


RESEARCH

Open Access



On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli for double sequences

Fernando León-Saavedra¹, María del Carmen Listán-García^{2*}  and María del Pilar Romero de la Rosa¹

*Correspondence:

mariadelcarmen.listan@uca.es

²Department of Mathematics,
Facultad de Ciencias, University of
Cádiz, Puerto Real 11510, Spain
Full list of author information is
available at the end of the article

Abstract

A remarkable result on summability states that the statistical convergence and the strong Cesàro convergence are closely connected. Given a modulus function f , we will establish that a double sequence that is f -strong Cesàro convergent is always f -statistically convergent. The converse, in general, is false even for bounded sequences. However, we will characterize analytically the modulus functions f for which the converse of this result remains true. The results of this paper adapt to several variables the results obtained in (León-Saavedra et al. in *J. Inequal. Appl.* 12:298, 2019).

MSC: 40H05; 40A35

Keywords: Statistical convergence; Double sequences; Strong Cesàro convergence; Modulus function

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ will denote a normed space. A sequence $(x_n) \subset X$ is said to be strong Cesàro convergent to L if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k - L\| = 0$. The strong Cesàro convergence for real numbers was introduced by Hardy-Littlewood [15] and Fekete [14] in connection with the convergence of the Fourier series (see [25] for historical notes and the most recent monograph [6]).

A sequence $(x_n) \subset X$ is said to be statistically convergent to L if for any ε the subset $\{n : \|x_n - L\| > \varepsilon\}$ has zero density on \mathbb{N} . The term statistical convergence was firstly presented by Fast [13] and Steinhaus [23] independently in the same year 1951. Actually, a root of the notion of statistical convergence can be detected in [26], where the author used the term almost convergence, which turned out to be equivalent to the concept of statistical convergence.

Interest in statistical convergence arises from its important applications in Approximation Theory. For instance, Korovkin-type approximation results have been obtained in [4, 5, 12, 18, 20] for different types of statistical convergence. Furthermore, Korovkin-type results for double sequences are especially interesting (see the results of H. Aktuğlu [3] and the pioneering result of K. Demirci et al. [11]).

© The Author(s) 2022. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

The strong Cesàro convergence, and the statistical convergence, two notions introduced at different times and different contexts, are related by J. Connor's result [10], which was sharpened by Khan and Orhan [16]. Among other results, Khan and Orhan [16] show that a sequence is strongly Cesàro convergent if and only if it is statistically convergent and uniformly integrable. Recently in [17], the authors obtained Connor-Khan-Orhan's (see [10, 16]) result for different kinds of statistical convergence defined by moduli.

In [19], Mursaleen and Edely obtained Connor's result for double sequences. We stress here the difficulty of obtaining these results in several variables. First of all, in two dimensions, the convergent sequences are not necessarily bounded. Moreover, there are different methods to define the limit for double sequences.

In this paper, we aim to obtain the results in [17] for double sequences and for different types of statistical convergence, which are defined by a density in \mathbb{N} using a modulus function f .

Let us recall that $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is said to be a modulus function if it satisfies:

1. $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
2. $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
3. f is increasing.
4. f is continuous from the right at 0.

The results in [17] are summarized as follows. It was shown that the f -statistical convergence and the f -strong Cesàro convergence are not always equivalents for any modulus function f . In [17], it was shown that for any modulus function f , a f -strong Cesàro convergent sequence is always f -statistically convergent. The converse of this result is not true even for bounded sequences; then, it was characterized analytically by the modulus functions f for which the converse is true for bounded sequences. It was also proved that these modulus functions are those for which the statistically convergent sequences are f -statistically convergent.

Since the Mursaleen-Edely result [19], a research program has been developed to explore different types of convergence for double sequences and their interconnection (see [7, 8]). For instance, there are efforts to understand different types of convergence (lacunary statistical, f -lacunary statistical of order α) for double sequences and to see their interconnections with the Cesàro-type convergence for double sequences (see [9, 21, 22, 24]).

The difficulty of this paper relies on the different ways to define the convergence for double sequences and the different manners that could exist to define the concept of f -statistical convergence for double sequences. Moreover, the computations that appear in [17] become much more complicated for double sequences and the statistical convergence defined by a modulus function f . At first glance, the concept of f -statistical convergence for double sequences (introduced in [1]) seems too artificial. However, as we will see, the concept is defined perfectly because it guarantees the regularity of the method.

