We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,600 Open access books available 178,000

195M Downloads



Our authors are among the

TOP 1%





WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected. For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

Enhancing Water Use Efficiency by Using Potassium-Efficient Cotton Cultivars Based on Morphological and Biochemical Characteristic

Muhammad Naeem Akhtar, Muhammad Waseem Akhtar, Ashfaq Ahmad Rahi and Tanveer ul Haq

Abstract

Pakistan's agriculture sector faces a 50% water shortage, impacting crops like cotton and the textile industry, thus affecting the economy. K-efficient cotton cultivars, which withstand dry conditions through morphological and biochemical traits, can conserve moisture. K-efficient cotton cultivars can be used as donors of key K acquisition traits in breeding programs to develop promising cotton varieties with enhanced yields for the low K environments and can also be recommended for general cultivation to improve cotton production on a sustainable basis. This chapter aims to contribute to enhance water use efficiency (WUE) on the physiological basis to develop strategies for K-efficient cotton cultivars for improvement. Strategies involve regulating transcription factors to improve K-uptake efficiency, increasing root volume through lateral roots and root hairs, and enhancing K-uptake via channels and transporters, ultimately boosting WUE. Molecular breeding programs can leverage K+-associated QTLs to develop high K+ use efficiency cultivars. Physiological processes affecting WUE are discussed alongside factors influencing their contributions, acknowledging the complexity across crops, environments, and nutrients.

Keywords: K-efficient cultivars, gas exchange traits, morphological traits, water use efficiency, potassium use efficiency

1. Introduction

Cotton, which is a prominent commercial crop in over 30 nations, is produced mostly in warmer areas and has large shareholdings in China, India, the United States, and Pakistan [1]. In 2014–2015, the top five cotton-productive nations were China, India, Pakistan, and Brazil, with 6.5, 5.4, 3.5, 2.3, and 1.5 M, respectively [2]. As a gly-cophyte, cotton is more tolerant than other main crops to abiotic stressors. However, e extreme conditions, such as drought, affect cotton growth, productivity, and fiber quality [3]. Cotton production is predicted to decrease owing to drought stress, according to a news release issued by the United States Department of Agriculture [4].

Similarly, cotton output decreased by 34% in Pakistan to only 9.68 million bales compared with 14.4 million bales in 2012, due to drought and high weather [5]. Other crops were harmed by drought in addition to cotton, as about 67% of crop losses in the United States were caused by drought stress in the last 50 years [6].

Water use efficiency (WUE) can be increased by reducing soil water evaporation and converting more moisture into biomass production through crop residue management, mulching, and target-based irrigation. Appropriate arrangement and improved water use is a possible measure for improving yield under water stress, and it assesses the way and depth of water application, and whether it was used at optimum level by the crop. Improved irrigation water use efficiency can be achieved by approving the best management practices of irrigation [7].

Potassium (K) is affianced in all the physiological developments of the plant, which need water. K supports water carrying and mineral translocation for the entire plant through the xylem. Under K-deficiency, the movement of minerals such as (NO_3^{-}) , $(PO_4^{3^{-}})$, (Ca^{2+}) , (Mg^{2+}) , and amino acid uptake is abridged. One option is to use the normal rate of K application can support the plant to alleviate the effect of the water-deficit through better water use efficiency (WUE), which was related to the lower leaf ET [8]. Secondly, the option is to use K-efficient crop cultivars that can reduce the effect of water shortage and improve WUE without compromising the yield [9]. This can be achieved by the K-efficient cultivar by special proteins present in the cell membrane. These are called transporters and channels. Based on their affinity for K⁺, K⁺ transport components can be classified as high-affinity components (transporters), which are active at a low concentration of external K⁺, and lowaffinity components (channels), which are active at a higher concentration, usually at more than 0.3 mM external K⁺ [10]. During water shortage conditions, root regulate their water and ion uptake by modifying these proteins and channels to cope with the water shortage [6, 11–32]. It is, therefore, K-efficient cotton cultivars that could perform better for sustainably cotton production under arid climatic conditions.

1.1 Cotton is drought-sensitive crop

Millions of people worldwide are involved with cotton directly or indirectly. Cotton is a severely susceptible crop under water stress conditions that reduces productivity [33]. Earlier, water stress reduced cotton growth and cotton yield due to lowered flowering and retention of the boll. Cotton is classified as a low drought-sensitive crop, according to FAO data; however, drought stress is dreadful when cotton is at the flowering and bolls formation stages [34]. In Pakistan, the cotton crop is mainly grown in arid and semiarid climatic conditions where annual rainfall is less than 250 mm. In the future, therefore, cotton productivity is estimated to drop due to severe water shortages, irregular patterns of rainfall, and other environmental impacts [35].

Drought has broad and variable effects on cotton, such that exact financial figures are hard to calculate. In 2008 and 2009, world production of cotton was extremely low and in 2009 stocks declined significantly. As a result, in 2010 and 2011, cotton prices increased, causing a 10% drop in cotton consumption in 2011. From 2010 to 2013, the output of cotton was more than demand, but from 2011 production declined significantly from 2014 to 2015 by 6.5 percent, while consumption grew by over 6.5 million bales per year. We thus need to set cotton production and consumption strategies. Furthermore, because too unpredictable situations in the future, stressful cotton types must also be produced. The focus must, on the other hand, not only be placed on the diversity of stress-tolerant of cotton, although plant survival is highly essential



Figure 1. *K status and crop removal in Pakistani soils* [11].

in the early stages of growth. It is widely recognized that improvements in yield and the stability in yields in cotton cultivations are vital for the expanding worldwide population under normal and drought conditions. Despite the complexities of the process of drought tolerance in cotton, the knowledge of the drought tolerance mechanism has been tremendously advanced. The drought-tolerant type of cotton might lead either to morpho-physiological, biochemical, and molecular modifications by nature or genetic engineering.

1.2 Current scenario of K-deficiency

The soil contains on average 2% K; however, soil K may be quite low in older or drained soils. Soil K is usually available in four pools: 0.1–0.2% of the soil solution, 1–2% of the exchangeable K, 1–10% of the nonexchangeable K, and 90–98% of the soil mineral solution [36]. Plant roots collect K from variable pools and dynamically adjusted K solution for soil [36, 37]. The soil K in a solution pool of soil is filled by potassium released from interchangeable areas [36]. The nonexchangeable K may be found in the range of 2: 1 and 2: 1: 1 type clay minerals [37]. Some plant species have been discovered to utilize K from an unchangeable pool, such as sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) with exudates, which results in a K-influx of 7–20% higher than that for wheat and barley roots [36].

The deficiency of K in Pakistani soils is about 35% [38], which is continuously increasing due to the low use of K (<1.0 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) as compared to other countries of the world (15 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). In the year 2007–2008, about 43% of Pakistani soils were deficient in K, as reported by Ref. [39], but currently, it reached up to 90% and needs K fertilization for better crop production. Cotton requires K₂O @150 kg ha⁻¹ that almost equal to nitrogen from the soil, to get average crop yield [40] and is required @ 4.5 kg ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ during peak bloom by cotton [41]. Despite high-K requirements, K-use in cotton production is very limited in Pakistan. The total content of K varies from 50 to 150 mg kg⁻¹ in the soil across the Punjab province. Adequate K nutrition upgrades the proficiency of photosynthetic rate and development of plant roots, as investigated by Ref. [42]. However, most of the farmers of developing countries, including Pakistan, do not apply K-fertilizer to crops due to their high-prices. Under this situation, the identification of K-efficient crop cultivars can improve production and reduce the demand for K fertilizers in the country (**Figure 1**).

2. Biochemical modifications in cotton plant under water stress

In many plant activities, water is vital for the transport of nutrients, chemical and enzymatic reactions, cell growth, cell division, and transpiration [43]. Drought stress reduces plant development due to disruptions in the plant's main biochemical and physiological systems [44]. Drought stress affects root penetration, and stem elongation and increases water use efficiency. The leaf water potential, rate of transpiration, and leaf temperature are important traits that affect the growth of plants under water-deficit conditions. Although all stages of cotton development are affected by water stress, however, the reproductive phase, that is, flowering and boll development, are generally accepted as the most sensitive stages [45]. A very close relationship between nutrient uptake and water use efficiency has been observed in many crops. Therefore, nutrient uptake was reduced during drought stress, particularly N and K in cotton. Similarly, K contents in plant tissue also decrease due to drought stress and an overall reduction in nutrient uptake and there utilization [43].

Root growth is important for plant development as water and nutrients are mainly taken up via roots, whereas root elongation is affected by drought stress which ultimately limits crop yield [20]. Under severe drought stress, the elongation of plants may be inhibited by interrupted water flow from the xylem to the surrounding cells, which impairs mitosis and cell expansion, resulting in a reduction of root growth, nutrient uptake, plant height, and leaf area as well as plant growth [46]. In cotton, under water stress conditions chlorophyll contents decreased which reduced photosynthesis rate, sugar production, and pigments including chlorophyll *a*, chlorophyll *b*, and carotenoids [47].

There is a root-to-leaf transduction of chemical signals caused by water-deficit stress, through the production of abscisic acid (ABA), which results in the closure of stomata. Under drought stress, ABA promotes stomata closure to reduce the transpiration rate [48]. When water potential is low in plants, ABA also stimulates root growth and inhibits shoot growth. Once the soil water availability is reduced, the amount of ABA in the xylem increases, and consequently, ABA concentration in different parts of the leaf is increased. As ABA is directly associated with stomatal conductance, it reduces net CO₂ intake and decreased photosynthesis [48]. Existing cotton cultivars vary in tolerance to abiotic stresses, such as drought; therefore, maintenance of optimum plant cell turgor is an indication of the drought tolerance potential of a cultivar [49]. Similarly, a change in carbohydrate metabolism with an increase in glucose concentration in leaves and sucrose concentration in pistils of white flowers of cotton was observed under drought stress [50].

