls.2022.11.1

Kyakuwaire, M., Olupot, G., Amoding, A., et al. (2019). How Safe is Chicken Litter for Land Application as an Organic Fertilizer? A Review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16, doi:10.3390/ijerph16193521

Lee, K.W., Lillehoj, H.S., Lee, S.H., et al. (2011). Impact of fresh or used litter on the posthatch immune system of commercial broilers. *Avian Diseases*, *55*, 539-544. doi:10.1637/9695-022511-Reg.1

Li, X., Tang, H., Xu, Z., et al. (2022). Prevalence and characteristics of Campylobacter from the genital tract of primates and ruminants in Eastern China. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 69, e1892-e1898. doi:10.1111/tbed.14524

Proteus mirabilis within the matrix of urease-induced bladder
stones during experimental urinary tract infection. *Infection and Immunity*, 70, 389-394 doi:10.1128/IAI.70.1.389-394.2002

Lysy, J., Werczberger, A., Globus, M., et al. (1985). Pneumatocele formation in a patient with Proteus mirabilis pneumonia. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, *61*, 255-257 doi:10.1136/ pgmj.61.713.255

Li, X., Zhao, H., Lockatell, C.V., et al. (2002). Visualization of

Malik, Y., Arun, A., Milton, P., Ghatak, S., & Ghosh, S. (2021). Role of Birds in Transmitting Zoonotic Pathogens. Livestock Diseases and Management. Singapore Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-4554-9.

Meshref, A.E., Eldesoukey, I.E., Alouffi, A.S., et al. (2021). Molecular Analysis of Antimicrobial Resistance among Enterobacteriaceae Isolated from Diarrhoeic Calves in Egypt. *Animals* (Basel), *11*, doi:10.3390/ani11061712

Mitscherlich, E., & Marth, E. (1984). Microbial Survival in the Environment: Bacteria and Rickettsiae Important in Human and Animal Health. Springer-Verlag. doi:10.1002/jobm.3620251017

Mobley, H.L., & Warren, J.W. (1987). Urease-positive bacteriuria and obstruction of long-term urinary catheters. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 25, 2216-2217. doi:10.1128/jcm.25.11.2216-2217.1987

Muhammad, B., Diarra, M.S., Islam, M.R., et al. (2022). Effects of litter from antimicrobial-fed broiler chickens on soil bacterial community structure and diversity. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, *68*, 643-653 doi:10.1139/cjm-2022-0086

Nsofor, C.M., Tattfeng, M.Y., Nsofor, C.A. (2021). High prevalence of qnrA and qnrB genes among fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* isolates from a tertiary hospital in Southern Nigeria. *Bulletin of The National Research Centre, 45*, doi:10.1186/s42269-020-00475-w

Okonko, I., Nkang, A., Fajobi, E., et al. (2010). Incidence of multidrug resistant (mdr) organisms in some poultry feeds sold in calabar metropolis, Nigeria. *Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 9(3), 514-532.

Oladeinde, A., Abdo, Z., Zwirzitz, B., et al. (2022). Litter Commensal Bacteria Can Limit the Horizontal Gene Transfer of Antimicrobial Resistance to Salmonella in Chickens. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *88*, e0251721. doi:10.1128/ aem.02517-21

Oladeinde, A., Awosile, B., Woyda, R., et al. (2023). Management and environmental factors influence the prevalence and abundance

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

648

of foodborne pathogens and commensal bacteria in peanut hullbased broiler litter. *Poultry Science*, *102*, 102313 doi:10.1016/j.psj.2022.102313

Olofinsae, S.A., Adeleke, O.E., & Ibeh, B.O. (2022). Occurrence of *Pseudomonas lactis* and *Pseudomonas paralactis* Amongst Non-Lactose-Fermenting Bacterial Isolates in Chickens and Their Antimicrobial Resistance Patterns. *Microbiology Insights, 15,* 11786361221130313. doi:10.1177/11786361221130313

Peng, S., Feng, Y., Wang, Y., et al. (2017). Prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes in soils after continually applied with different manure for 30 years. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 340*, 16-25. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.06.059

Pilar, A.V.C., Petronella, N., Dussault, F.M., et al. (2020). Similar yet different: phylogenomic analysis to delineate Salmonella and Citrobacter species boundaries. *BMC Genomics*, *21*, 377. doi:10.1186/s12864-020-06780-y.