In Sect. 2, we analyze the problem and see the difficulties, and we will show that when f is a compatible modulus function, an equivalent reformulation of f -statistical convergence for double sequences is possible, which is easier to use, and it simplifies the computations.

In Sect. 3, we will introduce the concept of f -strong Cesàro convergence for double sequences. As we will see, this concept adapts like a glove to f -statistical convergence for double sequences. With this notion in hand, we will establish that if a double sequence is f -strong Cesàro convergent, then it is f -statistically convergent to the same limit. We show that the converse of this result is not true even for bounded sequences; however, we

characterized the modulus functions f for which the converse of the result is true. We will see also in Sect. 3 that the equivalence between f -strong Cesàro convergence and strong Cesàro convergence for double sequences is only true for compatible modulus functions. Moreover, under the hypothesis of compatibility on f , we will show that a double sequence is f -statistically convergent if and only if it is statistically convergent.

2 Preliminary results

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\#A$ the cardinality of a finite set A . Every double limit we use will be considered in Pringsheim’s sense unless otherwise stated. The f -strong Cesàro convergence for double sequences is defined as follows:

Definition 2.1 Let f be the modulus function. A sequence (x_{mn}) is said to be f -strong Cesàro convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} = 0.$$

Let us observe that if f is bounded, then the constant sequence $x_{mn} = L$ is the only sequence that is f -strong convergent to L . Indeed, let us denote $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_n |f(n)|$, and let us observe that if for some $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $\|x_{ij} - L\| = c > 0$ then

$$\frac{f(c)}{\|f\|_\infty} \leq \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)},$$

which gives the desired result. For this reason, from now on, we will assume that if f is a modulus function, then f is unbounded.

In [2], by means of a new concept of density of a subset of \mathbb{N} , it is defined the following non-matrix concept of convergence. A sequence (x_n) is said to be f -statistically convergent to L if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#\{k \leq n : \|x_k - L\| > \varepsilon\})}{f(n)} = 0.$$

At first glance, one might think that the natural extension of the above definition for two variables can be stated with the following notion of the density of two variables:

Given a modulus function f . A subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ has f -density if the following limit exists

$$d_{2,f}(A) = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#\{(i, j) \in A, i \leq n \text{ and } j \leq m\})}{f(nm)}.$$

Given (x_{ij}) and L , we denote

$$A_\varepsilon(m, n) = \{(i, j), i \leq n \text{ and } j \leq m, \|x_{ij} - L\| > \varepsilon\}.$$

Based on the above notion of density, we could define the f -statistically convergence as follows:

Let f be a modulus function. Then we say that a sequence (x_{ij}) “ f -converges” statistically to L if for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(nm)} = 0. \tag{1}$$

However, as it was pointed out in [1] with the above definition of f -density on the hand, there are modulus functions f for which $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ has no density 1. For this reason, it was necessary to define a normalization factor for the f -statistical convergence of double sequences. Let (x_{ij}) be a double sequence and $L \in X$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $p \leq m$ and $q \leq n$ ($p, q, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$), let us define the subsets $A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n)$:

$$A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : p \leq i \leq m, q \leq j \leq n, \|x_{ij} - L\| > \varepsilon\}.$$

Definition 2.2 Let f be a modulus function. Then (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L if

$$\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} = 0.$$

Let us point out that, in general, the above limits (in Pringsheim’s sense) may not exist. Next, we will see that for a compatible modulus function f , it is not necessary to introduce the above normalization factor, and Definition 2.2 is equivalent to the definition given by equation (1). Let us recall what a compatible modulus function is.

Definition 2.3 A modulus function f is said to be compatible if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{f(n\varepsilon')}{f(n)} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

Example 2.4 The following functions $f(x) = x^p + x^q$, $0 < p, q \leq 1$, $f(x) = x^p + \log(x + 1)$, $f(x) = x + \frac{x}{x+1}$ are modulus functions, which are compatibles. And $f(x) = \log(x + 1)$, $f(x) = W(x)$ (where W is the W -Lambert function restricted to \mathbb{R}^+ , that is, the inverse of xe^x) are modulus functions, which are not compatibles. Indeed, the function $f(x) = x + \log(x + 1)$ is compatible since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n\varepsilon')}{f(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n\varepsilon' + \log(1 + n\varepsilon')}{n + \log(n + 1)} = \varepsilon'.$$

On the other hand, if $f(x) = \log(x + 1)$, since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(1 + n\varepsilon')}{\log(1 + n)} = 1,$$

we obtain that $f(x) = \log(x + 1)$ is not compatible.