Ascorbate peroxidase activity is reported to increase whenever plants undergo in drought stress as reported in cotton grown under drought stress but glutathione metabolism levels were not changed [51]. However, the investigation of antioxidant activity in cotton plants exposed to drought stress is still controversial and is not well understood and superoxide radicals (SOD) or catalase (CAT) activities remained unchanged under drought stress [52]. It has been shown that CAT activity is not affected by drought stress, while, the Ascorbate peroxidase (APX) and SOD activity was increased [53]. In most plants, osmoregulation reduces the water potential of cells, thus increasing the gradient for water flow in the cell to maintain cell growth [54]. The maintenance of cell turgor contributes to ongoing physiological processes such as stomatal conductance and photosynthesis, as reported by Ref. [21, 55]; however, information on osmotic regulation in modern cotton varieties under drought stress is still lacking.

Yield is essentially the precise integration of the many systems of physiology. Drought stress negatively affects most of these physiological systems. The negative effects of shortage of water on yield depend mainly on the intensity of stress and the growth stage of plant life. In key crops, significant drought stress losses have been observed. The low moisture caused by parenthesis lowered the time to anthesis and reduced the time to fill the grain with cereal by anthesis [56]. Exposure of plants to drought stress can lead to total sterility of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.), typically owing to disrupted mobility in the assimilated ear [57]. The drought may be caused by many causes such as decreasing photosynthetic rate [58], disturbing assimilate partitioning [44], or inadequate growth of a prominent leaf [59]. Maize was significantly reduced in returns when exposed to drought conditions at the tasseling stage [14]. In the same way, the production and abortion of the developed bolls in cotton under drought circumstances were significantly reduced, which eventually affected the lint yield [60]. In drought environments, there was also a substantial drop in barley grain production (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), largely due to lower viable grain tillers and grain with less than 1000 seeds [61]. Drought stress in the blooming stage produced more than a 50% decrease in the seed output by the exposition of pigeon peas (*Cajanus cajan* L.) [62].

Drought has a primary influence on the plants, namely poor germination and impaired planting. Several studies have demonstrated detrimental effects on germination and seedling development of drought stress [44, 63]. In major crops of fields, including pea (Pisum sativum L.), alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.), and rice (Oryza sativa L.) under drought stress, drought stress was observed to reduce germination, early sowing growth, root and shoot dry weight, hypocotyl ate length, and vegetative development [64–66]. Plant growth is achieved largely through the division of cells, expansion, and differentiation. Drought affects mitosis and cell elongation leading to poor growth [39]. Drought restricts the cell development process, largely owing to turgor loss [67]. Water limitation leads to cell elongation, largely because of the inadequate passage of water from the Xylem to the next cells [68]. Drought also reduces the number of leaves and the size of each leaf. The leaf growth usually depends on the turgor and the availability of assimilates. Reduced turgor and slower photosynthesis rate in circumstances of drought stress particularly restrict the growth of the leaf [59]. Fresh and dry weight in the water limitation conditions are likewise reduced significantly [69]. Plant height, leaf size, and, stem diameter were diminished significantly in maize under water-limiting conditions [70]. Ref. [71] found in another investigation that the bioaccumulation of maize has decreased considerably under dry conditions at different stages of growth.

Certain variables, such as the leaf water, leaf and canopy temperature, transpiration rates, and stomatal conductivity, impact water relations. Drought stress disrupts all of these processes in plants, but stomatal conductance is particularly impacted [44]. Drought conditions, which eventually raised the leaf and canopy temperature, showed a substantial decrease in the leaf water potential and transpiration rates [72]. The efficiency of the dry matter ratio accumulated with the water used is another essential characteristic of plant physiology control. Efficient wheat crops are more efficient at using water during drought conditions [73]. This improvement in the efficiency of water usage is large because the dry matter accumulates by absorbing less water due to the closure of the stomata and less transpiration. When subjected to an early season water scarcity, a decreased water efficiency in potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) was found, and eventually, biomass buildup and output were low [74].

3. Structural and physiological responses of the cotton plant under drought condition

Drought leads to a variety of changes in cotton plant growth and function. Drought, for example, seriously impedes many physiological processes regulating fiber quality and foliage output [45]. Mechanisms for drought resistance in plants consist of four groups, namely recovery, prevention, tolerance, and drought exhaust [75]. Water stress avoidance supports essential functions, such as stomatal control, in the event of moderate drought. The capacity of flora to undergo serious dehydration with osmotic adjustments and osmoprotectants is drought tolerance [76]. Plants have been developed to control the time of development to prevent moisture stress [77]. The capacity of plants to resume their development following drought damage is the recovery of drought. In the cotton area, biochemical, physiological, and molecular drought stress methods are examined in the preceding sections, as shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 2.

Drought tolerance mechanism of potassium [12].

Plant roots are important for sensing and responding in direct contact with soil water and nutrients to different external environmental stimulation systems. Because the root structure configuration of dry soils is difficult to gather, little data on change in root systems are available in drought, and most research on cereal crops is done. Plant roots respond to the surface moisture changes, that is, water shortage at the top of the ground leads to deeper root penetration, whereas the upper layer of surplus water lowers the root penetration [78] by up to 3 m.

The growth of root rates for predicting agricultural production losses in cotton crops is widely used. Inadequate soil humidity inhibits root growth and development and so affects the working of the aerial portions [78]. Water shortage in the topsoil results in deeper root penetration for a more extensive moisture and nutrient exploration, whereas excess water in the top floor produces a decreased root penetration [78]. Drought decreases the formation of over ground biomass via a decrease in the density of root, root mass, and root lengths [79]. However, characteristics such as hydraulic conductance and plant allometry are of significant interest to scientists in the process of drought resistance. The rooting system with many short and thin side roots enables the acquisition of oxygen and soil nutrients to a wide root surface area, compared to the dispersed root system [80]. The Fine Root System drives soil activities such as carbon cycling, sequestration, nutrient flows, structural stability, and soil microbial activity [81]. For adjusting to drought, increased length of root and soil proliferation are desired characteristics. The growth and penetration of roots depend on external partial oxygen pressure inside the root region [82]. Mild drought stress may increase root elongation during the early stage but root morphological and physiological activity is severely impeded by long-term water stress disruptions [79]. Finally, deeper root insertion makes it possible for the plant to explore deeper water and nutrients in the soil. Therefore, it is necessary to promote the dispersion of vertical roots to improve crop growth and dry stress development. All root characteristics may be relevant for drought stress, but researchers have been particularly interested in hydraulic conductance and plant algometry. Different researchers have examined the probable origins of drought stress [6]. More abundant (greater radicular density) and deeper soil root systems often serve as desired features to adapt to drought. For one case, [79] reported that mild and initial drought stress improves cotton root length, but a longterm water shortfall reduces root activity in comparison to control plants. Transgenic

cotton plants, with a stronger root structure than in the natural, were more resistant to drought stress in another trial [83]. Arabidopsis also harbored transgenic cotton plants that had a highly developed root gene, in addition to other characteristics tolerant to drought 1/homodomain glabrous 11 (AtEDT1/HDG11) [84].

3.1 Cotton lint yield

Lint yield is a complex integration of many physiological processes in cotton crops; most of them are severely affected by water stress. The development of new nodes in a cotton plant is dependent upon the availability of water because of the indefinite habit of growth. Duration and intensity of stress and plant development are linked to the detrimental effects of moisture stress on yield. Drought terminal significantly restricts the production of cotton by limiting carbon absorption and the buildup of biomass [85]. Inhibited synthesis of carbohydrates together with the depletion of the storage reserves (i.e., starch) owing to continuous breathing [86]. Ref. [87] reported that as a result of drought, reproductive structures and boll size decreases are induced [88]. Increased fruit and leaf abscissions might be linked to a final output loss for drought-stressed cotton crops [60]. In short, the loss of cotton production is closely linked to physiological and morphological plant stress processes.

3.2 Fiber quality

The cotton farmers are mostly focused on fiber quality, both as the fiber characteristics directly influence the fiber output and enhance the spinning processes [89]. The quality of the fibers is combined with the length of the fiber, fiber fineness of the wall, the strength of the fiber, the elasticity of the body fiber, naps (fiber nodules), short fiber index, uniformity index (fibers fitted in the spinning process), color grade and reflectiveness (fibers brightness) [19]. Fiber quality features are numerical and regulated by a variety of phenotypically significant and minor genes [90]. Lint quality is directly affected by water during fiber cell formation [91]. As a complex phenomenon with various morphological and physiological characteristics is connected with drought tolerance in the plant [92], the breeding of enhanced fiber quality characteristics under moisture stress is difficult [93]. The discovery for irrigated and water deficiency environments of stable quantitative traits loci (QTL) might therefore allow the molecular breeding of cotton genotypes with enhanced fiber quality and yield parameters. The use of abundant DNA markers for the cotton genome is necessary for the QTL, genetic diversity, and structural analysis [94].

Several QTLs have been highlighted in upland cotton on fiber yield features [95, 96]; however, there has been less emphasis given to identifying QTLs in terms of fiber quality under drought [97]. The inter-specific cotton plants F2 and F3 [98] are generated from a crossing between inbred lines *G. Siv'on* and *G. hirsutum* cv. CV F-177 barbecue. QTL (13 and 33) reported for the 16 QTLs, covering plant production, physiology, and fiber quality, under situations of well-watered and water deficiency. Ref. [28] discovered 79 QTLs combined with fiber quality features in the F2 and F3 generations which are derived from Siv'on and *G. hirsutum* cv. Barbadense cv F-177 underwater conditions are irrigated and deficient. Seventeen of the 79 QTLs discovered were moisture-specific conditions whereas just two were well-watered. In the F2 population, the mapped physiological, yield, and plant structural characteristics in the cross between G were created [97]. Hirsutum cv. Hirsutum cv FH-901 (sensitive to drought) and *G. hirsutum* cv. Hirsutum cv RH-510 RH-510 (drought-tolerant). A

total of seven QTLs, three and two of which are water-limited and well-watered, were identified accordingly. These germplasm panel QTL analyses included a large range of relevant alleles that may be detected on *G. hirsutum* lines with various genetic information. In this work, 177 single SSR markers were utilized in a panel of 99 upland genotypes of cotton for the detection of significant quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with 11 quality fibers and structural plant characteristics. The quality of fiber and the structural features of plants under water and water deficits were examined in another investigation. GLM analysis revealed that 74 and 70 QTLs were found under well-watered and limiting water situations, respectively. The MLM found 7 and 23 well water and water shortage QTLs, respectively [19].

