

Effectiveness of Distraction Technique on Pain Reduction After Administration Subcutaneous Injection Among Children in Immunization Rooms

Sarika Yadav SGT University, Gurugram, INDIA

Jyoti Shokeen

SGT University, Gurugram, INDIA

ABSTRACT - Pain is described as "an unpleasant, subjective sensory and emotional experience associated with existing or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage," according to the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP). Suffering results from untreated or ineffectively treated pain. The method of administering medication subcutaneously, which is frequently done by nurses, is associated with discomfort, pain, and injury to the tissue that is being injected. The primary goal of the study was to determine whether using a distraction approach helped children in the immunisation rooms of particular hospitals in Gurugram feel less discomfort after receiving a subcutaneous injection. Materials and Methods: 60 children were chosen by the purposive selection strategy from the hospital Gurugram's immunization rooms. The chosen individuals are then split into two equally sized groups. Results: In the assessment, the experimental group's mean score on the pre-facing rating scale was 6.93, while the control group's was 7.13, with an SD of 1.252. The experimental group's mean score on the post-faces rating scale is 2.00, whereas the control group's is 6.47, with a standard deviation of 1.548. Conclusion : The outcome demonstrates a movement in the sample's level of pain (hurts worse, hurts a lot, hurts a little more, hurts even more, hurts a little, doesn't hurt) in favour of the experimental group. When compared to the control group, it is because of the distraction strategy (an electronic moving toy).

Keywords: Measles Mumps Rubella (MMR), subcutaneous (s c), injection (I j)

I. Introduction

Distraction methods are crucial non-pharmacological options for easing discomfort in young children and infants. Patients find getting an injection to be a painful experience. Giving injections to all patients requires extra vigilance. A range of techniques and pharmaceuticals are routinely used to treat disease in patients with health alterations in order to recover or preserve their health. An essential component of a health professional's job is managing pain.8 The Joint Commission of Health Care Organization (JCAHO) designated pain as the fifth vital sign and released standards for pain treatment in hospitals in 2001. Pain is always present, an awful feeling that leaves the patient exposed. Any technique that could result in real or potential tissue injury could be painful.8

The best method for delivering several medications right now is through injections, says parent rally According to the World Health Organization's 2006 estimate, 16 billion injections are given annually.1 The associated pain is the most important side effect of subcutaneous injection. Patients frequently fear getting injections because they think they will hurt. Subcutaneous injections are one of the most frequent sources of iatrogenic discomfort, therefore pain during an IM injection is typically to be anticipated (1992). Subcutaneous injection pain shouldn't be taken lightly because it could cause a patient to become extremely afraid of getting shots, which could prevent them from getting the care they need. Transduction, transmission, perception, and modulation are the four processes involved in typical pain. A client in pain is unable to distinguish between the processes. However, being aware of each step in the process enables the nurse to identify the causes of pain, the symptoms that go along with it, and to decide which therapies to utilise. 4

To encourage optional pain relief, a pain management strategy must be established. Non-pharmacologic pain management techniques include physical and behavioural measures like touch, massage, the application of heat and cold, acupuncture,



relaxation, hypnosis, and distraction. showed promise in easing pain.

II.Need For Study

A US study comparing the discomfort of using frozen versus room temperature needles found that 76.6 percent of patients reported less discomfort while using frozen needles. Another study that looked at how the temperature of the needle affected people's perceptions of pain during intrascapular injections was carried out in India and found that using a cold needle significantly reduced pain.

Injection pain is a cause of concern for kids, their parents, and vaccine providers; if it is not treated, it can result in future preprocedural anxiety, medical anxieties, and healthcare avoidance behaviours. Therefore, there is a pressing need to research effective treatments for children's suffering caused by vaccinations. Many children spend the entire appointment worrying about the potential for discomfort from their vaccinations. Every nurse or doctor who treats young patients will come across a child who is frightened and asks, "Am I going to get a shot?" The needle is a potently unfavourable sign for many kids, a source of terror for others, and regrettably has come to symbolise medical and nursing care. Non-pharmacological techniques may be used either alone or in conjunction with medication to ensure proper pain relief, to make pain more bearable, and to give the kids a sense of control over the situation3,7.