Theorem 2.5 Let f be a compatible modulus function, then (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(nm)} = 0.$$

Proof One implication follows directly without the compatibility's hypothesis on f . Let us observe that $A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n) \subseteq A_\varepsilon(m, n)$; hence, since f is increasing

$$f(\#A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n)) \leq f(\#A_\varepsilon(m, n)),$$

the implication follows dividing the above inequality by $f(mn)$ and taking limits as $(m, n) \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L , there exist $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, if $m \geq N > p$ and $n \geq N > q$, we have that

$$\frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{2}$$

Since f is compatible, there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $n_0 > N$ large enough such that $\frac{f(n\varepsilon')}{f(n)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, for all $n \geq n_0$. We can take N large enough such that

$$\frac{p}{m} + \frac{q}{n} < \varepsilon'$$

for all $n, m \geq N$. Let us denote by $B_\varepsilon(m, n) = A_\varepsilon(m, n) \setminus A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n)$.

We claim that for all $m, n \geq N$

$$\frac{f(\#B_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(mn)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{3}$$

Indeed, we observe that

$$\#B_\varepsilon(m, n) \leq \#A_\varepsilon(p, n) + \#A_\varepsilon(m, q) \leq pn + qm.$$

Therefore, by dividing the above inequality by mn , we obtain

$$\frac{\#B_\varepsilon(m, n)}{mn} \leq \frac{p}{m} + \frac{q}{n} < \varepsilon'$$

for all $m, n \geq N$. Thus, since f is increasing

$$f(\#B_\varepsilon(m, n)) \leq f(\varepsilon' mn),$$

which implies that

$$\frac{f(\#B_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(\varepsilon' mn)}{f(mn)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

the last inequality follows from the compatibility of f .

Finally, the result follows from (2) and (3). Indeed, if $m, n \geq \max\{N, n_0\}$ we obtain:

$$\frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} + \frac{f(\#B_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(mn)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,$$

which yields the desired result. □

Moreover, if the equivalence stated in Theorem 2.5 is not true for some sequence (x_{ij}) , then f is not compatible.

Theorem 2.6 *Let f be a modulus function. Let us suppose that there exists a sequence (x_{ij}) such that (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L , but there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the limit*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(m, n))}{f(mn)} \tag{4}$$

is not zero. Then, f is not compatible.

Proof By hypothesis, there exist $c > 0$ and increasing sequences $(m_k), (n_k)$ such that

$$0 < c \leq \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)}. \tag{5}$$

Since (x_{ij}) is statistically convergent to L , there exist $(p_{k_0}), (q_{k_0})$, and increasing sequences $(m'_k), (n'_k)$, which we can suppose without a loss that $m'_k = m_k$ and $n'_k = n_k$ such that

$$\frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} < \frac{c}{2}, \tag{6}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_0$, for some $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us denote $B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k) = A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, n_k) \setminus A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k)$. Since $B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k) \subseteq A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, n_k) \cup A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, q_{k_0})$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \#B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k) &\leq \#A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, n_k) + \#A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, q_{k_0}) \\ &\leq p_{k_0} n_k + m_k q_{k_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\#B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k)}{m_k n_k} \leq \frac{p_{k_0}}{m_k} + \frac{q_{k_0}}{n_k}.$$

Therefore, for any ε' , there exists $N_1 \geq N_0$ such that

$$\frac{\#B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k)}{m_k n_k} \leq \varepsilon'$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_1$. Since f is increasing, we obtain

$$\frac{f(\#B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \leq \frac{f(\varepsilon' m_k n_k)}{f(m_k n_k)} \tag{7}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_1$. Finally, using (5) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c \\ &\leq \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{f(\#B_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} + \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{f(\varepsilon' m_k n_k)}{f(m_k n_k)} + \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_{k_0}, q_{k_0}, m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_1$. Finally, using (6), we obtain

$$0 < \frac{c}{2} \leq \frac{f(\varepsilon' m_k n_k)}{f(m_k n_k)}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_1$. This means that f is not compatible. This concludes the proof. □

3 Main results

Let us start this section recalling the concept of f -strong Cesàro convergence for double sequences.