Rafey, A., Nizamuddin, S., Qureshi, W., et al. (2022). Trends of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococcus Infections in Cancer Patients. *Cureus*, *14*, e31335 doi:10.7759/cureus.31335

Rajendiran, S., Veloo, Y., Thahir, S.S.A., et al. (2022). Resistance towards Critically Important Antimicrobials among *Enterococcus faecalis* and *E. faecium* in Poultry Farm Environments in Selangor, Malaysia. *Antibiotics* (Basel), *11* doi:10.3390/antibiotics11081118

Rauber Wurfel, S.F., Voss-Rech, D., Dos Santos Pozza, J., et al. (2019). Population Dynamics of Thermotolerant Campylobacter in Broilers Reared on Reused Litter. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, *16*, 738-743. doi:10.1089/fpd.2019.2645

Retchless, A.C., & Lawrence, J.G. (2010). Phylogenetic incongruence arising from fragmented speciation in enteric bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. U S A*, *107*, 11453-11458. doi:10.1073/pnas.1001291107

Rothrock, M.J., Hiett, K.L., Guard, J.Y. et al. (2016). Antibiotic Resistance Patterns of Major Zoonotic Pathogens from All-Natural, Antibiotic-Free, Pasture-Raised Broiler Flocks in the Southeastern United States. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, *45*, 593-603. doi:10.2134/jeq2015.07.0366

Sanchuki, C., Soccol, C., Carvalho, J., et al. (2011). Evaluation of poultry litter traditional composting process. *Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology*, *54*, 1053-1058. doi:10.1590/S1516-89132011000500024

Sebastian, S., Tom, A.A., Babu, J.A., et al. (2021). Antibiotic resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from poultry environment and UTI patients in Kerala, India: A comparison study.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org Comparative Immunology, Microbiology & Infectious Disease, 75, 101614. doi:10.1016/j.cimid.2021.101614

Simonetti, O., Morroni, G., Ghiselli, R., et al. (2018). In vitro and in vivo activity of fosfomycin alone and in combination with rifampin and tigecycline against Gram-positive cocci isolated from surgical wound infections. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 67, 139-143 doi:10.1099/jmm.0.000649

Subirats, J., Murray, R., Scott, A., et al. (2020). Composting of chicken litter from commercial broiler farms reduces the abundance of viable enteric bacteria, Firmicutes, and selected antibiotic resistance genes. *Science of Total Environment*, 746, 141113. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141113

Threlfall, E.J., Ward, L.R., Frost, J.A., et al. (2000). The emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance in foodborne bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, *62*, 1-5. doi:10.1016/s0168-1605(00)00351-2

Torok, V.A., Hughes, R.J., Ophel-Keller, K., et al. (2009). Influence of different litter materials on cecal microbiota colonization in broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, *88*, 2474-2481. doi:10.3382/ps.2008-00381

Valeris-Chacin, R., Weber, B., Johnson, T.J., et al. (2022). Longitudinal Changes in Campylobacter and the Litter Microbiome throughout the Broiler Production Cycle. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 88, e0066722. doi:10.1128/aem.00667-22

Wadud, S., Michaelsen, A., Gallagher, E., et al. (2012). Bacterial and fungal community composition over time in chicken litter with high or low moisture content. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, *53*, 561-569. doi:10.1080/00071668.2012.723802

WHO. (2015). WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007-2015. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland

WHO. (2018). A Global Overview of National Regulations and Standards for Drinking-Water Quality. World Health Organization; Geneva, Switzerland

Wojcicki, M., Chmielarczyk, A., Swider, O., et al. (2022). Bacterial Pathogens in the Food Industry: Antibiotic Resistance and Virulence Factors of *Salmonella enterica* Strains Isolated from Food Chain Links. *Pathogens*, *11*, doi:10.3390/pathogens11111323

Woyda, R., Oladeinde, A., & Abdo, Z. (2023). Chicken Production and Human Clinical Escherichia coli Isolates Differ in Their Carriage of Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence Factors. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *89*, e0116722. doi:10.1128/aem.01167-22

|--|--|

Wu, L.T., Wu, H.J., Chung, J.G., et al. (2006). Dissemination of Proteus mirabilis isolates harboring CTX-M-14 and CTX-M-3 beta-lactamases at 2 hospitals in Taiwan. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, *54*, 89-94. doi:10.1016/ j.diagmicrobio.2005.09.005 Zhou, X., Willems, R.J.L., Friedrich, A.W., et al. (2020). Enterococcus faecium: from microbiological insights to practical recommendations for infection control and diagnostics. *Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control, 9*, 130. doi:10.1186/s13756-020-00770-1

649