By actively immersing the kid in the performance of a diversion task or by passively diverting the child's attention, distraction is a non-pharmacological technique that diverts attention from a noxious stimuli. Commonly utilised child-friendly distractions include picture books, chatting to the child, moving, attractive toys that make sound and light, and music. Regarding the quality of the distraction, Mac Laren and Cohen discovered that regardless of the sort of distraction stimuli, the more children participate in distraction, the less pain they suffer.2,5

The researcher noted that as a result, parents may decide against immunising their child or decide to delay immunisation. Infant procedural distress is substantially understudied in child health nursing literature, hence it was felt that it was necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of diversion during painful procedures.

III. Aim of the study

The main aim of the study was to assess the effectiveness of distraction technique on pain reduction after administration subcutaneous injection among children.

IV. Methodology

Given the nature of the issue chosen for study and the goal to be achieved A quantitative, N-experimental study design was taken into consideration. A quasi-experimental study design was adopted in this investigation. In Budhera, Gurugram, SGT University did the study.

involved youngsters who control the inclusion requirements. Purposive sampling technique was employed for chosen the 60 youngsters (15-24 months). The development of tools, sociodemographic variables in section A, distraction techniques in section B, and the Wrong-Rating Baker's scale are all used as the method of data collection. The Wrong-Rating Baker's scale is used to measure the pain intensity of children who receive subcutaneous injections. Every child's pain level is measured using The Wrong - Baker's Faces Rating Scale, which has six faces for each pain score. The faces were rated on a scale of 0 to 5, with 0 representing no pain and 1 to 5 representing escalating levels of discomfort. Face 0 did not hurt at all; face 1 hurt little; face 2 hurt more; and face 3 hurt even more. The criteria employed in the study were: face more face 4 hurts a lot face 5 hurts worse. After administering a subcutaneous injection to children, I evaluated the effect of the distraction approach on pain reduction. Each study's specific data collection procedures are determined by the research design and methodology. Formal written authorization was received from the appropriate authority, as well as from the Dean, the head of department, and the Parents of the children. Frequency and percentage were used to describe the sample's characteristics. Kappa agreement was used to evaluate how well the distraction approach reduced pain. The tool's reliability and content validity were assessed, which revealed that it was trustworthy. Using descriptive and inferential statistics, the data were examined in light of the study's goal. The plan for data analysis was created under the good supervision of leading figures in the fields of statistics and nursing.

V. Demographic variables

VI. Major Findings of the study

Table 1: Frequency Distribution of Demographic variables.

SECTION-1 SOCIO	Experimental	Control	Experimental	Control
DEMOGRAPHIC	(%)	(%)	(N=30)	(N=30)



PROFORMA					
Age of Child	12-15				
- 8	Months	46.7%	40.0%	14	12
	16 -18	20.0%	26.7%	6	8
	Months	20.070	20.770	0	0
	19-21	23.3%	20.0%	7	6
	Months				-
	22-24 Months	10.0%	13.3%	3	4
Weight of	10 5-10.7				
Child	kg.	20.0%	13.3%	6	4
	10.9-11.2	5 - 5 - (12.224		10
	kg	56.7%	43.3%	17	13
	11.3-11.5	20.00/	12 20/	6	12
	kg	20.0%	43.3%	0	15
	Above 12	3 3%	0.0%	1	0
	kg	3.370	0.070	1	0
Sex of Child	Male	53.3%	60.0%	16	18
	Female	46.7%	40.0%	14	12
Religion	Hindu	70.0%	63.3%	21	19
	Christian	6.7%	6.7%	2	2
	Muslim	3.3%	16.7%	1	5
	Sikh	20.0%	13.3%	6	4
Child's Past Experiences	Calm and quiet	0.0%	0.0%	0	0
to Injection	Minimal resistance	53.3%	56.7%	16	17
	Rebellious and high resistance	46.7%	43.3%	14	13
Position of the child	Lying position	26.7%	30.0%	8	9
during immunization	Mothers lap	73.3%	70.0%	22	21
Professional	ANM	0.0%	0.0%	0	0
qualification	GNM	30.0%	30.0%	9	9
of nurse	BSc Nursing	50.0%	43.3%	15	13
	MSc Nursing	<mark>20.0%</mark>	26.7%	6	8
	POST BSc Nursing	0.0%	0.0%	0	0
Professional	1 to 5	-			
experience of	Years	40.0%	26.7%	12	8
nurse	5 to 10 Years	43.3%	53.3%	13	16
	10 to 15 Years	16.7%	20.0%	5	6
	15 to 20 Years	0.0%	0.0%	0	0
	>20 Years	0.0%	0.0%	0	0