Definition 3.1 Let f be a modulus function. Then a double sequence (x_{ij}) is said to be f -strong Cesàro convergent to L if

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} = 0.$$

We aim to establish Mursaleen’s result [19] for f -statistical convergence and f -strong Cesàro convergence.

Theorem 3.2 Let f be a modulus function.

- (a) If (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent to L , then (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent.
- (b) Additionally, if f is compatible, then for every strong Cesàro convergent sequence (x_{ij}) , we have that (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent.

Proof Let us establish (a). Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, since (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent to L , there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} < \frac{1}{p}$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. That is,

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\|\right) \leq \frac{1}{p} f(mn) \leq f\left(\frac{mn}{p}\right).$$

To obtain the last inequality, it is enough to observe that the condition $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ implies $f(mn) = f\left(\frac{mn}{p} + \dots + \frac{mn}{p}\right) \leq pf\left(\frac{mn}{p}\right)$.

Since f is increasing, we get

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\|}{mn} < \frac{1}{p}$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. That is, the sequence (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent.

To prove (b), let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small. Since f is compatible, there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{f(n\varepsilon')}{f(n)} < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Since (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent to L , there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\| < \varepsilon' mn$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. Now, since f is increasing, dividing by $f(mn)$, we obtain

$$\frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} < \frac{f(\varepsilon' mn)}{f(mn)} < \varepsilon$$

for all $m, n \geq \max\{n_0, N_0\}$. That is, (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent as desired. □

Remark 3.3 Let us observe that the compatibility condition on f is necessary in (b) of Theorem 3.2. Indeed, let us consider the modulus function $f(x) = \log(1 + x)$ and the sequence

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j, \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

then, an easy check shows that x_{ij} is strong Cesàro convergent to 0; however, x_{ij} does not converge f -strongly Cesàro to 0.

Given a modulus functions f . It was proved in [1] that if a sequence (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L , then (x_{ij}) is statistically convergent to L . Let us remark that the converse of this result follows for compatible modulus function.

Theorem 3.4 *Let f be a compatible modulus function. If (x_{ij}) is statistically convergent to L , then (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L .*

Proof Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is compatible, there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ then

$$\frac{n\varepsilon'}{n} < \varepsilon.$$

Let $c > 0$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$. Since (x_{ij}) is statistically convergent to L , then there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $m, n \geq N_0$, we have

$$\frac{\#\{(i, j) : i \leq n, j \leq m, \|x_{ij} - L\| > c\}}{mn} < \varepsilon'.$$

Since f is increasing, we obtain

$$\frac{f(\#\{(i, j) : i \leq n, j \leq m, \|x_{ij} - L\| > c\})}{f(mn)} < \frac{f(\varepsilon' mn)}{f(mn)} < \varepsilon$$

for all $m, n \geq \max\{n_0, N_0\}$. Then, applying Theorem 2.5, we obtain the desired result. □

Now, we are going to see that the hypothesis on compatibility of the modulus function stated in Theorem 3.2(b) and Theorem 3.4 is not a coincidence.

Theorem 3.5 *Let f be a modulus function, then:*

- (a) *If all statistically convergent sequences are f -statistically convergent, then f is compatible.*
- (b) *If all strong Cesàro convergent sequences are f -strong Cesàro convergent, then f is compatible.*

Proof Let us establish (a). Let us suppose that (x_{ij}) is statistically convergent to L , but (x_{ij}) is not f -statistically convergent. Then, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and pairs of sequences (p_k, q_k) and (n_k, m_k) , satisfying $p_k \leq n_k$ and $q_k \leq m_k$, such that

$$0 < c \leq \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(p_k, q_k, m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \leq \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)}.$$