For example, efforts have been undertaken to discover the particular fiber gene and its activities for improving fiber quality for characteristics of significant interest in cotton fiber. Cotton genomics promises to increase the understanding and systematic utilization of fundamental plant biology to improve cotton fiber quality, and cotton functional genomics. However, it is laborious to determine the activities of cotton genes that a quick pace has not been evaluated [15]. Actin cytoskeleton [99], polysaccharide biosynthesis, [2]), and the related genes are expressed in distinct routes for fiber formation. Of these, few are mostly present in the production of fiber [100], secondary biosynthesis cell wall [25], and fiber-extension [101]. A protodermal cotton gene 1 (GbPDF1) has now been expressed through the HDZIP2ATATHB2 core element at the fiber initiation stage [102]. In developing fibers, alpha expansion genes (GhExp1) encode a cell wall protein and govern the loosening of the cell wall [103]. Ref. [104] demonstrated the interruption of the fiber elongation and SuSy's role in osmosis control by the antisense removal of the sucrose synthase (SusSy) gene. On the other hand, GhPRP5 was a negative regulator in fiber formation, with proline-rich protein genes coding [105]. During secondary cell wall biosynthesis, cellulose synthesis is an important step in the formation of cells. Many types of research have been carried out to examine how cotton fiber controls and maintains the strong irreversible carbon sink that has a secondary synthesis of wall cellulose [25]. The subsequent discovery of a novel isoform Sus (SusC) during the secondary wall development of cellulose in fiber was followed [25]. Most of the expressed genes are associated with fiber maturity with cellular respiration [106]. Many transcription factors encode genes, that is, the fiber development phase included featured families from MYB, C2H2, bHLH, WRKY, and HD-ZIP. Past studies reveal that fiber formation in upland cotton has demonstrated significant expression in MYB-related genes [107]. Expression analyses from six MYB genes indicated that GhMYB6 was high in fiber, with R2R3 MYB gene encoding factor "GhMYB109" expressed in the fiber elongation and initiation [61]. The RAD-like GbRL1 is significantly expressed in cotton ovules at fiber commencement [108].

The identification of fiber-related loci markers can have useful impacts on genetic adaptation required under scarce water situations to create sufficient fiber. Many types of research into gene expression were conducted to understand the formation of cotton fibers, which offers problems. First, in comparison analysis, the bulk of the differentially expressed genes are connected to differences across species rather than to fiber-related features. Secondly, G protein-coding gene sequences are being used. G and Ramondii. Arboreum may not be precise enough for tetraploid cotton genetic analysis. Thirdly, it is unknown if any of the expressed genes found in previous research have changed the sequence between a mutant in cotton fiber and its natural type. In this context, the viable candidates for innovative cotton research are only differentially expressed genes with sequence variants and co-location with desired fiber properties.

3.3 Photosynthesis

Drought stress causes stomatal closure, reducing CO_2 absorption and consequently affecting photosynthesis rate [29]. In certain situations, however, stomata behavior is not necessarily linked to the photosynthetic rate, although this has to be clarified [109, 110]. As the water-deficit steadily rises in cotton, photosynthesis is strongly influenced along with growth. For example, photosynthesis and transpiration were shown to be altered in cotton in dry conditions [53, 106]. Incidentally, immature cotton leaves were shown to be photo-synthetically more resistant than mature leaves to drought and heat. There was no reduction in net photosynthesis when immature leaves were exposed to high temperatures (37°C). By comparison, the photosynthesis of mature leaf net decreased 66% under equal conditions [27]. A reduced lint production was reported when net photosynthesis deteriorated under water shortage conditions in the first growing season in another field trial of cotton in two consecutive growing seasons. However, there were no changes in the production of drought-treated fields in the next growing season due to heavy rainfall [28]. These researches have shown that drought stress decreases cotton photosynthesis, which in turn impacts growth and return.

Photosynthesis is the principal source of agricultural productivity, which is adversely affected by situations of water scarcity. When the stomata closures respond to wetness, the leaf photosynthetic capacity is reduced and the dehydration of chloroplast and CO_2 into the leaf is reduced (**Figure 3**). For example, moderate moisture stress induces stomata closure by controlling transpiration to prevent water loss. This decreases stomata behavior and limits the concentration of intercellular CO_2 [78]. In serious drought, decreased stomata conductance and (nonstomatal) metabolic damage, such as restricted carboxylation, become important photosynthetic constraints [87]. Likewise, stomata behavior is not continuously linked to photosynthesis, but investigation is needed [110]. Drought can impair both photosynthesis and seriously



Figure 3. *Physiological role of potassium in plant.*

influence transpiration and depend on the degree of drought and plant growth [111]. Under mature cotton leaves up to 66 percent decrease has been reported in water shortage situations about smaller leaves [27]. Overall, using K-efficient cultivars under reduced irrigation condition along with 50 Kg ha⁻¹ K₂O improve the net photosynthetic rate by about 30% as compared to potassium nonefficient cotton cultivars [11].

3.4 Stomatal regulation

The major purpose of stomachs in plants is to control water loss through transpiration. In the event of a water-deficit-resistant plant, internal moisture preservation and fast stomach closure are critical. Water loss from cotton leaves is a major phenomenon in situations where water is in shortfall; however, the plants produce adaptations to survive under drought stress, that is, wilting and rolling leaves lead to less radiation interception and eventually lower water loss [75]. In general, a variety of xeromorphic characteristics increase drought tolerance, including a large cuticular epidermis, thicker and smaller leaves, smaller and denser stomata tissues, more epidermal trichomes in palisade, and a well-structured vascular bundle sheath [33]. In leaf gas exchanges between the leaf's internal cavity and its exterior surroundings, stomatal control plays an important function. The leaves of the plant disperse heat energy through three methods. Sensitive heat loss (lead and convection) and transpiration are processes such as re-radiation. Transpiration is the essential mechanism for flowering plants, for energy collection, and maintenance of cellular processes. With 90 percent of plant water loss occurring by transpiration [112], stomatal regulation plays a major function in ensuring a supply of water and nutrients for the vital physiological process. The first approach to reduce water loss in cotton cultivation in drought conditions is to close the stomata under excessive transpiration [103], in the eight maize hybrids showed that the portion of transportable soil water that the stomata began to close throughout a drying cycle was statistically different. Therefore, a potential biomarker for induced drought tolerance is stomatal conductance; however, a negative association is found between drought resistance and cotton stomatal conductance.

A significant feature in cotton plants under drought stress is the reduction of water loss through leaves. Wilting and rolling of the ground lead to less radiation and hence less loss of water [75]. Plastic materials frequently exhibit various xeromorphic characteristics, and have structures that encourage drought tolerance, such as thicker and smaller leaves, thicker cuticle epidermis, thicker palisade tissues, more dense stomata, a high proportion of palisades to the spongy perennial thickness, and a vascular sheath developed [33, 113]. In a gas exchange between tissues and the atmosphere, Stomata control plays a crucial function. It is one of the primary processes for the generation of energy and cell activity in plants. 90% of plant water losses are due to stomata openings [114]. The closing of the stomata is the first step in cotton to decrease water loss when the transpiration rate is very high. Stomata activity could be a possible indication of drought tolerance for cotton as the relationship between drought tolerance and stomatal performance is negative.

3.5 Osmotic adjustment

Osmotic adjustment is an adaptation technique for the acclimation of increased cell turgor and water retention after stress. Osmotic leaf adjustment is significantly associated with drought tolerance in other crop species. The osmotic adjustment of compatible solutes in the cytosol is carried out in plant cells in response to water stress. This lowers the cell's osmotic potential for cell turgor and cell growth. Compatible solutes such as proline, sorbitol, and glycine betaine are more soluble and do not interfere with metabolism in cells, even at high levels. Proline is a widely appropriate drought stress response in plants [24]. Proline accumulation in drought plants is, however, different and relies on cultivar and growing phase (e.g., proline accumulation in cotton ovaries was higher than in the leaves). Ref. [115] have suggested that during reproductive phases, the osmotic adjustment may be greater than in vegetative stages and may depend on tissue.

The water shortage affects the turgidity and osmotic balance of the cells at the cellular level. Osmotic adaptation is key to reducing the impacts of crop damage caused by drought. Mechanisms of plant protection also include osmoprotectants or osmolytes that control homeostasis after drought and cellular salinity stress. The effect of drought stress on osmotic balance is adverse and hence plants collect various organic and inorganic components to lower the osmotic potential of the dry weight [75]. Osmotic adjustment is involved in numerous organic compounds including amino acids (proline and glycine), sugar (trehalose and fructan), sugar alcohols (mannitol, sorbitol, D-monitor-monitor and polyamine (polyamine and betaine), polyols, ectoin, alkaloids, and inorganic ions known as osmoprotectants/osmolytes [75, 116]. Such solutes help protect proteins and membranes from harm owing to high concentrations of inorganic ions and oxidative damage caused by drought stress [31]. The exogenous use of osmoprotective agents (proline and glycine betaine) has proven to be beneficial in decreasing the deleterious effects of cotton drought stress [117]. Transgenic cotton plants were more drought-tolerant than controlling plants and were more photosynthesized, had greater relative water content, improved osmotic adjustment, reduced lipid membrane peroxidation, and less ion leakage [76]. AnnBj1 ectopic annexin gene expression improved the content of the proline and sucrose, which increased the tolerance of drought in cotton [118]. In addition, the overexpression of the GhAnn1 cotton annexin gene improved dryness and salt tolerance by boosting the activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD) [119].