This table displays the sample's percentage distribution by socio-demographic factors. The majority of children were between the ages of 12 and 15 months (46.7%), followed by 19 to 21 months (23.3%), 16 to 18 months, and 22 to 24 months (10.0 %). According to child weight, the majority of children were between 10.5 and 10.7 kg (20%), followed by 10.9 to 11.2 kg (56.7%), 11.3 to 11.5 kg (20%), and over 12 kg. Male children children make make up 53.3 % of the population and female children make up 46.7%. 70 % of youngsters identified as Hindu, 6.7 % as Christian, 3.3 % as Muslim, and % as Sikh. 0 percent of children who have had injections in the past have been calm and cooperative, 53.3 % have encountered little resistance, and 46.7 % have encountered strong resistance. Distribution based on the child's position during immunisation included lying down position (26.7%) and mother's lap (73.3%). ANM accounted

Vol. 13 No. 01 2023 828013012023005 © Author(s)

for 0%, GNM 30%, Post Basic Bsc Nursing 0%, Bsc. Nursing 50%, and Msc. Nursing 20% of the distribution of nurses by profession. According to the distribution of a nurse's professional experience, 40% were between 1 and 5 years, followed by 5 to 10 years (43.3%), 10-15 years (16.7%%), 15-20 years (0%), and over 20 years (0%).



Figure 1: Diagram showing Level of Scores

This Figure displays the sample's pain level before distraction techniques administered in both experimental and control group. The majority of children were hurts whole lot (63%) and (30%) hurts even more in control group. 47% children hurts whole lot and 53 % hurts even more in experimental group.



Figure 2: Diagram showing Level of Scores

This Figure displays the sample's pain level after distraction techniques administered in both experimental and control group. The majority of children were hurts whole lot (43%), (37%) hurts even more and 20 % hurts little more in control group. 23% children hurts little more, 53 % hurts little bit and 23% no hurts in experimental group.



Table 2: Showing Level of Scores in control and experimental groups before and after administration of distraction technique.

N=	30-	⊦30	
	00		

CRITERIA MEASURE OF FACES PAIN RATING SCALE 🎽 🧧										
Score Level	Pre Experimenta l	Pre Control	Post Experimenta l	Post Control						
HURTS WORST (10)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)	0(0%)						
HURTS WHOLE LOT (8)	14(46.7%)	19(63.3%)	0(0%)	13(43.3%						
HURTS EVEN MORE (6)	16(53.3%)	9(30%)	0(0%)	11(36.7%						
HURTS LITTLE MORE (4)	0(0%)	2(6.7%)	7(23.3%)	6(20%)						
HURTS LITTLE BIT (2)	0(0%)	0(0%)	16(53.3 <mark>%)</mark>	0(0%)						
NO HURT (0)	0(0%)	0(0%)	7(23.3%)	0(0%)						
Maximum=10										

Minimum =0



Figure 3: Comparison of level of pain in both control and experimental group after and before administration distraction technique

The chi-square test was used to determine the association between the score levels and selected demographic variables

DEMOGRAPH IC VARIABELS	PRE EXP FACES PAIN RATING SCALE	Association
------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------