On the other hand, since (x_{ij}) is statistically convergent to L , for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\#A_\varepsilon(m, n) < \varepsilon mn$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. Using that f is increasing and dividing by $f(mn)$, we get

$$\frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(m, n))}{f(mn)} < \frac{f(\varepsilon mn)}{f(mn)}$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. In particular, since for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $A_{\varepsilon_0}(m, n) \subseteq A_\varepsilon(m, n)$, we obtain

$$0 < c \leq \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon_0}(m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \leq \frac{f(\#A_\varepsilon(m_k, n_k))}{f(m_k n_k)} \leq \frac{f(\varepsilon m_k n_k)}{f(m_k n_k)} \tag{8}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_0$. That is f is not compatible. Hence, we have proved (a). The proof of (b) follows by a similar argument. Let us suppose that (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent to L , but (x_{ij}) is not f -strong Cesàro convergent. Then, there exists $c > 0$ and a pair of sequences (n_k, m_k) such that

$$0 < c \leq \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(m_k n_k)}.$$

On the other hand, since (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent to L , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\| < \varepsilon mn$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. Hence, since f is increasing,

$$\frac{1}{f(mn)} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_{ij} - L\|\right) < \frac{1}{f(mn)} f(\varepsilon mn) \tag{9}$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. Therefore, according to equations (8) and (9), we have that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$0 < c \leq \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(m_k n_k)} < \frac{f(\varepsilon m_k n_k)}{f(m_k n_k)}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_0$; that is, f is not compatible. □

Next, we will recall Mursaleen’s result [19], which established a result of J. Connor for double sequences [10].

Theorem 3.6 (Mursaleen [19]) *If a double sequence (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent to L , then it is statistically convergent to L . Additionally, if the sequence is bounded, then the converse is also true.*

This result connects two concepts quite differently. Sometimes, it is easier to verify that a double sequence is strong Cesàro convergent than to verify that it is statistically convergent. On the other hand, if our sequence is bounded, we do not know if the sequence has a limit, and we want to show that it is strong Cesàro convergent, it is better to check that the sequence is statistically Cauchy because, as it was also proved by Mursaleen [19], the statistically Cauchy sequences are statistically convergent. The above relationship is very helpful. The impetus of this work is to know what the situation is in the context of the f -statistical convergence.

Theorem 3.7 *Let (x_{ij}) be a double sequence and let f be a modulus function.*

- (a) *If (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent to L , then (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L .*
- (b) *Additionally, if the sequence is bounded, then the converse of the last statement is true if and only if f is a compatible modulus function.*

Proof To establish (a), we show that it is enough to state (10) for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{p,q \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_{\frac{1}{r}}(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough, then there exists $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{1}{r+1} \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{r}$. Hence, we obtain that for any $p, q, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$A_{\frac{1}{r}}(p, q, m, n) \subseteq A_{\varepsilon}(p, q, m, n) \subseteq A_{\frac{1}{r+1}}(p, q, m, n),$$

and this implies that

$$\#A_{\frac{1}{r}}(p, q, m, n) \leq \#A_{\varepsilon}(p, q, m, n) \leq \#A_{\frac{1}{r+1}}(p, q, m, n).$$

Since f is increasing, dividing by $f(mn)$, we get

$$\frac{f(\#A_{\frac{1}{r}}(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon}(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(\#A_{\frac{1}{r+1}}(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)},$$

the result follows taking limits. Thus, let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ be large enough, and we will show that (10) is satisfied. Indeed, let $p, q, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq m$ and $q \leq n$, then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij} - L\|\right) \geq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \|x_{ij}-L\| \geq \frac{1}{r}}}^n \|x_{ij} - L\|\right) \tag{11}$$

$$\geq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ \|x_{ij}-L\| \geq \frac{1}{r}}}^n \frac{1}{r}\right) \geq \frac{1}{r} f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ (i,j) \in A_{\frac{1}{r}}(m,n)}}^n 1\right) \tag{12}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} f(\#A_{\frac{1}{r}}(m, n)) \tag{13}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{r} f(\#A_{\frac{1}{r}}(p, q, m, n)). \tag{14}$$

Since (x_{ij}) is strong Cesàro convergent to L , we have that

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} = 0.$$

Therefore, dividing by $f(mn)$ the inequalities (11), we get that

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_{\frac{1}{r}}(p, q, m, n))}{f(mn)} = 0$$

for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, which gives that the sequence (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L as we desired.