Compatible solutes protect the proteins and membranes from damage due to high levels of inorganic ions and water-deficit oxidant damage [31], and salinity [6]. Foliar application of glycine betaine and proline could be a useful approach for increasing tolerance in cotton cultivations [117]. More drought tolerance showed in cotton plants more glycine betaine in accumulation. The promotion of physiological processes, such as leaf photosynthesis, relative water, improved osmotic adjustment, and low lipid stability, might thus increase crop performance under drought by transgenic/ nontransgenic method [42]. For example, a rise in proline and sucrose content of the AnnBj mustard annexin gene in cotton led to a higher tolerance of drought [118]. In addition, GhAnn1 overexpression, annexin cotton gene, drought, and salt tolerance have been enhanced by the enhancement of superoxide dismutase [119]. Further osmotic adjustment research in reproductive organs is needed to completely understand this process in drought cotton plants.

4. Biochemical and molecular mechanisms of drought tolerance

Plants via morphological adaptation avoid a range of environmental stressors. The drought tolerance mechanism is connected with several biochemical, morph physiological and molecular processes. The hormonal interaction inside the plant body controls these activities intensely.

4.1 Abscisic acid (ABA)

ABA is a natural plant stress hormone; stress response, growth, and reproductive behavior in cultivated plants. Osmotic stress in plants is linked to drought and the low available water induced by the synthesis of ABA and adaptation mechanisms [22]. The abscisic acid production is triggered after the reception of stress signals by the plasma membrane, excluding the xanthoxin transition into ABA. This usually happens in the cytoplasm [120]. ABA is typically rooted and transmitted by vascular tissues to higher regions of the plant [111]. In cotton, ABA is detected and transmitted using ABAdependent or ABA-independent transmission, whereas the former are essential actors for stress-responsive gene expression under many stressors, including osmotic pressures. Many receptors in the plasma membrane, cytosol, the envelope of chloroplast, and nucleus have been identified. The plants display a low ABA concentration in the nonstress environment; sugar nonfermenting protein kinase 2 (SnRK2) is suppressed by protein phosphorylation of 2C (PP2C). ABA enhances drought tolerance in cotton plants through the modulation of stress-related genes. ABA-induced overexpression of cotton genes GhCBF3 in Arabidopsis led to drought tolerance in transgenic lines, with greater levels of relative water, chlorophyll, and proline than wild type [121]. The AREB1 and AREB2 are more expression-level compared to the wild transgenic line, while the stomata aperture is lower when ABA is treated. Suggesting that, through the ABA signaling route, GhCBF3 may increase drought resistance.

4.2 Jasmonic acid (JA)

Plant phytohormone and its active by-products known as jasmonate are considered Jasmonic acid (JA). In fighting many biotic and abiotic stressors, it plays a crucial function. In addition, JA is related to improving root structures, tendril coiling, pollen generation, and fruit maturation [122]. Exogenous application of jasmonate has been found to enhance plant performance in drought environments [18, 123]. The mechanism and production of jasmonic acid signaling were intensively researched. The repressor protein jasmonate-zim (JAZ) plays an important function in the JA signalization pathway as the JA signaling switch. Jasmonate/Jasmonate-Zim (JAI3/JAZ), proteins that are not under stress or lack of JA, are associated with and eliminate various elements of transcription, including myelocytomatosis (MYC2). Nonetheless, the degradation of JAZ proteins, as illustrated above, occurs under deficiency water, producing the effect of active transcription factors, that is, MYC2, which regulates stress tolerance-related genes [32]. Plant hormones usually do not work in one path, but depend partly on each other in different periods to control the environment and development paths. In plants, signal transduction occurs, and many changes may be organized to adapt in a challenging way to harsh conditions [123].

4.3 Reactive oxygen species (ROS)

The fractional reduction of ambient O_2 is responsible for the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The cell ROS consists of four categories: radical hydroxyl (HO·), radical anion superoxide (O_2 –), peroxide hydrogen (H_2O_2), and singlet oxygen ($1O_2$). HO· and 1O2 are very reactive and may oxidize DNA and eventually causes cell death with RNA, lipids, and proteins [75]. The generation of ROS induces subcellular locations, namely the cell wall, chloroplast, nucleus, mitochondria, and plasma membrane [124]. Drought production increases such as a reduction in CO₂ fixation

leading to a decrease in NADP+ reconstruction during the Calvin cycle. This lowers the activity of the photosynthesis chain. Moreover, the Mehler reaction in electrodes may potentially increase the generation of ROS during photosynthesis by too many electrons leaking to O_2 [125]. By donating an electron in photosystem-I, the Mehler reaction decreases O_2 to O_2 . O_2 - via superoxide dismutase, which can be converted into water by ascorbate peroxidase, may be changed into hydrogen peroxide. It is difficult, however, to evaluate ROS levels of those created by photorespiration during the Mehler reaction. The photo-respiratory route also increases moisture stress, especially if RUBP oxygenation is strong because of the partial fixing of CO_2 . Some 70 percent of overall humidity stress generation H_2O_2 takes occurs by photorespiration [125].

Plants have sophisticated systems to check the ROS redox homeostasis, to avoid extra ROS in cells. Changes in the metabolism of antioxidant enzymes may impact drought resistance in cotton plants. Plants have created antioxidant mechanisms to keep growing. This system consists of enzyme and nonenzyme additives. These enzymes include dismutase of superoxides, ascorbate peroxidase, and peroxides of guaiacol, reductase monodehydroascorbate, catala, reductase, and glutathione reductase of dehydroascorbate. Nonenzymatic components include reduced ascorbic acid (AA), flavonoids, carotenoids, proline, glutathione, and (GSH). Both components operate together to break ROS [126, 127]. The Halliwell Asada route detoxifies the H_2O_2 with the ascorbate peroxidase along with NADH MDAR, and GR [127]. In MDHAR, Ascorbate decreases MDHA. However, 2 MDHA molecules can be converted into MDHA and dehydroascorbate without being enzymatically reduced to ascorbate via the NADH and GR cycles [128]. The presence of NADPH reduces glutathione (GSH) via GR oxidation. Glutathione reductase activity rises with humidity stress, retaining oxidized and decreased levels of glutathione [129]. If oxidative signals and/or losses occur, the balancing of antioxidant activity and ROS generation determines whether [26]. The antioxidant capacity of various cotton cultivars affects the potential resilience to dry conditions. The moisture stress in cotton causes ROS formation; however, the ROS scraping process may also be improved and maintained by APX and GR activities [130].

The use of nutrients (Zn) was shown to reduce oxidative damage to cotton caused by polyethylene glycol (PEG). This raises the amount of CAT, APX, SOD, and enzyme-free antioxidants [52]. Increasing GR activities and better lump-sum levels have been reported in [130] drought-tolerant (CCRI-60). The CCRI-60 was capable of scavenging free radicals and protecting the plants against severe circumstances, in comparison to the sensitive (CCRI-27). This indicates better development and increased drought stress resistance. GbMYB5 down-control in Gossypium barbadense has resulted in decreased activity with antioxidants such as CTA, peroxidase (POD), SOD, and Glutathione S-transferase (GST) [30]. Further research is, however, necessary to discover genes in drought-resistant cotton cultivar pathways associated with the antioxidant enzyme. The use of Zn and K supplies can also help strengthen the cotton plant's antioxidant system [131].

5. Potassium as a drought stress ameliorating tool

Increases the leaf photosynthetic of crops, crop maturity, and high-quality fibers among all plant nutrients, K has a key role in increasing vigorous cotton development [23]. Due to its negative effects on cotton's surface of leaves of plants, photosynthesis, and production of biomass, K was shown to reduce yield and fiber quality [132], because K plays a major role in cotton growth, development, and quality fibers.

In addition, excess or insufficient K is vital to the normal function of the plants and also for the development of plants [9] in the growth medium to maintain the K level and its relation with other critical plant nourishment (particularly sodium (Na)). The management of K-fertilizer is, therefore, beneficial to enhance plant growth. Adequate K supplies influenced the overall growth of cotton substantially [133] because of their crucial function in the generation of biomass [134], an increase in its surface area, and the synthesis of photosynthesis [135].

Cotton is more vulnerable to low K and the most sensitive to K fertilization than other plants [81]. Ref. [133] He also found varied responses from conventional and Bt cotton cultivars to low K levels. In biomass output, four cotton cultivars are significantly different from K in a field test. Likewise, nutrient-efficient genotypes culture was proposed as a key strategy to enhance fertilizer efficiency [37]. By decreasing the usage of artificial fertilizers in agriculture, potassium intake and effective use of soil nutrients are anticipated to have good environmental benefits. The genotypes which are successful in K-uptake were proposed to have a broader root surface. This can lead to additional root-to-soil moves to maintain a broader root gradient. This might further enhance K translocation to different plant organs, which could maintain the optimum cytosolic K+ content. The enhanced selectivity from K to Na is the fundamental mechanism of better efficiency of use [37].

A sufficient supply of cotton K is not only necessary for water relations but also for improving the water use efficiency of (WUE) which can enable plants to live under drought stress [135]. Observed that WUE in cotton was enhanced by K but with cotton cultivars, this impact was different [136] shows that not all plants or K levels may have a favorable influence on every element of water in cotton stomach conductivity by fertilization with K under water-starved conditions.

Drought stress yields are a good tolerance to K applications, and they increased fiber yield and quality when compared with a well-watered control, under drought stress treatments [137]. Furthermore, it was observed that using K foliar spray, the micronaire, an indirect measure of fiber coarseness, was enhanced by 0.32. Drought stress saving the effects of drought stress and enhanced output was the use of foliar K. Although the reaction of cultivars was varied, the use of foliar K in the drought treatments increased fiber output and quality, to make it statistically comparable to well-watered control [138].