	Variab les	Opts	NO HURT	HURTS LITTLE BIT	HURTS LITTLE MORE	HURTS EVEN MORE	HURTS WHOLE LOT	HURTS WORST	Ch i Tes t	P Va lue	d f	Ta ble Va lue	Resul t											
ĺ	Age of Child	12-15 Mont	-	1	0	9	5	6																
		hs 16 - 18 Mont			0	0	6		8.6	0.0	2	7.8	Signi											
		19-21 Mont			0	5	2		67	34	3	15	ficant											
		hs 22-24 Mont hs			0	2	1																	
ĺ	Weight of Child	10 .5- 10.7 kg.			0	0	6																	
		10.9- 11.2 kg			0	1 0	7		10	0.0		78	Signi											
		11.3- 11.5 kg			0	5	1	0	108	18	18	18	18	18	108 18	18	18	18	18	18	18	3	15	ficant
		Abov e 12 kg			0	1	0																	
	Sex of Child	Male	-		0	1 1	5		3.2	0.0	1	3.8	Not Signi											
		Fema le			0	5	9		74	70		41	ficant											
0	Religio n	Hind u			0	1 0	1 1	1																
		Chris tian		1	0	2	0		2.9	0.4	3	7.8	Not Signi											
		Musli m	1	2	0	1	0	K	27	03		15	ficant											
	Children Children	Sikh		3	0	3	3																	
	Past Experi	and quiet			0	0	0	-																
	ences to Injectio n	Mini mal resist ance			0	8	8		0.1 53	.1 0.6	0.6	1	3.8	Not Signi										
		Rebel lious and high resist ance	se	a	0	8	6			90		41	ficant											
	Positio n of the child during	Lyin g positi on			0	1	7		7.3	0.0	1	3.8	Signi											
	immun ization	Moth ers lap			0	1 5	7		00	07		71	ncant											
ļ	Profess ional qualific	ANM			0	0	0		3.2	0.1	n	5.9	Not											
	ation	GNM			0	7	2		59	96	-	91	ficant											

Vol. 13 No. 01 2023

828013012023005 © Author(s)



1		•										•	
	nurse	BSc Nursi		0	6	9							
		ng MSc Nursi		0	3	3							
		ng											
		POS T BSc Nursi ng		0	0	0							
	Profess ional	1 to 5 Years		0	7	5							
	nce of nurse	5 to 10 Years		0	7	6	1						
		10 to 15 Years		0	2	3	2	0.4 79	0.7 87	2	5.9 91	Not Signi ficant	
		15 to 20 Years	R	0	0	0							
		>20 Years		0	0	0							

The relationship between the level of score and the sociodemographic characteristic is shown in the table. Based on the goals, the PAIN RATING SCALE level and a few chosen demographic characteristics were linked using the Chi-square test. The Chi-square result demonstrates a significant correlation between the score level and the demographic characteristics of children's age. At the 0.05 level of significance, the estimated chi-square values exceeded the table value. The level of scores and other demographic factors like the age of the children do not significantly correlate with one another. At the 0.05 level of significance, the estimated chi-square values were lower than the table value. The study's key finding, which includes a discussion of the demographic factors, Examine the experimental group's pain scores (Mean, SD, and Mean%) for the painful vaccination rooms and the control group's pain scores (Mean, SD, and Mean%) for the painful vaccination rooms. Comparing the experimental group score among toddlers experiencing discomfort in vaccination rooms Describe the relationship between chosen demographic characteristics and the Experimental Group in the Painful Immunization Rooms. The associated control group's sociodemographic characteristics are described, and the hypothesis is tested.

VII. CONCLUSION

With consequences for nursing fields, limitations and delimitations with study designs and techniques, and recommendations for the future, the current research study comes to a close. The measurement of children's pain scores following subcutaneous injection delivery was the main focus of this investigation. This will enable kids to improve in particular areas of the pain threshold following subcutaneous injection administration. After administering a subcutaneous injection, this score will help to prevent complications and enhance the quality of the injection. The research includes To accomplish the goals of the study, a quasi-experimental research design was used. The purposive sampling technique was used to gather the 60 care providers' sample sizes. Participants' information was gathered utilising a two-part instrument. scale and demographic information. The research committee of the faculty of nursing established the validity and reliability of the tool. These are regarded as the most effective methods for evaluating children's pain scores following subcutaneous injection administration.