To establish (b), let f be a compatible modulus function, and let us suppose that (x_{ij}) is a bounded sequence that is f -statistically convergent to L . Then, we will show that (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough. By Theorem 2.5, since (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent to L , and f is compatible, we have that for any $\varepsilon' > 0$,

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon'}(m, n))}{f(mn)} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Since f is compatible, there exist $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{f(n\varepsilon')}{f(n)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \tag{16}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Let us consider $M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, such that $\frac{1}{M} < \varepsilon'$ and $\|x_{ij}\|_{\infty} + \|L\| \leq M$. By equation (15), there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon'}(m, n))}{f(mn)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M},$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. And by equation (16), we have that for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$\frac{f(n\frac{1}{M})}{f(n)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{f(\sum_{ij} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \in A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n)} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} + \frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \notin A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n)} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)}. \tag{17}$$

Since f is increasing, we obtain the following

$$\frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \in A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n)} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \in A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n)} M)}{f(mn)} = \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n)M)}{f(mn)} \leq M \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

for all $m, n \geq \max\{N_0, n_0\}$. On the other hand, let us compute the second term of the inequality in (17)

$$\frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \notin A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n)} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(mn)} \leq \frac{f(mn \frac{1}{M})}{f(mn)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all $m, n \geq \max\{N_0, n_0\}$. Thus, we obtain that (x_{ij}) is f -strong Cesàro convergent.

Now, let us suppose that there exists a bounded sequence (x_{ij}) , which is f -statistically convergent to L , and (x_{ij}) is not f -strong Cesàro convergent to L , and show that f is not compatible. Let $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|(x_{ij})\|_{\infty} + \|L\| < M$ and assume that (x_{ij}) is not f -strong Cesàro convergent to L . Then, there exist $c > 0$ and sequences $m_k, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$0 < c \leq \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(m_k n_k)}.$$

Since (x_{ij}) is f -statistically convergent, by Theorem 2.6, for any $\varepsilon' > 0$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\#A_{\varepsilon'}(m,n))}{f(mn)} < \frac{c}{2M}, \tag{18}$$

for all $m, n \geq N_0$. In the other case, that is, the limit in (18) is not zero, then by Theorem 2.6, we obtain that f is not compatible, and we are finished.

Let us denote by $A_{\varepsilon'}^c(m,n) = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : i \leq m, j \leq n, \|x_{ij} - L\| < \varepsilon'\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c &\leq \frac{f(\sum_{i=1}^{m_k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_k} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(m_k n_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \in A_{\varepsilon'}(m_k, n_k)} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(m_k n_k)} + \frac{f(\sum_{(i,j) \in A_{\varepsilon'}^c(m_k, n_k)} \|x_{ij} - L\|)}{f(m_k n_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{f(m_k n_k)} f(\#A_{\varepsilon'}(m_k, n_k) \cdot M) + \frac{f(m_k n_k \varepsilon')}{f(m_k n_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{f(m_k n_k)} f(\#A_{\varepsilon'}(m_k, n_k)) + \frac{f(m_k n_k \varepsilon')}{f(m_k n_k)} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2} + \frac{f(m_k n_k \varepsilon')}{f(m_k n_k)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $m_k, n_k \geq N_0$. We conclude that f is not compatible. This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Referees for their constructive comments and remarks, and for improving the quality of the paper. The authors were supported by Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades under grant PGC2018-101514-B-I00. This work has been co-financed by the 2014-2020 ERDF Operational Programme and by the Department of Economy, Knowledge, Business and University of the Regional Government of Andalusia. Project reference: FEDER-UCA18-108415. First and third authors want to thank the generosity of Professor Manuel González and other colleagues of the Department of Mathematics at University of Cantabria for their support and generosity during our visit.

Funding

The first and second authors are supported by Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades under PGC2018-101514-B-I00, by Junta de Andalucía FQM-257 and Plan Propio de la Universidad de Cádiz.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

The authors contributed equally and significantly to this paper. FLS and MLG contributed significantly to analysis and manuscript preparation; PRR helped perform the analysis with constructive discussions. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Cádiz, Avda. de la Universidad s/n 11405, Jerez de la Frontera, Spain.