Both root development and the rates of K⁺ root diffusion were reduced during drought stress, reducing the uptake of K. Drought resistance as well as K absorption might be further depressed by the ensuing reduced levels of K. Consequently, maintenance of sufficient plant K is important for the dryness of plants. A strong relationship has been established between K's nutritional status and plant drought resistance. Adequate quantities of K can increase the overall dry mass accumulation under drought stress compared to lower K levels. The stomata control by K⁺ and the associated increased rates of photosynthesis may be related to this result. Furthermore, K is also crucial to the transfer of root development photo-assimilates. Root growth promotion was shown to enhance the root surface that was exposed to the soil as a consequence of improved absorption of root water by increasing adequate K supply under K-deficient soil. Lindhauer said that K nutrition not only enhanced the total dry mass of the plant and leaf area but also improved the retention of water in the drought of plant tissue. Drought stress has considerably decreased cell membrane stability [139]. Maize plants with a higher application of K were shown to respond more effectively to water stress in research [140]. These improvements were mostly due to K's function in enhancing stability and adjusting the capabilities of the cell membrane. A suitable supply of K is necessary to increase dryness by increasing root length and keeping the cell membrane stable.

Many studies have demonstrated that osmotic adjustment is positively linked to drought resistance in different plant types [141]. K⁺ plays a crucial function in developing the capacity to adapt to drought environments as one of the most prominent inorganic osmotic in plants [142]. Increasing the K⁺, Cl⁻, and Na⁺ absorption by root cells regulated cell turgor restoration under osmotically-generated stress, partially mediated by K+ transporters on the cellular plasma membrane [143]. Moreover, enough K promotes solvent accumulation, therefore decreasing the osmotic potential to sustain osmotic stress in plant cell turgor. In a word, an adequate K status can enable osmotic adjustments which maintain high turgor pressure, the relative water content, and reduced osmotic potential [144].

For seed adjustment to drought environments, rapid stoma closure and internal moisture conservation are critical. K plays a vital function during stomata activity in turgor control in guard cells [142]. Considering that stomata closure is preceded by the quick release of K+ from the protective cells into the leaf apoplast, it is fair to believe that stomata could hardly be left open in K-deficient circumstances. Some studies show that K shortage in various agricultural plants might cause stomata closure and internal humidity conservation are important for seed adaptability to drought conditions. K plays a key role in the turgor regulation activities in guard cells [142]. Since the closure of the stomata is before the rapid release of K+ in leaf apoplast from the protecting cells, it is reasonable that under the K-deficiency situations, stomata could scarcely be left open. Some researchers have shown that K deficit may affect stomata closures and photosynthetic rates in different agricultural plants.

6. Selection and variation for K-uptake in cotton germplasm

In the area of genetic resource management, it is vital for sustainable cotton production to identify the genotypes of cotton for low K input. Production costs and management of K resources in agro environments would be reduced. Cultivation of nutrient-efficient crop genotypes is an effort to improve the efficiency of fertilizer usage [37] and decrease input costs and nutrient waste [17].

Categorization of cotton cultivars based on their growth performance under nutrient-deficient conditions is essential for the development of K-efficient cultivars in any crop. Soil worldwide is exhausting for the supply of adequate potassium (K) nutrition due to intensive crop cultivation systems. Exploiting genetic variability underlying an efficient K transport system is a viable, cost-effective strategy to increase cotton productivity in low-input production systems [12] stated during his experimentation to characterize 46 diverse cotton cultivars for enhanced K acquisition and utilization efficiency at low (0.26 mM K) and adequate (3.33 mM K) K levels in a sand culture experiment. There exists genetic variation in cotton cultivars for K acquisition and utilization. The Indicators such as DMYI and KUE, based on the mean and standard deviation, can be reliably used for the classification of indigenous cotton germplasm. The cotton cultivars including MNH-886, CYTO-124, FH-142, CIM-554, CIM-707, and IUB-2013 were found to be highly K-efficient and responsive. These cotton cultivars have a great potential for wider adaptation under both low and high-K input agriculture systems and, therefore, may be recommended for cultivation in soils.

Supply of adequate plant growth K improved significantly by 21–50% the growth characteristics of cotton and the yield of seed cotton from five cotton genotypes

being observed, including symposia branch number (21%), leaf number (34%), dry biomass with leaves (30%), dry biomass shoot (31%), boll number (50%) and cotton yield (92%) [161]. In four cotton cultivars for biomass production and partitioning between various organisms under the K fertilization impact, [133] observed significant differences [81] also reported the differential reaction of two K⁺ conventional cotton cultivars to two Bt and discovered that, because of decreased K⁺ absorption, the Bt-transgenic cotton cultivars were more vulnerable to the deficit than conventional cultivars. However, it is very preferable to select a wide variety of cotton genotypes to categorize their differential K absorption and use efficiency. Such grading might provide significant basic information to support cotton breeding efforts that achieve high efficiency of K-use.

The variations in the absorption and use of nitrogen [146] and potassium among cotton cultivars were also identified [147]. One of the major techniques for the sustainable strengthening of farming systems revealed by Ref. [148] is to use genotypic variations by selecting and identifying crop genotypes best adapted to unflavored soils using nutrients. In their growth response, K-uptake and efficiency associated substantially with shot dry weight were significantly different, indicating an essential function for the creation of biomass. Higher biomass accumulated in K levels in efficient cultivars in the absorption of K and efficiency. Because K is extremely mobile in plants, genotypic variations in K absorption were linked to alterations to K translocation across cells and the whole plant [37]. Bt-transgenic cotton cultivars tend to have an increasing interest in K fertilizers with the rising usage of transgenic cotton as documented by Ref. [149] as a result of a more sensitive contemporary K shortage than traditional cultivars are more sensitive to drought stress compared with indeterminate cultivars that mature later with small boll numbers and weight.

K-uptake efficient genotypes have special physiological mechanisms to achieve enough K-uptake and efficient genotypes can have a larger area for contact between the root and the earth with a greater root surface absorptive capacity to maintain the soil and root spread gradient according to [37] K-uptake efficient genotypes. In eight cotton cultivars under controlled conditions both in growing chamber and field environments, the genetic diversity in K absorption and application was investigated, that [69]. K-efficient cultivars outperformed the K-inefficient cultivars by 29 and 234%, respectively, for K (dry mass supplied by unit K fixation) productivity (dry mass per unit K accumulates) and K. Under field circumstances with soil-compatible K-deficiency, the K-efficient cultivars generated a 59% greater potential yield (dry weight for each regenerative organ). During blooming, boll development, and seed production stage, however, signs of K insufficiency appeared.

More efficient cultivars are expected to have a favorable influence on the environment in their use and use of soil nutrients because farmers may decrease their chemical use in agriculture and demand significant yields, where fertilizer efficiency can be constrained by chemical and biological responses, drying of topsoil, subsurface limitations, and/or the involvement of disease [37].

7. K-efficient cotton cultivars' relation with water use efficiency

Only limited research work has been done on water use efficiency by using K-efficient cultivars. Our findings suggest that the selection of K-efficient cotton cultivars with adequate K application has the potential to improve nitrogen-use

efficiency and save considerable quantities of fertilizer and irrigation water, up to 35% in cotton, without yield loss under arid climatic conditions [11]. The authors [135], in their experiment, describe the degree of association between K levels and physiological processes implying that a high supply of K must be aimed at under dry land conditions for improvement in drought tolerance or WUE should be explored genetically despite adopting optimum mineral nutrition and water management strategies. The normal dose of potassium fertilizer reduces the impact of stress and improves water use efficiency by up to 30% by reducing leaf evapotranspiration and preventing water losses, and maintaining leaf water potential [11].

8. Conclusion

The world population will increase up to 9 billion in the year 2050. The biotic and ambitious factors are the main cause of the reduction of yield as well as quality. Therefore, it is necessary to reduce the massive application of fertilizers; however, overuse of N fertilization and K deficiency become a source of poor yield and quality. Recently, more attention has been focused on the effects of morpho-physiological traits that ultimately determine yield. Although all stages of cotton development are affected by drought stress, however, the reproductive phase of flowering and boll development is generally accepted as the most sensitive stages. Therefore, it is necessary to develop nutrient-efficient cultivars to fulfill the yield gap in many regions. According to our knowledge, little information is available for the characterization of cotton cultivars for potassium uptake under drought stress. The knowledge of drought tolerance of K-efficient cotton cultivars during drought stress is crucial for maintaining yield production in regions where water supply is limited or annual rainfall is less.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Chief Scientist of Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, Multan- Pakistan for financial support and writing up.

Author contributions

All authors read, revised, and approved the manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate. Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors have reviewed the manuscript and given their consent for publication.

Competing interests

Not applicable.



