University of Mississippi

eGrove

American Institute of Accountants

Deloitte Collection

10-14-1948

Letter Regarding the Accounting Treatment of Depreciation

Samuel J. Broad

American Institute of Accountants. Committee on Accounting Procedure

Follow this and additional works at: https://egrove.olemiss.edu/dl_aia



Part of the Accounting Commons

American Institute of Accountants

COMMITTEE ON ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE

SAMUEL J. SROAD, CHAIRMAN JOHN N. AITKEN WILLIAM H. BELL HOMER L. DALTON THOMAS M. DICKERSON JAMES L. DOHR FRED J. DUNCOMBE J. P. FRIZDMAN ANSON HERRICK
THOMAS G. HIGGINS
DAVID HIMMELBLAU
JOHN B. INGLIS
PAUL K. KNIGHT
JOHN A. LINDQUIST
EDWARD J. McDEVITT
WILLIAM A. PATON

MAURICE E. PELOUBET WALTER L. SCHAFFER MAURICE H. STANS VIRGIL S. TILLY C. OLIVER WELLINGTON

CARMAN G. BLOUGH, DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH

13 EAST 41st STREET. NEW YORK 17. N. Y.

October 14, 1948.

TO THE MEMBERS OF THE

AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ACCOUNTANTS:

GENTLEMEN:

The committee on accounting procedure has reached the conclusion that no basic change in the accounting treatment of depreciation of plant and equipment is practicable or desirable under present conditions to meet the problem created by the decline in the purchasing power of the dollar.

The committee has given intensive study to this problem and has examined and discussed various suggestions which have been made to meet it. It has solicited and considered hundreds of opinions on this subject expressed by businessmen, bankers, economists, labor leaders and others. While there are differences of opinion, the prevailing sentiment in these groups is against any basic change in present accounting procedures. The committee believes that such a change would confuse readers of financial statements and nullify many of the gains that have been made toward clearer presentation of corporate finances.

Should inflation proceed so far that original dollar costs lose their practical significance, it might become necessary to restate all assets in terms of the depreciated currency, as has been done in some countries. But it does not seem to the committee that such action should be recommended now if financial statements are to have maximum usefulness to the greatest number of users.

The committee, therefore, reaffirms the opinion it expressed in Accounting Research Bulletin No. 33, December 1947.

Any basic change in the accounting treatment of depreciation should await further study of the nature and concept of business income.

American Institute of Accountants

The immediate problem can and should be met by financial management. The committee recognizes that the common forms of financial statements may permit misunderstanding as to the amount which a corporation has available for distribution in the form of dividends, higher wages, or lower prices for the company's products. When prices have risen appreciably since original investments in plant and facilities were made, a substantial proportion of net income as currently reported must be reinvested in the business in order to maintain assets at the same level of productivity at the end of a year as at the beginning.

Stock holders, employees, and the general public should be informed that a business must be able to retain out of profits amounts sufficient to replace productive facilities at current prices if it is to stay in business. The committee therefore gives its full support to the use of supplementary financial schedules, explanations or footnotes by which management may explain the need for retention of earnings.

Four of the twenty-one members of the committee, Messrs. Broad, Paton, Peloubet and Wellington, dissented from the conclusion that no basic change in the accounting treatment of depreciation of plant and equipment is practicable or desirable under present conditions. They believe further that inflation has proceeded to a point where original dollar costs have already lost their practical significance and that where depreciation is an important element of cost the advantages which would result from a basic change in accounting treatment outweigh the possible disadvantages which have been advanced against it.

For the

COMMITTEE ON ACCOUNTING PROCEDURE

By SAMUEL J. BROAD

Chairman