²Department of Mathematics, Facultad de Ciencias, University of Cádiz, Puerto Real 11510, Spain.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 6 July 2021 Accepted: 4 May 2022 Published online: 23 May 2022

References

1. Aizpuru, A., Listán-García, M.C., Rambla-Barreno, F.: Double density by moduli and statistical convergence. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **19**(4), 663–673 (2012)
2. Aizpuru, A., Listán-García, M.C., Rambla-Barreno, F.: Density by moduli and statistical convergence. *Quaest. Math.* **37**(4), 525–530 (2014)
3. Aktuğlu, H.: Korovkin type approximation theorems proved via $\alpha\beta$ -statistical convergence. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **259**, 174–181 (2014)
4. Alotaibi, A., Mursaleen, M.: Korovkin type approximation theorems via lacunary equistatistical convergence. *Filomat* **30**(13), 3641–3647 (2016)
5. Belen, C., Mohiuddine, S.A.: Generalized weighted statistical convergence and application. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**(18), 9821–9826 (2013)
6. Boos, J.: *Classical and Modern Methods in Summability*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2000) Assisted by Peter Cass, Oxford Science Publications
7. Çinar, M., Et, M.: Δ^m -statistical convergence of order α for double sequences of functions. *Facta Univ., Ser. Math. Inform.* **35**(2), 393–404 (2020)
8. Çinar, M., Et, M.: q -double Cesaro matrices and q -statistical convergence of double sequences. *Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett.* **43**(1), 73–76 (2020)
9. Çolak, R., Altin, Y.: Statistical convergence of double sequences of order α . *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* **5**, Article ID 682823 (2013)
10. Connor, J.S.: The statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences. *Analysis* **8**(1–2), 47–63 (1988)
11. Demirci, K., Karakuş, S.: Korovkin-type approximation theorem for double sequences of positive linear operators via statistical A -summability. *Results Math.* **63**(1–2), 1–13 (2013)
12. Edely, O.H.H., Mohiuddine, S.A., Noman, A.K.: Korovkin type approximation theorems obtained through generalized statistical convergence. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **23**, 1382–1387 (2010)
13. Fast, H.: Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq. Math.* **2**, 241–244 (1951)
14. Fekete, M.: Vizsgálatok a fourier-sorokról (research on fourier series). *Math. és termész.* **34**, 759–786 (1916)
15. Hardy, G.H., Littlewood, J.E.: Sur la série de fourier d'une fonction à carré sommable. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* **156**, 1307–1309 (1913)
16. Khan, M.Z., Orhan, C.: Characterizations of strong and statistical convergences. *Publ. Math. (Debr.)* **76**(1–2), 77–88 (2010)
17. León-Saavedra, F., Listán-García, M.C., Pérez Fernández, F.J., Romero de la Rosa, M.P.: On statistical convergence and strong Cesàro convergence by moduli. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **12**, 298 (2019)
18. Mohiuddine, S.A., Alamri, B.A.S.: Generalization of equi-statistical convergence via weighted lacunary sequence with associated Korovkin and Voronovskaya type approximation theorems. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **113**, 1955–1973 (2019)

19. Mursaleen, M., Edely, O.H.: Statistical convergence of double sequences. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **288**(1), 223–231 (2003)
20. Mursaleen, M., Karakaya, V., Ertürk, M., Gürsoy, F.: Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 9132–9137 (2012)
21. Patterson, R.F., Savaş, E.: Lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences. *Math. Commun.* **10**(1), 55–61 (2005)
22. Şengül, H., Et, M., Altin, Y.: f -lacunary statistical convergence and strong f -lacunary summability of order α of double sequences. *Facta Univ., Ser. Math. Inform.* **35**(2), 495–506 (2020)
23. Steinhaus, H.: Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique. *Colloq. Math.* **2**, 73–74 (1951)
24. Torgut, B., Altin, Y.: f -Statistical convergence of double sequences of order $\tilde{\alpha}$. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A* **90**(5), 803–808 (2020)
25. Zeller, K., Beekmann, W.: *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*. Zweite, erweiterte und verbesserte Auflage. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, vol. 15. Springer, Berlin (1970)
26. Zygmund, A.: *Trigonometrical series*. *Monogr. Mat.* **5** (1935)

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen[®] journal and benefit from:

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)