IntechOpen

Author details

Muhammad Naeem Akhtar^{1*}, Muhammad Waseem Akhtar², Ashfaq Ahmad Rahi¹ and Tanveer ul Haq³

1 Pesticide Quality Control Laboratory, Multan, Pakistan

2 Department of Plant Breeding and Genetic, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

3 Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, MNS- University of Agriculture, Multan, Pakistan

*Address all correspondence to: muhammaduam01@gmail.com

IntechOpen

© 2023 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

References

[1] Riemann M, Dhakarey R, Hazman M, Miro B, Kohli A, Nick P. Exploring jasmonates in the hormonal network of drought and salinity responses. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2015;**6**:1077

[2] Sun Z, Wang X, Liu Z, Gu Q, Zhang Y, Li Z, et al. Genome-wide association study discovered genetic variation and candidate genes of fibre quality traits in Gossypium hirsutum L. Plant Biotechnology Journal. 2017;**15**:982-996

[3] Pervez H, Ashraf M, Makhdum MI. Influence of potassium nutrition on gas exchange characteristics and water relations in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Photosynthetica. 2004;**42**(2):251-255

[4] Uzilday B, Turkan I, Sekmen AH, Ozgur RENG, Karakaya HC. Comparison of ROS formation and antioxidant enzymes in Cleome gynandra (C4) and Cleome spinosa (C3) under drought stress. Plant Science. 2012;**182**:59-70

[5] Dawn News. 2016. Available from: http://www.dawn.com/news/1240448

[6] Comas LH, Becker SR, Cruz VMV, Byrne PF, Dierig DA. Root traits contributing to plant productivity under drought. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2013;4:442

[7] Sahito A, Baloch ZA, Mahar A, Otho SA, Kalhoro SA, Ali A, et al. Effect of water stress on the growth and yield of cotton crop (Gossypium hirsutum L.). American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2015;**6**:1027-1039. DOI: 10.4236/ ajps.2015.67108

[8] Wang Y, Wu WH. Regulation of potassium transport and signaling in plants. Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2017;**39**:123-128 [9] Wang X, Mohamed I, Xia Y, Chen F. Effects of water and potassium stresses on potassium utilization efficiency of two cotton genotypes. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2014;**14**:833-844

[10] Wang Y, Wu WH. Potassium transport and signaling in higher plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology.2013;64:451-476

[11] Akhtar MN, Ul-Haq T, Ahmad F, Imran M, Ahmed W, Ghaffar A, et al. Application of potassium along with nitrogen under varied moisture regimes improves performance and nitrogen-use efficiency of high- and low-potassium efficiency cotton cultivars. Agronomy. 2022;**2022**(12):502. DOI: 10.3390/ agronomy12020502

[12] Akhtar MN, Ul-Haq T, Ahmad F. Evaluation of the response of indigenous cotton cultivars to low potassium stress in hydroponics system. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2022;54(5):1663-1673. DOI: 10.30848/PJB2022-5(4)

[13] Akhtar MN, Ul-Haq T, Ahmad F, Ahmed W, Ghaffar A. Characterization of diverse cotton cultivars for potassium acquisition based on morphological and physiological traits at early growth stage. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2023;55(2). DOI: 10.30848/PJB2023-2(21)

[14] Anjum SA, Xie XY, Wang LC, Saleem MF, Man C, Lei W. Morphological, physiological and biochemical responses of plants to drought stress. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011;**6**(9):2026-2032

[15] Ashraf J, Zuo D, Wang Q, Malik W, Zhang Y, Abid MA, et al. Recent insights into cotton functional genomics: Progress and future perspectives. Plant Biotechnology Journal. 2018;**16**(3):699-713

[16] Bajji M, Kinet JM, Lutts S. The use of the electrolyte leakage method for assessing cell membrane stability as a water stress tolerance test in durum wheat. Plant Growth Regulation. 2002;**36**(1):61-70

[17] Baligar VC, Fageria NK, He ZI. Nutrient use efficiency in plants. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2001;**32**:921-950

[18] Bandurska H, Stroiński A, Kubiś J. The effect of jasmonic acid on the accumulation of ABA, proline and spermidine and its influence on membrane injury under water deficit in two barley genotypes. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 2003;**25**(3):279-285

[19] Baytar AA, Peynircioğlu C, Sezener V, Basal H, Frary A, Frary A, et al. Identification of stable QTLs for fiber quality and plant structure in upland cotton (G. hirsutum L.) under drought stress. Industrial Crops and Products. 2018;**124**:776-786

[20] Bengough AG, McKenzie BM, Hallett PD, Valentine TA. Root elongation, water stress, and mechanical impedance: A review of limiting stresses and beneficial root tip traits. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2011;**62**:59-68

[21] Borgo L, Marur CJ, Vieira LGE. Effects of high proline accumulation on chloroplast and mitochondrial ultrastructure and on osmotic adjustment in tobacco plants. Acta Scientiarum Agronomy. 2015;**37**:191

[22] Boudsocq M, Lauriere C. Osmotic signaling in plants. Multiple pathways mediated by emerging kinase families. Plant Physiology. 2005;**138**(3):1185-1194

[23] Brar MS, Tiwari KN. Boosting seed cotton yields in Punjab with potassium: A review. Better Crops. 2004;**88**(3):28-31 [24] Bray EA. Abscisic acid regulation of gene expression during water-deficit stress in the era of the Arabidopsis genome. Plant, Cell & Environment. 2002;**25**(2):153-161

[25] Brill E, van Thournout M, White RG, Llewellyn D, Campbell PM, Engelen S, et al. A novel isoform of sucrose synthase is targeted to the cell wall during secondary cell wall synthesis in cotton fiber. Plant Physiology. 2011;**157**:40-54

[26] Chan KX, Wirtz M, Phua SY, Estavillo GM, Pogson BJ. Balancing metabolites in drought: The sulfur assimilation conundrum. Trends in Plant Science. 2013;**18**(1):18-29

[27] Chastain DR, Snider JL, Choinski JS, Collins GD, Perry CD. Leaf ontogeny strongly influences photosynthetic tolerance to drought and high temperature in Gossypium hirsutum. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2016;**199**:8-28

[28] Chastain DR, Snider JL, Collins GD, Perry CD, Whitaker J, Byrd SA. Water deficit in field-grown Gossypium hirsutum primarily limits net photosynthesis by decreasing stomatal conductance, increasing photorespiration, and increasing the ratio of dark respiration to gross photosynthesis. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2014;**171**(17):1576-1585

[29] Chaves MM, Flexas J, Pinheiro C. Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: Regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. Annals of Botany. 2009;**103**:551-560

[30] Chen T, Li W, Hu X, Guo J, Liu A, Zhang B. A cotton MYB transcription factor, GbMYB5, is positively involved in plant adaptive response to drought stress. Plant and Cell Physiology. 2015;**56**(5):917-929

[31] Chen TH, Murata N. Glycinebetaine protects plants against abiotic stress: Mechanisms and biotechnological applications. Plant, Cell & Environment. 2011;**34**(1):1-20

[32] Chini A, Fonseca S, Fernandez G, Adie B, Chico JM, Lorenzo O, et al. The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. Nature. 2007;**448**:666-671

[33] Jamshed M, Jia F, Gong J, Palanga KK, Shi Y, Li J, et al. Identification of stable quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for fiber quality traits across multiple environments in Gossypium hirsutum recombinant inbred line population. BMC Genomics. 2016;**17**:197

[34] FAO. The State of Food and Agriculture. Vol. 37. Rome, Italy: Food & Agriculture Organization of the UN (FAO); 1989

[35] Parekh MJ, Kumar S, Zala HN, Fougat RS, Patel CB, Bosamia TC, et al. Development and validation of novel fiber relevant dbEST-SSR markers and their utility in revealing genetic diversity in diploid cotton (Gossypium herbaceum and G. arboreum). Industrial Crops and Products. 2016;**83**:620-629

[36] Samarah NH. Effects of drought stress on growth and yield of barley. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2005;**25**(1):145-149

[37] Riaz M, Farooq J, Sakhawat G, Mahmood A, Sadiq MA, Yaseen M. Genotypic variability for root/shoot parameters under water stress in some advanced lines of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.). Genetics and Molecular Research. 2013;**12**(1):552-561

[38] Akhtar ME, Aneela S, Ashraf M, Akhtar M, Zameer Khan M. Effect of potash application on seed cotton yield and yield components of selected cotton varieties-I. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2003;**2**:602-604

[39] Iqbal M, Khan MA, Naeem M, Aziz U, Afzal J, Latif M. Inducing drought tolerance in upland cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.), accomplishments and future prospects. World Applied Sciences Journal. 2013;**21**:1062-1069

[40] Wang Z, Huang B. Physiological recovery of Kentucky bluegrass from simultaneous drought and heat stress. Crop Science. 2004;**44**(5):1729-1736

[41] Hake K, Cassman K, Ebelhar W. Cotton nutrition-N, P and K. Cotton Physiology Today. 1991;**2**:3

[42] Zia-Ul-Hassan, K.S. Memon, M. Memon and M. Arshad. 2008. Quantifying the effect of temperature on ammonium bicarbonate diethylene triamine pentaacetic acid extractable potassium and developing a novel correction factor to express the data. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 39:3047-3056.

[43] Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita SMA, Basra D. Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management. Agronomy for Sustainable Development. 2009;**29**;185-212

[44] Farooq M, Wahid A, Lee DJ. Exogenously applied polyamines increase drought tolerance of rice by improving leaf water status, photosynthesis and membrane properties. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 2009;**31**(5):937-945

[45] Loka DM, Derrick M,

Oosterhuis DM, Ritchie GL. Water-deficit stress in cotton. In: Oosterhuis DM, editor. Stress Physiology in Cotton. Tennessee, USA: National Cotton Council of America; 2011. pp. 37-72. Number Seven The Cotton Foundation Book Series [46] Gunes A, Kadioglu YK, Pilbeam DJ, Inal A, Coban S, Aksu A. Influence of silicon on sunflower cultivars under drought stress, II: Essential and nonessential element uptake determined by polarized energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence. Communications In Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2008;**39**:1904-1927

[47] Kuromori T, Miyaji T, Yabuuchi H, Shimizu H, Sugimoto E, Kamiya A, et al. ABC transporter AtABCG25 is involved in abscisic acid transport and responses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010;**107**(5):2361-2366

[48] Tan J, Tu L, Deng F, Wu R, Zhang X. Exogenous jasmonic acid inhibits cotton fiber elongation. Journal of Plant Growth Regulation. 2012;**31**(4):599-605

[49] Nam NH, Chauhan YS, Johansen C. Effect of timing of drought stress on growth and grain yield of extra-shortduration pigeonpea lines. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 2001;**136**(2):179-189

[50] Loka DA, Oosterhuis DM,Ritchie GL. Water-deficit stress in cotton.Stress Physiol. Cott. Found. Memphis,TN In D.M Oos. 2011. pp. 37-72

[51] Makhdum MI, Pervez H, Ashraf M. Dry matter accumulation and partitioning in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) as influenced by potassium fertilization. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2007;**43**(3):295-301