VIII. References

- [1]. Gedam, D., Verma, M., Patel, U., & Gedam, S. (2013). Effect of Distraction Technique During Immunization to Reduce Behaviour Response Score (FLACC) to Pain in Toddlers. Journal of Nepal Paediatric Society, 33(1), 25–30. https://doi.org/10.3126/jnps.v33i1.7017
- [2]. Phillips D. M. (2000). JCAHO pain management standards are unveiled. Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations. JAMA, 284(4), 428–429. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.284.4.423b
- [3]. Schechter, N. L., Zempsky, W. T., Cohen, L. L., McGrath, P. J., McMurtry, C. M., & Bright, N. S. (2007). Pain reduction during pediatric immunizations: evidence-based review and recommendations. Pediatrics, 119(5), e1184–e1198. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-1107
- [4]. Kozak, L. J., DeFrances, C. J., & Hall, M. J. (2006). National hospital discharge survey: 2004 annual summary with detailed diagnosis and procedure data. Vital and health statistics. Series 13, Data from the National Health Survey, (162), 1–209.
- [5]. Sherman, S. M., & Guillery, R. W. (1996). Functional organization of thalamocortical relays. Journal of neurophysiology, 76(3), 1367–1395. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.76.3.1367
- [6]. BISHOP, G. H., LANDAU, W. M., & JONES, M. H. (1958). Evidence for a double peripheral pathway for pain. Science (New York, N.Y.), 128(3326), 712–714. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.128.3326.712
- [7]. Kavuru, N. S. and Krishnan, S. (2022) "Unusual Presentation of Musculoskeleton Pain", IARS' International Research Journal. Victoria, Australia,



12(01), pp. 1–3. doi: 10.51611/iars.irj.v12i01.2022.177.

- [8]. Schechter, N. L., Weisman, S. J., Rosenblum, M., Bernstein, B., & Conard, P. L. (1995). The use of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate for painful procedures in children. Pediatrics, 95(3), 335–339.
- [9]. Richa Talwar, Anita Yadav, Rupinder Deol, Jasbir Kaur. EFFICACY OF DISTRACTION TECHNIQUE IN REDUCING PAIN AMONG CHILDREN RECEIVING VACCINATION International Journal of Current Research and Review. Vol 06 Issue 19, October, 42-46
- [10]. MacLaren, J. E., & Cohen, L. L. (2005). A comparison of distraction strategies for venipuncture distress in children. Journal of pediatric psychology, 30(5), 387–396.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsi062.

Vol. 13 No. 01 2023 828013012023005 © Author(s)



Manuscript Processing Footprints

A. Journal Volume/Issue Details

This manuscript it published in Vol. 13 No. 01 2023 issue of IARS' International Research Journal (I'IRJ). This is a Peer Reviewed (Refereed) International Journal published by IARS' Press Australia (International Association of Research Scholars) The Volume/Issue is a regular issue of the journal published in February 2023 Available at: <u>https://researth.iars.info/index.php/curie</u>.

B. Copyright, License, and Publishing Rights

- IARS' Press Australia (International Association of Research Scholars) respects the rights of the authors of research content published with IARS' International Research Journal. The "First Publication Rights" (FPR) to the original work accepted for publication at IARS' International Research Journal is granted to the Publisher of the Journal but copyright for all work published in the journal is retained by the author(s). Works published in the Journal is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). (This license lets others distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon your work, even commercially, as long as they credit you for the original creation. This is the most accommodating of licenses offered. Recommended for maximum dissemination and use of licensed materials.)
- After publishing the content with IARS' International Research Journal, the author holds complete right on the content for its amendments and reuse in any form. IARS' International Research Journal confirms that author(s) holds the copyright of the content.
- Author(s) grant(s) permission for their work to be indexed in part/full form in commercial and non-commercial indexes. Author(s) grant(s) permission for their work to be harvested in part/full form in commercial and non-commercial archives and distributed through them. Author(s) grant(s) permission for their work to be translated in part/full form in any language and republished and redistributed. Author(s) may enter into separate, additional contractual agreements for the non-exclusive distribution of the published version of the work, with an acknowledgement of its initial publication in this Journal.
- It is the responsibility of the author(s) to secure all necessary copyright and/or permissions for the use of third-party content in their manuscript(s). Author(s) have declared the same at the time of submission of manuscript and 'may also be required' to provide written evidence of this permission anytime in case required for any purposes.
- Publications Ethics and other Terms and Conditions as mentioned on official website of IARS' International Research Journal.



C. Last Plagiarism Report

Settings: Quotes Excluded, Bibliography Excluded

Effectiveness of Distraction Technique on Pain Reduction After Administration Subcutaneous Injection Among Children in Immunization Rooms Sarika Yadav

Exemption / Relaxation by Editor: None

D. Processing Track

Date of Submission	05 December 2022
Date of Final Review	07 January 2023
Date of Acceptance & Schedule	02 February 2023
Date of Publishing	08 February 2023

..... 0