[52] Ray JD, Sinclair TR. Stomatal closure of maize hybrids in response to drying soil. Crop Science. 1997;**37**:803-807

[53] Deeba F, Pandey AK, Ranjan S, Mishra A, Singh R, Sharma YK, et al. Physiological and proteomic responses of cotton (Gossypium herbaceum L.) to drought stress. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 2012;**53**:6-18 [54] Mahan JR, Wanjura DF. Seasonal patterns of glutathione and ascorbate metabolism in field-grown cotton under water stress. Crop Science. 2005;**45**:193-201

[55] Marschner P. Marschner's Mineral Nutritionmineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. London: Academic; 2012. p. 651

[56] Estrada-Campuzano G, Miralles DJ, Slafer GA. Genotypic variability and response to water stress of pre- and post anthesis phases in triticale. European Journal of Agronomy. 2008;**28**:171-177

[57] Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annual Review of Plant Biology. 2006;**57**:781-803

[58] Flexas J, Bota J, Cifre J, Mariano Escalona J, Galmés J, Gulías J, et al. Understanding down-regulation of photosynthesis under water stress: Future prospects and searching for physiological tools for irrigation management. Annals of Applied Biology. 2004;**144**(3):273-283

[59] Saeed M, Guo W, Ullah I, Tabbasam N, Zafar Y, ur-Rahman M, et al. QTL mappin for physiology, yield and plant architecture traits in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) grown under well-watered versus waterstress conditions. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology. 2011;**14**:1-13

[60] Pettigrew WT, Heitholt JJ, Meredith WR Jr. Genotypic interactions with potassium and nitrogen in cotton of varied maturity. Agronomy Journal. 1996;**88**(1):89-93

[61] Seo M, Koshiba T. Complex regulation of ABA biosynthesis in plants. Trends in Plant Science. 2002;7(1):41-48

[62] Noctor G, Gomez L, Vanacker H, Foyer CH. Interactions

between biosynthesis, compartmentation and transport in the control of glutathione homeostasis and signalling. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2002;**53**(372):1283-1304

[63] Khan MB, Hussain M, Raza A, Farooq S, Jabran K. Seed priming with CaCl2 and ridge planting for improved drought resistance in maize. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2015;**39**:193-203

[64] Maréchaux I, Bartlett MK, Sack L, Baraloto C, Engel J, Joetzjer E, et al. Drought tolerance as predicted by leaf water potential at turgor loss point varies strongly across species within an Amazonian forest. Functional Ecology. 2015;**29**:1268-1277

[65] Osakabe Y, Osakabe K, Shinozaki K, Tran L-SP. Response of plants to water stress. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2014;**5**:1-8

[66] Zhang H, Shen G, Kuppu S, Gaxiola R, Payton P. Creating droughtand salt-tolerant cotton by overexpressing a vacuolar pyrophosphatase gene. Plant Signaling & Behavior. 2011;**6**:861-863

[67] Taiz L, Zeiger E. Plant Physiology.5th ed. Massachusetts: Sinauer Assoc.;2010. p. 782

[68] Noreen S, Athar HUR,

Ashraf M. Interactive effects of watering regimes and exogenously applied osmoprotectants on earliness indices and leaf area index in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) crop. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2013;45(6):1873-1881

[69] Zhao D, Oosterhuis DM, Bednarz CW. Influence of potassium deficiency on photosynthesis, chlorophyll content, and chloroplast ultrastructure of cotton plants. Photosynthetica. 2001;**39**(1):103-109 [70] Kim HJ, Tang Y, Moon HS, Delhom CD, Fang DD. Functional analyses of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) immature fiber (im) mutant infer that fiber cell wall development is associated with stress responses. BMC Genomics. 2013;**14**:889

[71] Kaya MD, Okçu G, Atak M, Cıkılı Y, Kolsarıcı Ö. Seed treatments to overcome salt and drought stress during germination in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). European Journal of Agronomy. 2006;**24**(4):291-295

[72] USDA. 2015. Available from: http:// www.ers.usda.gov/dataproducts/ chartgallery/detail.aspx?chartId=52780

[73] Abbate PE, Dardanellib JL, Cantareroc MG, Maturanoc M, Melchiorid RIM, Sueroa EE. Climatic and water availability effects on wateruse efficiency in wheat. Crop Science. 2004;**44**:474-483

[74] Costa LD, Vedove GD, Gianquinto G, Giovanardi R, Peressotti A. Yield, water use efficiency and nitrogen uptake in potato: Influence of drought stress. Potato Research. 1997;**40**:19-34

[75] Fang Y, Xiong L. General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 2015;**72**(4):673-689

[76] Lv S, Yang A, Zhang K, Wang L, Zhang J. Increase of glycinebetaine synthesis improves drought tolerance in cotton. Molecular Breeding. 2007;**20**:233-248

[77] Manikavelu A, Nadarajan N, Ganesh SK, Gnanamalar RP, Babu RC. Drought tolerance in rice: Morphological and molecular genetic consideration. Plant Growth Regulation. 2006;**50**:121-138 [78] Zhang H, Zhang G, Lü X, Zhou D, Han X. Salt tolerance during seed germination and early seedling stages of 12 halophytes. Plant and Soil. 2015;**388**(1):229-241

[79] Luo J, Zhao LL, Gong SY, Sun X, Li P, Qin LX, et al. A cotton mitogen-activated protein kinase (GhMPK6) is involved in ABA-induced CAT1 expression and H_2O_2 production. Journal of Genetics and Genomics. 2011;**38**:557-565

[80] Wang M, Zheng Q, Shen Q, Guo S. The critical role of potassium in plant stress response. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2013;**14**(4):7370-7390

[81] Lu YX, Chanroj S, Zulkifli L, Johnson MA, Uozumi N, Cheung A, et al. Pollen tubes lacking a pair of K⁺ transporters fail to target ovules in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell. 2011;**23**:81-93

[82] Gibbs J, Greenway H. Mechanisms of anoxia tolerance in plants. I. Growth, survival and anaerobic catabolism. Functional Plant Biology. 2003;**30**(1):1-47

[83] Loka DA, Oosterhuis DM. Effect of 1-MCP on gas exchange and carbohydrate concentrations of the cotton flower and subtending leaf under water-deficit stress. American Journal of Plant Sciences. 2013;**04**:142-152

[84] Zahoor R, Zhao W, Abid M, Dong H, Zhou Z. Potassium application regulates nitrogen metabolism and osmotic adjustment in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) functional leaf under drought stress. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2017;**215**:30-38

[85] Ahoor R, Zhao W, Abid M, Dong H, Zhou Z. Potassium application regulates nitrogen metabolism and osmotic adjustment in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) functional leaf under drought stress. Journal of Plant Physiology. 2017;**215**:3025

[86] Galmés J, Flexas J, Savé R, Medrano H. Water relations and stomatal characteristics of Mediterranean plants with different growth forms and leaf habits: Responses to water stress and recovery. Plant and Soil. 2007;**290**:139-155

[87] Abid M, Tian Z, Ataulkarim ST, Cui Y, Liu Y. Nitrogen nutrition improves the potential of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) to alleviate the effects of drought stress during vegetative growth periods. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2016;7:1

[88] Hetherington AM, Woodward FI. The role of stomata in sensing and driving environmental change. Nature. 2003;**424**(6951):901-908

[89] Wu J, Sun Y, Zhao Y, Zhang J, Luo L, Li M, et al. Deficient plastidic fatty acid synthesis triggers cell death by modulating mitochondrial reactive oxygen species. Cell Research. 2015;**25**(5):621-633

[90] Singh P. Cotton Breeding. Cuttack, Ludhiana New Delhi Noida (U.P.): Kalyani Publishers; 2004. p. 295

[91] Girma K, Teal RK, Freeman KW, Boman RK, Raun WR. Cotton lint yield and quality as affected by applications of N, P, and K fertilizers. J Cotton Sci. 2007;**11**:12-19

[92] Singh M, Kumar J, Singh S, Singh VP, Prasad SM. Roles of osmoprotectants in improving salinity and drought tolerance in plants: A review. Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/ Technology. 2015;**14**(3):407-426

[93] Shang L, Wang Y, Wang X, Liu F, Abduweli A, Cai S. Genetic analysis

and QTL detection on fiber traits using two recombinant inbred lines and their backcross populations in upland cotton. Genes - Genomes - Genetics. 2016;**6**(9):2717-2724

[94] Parida AK, Dagaonkar VS, Phalak MS, Umalkar G, Aurangabadkar LP. Alterations in photosynthetic pigments, protein and osmotic components in cotton genotypes subjected to short-term drought stress followed by recovery. Plant Biotechnology Reports. 2007;**1**:37-48

[95] Jin SH, Huang JQ, Li XQ, Zheng BS, Wu JS, Wang ZJ, et al. Effects of potassium supply on limitations of photosynthesis by mesophyll diffusion conductance in Carya cathayensis. Tree Physiology. 2011;**31**(10):1142-1151

[96] Shen X, Guo T, Zhu W, Zhang X. Mapping fiber and yield QTLs with main epistatic and QTL environment interaction effects in recombinant inbred lines of upland cotton. Crop Science. 2006;**46**:61-66

[97] Samal D, Kovar JL, Steingrobe B, Sadana US, Bhadoria PS, Claassen N. Potassium uptake efficiency and dynamics in the rhizosphere of maize (Zea mays L.), wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), and sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) evaluated with a mechanistic model. Plant and Soil. 2010;**332**:105-121

[98] Kamara AY, Menkir A, Badu-Apraku B, Ibikunle 0. The influence of drought stress on growth, yield and yield components of selected maize genotypes. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 2003;**141**:43-50

[99] Liu G, Li X, Jin S, Liu X, Zhu L, Nie Y, et al. Overexpression of rice NAC gene SNAC1 improves drought and salt tolerance by enhancing root development and reducing transpiration rate in transgenic cotton. PLoS One. 2014;**9**:e86895 [100] Hulugalle NR, Broughton KJ, Tan DKY. Root growth of irrigated summer crops in cotton-based farming systems sown in Vertosols of northern New South Wales. Crop and Pasture Science. 2015;**66**(2):158-167

[101] Yang XE, Liu JX, Wang WM, Li H, Luo AC, Ye ZQ, et al. Genotypic differences and some associated plant traits in potassium internal use efficiency of lowland rice (Oryza sativa L.). Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems. 2003;**67**(3):273-282

[102] Deng F, Tu L, Tan J, Li Y, Nie Y, Zhang X. GbPDF1 is involved in cotton fiber initiation via the core cis-element HDZIP2ATATHB2. Plant Physiology. 2012;**158**:890-904

[103] Rengel Z, Damon PM. Crops and genotypes differ in efficiency of potassium uptake and use. Physiologia Plantarum. 2008;**133**(4):624-636

[104] Rucker KS, Kvien CK, Holbrook CC, Hook JE. Identification of peanut genotypes with improved drought avoidance traits. Peanut Science. 1995;**22**(1):14-18

[105] Xu Z, Zhou G, Shimizu H. Plant responses to drought and rewatering.Plant Signaling & Behavior.2010;5:649-654

[106] Kitao M, Lei TT. Circumvention of over-excitation of PSII by maintaining electron transport rate in leaves of four cotton genotypes developed under long-term drought. Plant Biology. 2007;**9**:69-76

[107] Mafakheri A, Siosemardeh A, Bahramnejad B, Struik PC, Sohrabi E. Effect of drought stress on yield, proline and chlorophyll contents in three chickpea cultivars. Australian Journal of Crop Science. 2010;4:580-585 [108] Zhang H, Khan A, Tan DK, Luo H. Rational water and nitrogen management improves root growth, increases yield and maintains water use efficiency of cotton under mulch drip irrigation. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2017;**8**:912

[109] Wan J, Rebecca G, Ying J, Peter MC, Huang Y. Development of drought tolerant canola (Brassica napus L.) through genetic modulation of ABAmediated stomatal responses. Crop Science. 2009;**49**:1539-1554

[110] Yadav RS, Hash CT, Bidinger FR, Devos KM, Howarth CJ. Genomic regions associated with grain yield and aspects of post-flowering drought tolerance in pearl millet across stress environments and tester background. Euphytica. 2004;**136**(3):265-277

[111] Li DX, Li CD, Sun HC, Liu LT, Zhang YJ. Photosynthetic and chlorophyll fluorescence regulation of upland cotton (Gossiypium hirsutum L.) under drought conditions. Plant Omics. 2012;5:432-437

[112] Wang C, Lu W, He X, Wang F,
Zhou Y, Guo X, et al. The cotton mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 3 functions in drought tolerance by regulating stomatal responses and root growth. Plant & Cell Physiology.
2016;57:1629-1642

[113] Hu H, He X, Tu L, Zhu L, Zhu S, Ge Z, et al. GhJAZ2 negatively regulates cotton fiber initiation by interacting with the R2R3-MYB transcription factor GhMYB25-like. The Plant Journal. 2016;**88**:921-935

[114] Wendel JF, Cronn RC. Polyploidy and the evolutionary history of cotton. Advances in Agronomy. 2002;**78**:139-186

[115] Premachandra GS, Saneoka H, Ogata S. Cell membrane stability and leaf water relations as affected by potassium nutrition of water-stressed maize. Journal of Experimental Botany. 1991;**42**(6):739-745

[116] Statista. 2015. Available from: http:// www.statista.com/statistics/263055/ cotton-production-worldwide-by-topcountries/

[117] Okcu G, Kaya MD, Atak M. Effects of salt and drought stresses on germination and seedling growth of pea (Pisum sativum L.). Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2005;**29**:237-242

[118] Divya K, Jami SK, Kirti PB. Constitutive expression of mustard annexin, AnnBj1 enhances abiotic stress tolerance and fiber quality in cotton under stress. Plant Molecular Biology. 2010;**73**(3):293-308

[119] Zhang W, Jin FF, Turner A. Increasing autumn drought over southern China associated with ENSO regime shift. Geophysical Research Letters. 2014;**41**(11):4020-4026

[120] Shabala SN, Lew RR. Turgor regulation in osmotically stressed Arabidopsis epidermal root cells. Direct support for the role of inorganic ion uptake as revealed by concurrent flux and cell turgor measurements. Plant Physiology. 2002;**129**(1):290-299

[121] Machado A, Wu Y, Yang Y, Llewellyn DJ, Dennis ES. The MYB transcription factor GhMYB25 regulates early fibre and trichome development. The Plant Journal. 2009;**59**:52-62

[122] Tomemori H, Hamamura K, Tanabe K. Interactive effects of sodium and potassium on the growth and photosynthesis of spinach and komatsuna. Plant Production Science. 2002;**5**(4):281-285

[123] Ruan YL, Llewellyn DJ, Furbank RT. Suppression of sucrose synthase

gene expression represses cotton fiber cell initiation, elongation, and seed development. The Plant Cell. 2003;**15**:952-964

[124] Gill SS, Tuteja N. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 2010;**48**(12):909-930

[125] Nonaini H. Plant water relations and control of cell elongation at low water potentials. Journal of Plant Research.1998;111:373-382

[126] Das K, Roychoudhury A. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and response of antioxidants as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. Frontiers in Environmental Science. 2014;**2**:53

[127] Xu WL, Zhang DJ, Wu YF, Qin LX, Huang GQ, Li J, et al. Cotton PRP5 gene encoding a proline-rich protein is involved in fiber development. Plant Molecular Biology. 2013;**82**:353-365

[128] Von CS, Lawson T, Oxborough K, Baker NR, Andrews TJ, Raines CA. Stomatal conductance does not correlate with photosynthetic capacity in transgenic tobacco with reduced amounts of rubisco. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2004;55:1157-1166

[129] Taiz L, Zeiger E. Plant Physiology.4th ed. Sunderland, MA: SinauerAssociates Inc Publishers; 2006

[130] Zhao TJ, Sun S, Liu Y, Liu IM, Liu Q, Yan YB, et al. Regulating the droughtresponsive element (DRE)-mediated signaling pathway by synergic functions of trans-active and transinactiveDRE binding factors in Brassica napus. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006;**281**:10752-10759

[131] Zeid IM, Shedeed ZA. Response of alfalfa to putrescine treatment under

drought stress. Biologia Plantarum. 2006;**50**:635-640

[132] Pettigrew WT. Physiological consequences of moisture deficit stress in cotton. Crop Science.2004;44(4):1265-1272

[133] Manavalan LP, Guttikonda SK, Tran LSP, Nguyen HT. Physiological and molecular approaches to improve drought resistance in soybean. Plant & Cell Physiology. 2009;**50**:1260-1276

[134] Zia-ul-Hassan and M. Arshad. Cotton growth under potassium deficiency stress is influenced by photosynthetic apparatus and root system. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2010;**42**:917-925

[135] Pettigrew WT. Relationships between insufficient potassium and crop maturity in cotton. Agronomy Journal. 2003;**95**:1323-1329

[136] Turner NC, Wright GC, Siddique KHM. Adaptation of grain legumes (pulses) to water-limited environments. Advances in Agronomy. 2001;**71**:193-131

[137] Ahmad R, Hur RGM, Waraich EA, Ashraf MY, Hussain M. Effect of supplemental foliar-applied potassium on cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) yield and lint quality under drought stress. Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences. 2013;**11**:154-164

[138] Ahmad MK, Khan AA, Mahmood R. Taurine ameliorates potassium bromateinduced kidney damage in rats. Amino Acids. 2013;**45**(5):1109-1121

[139] Wang Z, Gerstein M, Snyder M. RNA-Seq: A revolutionary tool for transcriptomics. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2009;**10**(1):57-63

[140] Ratnayaka HH, Molin WT, Sterling TM. Physiological and antioxidant responses of cotton and spurred anoda under interference and mild drought. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2003;**54**:2293-2305

[141] DaCosta M, Huang B. Osmotic adjustment associated with variation in bentgrass tolerance to drought stress. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 2006;**131**(3):338-344

[142] Mir RR, Zaman-Allah M, SreenivasuluN, TrethowanR, VarshneyRK. Integrated genomics, physiology and breeding approaches for improving drought tolerance in crops. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2012;**125**:625-645

[143] Shakoor MS, Malik TA, Azhar FM,
Saleem MF. Genetics of agronomic and fiber traits in upland cotton under drought stress. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology.
2010;12:495-500

[144] Egilla JN, Davies FT, Boutton TW. Drought stress influences leaf water content, photosynthesis, and water-use efficiency of Hibiscus rosa-sinensis at three potassium concentrations. Photosynthetica. 2005;**43**(1):135-140

[145] Tsonev T, Velikova V, Yildiz-Aktas L, Gürel AYNUR, Edreva A. Effect of water deficit and potassium fertilization on photosynthetic activity in cotton plants. Plant Biosystems-An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of Plant Biology. 2011;**145**(4):841-847

[146] Pilon C, Oosterhuis DM, Ritchie G, de Paiva Oliveira EA. Effect of drought in the osmotic adjustment of cotton plants. Summaries of Arkansas Cotton Research. 2013:60

[147] Gill MA, Ahmad MI, Yaseen M. Potassium-deficiency stress tolerance and potassium utilization efficiency in wheat genotypes. In: Plant Nutrition for Sustainable Food Production and Environment. Dordrecht: Springer; 1997. pp. 321-322

[148] Yu LH, Wu SJ, Peng YS, Liu RN, Chen X, Zhao P, et al. Arabidopsis EDT1/HDG11 improves drought and salt tolerance in cotton and poplar and increases cotton yield in the field. Plant Biotechnology Journal. 2015;**14**:72-84

[149] Dong H, Tang ZLW, Zhang D.
On potassium deficiency in cotton disorder, cause, and tissue diagnosis.
Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus.
2004;67:77-85

